PRESERVATION OF THIS
HISTORICAL RECORD IS DEDICATED
TO TARRANT COUNTY
AND AUTHORIZED BY

*The Tarrant County Clerk*

PRESERVATION OF THIS HISTORICAL RECORD
IS DEDICATED TO TARRANT COUNTY
AND AUTHORIZED BY

*The Tarrant County Clerk*

AND

B. GLEN WHITLEY
COUNTY JUDGE

ROY C. BROOKS
COMMISSIONER, PRECINCT 1

ANDY H. NGUYEN
COMMISSIONER, PRECINCT 2

GARY FICKES
COMMISSIONER, PRECINCT 3

J.D. JOHNSON
COMMISSIONER, PRECINCT 4

# CRIMINAL MINUTES COUNTY COURT

# VOL. 13A

TARRANT COUNTY

BK 160
CRIMINAL MINUTES
COMMISSIONERS COURT
12 OCT 1916

Tarrant Co.
Criminal minutes
From Oct 1916 to Aug 1918

TAR

## REQUEST FORM

Name R. Selcer
Please Print

I.D. Number 465-88-1016

Call Number

Author

Title CRIM COURT RECORDS, 1895-1914

*I have read the regulations for use of the Department of Special Collections and agree to observe them.*

Signature R. Selcer Date 9-2-88

| | Staff | Date |
|---|---|---|
| Issued | SS | 9-2-88 |
| Returned | SS | 9-2-88 |
| Shelved | | |

**The University of Texas at Arlington Library • Department of Special Collections**

CPS-1-88

Tarrant County Criminal Minutes Court 10A 1 of 2 Front Desk

Cumulative Judgment:

Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs and imprisonment in cause No.29438 said defendant stand committed to the county jail for the full period of [redacted] days and until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

Toronto Court
Criminal
Jan

TX

Tarrant County

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

January TERM, Monday Jany 9th A. D. 1895,

Be it remembered that on Monday the 9th day of January A.D. 1895 there came on and was held a Regular Term of the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House of said County in the City of Fort Worth. Present and presiding the Hon. Ed. M. Armstrong County Judge; Bee M. Terrell, County Attorney John P. King, County Clerk, and E. A. Eddins, Sheriff, when the following proceedings among other, were had, viz:

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bude Beckham

CHARGE: Fornication

No. 12768 Friday Jany 11, 1895,

NUMBER 12768

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bude Beckham *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. W. McMahan *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the second Count of the indictment and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars 50⁰⁰

J. W. McMahan, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Bude Beckham *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Court is ordered in the custody of the Sheriff

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____

*from* _____ *~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Meyer Gabert

CHARGE: Theft.

No. 12891 Friday Jany 11, 1895

NUMBER 12891

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mayer Gabert *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. W. McMahan *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at one Years imprisonment in the County Jail

J. W. McMahan, Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Meyer Gabert *~~the said fine of~~* _____ *~~Dollars and~~ all costs of this prosecution, and execution ~~may~~ issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Court is ordered in the ~~Custody~~ of the Sheriff

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full term of one

*~~from~~* _____ *and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.*

Tarrant County Criminal Minutes County Court 13A

2

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

January TERM, A. D. 1895

NUMBER 14048

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jim O'Connor

CHARGE: Drunk in Public Place

No. 14048 Friday Jany 11, 1895.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim O'Connor *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. W. McMahan *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at twenty five cents [25]

J. W. McMahan. Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jim O'Connor *the said fine of* Twenty five Cents ~~Dollars~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 14093

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. A. Ennis

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 14093 Saturday Jany 12, 1895.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. A. Ennis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One cent

J. W. McMahon. Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* J. A. Ennis *the said fine of* One cent ~~Dollars~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

January TERM, A. D. 1895

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. George Ferguson

CHARGE: Theft

No. 13766 Tuesday Jany 15, 1895.

NUMBER 13766

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* George Ferguson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. D. Lipscomb *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at one day's imprisonment in the County Jail.

H. D. Lipscomb Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that ~~the State of Texas do have and recover of~~ ~~the~~ Said Defendant, Stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of one day ~~the said fine of~~* Dollars *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until ~~such fine~~ all ~~and~~ costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ben Green

CHARGE: Betting at Dice

No. 13998 Tuesday Jany 15, 1895,

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ben Green *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* B. B. Gatley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in this Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars

B. B. Gatley. Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Ben Green *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is released in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

*~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County* *~~from~~* *~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

January TERM, A. D. 1895,

NUMBER 13119

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bob Bowker

CHARGE: Adultery

No. 13119 Thursday Jan 17, 1895

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bob Bowker pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: B B Gaddy and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty of Fornication and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars.
B B Gaddy, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Bob Bowker the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant bring present in open Court is delivered in the custody of the Sheriff of Grant County Texas who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 13982

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. D. C. Ferguson

CHARGE: Adultery

No. 13982 Friday Jan 25, 1895.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, D. C. Ferguson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. Vincent and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred and fifty dollars.
J. M. Vincent Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, D. C. Ferguson the said fine of One Hundred & fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant bring present in open Court is delivered in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

January TERM, A. D. 1895,

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charley Illingsworth

CHARGE: Fornication

No. 1328 Tuesday Jany 29th 1895.

NUMBER 1328

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Charley Illingsworth pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Jesse Jones and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars.
Jesse Jones, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Charley Illingsworth the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will ~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.

CHARGE:

No.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant 0

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, 0 the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Saturday Feb 2nd 1895 Ordered that Court do now adjourn till ~~Tuesday~~ Court in Course.

Attest John O'Kieffe C. C. C.

County Judge.

March TERM, A. D. 1895.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in March A.D.1895 the same being the 4th day of March A.D.1895. there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court within & for the County of Tarrant, State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth: Present Hon Geo M. Armstrong County Judge Presiding; John R Kirk, County Clerk, Ben M. Terrell, County Attorney and E.A. Eubanks, Sheriff of Tarrant County when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court.

NUMBER 14132

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Geo Johnson

CHARGE: Theft.

No. Monday Mch 11. 1895.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Geo Johnson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. C. Baker *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty as charged and assess his punishment at 5 days in jail and a fine of $50.00

C. C. Baker, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Geo Johnson *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of five days ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 14200

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jim Whitaker

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. Monday Mch 11. 1895.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Whitaker *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. A. Conkling *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00

C. A. Conkling, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Jim Whitaker *the said fine of* One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

March TERM, A. D. 1895. 7

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Wm Gaden

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. Monday Mch 11. 1895.

NUMBER 14210

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Wm Gaden pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: John D. Garner and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged & assess his punishment by a fine of 1 Cent,
John D. Garner, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Wm Gaden the said fine of one Cent ~~Dollars~~ and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant bring Sergent in open Court is seloud in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. R. L. Kirkpatrick.

CHARGE: Carrying Pistol

No. 14107 Rendered March 13. 1895

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, R. L. Kirkpatrick pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. C. Baker and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00
C. C. Baker, Foreman.

3/15/95

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, R. L. Kirkpatrick the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant bring Sergent in open Court is seloud in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

T. J. Ward TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 14431

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. C. Jahns

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. Wednesday Mch 13. 1895

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. C. Jahns pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. R. Hall and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty of simple assault and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars.

A. R. Hall. Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J. C. Jahns the said fine of Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant bring forthwith in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 14432

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. C. Jahns

CHARGE: Carrying

No. Wednesday Mch 13. 1895.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. C. Jahns pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. C. Bolar and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars.

C. C. Bolar, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, J. C. Jahns the said fine of Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant bring forthwith in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Fred Smith

CHARGE: Receiving Stolen Property
No. 14114 Thursday Mch 14, 1895

NUMBER 14114

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Fred Smith pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. C. Bator and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One dollar and one day in jail.
C. C. Bator, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Fred Smith the said fine of One Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant ~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for the term fixing of one day ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Alex Johnson

CHARGE: Adultery
No. 13832 Friday Mch 15, 1895.

NUMBER 13832

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Alex Johnson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: John D. Farmer and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty of Fornication and assess his punishment to a fine of Fifty Dollars
John D. Farmer, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Alex Johnson the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant ~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

10 March TERM, A. D. 1895

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 14197

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Andrew Sparrow

CHARGE: Carrying Pistol

No. 14197 Saturday Mch 16, 1895.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Andrew Sparrow *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* John D. Farmer *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars.

John D. Farmer, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Andrew Sparrow *the said fine of* Twenty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* bring present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 14457

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Charley Castle

CHARGE: Theft

No. Saturday Mch 16, 1895

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Charley Castle *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. C. Bolar *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00 and 3 days in the County Jail

C. C. Bolar, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Charley Castle *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* bring present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for the space of three days ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

March TERM, A. D. 1895.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Charley Thomas

CHARGE: Reciving Stolen Property

No. 14514 Monday Mch 18. 1895.

NUMBER 14514

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Charley Thomas *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* G. W. Astin *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at one days imprisonment in County Jail

G. W. Astin, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Charley Thomas ~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and~~ *all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such* ~~fine and~~ *costs, and the said Defendant* is present in open Court is plasing in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* for the full space of one day ~~from~~ *and until such* ~~fine and~~ *costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Albert White

CHARGE: Theft.

No. Tuesday Mch 19. 1895.

NUMBER 14467

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Albert White *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* B. H. Dunn *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty & assess his punishment at confinment in the County Jail at one day

B. H. Dunn, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Albert White ~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and~~ *all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such* ~~fine and~~ *costs, and the said Defendant* is present in open Court is plasing in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* for the full space of one day *from and until such* ~~fine and~~ *costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

March TERM, A. D. 1895.

NUMBER 13663

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. M. Sanders

CHARGE: Assault on Sunday

No. Wednesday Mch 20. 1895.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. M. Sanders pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H. L. Moore and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Thirty Dollars

H. L. Moore, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J. M. Sanders the said fine of Thirty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant bring present in open Court is delivered in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 13365

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. R. G. Kirkpatrick

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 13365 Wednesday Mch 13. 1895

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, R. G. Kirkpatrick pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. L. Rowland and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of Thirty five dollars.

W. L. Rowland, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, R. G. Kirkpatrick the said fine of Thirty five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may ~~issue~~ against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant bring present in open Court is delivered in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L B Jewell

CHARGE: Adultery
No. 12994 Monday Mch 25, 1895.

NUMBER 12994

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, L B Jewell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J W Aldman and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty of Adultery as charged in the Indictment and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars.
J. W. Aldman, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, L B Jewell the said fine of One Hundred ——— Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant ~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Felix Amador

CHARGE: Theft.
No 14558 Wednesday Mch 27, 1895.

NUMBER 14558

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Felix Amador pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H. G. Brown and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty of the charge and assess his fine at $25.00 and one day in County Jail
H. G. Brown, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Felix Amador the said fine of Thirty fine ——— Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant bring forthwith in open Court is taken in the Custody of the Sheriff who will ~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of one day ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

March TERM, A. D. 1895,

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 14510

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Billy Oliver

CHARGE: Adultery

No. 14510 Thursday Mch 28, 1895

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Billy Oliver pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Hundred Dollars.

Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Billy Oliver the said fine of Five Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant bring present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 13665

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W. H. Barrett

CHARGE: Gam on Sunday

No 13665 Friday Mch 29, 1895.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. H. Barrett pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. J. Joyce and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged by Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $30.00 Thirty Dollars.

J. J. Joyce. Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, W. H. Barrett the said fine of Thirty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant bring present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

March TERM, A. D. 1895. 15

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Tom Whited

CHARGE: Abusive Language
No 13805 Saturday May 20. 1895.

NUMBER 13805

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Tom Whited pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: N. P. Whitsides and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars N. P. Whitsides, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Tom Whited the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will ~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Rel'd 13805-

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.

CHARGE:
No.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Saturday May 4. 1895. Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Court in Course.
Attest: John O'King C. C. County Judge.

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....

*No.* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....

*No.* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....

*No.* .....

*NUMBER* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....

*No.* .....

*NUMBER* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

18 ..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

*NUMBER* .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....
*No.* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

*NUMBER* .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....
*No.* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

*CHARGE:* .....

*No.* .....

*NUMBER* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

*CHARGE:* .....

*No.* .....

*NUMBER* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

20 _____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18 _____

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

*NUMBER* _____

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
_____

**CHARGE:** _____

*No.* _____

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* _____ *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* _____ *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* _____ *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* _____ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* _____

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____ *the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

*NUMBER* _____

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
_____

**CHARGE:** _____

*No.* _____

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* _____ *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* _____ *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* _____ *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* _____ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* _____

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* _____ *the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

September TERM, A. D. 1903



THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. M A Milford

CHARGE: Theft

No. 27606 Wednesday Sept 9" 1903

NUMBER 27606

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, M A Milford pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J S McFadden and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at ten days in the County Jail

J S McFadden
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, M A Milford the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant be present in open court is placed in the custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of ten days ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Sidney Smith

CHARGE: Agg Assault

No. 27712 Tuesday Sept 8" 1903

NUMBER 27712

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Sidney Smith pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C R Robinson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at Fine of Twenty Five ($25.00) Dollars

C R Robinson
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Sidney Smith the said fine of Twenty Five ——— Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff

and confine him in the jail of this County .....

from ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

September TERM, Sept 9" A. D. 1903

NUMBER 27648

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Edgar Tucker

CHARGE: Theft

No. 27648 Wednesday Sept 9. 1903

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Edgar Tucker *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. J. Holland *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and fix his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five ($25.00) Dollars and 24 hours in the County Jail

J. J. Holland
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Edgar Tucker *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of 24 hours *from* ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 27618

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Hodson

CHARGE: Agg. Assault

No. 27618. Thursday Sept 10" 1903

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Johnson Hodson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. Williams *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five ($25.00) Dollars

H. Williams
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* John Hodson *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County*

~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, Sept A. D. 1903



THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Andrews

CHARGE: Agg. Assault
No 27655 Friday Sept 11 - 1903

NUMBER 27655

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Andrews *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C W Baker *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five ($25.00) Dollars and 30 days in the County Jail

C W Baker,
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Will Andrews *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of Thirty Days ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sam Shrewder

CHARGE: Agg. Assault
No 27662 Wednesday Sept 9th 1903

NUMBER 27662

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sam Shrewder *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J J Holland *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars ($25.00)

J J Holland
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Sam Shrewder *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

September TERM, A. D. 1903

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 2770 8

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Elmer

CHARGE: Pistol

No 2770 8 Tuesday Sept 22nd 1903

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Elmer pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: G R Isbell and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment of $25.00.

G. R. Isbell

Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Will Elmer the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will,

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. .....

CHARGE: .....

No. .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ..... pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant .....

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....

from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J J Shankles

CHARGE: Agg: Assault

No. 27752 Wednesday Sept 23-1903

NUMBER 27752

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J J Shankles *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* B F. Eden *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred ($100.00) dollars and 30 days in jail

B. F. Eden,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J J Shankles *the said fine of* One hundred ($100.00) *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff, who will,

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of 30 days *from* ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ed Bridges

CHARGE: Slander

No. 27827 Tuesday Sept 22. 1903

NUMBER 27827

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ed Bridges *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* B. G. Davis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit;*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred ($100.00) Dollars

B. G. Davis.
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Ed Bridges *the said fine of* One hundred ($100.00) *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will,

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* *from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Sept TERM, A. D. 1903

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 27844

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. C H Hargrove

CHARGE: Agg. Assault

No. 2784 Tuesday Sept 22. 1903

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C H Hargrove *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* G. R. Isbell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

G R Isbell
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* C H Hargrove *the said fine of* Twenty Five ($25.00) *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff, who will,

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 27222

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J B Hardin

CHARGE: Agg assault

No. 27222 Tuesday Sept 22. 1903

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J B Hardin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* B G Davis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars

B G Davis
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* J B Hardin *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff, who will,

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Minnie Kirby

CHARGE: Appealed J.C. #1
No 27703 Thursday Sept 24" 1903

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Minnie Kirby pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J W Harlon and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of One Dollar

J. W. Harlon
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Minnie Kirby the said fine of One Dollar Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the sheriff, who will

and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Def appeals Oct 5" 1903

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. J. Shankles

CHARGE: Agg. Assault
No 27752 Tuesday Oct 6" 1903

NUMBER 27752

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J J Shankles pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: G. W. Marcum and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars

Geo. Marcum
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, J J Shankles the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the sheriff, who will.

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C. TERM, September A. D. 1903

NUMBER 27853

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Frits Syers

CHARGE: Agg Assault

No 27853 Wednesday Oct 7-1903

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Frits Syers *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* G. H. Marcum *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollors, and Thirty Days in jail. G H Marcum, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Frits Syers *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff, who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of Thirty Days *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 28015

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Arthur Hooks

CHARGE: Exhibiting

No 28015 Monday Nov 2" 1903

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Arthur Hooks *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* O. B. Jordon *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment ~~at~~ a fine of Twenty Five Dollors and imprisonment in the County Jail for ten days.

O B Jordon, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Arthur Hooks *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff, who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of ten days *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—D. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ernest Barrel

CHARGE: Exhibiting

No. 28014 Monday Nov 21, 1903

NUMBER 28014

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ernest Barrel pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Jesse Jones and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars, and imprisonment in the County Jail for ten days

Jesse Jones
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ernest Barrel the said fine of Fifty Dollars Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff, who will

and confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of ten days from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. George Reeves

CHARGE: Exhibiting

No. 28007 Monday November 2-1903

NUMBER 28007

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, George Reeves pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: D Lassiter and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars and imprisonment in the County jail for ten days

D Lassiter
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, George Reeves the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff, who will

and confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of ten days from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Nov TERM, A. D. 18 1903

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 28035

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jim Erwin

CHARGE: Exhibiting

No 28035 Monday Nov 2nd 1903

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jim Erwin pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Jesse Jones and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollors and imprisonment in the County Jail for ten day.

Jesse Jones
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Jim Erwin the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff, who will,

and confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of ten days from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 28038

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lee Tignor

CHARGE: Exhibiting

No. 28038 Monday Nov 2nd 1903

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lee Tignor pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: G. H. Marcum and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, ~~which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes~~, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Seventy Five Dollors and imprisonment in the County Jail for fifty days.

G. H. Marcum
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Lee Tignor the said fine of Seventy Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff, who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of fifty days from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jud Griffin

CHARGE: Adultery

No. 27667 Thursday Nov. 19. 1903

NUMBER 27667

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jud Griffin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. F. Stubbs *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars. J. F. Stubbs Foreman."

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jud Griffin *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E. L. Theilman

CHARGE: Open On Sunday

No. 27854 Thursday Nov. 19th 1903

NUMBER 27854

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* E L Theilman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J H Woods *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. J H Woods, Foreman."

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* E L Theilman *the said fine of* Twenty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will,

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

November TERM, Monday, Nov 2" A. D. 1903

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 27860

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Geo Johnson

CHARGE: Agg. Assault

No. 27860 Tuesday Nov.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Geo Johnson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* N. T. Stubbs *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

N. T. Stubbs, Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Geo Johnson *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ed Sutton

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 28061 Tuesday Nov 17" 1903.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ed Sutton *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. H. Wood *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

J. H. Woods, Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Ed Sutton *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will,

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

51. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Annie Brewster

CHARGE: Theft

No. 2808 Wednesday Nov. 18th 1903

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Annie Brewster *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Jeff Sanders *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess her punishment at imprisonment in the county jail for one minute. Jeff Sanders. Foreman."

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Annie Brewster *the said fine of* ~~~~ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff, who will,

*and confine* ~~him~~ her *in the jail of this County* for the full period of one minute *from* ~~~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W. W. Roberts

CHARGE: Agg. Assault

No. 2777 Wednesday Nov 18th 1903

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. W. Roberts *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. F. Wellington *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment a fine of One Hundred Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for thirty days.

J. F. Wellington
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* W. W. Roberts *the said fine of* One Hundred ~~~~ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will,

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of thirty days *from* ~~~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, Nov A. D. 1902

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 28124

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Fred Jones

CHARGE: Exhibiting

No. 28124 Tuesday Dec 1st. 1903

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Fred Jones *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* N T Stubbs *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollors and forty Days in the County Jail.

N T Stubbs. Forman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Fred Jones *the said fine of* One hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will,

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of forty days ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 28169

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lige Ross

CHARGE: Betting

No 28169 Tuesday Dec 1st 1903

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lige Ross *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* N T Stubbs *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment a fine of Ten Dollors

N T Stubbs. Forman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Lige Ross *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is placed in custody of the Sheriff, who will,

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-14. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jim Davis

CHARGE: Betting

No. 28176 Tuesday Dec 1st 1903

NUMBER 28176

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Davis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. D. Griswell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifteen Dollars

J. D. Griswell
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jim Davis *the said fine of* Fifteen *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff. who will,

*and ~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *~~from~~* ..... *~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Grant Leroy

CHARGE: Betting

No. 28188 Wednesday Dec 2nd 1903

NUMBER 28188

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Grant Leroy *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* N. T. Stubbs *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Nov TERM, A. D. 1902

251. · C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 2819V

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jurrell Otts

CHARGE: Betting

No. 2819V Wednesday Dec 2nd 1903

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jurrell Otts *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J O Creed *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifteen Dollars.

J. O. Creed
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jurrell Otts *the said fine of* Fifteen *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff, who will,

*~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*~~from~~* ..... *~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 2819b

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Snow

CHARGE: Betting

No 2819b Tuesday Dec 1st 1903

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Snow *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J Cresnall *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars.

J Cresnall,
Foreman,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Will Snow *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff, who will

*~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*~~from~~* ..... *~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Cal Grinson

CHARGE: Betting

No. 28745 Wednesday Dec 2. 1903

NUMBER 28745

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Cal Grinson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. D. Grisiwell and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, And assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars,

J. D. Grisewell,
Foreman,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Cal Grinson the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff, who will,

and ~~confine~~ him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Albert Ables

CHARGE: Crap

No. 28271 Wednesday Dec 2. 1903

NUMBER 28271

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Albert Ables pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. N. Ryan and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment ~~assessed~~ at a fine of Twenty Dollars,

E. N. Payne,
Foreman,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Albert Ables the said fine of Twenty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff, who will,

and confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Nov TERM, A. D. 1902

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 28306

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Violette

CHARGE: Exhibiting

No. 28306 Wednesday Sept 2nd 1903

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Violette *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. O. Carson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and imprisonment for ten days in the County Jail

W. O. Carson, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Will Violette *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will,

*~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of ten days *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 28494

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lewis Yeager

CHARGE: Theft

No. 28494 Friday Jany 8th 1904

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lewis Yeager *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Dick Thomas *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and imprisonment for one year in the County Jail.

Dick Thomas, Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Lewis Yeager *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff, who will

*~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of one year *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Let it remembered, That on the 4th day of Janu. 1904 there come on and was held a regular Term of the Honorable County Court, of Tarrant County Texas, at the Court House of said County, in Fort Worth Texas. Present and presiding the Hon. R. F. Milam, County Judge; O S Lattimore, County Attorney; R L Rogers, County Clerk, and Jno T Hoxey, Sheriff; when the following proceedings, among others were had; viz;

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lewis Yeager

CHARGE: Theft
No. 28496 Friday, Jan. 5th 1904

NUMBER 28496

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lewis Yeager pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J M Stewart and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Dollar. and imprisonment for five days in the County Jail.

J M Stewart, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Lewis Yeagar the said fine of One Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff, who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of five days ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jos Scott

CHARGE: Agg. Assault
No 28487 Friday, Jan. 8th 1904

NUMBER 28487

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jos Scott pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: O. W. Head and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty First Dollars.

O. W. Head, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Jos Scott the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff, who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

January TERM, A. D. 1904

NUMBER 28519

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J L Cope

CHARGE: Agg. Assault

No. 28519 Monday, Jan. 18th 1904

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. L. Cope pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. Williams and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars

A. Williams
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J L Cope the said fine of Twenty Five — — — — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 2858

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Tom Hines & J H Childers

CHARGE: Swindling

No. 2858 Tuesday. February 2nd 1904

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and ~~both parties~~ each appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendants Tom Hines & J H Childers each pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Wm Reedy and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendants' each plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendants Guilty as charged in the Information and assess their punishment at a fine of $100.00 and confinement in the County Jail for six months.

Wm Reedy, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from each of the defendants Tom Hines and J H Childers the said fine of One Hundred — — — — — — Dollars each and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of each of said Defendants for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendants each being present in open Court are placed in custody of the Sheriff, who will

~~and~~ confine them and each of them in the jail of this County for the full period of six months

~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

January TERM, A. D. 1904

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W G Bray

CHARGE: Agg Assault
No 7606 Wednesday Feby 3" 1904

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W G Bray pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E S Garner and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Thousand Dollars, and two years in the County Jail

E. S. Farmer, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, W G Gray the said fine of One Thousand Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the sheriff who will,

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of two years from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E A Cassidy

CHARGE: Assault
No 28094 Wednesday Jan. 6" 1904

NUMBER 28094

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, E. A. Cassidy pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. N. Wilson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars,

C. N. Wilson, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, E. A. Cassidy the said fine of Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will.

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Jan TERM, A. D. 1904

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 28250

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. F M Trapp

CHARGE: Ab. Carrying

No. 28250 Monday Jan. 4. 1904

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, F M Trapp pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: F. Axtell and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars.

F. Axtell, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, F M Trapp the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. .....

CHARGE: .....

No. .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ..... pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant .....

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....

from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered, That on the 7th day of March 1904 there came on and was held a regular term of the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House thereof in the city of Fort Worth, Present and presiding the Hon A J Milam, County Judge; O F. Lattimore, County Attorney, R L Rogers, County Clerk, and Frost Jones, Sheriff; when the following proceedings among others were had - viz:

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Rowland

CHARGE: Theft
No: 28426 Tuesday March 22nd 1904

NUMBER 28426

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Rowland *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. Gates *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars and imprisonment for Sixty days in the County jail.

H. Gates, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jim Rowland *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of Sixty days and *from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.

CHARGE:
No.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant*

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in May A.D. 1904, the same being the 2nd day of May, A.D. 1904, there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, present and presiding the Honorable R. F. Milam County Judge, O. S. Lattimore County attorney, R. L. Roper County Clerk, and John T. Howard, Sheriff of Tarrant County, when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court.

NUMBER 28551

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bill Gillian

CHARGE: Assault

No. 28551 Wednesday May 4th 1904

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bill Gillian *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. H. Wells *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars ($25.00)

R. H. Wells
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Bill Gillian *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 28716

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. S. Brezevant

CHARGE: Ab. Language

No. 28716 Monday May 2nd 1904.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* S. Brezevant *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. H. Wells *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars ($5.00)

R. H. Wells
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* S. Brezevant *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C. ..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Preston

CHARGE: Agg. assault,
No. 28787 Tuesday May 3" 1904.

NUMBER 28787

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Preston pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: M. Davis and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars ($25.00)

M. Davis
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Will Preston the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being Present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County
~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lewis Hayes (Col)

CHARGE: Agg. Assault
No. 28855 Monday May 9" 1904.

NUMBER 28855

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lewis Hayes (Col) pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. M. Scott and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars ($25.00)

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Lewis Hayes (Col) the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being Present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County
~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 28873

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Louis Schnaie

CHARGE: Selling to Minor

No 28873 Monday May 7th 1904.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Louis Schnaie pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: N. M. Scott and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars

N. M. Scott
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Louis Schnaie the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 28899

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Stell

CHARGE: Threatening Life

No. 28899 Monday May 7th 1904.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Stell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. McDougal and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars,

C. McDougal
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Will Stell the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
T. Jordon

CHARGE: Theft.
No. 1890 Wednesday May 4th 1904.

NUMBER 1890

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, T. Jordon pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. H. Wells and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars. and imprisonment for Six months in the County Jail,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, T. Jordon the said fine of Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of Six Months from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Hermon Matheus

CHARGE: Theft.
No. 1890-a Thursday May 5th 1904.

NUMBER 1890-a

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Hermon Matheus pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. Williams and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Two Hundred and Forty Five Dollars. and imprisonment for Six Months in the County Jail

A. Williams
Foreman,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Hermon Matheus the said fine of Two Hundred and Forty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of Six Months from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18 _____

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 7891 V

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. G. Hordin

CHARGE: Agg. Assault

No 7891 V Tuesday May 3" 1904,

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. G. Hordin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. M. Scott *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars

W. M. Scott

Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J. G. Hordin *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

*~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County* _____

*~~from~~* _____ *~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 7893o

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Jasper

CHARGE: Theft.

No 7893o Monday, May 9" 1904,

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Jasper *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. M. Scott *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess in punishment at imprisonment for three days in County Jail,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Will Jasper *the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

*~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of three days

*~~from~~* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Joe Hanlon

CHARGE: Theft by Bailee
No. 28983 Wednesday May 18" 1904.

NUMBER 28983

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Joe Hanlon pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. D. Weatherly and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Dollar and imprisonment for ten days in the County Jail.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Joe Hanlon the said fine of One Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will and confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of Ten days, from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Tom Powell

CHARGE: Agg. Assault
No. 28990 Tuesday May 17" 1904.

NUMBER 28990

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Tom Powell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H. C. Cantrell and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars

H. C. Cantrell
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Tom Powell the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 28991

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mrs. Jack Johnson

CHARGE: Theft.

No. 28991 Monday May 16th 1904,

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs Jack Johnson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Dan Parker *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Dollar and imprisonment for one day in the County Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mrs. Jack Johnson *the said fine of* One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the hands of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of one day *from* and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. E. Cothran

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 29111. Wednesday Sept. 21st 1904,

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. E. Cothran *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. C. Brummett *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and fixes his punishment at a fine of $50.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* J. E. Cothran *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Al Moon

CHARGE: Theft
No. 2906 / Monday June 25 1904

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Al Moon pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J W Key and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifteen Dollars and imprisonment for Six months in the County Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Al Moon the said fine of Fifteen Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of Six months ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sherman Johnson

CHARGE: Theft
No. 2906 3

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Sherman Johnson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J O Odum and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Dollar and imprisonment for ten days in the County Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Sherman Johnson the said fine of One Dollar and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of ten days ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 29097

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. O L Vaughn

CHARGE: Appealed from J P Court

No. 29097 July 19 - 1904

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* O L Vaughn *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. A. Weaver *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* O L Vaughn *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* bring present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 29100

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jno Higgins

CHARGE: Affray

No 29100 Monday July 18. 1904

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jno Higgins *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. Gates *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars

Error Corrected by order of Court Aug 1st 1904

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Jno Higgins *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* bring present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W J Pickett

CHARGE: Affray

No 29101 Monday July 18" 1904

NUMBER .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W J Pickett pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J N Key and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Dollar

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, W. J. Pickett the said fine of One Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mike O'Leary

CHARGE: Theft

No 29110 Monday July 18" 1904

NUMBER .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mike O'Leary pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Thos Bratton and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars and imprisonment for five days in the County Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Mike O'Leary the said fine of Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of five days

~~from~~ ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 29113

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. H. Boland

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No 29113 Tuesday July 18. 1904

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. H. Boland pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. H. Key and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J. H. Boland the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 29135

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jesse Harris

CHARGE: Simple Agg. Assault

No 29135 Monday July 18. 1904

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jesse Harris pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. H. Key and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit: Simple assault

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars and

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Jesse Harris the said fine of Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—D. C.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18 _____

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
R Kinney

CHARGE: Dist Cause
No. 2920 Tuesday July 19-1904

NUMBER 2920

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, R Kinney pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A S McMahon and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, R Kinney the said fine of Fine Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County
~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Albert Jones

CHARGE: Agg. Assault
No. 2920 Monday July 28th 1904

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Albert Jones pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J W Isley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Inform read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Albert Jones the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the propepty of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County
~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Sept. TERM, / A. D. ~~18~~ 1904,

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in Sept. A.D. 1904, the same being the 5 day of Sept. A.D. 1904, there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, present and presiding the Hon. R.F. Milam, County Judge, O.S. Lattimore, County Attorney, R. L. Rogers, County Clerk, and John D. Howard, Sheriff of Tarrant County, When the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court

NUMBER 29711

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. E. Cothran

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 29711 Wednesday Sept. 7, 1904,

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. E. Cothran *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. C. Burnett *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00

Exon. 7 N.S. Granted

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED ~~ORDERED~~ AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J. E. Cothran *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will,

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 29763

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bob Berdell

CHARGE: Unlawfully Betting Dembert

No. 29763 Monday Sept. 19" 1904,

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bob Berdell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. C. Burnett *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Bob. Berdell *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will.

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-1½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. B. Kerr,

CHARGE: Ab. Law, No. 19197 Thursday Sept. 8" 1904, NUMBER 19197

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, B. Kerr, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. R. Jennings and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, B. Kerr the said fine of Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ben Newell

CHARGE: Theft. No. 19198 Wednesday Sept. 7" 1904, NUMBER 19198

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ben Newell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. T. Wallington and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of thirty days in the County Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Ben Newell the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff

and confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of thirty days from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 19316

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Hall McGinnis

CHARGE: Theft

No. 19316, Wednesday Sept. 7th 1904

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Hall McGinnis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. T. Pellington *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of one Dollar and imprisonment for one day in the County Jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Hall McGinnis *the said fine of* One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will ~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of One day ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 19321

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Hub Salfair

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 19321 Wednesday Sept. 7th, 1904

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Hub Salfair *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. T. Pellington *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Hub Salfair *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will ~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* for the period ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jassie Johnson

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 19343 Thursday Sept. 8" 1904, NUMBER 19343

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jassie Johnson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. A. Weaver and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Jassie Johnson the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dave Herman

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 19378 Tuesday Sept. 20" 1904, NUMBER .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dave Herman pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: John White and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Dave Herman the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the hands of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 7939

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bill Jackson

CHARGE: Ab. Law,
No. 7939 Tuesday Dept. 6" 1904.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bill Jackson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. B. Martin *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Bill Jackson *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the hands of the Sheriff who will.

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 7950

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Younger

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 7950 Saturday Dept. 4" 1904

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Younger *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. D. Dodgen *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty five Dollars,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Younger *the said fine of* twenty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being ~~six~~ present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jess Flanigan

CHARGE: Assault
No 1443 Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jess Flanigan pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. O. Robinson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Jess Flanigan the said fine of Five ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the hands of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ..... ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
B. F. Cummings

CHARGE: Adultery
No 1444 Thursday Sept. 7th 1904.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, B. F. Cummings pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. O. Wardlaw and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, B. F. Cummings the said fine of Twenty Five ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the hands of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ..... ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Willie Spurling

CHARGE: Assault

No. 7947 Monday Oct. 31st 1904,

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Willie Spurling *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. T. Lashley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* read *and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Two Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Willie Spurling *the said fine of* Two *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the hands of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 7961

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ed Young

CHARGE: Theft.

No. 7961 Friday Nov. 4th 1904,

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ed Young *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* read *and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant*

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-14. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Robt. Larry

CHARGE: Killing Cattle
No. 9645 Tuesday Nov. 1st A. D. 1904.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Robt. Larry pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. T. Myers and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ~~Indictment~~ read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Robt. Larry the said fine of Ten ~~[illegible]~~ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the hands of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.

CHARGE:
No.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

November TERM, Monday 7th A. D. 1904,

Be it remembered that on the first Monday in Nov. A.D. 1904, the same being the 7th day of Nov. A.D. 1904, there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, present and presiding the Honorable R. F. Milam, County Judge, O. S. Lattimore, County Attorney, R. L. Rogers, County Clerk, and John D. Howe, Sheriff of Tarrant County, when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal docket of said Court.

NUMBER 19508

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Clay Jennings

CHARGE: Selling to Minor,
No. 19508 Wednesday Nov. 9th 1904,
Judgment,

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Clay Jennings *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. D. Lowe *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Clay Jennings *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 19673

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
S. D. Miller

CHARGE: Appealed from Rowland J. P. Ct.,
No. 19673 Thursday Nov. 10th 1904,
Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* S. D. Miller *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D. C. Harris *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* S. D. Miller *the said fine of* Twenty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will.

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. G. E. Ralloff

CHARGE: Appeal Terrell J. P. Court, NUMBER
No. 19637 Monday Dec. 5th 1904, 19637
Judgment.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. G. E. Ralloff *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. K. Lake *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* W. G. E. Ralloff *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Walter Simpson

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 19646 Thursday Nov. 10th 1904,
Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Walter Simpson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. M. Ash *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ~~Information~~ Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

NUMBER 19646

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars

H. M. Ash
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Walter Simpson *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will.

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 19649

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Walter Simpson

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 19649 Thursday Nov. 10th 1904, Judgment.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Walter Simpson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. C. Danner *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ~~Information~~ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and imprisonment for twelve months in the County Jail.

W. C. Danner
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Walter Simpson *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of twelve Months. *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

said Judgment to be Cumulative and to be served after completing the judgment in Cause #19646,

---

NUMBER 19695

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Gandy Jobe

CHARGE: ~~Disturbing City Peace.~~

No. 19695 Thursday Nov. 9th 1904, Judgment.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Gandy Jobe *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. C. Danner *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars and imprisonment for one year in the County Jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Gandy Jobe *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of one year *~~from~~* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs. J. F. Easter

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 19614 Monday Nov. 7" 1904.
Judgment.

NUMBER 19614

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs. J. F. Easter pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. Ash and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Mrs. J. F. Easter the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will.

and confine ~~him~~ Her in the jail of this County
~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lizzie Henderson

CHARGE: Assault
No. 19709 Monday Nov. 7" 1904.
Judgment.

NUMBER 19709

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lizzie Henderson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. O. A. Mann and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Lizzie Henderson the said fine of Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will.

and confine him in the jail of this County
~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 19717

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Hutchins

CHARGE: Theft.

No. 19717 Tuesday Nov. 22" 1904.

Judgment.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Hutchins *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Dollar and imprisonment for One Hour in the County Jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* John Hutchins *the said fine of* One ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will ~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ for the full period of one Hour ~~from~~ ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 19819

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Grant Leroy

CHARGE: Cards

No. 19819 Wednesday Dec. 7" 1904.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Grant Leroy *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M. D. Bradley ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Grant Leroy *the said fine of* Ten ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will.

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ ..... ~~from~~ ..... ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....

*No.* .....

NUMBER .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....

*No.* .....

NUMBER .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in Jany. A. D. 1905. there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, present and presiding the Hon. R. F. Milam. County Judge, Jeff. D. McLean. County attorney, R. L. Rogers. County Clerk, and John D. Hone Sheriff of Tarrant County. when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court,

NUMBER 29776

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ira Hunter

CHARGE: A. A.

No 29776 Wednesday Jany. 4th 1905.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ira Hunter *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. C. McKinney *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Ira Hunter *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~now~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 29800

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Isaac Jacks

CHARGE: A. A.

No 29800 Wednesday Jany. 4th 1905.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Isaac Jacks *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* N. C. Burow *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Isaac Jacks *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will.

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~now~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Pete Dorchester

CHARGE: Cards,
No. 29860 Thursday Jany. 5th 1905.
Judgment

NUMBER 29860

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Pete Dorchester pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. A. Porchman and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Pete Dorchester the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. D. Brown alias Bud Brown

CHARGE: Open on Sunday
No. 29887 Wednesday Jany. 4th 1905.
Judgment

NUMBER 29887

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. D. Brown alias Bud Brown pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. A. Hoag and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indict read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, W. D. Brown alias Bud Brown the said fine of Twenty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 29895

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ira Williams

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 29895 Thursday Jany. 19th 1905.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ira Williams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Wm Tilly *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars, and imprisonment for Thirty days in the County Jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Ira Williams *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* xxxxx for the full period of Thirty days *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 7997

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Kid Eldridge

CHARGE: Cards.

No. 7997 Wednesday Jany. 18th 1905.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Kid Eldridge *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. B. Trimm *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Kid Eldridge *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* xxxxx *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Walter A. Key

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 2995 Tuesday Jany. 17" 1905.
Judgment

NUMBER 2995

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Walter A. Key pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. Doherty and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars, and imprisonment for Thirty days in the County Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Walter A. Key the said fine of Twenty five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will and confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of Thirty days, from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Strong
Jim Strong

CHARGE: S. S.
No. 2959 Tuesday Jany. 3" 1905.
Judgment

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: M. J. Pauley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Jim Strong the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will and confine him in the jail of this County and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 99981

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Walter Richardson

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 99981 Wednesday Jany. 18" 1905, Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Walter Richardson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: M. L. Benton and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Walter Richardson the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 30035

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bob Perkins

CHARGE: Cards

No. 30035 Monday Jany. 16" 1905, Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bob Perkins pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. H. Eaton and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Bob. Perkins the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Gus Bader

CHARGE: A. A.
No 30040 Thursday Jany. 19" 1905.
Judgment

NUMBER 30040

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Gus Bader *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. D. Gray *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Hundred Dollars and imprisonment for Six months in the County Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Gus Bader *the said fine of* Five Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of Six months *from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Henry Jones

CHARGE: A. A.
No 29914 Monday Feby. 20" 1905.
Judgment

NUMBER 29914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Henry Jones *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. T. Lusk *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Henry Jones *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will confine him

*and confine him in the jail of this County ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 30090

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Geo Burnette

CHARGE: Assault.

No. 30090 Monday 7 Feby, "0" 1905, Judgment.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Geo Burnette, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. E. Lomax and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Geo. Burnette the said fine of Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 30096

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bud McGinnis

CHARGE: Open S.

No. 30096 Monday 7 Feby, "0" 1905, Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bud McGinnis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. K. Lape and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Thirty Five Dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Bud McGinnis the said fine of Thirty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bennie Nash

CHARGE: Theft.
No 30160 Tuesday Feby. 21" 1905.
Judgment

NUMBER 30160

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bennie Nash *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. R. Lape *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and imprisonment for One Year in the County Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Bennie Nash *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County* for the spell period & One Year *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Owens Mills

CHARGE: Pistol
No 30199 Monday Feby. 20" 1905.
Judgment

NUMBER 30199

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Owens Mills *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D. O. Moore *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Thirty Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Owens Mills *the said fine of* Thirty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*

**CHARGE:**

*No.*

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant*

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*

**CHARGE:**

*No.*

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant*

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in March A. D. 1905 there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Ft. Worth. Present and presiding the Hon R. F. Milam County Judge, Jeff D. McLean County Attorney, R. L. Rogers County Clerk and John T. Honea, Sheriff of Tarrant County when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Page

CHARGE: Assault
No. 30064 Tuesday Mch. 28th 1905.
Judgment

NUMBER 30064

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Page *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* N. J. Black *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jim Page
*the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Joseph Smith

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 30217 Monday, March 27th 1905.
Judgment

NUMBER 30217

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Joseph Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* N. J. Black *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Joseph Smith
*the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 30736

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
S. M. Galloway

CHARGE: Keeping Dis. House
No. 30736 Red River Co. April 5" 1905.
Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* S. M. Galloway *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. R. Rogers *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indict *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $200.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* S. M. Galloway *the said fine of* Two Hundred Dollars *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 30760

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mike Cassidy alias Mickey Mine

CHARGE: Open S.
No. 30760 Monday Mch. 20" 1905,
Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mike Cassidy *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. Gatlin *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Mike Cassidy *the said fine of* Twenty Five Dollars *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Vera Andrews

CHARGE: A. A.
No 30277 Tuesday Mch. 28" 1905.
Judgment

NUMBER 30277

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Vera Andrews pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. D. Cate and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Vera Andrews the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Eva Reeves

CHARGE: A. A.
No 30285 Monday Mch. 27" 1905.
Judgment

NUMBER 30285

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Eva Reeves pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. D. Hoyenkamp and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Eva Reeves the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 30307

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Andrews

CHARGE: Vag.

No. 30307 Tuesday Mch. 28th 1905.

Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Andrews pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: D. N. Wiggins and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Will Andrews the said fine of One Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 30308

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jack Leatherman

CHARGE: Vag.

No. 30308 Tuesday Mch. 28th 1905.

Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jack Leatherman pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. M. Yeates and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Jack Leatherman the said fine of One Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Frank Brittain

CHARGE: A. A.
No 3037 Tuesday Apr. 4th 1905.
Judgment

NUMBER 3037

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Frank Brittain *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. Sutton *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $15.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Frank Brittain *the said fine of* Fifteen *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. D. Brown alias Bud

CHARGE: Promoting Gaming
No 3033 5 Monday Mch. 20th 1905.
Judgment

NUMBER 30335

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. D. Brown *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. C. Cantrell Sr. *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* W. D. Brown alias Bud *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 30351

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Max Smith

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 30351 Monday Mch. 7th 1905. Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Max Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. M. Ivey *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* May Smith *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 30358

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Geo. McDowell

CHARGE: V.

No. 30358 Monday April 4th 1905. Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Geo. McDowell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. A. Redford *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Geo. McDowell *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Albert Abler

CHARGE: Card

No 3040 Wednesday April 5" 1905 NUMBER 3040

Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Albert Abler pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. C. Smith and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Albert Abler the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. D. Dilley

CHARGE: Theft

No 3041 Monday Apr. 3" 1905 NUMBER

Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. D. Dilley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. Talbot and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and imprisonment for ten days in the County jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, J. D. Dilley the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of ten days from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

*NUMBER* .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....

*No.* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

*NUMBER* .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....

*No.* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in May A.D. 1905 the same being the 1st day of May A.D. 1905. there was begun and holden a regular Term of the County Court present and presiding the Hon. R. F. Milam Co. Judge. Jeff D. McLean Co. Atty. R. L. Rogers Co. Clerk and John D. Honea Sheriff of Parker County, when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Higgins

CHARGE: Theft.
No. 1903 Thursday May 25" 1905.
Judgment

NUMBER 1903

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Higgins pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: O. R. Barton and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at imprisonment for Twelve months in County Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, John Higgins the said fine of _____ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of Twelve months from _____ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sig. Sommers

CHARGE: Cards
No. 30395 Tuesday May 23" 1905,
Judgment

NUMBER 30395

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Sig. Sommers pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. D. Hovenkamp and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Sig. Sommers the said fine of Twenty Five _____ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County _____ from _____ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 30447

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Davs Gorman

CHARGE: Ab. Law,

No 30447 Monday, May 15" 1905. Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Davs Gorman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. B. Law *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Davs Gorman *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....

~~from~~ ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 30453

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Martin

CHARGE: Adultery

No 30453 Wednesday May 17" 1905. Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Martin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. B. Law *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* John Martin *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....

~~from~~ ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Orphins Oliver

CHARGE: Adult & Sor.
No 30456 Tuesday May 16" 1905.
Judgment

NUMBER 30456

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Orphins Oliver *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* N. D. Stubbs *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Orphins Oliver *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
S. M. Galloway

CHARGE: Open on Sunday
No 30461 Monday May 15th A. D. 1905.
Judgment

NUMBER 30461

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* S. M. Galloway *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. B. Law *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* S. M. Galloway *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is placed in the hands of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 30474

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Joe Hunton

CHARGE: Theft v.

No. 30474 Monday May 22nd 1905.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Joe Hunton *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. D. Hovenkamp *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and imprisonment for thirty days in the County Jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Joe Hunton *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will ~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ for the full period of thirty days *from* _____ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 30495

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. B. Cross

CHARGE: Selling Liquor to minor

No. 30495 Tuesday May 16th 1905.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. B. Cross *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. B. Law *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars

C. B. Law Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* J. B. Cross *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* _____ *from* _____ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John McCarstin

CHARGE: Hog.
No 30536 Wednesday May 17" 1905.
Judgment

NUMBER 30536

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John McCarstin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* O. M. Sprinkle *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Dollar.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* John McCarstin *the said fine of* One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mace Lawrence

CHARGE: Fornication
No 30543 Thursday May 18" 1905.
Judgment

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mace Lawrence *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* N. J. Stabler *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Hundred Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Mace Lawrence *the said fine of* Five Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 30567

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ada Barnes

CHARGE: Assault

No 30567 Tuesday May 16th 1905. Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ada Barnes *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. B. Law *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Ada Barnes *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ..... ~~from~~ ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 30746

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Charlie Freese

CHARGE: Ex.

No 30746 Tuesday May 23" A. D. 1905. Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Charlie Freese *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. R. Porter *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and imprisonment for Ninety days in the County Jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Charlie Freese *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ for the full period of Ninety days *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Spurgeon Hughes

CHARGE: Card
No. 30749 Tuesday May 23" A.D. 1905
Judgment

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Spurgeon Hughes *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. N. Higgins *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Spurgeon Hughes *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the hands of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jack McDaniels

CHARGE: Ex.
No. 30753 Tuesday May 23" A.D. 1905
Judgment

NUMBER 30753

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jack McDaniels *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* B. H. Kipp *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and imprisonment for Ninety days in County Jail,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Jack McDaniels *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the hands of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of Ninety days *from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 30759

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. C. A. Ketner

CHARGE: Adultery.

No. 30759 Tuesday May 23" 1905.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C. A. Ketner *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. B. Atwood *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* C. A. Ketner *the said fine of* Two Hundred and Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is placed in the hands of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 30761

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. A. J. Hill

CHARGE: Concerned in Ex.

No. 30761 Tuesday May 23" 1905.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A. J. Hill *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. O. McDougall *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars, and imprisonment for Ninety days in the County Jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* A. J. Hill *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for the fullperiod of Ninety days *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....
*No.* .....

*NUMBER* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....
*No.* .....

*NUMBER* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

July TERM, A. D. 1905

Be it remembered that on the first Monday in July A. D. 1905 the same being the 3rd day of July A.D. 1905 there being began & holden a regular term of the County Court present and presiding the Hon. R. F. Milam County Judge. Jeff. D. McLean County Atty. R. L. Rogers, County Clerk & Jno. D. Hohes. Sheriff & Coroner & County. when the following proceedings were had upon the criminal docket of said Court.

NUMBER 30795 THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Robt. Barker

CHARGE: Theft.

No. 30795 Thursday July 6th 1905.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Robt. Barker *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One dollar and imprisonment for one day in the County Jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Robt. Barker *the said fine of* One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of one day *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 30834 THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lucius Mitchell

CHARGE: Adultery

No. 30834 Friday July 7th 1905.

Judgment.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lucius Mitchell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. D. Baker *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Lucius Mitchell *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

July TERM, A. D. 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Oscar Galloway

CHARGE: D. S.
No. 3085 Monday July 3" 1905.
Judgment.

NUMBER 3085

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Oscar Galloway *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D. H. Fowler *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Oscar Galloway *the said fine of* Twenty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jno. Nix alias Jno. Fisher

CHARGE: Ex.
No. 3053 Monday July 3" 1905.
Judgment.

NUMBER 3053

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Nix alias Jno. Fisher *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Thirty Dollars and imprisonment for 30 days in the County Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Jno. Nix. alias Jno. Fisher *the said fine of* Thirty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of 30 days

~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

July TERM, A. D. 1905,

NUMBER 30864

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Oscar Galloway

CHARGE: D. S.

No. 30864 Monday July 3" 1905

Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Oscar Galloway pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. J. Hughes and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Oscar Galloway the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 30866

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Oscar Galloway

CHARGE: D. S.

No. 30866 Monday July 3" 1905

Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Oscar Galloway pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. J. Bass and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Oscar Galloway the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Oscar Galloway } CHARGE: D. D. No. 30868 Monday July 3" 1905 Judgment NUMBER 30868

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Oscar Galloway *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D. D. Griswold *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Forty Dollars.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Oscar Galloway *the said fine of* Forty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Oscar Galloway } CHARGE: D. D. No. 30869 Monday July 3" 1905. Judgment. NUMBER 30869

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Oscar Galloway *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* John Dawson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Oscar Galloway *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

100 251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C. July TERM, A. D. 1905

NUMBER 30967

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Sarah Pratt

CHARGE: Ab. Law.

No. 30967 Friday July 7th 1905, Judgment.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sarah Pratt *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. C. Patten ap, City Court *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Sarah Pratt *the said fine of* Twenty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

NUMBER 30920

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jeff Carter

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 30920 Friday July 7th 1905, Judgment.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jeff Carter *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* B. F. Sprinkle *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Jeff Carter *the said fine of* Twenty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....

*No.*.....

*NUMBER* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....

*No.*.....

*NUMBER* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Sept. TERM, A. D. 1905.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in Sept. A. D. 1905 there was begun and holden an regular term of the County Court of Dorman County, Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Dorman, present and presiding the Hon. R. F. Milam, County Judge, Jeff D. McLean County Attorney, R. L. Rogers, Co. Clk. and Jno. D. Stubbs Sheriff Dorman County when the following proceedings were had upon the criminal docket of said Court.

NUMBER 30701

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Sam Campbell

CHARGE: Theft by Bailee

No. 30701 Tuesday Sept. 19th 1905.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sam Campbell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* N. D. Stubbs *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of twelve dollars and imprisonment for fifteen days in the County Jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Sam Campbell *the said fine of* twelve *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full term of fifteen days *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 30885

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. A. M. Griffith

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 30885 Wednesday Sept. 20th 1905.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A. M. Griffith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* N. D. Stubbs *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* A. M. Griffith *the said fine of* Twenty Dollars *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo. Jackson

CHARGE: For—
No. 30974 Tuesday Sept. 19" 1905.
Judgment

NUMBER 30974

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Geo Jackson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Ike Hoyt *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Two Hundred Dollars.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Geo. Jackson *the said fine of* Two Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Oscar Lott

CHARGE: Theft.
No. 30957 Friday Sept. 8" 1905.
Judgment

NUMBER 30957

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Oscar Lott *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. J. Brock, Jr. *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, and imprisonment for Ten days in the County Jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Oscar Lott *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of ten days *from* ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Sept. TERM, A. D. 1905,

NUMBER 31006

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Oscar Galloway

CHARGE: Permitting Gaming

No 31006, Thursday Oct. 5th 1905, Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Oscar Galloway pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: D. N. Riggins and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indict. read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Oscar Galloway the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 31016

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mary Evans

CHARGE: Theft,

No 31016 Thursday Sept. 7th 1905, Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mary Evans pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. A. Terrell and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indict. read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00 and imprisonment for one day in the County Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Mary Evans the said fine of One Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County and for the full term of one day from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Sept. TERM, A. D. 1905. 105

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Clay Hambrick

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 31041 Monday Sept. 18" 1905.

Judgment

NUMBER 31041

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Clay Hambrick pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: D. A. Cope and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and imprisonment for thirty days in the County Jail.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Clay Hambrick the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will and confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of thirty days from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Fred Haughton

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 31041 Monday Sept. 18" 1905.

Judgment

NUMBER 31041

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Fred Haughton pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit N. C. Cobran and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment, read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and imprisonment for thirty days in the County Jail.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Fred Haughton the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will and confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of thirty days from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Dep V. TERM, A. D. 1905.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 31094

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Sam Shrewder

CHARGE: O. S.

No. 31094 Tuesday Sept. 5 " 1905.

Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Sam Shrewder pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: D. A. Caughlin and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Forty dollars,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Sam Shrewder the said fine of Forty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will,

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 31098

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. A. Bennett

CHARGE: O. S.

No. 31098 Tuesday Sept. 5 " 1905.

Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. A. Bennett pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. D. Bonner and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, J. A. Bennett the said fine of Twenty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—D. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. A. Bennett

CHARGE: D. D. No. 3099 Tuesday Sept. 5" 1905. Judgment

NUMBER 3099

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. A. Bennett pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Fred Edgell and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J. A. Bennett the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County

from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Cliff Franklin

CHARGE: D. D. No. 3102 Tuesday Sept. 5" 1905. Judgment.

NUMBER 3102

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Cliff Franklin pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Pat Torrell and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Forty Dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Cliff Franklin the said fine of Forty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County

from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Sept TERM, A. D. 1905

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3104

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Kate Craig

CHARGE: S. S.
No 3104 Tuesday Sept. 5th 1905.
Judgment.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Kate Craig *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* John Brown *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment, *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of Forty Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Kate Craig *the said fine of* Forty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 3105

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Kate Craig

CHARGE: S. S.
No 3105 Tuesday Sept. 5th 1905.
Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Kate Craig *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Earl Kipp *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment, *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of Thirty Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Kate Craig *the said fine of* Thirty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Kale Craig

CHARGE: O. S. No. 3110 Tuesday Sept. 5" 1905. Judgment

NUMBER 3110

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Kale Craig *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. J. Brock, Jr. *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $35.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Kale Craig *the said fine of* Thirty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Sam Shrewder

CHARGE: Pistol No. 3113 Monday Sept. 18" 1905. Judgment.

NUMBER 3113

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sam Shrewder *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. C. Kuyanski *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars + imprisonment for sixty days in the County Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Sam Shrewder *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of sixty days.

*from* This sentence is to be cumulative. *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Sept. TERM, A. D. 1905.

NUMBER 3114

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Sam Shrieder

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 3114 Monday Sept. 18 "1905,

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sam Shrieder *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* G. W. Chapman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Sam Shrieder *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 3117

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jas Ridley

CHARGE: Theft

No. 3117 Saturday Sept. 9" 1905

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jas. Ridley *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* F. Edgell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00 and imprisonment for ten days in the County Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Jas Ridley *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of ten days.

~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Sept. TERM, A. D. 1905, 

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Louis Hernandez

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 31148 Saturday Sept. 9" 1905,
Judgment

NUMBER 31148

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Louis Hernandez pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. C. Hally and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Louis Hernandez the said fine of Twenty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Owen Miller

CHARGE: Vag.
No. 31738 Thursday Sept. 21 "1905,
Judgment

NUMBER 31738

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Owen Miller pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. A. Cope and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Owen Miller the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Sept. TERM, A. D. 1905

NUMBER 3174 THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lawrence Calvin

CHARGE: Vag. No. 3174 Tuesday Sept. 19th 1905. Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lawrence Calvin pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Da Hoyt and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indict. read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Lawrence Calvin the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 3176 THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Dom Mason

CHARGE: Vag. No. 3176 Tuesday Sept. 19th 1905. Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dom Mason pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. E. Driggers and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indict. read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Dom Mason the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Sept'r TERM, A. D. 1905, 113

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Frank Behrens

CHARGE: Theft.
No. 31375 Dated Oct. 3rd 1905, NUMBER 31375

Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Frank Behrens pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. H. Edmondson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00 and imprisonment for thirty days in the County Jail.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Frank Behrens the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will and confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of thirty days. from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.

CHARGE:
No.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

January TERM, A. D. 1906,

Be it remembered that on this the 1st Monday in Jany. A.D. 1906. there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, Present and presiding the Hon. R. F. Milam Judge. Jeff D. McLean Co. Attorney, R. L. Rogers Co. Clk and John D. Jones Sheriff Tarrant County, Texas. when the following proceedings were had upon the criminal docket of said Court.

NUMBER 30207

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. A. Eastman

CHARGE: A. L. Law.

No 30207 Saturday Jany. 6th 1906.

Judgment.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. A. Eastman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. N. Evans *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars.

A. N. Evans, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J. A. Eastman *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 3/356

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bertha Webber

CHARGE: A. P.

No 3/356 Wednesday Jany. 3rd 1906.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bertha Webber *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. R. Puckett *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Appealed *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Two Dollars.

J. R. Puckett, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Bertha Webber *the said fine of* Two *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. H. Coulter

CHARGE: O. S.
No 3171 Tuesday Jany. 2" 1906.
Judgment

NUMBER 3171

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. H. Coulter *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. N. Evans *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars.

A. N. Evans,
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* W. H. Coulter
*the said fine of* Twenty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ira Fogg

CHARGE: Pistol
No 3180 Wednesday Jany. 3" 1906.
Judgment

NUMBER 3180

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ira Fogg *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. A. Bowman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant being present in open Court guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars.

E. A. Bowman,
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Ira Fogg
*the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

November TERM, A. D. 1905

Be it remembered that on the first Monday in Nov. A.D. 1905 the same being the 6 day of Nov. A.D. 1905: there was begun & holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, Present & presiding the Hon R. F. Milam Co. Judge. Jeff D. McLean Co. Atty. R. L. Rogers Co. Clk & John D. Honea Sheriff Tarrant County, Texas: when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal docket of said Court.

NUMBER 31389

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. N. C. Morse

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 31389 Wednesday Nov. 8th 1905, Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* N. C. Morse *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. C. Cantrell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* N. C. Morse *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....

*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 31443

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. H. H. Hendricks

CHARGE: Card

No. 31443 Monday Nov. 6th 1905, Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* H. H. Hendricks *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D. A. Lightowler *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* H. H. Hendricks *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....

*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. L. Bishop

CHARGE: Pistol

No 3158 Wednesday Nov. 15" 1905. NUMBER 3158

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. L. Bishop *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* O. W. Stark *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J. L. Bishop *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Dr. C. C. Blair

CHARGE: U. S. Cocaine

No 3160 Thursday Nov. 23" 1905. NUMBER 3160.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Dr. C. C. Blair *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M. C. Burrow *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indict *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Dr. C. C. Blair *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

January TERM, A. D. 1906.

Jer for Poss
Caption 114

NUMBER 31875

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jor Mayo

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 3187[illegible] Monday Janu. 15" 1906.

Judgment.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jor Mayo *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Chargr *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. F. Faulkner *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Infor. *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five dollars.

W. F. Faulkner
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jor Mayo *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 31874

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Robt. Downsed

CHARGE: Jor.

No. 3187[illegible] Friday Febry. 16" 1906.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Robt Downsed *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Chargr *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. J. Brock *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars.

E. J. Brock
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Robt Downsed *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

January TERM, A. D. 1906. 119

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Robt. Downsed

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 3187 Friday Feby. 16" A D. 1906.
Judgment

NUMBER 3187

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Robt. Downsed pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. J. Bracken and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars,

E. J. Brock
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Robt Downsed the said fine of twenty five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sikney Austin

CHARGE: Adultery
No. 3192 Friday Feby. 16" 1906.
Judgment

NUMBER 3192

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Sikney pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. Wal Ramsey and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars,

J. Wal Ramsey
Foreman,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Sikney Austin the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

January TERM, A. D. 1906.

NUMBER 31864

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Geo. Eubanks

CHARGE: Pol.

No. 31864 Saturday Feby. 17th 1906.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Geo. Eubanks *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. Nat Ramsey *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars.

J Nat Ramsey
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Geo. Eubanks *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 32087

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Charley Robison

CHARGE: Theft.

No. 32087 Monday Feby. 26th 1906.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Charley Robison *pleaded "NOT GUILTY," to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....

*No.* .....

NUMBER .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....

*No.* .....

NUMBER .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

March TERM, A. D. 1906

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in March A. D. 1906. there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Parker County, Texas, present and presiding the Hon. R. F. Milam County Judge, Jeff D. McLean Co. Atty. R. L. Rogers Co. Clk. and John D. Hodges Sheriff Parker Co. when the following proceedings were had upon the criminal docket of said Court.

NUMBER 31938

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jim Wright & Pearl Dailey

CHARGE: Pool

No 31938 Wednesday Mch. 7th 1906

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendants* Jim Wright & Pearl Dailey *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guelty

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defts. go hence without day

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 31004

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lilly Preston

CHARGE: Ab. Law

No 31004 Thursday Mch. 4th 1906,

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lilly Preston *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. B. Addison .....
*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guelty

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defts. go hence without day

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-14. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bud Brown

CHARGE: Violating Terrell Election's Law

No 3702 Thursday Mch. 8" 1906

Judgment

NUMBER 3702

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bud Brown pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. N. Doyler and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indict read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $300.00 and sixty days labor on the public road.

E. N. Doyler
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Bud Brown the said fine of Three Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and the Defft. being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.
and to labor for sixty days on the public road.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. A. C. Monish

CHARGE: O. S.

No 3706 Tuesday March 6" 1906.

Judgment

NUMBER 3706

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, A. C. Monish pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. Dewitt and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indict read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of ($75.00) Seventy Five Dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, A. C. Monish the said fine of Seventy Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

March TERM, A. D. 1906

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3?061

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Gus Carter

CHARGE: Theft.

No 3?061 Monday March 5th A.D. 1906

Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Gus Carter pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: D. A. Reeves and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty, D. A. Reeves Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Deft go hence without day.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 3?065

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Hamp Saunders

CHARGE: Theft,

No 3?065 Saturday April 14th 1906,

Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Hamp Saunders pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Jos Boythress and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten dollars & imprisonment for ten days in the County Jail

Jos. Boythress
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant Hamp Saunders the said fine of Ten ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court it is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County for the space period of ten days
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Fritz Byers

CHARGE: A. A. NUMBER
No 3708 Tuesday March 6" 1906
Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Fritz Byers pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S. S. Smith and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty V. S. S. Smith. Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defr. go hence without day.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ben Lee & Sallie Lawson

CHARGE: Adultery, NUMBER
No 3708½ Tuesday Mch. 6" A. D. 1906
Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ben Lee & Sallie Lawson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. B. Addison and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty V. J. B. Addison Foreman,

It is therefor ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defr. go hence without day.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

March TERM, A. D. 1906.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 37146

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Harrison Joner

CHARGE: Theft

No 37146 Wednesday Mch. 21 "1906

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Harrison Joner *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* John Dawson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars & imprisonment for thirty days in the County Jail

John Dawson. Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Harrison Joner *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of thirty days *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 37150

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Owen Mills

CHARGE: Theft.

No 37150 Friday April. 13" 1906.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Owen Mills *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. E. Edwards *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment. *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and imprisonment for ten days in the County Jail. J. E. Edwards foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Owen Mills *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will. *and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of ten days *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Clark Wise

CHARGE: Theft. NUMBER

No. 3717 Thursday April 12" 1906.

Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Clark Wise pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Jo Boythress and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indict read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty - Jo Boythress. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution. that the Deft. go hence without day.

~~IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of~~ the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Pete Abbey

CHARGE: Pistol NUMBER

No. 3712 Friday April 13" A. D. 1906.

Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Pete Abbey pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. McDougal and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indict read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Pete Abbey the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

128 March TERM, A. D. 1906,

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3717

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Tom Calley

CHARGE: For.

No. 3717 Thursday April 12" 1906.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Tom Calley *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. McDougal Snipes *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars

C. McDougal. Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Tom Calley *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 3719

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Alice Blunt

CHARGE: For.

No. 3719 Thursday April 12" 1906.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Alice Blunt *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. McDougal Snipes *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of fifty dollars. C. McDougal. Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Alice Blunt *the said fine of* fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. .C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....

*No.*.....

*NUMBER* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....

*No.*.....

*NUMBER* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

May TERM, A. D. 1906,

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered that on this the first monday in June A.D. 1906. the same being the 7th day of June A.D. 1906. there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Forrard County, Texas, present and presiding R.F. Milam Co. Judge, Jeff D. McLean Co. atty. R.L. Rogers Co. Clk. and John D. Honea Sheriff Forrard Co. when the following proceedings were had upon the criminal docket of said Court.

NUMBER 37139

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Tom Hallaun

CHARGE: A. A.

No 37139 Tuesday May 8th 1906.

Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Tom Hallaun pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L.D. Louthean and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Info read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess punishment at confinement in the County Jail for One month.

L.D. Louthean
Forman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ~~~~~ the said fine of ~~~~~ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of one month. ~~from~~ ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid~~

NUMBER 37189

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Forence Huffman

CHARGE: A. A.

No 37189 Monday May 7th 1906.

Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Forence Huffman pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: N.F. Cheatham and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Info read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty & assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00

N.F. Cheatham
Forman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Forence Huffman the said fine of Five ~~~~~ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Hamilton

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 3774 Tuesday June 8" 1906.
Judgment.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Hamilton pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. C. Saunders and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty,

It is then ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dom Riley

CHARGE: Theft.
No. 3779 Monday May 7" 1906.
Judgment

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dom Riley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and imprisonment for thirty days in the County Jail.

Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Dom Riley the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the custody of the sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of thirty days from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

May TERM, A. D. 1906,

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3718[illegible]

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. J. Berry

CHARGE: Theft.

No 3718 Monday May 7th 1906.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. J. Berry *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. F. Chetham *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Deft. go hence without day.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* [illegible] *the said fine of* [illegible] *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* [illegible]
*from* [illegible] *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 3719[illegible]

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charley Hawkins

CHARGE: adultery

No 3719 Monday May 7th 1906,

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Charley Hawkins *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L. D. Louthian *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars

L. D. Louthian
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Charley Hawkins *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the sheriff who will &

*and confine him in the jail of this County* [illegible]
*from* [illegible] *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Duffy

CHARGE: S. & H. Drunkard.
No 3?309 Tuesday May 8" 1906.
Judgment

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Duffy pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H. B. Wdeper and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defd. go hence without day.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Grant Leroy

CHARGE: Assault.
No 3?313 Wednesday May 9" 1906.
Judgment

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Grant Leroy pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Indpr — and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifteen Dollars

Forman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Grant Leroy the said fine of Fifteen Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3¼319

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. A. Neirman

CHARGE: Ab. Lar

No 3¼319 Friday, May "5" 1906.

Judgment

*THIS DAY, THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A. Neirman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. P. Linkenfelter *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defd. go hence without day.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 3¼3¼5

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Sarah Gratt

CHARGE: D. against O.

No 3¼3¼5 Thursday, May 10" 1906.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sarah Gratt *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. B. Walker *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty, and assess her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars

W. B. Walker, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Sarah Gratt *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being presently in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

May TERM, A. D. 1906. 135

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lucy Nesby

CHARGE: Assault

No 3737 Wednesday May 9" 1906.

NUMBER

Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lucy Nesby pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. C. Lewis and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars

R. C. Lewis
Foreman,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Lucy Nesby the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will.

and confine him in the jail of this County

from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bud McGinnis

CHARGE: Assy

No. 3738 Friday May 25" 1906.

NUMBER 3738

Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bud McGinnis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. McDougald and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five dollars.

C. McDougald
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Bud McGinnis the said fine of Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County

from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

136 May TERM, A. D. 1906,

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 37379

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jamie Davis

CHARGE: Collecting D C

No 37379 Wednesday May 9th 1906.

Judgement

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jamie Davis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ~~~~ and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $17.50

~~~~ Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Jamie Davis the said fine of $17.50 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 37332

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Dora Kratz

CHARGE: Sel. to C

No 37332 Wednesday May 9" 1906,

Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dora Kratz pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. F. Chastain and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars.

W. F. Chastain Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Dora Kratz the said fine of Ten ~~~~ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will.

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.
~~~~

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Rosa Freud

CHARGE: Sale & C
No 3733 Wednesday May 9" 1906.
Judgment

NUMBER 3733

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Rosa Freud pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. B. Walker and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Info. read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $1/75o

W. B. Walker
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Rosa Freud the said fine of $1/75o Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is Ready, present in open Court is plea-

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Margret Lapell

CHARGE: Sale. & C
No 3736 Wednesday May 9" 1906.
Judgment

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Margret Lapell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L. D. Lauthian and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Info. read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty & assess his punishment at a fine of $25 23

L. D. Lauthian
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Margret Lapell the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

May TERM, A. D. 1906.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 32357

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jess Calvin

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 32357 Wednesday May 23" 1906. Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jess Calvin pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. C. Gilstrap and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indict- read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 32369

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. R. N. Perkins

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 32369 Thursday May 24" 1906. Judgment

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, R. N. Perkins pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. McDougald and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Deft. go hence without day

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Minnie Helms

CHARGE: A. A. No 3237 Wednesday May 23" 1906. Judgment.

NUMBER 3237

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Minnie Helms pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. G. Smith and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of $25.00

J. G. Smith. Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Minnie Helms the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will.

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Grant Gray

CHARGE: Va No 3243 Wednesday May 23 "1906, Judgment.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Grant Gray pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. McDougald and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty & assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars.

C. McDougald Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Grant Gray the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

.....TERM, ..... A. D. 18.....

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....

*No.* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....

*No.* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

July TERM, A. D. 1906 141

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in July A. D. 1906 the same being the 2 day of July A. D. 1906. Term of this Court was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas. Present & presiding the Hon. R. T. McLean Co. Judge. Jas. D. McLean Co. Atty. R. L. Rogers Co. Clk. and J. J. Hines Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal docket of said Court.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. R. H. Pierce

CHARGE: A. A. No 37028 Wednesday Aug. 22" 1906. Judgment.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* R. H. Pierce *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. N. Gillespie *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indict *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty. R. N. Gillespie Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of their prosecution and that the Defdt. go hence without day.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Garfield Douglass

CHARGE: A. A. No 37400 Tuesday Aug. 21" 1906. Judgment

NUMBER .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Garfield Douglass *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00 & imprisonment for six months in the County Jail

R. N. Gillespie
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Garfield Douglass
*the said fine of* One Hundred Dollars *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of six months.
~~from~~ .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

July TERM, A. D. 1906.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Strickland

CHARGE: A. A.
No 3754 Tuesday aug. 7" 1906.
Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Strickland *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* John Strickland *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty.
John Kaiser Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, that the Defd. go hence without day,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Henry Williams & Minnie Keefer

CHARGE: Forg.
No 3538 Wednesday Aug. 8" 1906,
Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Henry Williams & Minnie Keefer *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. M. Dickey *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendants* guilty and assess their punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars each
H. M. Dickey Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendants* Henry Williams & Minnie Keefer
*the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendants* being present in open court are placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine them in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Eliza Foster

CHARGE: A. A. No 3755 Monday July 2nd 1906. Judgment

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Eliza Foster pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L. Bowman and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty" L. Bowman Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Deft. go hence without day

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bell Bryce + Frank Jones

CHARGE: A. A. No 3755 Tuesday Aug. 7th 1906. Judgment

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bell Bryce + Frank Jones pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. Nat Ramsey and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendants guilty + assess their punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars each. J. Nat. Ramsey. Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendants Bell Bryce + Frank Jones each the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars, and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendants being present in open Court are placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....
~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

144 251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C. July TERM, A. D. 1906.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. H. Erwin

CHARGE: For.
No 3768 Tuesday Aug. 21st 1906.
Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C. H. Erwin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. D. Forris *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $200.00

H. D. Forris
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* C. H. Erwin *the said fine of* Two Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Kelley

CHARGE: A. A.
No 3763 Monday Aug. 20th 1906.
Judgment

John Kelley

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* G. N. Chapman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

G. N. Chapman Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Deft. go hence without day.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

July TERM, A. D. 1906



THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jack Goldstein

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 3766 Monday Aug. 20" 1906.
Judgment.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jack Goldstein *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Roper *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* read *and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

It is thereupon ordered by the Court that the State of Texas, take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Deft. go hence without day.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* *and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Saul Dirks & Birdee Nash

CHARGE: Adult,
No. 3736 Thursday Aug. 23" 1906.
Judgment

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendants,* Saul Dirks & Birdee Nash *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L. P. Lowry Roper *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* read *and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendants* guilty and assess their punishment at a fine of $100.00 each.

L. P. Lowry. Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendants,* Saul Dirks & Birdee Nash *the said fine of* One Hundred each *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendants* being present in open Court are placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

July TERM, A. D. 1906,

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Dom Pickett

CHARGE: Assault

No 3474 Monday Aug. 6" 1906.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Dom Pickett *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* John Kaiser *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty. John Kaiser Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution & that the Defdt. go hence without day

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Sorah White

CHARGE: Theft.

No 3743 Tuesday Aug. 7" 1906.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sorah White *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* John Kaiser *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of one dollar & imprisonment for one day in the County Jail. John Kaiser Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Sorah White *the said fine of* One ~~Dollar~~ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of one day *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Chas Dallins } CHARGE: A. A. No. 37745 Tuesday Aug. 7" 1906. Judgment NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Chas Dallins *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* John Kaiser *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Evidence *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty & assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars, John Kaiser, Foreman,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Chas Dallins *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Harrison Simpson } CHARGE: A. A. No. 37761 Monday, Aug. 6" 1906. Judgment NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Harrison Simpson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* John Kaiser *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Evidence *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty & assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars, John Kaiser Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Harrison Simpson *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will.

*and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

July TERM, A. D. 1906,

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Chas Goldstein

CHARGE: Fighting

No 3779 Friday Aug. 24th 1906.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE, WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Chas Goldstein *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. A. Gillis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indr. *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty. C. A. Gillis Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution & that the Deft. go thence without day.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Henry Lucas

CHARGE: Fast Driving

No 3784 Friday Aug. 24th 1906.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Henry Lucas *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. D. Wilson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indr. *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty & assess his punishment at a fine of Five dollars.

W. D. Wilson Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant* Henry Lucas *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Henry Lucas *in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will confine and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sam Rubin

CHARGE: Assy
No 3779 Friday Aug. 24" 1906.
Judgment

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sam Rubin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. S. Dogan *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indict. *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty J. S. Dogan Foreman,

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution & that the Defd. go hence without day,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
F. F. Mayers

CHARGE: Vag. V.
No. 3780 Friday, Aug. 24" 1906.
Judgment

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* F. F. Mayers *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit* R. N. Gillispie *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indict. *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty. R. N. Gillispie Foreman,

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution & that the Defd. go hence without day.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

150 July TERM, A. D. 1906.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Gillian

CHARGE: Vag.

No. 3780 Friday Aug. 24th 1906.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Gillian *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L. P. Lowry *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty & assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars

L. P. Lowry, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Will Gillian *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Camilla Gustine

CHARGE: D. S.

No. 3806 Friday Aug. 24th 1906.

Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Camilla Gustine *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L. A. Whitely *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty & assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00

L. A. Whitely Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Camilla Gustine *the said fine of* Twenty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

July TERM, A. D. 1906.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Pasquall Learian

CHARGE: Theft.

No. 32810 Tuesday Aug. 21 " 1906.

Judgment.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Pasquall Learian *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Infer. *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Infer. *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One dollar & imprisonment for ten days in the County Jail

Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant* Pasquall Learian *the said fine of* One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of ten days *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. L. M. Johns

CHARGE: Theft.

No. 32830 Wednesday Aug. 22 " 1906.

Judgment.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* L. M. Johns *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. B. Hatch *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Infer. *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty & assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00

J. B. Hatch
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* L. M. Johns *the said fine of* One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

July TERM, A. D. 1906.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bob Davis

CHARGE: D. S.
No. 3784 Wednesday aug. 22nd 1906.
Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bob Davis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. M. Gillispie *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty & assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars.

R. M. Gillispie
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant* Bob Davis
*the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*
*from*

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Nelson Adcum

CHARGE: Theft
No. 3843 Thursday aug. 23" 1906.
Judgment.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Nelson Adcum *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty & assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00 & imprisonment for one day in the County Jail.

Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Nelson Adcum
*the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will.

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of one day and
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-14. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
N. M. Utter

CHARGE: D. to M.
No. 32845 Sunday, Aug. 28th 1906.
Judgment

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, N. M. Utter pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. W. Mollers and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty.

A. W. Mollers.
Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution & that the Deft. go hence without day.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo. Stockton

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 32887 Tuesday Aug. 28th 1906.
Judgment

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Geo. Stockton pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: D. B. James and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty & assess his punishment at a fine of $75.00

D. B. James
Foreman,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Geo. Stockton the said fine of Seventy Five ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

July TERM, A. D. 1906.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Burt Adams

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 35878 Tuesday Aug. 28th 1906.
Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Burt Adams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* G. E. Sandy *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty. G. E. Sandy. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Deft. go hence without day.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bob Hopkins

CHARGE: Theft.
No. 35880 Wednesday Aug. 29th 1906.
Judgment.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bob Hopkins *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. N. Briggins *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty & assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars & imprisonment for four days in the County Jail.

J. N. Briggins
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Bob. Hopkins *the said fine of* Ten ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....
*No.* .....

*NUMBER* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....
*No.* .....

*NUMBER* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

*NUMBER* .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....
*No.* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

*NUMBER* .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....
*No.* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

September TERM, A. D. 1906

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in September A D 1906 the same being the 3rd day of September A D 1906, there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas, Present and presiding the Hon. R F Milam Co Judge, Jeff D McLean Co Atty R L Rogers Co. Clerk and J F Hones Sheriff of Tarrant Co. Texas, when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court,

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jno Dennis

CHARGE: Permitting
No. 32323 Friday Sept 21" 1906
judgement

NUMBER 32323

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jno Dennis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J B McBardsdale *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Hundred Dollars and Ninety days imprisonment in the County Jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jno. Dennis *the said fine of* Five Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of Ninety days
~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Carl Hargrove

CHARGE: A A
No. 32884 Friday Sept 14" 1906
Judgement

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Carl Hargrove *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Jud Allen *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Carl Hargrove *the said fine of* Twenty-five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

September TERM, A. D. 1906

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Smith

CHARGE: A A
No. 32887 ~~Monday~~ Thursday Sept 13" 1906
Judgement

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Alex Henry *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Carl Fargrone *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court, is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
D C Smith

CHARGE: A A
No. 32889 Friday Sept 14" 1906
Judgement

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* D C Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J R James *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ~~Information~~ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* D C Smith *the said fine of* Twenty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff. who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-14. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Fred Dray

CHARGE: L. A.
No. 32892 Friday Sept 21" 1906
Judgement

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Fred Dray pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J.P. Winn and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not Guilty'

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J J Johnson

CHARGE: Theft
No. 32909 Friday Sept 14" 1906
Judgement

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J J Johnson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J J Johnson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty T R James-foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

September TERM, A. D. 1906

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ed Jacobs

CHARGE: Theft

No. 3293 Friday Sept 14" 1906 Judgement

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ed Jacobs pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Jud Allen and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars and five days in the County Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ed Jacobs the said fine of Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court, is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of five days. ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. A Canto

CHARGE: U Have W. O. Consent

No. 3292 Wednesday Sept 19" 1906 Judgement

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, A Canto pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, A Canto the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court, is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Irwin

CHARGE: A A
No. 32926 Friday Sept 14" 1906
Judgement

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Irwin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Geo. N. Norton *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jim Irwin
*the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court, is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jeff Jackson

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 32927 Tuesday Sept 4" 1906
Judgement

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jeff Jackson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. B. Garner *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Info. *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Jeff Jackson
*the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

162 September TERM, A. D. 1906

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Chas Hart, alias Chas Oliver

CHARGE: Theft
No. 32943 Saturday Sept 15" 1906
Judgement

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Chas Hart alias Chas Oliver *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J R James *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Infor. *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars and Six months in the County Jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Chas Hart alias Chas Oliver *the said fine of* Twenty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court, is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of Six months
~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Cumulative Judgement; and imprisonment in Jail for the full period are paid in full. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 32944 said Defendant stand committed to the County Six months and until the fine and costs in this Case

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
F H Shannon & J B Smith

CHARGE: M. C.
No. 32961 Thursday Sept 20" 1906
Judgement

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* F H Shannon & J B Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H M Dickey *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Infor. *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* J B Smith *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-4. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Geo Hall

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 32973 Wednesday Sept 19" 1906 Judgement

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Geo Hall pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Chas Hoag and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and Thirty days in the County Jail.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Geo Hall the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court, is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of Thirty days ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mark Casey

CHARGE: D A

No. 32975 Thursday Sept 20" 1906 Judgement

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mark Casey pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. C. Henly and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine Fifty Dollars.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Mark Casey the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

September TERM, A. D. 1906

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Rich Britt

CHARGE: Theft
No. 32976 Wednesday Sept 19" 1906
Judgement

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Rich Britt *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J D Winn *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Infor *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not Guilty J D Winn-foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Thos Burke

CHARGE: Theft
No. 32984 Thursday Sept 20" 1906
Judgement

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Thos Burke *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* T B James *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Infor *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not Guilty T B James-foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-4. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Marshall Harris

CHARGE: Assault No. 33000 Thursday Sept 20th 1906 Judgement

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Marshall Harris pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J B Winn and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at fifteen days in the County Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Marshall Harris the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of Fifteen days ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Tom Thomas

CHARGE: A A No. 33002 Thursday Sept 20th 1906 Judgement

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Tom Thomas pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J F Norman and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars and Thirty days in Jail.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Tom Thomas the said fine of Fifty ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of Thirty days ~~from~~ ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

September TERM, A. D. 1906

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Henry Jones, alias Dupree

CHARGE: A A
No. 32993 Friday Sept 21" 1906
Judgement

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Henry Jones, alias Dupree pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J W Wilkes and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Infor read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars!

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Henry Jones alias Dupree the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County
~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Frank Johnson alias Hardy

CHARGE: A A
No. 32993 Friday Sept 21" 1906
Judgement

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Frank Johnson alias Hardy pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J W Wilkes and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Frank Johnson alias Hardy the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County
~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-4. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Fritz Kruner

CHARGE: Assault
No. 33029 Friday Oct 5th 1906
Judgment

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Fritz Kruner pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Geo Swaney and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Infor read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of five dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Fritz Kruner the said fine of five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Foster

CHARGE: D to M
No. 33030 Wednesday Oct 3rd 1906
Judgement

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Foster pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W J Tackabery and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indict read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not Guilty

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defd go hence without day

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

September TERM, A. D. 1906

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Moore

CHARGE: A A
No. 33059 Thursday Oct 4" 1906
Judgement

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Moore *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C Mc Dougald Jr for *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Infor *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

C Mc Dougald - Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defft go hence without day

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Jones

CHARGE: Wag
No. 33076 Wednesday Oct 3" 1906
Judgement

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Jones *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... Infor *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of five dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Will Jones *the said fine of* Five Dollars *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ..... ~~*from*~~ ..... ~~*and*~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Judgement TERM, A. D. 1906 169

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Tom Brigsby et al

CHARGE: Adultery No. 33084 Tuesday Oct 30" 1906 Judgement

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Tom Brigsby et al pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W Wells et al and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Adultery read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars

NUMBER

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Tom Brigsby the said fine of One Hundred Dollars Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. R M Patrick

CHARGE: Embez No. 33116 Monday Oct 29" 1906 Judgement

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, R M Patrick pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L L White and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Embez read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not Guilty L L White Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Deft go hence without day.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bill Thompson

CHARGE: Exhibiting
No 3238

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bill Thompson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W D Trimble *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty, *and assess his punishment at a fine of* $50.00 *and* Ninety days in Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Bill Thompson
*the said fine of* $50.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Being present in open court is placed in custody of the Sheriff, who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Z H Chambers

CHARGE: Cards
No 3263

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Z H Chambers *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W C Henry *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Info *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty, *and assess his punishment at a fine of* Ten Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Z H Chambers
*the said fine of* $10.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Being present in open court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Wm Robinson

CHARGE: Open Sunday

No 33266

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Wm Robinson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Jos. S. Collier *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indict. *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of $50,00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Wm Robinson *the said fine of* $50.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Being present in open court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Hill Ford

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 3327X

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Hill Ford *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Jos S Collier *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indict *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of $100 cc and 30 days in jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Hill Ford *the said fine of* $100 cc *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Being present in open court is placed in the custody of the sheriff, who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 33846

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Tom Davis

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 33846 May 25" 1907.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Tom Davis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L. C. Schuster *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of one hundred Dollars.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Tom Davis *the said fine of* one hundred ——— *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ——— ~~from~~ ——— ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 33868

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Elmer Rogers

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 33868 4/13/07.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Elmer Rogers *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ——— *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ——— *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment a fine of Twenty five Dollars, and one month in the county Jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Elmer Rogers *the said fine of* Twenty five ——— *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court, is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ——— ~~from~~ ——— ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of one month.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Pete Bagan

CHARGE: Theft
No. 3407 May 25th 1907

NUMBER 3407

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Pete Bagan *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* herein, *and a jury, to-wit:* D. J. Mayfield *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and ten days in the county Jail, D J Mayfield, Foreman,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Pete Bagan
*the said fine of* Twenty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court, is placed in
Custody of the Sheriff, who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*
and for the full period of ten days.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. T Crews

CHARGE: Ag. assh
No. 3405 May 25th 1907

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C. T. Crews *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* herein, *and a jury, to-wit:* A. K. Negon *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars,

A. K. Negon

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* C. T. Crews
*the said fine of* Twenty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed
in Custody of Sheriff Who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ *and* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 34087

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mattie Severance

CHARGE: Assault

No. May 7th 1909

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mattie Severance *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of Fifteen Dollars,

A R Wagner. Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mattie Severance *the said fine of* Fifteen ——— *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

*~~and~~ confine ~~him~~* her *in the jail of this County* ...

*~~from~~* ... *~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 34109

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. E. Clark

CHARGE: Ent.

No. May 25th 1909

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. E. Clark *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A R Wagner ... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information ... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and Ten days in the County Jail,

A R, Wagner, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* J. E. Clark *the said fine of* Twenty five ——— *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open court, is placed in custody of the sheriff who will,

*~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County* ...

*~~from~~* and for *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of Ten days,

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Otis Hamilton

CHARGE: Theft
No. 3418 June 1" 1907

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Otis Hamilton *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W.H. Ennis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00 and six months in the county jail,

W.H. Ennis

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Otis Hamilton *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open court is placed in custody of the sheriff. who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*
and for the full period of six months,

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ella Johnson

CHARGE: Theft
No. 3419 May 31" 1907

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ella Johnson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Information *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars and one day in the County jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Ella Johnson *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Ella Johnson being present in open court is placed in custody of the sheriff, who will,

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*
and for the full period of one day,

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 24703

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Poan Jennings

CHARGE: Pistol

No. June 7" 1907

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Poad Jennings pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: C B Brown and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of one hundred dollars

C B Brown, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Poan Jennings the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 24291

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ballow Shaw

CHARGE: Pistol

No. June 14" 1907

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ballow Shaw pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: J A Chapman and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of one hundred dollars

J A Chapman, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Ballow Shaw the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Nat Klein

CHARGE: Ag. assn

No. 3413½ May 16" 1907

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Nat Klein *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. C. Ingram *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty And assess his punishment at fine of twenty five dollars.

J. C. Ingram, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Nat Klein *the said fine of* twenty five ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court was placed in custody of the sheriff who will

him

*and confine ~~him~~ in the jail of this County* .....

*~~from~~* ..... *~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

CHARGE: .....

No. .....

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

July TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered that on July 1st 1907 there was began and holden a regular term of of the County Court of Soraut Co. Texas. Circuit and presiding; Hon. Jno. Sewell, Judge, R. L. Roy Co. attorney. L. Wood sheriff and Jno. Baker Co. Clk. when the following proceedings were had upon the criminal docket of said court.

NUMBER 34386

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Arch Franklin

CHARGE: Ag. assault.

No. July 15th 1907

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Arch Franklin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty-five dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Arch Franklin *the said fine of* Twenty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Being present in open Court is placed in the hands of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 34370

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. O. L. Martin

CHARGE: Pistol

No. July 16th 1907

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* O. L. Martin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A Watson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* O. L. Martin *the said fine of* one hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Being present in open Court is placed in the hands of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Edgar Oneal

CHARGE: Ag. assh
No. July 20th 1904

NUMBER 34356

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Edgar Oneal pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: O St Rivers and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars and thirty days in the county Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Edgar Oneal the said fine of Twenty five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Being present in open Court is placed in the hands of the Sheriff, who will and confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of thirty days, from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
B T Aleihl

CHARGE: Resisting an Officer
No. July 19th 1904

NUMBER 34379

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, B T Aleihl pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J C Weston and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, and that the state of Texas, tosc nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant go hence without day.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 34377

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. R A White

CHARGE: Pistol

No. July 16" 1907

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* R. A. White *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J M Scott *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, and that he go hence

without day.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 34375

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Edna Trueblood

CHARGE: .....

No. .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Edna Trueblood *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A west in on *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, and that defendant go hence without day

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. C. Epps

CHARGE: Open Sunday

No. ..... July 10th 1907

NUMBER 34351

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. C. Epps pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Geo Hallmon and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, W. C. Epps the said fine of twenty dollars Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Being present in open court is placed in the hands of the sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo Peace

CHARGE: Card

No. 34318 July 16th 1907

NUMBER .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Geo Peace pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Ja Bellew and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of ten dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Geo. Peace the said fine of ten dollars Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Being present in open court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3430[illegible]

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
R. L. Cribbs

CHARGE: Dis. Horse
No. July 6" 1907

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* R. L. Cribbs *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, and that defendant go hence with out day,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 3430[illegible]

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs F. A. Rainey

CHARGE: Dis. Horse
No. July 19" 1907

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs F. A. Rainey *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. H. Rivers *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, and that defendant go hence with out day

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mattie Oliver (alias) Mattie Lee

CHARGE: Fornication
No. 3451 Aug. 7" 1907

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mattie Oliver (alias) Mattie Lee pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Inchargé herein, and a jury, to-wit: John Yancey and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, and that defendant go hence without day,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Allie Carter

CHARGE: Ag. assh
No. 3450 Aug. 9" 1907

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Allie Carter pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H. Stowe and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Allie Carter the said fine of Twenty fine Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will.

and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 34500

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Tom Adams

CHARGE:
No.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Tom Adams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Tom Jackson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars and thirty days in the county Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Tom Adams *the said fine of* Twenty five ——— *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*
and for the full period of thirty days,

---

NUMBER 34480

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
F. Lee Forest

CHARGE: ag. asst
No.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* F. Lee Forest *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L. M. McDougall *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* F. Lee Forest *the said fine of* Twenty five ——— *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
H.N. Muddough

CHARGE: Ag. assh
No. 3446 Aug. 7" 1907

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* H.N. Muddough *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit,* Tom Jackson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* H.N. Muddough *the said fine of* Twenty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Being present in open court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Franc Valvosa

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 3446 Aug. 7" 1907

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Franc Valvosa *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Wheeley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Franc Valvosa *the said fine of* One hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Being present in open court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 34337

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Tom Smith

CHARGE: Assault

No. Aug. 9th 1907.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Tom Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* John Ward *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Tom Smith *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County from ... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 34089

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. F. Batsell

CHARGE: Assault

No. Aug. 10th 1907

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. F. Batsell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. M. Dongal *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* J. F. Batsell *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Being present in open court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County from ... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. C. E. Coop

CHARGE: assault No 3458 Monday Aug. 19" 1907. Judgment NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C. E. Coop *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. H. Rivers *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $75.00

W. H. Rivers, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* C. E. Coop *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. L. MacKitt

CHARGE: Swindling No 3475 Wednesday Aug. 21 "1907. Judgment NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. L. MacKitt *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* O. W. Hagood *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty. O. W. Hagood, Foreman

It is thereupon ordered & decreed by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution & that the defft. go hence without day.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

188 July TERM, A. D. 1907.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Calvin Boone } CHARGE: Ab. Law, No. 3454 Tuesday Aug. 20th 1907, Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Calvin Boone *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. E. Sheppard *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty, It is therefore ordered and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of the prosecution + that the Deft. go hence without day,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ~~the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bill Ainsley } CHARGE: A. A. No. 3450 Tuesday Aug 20th 1907, Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bill Ainsley *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. C. Henry *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty + assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00

C. C. Henry, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Bill Ainsley *the said fine of* Fine *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ..... ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

July TERM, A. D. 1907. 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Leo Dement

CHARGE: Theft.
No. 3456 Mondon Aug. 19" 1907,
Judgment

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Leo Dement *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. C. Henry *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty & assess his punishment at a fine of $75.00 & imprisonment for 30 days in the Co. Jail
C. C. Henry, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Leo Dement
*the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*
being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff. who will
~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of 30 days
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Cleveland Marks

CHARGE: assault.
No. 3482 Mondon Aug. 19" 1907,
Judgment

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Cleveland Marks *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit,* O. N. Head *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty, O. N. Head. Foreman.
It is therefore ordered and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of their prosecution & that the Defft. go hence without day.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,*
*the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

July TERM, A. D. 1907.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L. J. Shoop

CHARGE: Assault
No 3480 Wednesday Aug. 21 "1907.
Judgment

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* L. J. Shoop *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. H. Reeves *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, W. H. Reeves Foreman,

It is therefore ordered and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of her prosecution & that the Deft. go hence without day.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Aden

CHARGE: S. S.
No 3467 Wednesday Aug. 21 "1907,
Judgment,

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Aden *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. H. Burd *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty & assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00 & Imprisonment for 60 days in the County Jail.

W. H. Burd Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Jim Aden
*the said fine of* One Hundred ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court it is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ for the full period of 60 days
~~from~~ ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jim Blackman

CHARGE: ~~3461~~ S. S. No 3446 Thursday Aug. 22" 1907. Judgment NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Blackman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. Kelly *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty & assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00 & imprisonment for 60 days in the County Jail

R. Kelly. Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jim Blackman *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of 60 days ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. C. J. Crews

CHARGE: A. A. No 3403 ~~Assault~~ July 16" 1907. Judgment NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C. J. Crews *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. A. Beazley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty & assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 & imprisonment for 30 days in the County Jail.

J. A. Beazley Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* C. J. Crews *the said fine of* Twenty four *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of 30 days ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

September TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered that on Sept. 2" 1907 there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarant County Texas, Present and presiding Hon. John L. Terrell, Co Judge, R E. L. Roy, Co. Dist. Atty pro T. Wood, and J. O. Dyer Co Clerk, when the following proceedings were had to wit;

NUMBER 34743

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J R Barry

CHARGE: Theft

No. Sept. 11" 1907

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J R Barry pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. H. Wyatt and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ~~Information~~ read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a ~~fine of~~ Sixteen months in the County Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J. R. Barry the said fine of ~~J. R. Barry~~ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

Being present in open Court is placed in custody of the sheriff who

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Sixteen months,

NUMBER 34744

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. E. W. Fisher

CHARGE: Theft

No. Sept. 11" 1907

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, E. W. Fisher pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. N. Moon and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Info read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty at a fine of Ten dollars and sixty days in the county jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, E. W. Fisher the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

Being present in open Court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Sixty days,

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Gunn

CHARGE: Theft

No. 34667 Sept 4th 1907

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Gunn *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Just McGoop *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty And assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars and ten days in Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* John Gunn *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....

*from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of ten Days.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. B. P. Pike

CHARGE: Ag. Assault

No. 34657

Sept 5th 1907.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* B. P. Pike *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. W. Schuler *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty at a fine of Twenty five Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* B. P. Pike *the said fine of* Twenty five ~ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....

*from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 34658

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Roy Aden

CHARGE: No License

No. Sept 5th 1907

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Roy Aden pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. Burnett Collins and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Depos read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty It is therefore ordered by the Court that defendant go hence without day

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 34674

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Miller

CHARGE: Resisting an Officer

No. Sept 13, 1907

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Melley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: Wilhoit and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant go hence without day.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J W Reed

CHARGE: Open Sunday
No. 34644
Sept 6th 1907,

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J W Reed *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J W Schuler *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant go hence with out day

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ... *the said fine of* ... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ... *from* ... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Joe Purvis

CHARGE: Cards,
No 34857 Oct. 30th 1907

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Joe Purvis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A B. Hartshorn *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of Thirty dollars.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Joe Purvis *the said fine of* Thirty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Joe Purvis being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

*~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County* ... *from* ... *~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3487

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Slogle

CHARGE: Theft

No. Oct 10th 1907

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Slogle *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Wm Bryce *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty. It is therefore ordered by the court the the defendant go hence, without day, and that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas ~~do have~~ and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 3488

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Chas Hailey

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. Oct 10th 1907

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Chas. Hailey *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Wm Bryce *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty. It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence with out day, and that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sam Slaughter

CHARGE: Adultery
No. 34877 Oct 9" 1907

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sam Slaughter *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Wm Boyce *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty." It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence with out day, and that the State of Texas Take nothing by reason of this prosecution.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J F & J. H. Yates

CHARGE: Al. Lang.
No. 34885 Oct. 28" 1907.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J F & J H Yates *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* B B Shirley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Under *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendants Guilty and assess their punishment and each of them at a fine of Five dollars.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* J. F. & J. H. Yates and each of them *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine* ~~him~~ them *in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 34861

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Don Sulley

CHARGE: Ag. Assl.
No. Oct 28" 1907

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Don Sulley *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Thorpe *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. H. Thorpe *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ____ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine thirty days in the county jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ____ *the said fine of* ____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Being present in open Court is placed in custy of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of 30 days. ~~from~~ ____ *and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 34801

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Lewis

CHARGE: Ag. Assl
No. Oct. 10" 1907

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Lewis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Thorpe *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. Nash *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ____ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant go hence with out day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ____ *the said fine of* ____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ____ *from* ____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
R. M Emerson

CHARGE: Emb.
No. 3478 Oct 27th 1909

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* R M Emmerson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Go Price *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty. It is therefore ordered by the court that defendant go hence with out day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

CHARGE: .....
No. .....

NUMBER .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Monday. November. 4" A.D. 1907.

Be it remembered that on the above date, there was begun and holden a regular term of the Hon. County Court, Grant and presiding. Hon. John L. Terrell Co. Judge. Hon. R.E.L. Roy. Co. Attorney. Hon. T. J. Wood, Sheriff, and Hon. J.W. Aker Clerk of the Co. Court. when the following proceedings were had, to wit:

NUMBER 34931

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Rob Black

CHARGE: Theft

No. November. 7" 1907.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Rob Jasper *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Wm Shamper *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00 and one day in the County Jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Rob. Black *the said fine of* One ——— *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Being present in open Court, is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ——— *from* ——— ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.* and for the full period of one day.

NUMBER 34978

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J G Byers

CHARGE: Sell Morphine & Cocaine

No. Nov. 6" 1907.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J G Byers *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. Chapman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ——— *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* J. G. Byers *the said fine of* Twenty five ——— *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Being present in open Court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ———

~~from~~ ——— ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-4. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. Gonnon

CHARGE: Removing in Gambling House
No. 34843 Nov. 6th 1907

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. Gonnon pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Dan Cook and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant go hence without day,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Cornelius Dinks

CHARGE: Removing in Gambling House,
No. 34844 Nov. 6th 1907,

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Cornelius Dinks pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E S Delevage and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, it is therefore ordered that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence with out day.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 34937

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. O. McBeth

CHARGE: Ag. asst.

No. Nov. 7th 1907.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C O McBeth *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Don Cook *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Info matter *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, it is therefore ordered that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant go hence without day,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
.....

CHARGE: .....

No. .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs. E. C. Brown

CHARGE: Bawdy House
No. Nov. 16th 1907.

NUMBER 34795

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs E. C. Brown *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E T Dunnell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty. It is therefore ordered by the court, that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant go hence without day.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Aacadio dela Rosa

CHARGE: Ag. asst.
No. .....

NUMBER 34931

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Aacadio dela Rosa *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. B. Love *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty. It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant go hence without day.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 2497

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Arcadio de la Rosa

CHARGE: Pistol
No. Nov. 13th 1907.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Arcadio de la Rosa *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. Deese *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas, take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant go hence without day.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 2493

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
O. T. James

CHARGE: Ag. Asst
No. Nov. 7th 1907

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* O. T. James *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. R. Looney *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant go hence without day;

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. W. Kreburn

CHARGE: Theft
No. 34938 Nov. 14" 1907

NUMBER 34938

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. W. Kreburn pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. H. McPherson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. W. Burney

CHARGE: Selling Impure Milk
No. 35004 Nov. 22" 1907

NUMBER .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, C. W. Burney pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H. Brather and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant go hence with and day,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 35033

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
R. W. Kelley

CHARGE: Ent.
No. 35033 Nov. — 1907.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, R. W. Kelley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. B. Lanier and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty. It is therefore ordered by the court, that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant go hence without day.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dan O'Connell

CHARGE: Open Sunday
No. 35034 Nov. 22" 1907.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dan O'Connell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. B. Lanier and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty. It is therefore ordered by the court, that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant go hence without day.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Clarence Tolbert

CHARGE: Craps
No. 3-4781 Oct. 30" 1907.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Clarence Tolbert *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R J Carr *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollors.

R S Carr Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Clarence Tolbert *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Clarence Tolbert being present in open court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* ~~and~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Chas. Newman

CHARGE: Ab Larc.
No. 3-4901 Nov. 15" 1907.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Chas. Newman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Tolbert *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information, and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollors.

G H. Ward, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Chas. Newman *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Chas. Newman being present in open court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will,

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* ~~and~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 24986

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Estelle Carson

CHARGE: Ag. Asst

No. Nov. 13" 1907.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Estelle Carson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E J Cummell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the in-formation, and assess her punishment at a fine of $50 cc.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Estelle Carson *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Estelle Carson being present in open Court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will.

*~~and~~ confine ~~him~~* her *in the jail of this County*

*~~from~~* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 25081

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Robt. North

CHARGE: Theft

No. Nov. 13" 1907.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Robt North *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J E Lowe *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the in-formation and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and 10 days in jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Robt North *the said fine of* Twenty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Robt. North being present in open court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

*~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County*

*~~from~~* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of 10 days in jail.

TERM, A. D. 18



THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Wat McGee

CHARGE: Theft
No. 35045 Nov. 27" 1907.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Wat McGee pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and 30 days in jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Wat McGee the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Wat. McGee, being present is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will ~~confine him in the~~

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid,
and for the full period of 30 days in jail,

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Cofield

CHARGE: Theft.
No 35038 Nov. 12" 1907.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Cofield pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J B Tanner and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information, and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Will Cofield the said fine of Twenty five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Will Cofield being present in Court, is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

NUMBER 35008

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W.M. Robinson

CHARGE: Permitting Lewed Women in Saloon.
No. Dec. 6" 1907.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W.M. Robinson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Joe Coythers ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty. Joe Coythers, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered that the defendant go hence with out day, and that the state of Texas taked nothing by reason of this prosecution.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bud McGinnis

CHARGE: Sell. Without license
No 35094 Dec. 7" 1907.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bud McGinnis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W.E. Phelps, ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, W.E. Phelps Foreman.

It is therefore ordered that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant go hence with out day.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-14. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. O. Holloman

CHARGE: Theft.
No. Dec. 5" 1907.

NUMBER 35134

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. O. Holloman pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. E. Phelps and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, W. E. Phelps, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant go hence with out day.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sue Lee

CHARGE: Betting at Dominoes
No. 34849 Dec. 14" 1907.

NUMBER .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Sue Lee pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. Sallis and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information, and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten dollars,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Sue Lee the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

Sue Lee, being present in open court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ah. Sam

CHARGE: Betting at Dominoes
No. 34851 Dec. 14th 1907.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ah. Sam pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: T.A. Wiggins and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ah. Sam the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Being present in open court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will,

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Henry Hill

CHARGE: Sell without license
No. 35090 Dec. 7th 1907.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Henry Hill pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J.D. Blessing and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty dollars.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Henry Hill the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Being present in open court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C. ..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dave Merideth

CHARGE: Bill. with out license
No. Dec. 6" 1907.

NUMBER 35091

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Dave Merideth *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* N. M Pressley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty dollors, and 30 days in Jail. N.M. Pressley foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Dave Merideth *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Dave merideth being present in opin court, is placed in custody of the sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County from* and for the full period of thirty days. *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jess Calvin

CHARGE: Delinquency of Children
No 35109 Dec. 7th 1907

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jess Calvin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* N M Pressley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten dollars, and thirty days in jail, N.M. Pressley, foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Jess. Calvin *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Jess Calvin being present in open court is placed in Custody of the sheriff who will *and confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of Thirty days. *from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Dec. 9" 1907. Jail sentence suspended until futher ordro of the court.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 34834

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
May Wilson

CHARGE: Bawdy House
No. Nov. 15th 1907.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, May Wilson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. Farris and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess her punishment at a fine of Two-hundred dollars and twenty days imprisonment in the County Jail, J. E. Farris, Foreman,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, May Wilson the said fine of Two hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant May Wilson being present in open court is placed in custody of the sheriff whowill

and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County for the full period of Twenty days.
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 35148

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lem Duvall

CHARGE: Cards
No. Dec. 12th 1907.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lem Duvall pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. T. Castleberry and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment a fine of Ten dollars, J. T. Castleberry, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Lem Duvall the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Lem Duvall, being present in open court, is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County
from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-14. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

CHARGE: .....

No. .....

NUMBER .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

CHARGE: .....

No. .....

NUMBER .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

.....TERM, ..... A. D. 18.....

January. 6" 1908.

Be it remembered, that on this date, there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarant County, Texas, at the court House thereof, Present and Presiding the Hon. John L. Terrell, County Judge, R E L Roy, County attorney, Jno. Asher, County Clerk, and T. J. Wood Sheriff, when the following proceedings were had, to wit:

NUMBER 35073

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Abe Pettishmon

CHARGE: Aq. assl.

No. January. 9" 1908

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Abe Pettishmon pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. Holden and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, A. Holden foreman, It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the defendant go hence without day, and that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, .....
the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 35113

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Walter Hargrove

CHARGE: Pistol

No. Jany. 11" 1908.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Walter Hargrove pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty. It is therefore ordered by the Court that defendant go hence with out day, and that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, .....
the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Singer Smith

CHARGE: Ag. asst.

No. January 10th 1908.

NUMBER 35219

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Singer Smith pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Information and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the R. A. Bobo read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, R. A. Bobo, foreman, It is therefore ordered by the Court, that defendant go hence with our day, and that the ~~defendant~~ State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Singer Smith

CHARGE: Ag. asst.

No. January 10th 1908.

NUMBER 35220

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Singer Smith pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ~~Information~~ herein, and a jury, to-wit: ~~Information~~ J. M. Dallis and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, J. M. Dallis, foreman, It is therefore by the Court that defendant go hence with our day and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 35726

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Peter Comiff

CHARGE: Sell without license

No. January 9" 1908

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Peter Comiff pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Phil G Becker and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, Phil. G. Becker foreman, It is therefore ordered by the Court that defendant go hence with out day, And that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 35176

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ben Johnson

CHARGE: Theft

No. January 10" 1908.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ben Johnson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. A. Bobo and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $50 and one day in the County Jail.
R.A.Bobo, foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Ben Johnson
the said fine of Five ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ..... ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.
and for the full period of one day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Pat Hunter

CHARGE: Ag. Asst. No. 35187 Jany 10" 1908 NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Pat Hunter *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R A Bobo *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. R A Bobo, foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Pat Hunter *the said fine of* Twenty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Pat Hunter being present in open Court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ..... ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Katie May Brooks

CHARGE: Pistol No. 35757 Jany 8" 1908 NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Katie May Brooks *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ~~Information~~ J M Sallis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment (the county) at a fine of $100.00 and twelve months in jail. J. M. Sallis. foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Katie May Brooks *the said fine of* Two hundred ~~and~~ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Katie May Brooks, being present in open Court, is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine* ~~him~~ her *in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid,*

and for the full period of twelve months.

220

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 35754

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Katie May Brooks

CHARGE: A. Lang. No. 35754 Jany. 8th 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Katie May Brooks *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. Holden *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information, and assess her punishment at a fine of Five dollors, A. Holden, foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Katie May Brooks *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Katie May Brooks. being present in open Court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine* ~~him~~ her *in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ed. Gray

CHARGE: Carp. No. 35780 Jany. 10th 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ed. Gray *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Ed Gray *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Ed. Gray being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Margarette Anderson

CHARGE: Bawdy House
No. 35311 Feby. 4th 1908

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Margarette Anderson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Chas. Degumm and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, Chas Degumm, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Defendant go hence with our day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Maude Wells

CHARGE: Bawdy House
No. 35326 Feby. 4th 1908.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Chas E. Degumm M. Wells pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit Chas E. Degumm and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, Chas E. Degumm, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Defendant go hence with our day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 35369

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Maude Dodson

CHARGE: Abandonment of Child
No. Feby. 8th 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Maude Dodson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* herein, *and a jury, to-wit:* Chas E. Dequeen *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, Chas E Dequeen, foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 35218

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mack Townsend

CHARGE: Ag. Asst.
No. Feby. 7th 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mack Townsend *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. Hays *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, E Hays foreman.

It is therefor ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sam Mashcuskey

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 3539 Feby. 3" 1908.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sam Mashcuskey *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Chas Degunn, *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Info. Material *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, Chas Degnum, Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the court, that the defendant go hence with out day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Herb Simonson

CHARGE: Dice
No. 35363 Feby 4" 1908.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Herb Simonson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Gillis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars, Gillis, foreman,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Herb Simonson
*the said fine of* Twenty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Herb Simonson being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will,

*and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 35368

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Geo Jorden

CHARGE: Pistol

No. Feby. 3rd 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Geo Jorden *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* N P White *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of One hundred dollars + 30 days in the County Jail,

N. P. White foreman,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Geo. Jorden *the said fine of* One hundred ... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Geo Jorden being present in open Court, is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~*and confine him in the jail of this County*~~ ... ~~*from*~~ ... ~~*and*~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of thirty days,

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. .....

CHARGE: .....

No. .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ... pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ... herein, and a jury, to-wit: ... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* ...

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ... *the said fine of* ... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ...

*from* ... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Luke Oggs

CHARGE: Theft.

No 3535 July, 3rd 1908,

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Luke Oggs pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Information herein, and a jury, to-wit: B. F. Eden and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars and five days in the county Jail

B. F. Eden Foreman,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Luke Oggs the said fine of Twenty five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Luke Oggs being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

And for the full period of 5 days,

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Freeman Jaeston

CHARGE: .....

No. .....

NUMBER 3577

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Freeman Jaeston pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Nat Wilson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of one hundred dollars, Nat Wilson, foreman,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Freeman Jaeston the said fine of one hundred dollars, Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Freeman Jaeston being present in open Court, is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 35270

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Josh Adams

CHARGE: Resisting an Officer

No. Feby. 5" 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Josh Adams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Tillis ... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the in-formation, and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty-five dollars. D. Tillis foreman,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Josh Adams *the said fine of* Twenty Five ... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Josh Adams being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ...
*from* ... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
.....

CHARGE: .....

No. .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* ...

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ...
*the said fine of* ... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ...
*from* ... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-4. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

"March 3" 1908.

Be it remembered that on this "March 3" 1908 there was begun and holden a regular term of the Honorable County Court. Present and presiding Hon. John L Terrell County Judge, R.E.L Roy County Attorney, J. McCord Sheriff and J.R.O. Lee County Clerk when the following proceedings were had to-wit:

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Geo B. Lathom } CHARGE: Ag. Asslt No. 35334 March 7" 1908, NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Geo B. Lathom pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Jim Thetin and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty. Jim Thetin, foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence with out day and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Pollard } CHARGE: Theft No. 35404 March, 6" 1908, NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Pollard pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Geo Everidge and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, Geo Everidge foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence with out day, and that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Horace Woods

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 35357 March 7th 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Horace Woods *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J D Blessing *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, J D Blessing, foreman,
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Shaw

CHARGE: Ag. assh.
No. 35431 March 7th 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Shaw *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Dan Hackney *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, Dan Hackney, foreman,
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Governor Peace

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 35440 March 5" 1908

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Governor Peace *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E.J. Shaughnessy *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of one hundred dollars and thirty days in the County jail, E.J. Shaughnessy, foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Governor Peace
*the said fine of* One hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Governor Peace being present in open court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of thirty days.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo. Vaughn + Lillie Stewart

CHARGE: adultery
No. 35476 March. 5" 1908.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Geo. Vaughn + Lillie Stewart *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit* E.J. Shaughnessy *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendants Not Guilty E.J. Shaughnessy foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendants and each of them go hence without day, and that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,*
*the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. S. V. Enos

CHARGE: Theft

No. 35479 March 5" 1908

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* S. V. Enos *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. A. Blessing *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the in for-mation and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten dollors and Ten days in the County Jail J. A. Blessing. Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* S. V. Enos *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* S. V. Enos being present in open Court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.* and for the full period of Ten days

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ida Elrod

CHARGE: Theft

No. 35537 March 5" 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ida Elrod *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. P. Wallace *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the in for-mation assess her punishment at a fine of Ten dollors and Thirty days in the County Jail J. P. Wallace, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Ida Elrod *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Ida Elrod being present in open Court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will

*and confine* her *him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.* and for the full period Thirty days.

251. C-SR-14. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sam Jones

CHARGE: Sunday Selling
No. 3555 March 6" 1908.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Sam Jones pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Gilbreath and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of one hundred dollars and sixty days in the county jail, G. W. Everedge foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ~~G. W. Everedge~~ Sam Jones the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Sam Jones being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid, and for the full period of sixty days.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Oscar Dewitt

CHARGE: Crops.
No. 3559 March 9 1908

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Oscar Dewitt pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. L. Hockey and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty A. L. Hockey foreman,
It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence with out day, and that the State of Texas take Nothing by reason of this prosecution.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 35586

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Parish

CHARGE: Pistol
No. March, 20" 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Parish *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Defo Matchett Hockey *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty R. L. Hockey Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 35575

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mike Cassidy

CHARGE: Open on Sunday
No. March, 20" 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mike Cassidy *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. B. Buchanon *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, J. B. Buchanon, foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-4. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W.H. Brandford

CHARGE: assault
No. 3550 March 20th 1908

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W.H. Brandford pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: J.O. Bird and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Complaint read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, J.O. Bird foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence with out day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Lee Dillis

CHARGE: Fornication
No 3545 March 5, 1908.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jim Lee Dillis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant S Not Guilty, ——— foreman

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendants go hence without day and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 35366

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. O Robinson

CHARGE: Rec & Con. Stolen Property
No. March, 7" 1908.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. O Robinson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Evidence read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty. ..... Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the the court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, .....
the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 30564

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ed. Smith

CHARGE: Ab City
No. March 26" 1908.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ed Smith pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H H Ingram ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Complaint read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty. H H Ingram Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, .....
the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bessie Dillis

CHARGE: Ap Lcity
No. 35215 March 26" 1908.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bessie Dillis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Est Muller and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Complaint read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty Est. Muller, foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ed Carter

CHARGE: Craps.
No. 34750 March 20" 1908.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ed Carter pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. L. Slacky and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, A. L. Slacky, foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-⅛. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 34757

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mrs E Hardy

CHARGE: Bawdy House

No. March 20th 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs E Hardy *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ~~Information~~ R D Geary *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty R D Geary foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 35114

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bessie Ellis

CHARGE: Ab. City

No. March 26th 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bessie Ellis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Est. Miller *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Complaint *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Complaint and assess her punishment at a fine of $100,

Est. Miller, foreman,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Bessie Ellis *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

*~~and confine him~~ her in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-4. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Harry Shelton

CHARGE: Emb.
No 36637 Apr 18" 1908

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Harry Shelton pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Jesse Short and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, Jesse Short, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence with our day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
.....

CHARGE: .....
No. .....

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ..... pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant .....

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

May TERM, A. D. 18

Be it remembered that on the 6" day of May, 1908, there was begun and holden a regular term of the Honorable County Court. Present and presiding, Hon. John L. Terrell, County Judge, R. E. L. Bay County attorney, D. J. Wood Sheriff and Jno. Adee County Clerk, when the following proceedings were had to-wit;

NUMBER 35759

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mrs. Dorsey Siebers

CHARGE: Violating Local Option Law. No. May 6" 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs Dorsey Siebers *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. P. Buckley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty, A. P. Buckley foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 35750

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. O. H. Miller

CHARGE: Assault No. May 6" 1908

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* O. H. Miller *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Info Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. D. Greswell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty, J. D. Greswell, foreman

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of the prosecution.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Elgie Williams

CHARGE: Pistol
No 35679 May, 15 1908.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Elgie Williams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Ab Seely *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty, Ab Seely, foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence with out day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sadie Williams

CHARGE: Bawdy House
No. 35643 May, 28" 1908.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sadie Williams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. W. Arnold *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty, J. W. Arnold,

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18 _____

NUMBER 35593

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Earl Smith

CHARGE: Assp.
No. May. 30" 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Earl Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Jno. E. Arnold *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty, Jno. E. Arnold foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence with out day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____ *the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* _____ *from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 35544

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jennie Smith

CHARGE: Bawdy House
No. May. 28" 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jennie Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Jno. E. Arnold *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty, Jno. E. Arnold,

It is therefore ordered by the court that that defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* _____ *the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* _____ *from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-1/5. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
G. W Cettles

CHARGE: Selling to Minor
No. 35760 May. 6th 1908.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, G W. Cettles pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, G W Cettles the said fine of Twenty five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant G. W Cettles being present in open court, is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Harp Lewis

CHARGE: Theft
No. 35757 May, 6th 1908.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Harp Lewis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. D. Skinner and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information, and assess his punishment at a fine of one dollar and one day in jail.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Harp Lewis the said fine of One Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Harp Lewis being present in open Court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for the period of one day from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 35676

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Pearl Broody

CHARGE: A. A.

No. May. 8" 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Pearl Broody *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. W. Allison *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the information and assess her punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollors,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Pearl Broody *the said fine of* Twenty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Pearl Broody being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine* ~~him~~ her *in the jail of this County* .....

*from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 35591

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Al Waller

CHARGE: Assp

No. May. 30" 1908

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Al Waller *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. Labatt *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollors,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Al Waller *the said fine of* Twenty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Al Waller being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....

*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Bradley

CHARGE: Cards

No. 35548 May. 6" 1908. NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Bradley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Chard herein, and a jury, to-wit: D. L. Griswold and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten dollars.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, John Bradley the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant John Bradley being present in open Court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mike O'connell

CHARGE: Open after Midnight

No. 35555 May. 30" 1908. NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mike O'Connell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. Labatt and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information, and assess his punishment at a fine of One hundred dollars, and ninety days in the County Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Mike O'Connell the said fine of One hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Mike O'Connell being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of ninety days from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

CHARGE: .....
No. .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ..... pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant .....*

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, .....*
*the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County .....*
*from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

CHARGE: .....
No. .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ..... pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant .....*

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, .....*
*the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County .....*
*from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

July TERM, A. D. 1908



July 6" 1908

Be it remembered that on this day there was begun and holden a regular term of the Hon. County Court. Present and presiding Hon. John L. Dewell, County Judge, R.E.L. Ray County Attorney, T. J. Wood, Sheriff and Knox County Clerk, when the following proceedings were had, to wit:

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Geo Patterson ~~Boyden~~ } CHARGE: Theft. No. 3598 Aug. 6" 1908. NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Geo Patterson ~~Boyden~~ pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H C McKee and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty. H C McKee Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence with out day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jim Gladwell } CHARGE: Assault No. 3548 July 6" 1908 NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jim Gladwell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit: H C McKee and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, H.C. McKee Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence with out day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution,

9669

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ray Adair

CHARGE: Sunday opening
No. 3596 Aug. 5. 1908.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ray Adair pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L.D. Lonethin and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty. L.D. Lonethin Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Meyer

CHARGE: Nuisance
No. 3696 Aug 6" 1908.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Meyer pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L.D. Rogers and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, L.D. Rogers Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Cal Brisson

CHARGE: Trespassing
No. 35866 Aug 17 1908

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Cal Brisson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J.E. Arnold and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the complaint and assess his punishment at a fine of Five dollars,
J E Arnold, foreman,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Cal Brisson the said fine of Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Cal Brisson being present in open Court, is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L Adams

CHARGE: .....
No. 35678

NUMBER .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, L. Adams pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L D Lemmtrian and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, L D Lemmtrian, foreman,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, L Adams the said fine of Twenty five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant L. Adams being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mode Bailey

CHARGE: A A
No. 2613 Aug. 4 1908

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mode Bailey pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: J.E. Arnold and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, J.E. Arnold, foreman,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Mode Bailey the said fine of Twenty five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Mode Bailey being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County
~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Abe Patishman

CHARGE: Sell with out license
No. 3643 Sept 1st 1908

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Abe Patishman pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: B.C. Adams and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, B.C. Adams foreman, It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence with day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C. ..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Horace Wood

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 3636 Aug. 31" 1908,

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Horace Wood *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J G Shahan *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty. J.G. Shahan, foreman,
It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Clyde Malone

CHARGE: Sunday Selling
No. 3695 Sept. 1st 1908.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Clyde Malone *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. G. Shahan *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, J G Shahan, foreman.
It is therefore ordered by the court, that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
T. J. Sutherland

CHARGE: Bawdy House
No. 36181 Sept. 2" 1908.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, T. J. Sutherland pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to wit: J. J. Joyce and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, J J Joyce, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the Defendant go hence without State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, .....
the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bud Abbey

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 36135 Aug. 31 1908.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bud Abbey pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to wit: J. C. Shahan and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, J C Shahan, Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, .....
the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Miller

CHARGE: Sunday Selling
No. 36160 Aug. 31. 1908.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Miller pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. Joyce and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars. J. J. Joyce, foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Will Miller the said fine of twenty five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Will Miller being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jake Cohen

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 36154 Sept 2nd 1908.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jake Cohen pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. G. Shadow and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged of simple assault and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00
J. G. Shadow foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Jake Cohen the said fine of twenty five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Jake Cohen being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Eva Smith

CHARGE: Soliciting
No. 35874 Aug. 7th 1908

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Eva Smyth *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Wm. Phelps *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Complaint *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the complaint and assess her punishment at a fine of $5.00

Wm Phelps Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Eva Smith *the said fine of* Fifteen *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Eva Smith being present in open court, is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine her *in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mary Allen

CHARGE: Dis Orderly
No 35673 Sept. 2nd 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mary Allen *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. B. McCaulb *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Complaint *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the complaint and assess her punishment at a fine of $5.00

J. B. McCaulb, Foreman,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Mary Allen *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Mary Allen being present in open court is placed in custody of the Sheriff

her
and confine ~~him~~ *in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
D. Shelton

CHARGE: Theft.
No. 3626 Sept. 3rd 1908.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, D. Shelton pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J A Shackleford and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and fix his punishment at Six Months in jail. J A Shackleford foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, D. Shelton
the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant D. Shelton being present in open court, is placed in custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of six months
~~from~~ ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Tony Miller

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 3626 Sept. 2nd 1908.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Tony Miller pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J K Lopez and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty, J K Lopez, foreman.

It is therefor ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, .....
the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 36757

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. C. Cope

CHARGE: Dgwidling

No. Sept. 3rd 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C. Cope *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. Walker *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the in-formation and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00 and one day in jail.

R Walker, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* C. Cope *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* C. Cope being present in open Court he is placed in custody of the sheriff who will

*and ~~confine~~ confine him in the jail of this County* for the full period of One day *~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. .....

CHARGE: .....

No. .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ..... pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant .....*

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County .....*
*from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

.....TERM, .....A. D. 18.....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....

*No.*.....

NUMBER .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....

*No.*.....

NUMBER .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 36313

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ed. Davis

CHARGE: Pistol

No. Sept. 11" 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ed Davis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. L. Mack *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, J. L. Mack, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 36335

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Luke Taylor

CHARGE: A. A.

No. Sept. 10" 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Luke Taylor *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. L. Mock *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty. J. L. Mock, foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the court that defendant go hence without day, and the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
G. A. Franklin

CHARGE: Pistol
No. Sept. 18th 1908
NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, G. A. Franklin pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: L Gowin and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, L Gowin, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence forthwith and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs Jas. Henderson

CHARGE: A. G. Asst
No. Sept. 14th 1908.
NUMBER 36348

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs Jas. Henderson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: John Freeman and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, John Freeman, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the court that defendant go hence forthwith and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 35722

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Opal Kelley

CHARGE: Gaming, No. Sept. 11th 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Opal Kelley *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ____ *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Jno. Daggart *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Complaint, and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00

Jno. Daggart foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Opal Kelley *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Opal Kelley being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will,

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ____ *from* ____ *~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 35863

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. G. Gibson

CHARGE: Open Gambling, No. Sept. 17th 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. G. Gibson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. C. Stovall *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

H. C. Stovall foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* J. G. Gibson *the said fine of* Twenty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

J. G. Gibson being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ____ *from* ____ *~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. G. Gibson

CHARGE: Dominoes in Saloon

No. 35864 Sept. 17th 1908.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. G. Gibson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. P. McConnell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as Charged in the information

and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J. G. Gibson *the said fine of* Twenty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* J. G. Gibson being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....

*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lee Henderson

CHARGE: A. & Asst.

No. 36188 Sept. 10th 1908

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lee Henderson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* G. C. Cabonis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as Charged in the information

and assess his punishment at a fine three months

in the county jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Lee Henderson *the said fine of* Three Months in the County Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Lee Henderson being present in open Court is placed

in custody of the Sheriff who

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....

*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of three months.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER 26201

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Geo. Lindsay

CHARGE: Ag. Asst.

No. Sept. 10" 1908

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Geo. Lindsay pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: B. F. Fitch and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $75.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Geo. Lindsay the said fine of Seventy five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Geo. Lindsay being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~

~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 26209

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Aaron Walden

CHARGE: Theft.

No. Sept. 10" 1908.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Aaron Walden pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: D. W. Brooks and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information at one dollor fine and fifteen days in Jail,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Aaron Walden the said fine of One & fifteen days in Jail Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Aaron Walden being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~

~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Axed for the full period of fifteen days.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Dick Wright

CHARGE: Ag. asst. No. Sept. 10th 1908, NUMBER 3671V

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dick Wright pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: D. N. Brutis and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at thirty days in jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Dick Wright, the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Dick Wilson being present in open court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ..... ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Brown

CHARGE: Sept, 9th 1908. No. ..... NUMBER .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Brown pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. L. Mock and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information, and assess his punishment at a fine of $50 and 30 days in the County Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, John Brown the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant John Brown being present in open court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ..... ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of thirty days.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18____

NUMBER 26299

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Ellis et al

CHARGE: Dog: Affray
No. Sept. 17" 1908

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Ellis et al *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C E Brown *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Complaint *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the complaint and assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Will Ellis *the said fine of* One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Will Ellis being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* _____
~~from~~ _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 26337

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bill Williams

CHARGE: D. & D. asst.
No. Sept. 9" 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bill Williams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* B G Davis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 & Six months in the county jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Bill Williams *the said fine of* Twenty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Bill Williams being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* _____
~~from~~ _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*
and for the full period of Six Months in Jail.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ed Whitaker

CHARGE: Ab. Laug.
No. 30120 Oct. 10th, 1908.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ed Whitaker *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* B. H. Shepp *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, B. H. Shepp. foreman

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bud Abbie

CHARGE: Ag. asst.
No. 35232 Sept. 9th 1908

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bud Abbie *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. A. Gardner *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at 60 days confinement in the County Jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Bud Abbie *the ~~said fine of~~* ~~.....~~ *~~Dollars and~~ all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Bud Abbie being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

*~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.*
and for the full period of sixty days.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER 35893

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mabel Williams

No. CHARGE: Contributing to delinquency of Child,

Oct. 16th 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mabel Williams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* herein, *and a jury, to-wit:* W Warren *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, W Warren Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* *and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Felipe Lopez

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 36402 Oct. 16th 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Felipe Lopez *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* herein, *and a jury, to-wit:* W H Darwin *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $75.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Felipe Lopez *the said fine of* Seventy five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Felipe Lopez being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J E Cacker

CHARGE: Rec + Con Stolen Property
No. Oct. 16" 1908.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J E Cacker pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Jerry King and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, Jerry King foreman

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Chas Dowler

CHARGE: Theft
No. Oct. 16" 1908.

✓ NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Chas Dowler pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J M Sallis and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, J. M Sallis foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the state take nothing by reason of this prosecution

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18 _____

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 36573

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Francis Schlater

CHARGE: Practicing Medicine Without Registering.
No. _____

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Francis Schlater *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. T. Wilson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, W. T. Wilson foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____ *the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* _____ *from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 36411

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Burtland Haymon

CHARGE: Assault,
No. _____

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Burtland Haymon *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* _____ *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. H. Darwin *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, W. H. Darwin foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day and that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* _____ *the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* _____ *from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jess Sullivan

CHARGE: A. A.

No. Nov. 6" 1908.

NUMBER 3647

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jess Sullivan *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. A. Whiles *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, J. A. Whiles foreman

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. B. & Emaline Taylor

CHARGE: Ab Lang.

No. Nov. 6" 1908.

NUMBER 3650

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W.B. & Emeka Taylor *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M. F. McKinney *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* 3 not guilty, M. F. McKinney foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the court that the defendants go hence without, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 35649

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Virgil Gaunce

CHARGE: Ab Lang.

No. Dec. 9th 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Virgil Gaunce *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W B Hall *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, W B Hall Foreman,

It is therefor ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 35648

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Virgil Gaunce

CHARGE: Pistol

No. Dec. 8th 1908,

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Virgil Gaunce *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L H Sargent *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, L H Sargent Foreman

It is therefor ordered by the court that the defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Carrie Larkin

CHARGE: Bawdy House

No. Dec. 11th 1908

NUMBER 36362

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Carrie Larkin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L H Sargent *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess her punishment at Two hundred Dollars ($200.00) and twenty (20) days in jail

L H Sargent, foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Carrie Larkin *the said fine of* Two hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Carrie Larkin being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who

*~~and~~ confine* her *~~him~~ in the jail of this County* *~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of twenty days.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Wilson

CHARGE: Pistol

No. Dec. 11th 1908.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Wilson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Thomas Kirk *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Information *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00 and costs. Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* John Wilson *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* John Wilson being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who

*~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County*

*~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 36453

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Freemon Elkins

CHARGE: Theft.

No. Dec. 5th 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Freemon Elkins *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Information *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* N M Pressley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment 90 days in jail and a fine of $100.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Freemon Elkins *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Freemon Elkins being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of Ninty days.

---

NUMBER 36461

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. H E Gorth

CHARGE: Pistol

No. Nov. 7th 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* H E Gorth *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Information *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W I McKenney *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00 and 30 days in jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* H E Gorth *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* H E Gorth being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of 30 days.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18 _____

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
B. A. White

CHARGE: App. City Court

No. _____

NUMBER 36552.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* B. A. White *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* information *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. A. Wheeler *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00.
J. A. Wheeler, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* B. A. White
*the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* B. A. White being present in open court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Perry

CHARGE: _____

No. _____

NUMBER 36481.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Perry *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* information *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M. F. McElhaney *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at ~~of~~ two years in County jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Will Perry
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*
and for the full period of two years.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Indexed to here
6/9/09

NUMBER 36557

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Henry Hill

CHARGE: Assault
No. Dec 9th 1908.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Henry Hill *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Complaint *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L. Stidder *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Complaint *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty as charged in the complaint and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Henry Hill *the said fine of* Twenty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Henry Hill being present in open Court is placed in custody of the sheriff who

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 36666

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Willie Stewart

CHARGE: App. City Ab. L.
No.

*THIS DAY THIS CASE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Willie Stewart *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. L. Bolar *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in information and assess punishment at fine of $5.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* *the said fine of* $5.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Fred Elte

CHARGE: a.a.
No. 36702
Jan 12/1909

NUMBER 36702

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Fred Elte *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* herein, *and a jury, to-wit:* Jos Montgomery *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* read ~~and~~ *the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in information and assess his punishment at a fine of $75.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J.C. Johnson

CHARGE: Theft
No 36683
Jan. 12, 1909

NUMBER 36683

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J.C. Johnson *pleaded "~~NOT~~ GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Charge *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Charge *read and the Defendant's plea of ~~Not~~ Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty upon his plea of guilty and assess his punishment at 30 Days in Jail M.Z Johnson, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* be placed in the custody of the Sheriff. who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* *from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 36705

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. P. N. Prescott

CHARGE: Pistol

No.

Jan 13th, 1909

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, P. N. Prescott pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. J. Swiggett and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, as charged in the information and assess his punishment at Sentence in ~~jail~~ 30 days in Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 3666

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lonnie Johnson

CHARGE: Theft

No.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lonnie Johnson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. L. Bolar and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at imprisonment of 6 mos. in Co Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dick Bush

CHARGE: a.a.

No. Nov. 11th 1908

NUMBER 36638

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Dick Bush *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J.M. Sallis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* read *and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at $25.00 fine & 30 days in Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Annie Powell

CHARGE: Pistol

No. ~~Dec. Nov.~~ Dec. 10th 1908

NUMBER 36584

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Annie Powell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M.M. Pressley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged by information and assess her punishment at 30 days in Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 36391

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mike Cassidy

CHARGE: So

No. Jan 5-th 1909

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mike Cassidy *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 36308

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. R. W. Stevens

CHARGE: Da.

No.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* R. W. Stevens *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant*

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. A. J. Hamilton

CHARGE: Swindling

No. 36679

NUMBER 36679

January 7th 1909

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A. J. Hamilton *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. P. Patterson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ~~C~~ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J. P. Patterson

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....

*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Fred Elte

CHARGE: Assault.

No. 36701

NUMBER 36701

Jan 13, 1909

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Fred Elte *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

M. J. Smigger Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....

*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 36547

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
B J Maxwell

CHARGE: Trespassing

No. Jan 8—09

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* B.J. Maxwell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W.H. Harper *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ____ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

W.H. Harper
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ____ *the said fine of* ____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ____
*from* ____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 36560

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Jones

CHARGE: Theft

No. 36560

Dec. 8, 1908

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Jones *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ____ *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ____ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

Wm Brady
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ____ *the said fine of* ____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ____
*from* ____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-1-5. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. J. Brown Alias Bud Brown

CHARGE: Paying Poll Tax
No. 3605-6
1/16/09

NUMBER 3605-6

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. J. Brown Alias Bud Brown pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. C. Cantrell and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

R. C. Cantrell

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sam Miller

CHARGE: S. S.
No. 36374
Nov. 4, 1908

NUMBER 36374

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Sam Miller pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. J. McKenny and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

W. J. McKenny
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Chas. Owens

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 36727 March 4, 1909

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Chas Owens pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Complaint herein, and a jury, to-wit: Alex Hunter and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Complaint read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Complaint and Assess his punishment at a fine of $125.00 + 30 days in Jail.

Alex Hunter Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Chas. Owens the said fine of One Hundred Twenty five & no/00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Chas. Owens being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid,

and for the full period of Thirty days.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. L. V. Coin

CHARGE: A.A.

No. 36805 March 4, 1909

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, L. V. Coin pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Complaint herein, and a jury, to-wit: B. C. Badwin and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Complaint read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty as Charged in complaint "B. C. Bodwin Foreman"; It is therefore ordered by the Court That defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, ~~L. V. Coin~~ the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C. ..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lela Bates

CHARGE: Unlawful knife
No. 36827 March 10th 1909

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lela Bates pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Complaint herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. J. Wilson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Complaint read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty as Charged in complaint, A. J. Wilson Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that defendant go hence without day, and that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Carrie Larkin.

CHARGE: Bawdy House.
No. 36362. Thursday, Jan. 14th 1909.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Carrie Larkin pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Complaint herein, and a jury, to-wit: L. H. Largent and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Complaint read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty & assess her punishment at a fine of $200.00 & 20 days in Jail.
L. H. Largent Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Carrie Larkin
the said fine of Two Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Carrie Larkin being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County .....
from ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.
and for the full period of twenty days.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. T. Webber.

CHARGE: Disorderly House.
No. 36863. Thursday, April 1st 1909.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. T. Webber pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Complaint herein, and a jury, to-wit: Jno. A. Martin and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Complaint read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $200.00 and 20 days in jail.

Jno. A. Martin, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, W. T. Webber the said fine of Two hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant W. T. Webber bring present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of twenty days.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Carrie Larkin

CHARGE: Disorderly House.
No. 36868. Saturday, March 27th 1909.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Carrie Larkin pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Complaint herein, and a jury, to-wit: D. C. Haggart and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess her punishment at $200.00 fine and 20 days in jail.

D. C. Haggart, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Carrie Larkin the said fine of Two hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Carrie Larkin bring present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine her ~~him~~ in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of 20 days.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Harry Smith

CHARGE: Theft

No. 36888. Thursday, March 4th 1909.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Harry Smith pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H. E. Smith and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at fine of $1.00 & 1 day in Jail.

H. E. Smith, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Harry Smith the said fine of One ($1.00) Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Harry Smith being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of one day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jesse Mosley

CHARGE: Theft

No. 36916 Monday, March 22nd 1909.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jesse Mosley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. C. Russell and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in Information and assess his punishment at two years in Jail.

A. C. Russell, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Jesse Mosley the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Jesse Mosley being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

for the full period of two years.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Walter Butler

CHARGE: Ab. L.
No. 36919. Thursday March 25th 1909.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Walter Butler pleaded "~~NOT~~ GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S. N. Wilson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Charge read and the Defendant's plea of ~~Not~~ Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, ~~Find the Defendant~~ upon Defendants plea of guilty find him guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of

S. N. Wilson, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Newt Butler

CHARGE: Ab. L.
No. 36920. Thursday March 25th 1909.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Newt Butler pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S. N. Wilson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged by information and assess his punishment at fine of $5.00

S. N. Wilson, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Newt Butler the said fine of $5.00 Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Newt Butler bring present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—O. C. ..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. G. W. Grace. } CHARGE: Knucks
No. 36973. Wednesday, Mar. 24th 1909.
NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, G. W. Grace pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ~~~ and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in Information and assessed his punishment at a fine of $100.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, G. W. Grace the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant G. W. Grace being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will F. Coffee. } CHARGE: Violating Local Option Law
No. 36975 Saturday, March 27th 1909.
NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will F. Coffee pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. C. Russell and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 & 20 days in jail.

A. C. Russell, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Will F. Coffee the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Will F. Coffee being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.
and for the full period of 20 days.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Younger Dewberry

CHARGE: Pistol.
No. 37016. Thursday April 1st 1909.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Younger Dewberry pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. N. Shirley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information & assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00

J. N. Shirley, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Younger Dewberry the said fine of One hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Younger Dewberry being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Jenkins

CHARGE: Theft
No. 37052. Monday April 5th 1909.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Jenkins pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. E. Phelps and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00 & 90 days in Jail.

W. E. Phelps, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, John Jenkins the said fine of One hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant John Jenkins being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from and for the full period of 90 days ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Willie Smith

CHARGE: Rec. & Con. Stolen Property

No. 37038 Wednesday, April 7th 1909.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Willie Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. E. Phelps *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty as charged in Information and assessed punishment at $5.00 Fine & 10 days in Jail.

W. E. Phelps, Forman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Willie Smith *the said fine of* Five ~~~ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Willie Smith, bring present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* _____ *from* _____ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of 10 days.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. H. Holt

CHARGE: Pistol.

No. 36849 Saturday, April 3rd 1909.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. H. Holt *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Jno. A. Martin *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00.

Jno. A. Martin, Forman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* J. H. Holt *the said fine of* One hundred & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* bring present in open Court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* _____ *from* _____ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Chas Blas

CHARGE: Embezzlement

No 37114 April 12-1909

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Chas. Blas pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. T. Allen and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information & assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty {$50.00} Dollars & 30. days in Jail

"W. T. Allen Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Chas Blas the said fine of Fifty + no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Chas. Blas being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of 30 days.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W. L Cameron

CHARGE: Pistol

No 36776 April 14 1909

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. L Cameron pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. C. Paysinger and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information + assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred + no/100 {$100.00} Dollars

"E. C. Paysinger, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, W. L. Cameron the said fine of One Hundred + no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant W. L. Cameron being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. F. W. Taylor

CHARGE: Theft

No. 37261 NUMBER

May 26, 1909

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, F. W. Taylor pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Frank Riley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in information & assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00 & one day in Jail

Frank Riley, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, F. W. Taylor the said fine of One & no/00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant F. W. Taylor being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of one day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W. J. Cox

CHARGE: Ab. Language

No. 37269 NUMBER

May 28th 1909

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. J. Cox pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. A. Barclay and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ~~Information~~ read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

J. A. Barclay, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, W. J. Cox the said fine of Twenty five 25/00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant W. J. Cox being present in open court is placed in the custody of Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. S. L. Ballew

CHARGE: Vag.

No. 37291

May 26, 1909

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, S. L. Ballew pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. A. Barclay and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00 J. A. Barclay, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, S. L. Ballew the said fine of One & no/00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant S. L. Ballew being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. James Hunter

CHARGE: Theft

No. 37294

May 27" 1909

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, James Hunter pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S. N. Wilson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the information & assess his punishment at a fine of $200.00 & 10 days in Jail.

S. N. Wilson, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, James Hunter the said fine of Two Hundred & no/00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant James Hunter being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of 10 days.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Clarence Williams

CHARGE: Theft
No 3704

NUMBER

May 8" 1909

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Clarence Williams pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. B. Roberts and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $40.00 & 60 days Confinement in County Jail

J. B. Roberts Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Clarence Williams the said fine of Forty & no/00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Clarence Williams being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of 60 days

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Henry Higgins

CHARGE: assault
No 37265

NUMBER

May 19" 1909

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Henry Higgins pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. Palmer and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00

J. M. Palmer, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Henry Higgins the said fine of Five & no/00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Henry Higgins being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Joe Williams } CHARGE: A. a No 37204

May 19th 1909

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Joe Williams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Sam Redfern *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty of simple assault and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00

Sam Redfern, Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Joe Williams *the said fine of* Ten + no/00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Joe Williams being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....

~~from~~ ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Charlie Kurucar } CHARGE: A. a. No 37198

May 27th 1909

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Charlie Kurucar *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. A. Barclay *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty of simple assault as charged in the information assess his punishment at a fine of $15.00

J. A. Barclay, Foreman."

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Charlie Kurucar *the said fine of* Five + no/00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Charlie Kurucar being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....

~~from~~ ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Robt. Hale

CHARGE: Theft. No. 37178 May 21-09 NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Robt. Hall pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. J. Ballard and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00 + 60 days in Jail

A. J. Ballard, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Robt. Hall the said fine of Ten + no/00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Robt. Hall being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid. and for the full period of 60 days.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Sam Baker

CHARGE: Theft No. 37159 May 5th 1909 NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Sam Baker pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. B. Leatherman and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information And assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00 + 30 days in Jail.

R. B. Leatherman Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Sam Baker the said fine of Ten + no/00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Sam Baker being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid. and for the full period of 30 days

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18 _____

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Major Turner

No. 3714 6

CHARGE: Working on Sunday

May 22" 1909

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Major Turner *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. J. Ballard *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information + assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00

A. J. Ballard, Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Major Turner *the said fine of* Ten + no/ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Major Turner being present in open Court is placed in custody of Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* _____

~~from~~ _____ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Miller

No. 3741

CHARGE: Open Sunday + Selling without License

May 28 1909

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Mobler *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* T. J. Stemenberg *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty upon his plea of Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00

T. J. Stemenberg, Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Will Miller *the said fine of* Fifty + no/ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Will Miller being present in Open Court is placed in custody of Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* _____

~~from~~ _____ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Jones

CHARGE: Theft

No. 37094

May 19" 1909

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Jones pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. Palmer and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the information & assess his punishment at One day in Jail & $100 00 fine.

T. M. Palmer Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Will Jones the said fine of One hundred & no/00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Will Jones being present in Open Court is placed in the ~~his~~ custody of Sheriff who will

~~will~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and for the full period of one day. ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ed Jamerson

CHARGE: Dice

No. 37184

May 6" 1909

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ed Jamerson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. W. House and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $10. 00

J. W. House, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Ed Jamerson the said fine of Ten & no/00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Ed. Jamerson being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18 _____

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Leroy Carsey

CHARGE: Dice

No. 37186

May 6th 1909

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Leroy Carsey pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: O. L. Bibb and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in information and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00

O. L. Bibb. Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Leroy Carsey the said fine of Ten & no/00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Leroy Carsey being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County _____ ~~from~~ _____ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Sims

CHARGE: Dice

No. 37187

May 6th 1909

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Sims pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. B. Roberts and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in information & assess his punishment at fine $10.00

J. B. Roberts Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, J. B. Roberts the said fine of Ten & no/00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant J. B. Roberts being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County _____ ~~from~~ _____ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-14. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo. R. Turner

CHARGE: Sunday Selling
No. 37284
June 3. 1909

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Geo. R. Turner pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. J. Foster and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in information and assess his punishment at a fine of 25-00.
W. J. Foster, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Geo. R. Turner the said fine of Twenty Five and No/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Geo R. Turner being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County
from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Newt McGuire

CHARGE: Theft.
No. 37412
July 8" 1909

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Newt McGuire pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. F. Denny and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in information and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00 and 10 days in Jail.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Newt McGuire the said fine of Ten and no/00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Newt McGuire being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County
~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.
and for the full period of Ten days.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bob. Hammond

CHARGE: Open Sunday
No. 37420
July 10" 1909

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bob. Hammond *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. F. Denny *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in information and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Bob. Hammond *the said fine of* Twenty Five + no/100 ($25.00) *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Bob. Hammond being present in Open Court is placed in custody of Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. B. Kundsun

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 37446
July 9" 1909

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. B. Kundsun *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. A. Arnold *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in information and assess his punishment at a fine of $40.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* J. B. Kundsun *the said fine of* Forty + no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

J. B. Kundsun being present in Open Court is placed in custody of Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L McBrady

CHARGE: Theft
No. 37469
July 8" 1909

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, L McBrady pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Jno. E. Hanson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in information and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 10 days in Jail.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, L. McBrady the said fine of Twenty Five + no/00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

L McBrady being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Ten days /07

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Paul Utley

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 37391
July 8" 1909

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Paul Utley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H. F. Denny and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

H. F. Denny, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Paul Utley the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lewis Harris

CHARGE: Gambling

No. 37496

July 13, 1909

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lewis Harris pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. H. Fago and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in information and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

W. H. Fago, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Lewis Harris the said fine of Twenty five & no/100 —— Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Lewis Harris being present in Open Court is placed in custody of sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Scott Holden

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 37514

July 10th 1909

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Scott Holden pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. W. Hackney and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in information and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

J. W. Hackney, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Scott Holden the said fine of Twenty Five & no/100 —— Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Scott Holden being present in Open Court is placed in custody of sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Chas. Dean

CHARGE: Theft

No. 29532

July 27th 1909

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Chas. Dean pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: B. F. Houston and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in information and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00 + 10 days in Jail

B. F. Houston, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Chas. Dean the said fine of Ten + no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Chas. Dean being present in Open Court is placed in custody of Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid. and for the full period of 10 days.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. A. F. Farum

CHARGE: Ab. Lang

No. 29555

July 29th 1909

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, A. F. Farum pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: F. Westallison and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in information and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, A. F. Farum the said fine of Five + no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant A. F. Farum being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Indexed

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Henry Anderson

CHARGE: Theft.
No. 3770. Wednesday, Sept. 15th 1909.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Henry Anderson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. S. Carruthers and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $100 and 1 day in jail.

J. S. Carruthers, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Henry Anderson the said fine of $100 One Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Henry Anderson being present in open Court is placed in custody of Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid. and for the full period of one day.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Minnie Lewis

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 37636. Wednesday, Sept. 8th 1909.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Minnie Lewis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: = = = = and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars.

= = = =

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Minnie Lewis the said fine of $50 00/100 Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Minnie Lewis being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mike Cassidy

CHARGE: Selling without License.

No. 37627

Wednesday Sept. 22nd 1909

NUMBER .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mike Cassidy pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S. S. Perry and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in The Information and assess his Punishment at $500.00 fine and 10. days in Jail.

S. S. Perry, Foreman".

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Mike Cassidy the said fine of Five hundred $500.00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Mike Cassidy being Present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ..... ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full Period of 10 days.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charlie Blackwell

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 37428.

Saturday Sept. 18th 1909

NUMBER .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Charlie Blackwell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Ben Brooks and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in Information and assess his Punishment at a fine of $25.00.

Ben Brooks, Foreman".

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Charlie Blackwell the said fine of Twenty-five $25.00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Charlie Blackwell being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ..... ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Minnie Lewis

CHARGE: Nuisance.

No. 37245

Friday, Sept. 10th 1909.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Minnie Lewis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. H. Cabaniss and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Complaint read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged by complaint and assess her punishment at fine of $10.00.

J. H. Cabaniss, Foreman".

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Minnie Lewis the said fine of Ten & 10 00/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Minnie Lewis being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Roy Aden

CHARGE: Vagrancy.

No. 37787.

Friday, September 24th 1909.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Roy Aden pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: N. H. Perry and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Complaint read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Complaint and assess his punishment at a fine of $40.00.

N. H. Perry, Foreman".

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Roy Aden the said fine of Forty & no 100 & $40.00/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Roy Aden being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
P. L. Gibson

CHARGE: Violate Garbage Law.

No. 37457

Friday, September 17th 1909.

NUMBER .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, P. L. Gibson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. A. Baylees and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Complaint read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the complaint and assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00.

J. A. Baylees, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, P. L. Gibson the said fine of One $1.00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant P. L. Gibson being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....
~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Joe Marcella

CHARGE: Selling without License.

No. 37721

Thursday, September 9th 1909.

NUMBER .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Joe Marcella pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: O. L. Carter and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the 2nd count of the indictment and assess his punishment at a Hundred dollars $100.00 fine and 20 days in County Jail.

O. L. Carter, Foreman".

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Joe Marcella the said fine of One hundred & no/100 $100.00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Joe Marcella being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for the full period of 20 days
~~from~~ ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Clennon Mitchell

CHARGE: Malicious Mischief

No 37866

Wednesday. Oct. 13. 1909.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Clennon Mitchell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C W Polley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Complaint read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and Assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00

C. W. Polley, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Clennon Mitchell the said fine of Fifty ($50.00) Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Clennon Mitchell being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Cornelius People

CHARGE: A. A.

No 37646

Thursday Sept. 9, 1909

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Cornelius People pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H. E. Valentine and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Complaint read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the information and assess her punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 30 Days in Jail.

H. E. Valentine, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Cornelius People the said fine of Twenty Five ($25.00) Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Cornelius People being present in open court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Thos Gilligan

CHARGE: Selling Whiskey Without License

No. 27386

NUMBER

Wednesday August 4, 1909

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Thos Gilligan *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Complaint *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a find of $50.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Thos Gilligan *the said fine of* $50.00/ Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Thos Gilligan being present in person in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* *from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. L. Stephenson

CHARGE: Abusive Language

No. 27317

NUMBER

Thursday September 30. 1909

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. L. Stephenson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. J. Foster *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Complaint *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars

J. J. Foster, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* J. L. Stephenson *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* J. L. Stephenson being present in person in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* *from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Albert Kagei

CHARGE: Selling Whiskey Without License

No 37859

Thursday, 21, Oct 1909.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Albert Kagei *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* herein, and a jury, *to-wit:* R. N. Jones *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Complaint *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the indictment and assess his punishment at a fine of $5-00 00 and Six Months in Jail

R. N. Jones, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Albert Kagei *the said fine of* Five Hundred Dollars and Six Mo in Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Indexed to here

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* *from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Son Jones

CHARGE: A A

No 37898

Wednesday, Oct 27, 1909

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Son Jones *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. O. Bates *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

W. O. Bates Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Son Jones *the said fine of* Twenty Five & no/00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Son Jones being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*

~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS } CHARGE: No Licens

vs.

N. H. Thompson } No 37921

Friday, Oct" 29" 1909

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, N. H. Thompson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. N. Provine and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $50 and One day in Jail

E. N. Provine Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, N. H. Thompson the said fine of Five Hundred & no/00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

N. H. Thompson being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of one day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS } CHARGE: No license

vs.

Luiz Caballero } No 37916

Friday Nov. 12" 1909.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Luiz Caballero pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. A. Cloud, Foreman and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $500 and One day in Jail.

W. A. Cloud, Forman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Luiz Caballero the said fine of $500 and one day in Jail Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

Luiz Caballero being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of One day.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. B. C. Bledsoe

CHARGE: Ab. Lang.

No. 37934

Wednesday, Nov. 10" 1909.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, B. C. Bledsoe pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L. S. Turck and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

L. S. Turck, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, B. C. Bledsoe the said fine of Twenty five {$25.00} Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant B. C. Bledsoe being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Kid Jackson

CHARGE: Theft -

No. 37971

Friday, Nov. 12" 1909.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Kid Jackson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. C. Evatt and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at One day in Jail!

C. C. Evatt, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Kid Jackson the said fine of One day in Jail Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Kid Jackson being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

And for the full period of One day

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Miguel Lena

CHARGE: Pistol.

No. 3747?

Friday, Nov. 12th 1909.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Miguel Lena *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M. L. Wilson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Infor. *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at 60 days in Co. Jail.

M. L. Wilson, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Miguel Lena *the said fine of* 60 days in Co. Jail. *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Miguel Lena bring present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of 60 days.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Vilma Joyce

CHARGE: Dis. Peace.

No. 3798

Wednesday Nov. 17" 1909

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Vilma Joyce *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. S. Ashley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of $1.00.

H. S. Ashley, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Vilma Joyce *the said fine of* $1.00 One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Vilma Joyce bring present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. M. E. Joyce

CHARGE: Drunkenness.

No. 37988

Wednesday, Nov. 17th 1909.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* M. E. Joyce *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. S. Ashley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of $1.00

H. S. Ashley Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* M. E. Joyce *the said fine of* One $1.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

M. E. Joyce being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Geo. Browne

CHARGE: Theft

No. 37978

Thursday, Dec. 2, 1909

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Geo Browne *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J M Young *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* read *and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of 60 Days in Jail.

J. M. Young, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Geo Browne *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Geo Browne being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Earl Fisher

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 37995

NUMBER

Friday, Dec. 3, 1909

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Earl Fisher pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. V. Braley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the complaint and assess his punishment at a fine of $20.00

J. V. Braley, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Earl Fisher the said fine of Twenty $20.00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Earl Fisher being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. A. Rider

CHARGE: Theft

No. 38025

NUMBER

Wednesday Dec. 1. 1909.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, A. Rider pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. V. Braley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged and assess his punishment at a fine of One Dollar $1.00 and Thirty days in Jail.

J. V. Braley, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, A. Rider the said fine of One Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant A. Rider being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will.

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Moore

CHARGE: Assault
No. 28032
Saturday, Dec 18, 1909

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Moore *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. M Rippey *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00

H. M. Rippey. Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Will Moore *the said fine of* Five ($5.00) *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Will Moore being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Basham

CHARGE: Theft
No. 38064
Thursday, Dec 16, 1909

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Basham *pleaded "~~NOT~~ GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. O Curtis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $15.00 and one day in Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Will Basham *the said fine of* Fifteen and no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Will Basham being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Georgia Finn

CHARGE: Disorderly House
No. 38084
Friday, Dec 17, 1909

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Georgia Finn pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ~~Information~~ J. P. French and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of $200.00 and 20 Days in Jail

J. P. French, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Georgia Finn the said fine of Two Hundred ($200.00) Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Georgia Finn being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

Mo. New Trial Granted 12-17-09

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lee Hunt

CHARGE: Theft
No. 38106
Wednesday Dec. 15, 1909

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lee Hunt pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. P. French and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars and Ten Days in Jail

J. P. French, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Lee Hunt the said fine of Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Lee Hunt being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will.

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18 _____

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Don Miller

CHARGE: Theft

No. 38109

Wednesday Dec. 15, 1909

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Don Miller pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: M. L. Hurst and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of One Dollar and thirty Days in Jail.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Don Miller the said fine of One Dollar Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Don Miller being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

Indicted in Law

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bertha Wade

CHARGE: Vag.

No. 37994

December 10" 1909

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bertha Wade pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. B. Law and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Complaint read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the complaint and assess his punishmhit at a fine of Ten Dollars

C. B. Low Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Bertha Wade the said fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Bertha Wade being present in Open Court is placed in The Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Brewsler

CHARGE: Theft
No 38033
Thursday January 13. 1910

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Breyssley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S. D. Graham and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars

S. D. Graham Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, John Brewsler the said fine of Five + no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant John Brewsler being present in open court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Stonea

CHARGE: Theft
No 38077
Wednesday, January 5" 1910

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Stonea pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. M. Lucas and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and One day in Jail

C. M. Lucas, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, John Stonea the said fine of One Hundred + no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant John Stonea being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Honea

CHARGE: Pistol

No 38078

Wednesday, January 5th 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Honea pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Wm Bryce and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information And assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars

Wm Bryce, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, John Honea the said fine of One Hundred + no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant John Honea being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Joe Purvis

CHARGE: Gaming

No 38147

Saturday, January 8th 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Joe Purvis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. F. Luther and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the information And assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars.

J. F. Luther, Foreman"

Appeared in Court & Ordered Defendant Discharged

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Joe Purvis the said fine of Twenty five + no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Joe Purvis being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Prince Shillingo

CHARGE: Gaming
No 38148
Friday, January 7, 1910

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Prince Shillingo *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. N. Crittendon *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars

J. N. Crittendon, Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Prince Shillingo *the said fine of* Twenty five + no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Prince Shillingo being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Tom Balinger

CHARGE: Theft
No 38182
Saturday January 8, 1910

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Tom Balinger *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. L. Wright *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five dollars and One day in Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Tom Balinger *the said fine of* Five + no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Tom Balinger being present in open court is placed in the custody of the sheriff who will

2712

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lora Hall

CHARGE: Assault

No. 38228

Thursday January 20" 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lora Hall pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Eugene Cammell and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars.

Eugene Cammell, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Lora Hall the said fine of Five + no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

Lora Hall being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jim Maddox

CHARGE: Theft

No. 38230

Friday January 21" 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jim Maddox pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. R. Buckner and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and Fifteen days in jail

W. R. Buckner, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Jim Maddox the said fine of Twenty Five + no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

Jim Maddox being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Fifteen days.

Indexed to here

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. G. Gibson

CHARGE: Selling Beer without License

No. 37763

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. G. Gibson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. H. Kennelley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Complaint read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of selling non intoxicating malt liquors without license, as charged in the second count of the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $100/ One Hundred Dollars and 20 Days in jail.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J. G. Gibson the said fine of One Hundred $100.00/ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant J. G. Gibson being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Coble

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 38235

NUMBER

January 29. 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Coble pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: D. E. Day and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Informaiin read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Informaiin and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and Thirty days in Jail

D. E. Day. Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Will Coble the said fine of Twenty five +no/00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

Will Coble being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Thirty days.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Alford Hill

CHARGE: Selling without License

No 38270

February 4" 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Alford Hill pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: D. J. Evans and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Informaiton read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Informaiton and assess his punishment at a fine Two Hundred Fifty & no/100 Dollars & Thirty days in jail D. J. Evans Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Alford Hill the said fine of Two Hundred & fifty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Alford Hill being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Thirty days.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Georgia Finn

CHARGE: Bawdy House

No. 38134

February 24, 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Georgia Finn pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Complaint herein, and a jury, to-wit: S. H. Chapman and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess her punishment at a fine of Two Hundred ($200.00) Dollars and Twenty days in jail. S. H. Chapman, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Georgia Finn the said fine of Two Hundred Dollars Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant ~~Finn~~ being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and for the full period of 20 days ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jeanette Gordon

CHARGE: Bawdy House
No. 28135
February 26, 1910

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jeanette Gordon pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Ben Owens and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess her punishment at a fine Two Hundred ($200.00) Dollors and 20 days in jail.

Ben Owens, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Jeanette Gordon the said fine of Two Hundred ~~Dollars~~ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of twenty days.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Pauline Robbins

CHARGE: Bawdy House
No 3832
February 25, 1910

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Pauline Robbins pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. B. Herring and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess her punishment at a fine of Two Hundred ($200.00) Dollors and 20 Days in Jail. J. B. Herring, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Pauline Robbins the said fine of Two Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will,

~~and~~ confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

And for the full period of Twenty days.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Elmer Corbin

CHARGE: Theft

No. 3833/

February 19, 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Elmer Corbin pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Wm Reedy and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred ($100.00) Dollars and Six Months in jail.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Elmer Corbin the said fine of One Hundred Dollars Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will ~~and for the full period of Six Months~~.

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Six Months.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Addie Petty

CHARGE: Bowdy House

No. 3833/

February 24, 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Addie Petty pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S. H. Chapman and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess her punishment at a fine of $200.00 and Twenty days in jail

S. H. Chapman, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Addie Petty the said fine of Two Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will.

~~and~~ confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County

from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

And for the full period of Twenty Days.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mable Thompson

CHARGE: Bawdy House
No. 28341
February 26, 1910

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mable Thompson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. L. Dodge *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess her punishment at a fine of Two Hundred ($200.00) Dollars and 2 days in Jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mable Thompson *the said fine of* Two Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of Twenty days.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Henry Baker and Janie D. Brown

CHARGE: Adultery
No. 38359
February 16, 1910

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendants* Henry Baker & Janie D. Brown *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Wm Reedy *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendants guilty as Charged in the information and assess their punishment at a fine of One Hundred ($100.00) Dollars each

Wm Reedy, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendants* Henry Baker and Janie Davis Brown *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars each, and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendants* being present in open Court are placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine* ~~him~~ them *in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Carl Thompson

CHARGE: Ab. Language

No. 38370

February 18, 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Carl Thompson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. A. Brookmole and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the information and assesses his punishment at a fine of Five Dollors

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Carl Thompson the said fine of Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. H. Biederbock

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 38406

March 2, 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. H. Biederbock pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. A. Brown and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollors and Thirty Days in Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, J. H. Biederbock the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ And for the full period of Thirty Days. ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mike Cassidy

CHARGE: Sunday Selling

No. 38450

March 4, 1910

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mike Cassidy *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. A Brown *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Hundred Dollars ($500.00) and Thirty Days in Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mike Cassidy *the said fine of* Five Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*

~~from~~ and *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

for the full period of Thirty Days

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Cross

CHARGE: Theft

No. 38455

March 5, 1910

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Cross *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. G. Shafer *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 90 Days in Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Will Cross *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*

~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of 90 Days.

Indexed to date

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Worthcross

CHARGE: a. a.
No. 38178
March 12, 1910

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Worthcross *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. W. Leach *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred and Twenty five & no/100 Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Will Worthcross *the said fine of* One Hundred Twenty five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lucius Thompson

CHARGE: Dis. Peace
No. 38202
March 11th 1910

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lucius Thompson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D. F. Corbett *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten & no/100 ($10.00) Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Lucius Thompson *the said fine of* Ten & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Maude Wells

CHARGE: Bawdy House

No 38338

March 26th 1910

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Maude Wells *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. A. Matlock *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Two hundred & 00/100 Dollars and Twenty days in Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Maude Wells *the said fine of* Two Hundred & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*

~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of Twenty days.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Davis

CHARGE: Gaming

No 38436

April 1, 1910

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Davis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. W. Fuller *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five & no/100 $25.00 Dollars.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Will Davis *the said fine of* Twenty five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*

~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Fannie Lewis

CHARGE: Vag.
No. 38440
April 1st 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Fannie Lewis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. N. Leach, and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of Fifty & no/100 ($50.00) Dollars.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Fannie Lewis the said fine of Fifty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....
~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lewis Lehman

CHARGE: Failure to remove trash & Rubbish.
No. 38441
March 11" 1910.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lewis Lehman pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: T. D. Judson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten & no/100 ($10.00) Dollars.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Lewis Lehman the said fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....
~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mamie Ellis

CHARGE: Dis Peace

No. 38446

NUMBER

March 25" 1910

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mamie Ellis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. H. McEntire *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of Twenty five & no/100 ($25.00) Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED, AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mamie Ellis *the said fine of* Twenty five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. G. Bryan

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 38489

NUMBER

March 26" 1910

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. G. Bryan *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit* Frank Booth *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a find of Five & no/100 ($5.00) Dollars.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* W. G. Bryan *the said fine of* Five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Walter Smith

CHARGE: Theft

No 38565

April 1" 1910

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Walter Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. N. Leach *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Twelve months in County Jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Walter Smith *the said fine of* ——— *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

*~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County* ——— *from* ——— *~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of Twelve months in Jail

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
U. D. Davidson

CHARGE: Theft

No 38511

March 31" 1910

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* U. D. Davidson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. L. Ellis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00 and 6 months in County Jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* U. D. Davidson *the said fine of* ~~———~~ One Hundred + no/100 ~~———~~ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of ~~the~~ the Sheriff who will

*~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County* ——— *from* ——— *~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of Six months in Jail.

Indexed to him

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Henry Williams

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 38553

April 6th 1910

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Henry Williams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J T Ball *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the information And assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Henry Williams *the said fine of* One Hundred ($100.00) *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff Who Will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Eddie Coleman

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 37363

February 17, 1910

NUMBER 3736

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Eddie Coleman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J W Hackney *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and thirty days in jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Eddie Coleman *the said fine of* Twenty Five ($25.00) *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

And for the full period of thirty days.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. B. Jones } No. 38470

CHARGE: Sunday Selling

March 23, 1918

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. B. Jones pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. A. Matlock and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty ($50.00) Dollars.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J. B. Jones the said fine of Fifty ($50.00) Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Bassham } No. 38550

CHARGE: Disturbing Peace

March 30, 1918

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Bassham pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H. C. McQueary and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty ($20.00) Dollars.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Will Bassham the said fine of Twenty ($20.00) Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Travis Knight

CHARGE: Theft

No 38573

April 27, 1910

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Travis Knight pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. Ince and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at 10 Days in jail.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Travis Knight the said fine of Ten Days Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County jail for 10 days from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bob Hammond

CHARGE: Dynamiting River

No 38581

April 27, 1910

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bob Hammond pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: D B Reeves and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $50

D B Reeves Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Bob Hammond the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W. L. Robinson

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 385-97

April 29, 1910

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. L. Robinson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L. A. Cook *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty upon plea and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five $25.00 Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* W. L. Robinson *the said fine of* Twenty Five $25.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Hattie Johnson

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 3861

May 13, 1910

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Hattie Johnson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. A. Tucker *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of $1.00

J. A. Tucker Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Hattie Johnson *the said fine of* One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Janie Davis

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 38620
May 6, 1910

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Janie Davis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Guy Towns *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of $5.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Janie Davis
*the said fine of* Five $5.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Gertie Carter

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 38621
May 6, 1910

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Gertie Carter *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Guy Towns *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of $5.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Gertie Carter
*the said fine of* Five $5.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bob Hammond

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 38624

April 28/1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bob Hammond pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Edward Sharp and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 Edward Sharp Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Bob Hammond the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Otis Wilkerson

CHARGE: Theft

No. 38653

May 14, 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Otis Wilkerson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at 30 Days in Jail.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Otis Wilkerson the said fine of Thirty Days in Jail ~~Dollars~~ and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-14. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Pearson

CHARGE: Selling Without License

No. 28659

May 12 1910

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Pearson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. F. Fanning *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Hundred & 500-100 Dollars and 5 Days in Jail.

W. F. Fanning Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* John Pearson *the said fine of* Five Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

Indexed to here

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. N. D. Wilson

CHARGE: Theft

No. 28658

June 9 1910

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* N. D. Wilson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* T. N. Higgins *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five & 20/100 Dollars and 30 days in Jail

T. N. Higgins Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* N. D. Wilson *the said fine of* Twenty five & 20/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~And worked for the full period of~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of thirty days

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
R. A. Morrison

CHARGE: A.a
No 38663
June 11" 1910

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* R. A. Morrison *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D. H. Howe *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five ~~Dollars~~ $5.00 Dollars

D. H. Howe, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* R. A. Morrison *the said fine of* Five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sid Chapman

CHARGE: Pistol
No 38756
June 11' 1910

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sid Chapman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. R. Triggino *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of three months in Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Sid Chapman *the said fine of* ~~Three~~ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of three month

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. W. Riley

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 38807

NUMBER

July 7, 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. W. Riley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. D. McDonald and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty five Dollars ($25.00) and 60 days imprisonment in the County Jail. J. D. McDonald, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J. W. Riley the said fine of twenty five and no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of sixty days.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. O. N. Cole

CHARGE: Theft

No. 38847

NUMBER

July 6, 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, O. N. Cole pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. D. McDonald and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at 90 days in Jail

J. D. McDonald, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, O. N. Cole the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of 90 days

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Kid Webster

CHARGE: A 43

No. 38904

Aug 17, 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Kid Webster pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L. T. Lilley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED, AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Kid Webster the said fine of Five —— —— Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. H. Dixon

CHARGE: Fornication

No. 39012

Aug 20, 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. H. Dixon pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Lee Standlee and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ~~Information~~ read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, J. H. Dixon the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will.

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Gentry

CHARGE: Theft
No. 39028
Aug. 16, 1910

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jesse Gentry *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Lee Stopfdlee *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at two years in jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jim Gentry
*the said fine of* Two years in jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Gentry

CHARGE: Theft
No. 39024
Aug 16, 1910

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Gentry *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. L. Irwin *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at 30 days imprisonment in the County Jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* W. L. Irwin
*the said fine of* Thirty days in Jail. *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will.

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Philip Jones

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 3906

Aug. 18, 1910

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Philip Jones *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Will Carroll *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at 30 days in jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Philip Jones *the said fine of* Thirty days in Jail ~~Dollars~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will*

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* *from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

And for the full period of 30 days.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
George Simpson

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 3905

Aug. 16, 1910

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* George Simpson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. D. League *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ~~Information~~ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* George Simpson *the said fine of* Five ~~Dollars~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff whereof*

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* *from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Claud Herrold

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 39053
Aug. 15- 1910

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Claud Herrold *pleaded "~~NOT~~ GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Lee Stondlee *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Claud Herrold *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will.

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Monroe Robinson

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 39057
Aug 16, 1910

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Monroe Robinson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L. T. Litsey *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at 6 months confinement in the County Jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Monroe Robinson *the said fine of* Six months in Jail ~~Dollars~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

Indexed to Here.

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. Morton

No. 3906 9 CHARGE: Gaming

August 31, 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, C. Morton pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: O. Z. Gulledge and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00

O. Z. Gulledge Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, C. Morton the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Drivers

No. 3907 2 CHARGE: Gaming

August 31, 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Drivers pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S. L. Faust and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00

S. L. Faust Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Will Drivers the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Louis Lee

CHARGE: Gaming

No. 3907

August 31, 1910

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Louis Lee *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. Gulledge *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and his punishment assessed at a fine of $100.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Louis Lee *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. M. Warner

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 3905

August 31, 1910

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. M. Warner *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. L. Faust *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* J. M. Warner *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Otto Ehrhardt

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 39149

September 1, 1910

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Otto Ehrhardt *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit,* R. G. Montgomery *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00

R. G. Montgomery
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Otto Ehrhardt *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. B. Rodriguez

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 39183

Sept. 16, 1910

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* B. Rodriguez *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. A. Bledsoe *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00 and one year in Jail

W. A. Bledsoe, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* B. Rodriguez *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* and for the full period of one year. *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Willis Henry

CHARGE: Dist. Peace
No. 39196
Sept 20, 1910

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Willis Henry pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: D. F. Mangum and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Willis Henry the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Frank Weathersby

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 39198
Sept 14, 1910

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Frank Weathersby pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. S. Reed and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00 and one year in Jail.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Frank Weathersby the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will.

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from and for the full period of one year. ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Frank Weathersby

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 39199

Sept 14, 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Francis Weathersby pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. E. Gillespie and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $50 Dollars and Six Months in Jail.

J. E Gillespie Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Frank Weathersby the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ..... ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Six months.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Barney Longuth

CHARGE: Theft, Receiving & Concealing

No. 39252

Sept 30. 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Barney Longuth pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. J. Ball and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $20 and 20 Days in Jail

J. J. Ball, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Barney Longuth the said fine of Twenty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ..... ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of 20 days

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Minnie Williams

CHARGE: Dist Peace
No 39358
Oct 11" 1910

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Minnie Williams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. N. Rogers *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of $1.00

J. N. Rogers Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Minnie Williams *the said fine of* One & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lettia Bell Anglin

CHARGE: Dist Peace
No. 39359
Oct 11 1910

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lettia Bell Anglin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit* J. A. Sowders *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of $5.00

J. A. Sowders Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Lettia Bell Anglin *the said fine of* Five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Arthur Hall. } CHARGE: Theft
No. 39370
Oct. 27- 1910.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Arthur Hall *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. B. McGar *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00 & 10 days in jail.

A. B. McGar, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Arthur Hall *the said fine of* Ten $10.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* bring present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* for ten days, ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. R. B. Lindsey } CHARGE: Theft
No. 39401
Nov. 2 - 1910

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* R. B. Lindsey *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. T. Gluck *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00 & 20 days in jail.

W. T. Gluck, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* R. B. Lindsey *the said fine of* Ten $10.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* bring present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* for Twenty days ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Frank James

CHARGE: Gaming.
No. 3941 2. Oct. 28" 1910.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Frank James *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. B. McClar *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00.

H. B. McClar, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Frank James *the said fine of* Twenty five ($25.00) *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, ~~and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Neal Wood

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 39426 November 23 1910

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Neal Wood *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* O. L. Carter *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00

O. L. Carter, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* O. L. Carter *the said fine of* Five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ben Goldstein

CHARGE: Pistol

No 39522 December 8" 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ben Goldstein pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit D S Beene and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and Assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and Thirty days in Jail

D S Beene, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ben Goldstein the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid. and for the full period of thirty day

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Albert Lawrence

CHARGE: Theft

No 39531 December 8" 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Albert Lawrence pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: O. W. Mead and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of One & no/100 Dollars and Thirty days in Jail

O. W. Mead Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Albert Lawrence the said fine of One & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid. and for the full period of thirty days.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Albert Lawrence

CHARGE: Theft

No. 39532

December 8" 1910

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Albert Lawrence pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: O. W. Nead and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten & no/100 $10.00 Dollars and Thirty days in Jail. O. W. Nead, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Albert Lawrence the said fine of Ten & no/100 $10.00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid. and for the full period of thirty days.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. R. M. Owens

CHARGE: U.

No. 39576

December 10" 1910

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, R. M. Owens pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S. S. Beene and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five & no/100 $25.00 Dollars S. S. Beene Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, R. M. Owens the said fine of Twenty Five $25.00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Henry Davis

CHARGE: Theft

No. 39619

December 29 1910

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Henry Davis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: P. C. Stewart and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Thirty Days in Jail. P. C. Stewart Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Henry Davis the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the period of Thirty days.

Indexed to Davis

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Tom Carmichael

CHARGE: Theft

No. 39617

January 7" 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Tom Carmichael pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. L. Nyshman and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Thirty days in Jail

C. L. Nyshman
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Tom Carmichael the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the period of Thirty days in Jail

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Charlie Fowler

CHARGE: ~~Theft~~ A.A. No. 39621 NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Charlie Fowler pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Griffin and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Five & No/100 Dollars

— Griffin Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Charlie Fowler the said fine of Five & No/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. B. Goldstein

CHARGE: Vag No. 39708 NUMBER January 6th 1911.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, B. Goldstein pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Wm Monnig and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Twenty five & No/100 Dollars

Wm Monnig Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, B. Goldstein the said fine of Twenty five & No/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18 _____

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Stella Goldstein

CHARGE: Vag

No. 29714

February 3" 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Stella Goldstein pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. L. Stegall and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at Twenty five & no/100 Dollars

A. L. Stegall, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Stella Goldstein the said fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County _____

from _____ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lawrence Washington

CHARGE: Theft

No. 29787

January 21" 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lawrence Washington pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. H. King and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his Punishment at Twenty five Dollars and One Hundred Fifty days in Jail

J. H. King Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Lawrence Washington the said fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County _____

~~from~~ _____ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the period of One Hundred fifty days in jail

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Duke McGuire

CHARGE: Dis. Peace

No. 39929

February 4th 1911

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Duke McGuire pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: T. L. Davis and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at One & no/100 Dollars

T. L. Davis Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Duke McGuire the said fine of One & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully ~~paid~~

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Dewie Johnson

CHARGE: A.

No. 39831

February 2nd 1911

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dewie Johnson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: T. L. Davis and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Five & no/100 Dollars

T. L. Davis Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Dewie Johnson the said fine of Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Rufe Groves

CHARGE: a

No 39834

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Rufe Groves pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. F. Young and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Five & no/100

J. F. Young, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Rufe Groves the said fine of Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. L. N. Calthorn

CHARGE: Pistol

No 39866 February 16th 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, L. N. Calthorn pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: G. Park and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Thirty days in Jail

G. Park Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, L. N. Calthorn the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. P. J. Hazel

CHARGE: Theft

No. 3987 February 16" 1911

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* P. J. Hazel *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L. A. Whitley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JORY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in Informaleon and assess his punishment at Five & no/100 Dollars and Thirty days in Jail

L. A. Whitley Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* P. J. Hazel *the said fine of* Five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mexican Mendoza

CHARGE: Theft

No. 3992 February 24" 1911

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mexican Mendoza *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. J. Brooks *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in Informaleon and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred & no/100 and Ten days in Jail

J. J. Brooks, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Mexican Mendoza *the said fine of* One Hundred & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Ten days in Jail

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lillis Moore } CHARGE: Theft

No 39917 February 28" 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lillis Moore pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. J. Brooks and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in Information and assess his punishment at ~~a fine~~ one day in Jail.

J. J. Brooks Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Lillie Moore the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

One day in Jail

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ernest Smith } CHARGE: Ab. Language

No 39899 Feb. 22, 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ernest Smith pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. J. Brooks and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having hedrd the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00

J. J. Brooks Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Ernest Smith the said fine of Five & No/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. William Abernathy

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 39848

February 25 1911

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* William Abernathy *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. J. Brooks *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars and Thirty days in Jail

J. J. Brooks Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* William Abernathy *the said fine of* Twenty five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....

*from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Thirty days in Jail

Indexed to here

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Fred Sanzona

CHARGE: Mal. Misch

No. 40068

Thursday March 23 1911

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Fred Sanzona *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. A. Goodwin *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars

W. A. Goodwin Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Fred Sanzona *the said fine of* Twenty & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....

*from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W. G. McElroy

CHARGE: Drunk

No. 4027

Friday March 24" 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. G. McElroy pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L. D. Lockett and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Six & 50/100

L. D. Lockett, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, W. G. McElroy the said fine of Six & 50/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. E. A. Thompson

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 4006

Wednesday March 22" 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, E. A. Thompson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. F. Goodwin and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five & no/100 Dollars.

W. F. Goodwin Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, E. A. Thompson the said fine of Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jim Hargroves } CHARGE: W Licens No 3998 Thursday March 9" 1911 NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jim Hargroves pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. M. Cable and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Hundred & no/100 and One day in Jail

A. M. Cable, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Jim Hargroves the said fine of Five Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of one day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. E. L. Biggins } CHARGE: Pistol No 3996 Wednesday, March 8" 1911 NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, E. L. Biggins pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. M. Cable and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in Information and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and Thirty days in Jail"

A. M. Cable Foreman"

IT IS ~~THEREFORE~~ CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, E. L. Biggins the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Thirty days.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. G. N. Jobe

CHARGE: Adultery

No. 3995-8

Saturday March 4th 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, G. N. Jobe pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. B. Smalley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars

J. B. Smalley Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, G. N. Jobe the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the ~~hand~~ custody of the Sheriff who will ~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. A. T. Lokey

CHARGE: A.

No. 40129

Wednesday April 5th 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, A. T. Lokey pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: O. L. Carter and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five & no/100 Dollars

O. L. Carter Foreman":

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, A. T. Lokey the said fine of A. T. Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will ~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Theodore Reed

CHARGE: A. NUMBER

No. 40057 Wednesday April 5th 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Theodore Reed pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. N. Hopson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five & no/100 Dollars.

J. N. Hopson, Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Theodore Reed the said fine of Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Dave Goldstein

CHARGE: A. A. NUMBER

No. 40008 Thursday, March 30th 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dave Goldstein pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. V. Williams and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars & Sixty days in Jail

W. V. Williams, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, W. V. Williams the said fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

& Sixty days in Jail

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Frank Sours

CHARGE: Adultery

No. 3992 Wednesday March 22" 1911

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Frank Sours *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. L. Hood *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Four Hundred Seventy Five Dollars

W. L. Hood Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Frank Sours *the said fine of* Four Hundred Seventy five no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Norvell Kirby

CHARGE: Adultery

No 39929 Wednesday March 22" 1911

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Norvell Kirby *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. L. Hood *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars.

W. L. Hood, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Norvell Kirby *the said fine of* One Hundred no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18 369

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lona Hall

CHARGE: Playing Cards

No. 3990[illegible] Thursday April 6th 1911

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Long Hall pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L. Williams and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars

L. Williams, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Lona Hall the said fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Porter Andrews

CHARGE: Ab. Long

No. 3990[illegible] Thursday April 13th 1911

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Porter Andrews pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. M. Phelps and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five & no/100 Dollars.

E. M. Phelps

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Porter Andrews the said fine of Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER ✓

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Frank Brown

CHARGE: Vag.

No. 10219

Friday March 28" 1911

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Frank Brown *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. G. Bennett *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifteen Dollars.

C. G. Bennett Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Frank Brown *the said fine of* Fifteen & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ed. Pitts

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 10198

Wednesday April 26" 1911

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ed Pitts *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. F. Lusk *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and Thirty Days in Jail.

J. F. Lusk Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Ed Pitts *the said fine of* One Hundred & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of thirty days in Jail

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Percy Cooper

CHARGE: Theft

No. 40193

Thursday April 27th 1911

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Percy Cooper pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. L. Bennett and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten & no/100 dollars and five days in Jail

C. L. Bennett Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Percy Cooper the said fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid. and for the full period of ~~thirty~~ Five days

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Florence Allen

CHARGE: Affray.

No. 40463

Friday June 16th 1911

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Florence Allen pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: B. F. Hall and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of One Dollar

B. F. Hall Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Florence Allen the said fine of One & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Henry A. Hill

CHARGE: Theft

No 40393

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Henry A. Hill *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* F. M. Cartwell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars and One day in Jail

F. M. Cartwell Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Henry A. Hill *the said fine of* Fifty & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of One day in Jail

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Herman Grant

CHARGE: Convict escaping from Employer

No 40349

Thursday May 18th 1911

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Herman Grant *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Jno. T. Montgomery *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at ~~a fine of~~ Fifteen days in Jail

Jno. T. Montgomery Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Herman Grant *the said fine of* Dollars *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and until such fine and~~ *costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of fifteen days in Jail

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. L. McLee

CHARGE: Selling on Elect. day. NUMBER

No 10842 Friday May 26 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, L. McLee pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L. E. Ward and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information And assess his punishment at a fine of Two Hundred Dollars
L. E. Ward ~~L. McLee~~ Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, L. McLee the said fine of Two Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Frank Maggio

CHARGE: Pistol NUMBER

No 10288 Thursday May 11" 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Frank Maggio pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. C. Morgan and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information And assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars.
C. C. Morgan, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Frank Maggio the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
F. V. Nawes

CHARGE: Using profane Language over Phone
No. 40274
Friday June 2" 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, F. V. Nawes pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. R. Sweeney and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five & 20/100 Dollars
E. R. Sweeney Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, F. V. Nawes the said fine of Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County
~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Herman Umpstead

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 40266
Saturday May 27" 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Herman Umpstead pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ~~Information~~ J. E. Bard and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars
J. E. Bard, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Herman Umpstead the said fine of Fifty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County
~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. A. Branch

CHARGE: A.A.

No. 40261

NUMBER

Wednesday May 24" 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, A. Branch pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L. C. Vaughn and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five & no/100 Dollars

L. C Vaughn Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, A. Branch the said fine of Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Sherman Johnson

CHARGE: Theft.

No. 40233

NUMBER

Wednesday May 3 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Sherman Johnson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ~~Jury~~ and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Thirty Dollars and one day in Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Sherman Johnson the said fine of Thirty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Emma Wilson

CHARGE: Theft

No 40208

Thursday May 4th 1911

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Emma Wilson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. C. Leatherwood *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of One Dollar And One day in Jail

C. C. Leatherwood Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Emma Wilson
*the said fine of* One & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of one day in Jail

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mattie Landrum

CHARGE: A. A.

No 40571

July 1st 1911

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mattie Landrum *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. E. Willis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars.

J. E. Willis Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Mattie Landrum
*the said fine of* Twenty & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Ordered to here

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mr. Bob. Snodgrass

CHARGE: Dis. House

No. 40451

Friday July 7" 1911

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mr. Bob Snodgrass pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S. G. Houston and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indt. read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Indictment and assess his punishment at a fine of Two Hundred Dollars and twenty days in Jail

S. G. Houston Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Mr. Bob. Snodgrass the said fine of Two Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Twenty days in Jail

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. May Wood

CHARGE: Drunk

No. 40608

Friday July 14" 1911

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, May Wood pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. B. L. Owens and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of Five & no/100 Dollars.

J. L. Owens. Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, May Wood the said fine of J. L. Owens Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ike George

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 40653

Friday Aug. 4" 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ike George pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five & No/100 Dollars.

Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ike George the said fine of Five & No/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Laura Robinson

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 40892

Thursday August 31" 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Laura Robinson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S. W. Elliston and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of Five & No/100 Dollars.

S. W. Elliston Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Laura Robinson the said fine of Five & No/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Frazeur

CHARGE: Selling without License

No 40862

Thursday August 31" 1911

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Frazeur pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Sam N Elliston and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Two Hundred Fifty & no/100 Dollars and One day in Jail.

Sam N. Elliston Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Will Frazeur the said fine of Two Hundred & Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and for the full period of One day. ~~and until~~ such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A. E. Frost

CHARGE: A.A.

No 40636

Thursday July 27" 1911

NUMBER

~~THIS DAY THIS CAUSE~~ WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, A. E. Frost pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. Burris and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five & no/100 Dollars.

J. M. Burris Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, A. E. Frost the said fine of Five & no/100. Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Indexed to here

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Hilliam Bem

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 40265

May 25" 191

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Hilliam Bem pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. E. O. Marable

CHARGE: Adultery

No. 40339

Saturday October 7" 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, E. O. Marable pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: B. J. Patton and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars

B. J. Patton, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, E. O. Marable the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-4. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Nita Landmore

CHARGE: Dis. House

No. 40730

NUMBER

Friday Sept. 22" 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Nita Landmore pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Leo. E. Cowden and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Indictment and assess her ~~Punishment~~ punishment at a fine of Two Hundred & Twenty dollars & jail

Leo. E. Cowden, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Nita Landmore the said fine of Two Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Twenty days in Jail

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Chris Reger

CHARGE: D. C.

No. 40729

NUMBER

Saturday Sept 9" 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Chas Reger pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. B. Hofday and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars.

C. B. Hofday Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Chris Reger the said fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. C. Massey

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 40811

Friday Sept 15" 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. C. Massey pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. J. Criswell and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars

J. J. Criswell

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, W. C. Massey the said fine of Twenty five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Oscar Smith

CHARGE: D. C.

No. 40851

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Oscar Smith pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. B. Hofday and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five & no/100 Dollars

C. B. Hofday, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Oscar Smith the said fine of Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. R. Glover

CHARGE: D. P.

No 10899

Saturday Oct 7" 1911

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. R. Glover pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Informaton read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Informaton and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five & no/100

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, W. R. Glover the said fine of Twenty five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Duke McGuire

CHARGE: D. D.

No 10902

Thursday Sept. 21" 1911

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Duke McGuire pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Robt. E. Forester and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Informaton read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Informaton and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten & no/100

Robt. E. Forester Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Duke McGuire the said fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. L. M. Maxwell

CHARGE: A.A.

No 41144 Thursday Nov 2" 1911

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* L. M. Maxwell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. N. Danner *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars J.N. Danner Foreman."

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* L. M. Maxwell *the said fine of* Twenty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Turner

CHARGE: Sunday Selling

No 41186 Friday November 24" 1911

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Turner *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty & no/100 and Thirty days in Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* John Turner *the said fine of* Fifty & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

And for the full period of Thirty days.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Turner

CHARGE: A. A. NUMBER

No 41089 Tuesday Nov 28 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Turner pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Will Turner the said fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mary Cooper

CHARGE: A. A. NUMBER

No 40679 Thursday Nov 23" 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mary Cooper pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Duke Burgess and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at ~~a fine of~~ Six months in jail

Duke Burgess Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Mary Cooper the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Six months in County Jail

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Francis Wilkerson

CHARGE: A.A.

No. 41163

Thursday Nov. 9" 1911

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Frances Wilkerson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Frank D. Jones *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his fine at Twenty five & no/100 Dollars.

Frank D. Jones"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Frances Wilkerson *the said fine of* Twenty five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Francisco Rodriguez

CHARGE: Pistol

No 41201

Friday November 24" 1911

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Francisco Rodriguez *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. E. Sims *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his fine at One Hundred and no/100 Dollars and Thirty days in Jail.

J. E. Sims, Foreman."

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Francisco Rodriguez *the said fine of* One Hundred & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Joe Reed

CHARGE: Theft

No. 41220

December 7th 1911

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Joe Reed pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L. N. T. Robinson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his fine at Five Dollars and Sixty days in Jail.

L. N. T. Robinson Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Joe Reed the said fine of Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Sixty days in Jail

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. William Gravett

CHARGE: Theft.

No. 41210

November 22 1911

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, William Gravett pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: B. F. Sprinkle and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his fine at Fifty Dollars & One day in Jail

B. F. Sprinkle Foreman".

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, William Gravett the said fine of Fifty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and One day in Jail

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

.....TERM, ..... A. D. 18.....

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Joe Gomez

CHARGE: Theft by Bailee

No. 41259

December 6th 1911

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Joe Gomez *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. B. Jolley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and Thirty days in Jail.

A. B. Jolley, Foreman."

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Joe Gomez *the said fine of* Ten & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of thirty days in Jail

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. C. Cochran

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 41292

December 7th 1911

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C. C. Cochran *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. B. Jolley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and Assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars.

A. B. Jolley, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* C. C. Cochran *the said fine of* Twenty five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS / vs. Bob. Hammond — CHARGE: Theft. — No. 41297 — NUMBER — Friday December 1" 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bob Hammond pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S. B. Turner and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at ~~a fine of~~ Thirty days in Jail

S. B. Turner Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Bob. Hammond the said fine of ~~nn~~ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant ~~being~~ present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid. And for the full period of thirty days in jail

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS / vs. A. C. Best — CHARGE: Opening on Sunday. — No. 41314 — NUMBER — Friday December 8" 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, A. C. Best pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. B. Simmons and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant A. C. Best Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars.

12/8/11

W. B. Simmons Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, A. C. Best the said fine of Fifty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. R. A. Healey

CHARGE: Opening on Sunday

No. 4375

Thursday December 28th 1911

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* R. A. Healey *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. J. Burton *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars.
A. J. Burton Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* R. A. Healey *the said fine of* Twenty five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in the hands & custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. A. C. Best

CHARGE: Opening on Sunday

No. 4368

Wednesday Dec 28th 1911

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A. C. Best *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. A. Turner *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars
R. A. Turner Foreman."

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* A. C. Best *the said fine of* Twenty five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County*

~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L. N. Cummings

CHARGE: Opening on Sunday

No. 4133 1

NUMBER

Friday December 15th 1911

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, L. N. Cummings pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. K. P. Hammond and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars

J. K. P. Hammond Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, L. N. Cummings the said fine of Twenty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County

from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read Approved and signed in Open Court This the 30th day of December 1911

R. E. Bratton County Judge
Lamar County Texas

Indicted to hire

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.

CHARGE:

No.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County

from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18 _____

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Wesley Sharp.

CHARGE: Gaming

No. 4352

Thursday January 18" 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Wesley Sharp pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. N. Mitchell and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars.

E. N. Mitchell Gouman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Wesley Sharp the said fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County _____

~~from~~ _____ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Robert Johnson

CHARGE: Gaming

No. 4385

Thursday Jan. 11" 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Robert Johnson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: John Burgess and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars.

John Burgess, Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Robert Johnson the said fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County _____

~~from~~ _____ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. A. C. Best

CHARGE: Sunday Opening

No. 4418

NUMBER

Thursday January 4th 1912

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A. C. Best *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. M. Eagle *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty ($50.00) Dollars.

W. M. Eagle, Foreman."

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* A. C. Best *the said fine of* Fifty & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. A. G. Hollinger

CHARGE: Sunday Opening

No. 4419

NUMBER

Saturday January 6th 1912

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A. G. Hollinger *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. R. Edrington *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Thirty five ($35.00) Dollars.

H. R. Edrington Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* A. G. Hollinger *the said fine of* Thirty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. E. Pitts

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 4448 96

Wednesday Jan 17th 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, C. E. Pitts pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. B. Mann and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars.

J. B. Mann Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, C. E. Pitts the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Earl Brockman

CHARGE: Vag.

No. 4552

Friday January 26th 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Earl Brockman pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. A. Childress and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars.

J. A. Childress Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Earl Brockman the said fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Overstreet

CHARGE: P. A.

No. 41632

Wednesday January 24th 1912

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Overstreet pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: T. F. Howard and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred & no/100 ($100.00) And One year in jail.

T. F. Howard, Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Will Overstreet the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the sheriff who will ~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of One year in Jail

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lena Perkins

CHARGE: Ab. Lang.

No. 41739

Friday Feb 16th 1912

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lena Perkins pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: N. J. Hamilton and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of Five & no/100 ($5.00) Dollars

N. J. Hamilton, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Lena Perkins the said fine of Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will ~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Joe Jarvis

CHARGE: Theft.

No. 41751

Wednesday Jan. 31" 1912

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Joe Jarvis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. A. Pray *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00 and One day in Jail

J. A. Pray, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Joe Jarvis *the said fine of* Five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of one day in Jail

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Nattie Thomas

CHARGE: Affray

No. 41556

Friday, Feb. 23rd, 1912

*THIS ~~DAY~~ THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Nattie Thomas *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* N. L. Fuller *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine ~~Twenty~~ five & no/100 Dollars

N. L. Fuller, Foreman."

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Nattie Thomas *the said fine of* ~~Twenty~~ five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the ~~hands~~ Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Ordered to here

R. E. Bratton, Judge
Lavaca Co 2nd

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Peter Brown

CHARGE: Vag. NUMBER

No. 11890 Friday March 15th 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Peter Brown pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Chas Thomas and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00 Five Dollars

Chas. Thomas, Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Peter Brown the said fine of Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. L. Ford

CHARGE: Violating Stock law. NUMBER

No. 11998 Saturday April 6th 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, L. Ford by M. G. McGaugh pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five $25.00 Dollars.

M. G. McGaugh, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, L. Ford the said fine of Twenty five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs Jesse Greer

CHARGE: Theft

No 12051

Wednesday May 1st 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jesse Greer pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. Houser and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Five & no/100 ($5.00) Dollars and one day in Jail.

A. Houser. Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Jesse Greer the said fine of Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of One day in Jail.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Skinny Hall

CHARGE: Resisting an Officer

No 11889

Thursday March 28th 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Skinny Hall pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. E. Sanders and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five ($25.00) Dollars.

W. E. Sanders Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Skinny Hall the said fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

Indexed to here

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Frances Wilkinson

CHARGE: Simple Assault

No. 42261

NUMBER .....

Thursday June 6th 1912

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Frances Wilkinson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. B. Brown *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of Simple Assault and assess her punishment at a fine of $5.00

J. B. Brown, Foreman."

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Frances Wilkinson *the said fine of* Five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ..... ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Rufus Barden

CHARGE: Theft

No 42242

NUMBER .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Rufus Barden *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. M. Neel *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at 30 days in Jail

J. M. Neel Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Rufus Barden *the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ..... ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

And for the full period of thirty days in Jail

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ruby Williams

CHARGE: Theft

No 42187

Friday May 31st 1912

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ruby Williams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. A. Blain *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at One Dollar and Ten days in Jail.

R. A. Blain, Foreman."

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Ruby Williams *the said fine of* One & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of Ten days in Jail

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jane Wells

CHARGE: Gaming

No 42154

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jane Wells *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* T. L. Robinson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information. And assess her punishment at Twenty five & no/100 Dollars

T. L. Robinson
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Jane Wells *the said fine of* Twenty five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....

*from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. E. Jackson

CHARGE: Theft

No. 42126 NUMBER

Wednesday May 8" 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, E. Jackson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. S. Graves and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at One & no/100 Dollars and Sixty days in Jail.

E. S. Graves Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, E. Jackson the said fine of One & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Sixty days in Jail

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Herman Dan Jarmon

CHARGE: Theft.

No. 42123 NUMBER

Wednesday May 8" 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dan Jarmon pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: G. W. Verring and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Five-no/100 Dollars and Sixty days in Jail

G. W. Verring, Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Dan Jarmon the said fine of Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Sixty days in Jail

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Tom King

CHARGE: A. A.

No 12385

Wednesday June 26th 1912

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Tom King *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. J. Jones *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at ~~a fine of~~ Thirty days in Jail

W. J. Jones, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Tom King *the said fine of* ~~V~~ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ *and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of Thirty days in Jail

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jim Hooper

CHARGE: Theft

No 12380

Wednesday June 26th 1912

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Hooper *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* T. A. Looney *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at 25 days in Jail

T. A. Looney, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Jim Hooper *the said fine of* ~~V~~ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of Thirty days in Jail

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jim White

CHARGE: A.A. NUMBER

No. 12274 Wednesday June 12th 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jim White pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: O. L. Carter and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars.

O. L. Carter Forman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Jim White the said fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Owen

CHARGE: Simple Assault NUMBER

No. 12260 Saturday June 22nd 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Owen pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. C. Logan and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty of Simple assault and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars

W. C. Logan, Forman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, John Owen the said fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

Indexed to here

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Chas Smith alias Chas. Tarver

CHARGE: Theft.

No 42534

Saturday Aug. 17 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Chas. Smith alias Chas Tarver pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. W. Adams and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Ninety (90) days in the County Jail

J. W. Adams Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Chas. Smith alias Chas Tarver the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Ninety (90) days in Jail

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. D. Johnson

CHARGE: Theft.

No. 42556

Thursday Aug. 15 " 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, D. Johnson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. L. Alston and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Twelve Months in Jail.

W. L. Alston Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, D. Johnson the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.

And for the full period of Twelve months in Jail

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Govnor Peace

CHARGE: Theft.

No. 12546

NUMBER

Thursday Aug 22" 1912

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Govnor Peace *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. L. Davis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty & no/100 Dollars & 30 days in Jail.

C. L. Davis, Forman."

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Govnor Peace *the said fine of* Fifty & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*

~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of Thirty days in Jail

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Biggs

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 12500

NUMBER

Wednesday July 17" 1912

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Biggs *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. T. Lafe *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars.

J. T. Lafe Forman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* John Biggs *the said fine of* Twenty five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*

~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Henry Bently

CHARGE: Theft.

No. 12450

Thursday July 11" 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Henry Bently pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. G. Bledsoe and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Six Months in Jail

W. A. Bledsoe Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Henry Bently the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ... ~~and~~ until ~~such fine and~~ costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Six Months in Jail

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Hancock

CHARGE: Theft.

No. 12407

Wednesday July 17" 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Hancock pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L. L. Long and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at 30 days in Jail

L. L. Long, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Will Hancock the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ... ~~and~~ until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.

~~and~~ for the full period of 30 days in Jail.

Indexed to here

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L. Nutto

CHARGE: A.A.

No 42619

Wednesday Aug 28 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, L. Nutto pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to wit: C. W. Morgan and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five & no/100 Dollars

C. W. Morgan Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, L. Nutto the said fine of Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County

from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
S. C. Roberts

CHARGE: Pistol

No 42871

Thursday Oct 24th 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, S. C. Roberts pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Sixty days in Jail.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, S. C. Roberts the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County

from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

And for the full period of Sixty days in Jail.

NUMBER

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. O. D. Romine

CHARGE: A.A.

No. 42798

Friday Oct 18" 1912

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* O.D. Romine *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* O. N. Nead *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars.

O. N. Nead, Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* O.D. Romine *the said fine of* Twenty five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Gertrude Taylor

CHARGE: Vag.

No 42746

Friday Sept 20' 1912

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Gertrude Taylor *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. R. Goddard *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of Five & no/100 Dollars.

E. R. Goddard Foreman."

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Gertrude Taylor *the said fine of* Five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine* ~~him~~ her *in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W. M. Trammell

No 42741

CHARGE: Violating Pure food law

NUMBER

Thursday Sept 26" 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. M. Trammell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. L. Stevens and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars.

J. L. Stevens Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, W. M. Trammell the said fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mrs. J. B. Miller

No 42684

CHARGE: Dis. House

NUMBER

Friday Sept 6" 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs. J. B. Miller pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Horace Matlock and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged under first Count and assess her punishment at a fine of Two Hundred & no/100 Dollars and Twenty (20) days in Jail. Horace Matlock Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Mrs. J. B. Miller the said fine of Two Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine her in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

And for the full period of Twenty days in Jail.

ithern Surety Co.
Texas State Bank.
raham.
Amer. National Ins. Co.
ern Texas Traction Co.
Texas Traction Co.
. vs. H. F. Spelman.
/s. W. E. Euless and M. S.

Gray.
Texas Christian University.
vs. W. A. Simms.
Co. vs. Kimbro &Q Parks

ery Co. vs. Max Borschow.
ery Co. vs. T. H. Riza et al.
Mrs. F. Miller.
Co. vs. McFadden Rice Milling

s. W. C. Rogers.
vs. W. R. Courtney et al.
of Texas vs. F. W. Boerner and

hanan vs. H. Frank Wood.
vs. Fort Worth Garage.
ıter vs. Albert Nauan.
vs. Northern Texas Traction Co.
et al. vs. American National Insur-

age vs. S. N. Griffith.
Refining Co. vs. J. R. Brewton.
mazoo Silo Co. vs. A. M. Weddington.
hell vs. B. Jett.
ndry Machinery Co., Ltd., vs. Abilene
Laundry.
Fair vs. Frank Pettit.
rrell vs. Texas Pipe Line Company.
vs. T. B. George.
eeks vs. R. H. Bates.
ıestnut vs. E. C. Powell.
add vs. W. W. Owens.
A. Williams vs. J. G. Gibson.
ida C. McNeill vs. H. T. Cooper.

Tarrant County
Criminal Minutes
County Court 407

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
G. W. Pate

CHARGE: Vag.

No 42589

Wednesday Oct 16th 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, G. W. Pate pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. N. Messick and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars.

J. N. Messick Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, G. W. Pate the said fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Perry Mackney

CHARGE: Vag.

No 42772

Friday Nov 1st 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Perry Mackney pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. P. Little and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of five dollars

J. P. Little, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Perry Mackney the said fine of Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Indexed to here

THE STATE OF TEXAS } CHARGE: Larceny.

vs.

Joe Taylor } No. 43089

Thursday Dec. 19th 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Joe Taylor pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S. B. Brand and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of ~~Five~~ Thirty days in Jail

S. B. Brand, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Joe Taylor the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Thirty days in jail.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS } CHARGE: Adultery

vs.

Willis Johnson } No. 43079

Thursday Dec. 19th 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Willis Johnson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S. B. Brand and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred & no/100

S. B. Brand, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Willis Johnson the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
N. N. Darby

CHARGE: Theft.
No. 4301
Wednesday Dec. 11" 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, N. N. Darby pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. H. Pettington and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Three Days in Jail

J. H. Pettington Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, N. N. Darby the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Five days in Jail

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Nattie Thomas

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 4300
Friday Dec. 20" 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Nattie Thomas pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S. B. Brand and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and Ninety days in Jail S. B. Band Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE ~~CONSIDERED~~, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Nattie Thomas the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Ninety days in Jail.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Sam Roberson } CHARGE: Dis. Theft NUMBER

No. 12994 Friday Nov. 29" 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Sam Roberson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. W. McDowell and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, find the Defendant guilty under first count in indictment and assess his punishment at a fine of $200.00 & 20 days in Jail.

W. W. McDowell, Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Sam Roberson the said fine of Two Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

& Twenty days in Jail

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. M. L. Berry alias C. L. Melvin } CHARGE: Theft NUMBER

No. 12[illegible] Friday Dec 29 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, M. L. Berry alias C. L. Melvin pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court, the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Sixty days in Jail.

Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant M. L. Berry alias C. L. Melvin the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Sixty days in Jail.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jessie Johns

CHARGE: Vag.

No. 42922 Thursday Nov 7th 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jessie Johns pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. Hall and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of $1.00

J. M. Hall, Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Jessie Johns the said fine of One & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Elmer Amann

CHARGE: Vag.

No. 42915 Wednesday Nov. 6th 1912

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Elmer Amann pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. N. Irwin and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five & no/100 Dollars

W. N. Irwin, Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED ~~BY~~ THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Elmer Amann the said fine of ~~One~~ Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Fred Hall

CHARGE: Aff. A. NUMBER

No. 43162 Saturday Jan 4th 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Fred Hall pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: B. N. Couch and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five & no/100 Dollars

B. N. Couch Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Fred Hall the said fine of Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Charlie Johnson

CHARGE: Mal. Mis. NUMBER

No. 43172 Wednesday Jan 1st 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Charlie Johnson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. M. Johnson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars

A. M. Johnson, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Charlie Johnson the said fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charley McDaniel

CHARGE: Vag.
No. 42981
Friday Jan" 3, 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Charley McDaniel pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L. Powell and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information And assess his punishment at a fine of Sixty & No/100 Dollars-

L. Powell, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Charley McDaniel the said fine of Sixty & No/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....
~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L. Evans

CHARGE: A. C.
No 43082
Friday Jan. 4th 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, L. Evans pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. B. Martin and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information And assess his punishment at Thirty days in Jail.

J. B. Martin Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, L. Evans the said fine of — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....
~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.
And for the full period of Thirty days in Jail.

THE STATE OF TEXAS } CHARGE: Vag.

vs.

Fred Blackburn } No 13104 Friday Jan 3rd 1913

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Fred Blackburn pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. M. Johnson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of One & no/100 Dollars.

A. M. Johnson Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Fred Blackburn the said fine of One & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS } CHARGE: Simple Assault

vs.

Will Walker } No 13135 Thursday Jan 2nd 1913

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Walker pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of Simple Assault and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Will Walker the said fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

R E Bradford Judge

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A. D. Brantley

CHARGE: Vag.
No 43105 Thursday Jan. 9th 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, A. D. Brantley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. A. Coleman and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Fifty & no/100 Dollars

J. A. Coleman Foreman."

Reduced to 45 days jail
1/11/13 W. E. Hatton Leo. Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, A. D. Brantley the said fine of Fifty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County
~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Paul Brown

CHARGE: Vag.
No 43095 Saturday Jan. 18th 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Paul Brown pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. Machee and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty & no/100 Dollars.

A. Machee Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Paul Brown the said fine of Fifty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County
~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS } CHARGE: Pistol NUMBER

vs.

John Jones alias Harry Richards } No. 43045

Wednesday Jan. 8th 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Jones alias Harry Richards pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. J. Fowler and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information And assess his punishment at ~~a fine~~ Thirty days in Jail

R. J. Fowler, Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, John Jones alias Harry Richards the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ..... and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Thirty days in Jail

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS } CHARGE: Vag. NUMBER

vs.

Pearl McCorston } No. 43224

Friday Jan. 17th 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Pearl McCorston pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. Beaty and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information And assess her punishment at a fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars.

J. M. Beaty, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED ~~AND~~ ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Pearl McCorston the said fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18 _____

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bob Clark

CHARGE: Rec & Conv

No. 43314

Friday Feb. 14 "1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bob Clark pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. R. Murphy and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Thirty days in Jail.

C. R. Murphy Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Bob Clark the said fine of _____ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County _____

~~from~~ _____ and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Thirty days in Jail

Judged to here

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.

CHARGE: _____

No. _____

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, _____ pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the _____ herein, and a jury, to-wit: _____ and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the _____ read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant _____

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, _____ the said fine of _____ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County _____

from _____ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Fannie Woodcock

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 43328

Friday April 11th 1913

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Fannie Woodcock *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* S. A. Waldrop *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of One Hundred & two 50 Dollars.

S. A. Waldrop Foreman."

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Fannie Woodcock *the said fine of* One Hundred & two 50 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*

~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Dick Ake

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 43456

Thursday March 6th 1913

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Dick Ake *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. B. Martin *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five & no/100 Dollars.

J. B. Martin, Foreman."

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Dick Ake *the said fine of* Five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
N. N. Bradley

CHARGE: Theft

No 13502

Thursday March 28th 1913

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* N. N. Bradley *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. V. Ragsdon *and five others, was duly selected, impanelled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty & no/100 Dollars and for the full period of Ten days in County Jail.

A. V. Ragsdon Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* N. N. Bradley *the said fine of* Fifty & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of Ten days in Jail

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ally Cooper

CHARGE: A.A.

No 13554

Friday March 28th 1913

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ally Cooper *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* N. L. Auston *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars

N. L. Auston, Foreman."

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ~~N. L. Auston~~ Ally Cooper *the said fine of* N. L. Auston *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS } CHARGE: Capital

vs. } No 13633

James Munroe

Wednesday April 16" 1913

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* James Munroe *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Sufromalen *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Sufromalen *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and for the full period of Sixty days in Jail

Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* James Munroe *the said fine of* One Hundred & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....

*from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of Sixty days in Jail

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS } CHARGE: Carrying

vs. } No 13639

Chas Johnson

Saturday April 5" 1913

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Chas Johnson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* S. A. Waldrop *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Sufromalen *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars

S. A. Waldrop, Foreman."

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Chas Johnson *the said fine of* Ten & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....

*from* ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18____

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Gus Herrera

CHARGE: Theft.

No. 43667

Thursday April 17" 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Gus Herrera pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Geo. C. Clymer and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Ninety days in Jail.

Geo. C. Clymer.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Gus Herrera the said fine of _____ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will ~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County _____ ~~from~~ _____ ~~and~~ until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.

And for the full period of Ninety days in Jail

Approved in Open Court this 3rd day of May 1913 Jno. M. Dalton County Judge

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.

CHARGE: _____

No. _____

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, _____ pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the _____ herein, and a jury, to-wit: _____ and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the _____ read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant _____

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, _____ the said fine of _____ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County _____

from _____ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Nickolis Franke

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 43867
Thursday June 5th 1913

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Nickolis Franke pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. W. Crocker and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at one Hundred & no/100 Dollars fine

J. W. Crocker, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Nickolis Franke the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bob Harris

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 43866
Thursday June 5th 1913

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bob Harris pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. W. Crocker and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine One Hundred Dollars and thirty days in Jail.

J. W. Crocker, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Bob Harris the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of 30 days in Jail

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18____

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Geo. Ultz

CHARGE: U. A.

No. 43857 Friday June 6th 1913

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Geo. Ultz *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. A. Biggers *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his fine at $50.00

J. A. Biggers Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Geo. Ultz *the said fine of* Fifty & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* _____

*from* _____ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jim Robertson

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 43837 Thursday May 29th 1913

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Robertson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Chas. E. Nash *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment with a fine of $100.00 + 20 days imprisonment in Jail

Chas. E. Nash Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Jim Robertson *the said fine of* One Hundred & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* _____

*from* _____ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of 30 days in Jail

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ranson Davis

CHARGE: Theft

No. 43736

NUMBER

Wednesday May 7th 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ranson Davis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ~~Chas~~ J. C. Caldwell and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the ~~the Defendant~~ Ranson Davis guilty as Charged and assess his punishment at 90 days in Jail

J. C. Caldwell Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ranson Davis the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and confine~~ him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ..... and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of 90 days in Jail.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ora Choice

CHARGE: Vag.

No. 43762

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ora Choice pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. D. Rutledge and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged and assess the penalty at a fine of $50.00

E. D. Rutledge Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Ora Choice the said fine of Fifty + 00/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

from ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ashland Thomas

CHARGE: Theft.

No. 4373

Wednesday May 28th 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ashland Thomas pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. S. McMorris and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged and assess his Punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars & thirty days in County Jail

A. S. McMorris, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ashland Thomas the said fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of thirty days in Jail.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jack Lobe

CHARGE: No Licence

No. 4380

Wednesday May 28th 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jack Lobe pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Chas. E. Nash and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his Punishment at a fine of five hundred dollars and thirty days in Jail

Chas. E. Nash Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Jack Lobe the said fine of Five Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and Thirty days in Jail

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Frank Anderson

CHARGE: A. NUMBER

No. 43894 Thursday June 12th 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Frank Anderson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. N. Judkins and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty of simple Assault and assess his fine at 25.00

J. N. Judkins Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Frank Anderson the said fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Harry Swindley

CHARGE: Theft NUMBER

No. 43917 Friday June 27th 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Harry Swindley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. N. Miller and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at 30 days in the County Jail.

J. N. Miller Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Harry Swindley the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.

And for the full period of Thirty days in Jail

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Florence Wright

CHARGE: A.

No. 4395-4

Saturday July 5th 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Florence Wright pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. S. Gibson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty of simple Assault and assess the punishment at 25.00 Twenty five Dollars.

W. S. Gibson Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Florence Wright the said fine of Twenty five ~~no~~ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will ~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read and approved this 5th day of July A.D. 1913

Judged to here Jesse McBrown Co. Judge

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.

CHARGE:

No.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. N. P. Ballard

CHARGE: A. A. NUMBER

No. 44222

Friday Aug 15" 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, N. P. Ballard pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S. N. Good and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged and assess his fine of $25.00 Twenty five Dol. S. N. Good Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, N. P. Ballard the said fine of Twenty five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Brown

CHARGE: A. A. NUMBER

No. 44207

Wednesday Aug 13" 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Brown pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: T. H. Holmsley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of aggravated assault and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

T. H. Holmsley, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Will Brown the said fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Wm B. Fosperlia, ~~Bailed Station~~ No. 4425

CHARGE: Dis. House

Friday Aug 1" 1913

Wm B. Fosperlia, ~~Bailed Station~~ THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ~~Bailed Station~~ pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. M. Latham and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the third count, and assess her punishment at 30 days in Jail and $200.00 fine

C. M. Latham
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ~~Wm B. Fosperlia, Bailed Station~~ the said fine of Two Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of thirty days in Jail

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ollie Tribue

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4403

Wednesday July 23" 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ollie Tribue pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. S. McKinley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged and assess his punishment at Confinement in the County Jail for a period of twenty five (25) days.

J. S. McKinley, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Ollie Tribue the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County

~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of twenty five days in Jail

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Frank Feremz

CHARGE: Theft.

No. 44083

NUMBER

Saturday July 12" 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Frank Feremz pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: F. Newton and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Frank Feremz guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and thirty days in Jail.

F. Newton, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Frank Feremz the said fine of Thirty five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of thirty days in Jail

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lovice Oakes

CHARGE: Adultery

No. 13997

NUMBER

Wednesday July 23" 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lovice Oakes pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: James McMonroe and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, ~~to-wit~~:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as the charge in the Information on the first count and assess his punishment at $100 fine

James McMonroe Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Lovice Oakes the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Judgment be and the same is hereby entered nunc pro tunc.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mrs. Nettie Wager

CHARGE: D. P.

No. 43990

Wednesday July 9th 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs. Nettie Wager pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. R. Mallicoat and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Mrs. Nettie Wager guilty and put the fine of $5.00 for disturbing peace

A. R. Mallicoat Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Mrs. Nettie Wager the said fine of Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court ~~defendant~~. is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Arthur Upshaw

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 43971

Wednesday July 16th 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Arthur Upshaw pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. A. Wheeler and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at $25 and 30 days in Jail

J. A. Wheeler, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Arthur Upshaw the said fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of thirty days in Jail.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. L. Lavender

CHARGE: Ab. Laing.

No. 43910

NUMBER .....

Friday July 18th 1913.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. L. Lavender pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S. Labhart and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and place his fine at $10.00

S. Labhart Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J. L. Lavender the said fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County

from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read, signed and approved in open Court this the 30th day of August. 1913.

Jesse M. Brown
County Judge Tarrant County Texas

Indexed to here

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.

CHARGE: .....

No. .....

NUMBER .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ..... pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant .....

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, .....
the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A. Loicano

CHARGE: No. License

No 44511

Friday October 17th 1913

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A. Loicano *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. R. Dearing *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at two Hundred and fifty dollars and 1 day in Jail.

A. R. Dearing, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* A. Loicano *the said fine of* Two Hundred and fifty & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of One day in Jail

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Jone

CHARGE: Theft.

No 44464

Friday Oct 10 1913

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Jone *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Joseph Bryatt *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Info. & Motion *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged and assess his punishment at Sixty days in Jail

Joseph Bryatt, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* John Jone *the said fine of* ~~Dollars and~~ *all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of Sixty days in Jail

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Martinez Easterez

CHARGE: Theft.

No 44389

NUMBER

Thursday Oct 9" 1913

THIS ~~DAY~~ THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Martinez Easterez pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Joseph Bryatt and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged & assess his punishment at 30 days in the Co. Jail

Joseph Bryatt, Foreman."

IT IS ~~THEREFORE~~ CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Martinez Easterez

~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and~~ all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid. and for the full period of Thirty days in Jail

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Henry Bird

CHARGE: Speeding

No 44316

NUMBER

Friday Oct 10" 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Henry Bird pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H. E. Phelps and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at $25.00 Twenty five Dollars.

H. E. Phelps, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Henry Bird the said fine of Twenty five & 00/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Moody

CHARGE: Vag.
No. 44310
Friday Sept 5" 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John L. Moody pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. L. Rogers and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Explanation read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidences submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE ~~JURY~~ Jurors, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess a fine of $50.00

J. L. Rogers Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, John Moody the said fine of fifty + no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. M. Stewart

CHARGE: Theft.
No. 44279
Wednesday Sept 3" 1913

~~THIS DAY THIS~~ CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. M. Stewart pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. S. Hare and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Explanation read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment 90 days in Jail.

R. S. Hare, Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, W. M. Stewart ~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and~~ all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.

And for the full period of 90 days in Jail

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Nate Reynolds

CHARGE: Dis in House

No 44221

Thursday October 16th 1913

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Nate Reynolds pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Frank Hubert and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the ~~Defendant~~ Nate Reynolds guilty on the first Count & assess the punishment at 200 dr fine & 20 days in co. Jail

Frank Hubert
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Nate Reynolds the said fine of Two Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Twenty days in co. Jail

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Allie Miller

CHARGE: Adultery & Fornication

No 44543

Friday Oct 31st 1913

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Allie Miller pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. N. Haralson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess fine at $50 dr

J. N. Haralson, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Allie Miller the said fine of fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Minutes read, signed and approved in Open Court this the 1st day of November, 1913. Jesse M Brown Co. Judge

Indorsed-Filed

251. C-SR-4. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

November TERM, A. D. 1913

Be it Remembered, That on Monday Nov. 3, 1913, There came on and was held a Regular Term of the Honorable County Court, of Harrant County, Texas at the Court House of said County in the City of Fort Worth, Present and Presiding the Honorable Jesse McDaniel, Co. Judge; John T. Jackin County Attorney; A. J. Bowers County Clerk and W.M. Rea, Sheriff when the following proceedings were had;

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Frank Maggio

CHARGE: Theft

No. 44771

Thursday Dec. 18" 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Frank Maggio pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R.L. Wilson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and his punishment at 5 days confinement in the County Jail & a fine of $25.00 (Twenty five Dollars)

R. L. Wilson, Foreman of Jury.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Frank Maggio the said fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of five days in Jail

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Owen Mills

CHARGE: Vag.

No. 44769

Saturday Dec. 20" 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Owen Mills pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ~~"~~ and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and his punishment at a fine of $50.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Owen Mills the said fine of Fifty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Verbert Logan

CHARGE: Vag.
No. 22748
Saturday Dec. 20" 1913

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Verbert Logan pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. W. East and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $100"

J. W. East, Foreman,"

IT IS THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant Verbert Logan the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County
~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Joe Weaver

CHARGE: Vag.
No. 22721
Wednesday Dec. 3" 1913

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Joe Weaver pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. J. Shattuck and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $10"

A. J. Shattuck, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Joe Weaver the said fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County
from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Shirley Miller

CHARGE: Theft

No. 11713

Thursday Dec. 20" 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Shirley Miller pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. H. Adams and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at 30 days in the County Jail.

J. H. Adams, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Shirley Miller the said fine of ____ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ____ ~~from~~ ____ and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of thirty days in Jail

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. M. Goldstein

CHARGE: A.

No. 12659

Thursday Nov. 20 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, M. Goldstein pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Leo. B. Gay and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess a fine of $5.00

Leo. B. Gay, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE ~~CONSIDERED,~~ ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, M. Goldstein the said fine of Five & No/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ____ ~~from~~ ____ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS } CHARGE: A. NUMBER

vs.

Luther Sims } No. 44628 Thursday Nov. 13" 1913

~~THIS~~ DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Luther Sims pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: M. F. Northam and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant as Charged in Information, Guilty, and assess a fine of Five Dollars

M. F. Northam, Foreman"

IT IS ~~THEREFORE~~ CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Luther Sims the said fine of Five & 25/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court, is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS } CHARGE: A. A. NUMBER

vs.

Lucy Lego } No. 44617 Thursday Nov 13" 1913

~~THIS~~ DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lucy Lego pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: M. F. Northam and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, as Charged in the Information, and assess her punishment at confinement in the Co. Jail for a term of 2 Months.

M. F. Northam, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Lucy Lego the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of two months in Jail

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Clarence Smith

CHARGE: Vag.

No 44610

Friday Nov. 21" 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Clarence Smith pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L. M. Burkhart and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty & assess a fine of one dollar

L. M. Burkhart, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Clarence Smith the said fine of One & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mary Chappell

CHARGE: D. C.

No 44626

Friday Nov. 14" 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mary Chappell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Lee McMahan and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty & assess a fine of Five Dollars.

Capt. Leo. McMahan, Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Mary Chappell the said fine of Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Frank Lopez

CHARGE: Vag. NUMBER

No. 44596 Friday Nov. 21st 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Frank Lopez pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: O. L. Faust and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of one dollar. O. L. Faust, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Frank Lopez the said fine of One & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Cedra Quenda

CHARGE: Pistol NUMBER

No. 44591 Wednesday Nov. 12th 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Cedra Quenda pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. T. Moore and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $100. T. F. Moon, Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Cedra Quenda the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Fred S. Parks

CHARGE: Swindling

No. 41585

Saturday Nov 15" 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Fred S. Parks pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit, M. F. Northam and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Indictment and assess a fine of one dollar & 1 day in Jail

M. F. Northam, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Fred S. Parks the said fine of One & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and One day in Jail

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Armstrong Pattie

CHARGE: D. C.

No. 41568

Wednesday Nov. 12" 1913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Armstrong Pattie pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: M. F. Northam and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged and assess his punishment at two months in County Jail.

M. F. Northam, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Armstrong Pattie the said fine of ~~One~~ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such ~~fine~~ and costs are fully paid.

Ordered to here

and for the full period of Two Months in Jail

Read, signed and approved in open court this 2nd January 1914

John McKamy Co. Judge Lamar Co.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*

**CHARGE:**

*No.*

*NUMBER*

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant*

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Jack Adams

**CHARGE:** Theft

*No.* HH986

Feby 11=14

*NUMBER*

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jack Adams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ~~Jurymen~~ Information *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as Charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $50-00 and thirty day in Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Jack Adams
*the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is placed in the custody of of the Sheriff tha this

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bert Jones

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 44953
Friday Jan 30=14

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bert Jones *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. H. Levy *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty" and assess his fine at $5 00 "H. H. Levy" Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Bert Jones
*the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Bert Jones being present in open Court, is placed in the custody of the Sheriff & he did

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Red Burns

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 44933
Jan 28=14

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Red Burns *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. H. Gildon *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty" and assess his punishment at a fine of $25 Co "H. H. Gildon Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Red Burns
*the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Red Burns being present in open Court, was placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who did

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
William Brown

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 14932
Jan 29=14

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, William Brown pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: F. Hurst and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty" and assess his fine at $25-00 F Hurst= Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, William Brown the said fine of Twenty-Five ($25-00) Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and being present in open court was placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....
~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs C. J. Davis

CHARGE: Disorderly House
No. 14882
Jan 10=14

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs C. J. Davis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. Holdredge and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the second count, same being a disorderly House, and assess her punishment at 20 days in jail an $200-00 Two Hundred Dollars fine. J. M. Holdredge=Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Mrs C. J. Davis the said fine of $200-00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Mrs C. J. Davis being present in open court was placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....
~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Susie Byers

CHARGE: D. C.

No. 14852

January 9=14

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Susie Byers *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. M. Holdridge *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of disturbing the peace and assess her fine at $5.00 and cost.

J. M. Holdridge,
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Susie Byers
*the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Susie Byers being present in open court, is placed in the custody of the Sheriff. who will

~~and~~ *confine* ~~him~~ her *in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Albert Marshall

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 14762

January 31=14

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Albert Marshall *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Foreman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of simple assault, and fine him $20.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Albert Marshall
*the said fine of* Twenty ($20) *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Albert Marshall being present in open court and is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. C. Hopewell

CHARGE: Theft

No. 45055

Feby 18=14

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. C. Hopewell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. H. Chapman and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty = and assess his punishment at 20 days in jail

R. H. Chapman
Foreman,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J. C. Hopewell ~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and~~ all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will ~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. C. Hopewell

CHARGE: Theft

No. 45056

Feby 18=14

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. C. Hopewell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. H. Chapman and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty = and assess his punishment at one Dollar fine and ten days in jail

R. H. Chapman
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, J. C. Hopewell the said fine of one Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and Defendant being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will ~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18 _____

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ernest Irving

CHARGE: Abandonment
No. 45043
Feby 12-14

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ernest Irving pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. Daniel and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess a fine of $25.00 Dollars" J. M. Daniel Foreman

And said verdict is here now applied to the first count in the information, by the court.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ernest Irving the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court in proper in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County
~~from~~ ~~until~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sam McGee

CHARGE: Indict A. A.
No 45007
13/R 45-2 Feby 20-14

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Sam McGee pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: P. E. Lyon and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess a fine of Five Dollars P. E. Lyon

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Sam McGee the said fine of Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and being present in open court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County
~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Saul Leonard

CHARGE: Vag

No. 44770

Jan 10=14

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sol Leonard *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* herein, *and a jury, to-wit:* A. C. Ferguson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of Vagrancy as Charged and assess a fine of $100

A. C. Ferguson

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Saul Leonard *the said fine of* Fine *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who. Fine

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bessie Williams

CHARGE: A

No. 45014

February 18=14

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bessie Williams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Foreman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess fine at Five Dollars.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Bessie Williams *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open court is placed in the hands of the Sheriff w.w.c.c.

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read, signed and approved in open court this the 28th day of Feby 1914.

(Indexed to here)

Jesse M Brown
Co Judge

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER 44497

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. C Smith

CHARGE: Living Cocaine

No. 44497

March 27/1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. A. Runyon *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

J. A. Runyon Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* C Smith *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is here now peaced in the custody of the Sheriff. who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 44749

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Arll McIntyre

CHARGE: Vag

No. 44749

March 20/1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Arll McIntyre *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Boone Lipscomb *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his fine at one hundred dollars

Boone Lipscomb
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Arll McIntyre *the said fine of* one hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is here now peaced in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A. L. Gentry

CHARGE: A

No. 44906

March 25/1914

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, A. L. Gentry pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. M. Burd and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty :- and assess his fine at Five dollars

W. M. Burd
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, A. L. Gentry the said fine of Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court, is here now placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Juan Corley

CHARGE: Theft

No. 45110

March 14=/1914

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Juan Corley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H. D. Wright and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00 and Two months in Jail.

H. D. Wright
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Juan Corley the said fine of $50.00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is here now placed in the custody of the Sheriff

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 45168

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. L. A. Adams

CHARGE: Pharmacist without License

No. 45168

March 11=1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* L. A. Adams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C B Noble *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Indictment, and assess his fine at $50.00

C B Noble
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* L. A. Adams *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is here now placed in the custody of the Sheriff

C B Noble
Foreman

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 45260

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. ~~Gardenia Johnson~~ Joe Terrell

CHARGE: Theft

No. 45260

April 11=1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Joe Terrell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* F. F. Yates *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Five (5) Days in Jail

F. F. Yates
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Joe Terrell ~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and~~ *all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such* ~~fine and~~ *costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is here now placed in the custody of the Sheriff Who Will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such* ~~fine and~~ *costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bob Autrey

CHARGE: Theft

No. 45279

April 25/1914

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bob Autrey *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. H. Lemon *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at $50.00 and 60 Days in Jail.

J. H. Lemon
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Bob Autrey *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is here now placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*

~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Joe Fry

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 45302

April 8=1914

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Joe Fry *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* G. L. Faulkner *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at ninety day in the county jail

G. L. Faulkner
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Joe Fry *~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and~~ all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is here now placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*

~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 45311

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. D. F. King

CHARGE: A

No. 45311

April 9- 1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* D F King *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. T. Sisk *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a Five dollar fine

T. Sisk
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* D F King *the said fine of* Fine *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is here now placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 45340

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Mappy

CHARGE: No License

No. 45340

April 22-1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Mappy *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. A. Lowrey *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty, and assess his fine at $500.00 and one day in Jail

J A Lowrey
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* John Mappy *the said fine of* $500.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and being present in open court is here now placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* .....

~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Harry Shelton

CHARGE: Embezlement

No. 44689

NUMBER

March 26=1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Harry Shelton pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. M. Burd and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant "Not Guilty"

A. M. Burd
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Harry Shelton, by reason nothing ~~the said fine of~~ of this ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ and that he go hence without day.

~~and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. F. Cooksey

CHARGE: Theft

No. 45146

NUMBER

March 13=1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. F. Cooksey pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. D. Austin and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Appeal read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty"

A. D. Austin
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover ~~of the defendant~~, Nothing of said Defendant J. F. Cooksey; and that ~~the said fine of~~ he go hence without day. ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

~~and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 45178

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Emmett Clark

CHARGE: Theft

No. 45178

March 11th 1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Emmett Clark pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. L. Harris and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not Guilty"

R. L. Harris
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Emmett Clark by reason of this action, and that nothing ~~the said fine of~~ he go hence without day ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

NUMBER 45179

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Emmett Clark

CHARGE: Theft

No. 45179

March 11th 1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Emmett Clark pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. A. Byars and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not Guilty"

C. A. Byers
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Emmett Clark, by reason of this action, and that he nothing ~~the said fine of~~ go hence without day ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
James Byrne

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 45185

NUMBER 45183

March 25. 1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* James Byrne *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. M. Byrd *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ~~_____~~ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not Guilty"

W. M. Byrd
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* James Byrne *by reason of this action and* ~~*the said fine of*~~ ~~*That he go hence without day*~~ nothing ~~*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

~~*and confine him in the jail of this County*~~
~~*from*~~ ~~*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*~~

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
R. L. Jones

CHARGE: Theft
No. 45186

NUMBER

March 18=1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* R. L. Jones *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. F. Hicks *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not Guilty"

R. F. Hicks
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* R. L. Jones *By reason of this action and that* ~~*the said fine of*~~ ~~*That he go hence without day*~~ nothing ~~*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

~~*and confine him in the jail of this County*~~
~~*from*~~ ~~*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*~~

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

NUMBER 45213

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Millie Barnes

CHARGE: Theft

No. 45213

March 19= 1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Millie Barnes *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M. A. Harrell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty *"*

M. A. Harrell

Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Millie Barnes *by reason of this action* nothing *~~the said fine of~~* and that she go hence without day *~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~*

NUMBER 45220

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Robert Munn

CHARGE: Theft

No. 45220

March 20 1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Robert Munn *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M. A. Keene *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty *"*

M. A. Keene

Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Robert Munn *by reason of this action, and that he* nothing *~~the said fine of~~* go hence without day *~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. S. T. Bodkins

No. 45119 CHARGE: Adultry

April 17th 1914

NUMBER 45211

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, S.T. Bodkins pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L.M. Boatwright and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged" ~~in the Information and~~, and assess his punishment at $1000,00 Fine

L. M. Boatwright Foreman,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, S.T. Bodkins the said fine of One Thousand ($1000.00) Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who ~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Con Henderson

No. 45266 CHARGE: Receiving Stolen Property

April 23/1914

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Con Henderson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W.M. Creek and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty"

W M Creek Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Con Henderson by reason of this action and nothing ~~the said fine of~~ Let go hence with out day ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ ~~and confine him in the jail of this County from~~ ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 45276

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jim Lupea

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 45276

April 16/1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jim Lupea pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the A. A. herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. Reed and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not Guilty"

A. Reed
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Jim Lupea by Reason of this Petition nothing and he go hence without day ~~the said fine of ... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the Jail of this County from ... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

NUMBER 45306

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Earl Mason

CHARGE: Theft

No. 45306

April 29/1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Earl Mason pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: O. L. Carter and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not Guilty"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Earl Mason, and that he go hence without day nothing ~~the said fine of ... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from ... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Duncan

CHARGE: Violating Stock Law
No. 45309
April 24/1914

NUMBER 45309

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Duncan pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. A. Sweaney and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty"

J. A. Sweaney
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, John Duncan, by Reason of this action and ~~the said fine of~~ That he go hence with day ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ nothing

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ora Blackwell

CHARGE: No License
No. 45321
April 25/1914

NUMBER .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ora Blackwell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H. R. Chapman and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Ora Blackwell, by Reason of this action, and ~~the said fine of~~ That She go hence with day ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ nothing

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~
~~from~~ ..... ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid~~

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ....., A. D. 18.....

NUMBER 45222

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. L. B. Swick

CHARGE: Selling without License

No. 45222

April 18=1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, L. B. Swick pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. H. Wiser and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, L. B. Swick by reason of this action, and ~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ ~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ ~~from~~ ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~ nothing

NUMBER 45333

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. B. Norton

CHARGE: No License

No. 45333

April 15=1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, B. Norton pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. C. Cockrell and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, B. Norton, by reason of this action, and that ~~the said fine of~~ he go hence without day ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ ~~And confine him in the jail of this County~~ ~~from~~ ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid~~ Nothing

Read signed and approved in open court This the 2nd day of May A. D. 1914

Jesse M. Brown Judge
Tarrant County Texas

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Clement Ellison

CHARGE: Vag

No. 45080

May 29= 1914

NUMBER 45080

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Clement Ellison pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. O. Graves and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not Guilty

A. O. Graves Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Clement Ellison, and that he go hence without day nothing ~~the said fine of ... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from ... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Frank Hicks

CHARGE: Abandonment of Wife

No. 45252

May 14 1914

NUMBER 45252

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Frank Hicks pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: G. S. Miller and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of said charge - and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

G. S. Miller.
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Frank Hicks the said fine of $25.00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court, is here now placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from ... and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 45400

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Archie Harris

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 45400

may 7= 1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Archie Harris *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ~~herein, and a jury,~~ *to-wit:* ~~herein~~ *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at 30 day in Jail,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Archie Harris *the ~~said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and all~~ costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant*

Archie Harris hereinpresent in open court is now placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County from* now *and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 45417

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Claudie Shea

CHARGE: Theft

No. 45417

may 13 = 1914

*~~THIS~~ DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Claudie Shea *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, ~~and a jury, to-wit:~~* And the Court having heard *~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the~~ ~~read and the~~ Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having ~~been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court~~ the following verdict, which ~~was received by the Court, and is~~ here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

~~"WE, THE JURY,~~ *Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of $10.00 & 10 days in the County Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Claudie Shea *the said fine of* $10.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will her

~~and~~ *confine ~~him~~ in the jail of this County from ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Cora Beloud

CHARGE: Abusive Language

No. 45453

NUMBER 45453

May 9= 1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Cora Beloud pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. O. Clark and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of said charge and do assess her punishment at a fine of $5.00 and

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Cora Beloud the said fine of $10.00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and being present in open court. is here now placed in the hands of the Sheriff who ~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Robert Moon

CHARGE: Vag

No. 45461

NUMBER 45461

May 9=1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Robert Moon pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Earl Schulder and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Robert Moon, and that he go hence without day nothing ~~the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

NUMBER 45476

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mark Montgomery

CHARGE: Viol

No. .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ..... pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant .....*

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County .....*

*from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 45477

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
O. Holiday

CHARGE: Theft

No. 45477

May 16-1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* O. Holiday *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D. Calvin *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* O. Holiday - and that he go hence without day

~~*the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

~~*and confine him in the jail of this County .....*~~

~~*from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ed Coleman

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 45488
May 28=1914

NUMBER 45488

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ed Coleman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Elmo Coon *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Ed Coleman *the said fine of* $20.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being in open court, is here now placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will ~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Toledo Smith

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 45284
May 16-1914

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Toledo Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* T F Murray *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty of said charge.

T.F. Murray
Foreman

~~*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Toledo Smith - go hence with out day. *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*~~ nothing

Read, signed and approved in open court this the 4th day of July A. D. 1914

Jesse McDrown
C Judge

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

Court in Session this the 6th day of July A. D. 1914. same being the first day of the July A. D. 1914 Term of this Court. and before the Hon. Jesse M. Brown. as Judge thereof, the following proceedings were had. to-wit

NUMBER 45528

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jesse Cook

CHARGE: Theft

No. 45528

August 12=1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jesse Cook *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* T. A. Gault *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* "not Guilty"

T. A. Gault
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover ~~of the Defendant,~~* nothing of the defendant, and that he go hence ~~the said fine of~~ without day ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ ~~from~~ ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

---

NUMBER 45584

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. G N Flowers

CHARGE: Swindling

No. 45584

September 3rd 1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* G N Flowers *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. M. Newton *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in this Information and assess his punishment at a fine of one Hundred dollas and 30 days imprisonment in the County Jail

J. M. Newton
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* G. N. Flowers *the said fine of* one Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

G. N. Flowers being present in open court is here now placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* and Now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

This Sentenace commence with cause

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
G.H. Flowers

CHARGE: Swindling
No. 45585
September 3 = 1914

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* G.H. Flowers *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J.M. Newton *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in this Information, and assess his punishment at a fine of one Hundred dollars and Imprisonment in county Jail for 30 days.

J.M. Newton
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* G.H. Flowers
*the said fine of* one Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

G.H. Flowers being present in open court, is hereon placed in the Custody of the Sheriff, Who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*
This Sentence cumulative with cause no. 45584

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
G.H. Flowers

CHARGE: Swindling
No. 45586
September 3 = 1914

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* G.H. Flowers *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J.M. Newton *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information, and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars, and 30 days imprisonment in the County Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* G.H. Flowers
*the said fine of* one Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*
G.H. Flowers being present in open court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

This Sentence Cumulative with Cause # 45584 + 45585

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 45587

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. G. H. Flowers

CHARGE: Swindling

No. 45587

September 3 - 1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, G. H. Flowers pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. Nelson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information, and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars, and 30 day imprisonment in jail

J. M. Newton
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, G. H. Flowers the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant G. H. Flowers being present in open court, is here now placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County from Now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

This sentence cumulative with cause # 45584 - 45585 & # 45586

NUMBER 45588

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. G. H. Flowers

CHARGE: Swindling

No. 45588

September 3 1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, G. H. Flowers pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. Newton and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information, and assess his punishment at a fine of one Hundred Dollars and ~~and~~ 30 days imprisonment in the county Jail

J. M. Newton
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, G. H. Flowers the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant G. H. Flowers being present in open court is here now placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County from Now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

This sentences Cumulative With Causes # 45584, 45585 45584 and # 45587

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
G. N. Flowers

CHARGE: Swindling
No. 45589
September 3. 1914

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* G. N. Flowers *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. M. Newton *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of one Hundred Dollars, and 30 days imprisonment in the county Jail

J. M. Newton
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* G. N. Flowers *the said fine of* one Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

G. N. Flowers being present in open court is here now placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will take

J. M. Newton
Foreman

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

This sentenance cummulative with causes # 45584, 45585, 45586, 45587 and 45588

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
G. N. Flowers

CHARGE: Swindling
No. 45591
September 3-1914

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* G. N. Flowers *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J M Newton *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information, and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and 30 days imprisonment in the County Jail

J. M. Newton
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* G. N. Flowers *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

and G. N. Flowers being present in open court is here now placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* now. *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

This sentenance cummulative with cause no. 45584-45585, 45586, 45587 45588, 45589,

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 45658

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Frank Payne

CHARGE: Taking Automobile

No. 45658

July 31=1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Frank Payne *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Thomas Bratton *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged, and assess his punishment at confinement in the County Jail for a term of Six months.

Thos Bratton, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Frank Payne *the ~~said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and all~~ costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant* Frank Payne, being present in open court is here now placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* now *and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 45596

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W. M. Hammon ✓

CHARGE: Assault

No. 45596

September 4- 1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. M. Hammon *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. M. Newton *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of Five dollars

J. M. Newton
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* W. M. Hammon *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* W. M. Hammon, being present in open court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Dora Betts ✓

CHARGE: Lewd Dressing

No. 45786

August 14/1914

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Dora Betts *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. H. Orr *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty, *and assess her fine at* Ten Dollars,

R H Orr
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Dora Betts
*the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Dora Betts being present in open court is here now placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will take and

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Jones ✓

CHARGE: Theft

No. 45832

NUMBER 45832

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Jones *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. M. Hopson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the Information, and assess his punishment at confinement in in the County Jail for a period of sixty days

J. M. Hopson
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Will Jones
~~*the said fine of*~~ ~~*Dollars and*~~ *all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such* ~~*fine and*~~ *costs, and the said Defendant*

Will Jones being present is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* now *and until such* ~~*fine and*~~ *costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 45857

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Haysaw

CHARGE: ~~Carry~~ Pistol

No. 45857

August 26-1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Haysaw *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit,* M. F. Fanning *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty"

M. F. Fanning
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover ~~of the Defendant,~~* Nothing *of the Defendant, and that he go hence ~~the said fine of~~* Without Day. *~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~*

NUMBER 45891

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Kirby Roberts ✓

CHARGE: Theft

No. 45891

August 13-1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Kirby Roberts *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit,* D. McGinnis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged and assess his punishment at one day in Jail and Five Dollars Fine

D. McGinnis

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Kirby Roberts *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* is placed in the custody of of the Sheriff who Will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* no. *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E. Craig

CHARGE: Theft
No. 45893
August 8=1914

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, E. Craig pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the and assess his punishment at one day in Jail and a fine of Five Dollars

Jesse M. Brown.
County Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, E. Craig the said fine of Fine Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant E. Craig being present in open court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
S. J. Cox

CHARGE: Theft
No. 45896
August 27= 1914

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, S. J. Cox pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: F. M. Massey and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information, and assess his punishment at confinement in the County Jail for 30 days and a fine of one Dollar

F. M. Massey
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, S. J. Cox the said fine of one Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 45901

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. N. Johnson

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 45961

August 15=1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. N. Johnson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. N. Orr *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at imprisonment in the County Jail for Thirty days

J. N. Orr
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J. N. Johnson *the ~~said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and all costs of this~~ all costs of prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant* ~~Def't~~ J. N. Johnson being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will take and

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* now *and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 45910

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Donnas McKee

CHARGE: Theft

No. 45910

August 26=1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Donnas McKee *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. B. Walker *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at One Dollar and one day in Jail

W. B. Walker
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Donnas McKee *the said fine of* One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said ~~Defendant~~* Def't Defendant being present in open court is here now placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dom Frazier

CHARGE: Theft

No. 45946

NUMBER 45946

~~October~~ Sept 3=1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dom Frazier pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. Phillips and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, and Ten days in Jail

J. M. Phillips
Foreman,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Dom Frazier the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Dom Frazier being present in open court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will take and

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from now, and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. A. Holden

CHARGE: Assault

No. 45951

NUMBER

September 3/1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. A. Holden pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. Phillips and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and as charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars,

J. M. Phillips

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, W. A. Holden the said fine of Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will take

90

and confine him in the jail of this County from now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read signed and Approved in Open Court this the 5th day of September. 1914 Jesse M Brown County Judge

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18 _____

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Joe Henderson

CHARGE: Receiving & Concealing Stolen property
No 46094
Thursday Oct 8" 1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Joe Henderson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. N. Watson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at ninety days imprisonment

J. N. Watson Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Joe Henderson *the said fine of* Ninety days in Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for a period of Ninety days, and ~~from~~ to have him remain in said jail ~~and~~ until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.

~~and Ninety days in Jail~~

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
N. Martinez

CHARGE: A.A.
No 46073
Wednesday Sept 30" 1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* N. Martinez *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. R. Jackson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at $25.00 & 30 days in Jail

J. R. Jackson Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* N. Martinez *the said fine of* Twenty five & No/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ____.
~~from~~ ____ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and Thirty ~~Ninety~~ days in Jail

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Willie Jackson

CHARGE: Vag.

No 46038

NUMBER

Friday Oct 9" 1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Willie Jackson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. M. Scott *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess a fine of $1.00

J. M. Scott, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Willie Jackson *the said fine of* One & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*

~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lee Hall

CHARGE: Theft.

No 46031

NUMBER

Thursday Sept. 17" 1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lee Hall *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit* J. M. Scott *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at 60 days in jail

J. M. Scott, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Lee Hall *the said fine of* ~~Dollars~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*

~~from~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and for the full period of Sixty days in Jail.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mary Diggs

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 15988

Friday Sept 25th 1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mary Diggs pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. S. Trott and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess her punishment at 30 days in jail

C. S. Trott, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Mary Diggs the said fine of ... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will her

~~and~~ confine ~~him~~ in the jail of this County ...

~~from~~ ... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and for the full period of Thirty days in Jail.

---

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Joe Greenberg

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 15985

Thursday Sept. 10 1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Joe Greenberg pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. E. L. Stewart and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars ($5.00)

R. E. L. Stewart, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Joe Greenberg the said fine of $5.00 and costs Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

and confine him in the jail of this County ...

from ... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Nig Prince

CHARGE: Ab. Lang. No 45982 NUMBER

Friday Sept 11" 1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Nig Prince pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S. S. Dillow and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess a fine of $10.00

S. S. Dillow, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Nig Prince the said fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in ~~the hands~~ Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jim Blackmon

CHARGE: Embez. No 45967 NUMBER

Saturday Sept 26" 1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jim Blackmon pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ~~and~~ and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at five days in Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Jim Blackmon the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

five days in Jail

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. S. J. Fuller ✓

CHARGE: Ab. Lang. over Tel.

No 15924

Friday Oct 23" 1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, S. J. Fuller pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S. J. Faris and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at $5.00 five Dollars.

S. J. Faris Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, S. J. Fuller the said fine of five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Eugene Clark ✓

CHARGE: Vag.

No 15764

Friday Sept 11" 1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Eugene Clark pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. E. L. Stuart and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at $10.00

~~A. J.~~ R. E. L. Stuart Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Eugene Clark the said fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Minnie Strauss

CHARGE: Cohabetating

No 45763

Friday Sept 18" 1914

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Minnie Strauss *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. P. Hamilton *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the complaint and assess a fine of ~~One Hundred~~ ~~Dollars~~ One Hundred & no/100 Dollars

W. P. Hamilton, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Minnie Strauss *the said fine of* ~~Ninety & no/100~~ One Hundred & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine ~~him~~ her *in the jail of this County* ..... ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jessie Alexander

CHARGE: Soliciting

No 45762

Friday Sept 18" 1914

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jessie Alexander *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. P. Hamilton *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Complaint and assess as a fine $5-00

W. P. Hamilton
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Jessie Alexander *the said fine of* Fine & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine ~~him~~ her *in the jail of this County* ..... ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18 _____

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Pete Arnolds ✓

CHARGE: a.a

No 44381

Thursday Oct 1st 1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Pete Arnolds *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* O.L. Carter *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having hedrd the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty of aggravated Assault and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

O.L. Carter Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Pete Arnolds *the said fine of* Twenty five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* _____ ~~from~~ _____ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

to which verdict of the jury and judgment of the court the defendant then and there in open court excepted and gave notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. And entered into recognizance in sum of $200.00 with W.D Reynolds and J. Krull as sureties.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
James Bell ✓

CHARGE: A.A.

No 45522

Wednesday Oct 28" 1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* James Bell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit,* J.F. Morgan *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess a fine of $25.00

J.F. Morgan Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* James Bell *the said fine of* Twenty five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* _____ ~~from~~ Lubbock Texas ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read signed and approved in open court this 31st Oct. 1914

Jesse M. Brownlee Judge

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs Homer Joyce

CHARGE: Aa

No. 4619

NUMBER 4619

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Homer Joyce pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H A Matlock and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty

H A Matlock
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Homer Joyce the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs Mack Purdy

CHARGE: Aa

No. 4236 November 20, 1914

NUMBER 4236

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mack Purdy pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E B Stewart and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty, and assess his punishment at $25.00, Twenty-five dollars fine and Thirty days in jail

E B Stewart
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Mack Purdy the said fine of Twenty-five & no/100 ($25.00) Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for a period of Thirty day from this date and ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

NUMBER 46254

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jack Jobe

CHARGE: A A

No. 46254 November 21, 1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jack Jobe *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H A Matlock *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged and assess his punishment at $500.00, Five hundred Dollars fine, and two years in jail

H A Matlock
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jack Jobe *the said fine of* Five Hundred & no/100 ($500.00) *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Said County who will take said defendant ~~into~~ this ~~Court~~ *and confine him in the jail of this County* for said period of two years *from* this date ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 46256

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Leon Friedman

CHARGE: A B Language

No. 46256 November 21 1914

*THIS DAY ~~THIS~~ CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Leon Friedman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C A Millican *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00.

C A Millican, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Leon Friedman *the said fine of* Five & no/100 ——— *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of this County

~~*and confine him in the jail of this County*~~ *from* ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Dave Villareal

CHARGE: Theft

No. 46285 November 26, 1914

NUMBER 46285

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Dave Villareal *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J C Bordin *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at thirty days in jail

J C Bordin
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Dave Villareal *the said fine of* Thirty days in jail ~~Dollars~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court, is placed ing the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will ~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County from* this day ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Clarance Williams

CHARGE: Tatriq Auto

No. 45875 November 26, 1914

NUMBER 45875

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Clarance Williams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J G Helm *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

J G Helm Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas* ~~do have and recover of~~ take nothing *the defendant,* Clarance Williams and that he go hence ~~the said fine of~~ without day ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ ~~and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 46285

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Joe Florez

CHARGE: Theft

No. 46285 November 25 1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Joe Florez pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, ~~and a jury, to wit~~ The Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having~~ heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having ~~been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to wit:~~

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ as a The opinion that defendant is guilty of said charge and assessed defendants punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars and thirty days in jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Joe Florez the said fine of Twenty-five ~~and no/100~~ ——— Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Joe Florez being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from this day and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 45652

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Henry Maschke

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 45652 December 3, 1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Henry Maschke pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. H. Weiser and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

W. H. Weiser
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C I Owens

CHARGE: Abusive Language

No. 4627 8

December 3, 1914

NUMBER 4627 8

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C I Owens *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C A Lee *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* and that said defendant go hence without day and have his costs in this behalf expended nothing ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County, from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jerry Jackson

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4629 2

December 3 1914

NUMBER 4629 2

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jerry Jackson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J M Cox *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do ~~have and recover of~~ the defendant,* Jerry Jackson and that he go hence without day, take nothing from *~~the said fine of~~* with his costs *~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County, from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18____

NUMBER 4293

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jesse Cook

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4293

December 2 1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jesse Cook *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J H Lee *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty, and assess his punishment at one dollar fine and fifty days in jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jesse Cook *the said fine of* One & 00/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for said period of fifty days *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 4322

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W H Usserary

CHARGE: Swindling

No. 4322

December 9/14

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ~~W H~~ W H Usserary *pleaded "~~NOT~~ GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Roy Jackson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

Roy Jackson
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas ~~do have and recover~~* take nothing *of the defendant,* W H Usserary, and that said defendant go hence without *~~the said fine of~~* day with his costs in this behalf expended *~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18



THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bill Thurman

CHARGE: Theft

No. 46314

December 11, 1914

NUMBER 46314

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bill Thurman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J M Hartsfield *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

J M Hartsfield, Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Bill Thurman, and that said defendant go hence without day with his costs *~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from~~ ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~*

nothing

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Raymond Long

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 46344

December 11, 1914

NUMBER 46344

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Raymond Long *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J M Hartsfield *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

J M Hartsfield Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Raymond Long, and that said defendant go hence without day, and have his costs in this behalf expended *~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from~~ ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~*

nothing

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 4573b

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J J Kennedy

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 4573b

December 10, 1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J J Kennedy pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J M Hartsfield and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of $125.00

J M Hartsfield
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J J Kennedy the said fine of One Hundred & Twenty five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County, and let him remain in said jail ~~from this day,~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 4585

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Moore

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 4585

December 5 1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Moore pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J M Cox and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

J M Cox
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, John Moore, but that said defendant John Moore go hence ~~the said fine of~~ without day, and have his costs in this behalf expended ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

and confine him in the jail of this County from ~~this day~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. B D Fronsell

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 4626

December 18, 1914

NUMBER 4626

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, B D Fronsell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: F M Berry and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information, and assess a fine of $100.00 and six months in the County jail

F M. Berry, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, B D Fronsell the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will take Charge of said defendant,

and confine him in the jail of this County and there keep him from This day and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

New Trial Granted 12-18-14

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Columbus Jackson

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 4638

December 18, 1914

NUMBER 4638

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Columbus Jackson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: F M Berry and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty of aggravated assault, and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

F M Berry Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Columbus Jackson the said fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Columbus Jackson being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County

from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 46334

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Natavidad Trevino

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 46334

December 16, 1914

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Natavidad Trevino pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: B A Blevins and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

B A Blevins
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, Natavidad Trevino,

~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars~~ and all costs of this ~~prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, and have his costs in this behalf expended

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~

~~from~~ ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

NUMBER 46371

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Tom Jenkins

CHARGE: Theft

No. 46371

December

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Tom Jenkins pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: F M Berry and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

F M Berry
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, Tom Jenkins, and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, with his costs

~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~

~~from~~ ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J E Turnbow

CHARGE: Disturbing the Peace

No. 45529

December 5, 1914

NUMBER 45529

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J E Turnbow *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J E Loyd *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty, and assess his punishment at 1.00 fine

J E Loyd, Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J E Turnbow *the said fine of* One & 00/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and ~~the said Defendant~>* and said defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will take charge of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* This day

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. F M Wallace

CHARGE: Sunday Opening

No. 45718

December 11, 1914

NUMBER 45718

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* F M Wallace *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* T C Bunch *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

T C Bunch
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant,* F M Wallace, and that said defendant go hence without day and have his costs in this behalf expended *~~the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~*

*and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18 _____

NUMBER 45885

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ed Thrasher

CHARGE: Theft

No. 45885

September 19, 14

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ed Thrasher *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* _____ *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* _____ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at $1 00

A A Sterley Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Ed Thrasher *the said fine of* One & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take charge

*and confine him in the jail of this County* and keep him in said jail *from* This day *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

New Trial Granted 9-20-14

NUMBER 45909

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Albert Turner

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 45909

December 9 1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Albert Turner *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Ray Jackson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars

Ray Jackson Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Albert Turner *the said fine of* Twenty five & no/100 — *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take him

*and confine him in the jail of this County* and let him remain there *from* this day *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
B F Ruby

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 16273

December Nov 27 1914

NUMBER 16273

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* B F Ruby *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W E Brown *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty *and assess his punishment* at 12 months in jail and Two Hundred Fifty Dollars fine.

W E Brown
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* B F Ruby *the said fine of* Two Hundred Fifty + 00/00 *Dollars and* and 12 mo in jail *all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is placed in custody of The sheriff of Tarrant County Texas who will take defendant in his possession *and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of Twelve months *from* This day *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
B Ramey

CHARGE: Carrying Pistol

No. 16384

January 6 1915

NUMBER 16384

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* B Ramey *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H G Sloan *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty.

H G Sloan
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* B Ramey *the said fine of* no/dlrs *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and take nothing

*and confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read signed and approved in Open Court this the 2nd day of Jan. 1915

Jesse M Brown County Judge

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18 _____

NUMBER 46384

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
B R Ramey

CHARGE: Carrying Pistol

No. 46384

January 6 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* B R Ramey *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H G Sloan *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

H G Sloan
Foreman "

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant,* B R Ramey

~~*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, and have his costs in this behalf expended

~~*and confine him in the Jail of this County*~~

~~*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*~~

---

NUMBER 46429

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs E Meyers

CHARGE: Theft

No 46429

January 7 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs E Meyers *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H G Sloan *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

H G Sloan
Foreman "

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant,* Mrs E Meyers

~~*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

And that defendant be allowed to go hence without day and have her costs in this behalf expended

~~*and confine him in the jail of this County*~~

~~*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Catherine Harris

CHARGE: Theft

No. 46431

January 7 1916

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Catherine Harris pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: T W Hefner and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess her punishment at ninety days in jail

T Hefner
Forman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Catherine Harris the said fine of ($no/100) and ninety days in jail ~~Dollars~~ and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, who will

and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County for said period of ninety days from This Date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Arthur Hill

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 46337

January 7 1916

NUMBER 46337

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Arthur Hill pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W N Shoffit and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty"

W N Shoffit
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, Arthur Hill, and that said defendant go hence ~~the said fine of~~ without day and have his costs in this behalf expended ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

and confine him in the jail of this County

from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18____

NUMBER 4642 3

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Louis Floris

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 4642 3

January 8, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Louis Floris pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H G Cox and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of 25.00"

H G Cox
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Louis Floris the said fine of Twenty five & no/100 ——— Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, ~~and the said Defendant~~

and said defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of The Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas who will take said defendant in his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County

from This day and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 4642 5

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lee Stinson

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 4642 5

January 8 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lee Stinson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H G Cox and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

H G Cox
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, and that said defendant Lee Stinson, go hence without day ~~the said fine of~~ with his costs ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

and confine him in the jail of this County

from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ed Carter

CHARGE: Vagracancy

No. 4644½

January 9 1915

NUMBER 4644½

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ed Carter *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* T J Bud *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

T J Bud
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Ed Carter *and that said defendant go hence without* nothing *day with his costs in this behalf expended ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~*

~~*and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*~~

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Earnest Langley

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 45451

January 8 1915

NUMBER 45451

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Earnest Langley *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W N Shoffit *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty of aggravated assault, and assess his punishment at a fine of 25.00

W N Shoffit
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Earnest Langley *the said fine of* Twenty-five & 00/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Leave present in open court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this day, and let him remain there *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18 _____

NUMBER 4436

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Leroy Fischer

No. 4436

CHARGE: Abusive Language

January 8 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Leroy Fischer *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Wm Shoffit *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars

Wm Shoffit
Foreman "

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Leroy Fischer *the said fine of* One Hundred ($100.00) *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* This date, and let him ~~Have~~ ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 4373

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Gene Hubbard

No. 4373

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

January 8, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Gene Hubbard *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A G Sloan *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty of aggravated assault and ~~fix his~~ ~~$25.00~~ And assess his punishment at a Fine of $25.00

A G Sloan
Foreman "

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Gene Hubbard *the said fine of* Twenty Five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, who will take Charge of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this day *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
F Bennett

CHARGE: Abusive Language

No 46382

NUMBER 46382

January 13 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, F Bennett pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S H Crockett and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty"

S H Crockett
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, F Bennett, ~~the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

and that said defendant go hence without day with his costs in this behalf expended.

~~and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Garfield Douglas

CHARGE: Carrying Pistol

No. 46477

NUMBER 46477

January 13 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Garfield Douglas pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A B Mynall and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at One Hundred ($100.00) Dollars

A B Mynall
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Garfield Douglas the said fine of One Hundred ($100.00) Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession, and

and confine him in the jail of this County from This day and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

NUMBER 46374

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dave Kennedy

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 46374 Wife Abandonment
January 14 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dave Kennedy pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J R Howard and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having ~~heard the~~ hearing Charge stated to them ~~read~~ by the Attorney and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess the fine at Fifty Dollars

J R Howard
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Dave Kennedy the said fine of Fifty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of The Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date, and keep him in jail and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 46384

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Marie Williams

CHARGE: Abusive Language
No. 46384 January 15, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Marie Williams pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W M Bennett and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty, and assess her punishment at a fine of Twenty-five Dollars

W M Bennett
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Marie Williams the said fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant in his possession,

and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County from this day, and keep her there and ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Rubin Franklin

CHARGE: Theft

No. 46458

NUMBER

January 14, 1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Rubin Franklin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W M Bennett *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

W M Bennett
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Rubin Franklin, ~~*the said fine of*~~ ~~*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~ nothing and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day and have his costs in this behalf

~~*and confine him in the jail of this County*~~ *from* ~~*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Martin

CHARGE: Adultery

No. 46476

NUMBER

January 14, 1914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Martin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A B Mynatt *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

A B Mynatt
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Will Martin, and that said defendant be allowed nothing ~~*the said fine of*~~ to go hence without day and have his ~~*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~ costs in this behalf expended

*and confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER 46489

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. May Hogan

CHARGE: Theft

No. 46489

January 15 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, May Hogan pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J R Howard and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at Thirty days confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County Texas"

J R Howard
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, May Hogan the said fine of no/100, ~~Dollars~~ and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of this County who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for said period of Thirty days from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 46441

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Sam Basham

CHARGE: Theft

No. 46441

January 21, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Sam Basham pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: E V Cathy and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

E V Cathy
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of nothing the defendant, Sam Basham, and that said defendant go hence without day with his costs ~~the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

and confine him in the jail of this County

from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Geo Lunetta } CHARGE: Swindling No. 16452 NUMBER 16452

January 21, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Geo Lunetta pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W B Collins and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

W B Collins
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Geo Lunetta, and that said defendant be allowed nothing ~~the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

to go hence without day and have his costs in this behalf expended

~~and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Dave Goldstein } CHARGE: Selling Morphine No. 16466 NUMBER 16466

January 20 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dave Goldstein pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Geo L Thorne and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Dave Goldstein the said fine of Twenty Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and said defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of Sheriff of Grant County Texas who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from This day ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 46499

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Edward Haight

CHARGE: Adultery Theft

No. 46499

January

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Edward Haight pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W D Johnson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00 and one day in jail

W D Johnson
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Edward Haight the said fine of One & no/100 Dollar, & One day in jail Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court, is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, who will take said Defendant in his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of one year from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 46509

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Thomas

CHARGE: Theft

No. 46509

January 23, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Thomas pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E V Cathy and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

E V Cathy
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Will Thomas, and that said defendant go hence without nothing day, with his costs in this behalf expended ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

and confine him in the jail of this County

from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Norman D Pew

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 46483

January 16, 1915

NUMBER 46483

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Norman D Pew pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, ~~and a jury, to-wit:~~ ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the~~ read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty ~~thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ The Court having heard the evidence and argument of Counsel thereon, is of the opinion that defendant is not guilty

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Norman D Pew, and said defendant be allowed nothing to go hence without day with his costs ~~the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geneva Williams

CHARGE: Theft

No. 46525

January 27, 1915

NUMBER 46525

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Geneva Williams pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J S Witherington and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

J S Witherington
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Geneva Williams, and that she be allowed to go hence without nothing day, with her costs ~~the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 46534

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Vera Blackwell

CHARGE: Selling without License

No. 46534

January 29, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Vera Blackwell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. D. Yates and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of $500.00, and one day in jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Vera Blackwell the said fine of Five Hundred & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will take the said defendant into his possession,

and confine her/~~him~~ in the jail of this County for a period of one day from this date, and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 46506

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Atlas Furlow

CHARGE: Theft by Bailee

No. 46506

February 4, 1915

THIS DAY, THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Atlas Furlow pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charges herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. L. Kearley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the complaint and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars, and thirty days in the County jail

C. L. Kearley
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Atlas Furlow the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of Thirty days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Raymond Long

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 46519
February 5, 1915

NUMBER 46519

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Raymond Long pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J M Bushee and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty J M Bushee Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Raymond Long nothing ~~the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ be allowed to go hence with day, with his costs in this behalf expended

~~and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J U Hudson

CHARGE: Adultery
No. 46631
January 30, 1915

NUMBER 46631

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J U Hudson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J H Withgood and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty" J H Withgood Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, J U Hudson nothing ~~the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ be allowed to go hence without day with his costs in this behalf expended

~~and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER 46540

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Wesley Williams, et al

CHARGE: Adultery

No. 46540

February 4, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Wesley Williams pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L A Speight and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Wesley Williams not guilty

L A Speight
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Wesley Williams ~~the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ be allowed to go hence without day, and have his costs in this behalf expended.

(Motion for Severance sustained)

~~and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

NUMBER 46540

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Reno Robinson, et al

CHARGE: Adultery

No. 46540

February 4, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Reno Robinson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, ~~and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which~~ was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~

The Court, after hearing the evidence submitted in said Cause, and being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that defendant, Reno Robinson, is not guilty of the charge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover, of the defendant, Reno Robinson be allowed to go hence without day, and have her costs in this behalf expended, nothing

~~the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Halie Hason

CHARGE: Assault
No. 46549
February 4, 1915

NUMBER 46549

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Halie Hason *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J M Moore *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty
J M Moore
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Halie Hason *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* be allowed to go hence without day and have his costs in this behalf expended

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Henry McDowell

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4656
February 3 1915

NUMBER 4656

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Henry McDowell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein,* the Court *and a jury, to-wit:* *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* is of the opinion that defendant Henry McDowell is guilty as charged, and assesses his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and thirty days in jail
(Sam L Bryan
Special Jdg)

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Henry McDowell *the said fine of* Twenty five & no/100 — *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and 30 days in jail

being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take the said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date, for a period of thirty days *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 46591

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charley Pettigrew

CHARGE: Selling Liquor in dry Town
No. 46691
February 5, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Charley Pettigrew *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Edgar T Neal *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

Edgar T Neal
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Charley Pettigrew *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* be allowed to go hence without day and have his costs in this behalf expended

*and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
.....

CHARGE: .....
No. .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant*

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....

*No.* .....

*NUMBER* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....

*No.* .....

*NUMBER* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 46471

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. B F Wyly

CHARGE: Swindling

No. 46471

February 6, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, B F Wyly pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, ~~to-wit:~~ and the Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the~~ information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ The Court is of the opinion that defendant is guilty, and his punishment is assessed at a fine of $100.00 and sixty days confinement in the County jail of Tarrant County Texas

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, B F Wyly the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 ——— Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will take said Defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of Sixty days from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 46472

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. B F Wyly

CHARGE: Swindling

No. 46472

February 6, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, B F Wyly pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, ~~to-wit:~~ the Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the ——— read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having ~~been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit~~:

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ The Court is of the opinion that defendant is guilty as charged, and his punishment assessed at a fine of $100.00 and sixty days confinement in the County jail of Tarrant County

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, B F Wyly the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 ——— Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of said County, who will take said defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of Sixty days from This Date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS } CHARGE: Theft

vs.

Mrs Pete Arnold } No. 46581 February 6, 1916

NUMBER 46581

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs Pete Arnold *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* G S Webb *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty, and assess her punishment at one days confinement in jail and Ten Dollars fine

G S Webb

Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mrs Pete Arnold *the said fine of* Ten & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take her into his possession

*and confine* ~~him~~ her *in the jail of this County* for a period of one day *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS } CHARGE: Theft

vs.

Willie Beland } No. 46417 February 18, 1916

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Willie Beland *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A T Gorman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty"

A T Gorman

Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of nothing the defendant,* Willie Beland, *and that said defendant be allowed* ~~*the said fine of*~~ to go hence without day, and have here ~~*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

costs in this behalf expended

*and confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 46590

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jim Garner

No. 46590 CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

February 19, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jim Garner pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Seventy-five Dollars, and thirty days in Jail"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Jim Garner the said fine of Seventy five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, who will take him into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of Thirty days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 46593

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. G C Brown

No. 46593 CHARGE: Theft

February 18, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, G C Brown pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L D Nichol and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

L D Nichal
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, G C Brown, ~~the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ nothing and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day and have his costs in this behalf expended

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ from ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. B W Johnson

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4660 8 February 18, 1915

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* B W Johnson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Nat P Shaw *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

Nat P Shaw
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* B W Johnson *~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~*

nothing

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, and have his costs in this behalf expended.

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ ~~from~~ ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. A L Landman

CHARGE: Selling on Sunday without Licence

No. 4622

NUMBER 4622

February 17, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A L Landman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W G Wallis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

W G Wallis
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* A L Landman *~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~*

nothing

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, and have his costs in this behalf expended

~~and confine him in the jail of this County,~~ ~~from~~ ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 46637

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Chas Wynn

CHARGE: Unlawfully Carrying Pistol

No. 46637

February 18, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Chas Wynn *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charges *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A F Mitchell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at 30 days in jail

A F Mitchell
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Chas Wynn *the said fine of* No *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is place in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will take charge of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of Thirty days *from* this date *and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 46616

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Robert Townsend

CHARGE: Theft

No. 46616

February 24, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Robert Townsend *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charges *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D C Harris *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

D C Harris
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant,* Robert Townsend, *~~the said fine of~~ Dollars and all costs of this ~~prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~*

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~*

*~~from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lonnie Chaney

No. 46648 CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

February 25, 1915

NUMBER 46648

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lonnie Chaney *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W C Forbess *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess the penalty at a minimum fine of Twenty five dollars

W C Forbess
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Lonnie Chaney *the said fine of* Twenty-Five + no/00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* from this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read, signed and approved in open Court this the 27th February 1915 Jesse M Brown Judge

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Thelma Shipp

No. 46729 CHARGE: Theft, Receiving & concealing Stolen Property

February 27, 1915

NUMBER 46729

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Thelma Shipp *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Phil Epstein *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of receiving and concealing stolen property, and assess his punishment at Thirty days imprisonment in the County Jail

Phil Epstein
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Thelma Shipp *the said fine of* Thirty days in Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of Thirty days *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 46751

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J S Marris

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4675l

March 11, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE, WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J S Marris *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* F M Heitt *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

F M Heitt
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of* nothing *the Defendant,* J S Marris

~~*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

And That said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

~~*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*~~

NUMBER 46756

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Fred Allen and Bessie Barr

CHARGE: Adultery and Fornication

No. 46756

March 11, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Fred Allen *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* F D Crowley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Fred Allen not guilty

F D Crowley
Foreman"

(Dismissed as to Deft Bessie Barr)

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of* nothing *the defendant,* Fred Allen

~~*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence Without day with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Tom Smith

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 4670

March 13, 1915

NUMBER 4670

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Tom Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* herein, *and a jury, to-wit:* J D Spearman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J D Spearman
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Tom Smith *the said fine of* ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ nothing

And that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, with his costs in this behalf expended

~~and confine him in the jail of this County from~~ ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Paul G Manus

CHARGE: Wife Desertion

No. 4676

March 12, 1915

NUMBER 4676

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Paul G Manus *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charges herein, *and a jury, to-wit:* C W Pearsall *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

C W Pearsall
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Paul G Manus *the said fine of* Twenty-five & 00/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in custody of the sheriff of Tarrant County, who will take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* This Date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 46780

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. C A Mulkey

CHARGE: Theft

No. 46780

March 13 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C A Mulkey *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L D Lasater *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

L D Lasater
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant,* C A Mulkey

~~*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

And that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, and have his costs in this behalf expended

~~*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*~~

NUMBER 46782

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Harry Carr

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 46782

March 13, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Harry Carr *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J F McNeill *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment of a fine of $1.00 and costs

J F McNeill
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant,* Harry Carr

*the said fine of* One & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....

*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Mendenhall

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 46799

March 13, 1915

NUMBER 46799

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Mendenhall pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: F M Kendricks and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not Guilty

F M Kendricks
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, John Mendenhall the said fine of nothing ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ be allowed to go hence without day and have his costs in this behalf expended

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~

from ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Myrtle Roach

CHARGE: A A

No. 46730

March 11, 1915

NUMBER 46730

THIS DAY THIS CAUST WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Myrtle Roach pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C A Corbin and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty of simple assault, and assess her punishment at a fine of Twenty-five Dollars.

C A Corbin
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Myrtle Roach the said fine of Twenty-five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take possession of said defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County

from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 46387

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. C W Wells

CHARGE: Abusive Language

No. 46387

March 12, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, C W Wells pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S D Lasater and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

S D Lasater
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, C W Wells ~~the said fine of ... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

And that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day - and have his costs in this behalf expended

and confine him in the jail of this County from ... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 46641

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mrs E E Harpett

CHARGE: Practicing Med. without License

No. 46641

March 5 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs E E Harpett pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ... herein, and a jury, to-wit: ... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, Mrs. E E Harpett ~~the said fine of ... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

and that said defendant go hence without day and have her costs in this behalf expended

and confine him in the jail of this County from ... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. C E Hyroop

CHARGE: Practicing Without License

No. 4679O March 20 1916

NUMBER 4679O

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C E Hyroop *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Lewis Snyder *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of $500 Do and ten days in jail.

Lewis Snyder
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* C E Hyroop *the said fine of* Five Hundred + no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in custody of The Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of ten days *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. James Browne

CHARGE: Gaming

No. 46706 March 26, 1915

NUMBER 46706

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* James Browne *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Clint Torian *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $10 00

Clint Torian
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* James Browne *the said fine of* Ten + no 100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in custody of The Sheriff of Tarrant County, Who will take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ~~for a period of ten days~~ *from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 46710

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Phocian Brachshers

CHARGE: Gaming

No. 46710

March 26, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Phocian Brachshers pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Clint Torian and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars

Clint Torian
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Phocian Torian the said fine of Ten and no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will take said defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 46711

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Rufus Felton

CHARGE: Gaming

No. 46711

March 26, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Rufus Felton pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Clint Torian and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00

Clint Torian
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Rufus Felton the said fine of Ten and no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being presenting in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will take possession of said defendant and

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bob Jones

CHARGE: Playing Cards

No. 46722

March 26, 1915

NUMBER 46722

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bob Jones pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Clint Torian and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars

Clint Torian
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Bob Jones the said fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Dick Turpin

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 46743

March 17, 1915

NUMBER 46743

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dick Turpin pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Lewis Snyder and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars,

Lewis Snyder
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Dick Turpin the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will said defendant into his possession and

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 46764

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Thurman

CHARGE: Keeping Open on Sunday a Place of Amusement

No. 46764

March 25, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Thurman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* I B Greer *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00

I B Greer

I B Greer, Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* John Thurman *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will take the possession of said defendant.

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 46775

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Myrtle Roach

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 46775

March 18, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Myrtle Roach *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R A Hartman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess her punishment at Thirty days Confinement in the County Jail

R A Hartman

Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Myrtle Roach *the said fine of* no *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take possession of said defendant.

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of 30 days *from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs. Marie Ward
Jno Burlin (alias Jno Burleson et al)

No 4680 9 CHARGE: Adultery and Fornication

NUMBER 4680 9

March 18, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jno Burlin and Marie Ward *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Lewis Snyder *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendants Guilty and assess their punishment at a fine of $250.00 for the man John Burlin and $50.00 for the woman, Marie Ward

Lewis Snyder,
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendants* John Burlin and Marie Ward respectively *the said fine of* Two Hundred Fifty and Fifty & no/100 *Dollars, and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendants* being present in open Court, are placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will take possession of said defendants, and

*and confine* them *in the jail of this County* .....
*from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mary Taylor

CHARGE: ~~Cursing over Telephone~~

No. 4683 3 Using Indecent Language over a Telephone

NUMBER 4683 3

March 24, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mary Taylor *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W N Martin *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars

W N Martin
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Mary Taylor
*the said fine of* Ten & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession and confine her

~~*and confine him*~~ *in the jail of this County* .....
*from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 46682

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Francesco Rodriguez

CHARGE: Carrying a Pistal

No. 46682

March 31 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Francesco Rodriguez *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* herein, *and a jury, to-wit:* W A Bates *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information, and assess his punishment at an imprisonment of 30 days in jail

W A Bates
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Francesco Rodriguez *the said fine of* no *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of Thirty days *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 46721

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. L E Henson

CHARGE: Violating Traffic Ordinance

No. 46721

April 2, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* L E Henson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charges *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Clint Toriant *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00

Clint Toriant,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Clint Toriant *the said fine of* Ten & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* —
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bessie Williams

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4684 3

NUMBER 4684 3

March 31, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bessie Williams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C Funstenberg *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty of theft, and assess her punishment at a fine of $10.00 and 30 days in jail

C Funstenberg
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Bessie Williams
*the said fine of* Ten & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

be being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas Who will take possession of said defendant

*and confine* her *him in the jail of this County* for a period of Thirty days
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Geo. Beland

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4686 2

NUMBER 4686 2

March 31, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Geo Beland *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D R Leatherman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty of theft as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00 and ten days in jail

D R Leatherman
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Geo Beland
*the said fine of* Ten & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Shgriff of Tarryant County, Texas, who to he said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of Ten
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 46864

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. E L McLeod

CHARGE: Keeping a Moving Picture Show open on Sunday

No. 46864

April 3 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* E L McLeod *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charges *herein, ~~and a jury, to wit:~~* and The Court *~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who~~, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and ~~having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~*

*~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~*

and after hearing argument of the Counsel of both parties, and being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that defendant is guilty as charged in this information and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars.

*IT IS THEREFORE ~~CONSIDERED~~ ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* E L McLeod *the said fine of* Twenty + no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 4646

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Fred Gilbert

CHARGE: Vagancy

No. 4646

March 12, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Fred Gilbert *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charges *herein, ~~and a jury, to wit:~~* The Court *~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~*

*~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~*

argument of Counsel, and being fully advised in the premises is of the opinion that defendant is guilty, and assesses his punishment at a fine of $5.00.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Fred Gilbert *the said fine of* Five + no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will take said Defendant into his possession and

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J R Newman

CHARGE: Swindling

No. 4683

April 8, 1915

NUMBER 4683

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J R Newman pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J W Scott Jr and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $200.00 and ten days in jail

J W Scott, Jr.
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J R Newman the said fine of Two Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of Ten days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Alejandro Trevino

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 46893

April 7, 1915

NUMBER 46893

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Alejandro Trevino pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and ~~a jury, to-wit:~~ The Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having ~~been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ heard argument of Counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that defendant is Guilty as charged in the information, and assesses his punishment at a fine of $100.00 and Thirty days confinement in the County jail.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Alejandro Trevino the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will take said Defendant into his possession, and ~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for a period of 30 days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18_____

NUMBER 46876

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
George Simpson

CHARGE: Theft

No. 46876

April 8, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* George Simpson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* O K Wheeler *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

O K Wheeler
Forman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover, of* nothing *the Defendant,* George Wheeler

~~*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, with his costs

~~*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____~~

*from* _____ ~~*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*~~

NUMBER 46884

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Henry Daniels

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 46884

April 7th 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Henry Daniels *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* B J H Thomas *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

B J H Thomas
Forman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover, of* nothing *the defendant,* Henry Daniels

~~*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

be allowed to go hence without day with his costs in this behalf expended

~~*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____~~

*from* _____ ~~*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Frank Pinto

CHARGE: Violating Pure Food Law

No. 46952

April 21, 1915

NUMBER 46952

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Frank Pinto *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J W Reeves *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty-five Dollars

J W Reeves
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Frank Pinto *the said fine of* Twenty-five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession and

*and confine him in the jail of this County* Same *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jim Pappas

CHARGE: Violating Pure Food Law

No. 46954

April 21, 1915

NUMBER 46954

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Pappas *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W J Morris *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty-five Dollars

W J Morris
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Jim Pappas *the said fine of* Twenty-five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas

*and confine him in the jail of this County* Same *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 46959

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Charley Roberson

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 46959

April 21, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Charley Roberson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E S Gillen and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars.

E S Gillen
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Charley Roberson the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 46931

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Dee Johnson

CHARGE: Theft

No. 46931

April 22, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dee Johnson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W H Watson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information, and assess his punishment at Confinement in the County jail of this County for a period of 12 months

W H Watson
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Dee Johnson the said fine of no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, who will and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of one year from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Pearl Carter

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 46853

March 31, 1915

NUMBER 46853

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Pearl Carter *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E B Stevenson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

E B Stevenson
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover* nothing *of the Defendant,* Pearl Carter

*the said fine of* no *Dollars ~~and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~*

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs,

*~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~*

*from* _____ *~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
I Haggard Rider

CHARGE: Practicing without License

No. 46854

April 9, 1915

NUMBER 46854

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* I Haggard Rider *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* B J H Thomas *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

B J H Thomas
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover* nothing *of the defendant,* I Haggard Rider

*~~the said fine of~~* _____ *~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~*

And that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~*

*from* _____ *~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~*

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 46931

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Dee Johnson

CHARGE: Theft

No. 46931

April 22, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Dee Johnson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W N Watson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at 12 months confinement in the County jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Dee Johnson *the said fine of* no *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Legal Agent in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of 12 months *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 46932

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Geo McKinney

CHARGE: Theft

No. 46932

April 22, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Geo McKinney *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W N Younger *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

W N Younger
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of* nothing *the defendant,* Geo McKinney *~~the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~*

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ from ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E L Carell

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 4694

April 24, 1916

NUMBER 4694

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* E L Carell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M S Galloway *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty, and assess his punishment at thirty days confinement in the County jails

M S Galloway
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* E L Carell
~~*the said fine of*~~ no ~~*Dollars and*~~ all costs of this *prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such* ~~*fine and*~~ *costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court, is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of thirty days
*from* this date *and until such* ~~*fine and*~~ *costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Laura Gilbert

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4694 6

April 22, 1916

NUMBER 4694 6

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Laura Gilbert *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W N Watson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

W N Watson
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover* nothing *of the defendant,* Laura Gilbert
*the said fine of* ~~*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

And That said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 46956

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. James Pinto

CHARGE: Violating Pure Food Law

No. 46946

April 21, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, James Pinto pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W G Galloway and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

W G Galloway
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, James Pinto ~~the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ nothing and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 46960

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Johnnie Gray

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 46960

April 23, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Johnnie Gray pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J T Brooks and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

J T Brooks
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Johnnie Gray ~~the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Joe Winterman

CHARGE: Selling without License

No. 4697

NUMBER 4697

April 24 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Joe Winterman pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W N Watson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $500 and one day ~~in~~ Confinement in jail of Tarrant County. Texas.

W N Watson
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Joe Winterman the said fine of Five Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of one day from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs.

CHARGE:

No.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read, signed and approved in Open Court this the 1st day of May 1915— John M. Brown Co Judge

548 251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

May TERM, May 3 A. D. 1915

NUMBER 46981

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Geo Dangherger

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 46981

May 5 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Geo Dangherger *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J Stribling *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J Stribling
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Geo Dangherger,

~~*the said fine of* ... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

nothing

And that said Defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his Costs

~~*and confine him in the jail of this County* ...~~

*from* ... ~~*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*~~

---

NUMBER 46998

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J H Carter Frank Rubio

CHARGE: Theft

No. 46998

May 7 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Frank Rubio J H Carter *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein,* ~~*and a jury, to-wit:*~~ And the Court ~~*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,*~~ *who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and* ~~*having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*~~

~~*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant*~~

being fully advised in premises is of the opinion that defendant is guilty, as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty-five Dollars, and Thirty days in jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ~~Frank Rubio~~ J H Carter

*the said fine of* Twenty-five no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff, who will take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of Thirty days

*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E L Comer

CHARGE: Wife Deserting

No. 47020

May 8 1915

NUMBER 47020

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, E L Comer pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C R Webb and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars and Six Months Confinement in Tohd County Jail

C R Webb
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, E L Comer the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take him into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of six months from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Wilkinson

CHARGE: Killing Dog

No. 46915

May 1915

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jim Wilkinson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J Spelling and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

J Spelling
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, Jim Wilkinson ~~the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 46950

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Otto Stewart

CHARGE: Wife Desertion

No. 46950

May 5 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Otto Stewart pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, ~~and a jury, to-wit: Jud The Court and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the~~ Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and ~~having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to wit:~~

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ And being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that defendant is guilty as charged in the information, and assesses his punishment at a fine of $50.00 and three months confinement in the County Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Otto Stewart the said fine of Fifty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff, who will take said defendant into his possession,

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of 3 months from This day and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 4699[illegible]

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W H Blair

CHARGE: Violating Pure Food

No. 4699[illegible]

May 13, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W H Blair pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: W R Logan and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

W R Logan
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, W H Blair ~~the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ and that defendant be allowed to go hence without

~~and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Willie Holland

CHARGE: Theft

No. 47039

NUMBER 47039

May 12, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Willie Holland pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S M Fuzzman and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ~~Information~~ Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Second Count of the indictment, and assess his punishment at a fine of fifteen Dollars and one day's Confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas.

S M Fuzzman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Willie Holland the said fine of Fifteen + 00/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of one day from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Albert Juefeldt

CHARGE: A A

No. 47046

NUMBER 47046

May 7, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Albert Juefeldt pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J Spelling and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

J Spelling
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, Albert Juefeldt ~~the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ from ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

552 ..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 47047

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Dan Zuefeldt

CHARGE: Assault

No. 47047

May 7 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Dan Zuefeldt *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. Sullivan *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *redd and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

J. Sullivan
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Dan Zuefeldt

~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ nothing

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs in this behalf expended

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~

*from* ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

NUMBER 47070

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Fred Redmond

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 47070

May 12, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Fred Redmond *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* O. P. Stanley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and two years confinement in the County jail of Tarrant County, Texas

O. P. Stanley
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Fred Redmond

*the said fine of* One Hundred ~~Dollars~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for Two years

*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mrs Pete Arnold

CHARGE: Swindling

No. 4707

NUMBER 4707

May 13, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs Pete Arnold pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H M Murphy and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged, and assess her punishment at a fine of Three Hundred Dollars, and six month's Confinement in the County jail of Tarrant County, Texas.

H M Murphy
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Mrs Pete Arnold the said fine of Three Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take possession of said defendant

and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County for a period of ~~Three~~ six months, from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J H Wilson

CHARGE: Assault

No. 4690

NUMBER 4690

May 15, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J H Wilson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: O P Stanley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

O P Stanley
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, J H Wilson ~~the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

And that said Defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and confine him in the jail of this County

from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

NUMBER 47060

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John McDonald

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 47060

May 29, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John McDonald *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W J McAlpine *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars

W J McAlpine
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* John McDonald *the said fine of* Fifty & No/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will said defendant into his possession,

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this day *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 47081

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Sam McGee and Bessie Mulligan

CHARGE: Adultery and Fornication

No. 47081

May 29, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendants* Sam McGee and Bessie Mulligan *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W S McElreath *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendants guilty, and assess their punishment at a fine of One Hundred ($100.00) Dollars each

W S McElreath
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of* each *of the defendants* Sam McGee and Bessie Mulligan *the said fine of* One Hundred ($100.00) *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendants*

being present in open Court - are each placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendants into his possession

*and confine* them and each of them *in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Hardy E Graves

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 47135

May 28, 1915

NUMBER 47135

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Hardy E Graves pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W F McElreath and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at Sixty day's Confinement in the County jail

W F McElreath
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Hardy E Graves the said fine of No Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of Sixty days from this date and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ike Brown

CHARGE: Embezzlement

No. 47149

May 28 1915

NUMBER 47149

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ike Brown pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W F McElreath and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a Fine of Twenty five Dollars and Thirty days Confinement in the County jail

W F McElreath
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Ike Brown the said fine of Twenty five & No/00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of Thirty days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 47165

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Tom McMueller

CHARGE: Theft

No. 47165

May 28, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Tom McMueller pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: D W Head and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Complaint - and assess his punishment at a fine of $30.00 - and 20 days in jail

D W Head
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Tom McMueller the said fine of Thirty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take possession of said defendant and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of Twenty days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 47190

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Stevens

CHARGE: Selling without License

No. 47190

June 4, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Stevens pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A S McMorris and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars, and one day's Confinement in the County jail of Tarrant County, Texas

A S McMorris
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Will Stevens the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take the said defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of one day from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS } CHARGE: Swindling ..... NUMBER

vs.

R A McCurdy

No. 47052 June 5, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* R A McCurdy *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Sam Walker *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

Sam McCurdy
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* R A McCurdy *~~the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~* nothing and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs.

*~~and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS } CHARGE: Theft ..... NUMBER 46978

vs.

Ambrus Brooks

No. 46978 June 9, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ambrus Brooks *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J Griswell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of 1¢ and one days confinement in the County Jail

J Griswell
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Ambrus Brooks *the said fine of* One & 50 Cts *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas. Who will take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* One day *from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 47193

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jim Harris

No. 47193 CHARGE: Pistol

June 8, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jay Harris pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. D. Childress and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

J. D. Childress
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover, nothing of the Defendant, Jim Harris ~~the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ and said defendant be allowed to go hence without day and have his costs in this behalf expended

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ from ..... ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

NUMBER 47238

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Joe Scott

No. 47238 CHARGE: Theft

June 8, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Joe Scott pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. Redden and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, Joe Scott ~~the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs herein expended

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ from ..... ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A L Suggle

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 47239

June 9 1915

NUMBER 47239

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, A L Suggle pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Jno Nymm and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

Jno Nymm
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, A L Suggle ~~the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

And that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A S Wing

CHARGE: Impersonating an Officer

No. 47104

June 10 1915

NUMBER 47104

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, A S Wing pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Thomas Hart and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information, and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

Thomas Hart
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, A S Wing the said fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 47023

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lela Miller

CHARGE: Theft

No 47023

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lela Miller *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and ~~a jury, to wit:~~* The Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, *who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which~~ was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ is of the opinion that defendant is guilty, and her punishment is assessed at a fine of $25.00 and 30 days in jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Lela Miller *the said fine of* Twenty-five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession, and

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of thirty days *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 47172

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jesse McBee

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No 47172

June 12, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jesse McBee *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Thomas J Hart *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information, and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty-five & no/100 ($25.00) Dollars

Thomas J Hart
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Jesse McBee *the said fine of* Twenty-five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
H Malone

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 47173
June 12, 1915

NUMBER 47173

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, H Malone pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: K A Mulkey and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

K A Mulkey
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, H Malone nothing
~~the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

And That said Defendant be allowed to go hence without day, with his costs in this behalf expended

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charley Chastain

CHARGE: A Assault
No. 47266
June 16, 1915

NUMBER 47266

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Charley Chastain pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R V Ray and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty of simple assault, and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars

R V Ray
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Charley Chastain
the said fine of Ten 00/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER 47100

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Harve Wilson

No. 47100

CHARGE: Swindling

June 18, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Harve Wilson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: R V Roy and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty as charged in the Information

R V Roy
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover, of nothing the Defendant, Harve Wilson the said fine of ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs.

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~
from ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

NUMBER 47125

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bob Williamson

No. 47125

CHARGE: Gaming

June 23, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bob Williamson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W L Tatum and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information, and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty-five & no/100 Dollars

W L Tatum
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Bob Williamson the said fine of Twenty-five & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W D Hopp

CHARGE:
No. 47134

NUMBER 47134

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W D Hopp pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R V Ray and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

R V Ray
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, W D Hopp ~~the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

And that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ from ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid~~

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
M H Shelton

CHARGE: Threatening to take a Human Life
No. 47144
June 24, 1915

NUMBER 47144

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, M H Shelton pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A R Horseley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

A R Horseley
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, M H Shelton ~~the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

And that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ from ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 47280

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Gregson

CHARGE: Theft

No 47280

June 23, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Gregson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J M Stewart *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

J M Stewart
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover, of nothing the Defendant,* John Gregson

~~*the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

And that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

~~*and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*~~

NUMBER 47282

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. A L Goddard

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No 47282

June 23, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A L Goddard *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J M Stewart *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

J M Stewart
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover, of nothing the defendant,* A L Goddard

~~*the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

And that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J W Winston

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4726 June 25, 1915

NUMBER 4726

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J W Winston *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J M Stewart *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

J M Stewart

Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J W Winston

~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~

*from* ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Willie Marshall

CHARGE: Theft

No. 47292 June 25, 1915

NUMBER 47292

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Willie Marshall *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J M Stewart *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty as charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of fifty Dollars, and thirty days confinement in the County jail

J M Stewart

Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* J M Stewart

*the said fine of* Fifty & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession and

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of Thirty days

*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 47306

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ysmael Cantu

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 47306

June 30, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ysmael Cantu pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W C Duncan and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty-five & 2nd 100 Dollars

W C Duncan
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ysmael Cantu the said fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from This day and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 47299

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ira Webb

CHARGE: Unlawfully Taking Auto

No. 47299

June 30, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ira Webb pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, ~~and a jury, to-wit:~~ And the Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who,~~ having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ is of the opinion that said defendant is guilty, as charged in the information and assesses his punishment at confinement in the County jail of Tarrant County, Texas for a period of twelve months

Jesse M Broyles
Co Judge,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Ira Webb the said fine of no Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession,

and confine him in the jail of this County For a period of twelve months from this day and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W G Thornton

CHARGE: Speeding

No. 47314

NUMBER 47314

July 1, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W G Thornton pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Ben Barrett and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of Five & no/100 Dollars,

Ben Barrett
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, W G Thornton the said fine of Five & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J C Harkins

CHARGE: Wife Desertion

No. 47273

NUMBER

July 1, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J C Harkins pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J R Drake and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty

J R Drake
Foreman"

J C Harkins

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of nothing the defendant, J C Harkins ~~the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs in this behalf expended

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read, signed and approved in open Court this the 5th July 1915

Jesse M Brown Co Judge

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

July TERM, July 3 A. D. 1915

NUMBER 47374

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Leo Smith

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 47374

July 14, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Leo Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Chas Elliott *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at 30 days confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas.

Chas Elliott
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Leo Smith *the said fine of* no *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will take said defendant into his possession.

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of Thirty days *from* this day *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 47359

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Tom Ridgeway

CHARGE: Selling on Sunday

No. 47359

July 14, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Tom Ridgeway *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R N Plaugman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars, and two months Confinement in the County jail of this County

R N Plaugman
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* R N Plaugman *the said fine of* One Hundred & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of Two months *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Robert Alexander

CHARGE: Theft

No. 47326

July 15, 1915

NUMBER 47326

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Robert Alexander pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, ~~and a jury~~, ~~to wit:~~ Jesse M Brown ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,~~ who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

"~~WE THE JURY~~, Find the Defendant we of the opinion that defendant is guilty, and his punishment is assessed at a fine of $25.00 and 30 days confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Robert Alexander the said fine of Twenty-five & 100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court, is placed in the Custody of The Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant in his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of Thirty days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. D Brown

CHARGE: Using Motor Vehicle

No. 47326

July 17, 1915

NUMBER 47326

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, D Brown pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C T Chambers and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty,

C T Chambers,
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, D Brown the said fine of no Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant be allowed to go hence without day and have his costs,

and confine him in the jail of this County

from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 47387

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. F J Ryan

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 47387

July 15, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* F J Ryan *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R N Plaugman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

R N Plaugman
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of* nothing *the Defendant,* F J Ryan

*the said fine of* no *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 47380

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. A J Briggs

CHARGE: Assault

No. 47380

July 21, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A J Briggs *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D W Metcalf *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

D W Metcalf
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of* nothing *the defendant,* A J Briggs,

~~*the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Andrew Client

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 47398

July 21, 1915

NUMBER 47398

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Andrew Client pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: F B Duringer and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

F B Duringer
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Andrew Client nothing

~~the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

And that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~

from ..... ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bug Howard

CHARGE: Abusive Language

No. 47439

August 26, 1915

NUMBER 47439

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bug Howard pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W D Trimble and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of One Dollar and all costs

W D Trimble
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Bug Howard

the said fine of One & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County

from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 47466

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ludans Smith

CHARGE: Theft

No. 47466

August 28, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ludonus Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R L Bell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

R L Bell,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Ludans Smith *the said fine of* no fine *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* nothing

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs in this behalf expended

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 47478

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J E Hatfield

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 47478

August 28, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J E Hatfield *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A J Mahaney *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

A J Mahaney
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* J E Hatfield *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* nothing

And that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs herein expended

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dummy (Negro)

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4749 2

August 26, 1915

NUMBER 4749 2

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dummy pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W D Trimble and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of 30 days' Confinement in The jail of Tarrant County, Texas.

W D Trimble
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Dummy the said fine of no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of The Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession, and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of 30 days from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dummy (Negro)

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4749 3

August 26, 1915

NUMBER 4749 3

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dummy pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W D Trimble and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged, and assess his punishment at thirty days' confinement in the County jail

W D Trimble
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Dummy the said fine of no Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of The Sheriff of this County, who will take said defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of thirty days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 47494

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Dummy (Negro)

CHARGE: Theft

No. 47494

August 26, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dummy pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W D Trimble and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged, and assess his punishment at 30 days confinement in the County jail of Tarrant County, Texas

W D Trimble,
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Dummy the said fine of No Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of thirty days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 47519

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Robert Harris

CHARGE: Theft

No. 47519

August 26, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Robert Harris pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: James M Brown and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and Ten days' confinement in the County jail of this County

James M Brown
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Robert Harris the said fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession and

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for a period of ten days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lois Poers

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 47537

August 26, 1915

NUMBER 47537

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lois Poers *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R L Bell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

R L Bell
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Lois Poers nothing

~~*the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

and said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, and have his costs in this behalf expended

~~*and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*~~

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mat Blackman

CHARGE: Theft

No. 47548

August 26, 1915

NUMBER 47548

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mat Blackman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* G W Hardgrove *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty *and assess his punishment at* Thirty days' confinement in the County jail of this County

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Mat Blackman nothing

~~*the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

And that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

~~*and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*~~

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 47434

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Harry Grady

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No 47434 August 28, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Harry Grady *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, ~~and a jury,~~ to-wit:* The Court *and five others, ~~was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to wit:~~*

~~"WE THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ is of the opinion that defendant is guilty as charged in the information, and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Harry Grady *the said fine of* Fifty & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

and said defendant being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 47610

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Dora Belle

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No 47610 September 2, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Dora Belle *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J W Hubbard *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged, and assess her punishment at a fine of $25.00 and thirty days confinement in the County Jail

J W Hubbard,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Dora Belle *the said fine of* Twenty five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will forthwith take the said defendant into his possession

*and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County* for a period of 30 days *from* This Date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Tom Smith

CHARGE: Wife Desertion
No. 47600
September 1, 1915

NUMBER 47600

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Tom Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R L Harris *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

R L Harris
Foreman "

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant,* Tom Smith

~~The said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, and have his costs in this behalf expended

~~and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Nolan Thompson

CHARGE: Theft
No. 47457
September 2, 1915

NUMBER 47457

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Nolan Thompson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J W Lasell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as Charged and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars and twenty days Confinement in the County jail of Tarrant Tarrant County, Texas

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Nolan Thompson
*the said fine of* Fifty & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will take possession of said defendant and ~~place~~

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of Twenty days
*from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

A-H-15 Read signed and approved in open Court
Jno M Brown
C C Judge

September TERM, September 6 1915 A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it Remembered, That on Monday the 6th day of September, and 1915, there came on and was held a regular term of the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House of said County, in Fort Worth, Texas, present and presiding the Hon. Jesse M Bryan County Judge, Marshall Sprouto, County attorney, W Hogan, Co Clerk and W C Mann, Sheriff, the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

NUMBER 47629

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mrs N C Dodgen

CHARGE: Keeping Bawdy House

No 47629

September 8, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs N C Dodgen *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A J Mahaney *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged, and assess her punishment at a fine of $200.00 and twenty days confinement in the County jail

A J Mahaney
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mrs N C Dodgen *the said fine of* Two Hundred & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession and *and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of 20 days *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 47638

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Fred Wheat

CHARGE: Selling on Sunday

No. 47638

September 8, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Fred Wheat *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A J Mahaney *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of $500.00 and one day in jail,

A J Mahaney,
Foreman"

To which defendant then and there in open Court excepts and gives notice of appeal to Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Fred Wheat *the said fine of* Five Hundred & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant into his possession *and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of one day *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

To which verdict and judgment the defendant then and there, in open Court excepts and gave notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. F O Fitzgerald

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 4752 September 10, 1915

NUMBER 4752

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, F O Fitzgerald pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charges herein, and a jury, to-wit: J L Morning and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

J L Morning
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, F O Fitzgerald

~~the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, and have his costs in this behalf expended

~~and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Johnie Redd

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4746 September 11, 1915

NUMBER 4746

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Johnie Redd pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charges herein, and a jury, to-wit: J W Adams and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged, and assess his punishment at fine of $25.00 and thirty days' Confinement in the County jail of this County

J W Adams
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Johnie Redd the said fine of Twenty-Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of thirty days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 47664

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Joe Cox

CHARGE: Carrying a Pistal

No. 47664

September 15, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Joe Cox pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J R Stockton and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of $200.00 and twelve months' Confinement in the County jail of this County

J R Stockton
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Joe Cox the said fine of Two Hundred & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will take him into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of 12 months from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 47650

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Amie Henderson

CHARGE: Selling on Sunday with Liquor

No. 47650

September 18, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Amie Henderson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C L Jainer and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess her punishment at a fine of $500.00 and 15 days in the County jail

C L Jainer
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Amie Henderson the said fine of Five Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and said defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take possession of said defendant ~~him~~ her and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County for 15 days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jake Stein

CHARGE: No. 47444

NUMBER 47444

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jake Stein pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W H Perkins and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

W H Perkins
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Jake Stein nothing the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, with his costs herein expended

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mrs M N McKinney

CHARGE: Selling Without License

No. 47503

September 17, 1915

NUMBER 47503

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs M N McKinney pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W H Perkins and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information, and assess her punishment at a fine of Two Hundred Fifty Dollars and an imprisonment of Forty days in the County jail of Tarrant County Texas.

W H Perkins, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Mrs M N McKinney the said fine of Two Hundred Fifty + no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

being present in open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take her into his possession

and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County for a period of forty days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 47668

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Martin Gilbert

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 47668

September 22, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Martin Gilbert *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D O Hopkins *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

D O Hopkins,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Martin Gilbert *the said fine of* ~~_____ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and~~ nothing *the said Defendant* being present in open Court, be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ *from* ~~_____ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

NUMBER 47693

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ed Graham

CHARGE: Selling without License

No. 47693

September 30 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ed Graham *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H W Cooper *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Hundred Dollars and one days confinement in the County jail.

H W Cooper,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Ed Graham *the said fine of* Five Hundred & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of one day *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
H E Moore

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 47747
October 14, 1915

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* H E Moore *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charges *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* T P Huffman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

T P Huffman
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* H E Moore *the said fine of* ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ nothing

And that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs in this behalf expended.

~~and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Julius Gallard

CHARGE: Theft
No. 47713
October 1, 1915

NUMBER 47713

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Julius Gallard *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charges *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged, and punishment assessed at 9 months in jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

above judgment set aside and PG entered

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 47295

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W J Strong

CHARGE: Pistol

No 47295

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W J Strong pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Information herein, and a jury, to-wit: W L Talbert and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty as charged in the information, W L Talbert Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, W J Strong the said fine of nothing Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant go hence without delay day with all costs herein expended

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 47758

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W C Webb

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 47758

October 20, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W C Webb pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: J R Fuller and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty as charged in the information

J R Fuller, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, W C Webb nothing ~~the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, and have his costs in this behalf expended

~~and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. H H Porterfield

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4776

October 21 1915

NUMBER 4776

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, H H Porterfield pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Geo H Schobert and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

Geo H Schobert, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, H H Porterfield, ~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, and have his costs in this behalf expended ~~and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will West

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 4786

October 21, 1915

NUMBER 4786

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will West pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: J R Fuller and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

J R Fuller, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, Will West, ~~the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, and have his costs in this behalf expended ~~and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 47701

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W Byrens

CHARGE: Violating Pure Food Law

No. 47701

October 22, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W Byrens pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J N Vardisty and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00.

J N Vardisty, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, W Byrens the said fine of One ▽ 00/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession and

~~and~~ confine him ~~in~~ the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 47771

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Robert Carr

CHARGE: Theft

No. 47771

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Robert Carr pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, ~~and a jury,~~ to-wit: Jesse M Brown, Co Judge ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,~~ who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ and being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that defendant is guilty as charged in the information, and assesses his punishment at a fine of $10.00 and One days confinement in the County jail of Tarrant County, Texas

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Robert Carr the said fine of Ten ▽ 00/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of this County, who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County For a period of One Day from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Basham

CHARGE: C Theft

No. 4778 9

October 27, 1915

NUMBER 4778 9

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Basham pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charges herein, and a jury, to-wit: L C Duke and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at 60 days confinement in the County jail of Tarrant County, Texas

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Will Basham the said fine of no Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court, is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take possession of said defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of Sixty days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Curtis Gibbs

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4779

October 27, 1915

NUMBER 4779

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Curtis Gibbs pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: P F McKee and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

P F McKee
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, Curtis Gibbs the said fine of no Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant be allowed to go hence without day, and have his costs in this behalf expended

and confine him in the jail of this County

from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 47801

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W C Webb

CHARGE: Assault

No 47801

October 27, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W C Webb *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* herein, *and a jury, to-wit:* C M Smith *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information, and assess his punishment at a fine of Five & no/100 Dollars.

C M Smith
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* W C Webb *the said fine of* Five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court, is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will said defendant into his possession, and

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 47757

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lem Wilson

CHARGE: Theft

No 47757

October 23,

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lem Wilson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, ~~to-wit:~~* Jesse M Brown, Judge *~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,~~ who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~*

*~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~* And being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that defendant is not guilty.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Lem Wilson *the said fine of* no *Dollars and all costs of this ~~prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~* And that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day and have his costs in this behalf expended.

*~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ from ~~and until such fine and costs are~~ fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Raymond Long

CHARGE: Malicious Mischief

No. 47838

October 30, 1915

NUMBER 47838

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Raymond Long *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Sam Wallace *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $52.50,

Sam Wallace,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Raymond Long *the said fine of* Fifty-two + 50/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take possession of said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read signed and approved in open court this 30th Oct. 1915
R. W. Brown Judge

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W H Useary

CHARGE: Theft

No.

Oct 28, 1915

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W H Useary *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at one day in jail

Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* W H Useary *the said fine of* nb *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in Custody of Sheriff Tarrant Co, who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* for one day *from* This date *and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.*

590 251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

November TERM, November 1st A. D. 1915

Be It Remembered that on the 1st day of Nov 1915 there came on and was held a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House of said County in Fort Worth, present and presiding the Honorable Jesse M Brown County Judge; Marshall Sparks County Attorney; W T Logan, County Clerk and N Mang, Sheriff, when the following proceedings, among others, were had:—

NUMBER 47812

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Fred Robertson

CHARGE: Speeding

No 47812

November 13, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Fred Robertson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W L Pugh and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty, as charged

W L Pugh
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, Fred Robertson ~~the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs,~~ and the said Defendant be allowed to depart without day, with his costs in this behalf expended

~~and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

NUMBER 47824

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J S Coakley

CHARGE: Affray

No 47824

November 12, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J S Coakley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J M Malcomb and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

J M Malcomb
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, J S Coakley ~~the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs,~~ and the said Defendant be allowed to go hence without day, with all costs herein expended

~~and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. F J Youngblood

CHARGE: Discharging Fire Arms No. 4783O November 13, 1915

NUMBER 4783O

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, F J Youngblood pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Lark Collins and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and Complaint and assess his punishment at a fine of one dollars.

Lark Collins
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, F J Youngblood the said fine of One & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. E W Donelley

CHARGE: Unlawfully Practicing Medicine No. 4786 November 12, 1915

NUMBER 4786

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, E W Donelley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L D Spearman and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty & no/100 Dollars, and one days Confinement in the County jail.

L D Spearman
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, E W Donelley the said fine of Fifty & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County One Day from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 47581

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Stokes

CHARGE: Selling Without License

No 47581

November 27, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Stokes *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J F Levey *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information of Sunday selling, and assess his fine at $50.00

J F Levey
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* John Stokes *the said fine of* Fifty & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 47862

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Fred Gilbert

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No 47862

November 27, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Fred Gilbert *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R J Robinson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the 3rd Count, and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00

R J Robinson,
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Fred Gilbert *the said fine of* Fifty & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
R. A. Mayes

CHARGE: Assault

No. 4796

November 27, 1915

NUMBER 4796

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* R A Mayes *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R J Robinson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

R J Robinson,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* R A Mayes *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
R A Mayes

CHARGE: Abusive Language

No. 47914

November 27, 1915

NUMBER 47914

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* R A Mayes *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R J Robinson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the 1st Count of the Information and his punishment is assessed at a fine of $20.00

R J Robinson
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* R A Mayes *the said fine of* Twenty & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas. who will take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 47915

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. F. Courtney

CHARGE: Assault

No. 47915

November 24, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. F. Courtney *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. F. Levey *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his fine at $15.00

J. F. Levey
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J. F. Courtney *the said fine of* Fifteen *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession *and confine him in the jail of this County from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 47907

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bessie Goldstein

CHARGE: Using Indecent Language over the Telephone

No. 47907

December 2, 1915

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bessie Goldstein *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M R Hurley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

M R Hurley
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of nothing the defendant,* Bessie Goldstein *~~the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~* and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day without day with his costs herein expended

*~~and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Robt Moore

CHARGE: Unlawfully Taking Auto

No. 47962

December 1, 1915

NUMBER 47961

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Robt Moore *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M R Hurley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at six months in the County Jail.

M R Hurley
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Robt Moore

~~*the said fine of*~~ No ~~*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

being present in open Court is placed in custody of The Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of six months *from* This Date *and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Antonio Riace

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 47970

December 2, 1915

NUMBER 47970

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Antonio Riace *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M R Hurley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

M R Hurley
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of nothing the defendant,* Antonio Riace

~~*the said fine of* No *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

And that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County*

*from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18 _____

NUMBER 48085

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Gus Roberson

CHARGE: Pistol

No 48085

December 29, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant; Gus Roberson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J L Brittan and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the indictment, and assess his punishment at 30 days Confinement in the County Jail.

J L Brittan
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Gus Roberson the said fine of No Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of Thirty days from this days and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 48057

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. D Freedman

CHARGE: Rec and Con Stolen property

No 48057

December 29, 1915

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, D Freedman pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: O W Caswell and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty

O W Caswell,
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, D Freedman the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this ~~prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs herein expended.

and confine him in the jail of this County

from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. F F Craig

CHARGE: Unlawfully Prescribing Morphine

No. 48066

December 31, 1915

NUMBER 48066

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* F F Craig *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A W Caswell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars,

A W Caswell,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* F F Craig *the said fine of* Twenty-five 00/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession *and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Felix Flores

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 48055

January 4, 1916

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Felix Flores *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A N Evans *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

A N Evans,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Felix Flores *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs *and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read signed and approved in open court this 1st day of January, A. D. 1916. Jesse M Brown Co Judge. Tarrant Co. Tex.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

Be it remembered, That on Monday, January 3rd, 1916, there came on and was held a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House of said County, in Fort Worth, present and presiding the Hon. Jesse M Brown, County Judge, Marshall Spoonts, County Attorney, W T Logan, County Clerk, and R E Mann, Sheriff, the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

NUMBER 48123

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Louie Roberts

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 48123

January 6, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Laurie Roberts pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, ~~and a jury, to-wit:~~ ~~my self~~ Jesse M Brown, Co Judge ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who,~~ having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ and being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that ~~he~~ Defendant is guilty, and his punishment has assessed at a fine of Thirty Dollars.

Jesse M Brown
Co Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Laurie Roberts the said fine of Thirty & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the ~~Court~~ Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 48132

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Henry McGee

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 48132

January 6, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Henry McGee pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Henry Miller and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at Confinement in the County Jail for a period of Thirty days.

Henry Miller
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Henry McGee the said fine of No Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County Thirty days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E D Fyke

CHARGE: Unlawfully Prescribing Morphine

No. 48072

NUMBER 48072

January 13, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, E D Fyke pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Walter H Smith and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Indictment, and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty ($50.00) Dollars.

Walter H Smith
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, E D Fyke the said fine of Fifty & no Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession and

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Chas Meadows

CHARGE: Theft

No. 48088

NUMBER 48088

January 14, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Chas Meadows pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Walter H Smith and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Informations read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

Walter H Smith
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of nothing the defendant, Chas Meadows the said fine of no Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs.

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

600 251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

.....TERM, ..... A. D. 18.....

NUMBER 48112

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mawdie Gaiter

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 48112

January 15, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mawdie Gaiter pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: T R Burk and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

T R Burk
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, Mawdie Gaiter ~~the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs~~, and the said Defendant Let allowed to go hence without day with his Costs

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 48156

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jesse Cook

CHARGE: Theft

No. 48156

January 12, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jesse Cook pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: T D Westbrook and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

T D Westbrook
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, Jesse Cook ~~the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs~~, and the said Defendant Let allowed to go hence without day with his Costs herein expended

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

601

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Monroe Liverley

CHARGE: Theft

No. 48159

January 12, 1916

NUMBER 48159

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Monroe Liverley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: T D Westbrook and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

T D Westbrook
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Monroe Liverley nothing the said fine of ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs~~, and the said Defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs herein expended

and confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid~~

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dow Johnson

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 48163

January 12, 1916

NUMBER 48163

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dow Johnson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: T D Westbrook and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of simple Assault, and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00.

T D Westbrook
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Dow Johnson the said fine of Twenty Five & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER 48170

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lawrence Ramsey

CHARGE: Theft

No. 48170

January 12, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lawrence Ramsey pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: F G Swain and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Lawrence Ramsey guilty as charged in the information, and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00 and 20 days confinement in the County Jail

Lawrence Ramsey,
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Lawrence Ramsey the said fine of Fifty ▽ no Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of Twenty days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 48142

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. E C Vandeastle

CHARGE: Embezzlement

No. 48142

January 22, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, E C Vandeastle pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E R Ware and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty
R R Ware
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, E C Vandeastle the ~~said fine of~~ no ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs~~, and the said Defendant be allowed to go hence without day and have his costs herein expended

~~and confining him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

251. C-SR-14. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
T A Lowery

CHARGE: Unlawfully Prescribing Morphine
No. 48198
January 27, 1916

NUMBER 48198

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, T A Lowery pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Sam Wallace and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

Sam Wallace
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, T A Lowery the said fine of Twenty-five and 00/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will commit him forthwith to the jail of said County and confine him in the said jail of this County from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bell Newell

CHARGE: Selling Without
No. 48245
January 26, 1916

NUMBER 48245

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bell Newell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: J L Pernell and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

J L Pernell
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, Bell Newell the said fine of ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER 48275

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. L R Thompson

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 48275

February 2, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* L R Thompson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J A Dryden *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J A Dryden
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* L R Thompson *the said fine of* ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ nothing

be allowed to go hence without day with his costs in this behalf expended.

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

NUMBER 48193

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bert Maxwell

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 48193

February 18, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bert Maxwell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* F M Duck *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00

F M Duck
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Bert Maxwell *the said fine of* Five + No/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant in his ~~Custody~~ possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Pedro Laredo

CHARGE: Knife

No. 48307

February 16, 1916

NUMBER 48307

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Pedro Laredo pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Lee Meserang and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information, and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00.

Lee Meserang
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Pedro Laredo the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in Custody of The Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take possession of said defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. D C Swann

CHARGE: Selling on Sunday

No. 48326

February 16, 1916

NUMBER 48326

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, D C Swann pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: J R Mason and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not Guilty

J R Mason
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, D C Swann the said fine of no Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs herein expended and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 48332

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Rafael Elozesta

CHARGE: Theft & Rec & Concealing

No. 48332

February 16, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Rafael Elozesta pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: F M Duck and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not Guilty

F M Duck
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Rafael Elozesta nothing the said fine of ~~Rafael Elozesta~~ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ and said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

~~from~~ ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

---

NUMBER 48337

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Polk Chaney

CHARGE: Ab Lang & Disturbing the Peace

No. 48337

February 17, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Polk Chaney pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R C Brummett and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the second Count of the information, and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

R C Brummett
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, R C Brummett the said fine of Twenty-five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court, is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County

from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Polk Chancy

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 48338 February 17, 1916

NUMBER 48338

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Polk Chancy *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Lee Meserang *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

Lee Meserang
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Polk Chancy *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs in this behalf expended

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. M Lee

CHARGE: Embezzling

No. 48134 February 19, 1916

NUMBER 48134

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* M Lee *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* G S Miller *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Informations *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

G S Miller
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* M Lee *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs herein expended

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 48352

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Henry Brown

CHARGE: Theft

No. 48352

February 23, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Henry Brown pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J T Foster and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and six months Confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County

J T Foster
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Henry Brown the said fine of Twenty-five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of six months from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 48341

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Dora Betts

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 48341

February 26, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dora Betts pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C F Coleman and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

C F Coleman
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, Dora Betts the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, with all her costs herein expended

and confine him in the jail of this County

from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Wilson

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 48355

February 24, 1916

NUMBER 48355

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Wilson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Information *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J W McAdams *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty *and assess his punishment at a fine of* $25.00

J W McAdams
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Will Wilson *the said fine of* Twenty-five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* said being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take possession of said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Blas Atilano

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 48374

February 24, 1916

NUMBER 48374

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Blas Atilano *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* T D Hovenkamp *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty *and assess his punishment at a fine of* Twenty-five Dollars *and* ninety days confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Blas Atilano *the said fine of* Twenty-five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* Ninety days *from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER 48372

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Roscoe Hanks

CHARGE: Theft

No. 48372

March 1, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Roscoe Hanks pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: B L Ingram and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00 and one days confinement in the County jail of Tarrant County, Texas

B L Ingram
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Roscoe Hanks the said fine of Five & No/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of one day from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 48387

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Pat Kinsey

CHARGE: Assault

No. 48387

March 1, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Pat Kinsey pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: B L Ingram and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifteen Dollars

B L Ingram
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Pat Kinsey the said fine of Fifteen & No/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Robt Moore

CHARGE: Unlawfully Taking Automobile

No 48364

NUMBER 48364

March 2, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Robt Moore *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J M Hartsfield *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at confinement in the County Jail for a period of six months

J M Hartofield
Forman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Robt Moore *the said fine of* no *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will immediately take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of six months *from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
H B Clevenny

CHARGE: Violating Pure Food Law

No. 48334

NUMBER

March 3, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* H B Clevenny *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* herein, and a jury, *to-wit:* B L Ingram *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty as charged in the information

B L Ingram
Forman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* H B Clevenny *the said fine of* Nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

TERM, A. D. 18

NUMBER 48333

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Matthis

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 48333

March 4 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Matthis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W McDaniel and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information, and assess his punishment at sixty days confinement in the County Jail

W McDaniel
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Will Matthis the said fine of no Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of sixty days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 48301

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Thos P Clark

CHARGE: Selling Without License

No. 48301

On Sunday

March 4, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Thos P Clark pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: B L Ingram and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at Five Hundred Dollar fine and one day in jail

B L Ingram
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, B L Ingram the said fine of Five Hundred ~~Dollars~~ no Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of one day from this day and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Minutes read signed and approved in open Court this the 4th day of March A.D. 1916 James M Brown C Judge

Be It Remembered, that on Monday March 6, 1916, there came on and was held a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House in said County, in Fort Worth, present and presiding the Honorable Jesse M Brown County Judge; Marshall Stoots, County Attorney, W T Logan, County Clerk, and N B Mann, Sheriff, the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. C Hughes — CHARGE: Malicious Mischief — No. 48393 — March 9 1916 — NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, C Hughes pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: David I Evans and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of One Dollar

David I Evans
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, C Hughes the said fine of One no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. R M Stone — CHARGE: Selling without license on Sunday — No. 48420 — March 8, 1916 — NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, R M Stone pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W A Hornbeak and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

W A Hornbeak,
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, R M Stone the said fine of no Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs expended

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 48465

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Thos P Clark

CHARGE: Selling without License

No. 48465

March 9, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Thos P Clark pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W D Peak and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Hundred ($500.00) Dollars, and one days confinement in the County Jail

W D Peak,
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Thos P Clark the said fine of Five Hundred & No/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for one a period of one day from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 48450

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jack Courtney

CHARGE: Abusive Language

No. 48450

March 10, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jack Courtney pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: David F Evans and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, as Charged in the second Count of the information, and assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00

David F Evans
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Jack Courtney the said fine of One & No/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately ~~took~~ take possession of said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W L Randle

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 48473

March 25, 1916

NUMBER 48473

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W L Randle pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J J Jarvis and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

T J Jarvis
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of nothing the Defendant, W L Randle the said fine of ~~Dollars~~ and all costs of this prosecution, and ~~execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and ~~confine him in the jail of this County~~ from ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Guy Buchanan

CHARGE: Embezzlement

No. 48494

March 22, 1916

NUMBER 48494

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Guy Buchanan pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L M Strown and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

L M Strown
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Guy Buchanan the said fine of ~~Dollars~~ and all costs of this prosecution, and ~~execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ and that defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and ~~confine him in the jail of this County~~ from ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18 _____

NUMBER 48431

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Webb Lewis

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 48431

March 31, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Webb Lewis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Joe Bowerman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00.

Joe Bowerman
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Joe Bowerman *the said fine of* Twenty-five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will ~~confine him in jail~~

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 48466

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. G O Kinchen

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 48466

March 29, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* G O Kinchen *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J B Curry *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged, and assess the fine at Fifty Dollars ($50.00).

J B Curry,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* G O Kinchen *the said fine of* Fifty & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court, is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will immediately take said defendant into his possession,

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jim Haley

CHARGE: Abusive Language and Disturbing the Peace

No. 4849 NUMBER 4849

March 30, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Haley *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J C Meek *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of Abusive Language and assess his punishment at a fine of $40.00

C C Meek
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jim Haley *the said fine of* Forty & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will immediately take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jim Haley

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 4849 NUMBER 4849

March 31, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Haley *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W D Hatchett *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

W D Hatchett
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Jim Haley *the said fine of* Twenty-Five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 48542

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Eugene Erby

CHARGE: Theft

No. 48542

March 29, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Eugene Erby pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: O D Pyatt and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at Ten day's Confinement in jail and a fine of $95.00

O D Pyatt
Forman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Eugene Erby the said fine of Ninety-five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession,

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of Ten days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 48416

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. R L Henley

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 48416

April 1, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, R L Henley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W E Matthews and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged of vagrancy, and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00.

W E Matthews
Forman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, R L Henley the said fine of Ten & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in person is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas

and confine him in the jail of this County from this Date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Harold Miller

CHARGE: Theft
No. 48552
April 6 1916

NUMBER 48552

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Harold Miller *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. L. Davidson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not Guilty
J. L. Davidson
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Harold Miller
*the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs herein expended

*and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jess Mamus

CHARGE: Selling Without License
No. 48561
April 5, 1916

NUMBER 48561

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jess Mamus *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. R. Ellis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not Guilty
E. R. Ellis
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Jess Mamus
*the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, with his costs herein expended

*and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C. [illegible] TERM, [illegible] A. D. 18

NUMBER 48600

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Joe Garza

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 48600

April 8, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Joe Garza pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J W Shaw and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged, and assess his penalty at a fine of $200 00

W J Shaw
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Joe Garza the said fine of Two Hundred & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 48421

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jamie Jefferson

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 48421

March 31, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jamie Jefferson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, ~~to wit~~ And the Court and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ is of the opinion that defendant is Guilty as charged in the information, and her punishment is assessed at a fine of $25 00.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Jamie Jefferson the said fine of Twenty Five & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take possession of said defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from this day and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Joe Hooker

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4859 2

April 19, 1916

NUMBER 4859 2

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Joe Hooker pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J W Grass and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

J W Grass
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, Joe Hooker the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant And that said defendant be allowed to go hence Without day with his costs in this behalf expended

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Manuel Rayes

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4859 6

April 19, 1916

NUMBER 4859 6

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Manuel Rayes pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C S Baker and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

C S Baker
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, Manuel Rayes the said fine of ..... ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

And that said Defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs herein expended

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18 _____

251. C-SR-½. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 48605

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. A Rasha

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 48605

April 20, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A Rasha *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Will Turck *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of simple assault, and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00

Will Turck
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* A Rasha *the said fine of* Five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will immediately take said defendant into his possession *and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 48597

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Robert Perkins

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 48597

April 20, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Robert Perkins *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein,* ~~*and a jury, to-wit:*~~ And the Court *~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted,* ~~*and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*~~

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ Court being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that said defendant is guilty, as charged in the information, and assesses his punishment at a fine of $30.00.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Robert Perkins *the said fine of* Thirty & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant into his possession *and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Perry Polk

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 48664

April 26, 1916

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Perry Polk *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R C Brummett *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty *and assess his fine at* $25.00

R C Brummett
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Perry Polk *the said fine of* Twenty five + no/100 – *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Mayfield

CHARGE: Pistol C C

No. 48664

April 26, 1916

NUMBER 48664

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Mayfield *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C B Hogue *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

C B Hogue
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant,* John Mayfield *the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

And that said defendant be aldged to go home without day with his costs herein expended

*and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

NUMBER 48665

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Mayfield

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 48665

April 26, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Mayfield *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C B Hogue *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

C B Hogue
Forman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* John Mayfield *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

And that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 48689

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Basham

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 48689

April 27, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Basham *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R M Lawson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00.

R M Lawson
Forman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Willy Basham *the said fine of* One Hundred & no/100 — *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will immediately take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Curtis Armour

CHARGE: Wife Desertion

No. 48548

April 21, 1916

NUMBER 48548

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Curtis Armour pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J W Groves and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

J W Groves
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, Curtis Armour the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Noah Miller

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 48638

April 28, 1916

NUMBER 48638

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Noah Miller pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R C Brummett and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at One Dollar $1.00

R C Brummett
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Noah Miller the said fine of One & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 48654

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Daniel Webb

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 4665[illegible]

April 26, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Daniel Webb pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and ~~a jury~~, ~~to-wit:~~ the Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ and being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that the defendant is guilty and hereby assesses his punishment at 30 days' Confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Daniel Webb the said fine of no Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take possession of said defendant and confine him in the jail of this County For a period of Thirty days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read, signed and approved in open Court this the 29th April 1916 Jno. McBroom Co Judge

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
.....

CHARGE: .....

No. .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ..... pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant .....

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Be It Remembered That on Monday, May 1, 1916, there came on and was held a regular term of County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House in said County, in Fort Worth, present and presiding the Hon Jesse H Browne, County Judge, Marshall Sparks County Attorney, W H Logan, County Clerk, and N C Ward, Sheriff, the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. O L Maxwell

CHARGE: Abusive Language

No. 47958

May 1, 1916

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, O L Maxwell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J R Hudgins and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of Abusive Language and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00.

J R Hudgins
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, O L Maxwell the said fine of Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take possession of said defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Foster

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 48708

May 12, 1916

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Foster pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J Smith and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant John Foster not Guilty

J Smith
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, John Foster the said fine of ~~_____~~ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, with all costs herein expended and confine him in the jail of this County from ~~_____~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 48757

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. L F Johnson

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 48757

May 10, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, L F Johnson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and ~~a jury, to-wit:~~ The Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ And being fully advised in the premises is of the opinion that said defendant is Guilty, and assesses his punishment at thirty days Confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, L F Johnson the said fine of No Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court, is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take possession of said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County For a period of Thirty days from This Dated and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 48777

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J A Peitzsch

CHARGE: Swindling

No. 48777

May 18, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J A Peitzsch pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J S Ruddle and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty

J S Ruddle
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of nothing the defendant, J A Peitzsch the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, and have his costs herein expended

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Herbert McLemore

CHARGE: Receiving and Concealing

No. 48807

May 24, 1916

NUMBER 48807

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Herbert McLemore *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Henry McGill *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

Henry McGill
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Herbert McLemore *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against ~~the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~*

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, with his costs herein expended

*and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Wingfield

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 48815

May 25, 1916

NUMBER 48815

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Wingfield *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A Ingleman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

A Ingleman
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* John Wingfield *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs in this behalf expended

*and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM, A. D. 18

251. C-SR-¼. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 48607

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. C S Campbell

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No 48607

May 12, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, C S Campbell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, ~~and a jury, to-wit: the Court and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ And being fully advised in the premises is of the opinion that the Defendant is guilty as charged and his punishment is assessed at a fine of $50.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, C S Campbell the said fine of Fifty & no/100 - Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will immediately take possession of said defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

NUMBER 48651

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J W Chaney

CHARGE: Swindling

No 48651

May 11, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J W Chaney pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J W Robinson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

J W Robinson
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the defendant, J W Chaney the said fine of ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas and that he be allowed to go hence without day

and confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J W Woods

CHARGE: Abusive Language

No. 48751

June 15, 1916

NUMBER 48751

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J W Woods pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: B S Sligar and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00

B S Sligar
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J W Woods the said fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will immediately & take defendant in his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Shag Rogers

CHARGE: Abusive Language

No. 48823

June 15, 1916

NUMBER 48823

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Shag Rogers pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: V C Davis and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of abusive language and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00.

V C Davis
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Shag Rogers the said fine of Twenty-five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is plagged in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, who will immediately take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

_____ TERM, _____ A. D. 18 _____

251. C-SR-¼. **JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 48840

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Sam Snyder

CHARGE: Abusive Language and Disturbing the Peace

No. 48840

June 16, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sam Snyder *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* O O Stanley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty of abusive language and assess his punishment at a fine of $15.00

O O Stanley
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Sam Snyder *the said fine of* Fifteen & 00/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will immediately take said defendant into his possession *and confine him in the jail of this County* this date *from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER 48864

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Bindlong

CHARGE: Theft by Bailee

No. 48864

June 14, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Bindlong *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J C Joyce *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at 90 days imprisonment in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas

J C Joyce
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* Will Bindlong *the said fine of* No _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will immediately take possession of said defendant *and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of 90 days *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-14. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Tom Glenk

CHARGE: Theft by Bailee

No. 48890 June 14 1916

NUMBER 48890

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ~~Tom Glenk~~ pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the J. M. Owens herein, and a jury, to-wit: ~~Tom Glenk~~ and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at two years in jail

J. M. Owens
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Tom Glenk the said fine of no Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of Two years from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lillie May West

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 48893 June 17, 1916

NUMBER 48893

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lillie May West pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and ~~a jury, to wit:~~ the Court and ~~five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,~~ who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ and do being duly advised in the premises is of the opinion that defendant is guilty, and do assess her punishment at a fine of $25.00 and thirty days confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, Lillie May West the said fine of Twenty-five and 100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue aginst the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County for a period of Twenty-five days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 4892[illegible]

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Red Harris

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 4892[illegible]

June 26, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Red Harris pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: U S Anderson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

U S Anderson
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, Red Harris ~~the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court~~ that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs herein expended and confine him in the jail of this County ~~from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

Read, signed and approved in open Court this the 1st day of July A. D. 1916. Jesse M Brown County Judge

---

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
.....

CHARGE: .....

No. .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ..... pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant .....

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....
*No.* .....

NUMBER .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....
*No.* .....

NUMBER .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18 .....

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

CHARGE: .....

*No.* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

CHARGE: .....

*No.* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....
*No.* .....

NUMBER .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

**CHARGE:** .....
*No.* .....

NUMBER .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

251. C-SR-½. JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

..... TERM, ..... A. D. 18.....

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

CHARGE: .....

*No.* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

CHARGE: .....

*No.* .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* .....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:* .....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

END

# CRIMINAL MINUTES COUNTY COURT

# VOL. 13B

TARRANT COUNTY

TAF 161
CRIMINAL MINUTES
COUNTY COURT
1916-1926

Tarrant Co. Tx
Criminal Min. Co.
Court Vol. 128 Ex

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be It Remembered, That on Monday, the 3rd day of July, 1916, there came on and was held a Regular Term of the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County, Texas at the Court House of said County, in Fort Worth, Texas, the Hon Jesse M Brown, County Judge, presiding, Marshall Sparks County Attorney, W T Logan, County Clerk, and W McMann, Sheriff when the following proceedings, among others, were had, to-wit:

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Sam Lovon

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 48987

July 12, 1916

NUMBER 48987

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Sam Lovon pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: O D Floyd and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn; who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged, and assess his ~~fine~~ fine of at $25.00.

O D Floyd
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Sam Lovon the said fine of Twenty-five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take immediate possession of said defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W M Allen

CHARGE: Theft

No. 48991

July 12, 1916

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W M Allen pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, ~~and a jury, to-wit:~~ The Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~

and being fully advised in the premises is of the opinion that the Defendant is Guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 30 days Confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, W M Allen the said fine of Twenty-five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take immediate possession of said defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of thirty days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Tarrant Co. TX Criminal Minutes Co. Court Vol. 12 P.

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19_____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 4906[illegible]

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. P. C. Levy

CHARGE: Sunday Picture Show

No. 4906[illegible] Opening July 27, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* P. C. Levy *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit,* R. E. Wisenberg *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

R. E. Wisenberg
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* P. C. Levy *the said fine of* no *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and that the said Defendant* be allowed to go hence without day with his costs herein expended nothing

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 4907[illegible]

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lee Smith

CHARGE: Assault

No. 4907[illegible] July 26, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lee Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and ~~a jury, to-wit:~~* the Court *~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,~~ who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and ~~having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit~~:*

*~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~* being fully advised in the premises is of the opinion that defendant is guilty, as charged in the information and assesses his punishment at a fine of $25.00.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Twenty-five & no/100 *the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and that the said Defendant* during prosecuting open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Thomas, Tom

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 4901
July 29, 1916

NUMBER 4901

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Tom Thomas *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* P A Miller *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00.

P. A. Miller
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Tom Thomas *the said fine of* Twenty Five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will immediately take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ed Jones

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4910½
July 26, 1916

NUMBER 4910½

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ed Jones *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R E Wisenberg *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00 and one day's confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas.

R. E. Wisenberg
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Ed Jones *the said fine of* One Hundred & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take immediate possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County for* one a period of one day *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 4917

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ed Jones

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4917

July 26, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ed Jones pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: F G Moody and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at one day in jail and a fine of $200.00

F G Moody
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ed Jones the said fine of Two Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of One day from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 4893

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
George Stone

CHARGE: Assault

No. 4893

August 18, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, George Stone pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W F Sprinkle and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fines at $10.00

W F Sprinkle
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, George Stone the said fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take said defendant of said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
P M Cox

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 4918
August 17, 1916

NUMBER 4918

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* P M Cox *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Jas I Lavender *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars

Jas I Lavender
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* P M Cox *the said fine of* Fifty + no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take immediate possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Morris

CHARGE: Drunk
No. 4914 3
August 19, 1916

NUMBER 4914 3

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Morris *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* P M Evans *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

P M Evans,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* John Morris *the said fine of* Twenty-five + no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 4955

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Julius Witherspoon

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 4955
August 18, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Julius Witherspoon *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Jas D Lavender *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at Thirty days confinement in jail

Jas D Lavender
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Julius Witherspoon
*the said fine of* ~~200~~ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas. who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of Thirty days
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 4976

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sabas Garcia

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 4976
August 18, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sabas Garcia *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W H Sprinkle *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at Confinement in the County Jail for 30 days and a fine of $25.00

W H Sprinkle
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Sabas Garcia
*the said fine of* Twenty-five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of thirty days
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Phelix Jones

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 4917
August 16, 1916

NUMBER 4917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Phelix Jones pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charges herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

(Name of Foreman not on docket)

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, Phelix Jones ~~the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. J. Aycox

CHARGE: Disturbing Religious Worship
No. 4920
August 18, 1916

NUMBER 4920

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. J. Aycox pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. N. Evans and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty-five Dollars

A. N. Evans,
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J. J. Aycox the said fine of Twenty-five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 49066

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. D C Feegles

CHARGE: Sunday Picture Show Opening

No. 49066

August 24, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, D C Feegles pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Thos Bratton and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of $20.00.

Thos Bratton
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, D C Feegles the said fine of Twenty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take possession of said defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 49249

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Nig Prince

CHARGE: Abuse Lang D P

No. 49249

August 23, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Nig Prince pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J R Blair and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00.

J R Blair
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J R Nig Prince the said fine of Twenty-five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Spat Adams

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 4914 6

August 25, 1916

NUMBER 4914 6

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Spat Adams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Thos Bratton *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00

Thos Bratton
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Spat Adams *the said fine of* Fifty + no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of The Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Nig Prince

CHARGE: Abusive Lang + DP

No. 4924 9

August 23, 1916

NUMBER 4924 9

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Nig Bruce *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J R Blair *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

J R Blair
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Nig Prince *the said fine of* Twenty-five + no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take immediate possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 49252

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Carr

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No 49252

August 24, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Carr pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J W Caswell and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

J W Caswell
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, John Carr the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

And that said Defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 49266

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bert Brush

CHARGE: Unlawfully Using

No 49266

August 23,

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bert Brush pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J R Black and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

J R Black
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, Bert Brush the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

And Defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Swanson

CHARGE: Theft
No. 49129
August 16, 1916

NUMBER 49129

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Swanson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and ~~a jury~~, to-wit: the Court and five others, ~~was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ And being fully advised in the premises is of the opinion that defendant is guilty as charged and his punishment is assessed at a fine of $25

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Will Swanson the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas until he will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
T M Stafford

CHARGE: Swindling
No. 49323
August 30, 1916

NUMBER 49323

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, T M Stafford pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S W Smith and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

S W Smith
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, T M Stafford the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

And that said defendant go hence without day with his costs

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 49343

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Orange Overton

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 49343

August 30, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Orange Overton *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Geo W Prewett *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

Geo W Prewett
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Orange Overton *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs herein expended

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 49145

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Beeler Stokes

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 49145

August 31, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Beeler Stokes *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* T J Clardy *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

T J Clardy,
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Beeler Stokes *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs Jess Davis

CHARGE: Sunday Picture Show Opening
No. 4965
August 31, 1916

NUMBER 4965

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs Jess Davis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S W Smith and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged and assess her punishment at a fine of $50.00

S W Smith
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Mrs Jess Davis the said fine of Fifty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, who will take immediate possession of said defendant

and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Harry Suggs

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 4998
September 2, 1916

NUMBER 4998

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Harry Suggs pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: T H Mills and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information, and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00.

T H Mills
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Harry Suggs the said fine of Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read, signed and approved in open Court this the 2nd day of Sept. 1916 Jean Mc Brown Co Judge.

September TERM. September 4 A. D. 1916

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be It Remembered that on Monday, the 4th day of September, 1916, there came on and was held a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House thereof, in Fort Worth, Texas, present and Presiding, Honorable Jesse M Brown, County Judge, Marshall Sloan, County Attorney, W T Logan, County Clerk, and Mc Maun, Sheriff, when the following proceedings, among others, were had, to-wit:

NUMBER 49330

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. E K McCoy

CHARGE: Exceeding Speed Limit

No. 49330

September 6, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, E K McCoy pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J H McGee and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

J H McGee

Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, E K McCoy the said fine of ~~Dollars~~ and all costs of this prosecution, and execution ~~may~~ issue against the property of said ~~Defendant~~ for the ~~amount of such fine and costs, and the~~ said Defendant and that said defendant ~~be~~ allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and ~~confine him in the jail of this County~~ from ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

NUMBER 49380

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W C Vaughn

CHARGE: Exceeding Speed Limit

No. 49380

September 8, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W C Vaughn pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J M Holloway and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars

J M Holloway

Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J M Holloway the said fine of Five & 1 no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and said defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from This Date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
B M McHenry

CHARGE: Exceeding Speed Limit

No. 49382

September 9, 1916

NUMBER 49382

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, B M McHenry pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: T A Catlett and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Informations read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

T A Catlett
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, B M McHenry the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sam Basham

CHARGE: Ab Lang & Dis Peace

No. 49384

September 6, 1916

NUMBER 49384

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Sam Basham pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J H McGee and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

J H McGee
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, Sam Basham the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs in this behalf expended

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 4938

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. C W Patrick

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No 4938

September 6, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, C W Patrick pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, ~~and a jury, to-wit: The Court and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,~~ who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ and being fully advised in the premises is of the opinion that said defendant is guilty as charged in the information, and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty-Five Dollars

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, C W Patrick the said fine of Twenty-Five & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will ~~confine~~ take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County

from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 4963

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J S Phillips

CHARGE: Sunday Picture Show

No 4963

Offering

September 7, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J S Phillips pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J H McKelvey and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $35.00

J H McKelvey
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J S Phillips the said fine of Thirty-Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take possession of said defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County

from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. J. Dillon

CHARGE: Sunday Picture Show Opening
No. 49350
September 14, 1916

NUMBER 49350

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. J. Dillon pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. W. Livingston and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $20.00

J. W. Livingston
Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J. J. Dillon the said fine of Twenty & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take possession of said defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lon Gamer

CHARGE: Theft
No. 49370
September

NUMBER 49370

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lon Gamer pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and ~~a jury, to-wit:~~ The Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,~~ who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ and being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that said defendant is guilty as charged in the information, and assesses his punishment at a fine of $5.00 and one days confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Lon Gamer the said fine of Five & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take immediate possession of said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 49413

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Joe Henderson

CHARGE: Selling Without License

No. 49413

September 15, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Joe Henderson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. R. Holmes *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged, and assess his punishment at at Fine of $500.00 and five days Confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant Co Texas, Each

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Joe Henderson *the said fine of* Five Hundred & no/100 — *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will immediately take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* For a period of five days *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 49500

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Raymond Johnson

CHARGE: Theft

No. 49500

October 4, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Raymond Johnson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W E Bush *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

W E Bush,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of* nothing *the Defendant,* Raymond Johnson *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, and hence his costs in this behalf expended

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W W Hodges

CHARGE: Theft
No. 49501
October 4 1916

NUMBER 49501

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W W Hodges *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W E Bush *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

W E Bush
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* W W Hodges *the said fine of* ~~.....~~ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and that said defendant be discharged hence without day with his costs herein expended

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ~~.....~~
*from* ~~.....~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Virginia Alderson

CHARGE: Selling without License
No. 49431
October 5, 1916

NUMBER 49431

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Virginia Alderson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. A. Wade *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged and assess her punishment at a fine of $250.00 and One day in jail

W A Wade
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Virginia Alderson *the said fine of* Two Hundred Fifty & no/100 — *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take immediate possession of said defendant

*and confine* ~~him~~ her *in the jail of this County* for a period of one day
~~*from*~~ from and this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

.....TERM. .....A. D. 19.....

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 4959

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A Zucarro

CHARGE: Sunday Picture Show
No. 4959
Opening
October 5, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A Zucarro *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W A Wade *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged, ~~as charged~~ and assess his punishment at a fine of $20.00

W A Wade,
Foreman."

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* A Zucarro *the said fine of* Twenty & no/100 — *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this day *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 4930

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Minnie Overstreet

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 4930
October 10, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Minnie Overstreet *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J H Williams *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty

J H Williams
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Minnie Overstreet *the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with her costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Bolinger

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault — NUMBER
No. 49499 — October 7, 1916 — 49499

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Bolinger pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J W A Wade and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

W A Wade
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, John Bolinger the said fine of nothing Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lee Weatherford

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault — NUMBER
No. 49121 — October 6, 1916 — 49121

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lee Weatherford pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W A Wade and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

W A Wade,
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Lee Weatherford the said fine of Twenty-five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take immediate possession of said defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 49549

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Louise ~~Price~~ Lewis

CHARGE: Assault
No. 49549
October 11, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Louise Price *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J W Smith *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of simple assault and assess her punishment at a fine of $5.00

J W Smith
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Louise Lewis
*the said fine of* five & no/100 — *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take said defendant into his possession

*and confine* her ~~him~~ *in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 49410

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Finn

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 49410
October 14, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Finn *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A C Lowery *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of the first Count in the charge and assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00,

A C Lowery
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ~~Thirty-four~~ Jim Finn
*the said fine of* One & no/100 — *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take immediate possession of said defendant and confine him

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
R Cohen

CHARGE: Sunday Picture Show
No. 4944 October 19, 1916

NUMBER 4944

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* R Cohen *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* P J Taylor *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00.

P. J. Taylor
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* R Cohen *the said fine of* Twenty-five and no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will Stake Charge of said defendant and

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Chester Crumb

CHARGE: Embezzlement
No. 49661 October 25, 1916

NUMBER 49661

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Chester Crumb *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Jos Crowley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not Guilty

Chester Crumb
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Chester Crumb *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs in this behalf expended

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 49627

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
William Lattimore

CHARGE: Removing property to defraud Landlord
No. 49627
October 21, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* William Lattimore *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* T. C. Anderson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information, and assess his punishment at Six months in the County Jail

T. C. Anderson
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* William Lattimore *the said fine of* no *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas Who will take immediate possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of six months *from* this date *and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 49608

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Pablo Bettis

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 49608
October 18, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Pablo Bettis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, ~~and a jury, to-wit:~~* And the Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, *who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~*

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ and being fully advised in the premises is of the opinion that said defendant is guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of $30.00 and Thirty days confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Pablo Bettis *the said fine of* Thirty and no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and defendant being present in Open Court is placed in custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas Who will

*~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of Thirty days *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Percy Prince

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4962
October 18, 1916

NUMBER 4962

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Percy Prince pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W A Moore and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $15.00 and one days confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas

W A Moore
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Percy Prince the said fine of Fifteen & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County for one day from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. D. McCutchin

CHARGE: Selling Impure Food
No. 49427
October 27, 1916

NUMBER 49427

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J D McCutchin pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L P Irwin and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Two Hundred Dollars

L. P. Irwin
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J D McCutchin the said fine of Two Hundred & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take immediate possession of defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

..... TERM. ..... A. D. 19.....

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 4949?

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
D A Reaves

CHARGE: Violating Pure Food & Drug Law
No. 4949?
October 27, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* D A Reaves *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* F A Gantt *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

F A Gantt
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* D A Reaves *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs herein expended

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read signed and approved in open Court this the 5th day of Nov. 1916 J. M. Brown Co Judge

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.

CHARGE:
No.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant*

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it Remembered That on Monday, November 6 1916 There came on and was held a regular term of The Honorable County Court of Tarrant County, Texas at The Court House of said County, at Fort Worth, Present and presiding The Hon Jesse M Brown, County Judge, Marshall Sproule County Attorney, W H Logan Co Clerk, W G Mann, Sheriff, when, among other, the following proceedings were had:

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J D Wheelan

CHARGE: Sunday Picture Show

No. 49522 Opening

November 9, 1916

NUMBER 49522

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J D Wheelan *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J W Scarbrough *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $20.00

W H Scarbrough, Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J D Wheelan *the said fine of* Twenty no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, who will take immediate possession of said defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J F Darnell

CHARGE: Theft

No. 49670

November 9, 1916

NUMBER 49670

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J F Darnell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit* J W Scarbrough *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00 and Ten days in jail.

W H Scarbrough, Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J F Darnell *the said fine of* One no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of The Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who, will take immediate possession of said defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of Ten days *from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 4961

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bob Perkins

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 4961
November 8, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bob Perkins pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and ~~a jury, to-wit:~~ the Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,~~ who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ and being fully advised in the premises pr of the opinion that defendant is guilty, and assesses his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 30 days confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Bob Perkins the said fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take immediate possession of said defendant and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of 30 days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 4964

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Joe Olvedo

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4964
November 8, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Joe Olvedo pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W T Scarborough and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty
W T Scarborough
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, Joe Olvedo the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs herein expended

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Gonzales

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 4978
November 9, 1916

NUMBER 4978

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Gonzales pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W S Moneyham and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

W S Moneyham
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, John Gonzales the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and Shall said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs herein expended

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Joe Jones

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 49723
November 8, 1916

NUMBER 49723

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Joe Jones pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: John Tittle and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged and Assess his punishment at a fine of $25 and twelve Months Confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas

John Tittle,
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Joe Jones the said fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take immediate possession of said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of Twelve months from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 49720

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Willie Russell

CHARGE: Theft & Rec & Conv
No. 49720
November 10, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Willie Russell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* F. L. Bass *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $1800 and 30 days confinement in the County Jail

F. L. Bass,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Willie Russell *the said fine of* Ten & no/100 — *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his immediate possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of 30 days *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 49835

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Henry Hill

CHARGE: Selling Without License
No. 49835
November 25, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Henry Hill *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Wm Gelfindaner *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at $1000.00 and six months in the County Jail

Wm Gelfindaner
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Henry Hill *the said fine of* One Thousand & no/100 — *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* on being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will immediately take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of six months *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lucy Roberson

CHARGE: Selling without License
No. 49717
December 6, 1916
NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lucy Roberson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J H Dixon and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

J. H. Dixon
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Lucy Roberson nothing the said fine of ( ) Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

And that said Defendant be allowed to go hence without day with her costs herein expended

and confine him in the jail of this County
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Walter Wastine

CHARGE: A & A
No. 49755
December 7, 1916
NUMBER 49755

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Walter Wastine pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S L Swafford and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

S. L. Swafford
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Walter Wastine nothing the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

And that he be allowed to go hence without day with all costs herein expended

and confine him in the jail of this County
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 4825

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Johnie Jackson

CHARGE: Unlawfully Practicing Medicine
No. 4825
December 8, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Johnie Jackson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Chas R Curtis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty as charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of $150.00 and ten day's Confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas

Chas R Curtis

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* One Hundred Fifty & no/100 Johnie Jackson *the said fine of* One Hundred Fifty & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant into his immediate possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of ten days *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 4866

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lawrence Dalton

CHARGE: Selling without License
No. 4866
December 6, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lawrence Dalton *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant*

(Entered on J.)

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bob Autry

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4986 4
December 6, 1916

NUMBER 4986 4

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bob Autry pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. A Cunningham and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

J A Cunningham
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Bob Autry the said fine of Nothing Dollars ~~and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

and that the said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and confine him in the jail of this County from _____ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J H Riggles

CHARGE: Sunday Picture Show & keeping
No. 4955
December 7, 1916

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J H Riggles pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J N Dixon and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of $200

J H Dixon
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J H Riggles the said fine of Twenty no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER #9637

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. H.G. Collard

CHARGE: Sunday Picture Shows

No. #9637

Opening December 14, 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, H.G. Collard having waived ~~a jury~~ pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, ~~and a jury~~, to wit; ~~and the Court~~ and five others, ~~was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ and being fully advised in the premises is of the opinion that defendant is guilty under the second Count of said information and his punishment is assessed at a fine of $20.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, H.G. Collard the said fine of Twenty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take possession of defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER #9756

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Walter Vastine

CHARGE: Adultery & Fornication

No. #9756

Dec 7 1916

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Walter Vastine pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit; J.L. Swafford and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, Walter Vastine the said fine of no Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ed Moon

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 4783

December 14, 1916

NUMBER 4783

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ed Moon *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A L Ingram *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

A L Ingram
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Ed Moon
*the said fine of* No *Dollars and all ~~costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs~~, and the said Defendant*

Shall remand to go hence without day with his coat

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
R E Reed

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 4860

December 14, 1916

NUMBER 4860

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* R E Reed *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A J Witt *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

A J Witt
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* R E Reed
*the said fine of* Twenty-five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 4991I

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charlie Sears

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 4991I
December 14, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Charlie Sears *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A T Veitt *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty of simple assault and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00

A T Veitt
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Fives & no/100 — Charlie Sears *the said fine of* Fives & no/100 — *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 4994

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Omar Mitchell

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4994
December 9, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Omar Mitchell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A A Senter *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty, and assess his punishment at Confinement in the County jail for a period of 30 days

A A Senter
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Omar Mitchell *the said fine of* no *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of 30 days *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lucky Thomas

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 4930
December 13, 1916

NUMBER 4930

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lucky Thomas *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* herein, *and a jury, to-wit:* Sam C Caher *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

Sam C Caher
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Lucky Thomas *the said fine of* no *Dollars ~~and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~* nothing and that defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Louise Roon

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 4939
December 15, 1916

NUMBER 4939

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Louise Roon *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W C McGinnis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

W C McGinnis
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Louise Roon *the said fine of* no *Dollars ~~and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs~~, and the said Defendant* nothing go hence without day with her costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19____

**JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 49812

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W R Jackson

CHARGE: Theft

No. 49812

December 16, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W R Jackson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E M Anderson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

E M Anderson
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* W R Jackson *the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* nothing

and that said defendant be acquitted to go hence without day, with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____ *from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 49953

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jack Green

CHARGE: Removing party from Automobile

No. 49953

December 20, 1916

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jack Green *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C C Willis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

C C Willis
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jack Green *the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* nothing

and that said defendant be acquitted to go hence without day with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____ *from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Sanderill

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault — NUMBER
No. 4972 — December 21, 1916 — 4972

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Sanderill pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charges herein, and a jury, to-wit: O L Carter and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

O.L. Carter
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Will Sanderill the said fine of Twenty-five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will ~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Randall

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault — NUMBER
No. 4976 — December 20, 1916 — 4976

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Randuell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charges herein, and a jury, to-wit: C M Garrett and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

C M Garrett,
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, John Randuell the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant And That said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with this Cause

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read, signed and approved in open Court this the 20th of December. A.D. 1916 Jno M Brown Co Judge

January TERM. January 1 A. D. 1917

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be It Remembered That on Monday, January 1, 1917 there came on and was held a regular term of the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House of said County, at Fort Worth, present and presiding the Honorable Jesse H. Brown, Judge said Court, Marshall Sponto, County Attorney W. T. Logan, County Clerk and M. Maxey, Sheriff, when the following proceedings, among others, were had:

NUMBER 49710

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Polk Chaney

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 49710

January 5, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Polk Chaney pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: the Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

"~~WE, THE JURY,~~ Find the Defendant and being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that defendant is guilty of simple assault, and assesses his punishment at a fine of $25.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Polk Chaney the said fine of Twenty Five and no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant into his possession and

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 49873

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W. A. Jobson

CHARGE: Theft

No. 49873

January 5, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. A. Jobson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: G. A. Wood and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

G. A. Wood,
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, W. A. Jobson the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mack Ladd

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 49912
January 6, 1917

NUMBER 49913

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mack Ladd pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: O M Bishop and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $20.00

O M Bishop
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Mack Ladd the said fine of Twenty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take immediate possession of said defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
P. C. Levy

CHARGE: Sunday Picture Show Opening
No. 49927
January 10, 1917

NUMBER 49927

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, P. C. Levy pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J M Saunders and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at 20.00

J M Saunders
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, P. C. Levy the said fine of Twenty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County
from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 5000[illegible]

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Francisco Martinez

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 5000[illegible]
January 3, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Francisco Martinez pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J A Hood and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of simple assault, and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and costs

G A Hood
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT; that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Francisco Martinez the said fine of Twenty-Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 50009

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Rosenberg

CHARGE: Theft
No. 50009
January 3, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Rosenberg pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J A Hood and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at one year in jail.

G A Hood
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, John Rosenberg the said fine of No Dollars and one year's Confinement in jail ~~Dollars~~ and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will immediately take possession

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of one year from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lee Murry

CHARGE: Pistole
No. 50039
January 3, 1917

NUMBER 50039

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lee Murry pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charges herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. L. Collins and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

J. L. Collins,
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Lee Murry nothing ~~the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Jackson

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 50042
January 3, 1917

NUMBER 50042

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jim Jackson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charges herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. N. Garrett and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

A. N. Garrett,
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Jim Jackson nothing ~~the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19____

**JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 50067

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jos Calupicas

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 50067

January 6, 1917

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jos Calupicas *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. A. Hood *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty of aggravated Assault, and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

J. A. Hood
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jos Calupicas *the said fine of* Twenty-five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take immediate possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 50098

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. N. L. Hatcher

CHARGE: Theft

No. 50098

January 11, 1917

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* N. L. Hatcher *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. A. Haney *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

A. A. Haney
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant,* N. L. Hatcher *the said fine of* no *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County from _____ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Gertie White

CHARGE: Selling Malt Liquors Without a License
No. 50105
January 12, 1917

NUMBER 50105

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Gertie White pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charges herein, and a jury, to-wit: C W Hurkill and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

C W Hurkill
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Gertie White the said fine of ~~.....~~ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and ~~execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ nothing

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~
~~from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Joe Lane

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 50075
January 13, 1917

NUMBER 50075

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Joe Lane pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charges herein, and a jury, to-wit: J B Davis and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at One Hundred Dollars fine

J B Davis
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Joe Lane the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the ~~Custody of~~ the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas Who will take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County
from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Verdict set aside - Deft Pleads guilty and his punishment assessed at a fine of $200

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 50084

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lamar Caldwell

CHARGE: Removing Auto Perto
No. 50084
January 17, 1917

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lamar Caldwell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E H Conway *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

E H Conway,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Lamar Caldwell *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 50113

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ruth King

CHARGE: Selling Without License
No. 50113
January 17, 1917

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ruth King *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Geo B Holland *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

Geo B Holland,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Ruth King *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and that defendant be allowed to go hence without day

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Morris Youngblood

CHARGE: Knuckles

No. 50119

January 18, 1917

NUMBER 50119

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Morris Youngblood *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W D Blanton *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

W D Blanton
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Morris Youngblood Not Guilty *the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and that defendant be allowed to go hence without day, with his costs

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ *from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Morris Youngblood

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 50120

January 18, 1917

NUMBER 50120

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Morris Youngblood *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W A Carter *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

W A Carter,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Morris Youngblood *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, who will take immediate possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 50146

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Watt Brown

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 50146
January 19, 1917

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Watt Brown *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Geo B Holland *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00.

Geo B Holland

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Watt Brown *the said fine of* Five & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 50107

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
P L Parker

CHARGE: Theft
No. 50107
January 20 1917

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* P L Parker *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E J Hill *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Dollar and one day in jail

E J Hill
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* P L Parker *the said fine of* One & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take the said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of one day *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. F Kuhan

CHARGE: Violating Pure Food Law
No. 50147
January 20, 1917

NUMBER 50147

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. F Kuhan *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charges *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W F Edwardes *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

W F Edwards,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J. F Kuhan
*the said fine of* Twenty-five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take immediate possession of defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sam Basham

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 49799
January 31, 1917

NUMBER 49799

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sam Basham *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A E Dean *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess the penalty at 30 days Confinement in the County Jail

A E Dean
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Sam Basham
*the said fine of* No *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take immediate possession of Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of 30 days
*from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 5011 6

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John McWethy

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 5011 6

January 31, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John McWethy pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charges herein, and a jury, to-wit: A O Dean and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

A O Dean
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of nothing the Defendant, John McWethy the said fine of ~~.....~~ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and that said Defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his Costs

and confine him in the jail of this County ~~.....~~ from ~~.....~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 5015 3

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Henry Williams

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 5015 3

February 1, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Henry Williams pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charges herein, ~~and a jury, to-wit:~~ and the Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and ~~having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit~~:

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ being fully advised in the premises is of the opinion that the Defendant is guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of $30.00 and thirty days Confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Henry Williams the said fine of Thirty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being brought in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take immediate possession of said defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of 30 days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Juan Hernandez

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 50202
Feby 1, 1917

NUMBER 50202

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Juan Hernandez pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charged herein, and ~~a jury, to-wit:~~ the Court and five others, ~~was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and ~~having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit~~:

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ being duly advised in the premises is of the opinion that said defendant is guilty as charged, and assesses this punishment at a fine of $50.00.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Juan Hernandez the said fine of Fifty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take immediate possession of said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ed Dill

CHARGE: Reckless Driving
No. 50036
February 3, 1917

NUMBER 50036

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ed Dill pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charges herein, and a jury, to-wit: Chas Kelly and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Seventy-five ($75.00) Dollars /

Chas Kelly
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ed Dill the said fine of Seventy-five & no/100 ——— Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take immediate possession of said defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 50177

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. C D Haney

CHARGE: Theft

No. 50177

February 3, 1917

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C D Haney *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J J Childress *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

J J Childress
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* C D Haney *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 50201

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Tom Evans

CHARGE: Offensive Nuisance

No. 50201

February 2, 1917

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Tom Evans *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Chas T Mabry *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $15.00

Chas T Mabry
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Tom Evans *the said fine of* Fifteen & no/100 ——— *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take immediate possession of said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs Clyde Bell

CHARGE: Unlawfully Practicing Medicine Without License
No. 50300
February 16, 1917

NUMBER 50300

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs Clyde Bell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W S Rowland and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

W S Rowland
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, Mrs Clyde Bell the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his Clothes

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Clevel Dowerd

CHARGE: Theft
No. 50241
February 14, 1917

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Clevy Dowerd pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L R Shanklin and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at six months imprisonment in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas

L R Shanklin
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Clevel Dowerd the said fine of no Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the hands & custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take immediate possession of said defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of six months from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Reversed by Ct Criminal Appeals -
Cleve Dowerd judg in 9/375

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 50260

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Oscar Cheatham

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No 50260

February 21, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Oscar Cheatham pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J M George and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00.

J. M. George, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Oscar Cheatham the said fine of Twenty-five & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas. Who will take said Defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 50073

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bennie Stevens

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No 50073

February 24, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bennie Stevens pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E G Gassoway and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty

E G Gassoway Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, Bennie Stevens the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with all costs herein expended and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Hubbard Smith and Mary Porter

CHARGE: Adultery and Fornication
No. 50333
February 24, 1917

NUMBER 50333

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Hubbard Smith and Mary Porter pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J M George and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

J M George
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Hubbard Smith and Mary Porter nothing the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and that said defendants be allowed to go hence without day with all costs herein expended.

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read, signed and approved in open Court this the 3rd March A.D. 1917 Jno. M. Brown Co. Judge

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Henry Thompson

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 50448
April 6, 1917

NUMBER 50448

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Henry Thompson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: O L Carter and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty of aggravated Assault and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00 and six months Confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, John Henry Thompson the said fine of One Hundred 7 no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

being in court in Open Court is placed in the County Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take immediate possession of said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of six months from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

March TERM. March 5th A. D. 1917

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be It Remembered, That on Monday March 5, 1917 there came on and was held in regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at Fort Worth, Present and Presiding the Hon. Joseph M Brown, County Judge, Marshall Stevens, County Attorney W T Logan, County Clerk, and N. C. Mann, Sheriff, when the following proceedings, among others, were had, to-wit:

NUMBER 50360

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Willie Carell

CHARGE: Theft

No. 50360

March 5, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Willie Carell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Jno Tankersley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant ~~ff~~ not guilty

Jno Tankersley
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Willie Carell nothing the said fine of ~~_____~~ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and that defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and confine him in the jail of this County ~~_____~~ from ~~_____~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 50370

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. L. N. McKeehan

CHARGE: Wife Desertion

No. 50370

March 7, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, L N McKeehan pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and ~~a jury, to wit:~~ The Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,~~ who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and ~~having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ being fully advised in the premises is of the opinion that said defendant is guilty as charged, and assesses his punishment at a fine of $100.00 and 90 days confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ~~One Hundred & no/100~~ L. N. McKeehan the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take immediate possession of said defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of ninety days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

New Trial granted and cause dismissed on March 31, 1917

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L F Trieber

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 50374
March 8, 1917

NUMBER 50374

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, L F Trieber pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: M E Shea and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty

M E Shea
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, L F Trieber the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs
W. L. Stewart

CHARGE: Selling to minors
No. 50366
March 9, 1917

NUMBER 50366

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W L Stewart pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W E Shea and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

W. E. Shea
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, W. L. Stewart the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 50375

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
George Montgomery

CHARGE: Pistol
No 50375
March 10, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, George Montgomery pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: M E Shea and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at 30 days in jail. M.E. Shea Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, George Montgomery the said fine of 200 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas Who will ~~confine~~ take immediate possession of said defendant and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of Thirty days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 50448

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Henry Thompson

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No 50448
April 6, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Henry Thompson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: O K Carter and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty of Aggravated Assault and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00 and 6 Months Confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County Texas

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, John Henry Thompson the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas Who will take said Defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of six months from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19_____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Maudie Vastine

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 50472
April 5, 1917

NUMBER 50472

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Maudie Vastine *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R E Logan *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

R E Logan,
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Maudie Vastine *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C Lanvar

CHARGE: Theft & Rec & Con
No. 50474
April 4, 1917

NUMBER 50474

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C Lanvar *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Barnes *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

Barnes
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* C Lanvar *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and that defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 50354

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ozee Thompson

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 50354

April 11, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ozee Thompson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: D D Park and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at $25.00 Fine

D D Park
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ozee Thompson the said fine of Twenty-five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas Who will take said Defendant into his immediate possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 50379

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Red Logan

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 50379

April 13, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Red Logan pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Jos H Ellicott and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00

Jos H Ellicott
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ~~One & no/100~~ Red Logan the said fine of One & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Clyde Howell

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No 50384

April 13, 1917

NUMBER 50384

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Clyde Howell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R J Beard and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty

R J Beard
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Clyde Howell nothing the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with all costs

and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Christ Martulary

CHARGE: A A

No 50437

April 11, 1917

NUMBER 50437

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Christ Martulary pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Lon M Evans and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

Lon M Evans
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Christ Martulary the said fine of Twenty-five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant failing present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas who will take Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

..... TERM. ..... A. D. 19.....

**JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 50441

**THE STATE OF TEXAS**
vs.
Joequein Barrana

CHARGE: Theft
No. 50441
April 7, 1917

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Joequein Barrana *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L A Barnes *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at $1.00 and one day's confinement in the County jail of Tarrant County, Texas

L. A. Barnes
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* L A Barnes *the said fine of* One & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession ~~said fine and~~

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of one day *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 50449

**THE STATE OF TEXAS**
vs.
Festus Cook

CHARGE: Theft
No. 50449
April 7, 1917

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Festus Cook *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* O L Carter *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty

O L Carter
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant,* Festus Cook *the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Blanche Adams

CHARGE: Theft
No. 50486
April 14, 1917

NUMBER 50486

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Blanche Adams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R J Beard *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged, and assess her punishment at a fine of $1.00 and 30 days in jail

R J Beard
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Blanche Adams *the said fine of* One & 00/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take immediate possession of said defendant -

*and confine* her *in the jail of this County* for a period of Thirty days *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

New Trial granted; Dft Pleads Guilty and his punishment is assessed at a fine of $1.00 and 4 days in jail

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jose Vega

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 50501
April 11, 1917

NUMBER 50501

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jose Vega *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and* ~~a jury, to-wit:~~ The Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, *who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and* ~~having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ being duly advised in the premises is of the opinion that defendant is guilty as charged and assesses his punishment at a fine of $50.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jose Vega *the said fine of* Fifty & no/100 — *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* this date *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 50502

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jim Ewing

CHARGE: Theft

No. 50502

April 19, 1917

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Ewing *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C A Grant *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

C A Grant
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jim Grant *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* nothing

and that Defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs herein expended

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 50516

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Roy Peters

CHARGE: Theft

No. 50516

April 18, 1917

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Roy Peters *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M D Evans *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty as charged, and assess his punishment at one day in jail and a fine of $10.00

M D Evans
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Roy Peters *the said fine of* Ten & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Lamar County, Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of one day *from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C J Hollingsworth

CHARGE: Theft
No. 50232
April 19, 1917

NUMBER 50232

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C J Hollingsworth *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J J Ballard *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 30 days Confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* C J Hollingsworth
*the said fine of* Twenty-five 00/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas who will take immediate possession of Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of thirty days
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Roscoe Hill

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 50455
April 21, 1917

NUMBER 50455

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Roscoe Hill *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M D Evans *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

M D Evans
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Roscoe Hill
*the said fine of* Twenty-Two *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take immediate possession of said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 50597

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Andy Elmer

CHARGE: Auto Theft

No. 50597

May 3, 1917

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Andy Elmer *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J R East *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at six month's Confinement in Jail

J R East, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Andy Elmer *the said fine of* no *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take immediate possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of six months *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 50597

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Andy Elmer

CHARGE: Auto Theft

No. 50597

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Tom Tucker

CHARGE: Assault
No. 50596
May 5, 1917

NUMBER 50596

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Tom Tucker pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. C. Barnes and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00

A. C. Barnes
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Tom Tucker the said fine of Five & 00/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take charges of said defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read signed and approved in open court this the 5th day of May A. D. 1917 Jno. M. Brown Co. Judge

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.

CHARGE:
No.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

May TERM. May 7 A. D. 1917

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be It Remembered, That on Monday, May 7, 1917, there came on and was held a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at Fort Worth, Texas, present and presiding, the Honorable Jesse M Brown, County Judge; Marshall Sparks, County Attorney; W T Logan, County Clerk, and N. C. Mann, Sheriff. When the following proceedings, among others, were had:

NUMBER 50521

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Lee

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 50521

May 16, 1917

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Lee *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charges *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W E Rogers *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

W E Rogers,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Will Lee *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, with all costs herein expended

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 50416

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Dora Thomas

CHARGE: Theft

No. 50416

May 17, 1917

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Dora Thomas *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charges *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W E Rogers *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *redd and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess her punishment assessed at ten days confinement in the County Jail Tarrant County, Texas

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Dora Thomas *the said fine of* no *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant into his possession

*and confine* her *him in the jail of this County* for a period of Ten days *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J T Hill

CHARGE: Theft

No. 50547

May 17, 1917

NUMBER 50547

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J T Hill pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J W T Teel and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty - and assess his punishment at sixty days confinement in the County Jail

W H Teel
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ~~W H~~ J T Hill J T Hill the said fine of no Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take immediate possession of said defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of sixty days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Walter Pettigrew

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 50563

May 17, 1917

NUMBER 50563

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Walter Pettigrew pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H J Adams and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

H J Adams
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, Walter Pettigrew the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

And that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 50609

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. R. L. Jenkins

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 50609

May 19, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, R. L. Jenkins pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charges herein, and a jury, to-wit: J B Medley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

J B Medley

Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, R. L. Jenkins the said fine of ~~_____~~ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and ~~execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and confine him in the jail of this County ~~_____~~ from ~~_____~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 50640

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Dilbeck

CHARGE: Theft

No. 50640

May 19, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Dilbeck pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charges herein, and a jury, to-wit: W T Seel and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

W T Seel

Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, W T Seel the said fine of ~~_____~~ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and ~~execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ and that defendant be allowed to go hence without day with all costs

and confine him in the jail of this County ~~_____~~ from ~~_____~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jennie Baas

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault NUMBER
No. 50682
May 30, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jennie Baas pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. R. Pugh and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of aggravated Assault and assess her punishment at a fine of $25.00 and thirty days in jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Jennie Baas
the said fine of Twenty-five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take immediate possession of said defendant

and confine ~~him~~ Her in the jail of this County for a period of 30 days
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Andrew Jackson

CHARGE: Theft NUMBER
No. 50603
May 31, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Andrew Jackson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. R. Pugh and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty
J. R. Pugh
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, Andrew Jackson
the said fine of No Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant be allowed to go hence without day with all costs herein expended

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 50723

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Fred Johnson

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 50723

June 2, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Fred Johnson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and ~~a jury, to wit:~~ The Court and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and ~~having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to wit:~~

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ and being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that defendant is guilty as charged, and assesses his punishment at a fine of $35.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Fred Johnson the said fine of Thirty-five & no/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 50760

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Thomas Brown

CHARGE: Theft

No. 50760

June 21, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Thomas Brown pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and ~~a jury, to wit:~~ The Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and ~~having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to wit:~~

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ being fully advised in the premises is of the opinion that the defendant is guilty as charged, and assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 and one day in the County Jail of Tarrant County Texas

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Thomas Brown the said fine of One Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of ~~this~~ County for a period of 1 day from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Barney Ward

CHARGE: Theft

No. 50804

June 21, 1917

NUMBER 50804

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Barney Ward pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W A Osborne and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information, and assess the Penalty at 3 months in jail and a fine of $100.00

W.A. Osborne, R.A. Davis
P.G. Greening — W.H. Bell
R. Stokes — B.S. Sliger
Jurors

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Barney Ward the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take immediate possession of said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of three months from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
G W Lynn

CHARGE: Theft

No. 50737

June 20, 1917

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, G W Lynn pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W A Osborne and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

W A Osborne
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, G W Lynn the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and that said defendant go hence without day with his costs

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 50549

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Randel Robinson

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 50549

June 22, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Randel Robinson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W A Osborne and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00

W A Osborne
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, W A Osborne the said fine of Fifty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take immediate possession of said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read, signed and approved in open Court this the 30th day of June A.D. 1917 Jesse H Brown, C C Judge

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs.

CHARGE:

No.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

July TERM. July 2 A. D. 1917 

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be It Remembered that on Monday July 2, 1917 there came on and was held a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas present and presiding, the Honorable Jesse M Brown, County Judge, Marshall Spoonts, County Attorney W Hogan, County Clerk and N B Mangum, Sheriff, when the following proceedings, among others, were had:

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mack Fields

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 50829

NUMBER

July 11, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mack Fields pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W A Osborne and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $100

W A Osborne
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Mack Fields the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas who will take immediate possession of defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from This Date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Luba Hill

CHARGE: Theft

No. 50836

NUMBER 50836

July 11, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Luba Hill pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W A Osborne and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as the charge as interpreted in the information, and assess the punishment at Thirty Days' imprisonment in the County Jail

W A Osborne
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Luba Hill the said fine of No Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, who will take Defendant

her

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of 30 days from This Date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 50888

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ransom Davis

CHARGE: Theft

No. 50888

July 13, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ransom Davis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W A Osborne and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of the charge preferred in the information, and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00 and two years in jail

W A Osborne
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ransom Davis the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take immediate possession of Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of Two Years from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 50894

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Young

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 50894

July 12, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jim Young pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W A Osborne and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of the charge preferred in the information, and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and six months in jail

W A Osborne
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Jim Young the said fine of Twenty-five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will immediately take possession of Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of six Months from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Isaac McJunkin

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 50918

NUMBER 50918

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Isaac McJunkin pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to wit: The Court and five others, ~~was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and ~~having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ being fully advised in the premises is of the opinion that defendant is guilty as charged in the information, and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Isaac McJunkin the said fine of Fifty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Upon Presentment in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession.

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dan Galvin

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 50932

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dan Galvin pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to wit: X X Gilles and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of the Charge preferred in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

X X Gilles
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Dan Galvin the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas who will immediately take possession of Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 50966

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Spivey

CHARGE: Theft

No 50966 Aug - 1 - 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Spivey pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Z X Gillen and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

Z X Gillen
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, John Spivey Nothing the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant And that said Defendant be allowed to go hence Without day with his Costs

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 50978

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Curtis Goss

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No 50978 Aug - 2 - 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Curtis Goss pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Z X Gillen and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

Z X Gillen
Foreman,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Curtis Goss Not Guilty the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant And that said Defendant be allowed to go hence Without day with his cost

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Willie Bell Washington

CHARGE: AB Language & Dis-Peace
No. 50993

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Willie Bell Washington pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L C Daughtrey and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of the Charge as preferred in the information, and assess his punishment at a fine of $ 5.00

L C Daughtrey
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Willie Bell Washington the said fine of Five and no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas. Who will take immediate possession of Defendant,

and confine him in the jail of this County
from This Date, and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read, signed and approved in open Court this the 1st day of Sept. 1917 Jno. M. Brown, Co Judge

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.

CHARGE:
No.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

September TERM. September 3 A. D. 1917

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be It Remembered that on Monday, September 3, 1917, there came on and was held a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at Fort Worth, present and presiding the Honorable Jesse M Brown, County Judge, Marshall Spoonts, County Attorney, W H Logan County Clerk, and R.C. Mayes, Sheriff, when the following proceedings, among others, were had, to-wit:

NUMBER 51054

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W. Wilson

CHARGE: Aggravated Ass

No 51054

September 5, 1917

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W Wilson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J W Poindexter *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J W Poindexter
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover, of the Defendant,* W Wilson *the said fine of* Nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* be allowed to go hence without day with his Costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* ~~_____~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 51126

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Antonio Gonzales

CHARGE: Theft

No. 51126

September 5, 1917

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Antonio Gonzales *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W A Trantham *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

W A Trantham
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover, of the Defendant,* Antonio Gonzales *the said fine of* Nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and that said Defendant be allowed to go hence Without day with his Costs herein expended

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* ~~_____~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Torrez

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 5148
September 5, 1917

NUMBER 5148

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Torrez *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W.A. Trantham *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars,

W.A. Trantham
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* John Torrez *the said fine of* One Hundred & no/100 - *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W.A. Butler

CHARGE: Theft
No. 5126
September 1917

NUMBER 5126

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W.A. Butler *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and ~~a jury, to-wit:~~* the Court *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant.~~* being fully advised in the premises is of the opinion that said defendant is guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of $25 and thirty days Confinement in the County jail said County of Tarrant

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* W.A. Butler *the said fine of* Twenty-five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of 30 days *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 5/257

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. L. C. Copeland

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No 5/257

September 19, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, L. C. Copeland pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charges herein, and a jury, to-wit: T B Collins and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

T B Collins
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, L. C. Copeland the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 51031

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ben Sanchez

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 51031

September 22, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ben Sanchez pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charges herein, and a jury, to-wit: J Chawroski and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

Ben Sanchez
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, Ben Sanchez the said fine of NO Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Ord. that said defendant be allowed to go hence with day with his Costs

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Payne.

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 51272
October 3, 1917

NUMBER 51272

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Payne *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* John Phillips *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

John Phillips
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover, of the Defendant,* Will Payne *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Alf Chaney

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 51289
October 17, 1917

NUMBER 51239

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Alf Chaney *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C H Dickey *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

C H Dickey
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover, of the Defendant,* Alf Chaney *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day, with all costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 51345

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. O. P. Ripy

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 51345

October 17, 1917

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* O. P. Ripy *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. C. Rake *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

W. C. Rake
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* O. P. Ripy *the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* nothing

and that said he allowed to go hence without day, with all costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 51315

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bob Pruitt

CHARGE: Selling to Minors

No. 51315

October 20, 1917

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bob Pruitt *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M. C. Rake *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

M. C. Rake
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Bob Pruitt *the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* nothing

and that said defendant be discharged to go hence without day

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
R Webb

CHARGE: Theft
No. 51405
October 31, 1917

NUMBER 51406

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* R Webb *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charges *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* T. R Sandidge *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

T R Sandidge
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover* nothing *of the Defendant,* R Webb
*the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
P. C. Carson

CHARGE: Selling Without License
No. 51339
Nov 1, 1917

NUMBER 51339

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* P. C. Carson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charges *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. B. Platt *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J B Platt,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover* nothing *of the Defendant,* P. C. Carson
*the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

and that defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 51341

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Fred Peace

CHARGE: Selling Without License
No. 51341
Nov 1, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Fred Peace pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: David R Jack and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $500.00 and one day in Jail

David R Jack
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Fred Peace the said fine of Five Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of One day from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 51346

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Clarance Wilson

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 51346
November 2, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Clarance Wilson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: D L Weaver and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Clarance Wilson guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Clarance Wilson the said fine of Twenty-five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lula White

CHARGE: Assault
No. 51367
November 2, 1917

NUMBER 51397

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lula White pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: O D Weaver and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged and assess her punishment at a fine of $5.00 and Costs

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Lula White the said fine of Five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
George Lyons

CHARGE: Selling without License
No. 51397
November 2, 1917

NUMBER 51397

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, George Lyons pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J B Platt and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged and assess his punishment at $500.00/100 and 1 day in Jail

J B Platt
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, George Lyons the said fine of Five Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in ~~open~~ Custody Sheriff Tarrant County, Texas who will confine him in County and confine him in the jail of this County for one day from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 51424

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Georgia Thomas

CHARGE: Bawdy House
No. 51424
November 1, 1917

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Georgia Thomas *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J M Boyd *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged, and assess her punishment at a fine of $200.00 and 20 days in jail

J M Boyd
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Georgia Thomas
*the said fine of* Two Hundred & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of twenty days
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read, signed and approved in open Court this the 3rd day of Nov. ~~3rd~~ 1917 Jesse M Brown - Co Judge

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
.....

CHARGE: .....
No. .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be It Remembered that on Monday, November 5th, 1917, there came on and was held a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas at Fort Worth, present and presiding the Honorable Jesse McBrown, County Judge, Marshall Sprouls, County Attorney, W N Logan, County Clerk, and Fife Mann, Sheriff, when the following proceedings, among others, were had, to-wit

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. L. Massie

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 51493
November 8, 1917

NUMBER 51493

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. L. Massie *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. H. Smith *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00

J. H. Smith
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J. L. Massie *the said fine of* One Hundred & no/100 — *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take immediate possession of said defendand, *and confine him in the jail of this County from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mary Perkins

CHARGE: Theft
No. 51482
November 7, 1917

NUMBER 51482

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mary Perkins *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, ~~and a jury, to-wit:~~* The Court *~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~*

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ and being fully advised in the premises are of the opinion that said defendant is Guilty as Charged, and assesses his fine at $10 and $10 days in jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mary Perkins *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of Sheriff *and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County* ten days *from* from this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 51381

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Alonzo Hightower

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 51381
November 10, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Alonzo Hightower pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Ray J. Owens and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 30 days in jail

Ray J. Owens
Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Alonzo Hightower the said fine of Twenty-five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take immediate possession of said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of 30 days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 51420

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lilly Stubbs

CHARGE: Theft
No. 51420
November 9, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lilly Stubbs pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: F. L. Hardin and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess a fine of $25.00 and one day in Jail

F. L. Hardin
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Lilly Stubbs the said fine of Twenty-five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County for a period of one day from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Scott

CHARGE: ~~Selling Without Liquor~~ Aggravated Assault
No. 51506
Town

NUMBER 51506

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Wilbe Spratt pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J Shepherd and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

J Shepherd
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, Will Scott the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and ~~execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ and defendant ~~being present in open Court~~ is by allowed to go hence without day with his place costs

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mike Cwicks

CHARGE: Abusive Language
No. 51530
November 15, 1917

NUMBER 51530

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mike Cwicks pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J E Kuhen and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

J E Kuhen
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Mike Cwicks the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 51609

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Henry Searcy

CHARGE: Selling without License

No 51609

November 22, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Henry Searcy pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. C. Barnett and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty

W C Barnett
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of nothing the Defendant, Henry Searcy the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and that he be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 51532

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Cabe Fulson

CHARGE: Selling Without License

No 51532

November 28, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Cabe Fulson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J W Eastwood and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged, and fine him $500.00 and 6 months in jail

J W Eastwood
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Cabe Fulson the said fine of Five Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of six months from This Date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jose Garza

CHARGE: Selling Without License
No. 51608
November 28, 1917

NUMBER 51608

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jose Garza *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R E Curran *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty.

R E Curran
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jose Garza *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lee Price

CHARGE: Selling Without License
No. 51603
December 1, 1917

NUMBER 51603

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lee Price *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W H Rose *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $500 and 30 days in jail

W H Rose
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Lee Price *the said fine of* Five Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 51650

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Frank Bell

CHARGE: Selling without License

No. 51650

December 6, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Frank Bell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charges herein, ~~and a jury,~~ to wit: And the Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and ~~having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit~~:

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ being fully advised in the premises is of the opinion that defendant is guilty of vagrancy for illegal sale of liquor, and his punishment is assessed at a fine of $50.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Frank Bell the said fine of Fifty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date, and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 51634

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. A A Barkston

CHARGE: Selling without License

No. 51634

December 8, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, A A Barkston pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charges herein, and a jury, to-wit: A L White and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged, and assess his punishment at $50.00 and 1 days imprisonment in the County jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, A A Barkston the said fine of Five Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of one day from this date, and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ed Graves

CHARGE: Procuring
No. 51710
December 20, 1917

NUMBER 51710

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ed Graves pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and ~~a jury, to-wit:~~ The Court and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and ~~having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ being duely advised in the premises, is of the opinion that defendant is Guilty as Charged, and assesses his punishment at a fine of $50.00 and Thirty days Confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ed Graves the said fine of Fifty & no/100 ——— Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of 30 days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A Krause

CHARGE: Selling without License
No. 51565
December 21, 1917

NUMBER 51565

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, A Krause pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Jno A Mugg and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as alleged, and assess his punishment at a fine of $500.00 and One day in jail,

Jno A Mugg
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, A Krause the said fine of Five Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of one day from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 5157b

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Richard Zellhoffer

CHARGE: Selling without License

No 5157b

December 22, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Richard Zellhoffer pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: John A Mugg and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as alleged, and assess his punishment at One day in Jail and $250.00 Fine.

John A Mugg
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Richard Zellhoffer the said fine of Two Hundred & Fifty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of One day from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 51703

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Walter Boyd

CHARGE: Theft

No. 51703

December 22, 1917

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Walter Boyd pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and ~~a jury, to wit, The Court and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,~~ who, having heard the Informations read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ Upon being fully advised in the premises is of the opinion that the defendant is Guilty as charged, and assesses his punishment at a Fine of $1.00 and 1 day's Confinement in the County Jail Tarrant County, Texas

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Walter Boyd the said fine of One Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of 1 Day from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mike Crawford

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 5176
December 24, 1917

NUMBER 5176

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mike Crawford *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read, signed and approved in open Court this the 4th day of January, A.D. 1918 Jesse M Brown Co Judge

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
.....

CHARGE: .....
No. .....

NUMBER .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER _____

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
_____

*CHARGE:* _____
*No.* _____ _____
_____

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* _____ *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* _____ *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* _____ *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* _____ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* _____

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER _____

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
_____

*CHARGE:* _____
*No.* _____ _____
_____

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* _____ *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* _____ *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* _____ *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* _____ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* _____

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be It Remembered, that on Monday, November 5th, 1917, there came on and was held a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas at Fort Worth, presented and presiding the Honorable Jesse M Bowyer, County Judge, Marshall Spoonts, County Attorney Chas F Rose, County Clerk, and N. C. Mann, Sheriff, when the following proceedings, among others, were had:

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W B Kirkpatrick

CHARGE: Wife Desertion
No. 51847
January 16, 1918

NUMBER 51847

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W B Kirkpatrick pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and ~~a jury, to-wit:~~ The Court and five others, ~~was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and ~~having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ being duly advised in the premises is of the opinion that defendant is guilty as charged and assesses his punishment at a fine of $50.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, W B Kirkpatrick the said fine of Fifty ▽ no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Clarance Wilson

CHARGE: Adultery & Fornication
No. 51704
January 17, 1918

NUMBER 51704

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Clarance Wilson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W T Scarborough and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00

W T Scarborough
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Clarance Wilson the said fine of One Hundred ▽ no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being Presently in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from This Date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 51820

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W B Hardee

CHARGE: Theft
No. 51820
January 18, 1918

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W B Hardee *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W H Scarborough *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

W H Scarborough
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* W B Hardee *the said fine of* no *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* ~~.....~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 51792

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Chester Garrett

CHARGE: Theft
No. 51792
January 19, 1918

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Chester Garrett *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Oscar C Jones *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Chester Garrett, guilty as charged in the information, and assess his punishment at thirty days in jail

Oscar C Jones,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Chester Garrett *the said fine of* no *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of 30 days *from* This date *and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Albert Beeman

CHARGE: Wife Desertion

No. 5626

January 17, 1918

NUMBER 5626

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Albert Beeman pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and ~~a jury~~, to-wit: the Court and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and ~~having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to wit:~~

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that defendant is guilty as charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Albert Beeman the said fine of One Hundred & No/00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Hall

CHARGE: Theft

No. 5633

January 24, 1918

NUMBER 5633

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Hall pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: McClendon and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

McClendon
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, Will Hall the said fine of no Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court ~~is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of~~ is allowed to go hence without day with all costs

~~and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 51839

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. O M Baker

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 5/839

January 28, 1918

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, O M Baker pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Paul Carpenter and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not Guilty

Paul Carpenter.
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, O M Baker the said fine of no Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his Costs

and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 51920

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Clint Washington

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 51920

Febuary 13, 1918

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Clyde Washington pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J Fisher and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and do assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00.

J Fisher
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Clint Washington the said fine of Twenty-five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bailey Meisner

CHARGE: Theft
No. 5/736
February 14, 1918

NUMBER 5/736

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bailey Meisner *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* G L Cook *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

G L Cook
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Bailey Meisner *the said fine of* ~~.....~~ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* nothing

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs herein expended

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Chas Lowe

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 51875
February 15, 1918

NUMBER 51875

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Chas Lowe *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E Silby *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

E Silby
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Chas Lowe *the said fine of* ~~.....~~ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* nothing

and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 52026

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Pinky Truitte

CHARGE: Theft

No. 52026

March 1, 1918

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Pinky Truitte pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. E. Archer and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information, and assess his punishment at two & six Months Confinement in Jail

J. E. Archer,
Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Pinky Truitte the said fine of no Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of six Months from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read, signed and approved in open Court this the 2nd day of March, A. D. 1918 Jesse M Brown Co Judge

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.

CHARGE:

No.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

March TERM. March 4 A. D. 1918

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be It Remembered that on Monday, March 4, 1918 there came on and was held a regular term of the Hon. County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House of said County, at Fort Worth, Texas, present and presiding the Honorable Isaac N Brown, Judge, Marshall Stanton, County Attorney, Chas H Rose, County Clerk and W B Mann, Sheriff, when the following proceedings, among others, were had:

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
T B Sandifer

CHARGE: Carrying Pistol
No. 52075
March 22, 1918

NUMBER 52075

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, T B Sandifer pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: T S Reynolds and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged, and assess his penalty at Two Hundred ($200.00) Dollars fine and One year's imprisonment.

T. S Reynolds, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, T B Sandifer the said fine of Two Hundred & No/100 — Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will forthwith take possession of said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of one year from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Roy Hargis

CHARGE: Abusive
No. 51933
March 23, 1918

NUMBER 51933

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Roy Hargis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W W Winn and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00

W W Winn, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Roy Hargis the said fine of Fifty & No/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 52084

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Henry Coleman

CHARGE: Theft

No. 52084

March 22, 1918

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Henry Coleman pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Henry Coleman ~~the said fine of~~ nothing Dollars ~~and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs~~, and the said Defendant be allowed to go hence with all costs herein expended

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 52159

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ed Appleton

CHARGE: Assault

No. 52159

April 5, 1918

THIS DAY, THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ed Appleton pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. J. Day and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant ~~not~~ find the defendant guilty and assess a fine of $15.00

W. J. Day

Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ed Appleton the said fine of Fifteen ~~no~~ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19_____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Martin

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 52204
April 5, 1918

NUMBER 52204

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Martin pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: On Bullard and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

On Bullard
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Will Martin the said fine of Twenty-five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Anna Graham

CHARGE: Keeping Bawdy House
No. 52152
April 24, 1918

NUMBER 52152

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Anna Graham pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Bud Brummett and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty

Bud Brummett
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of nothing the Defendant, Anna Graham the said fine of no Dollars and all costs of this ~~prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs~~, and the said Defendant and that she be allowed to go hence without day with her Costs etc

and confine him in the jail of this County from _____ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 52179

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Willie Henry

CHARGE: Theft

No. 52179

April 24, 1918

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Willie Henry pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W B Bonner and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess her punishment at a fine of $100 and 30 days in the County Jail

W B Bonner
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Willie Henry the said fine of Ten & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will forthwith

and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County for a period of Thirty days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 52227

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mrs Claus Ivey

CHARGE: Malicious Mischief

No. 52227

April 26, 1918

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs Claus Ivey pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: D W Brummett and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty

D W Brummett
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of nothing the Defendant, Mrs Claus Ivey the said fine of No Dollars ~~and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs~~, and the said Defendant be allowed to go hence without day with all costs herein expended

and confine him in the jail of this County

from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ethel Jackson

CHARGE: Theft
No. 52201
May 1st 1918

NUMBER 52201

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ethel Jackson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: M E Mugg and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged, and assess her punishment at imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of thirty days and by Fine of One dollar

M E Mugg
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ethel Jackson
the said fine of One ~~Dollars~~ no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will forthwith

~~and confine him~~ her in the jail of this County for a period of 30 days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L R Thompson

CHARGE: Abusing Loud & Disturbing the Peace
No. 52265
May 1, 1918

NUMBER 52265

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, L R Thompson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: F J McGehearty and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00

F J McGehearty
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, L R Thompson
the said fine of Fifty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas who will take said Defendant immediately into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 52190

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Plymouth Lee

CHARGE: Theft

No. 52190

May 2, 1918

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Plymouth Lee *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D. J. McGehearty *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

D. J. McGehearty
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Plymouth Lee *the said fine of* no *Dollars ~~and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs~~, and the said Defendant* nothing
be allowed to go hence without day with his costs,

*and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read, signed and approved in open Court this the 4th day of May A. D. 1918 Jno. M. Brown Co. Judge

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
.....

CHARGE: .....

No. .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ..... pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant .....*

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

May TERM. May 6th A. D. 1918

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be It Remembered that on Monday May 6th 1918 there came on and was held a regular term of the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House of said County at Fort Worth, Texas, present and presiding the Honorable Jesse M Brown, Judge, and Court Marshall Spoonts, County Attorney Chas A Rose, County Clerk and N.C. Mann, Sheriff, when the following proceedings, among others were had.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Bright

CHARGE: Theft

No. 52252 May 10, 1918

NUMBER 52252

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Bright pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E L Wallace and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged, and assess his punishment at $100.00 fine and One day in jail.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Will Bright the said fine of One Hundred & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will forthwith

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for a period of One day from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bob Clark

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 52253 May 8, 1918

NUMBER 52253

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bob Clark pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A H Copeland and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at $25.00

A H Copeland
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Bob Clark the said fine of Twenty-five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will forthwith

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from this day and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 52263

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
U A Zimmerman

CHARGE: Swindling
No. 52263
May 8, 1918

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* U A Zimmerman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W B Fishburn *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty

W B Fishburn
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* U A Zimmerman *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 52263

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
U A Zimmerman

CHARGE: Swindling
No. 52263
May 8 1918

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* U A Zimmerman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D Coker *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess $10.00 fine and 1 day in jail

D Coker
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* U A Zimmerman *the said fine of* $1.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will forthwith confine

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of 1 day
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Cliff Neal

CHARGE: Unlawfully Using Auto
No. 52272
May 9 1918

NUMBER 52272

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Cliff Neal pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W B Fishburn and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

W B Fishburn
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, Cliff Neal the said fine of ~~.....~~ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and ~~execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

and ~~confine him in the jail of this County~~
from ~~.....~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Hallis R Ivy

CHARGE: Keeping Bawdy House
No. 52118
June 13, 1918

NUMBER 52118

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Hallis R Ivy pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, ~~and a jury~~, to-wit: The Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having~~ who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and ~~having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ We the Jury advised in the premises are of the opinion that defendant is not guilty

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, Hallis R Ivy the said fine of ~~.....~~ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and that defendant be accoured to go hence without day

and confine him in the jail of this County
from ~~.....~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 52323

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Addie Estes

CHARGE: Keeping a Bawdy House

No. 52323

June 14, 1918

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Addie Estes pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. C. Fowler and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

C. C. Fowler

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, Addie Estes the said fine of ____ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and said Defendant be allowed to go hence without day with her costs

and confine him in the jail of this County ____ from ____ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read, signed and approved in open Court this the 29th June A. D. 1918

Jesse M. Brown — County Judge

NUMBER ____

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
____

CHARGE: ____

No. ____

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ____ pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ____ herein, and a jury, to-wit: ____ and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ____ read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant ____

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ____ the said fine of ____ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County ____ from ____ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

July Adjourned TERM. July 1st A. D. 1918

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be It Remembered that on Monday, July 1 1918 there came on and was held a regular term of the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County, Texas at the Court House of said County, at Fort Worth, present & presiding the Honorable Jesse M Brown, County Judge, Marshall Sparks County Attorney, Chas H Rose, County Clerk & by N L Mann, Sheriff, when the following proceedings, among others, were had:

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Robt Foust

CHARGE: Dist Religious Worship
No. 52482
August 22, 1918

NUMBER 52482

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Robt Foust *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A J Dougherty *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

A J Dougherty
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Robt Foust *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John King

CHARGE: Assault
No. 52483
August 22, 1918

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John King *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W B Marcum *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

W B Marcum
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* John King *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 52524

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sol Soden

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 52524
August 21, 1918

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sol Soden *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* O. J. Daugherty *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Sol Soden guilty of aggravated assault and assess his fine at $37.00. no jail sentence,

O. J. Daugherty
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Sol Soden *the said fine of* Thirty-Seven & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being I accept in Open Court to be placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas Who will take said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 52541

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. K. Smiley

CHARGE: Theft
No. 52541
July 31, 1918

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. K. Smiley *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant*

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. C. McCoghren

CHARGE: Theft

No. 52540

August 31, 1918

NUMBER 52540

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. C. McCoghren pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. R. Cunningham and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

W. R. Cunningham
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, W. C. McCoghren nothing the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and that said Defendant be allowed to go hence without day

and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. W. Guthrie

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 52571

August 24, 1918

NUMBER 52571

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. W. Guthrie pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. D. McDaniel and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and thirty days confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas

W. D. McDaniel
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J. W. Guthrie the said fine of Twenty five & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas who will take immediate possession of defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of 30 days from First day and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read, signed and approved in open Court this the 31st day of August A. D. 1918 Jesse M. Brown - Judge

September TERM. September 2" A. D. 1918

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be It Remembered That on Monday, September 2", 1918, there came on and was held a regular term of the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House of said County, in Fort Worth, Texas, present and presiding the Hon. Jesse M Brown, Judge of said Court, Marshall B Sloan, County Attorney, Chas H Doak, County Clerk and N C Mann, Sheriff, when the following proceedings, among others, were had:

NUMBER 52440

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. A Singer

CHARGE: Theft

No 52440

September 5, 1918

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, A Singer pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: G F McLean and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of Theft and assess his punishment at a Fine of $5.00 and one day Confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, A Singer the said fine of Five and no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will immediately take possession of said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of one day from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 52440

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Coy Taylor

CHARGE: Theft

No 52440

September 13, 1918

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Coy Taylor pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: O P Jamage and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 30 days Confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas

O P Jamage
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Coy Taylor the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of Thirty days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Nick Grafolas

CHARGE: Carrying Pistol
No. 52558
September 13, 1918

NUMBER 52558

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Nick Grafolas *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D R Weaver *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

D R Weaver
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Nick Grafolas *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and that defendant be allowed to go hence without day with all costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Aline Riley

CHARGE: Theft
No. 5262
September 8, 1918

NUMBER 5262

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Aline Riley *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J A Dougherty *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

J. A. Dougherty,
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Aline Riley *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 52609

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Cook

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 52609
Sept 19, 1918

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Cook pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: F N Smith and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00.

F N Smith
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Will Cook the said fine of Twenty-five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take the said Defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 52699

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Green

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 52699
October 10, 1918

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Green pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C A Newhall and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty

C A Newhall
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, John Green the said fine of ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ and that defendant go hence without day with his costs and confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Green

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 52700
October 10, 1918

NUMBER 52700

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Green pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J Carmichael and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged, and assess his fine at $50

J Carmichael
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, John Green the said fine of Fifty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ed Rogers

CHARGE: Theft
No. 52706
October 11, 1918

NUMBER 52706

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ed Rogers pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: F R Pruett and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $200 and 30 days in jail

F R Pruett
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ed Rogers the said fine of Twenty & no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take the said defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of 30 days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 52746

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Henry Green

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 52746
October 16, 1918

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Henry Green *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Tom Bunch *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not Guilty

Tom Bunch
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Henry Green *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 52737

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs Taylor

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 52737
October 19, 1918

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs Taylor *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J D Laine *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

J D Laine
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mrs Taylor *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and that defendant be allowed to go hence without day

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E S Wallace

CHARGE: Wife Desertion
No. 52727
October 31, 1918

NUMBER 52727

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* E S Wallace *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charges *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* F A Flenner *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at $25.00 and fifty days confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas

F. A. Flenner
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* E S Wallace
*the said fine of* Twenty-five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession
*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of thirty days
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Kate Wallace

CHARGE: Theft
No. 52772
October 31, 1918

NUMBER 52772

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Kate Wallace *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charges *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* F M Martin *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

F M Martin
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of nothing the Defendant,* Kate Wallace
*the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and that Defendant be allowed to go hence With costs herein expended
*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

..... TERM. ..... A. D. 19.....

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 52775

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Shorty Smith

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 52775

October 30, 1918

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Shorty Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J M Maxey *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Shorty Smith, Guilty as charged and fix his punishment at a Fine of $100.00

J M Maxey,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Said Shorty Smith *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession *and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read, signed and approved in open Court this the 2nd day of Nov. A.D. 1918

Jno M Brown — Judge

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.

CHARGE:

No.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

November TERM. November 4th A. D. 1918

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be It Remembered that on Monday, November 4th, 1918 there came on and was held a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at Fort Worth, Texas, Present and Presiding The Honorable Jesse M. Brown, County Judge, Marshall Stoonts, County Attorney, Chas. H. Rose, County Clerk, Ed. McMurry Sheriff, when the following proceedings, among others, were had:

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
F. C. Baker

CHARGE: Aggravated
No. 52799
November 8, 1918

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, F. C. Baker pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. C. Jennings and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

W. C. Jennings
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, F. C. Baker the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bob Averitt

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 52745
November 14, 1918

NUMBER 52745

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bob Averitt pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. H. Black and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of $58.06

J. H. Black
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Bob Averitt the said fine of Fifty-Eight & 06/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take possession of said Defendant,

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 52670

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Thelma Shipp

CHARGE: Receiving & Concealing Stolen Property
No. 52670
November 21, 1918

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Thelma Shipp pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. A. Coleman and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged, and assess his punishment at 12 Months in jail

J. A. Coleman
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Thelma Shipp the said fine of no Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take possession of Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of twelve months from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 52770

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Kate Wallace

CHARGE: Theft
No. 52770
November 21, 1918

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Kate Wallace pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. L. Tillery and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

R. L. Tillery
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover nothing of the Defendant, Kate Wallace the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and that said defendant be allowed to go hence without day with all costs in this behalf expended

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J B Davis

CHARGE: Wife Desertion
No 52824
November 11, 1918

NUMBER 52824

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J B Davis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J W Harvey *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

J B Davis
J W Harvey"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J B Davis *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

and that defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ike Smith

CHARGE: Unlawfully Using Auto
No 52831
November 29, 1918

NUMBER 52831

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ike Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Chas Evans *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

Chas Evans
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Ike Smith *the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

and that defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 52860

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W A Weems

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 52860

November 29, 1918

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W A Weems *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W C Cole *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

W C Cole
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* W A Weems *the said fine of* ~~.....~~ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* nothing

and be Acquitted and discharged and that said Defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ~~.....~~
*from* ~~.....~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 52847

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. H. H. Hadcock

CHARGE: Theft.

No. 52847

December 5th, 1918

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* H. H. Hadcock *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ~~.....~~ *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

Arthur P. Nadhaem
"Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* H. H. Hadcock *the said fine of* ~~.....~~ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* nothing

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ~~.....~~
*from* ~~.....~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Alice Wright

CHARGE: Theft
No. 52862
December 6-1918

NUMBER 52862

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Alice Wright *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. F. Buchanan *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess her punishment at 30 days in the Co. Jail.

W. D. Buchanan,
"Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Alice Wright
*the said fine of* No *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Lamar Co. Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine* her *in the jail of this County* for a period of 30 days
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mary Northam

CHARGE: Theft
No. 52879
Dec. 5-1918

NUMBER 52879

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mary Northam *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

N. D. Hudgins
"Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mary Northam
*the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 52891

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jose Martinez

CHARGE: Theft

No. 52891

Dec. 6 - 1918

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jose Martinez *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. J. Reagan *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Jose Martinez - Not Guilty

J. J. Reagan
"Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jose Martinez *the said fine of* 3 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* nothing

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 52868

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Curry Johnson

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 52868

Dec. 5 - 1918

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Curry Johnson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

"Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Curry Johnson *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* nothing

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Earl Askins

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 52898
Dec-12-1918

NUMBER 52898

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Earl Askins *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* T. J. Thompson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *redd and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty.

T. J. Thompson.
"Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. C. Webb

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 52777
Dec-11-1918

NUMBER 52777

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. C. Webb *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* F. L. Court *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

F. L. Court
"Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 52960

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs. N. O. Flemis

CHARGE: Disorderly House
No. 52960
Dec - 19 - 1918

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs. N. O. Flemis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. L. Houston *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* evy. *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

R. L. Houston
"Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* nothing *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 52961

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mary Helms

CHARGE: Theft
No. 52961
Dec - 12 - 1918

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mary Helms *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. L. Houston *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* evy. *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

A. L. Houston,
"Foreman".

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read, signed & approved in open Court this the 4th day of Jan, A.D. 1919

Hyer Thweatt Judge

January TERM. January 6 A. D. 1919

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be It Remembered, That on Monday, Jan 6, 1919 there came on and was held a regular term of the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House of said County at Fort Worth, present and presiding the Honorable Hugh L. Sneed Judge of said Court, Jesse M. Brown, County Attorney, Bart Bynum, County Clerk and Sterling P. Clark, Sheriff, when the following proceedings, among others, were had:

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Vera Fowler

CHARGE: Theft
No. 6-2962
Dec - 12 - 1918

NUMBER 6-2962

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Vera Fowler *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. L. Houston *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

A. L. Houston
"Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ~~from this date~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read, signed & approved this in open Court this the 6th day of Jan. 1919. A.D.

Hugh L. Sneed Co. Judge

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
James Mowrer

CHARGE: Theft
No. 53026
January 3, 1919

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* James Mowrer *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. P. Mears *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at $100 fine and 30 days in Jail.

J. P. Mears.
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* James Mowrer *the said fine of* One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for 30 days *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. M. Davis

CHARGE: Theft
No. 53001
Jan - 2 - 1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C. M. Davis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. A. Peeler *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant C. M. Davis - Guilty and assess his punishment at 30 days in Jail
J. A. Peeler
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* C M Davis
*the said fine of* no *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

C. M. Davis, being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. Texas, who is immediately take possession of Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of 30 days
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dave Robinson

CHARGE: Theft
No. 52999
Jan - 4 - 1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Dave Robinson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. L. Jackson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty
W. L. Jackson
Foreman"

And that defendant be allowed to go hence without day with his costs.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Early Morrison

CHARGE: Wife Desertion
No. 52909
Jan. 2-1919

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Early Morrison pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Frank S. Pettit and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at six (6) months in Jail and $100.00 fine

Frank S. Pettit
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Early Morrison the said fine of ($100.00) One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co., Texas who will immediately take possession of the Defendant,

and confine him in the jail of this County for six months from date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mack Eubanks

CHARGE: Theft
No. 52851
Dec-18-18

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mack Eubanks pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: D. Portwood and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and return of property to owner.

D. Portwood
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Mack Eubanks the said fine of Twenty-five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Mack Eubanks, being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. Texas, who will take said Deft into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 13055

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. H. Lee

CHARGE: Theft
No. 13055
Jan. 9-1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J H Lee *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J C Boyer *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guielty

J. C. Boyer
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 13029

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Nellie Hines

CHARGE: Theft
No. 13029
Jan 9-1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Nellie Hines *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. H. Murphy *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guielty and assess his punishment at 60 days in Co. Jail

W. H. Murphy
Foreman".

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Nellie Hines *the said fine of* NO *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for 60 days *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ambrose Cooper

CHARGE: Theft
No. 53057
Jan 15-1919

NUMBER 53057

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ambrose Cooper pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at $1.00 and 20 days in Co. Jail

Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ambrose Cooper the said fine of 1.00 + 20 days in Co. Jail Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Ambrose Cooper being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Lamar Co. Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County for 20 days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lula Arline

CHARGE: Theft
No. 52696
Jan. 22-1919

NUMBER 52696

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lula Arline pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Dan Pliner and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty.

Dan Pliner.

Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 53146

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E. D. Meyers

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 53146
Jan. 24-1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* E. D. Meyers *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* N. J. Gammon *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

N. J. Gammon
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 53117

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Frank Bell

CHARGE: Theft
No. 53117
Jan - 23 - 1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Frank Bell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* P. D. Baston *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

P. D. Baston
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Tom Campbell

CHARGE: Gaming
No. 53136
Jan. 22. 1919

NUMBER 53136

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Tom Campbell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. H. Wright *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J. H. Wright
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Virgil Thompson

CHARGE: Adultery
No. 52781
10 - 30 - 18

NUMBER 52781

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Virgil Thompson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D. B. Davidson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

D. B. Davidson
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 52827

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sylvester League

CHARGE: Theft.
No. 52827

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Sylvester League pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. Mann and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

J. M. Mann
Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 53080

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Isaac Love

CHARGE: Wife Desertion
No. 53080
Jan. 29-1919

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Isaac Love pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars.

Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Isaac Love the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Isaac Love being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. Texas, who will immediately take said Defdt. into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mary Grayson

CHARGE: Theft
No. 53177
Jan. 29-1919

NUMBER 53177

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mary Grayson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess her punishment at 30 days in Jail.

Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mary Grayson
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Mary Grayson, being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. Texas who will immediately take said Deft. into his possession

*and confine* her *him in the jail of this County* For 20 days
*from* Jan 29-1919 *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jo Fisher

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 5-3178
Jan. 30-1919

NUMBER 5-3178

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jo Fisher *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Rufus H. Chapman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at 30 days in Jail

Rufus H. Chapman,
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Jo Fisher, being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for 30 days
*from* Date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 53176

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. C. Cuch

CHARGE: Theft
No. 53176
Feb. 12, 1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C. C. Cuch *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. Hawson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

R. Hawson
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo. Jackson

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 53210
Feb 13-1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Geo. Jackson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. H. Herndon *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J. H. Herndon
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Geo. Jackson *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E. Nicholson

CHARGE: Theft
No. 53223
2-14-1919

NUMBER 53223

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* E. Nicholson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. H. Norris *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his Punishment at one day in Jail and $25.00 fine.
W. H. Norris, Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* E. Nicholson
*the said fine of* 1 day in Jail & $25.00 fine *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* E. Nicholson being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Grayson Co., Tex., who shall take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date, *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E. Nicholson

CHARGE: Theft
No. 53226
Feb. 14, 1919

NUMBER 53226

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* E. Nicholson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. A. Millingham *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty
C. A. Millingham
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 52907

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Rosa Lee Hicks

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 52907
1-23-1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Rosa Lee Hicks *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. H. George *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of $25.00

E. H. George
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Rosa Lee Hicks *the said fine of* Fine *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will take said Defendant into his possession,

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
S. P. Fincher

CHARGE: Agg. Assault
No. 53320
Feb 21-1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* S. P. Fincher *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. J. Mayfield *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J. J. Mayfield
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charlie Reese

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 53114
Feb 19-1919

NUMBER 53114

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Charlie Reese *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. M. Rucker *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty. J. M. Rucker - Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs Bertha Lauderdale

CHARGE: A - a -
No. 53194
Feb 20 - 1919

NUMBER 53194

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs Bertha Lauderdale *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. Herron *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty. J. Herron Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER _____

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
P. D. Taylor

CHARGE: Theft
No 53142
Feb 21 — 1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* P D Taylor *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W R Shelton *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty W R Shelton Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____ *the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* _____ *from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 53240

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Frank Connor

CHARGE: Carrying Arms
No. 53240
Feb 27 - 1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Frank Connor *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* G. A. Hood *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty G. A. Hood Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____ *the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* _____ *from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. B. Collup

CHARGE: Operating Motor Vehicle without License Feb-28-1919
No. 3447 NUMBER 3447

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W B Collup pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: G. A. Hood and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00

G. A. Hood
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, W. B. Collup the said fine of one & 1 day in Jail Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. C. Thomas

CHARGE: Operating Motor Vehicle without a license Feb. 28-1919
No. 3427 NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. C. Thomas pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit; L. T. Scarborough and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00

L. T. Scarborough
Foreman,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J. C. Thomas the said fine of one & 1 day in Jail Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. Texas who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read, Signed and Approved in Open Court this the 1st day of March, A. D. 1919

Hugh L. Scott
Co. Judge

March TERM. March 3 A. D. 1919

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be It Remembered that on Monday the 3rd day of March 1919 there came on and was held a regular term of the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House of said County in Fort Worth, Texas, present and presiding the Honorable Hugh L. Small, County Judge of said Court, Jesse M. Brown, County Attorney, Bart Maynard, County Clerk and Fleeting P. Clark, Sheriff, when the following proceedings, among others were had:—

NUMBER 53578

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ella Clark

CHARGE: OPERATING MOTOR VEHICLE WITHOUT LICENSE.

No. 53578

3-5-19

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ella Clark *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. N. Watson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

H. N. Watson
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 53539

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jas. V. D. Corna

CHARGE: OPERATING MOTOR VEHICLE WITHOUT LICENSE.

No. 53539

March 5th, 1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jas. V. D. Corna *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Ward Bratton *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty *and assess his punishment at a* fine of $1.00

Ward Bratton
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jas V D Corna *the said fine of* one *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Jas. V. D. Corna, being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. Texas who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
F. F. Hughes

CHARGE: OPERATING MOTOR VEHICLE WITHOUT LICENSE
No. 5-3484
3-5-1919

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* F. F. Hughes *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of 1st

W. M. Malone
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* F. F. Hughes
*the said fine of* One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* F. F. Hughes, being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. Texas who will immediately take the said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs. J. J. Childress

CHARGE: Adultery & Fornication
No. 5-3470
March 6-1919

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs. J. J. Childress *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* S. H. Baker *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty.

S. H. Baker, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 53446

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. B. La Corua

CHARGE: Oper. Motor Vehicle Without License
No. 53446
3-6-19

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W B La Corua *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00

J. L. Morehead
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* W B La Corua
*the said fine of* Fine *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* W.B. La Corua, being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 53469

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Buddie Stamps

CHARGE: Adultery & Fornication
No. 53469
3/19/19

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Buddie Stamps *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A Cunningham *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $200.00

A. Cunningham
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Buddie Stamps
*the said fine of* Two Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Buddie Stamps being present in Open Court, is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will immediately take the said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
N. V. McClure

CHARGE: Violating Pure Food Law
No. 53465
March 7, 1919

NUMBER 53465

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* N. V. McClure *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. J. Schillinger *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $200.00.

J. J. Schillinger, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* N. V. McClure *the said fine of* Two Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* N. V. McClure being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. M. McCaslin

CHARGE: Operating Motor Vehicle
No. 53604
without a License.
March 19, 1919.

NUMBER 53604

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. M. McCaslin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, ~~and a jury, to-wit~~:* And the Court *~~and five others~~, ~~was duly selected~~, impaneled ~~and sworn~~, ~~who~~, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"~~WE, THE JURY~~, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 33533

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A. G. Liston

CHARGE: Operating Motor Vehicle Without License and
No. 33533 Insp. 19-1917

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A. G. Liston *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and ~~a jury~~, to-wit:* The Court *and ~~five others~~, was duly ~~selected~~, impaneled ~~and sworn~~, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

~~WE, THE JURY~~, Finds the Defendant The Court Not Guilty

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. A. Pickens

CHARGE: Operating Motor Vehicle
No. ..... Without License Peal
Insp. 19-1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. A. Pickens *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and ~~a jury~~, to-wit:* The Court *and ~~five others~~, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

~~WE, THE JURY~~, Finds the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J. A. Pickens *the said fine of* Same *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* J. A. Pickens, being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Baker Bros

CHARGE: Operating Motor Vehicle
No. 53492

NUMBER 53492

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Baker Bros *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and ~~a jury~~, to-wit:* The Court *and ~~five others~~, ~~was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*
*"~~WE, THE JURY~~, Finds the Defendant* not Guilty

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dr. G. T. Allison

CHARGE: Operating Motor Vehicle
No. 53584 Without License
March 26-1919

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Dr. G. T. Allison *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and ~~a jury~~, to-wit:* The Court *and ~~five others~~, ~~was duly selected~~, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, ~~retired to consider of their verdict~~, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*
*"~~WE, THE JURY~~, Finds the Defendant* not Guilty. Had deal on car but Witness did not see it.

Co. Judge.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 53737

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W. D. Largent

CHARGE: Assault

No. 53737

April 8 - 1919.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. D. Largent *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. R. Dilley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty.

W. R. Dilley Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....

*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 53685

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Murphy Giles

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 53685

3/29/19

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Murphy Giles *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. H. Sullivan *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty.

J. H. Sullivan Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....

*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Frank Bennett

CHARGE: Abusive Language
No. 53647 4/2/19

NUMBER 53647

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Frank Bennett *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. N. Smith *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

C. N. Smith
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
D. N. Humphrey

CHARGE: Oper. Motor Vehicle Without Lic.
No. 53503 3/26/19

NUMBER 53503

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* D. N. Humphrey *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* G. W. Riley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty G. W. Riley
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 53227

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E. Nicholson

CHARGE: Theft
No. 53227
3/27/19

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* E. Nicholson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* G. F. McFreely *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

G. F. McFreely
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 53532

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. P. Mason

CHARGE: Operating Motor Veh. without license real.
No. 53532
April 9-1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. P. Mason *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and ~~a jury,~~ to-wit:* The Court *~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who~~, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict~~, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty
The Court.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs. J. E. Langston

CHARGE: Operating Motor Vehicle Without License
No. 53600
4/9/19

NUMBER 53600

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs. J. E. Langston pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, ~~and a jury~~, to-wit: the Court- and ~~five others~~, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, ~~retired to consider of their verdict~~, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"~~WE, THE JURY~~, Finds the Defendant Not Guilty

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L. A. Thompson

CHARGE: Swindling
No. .....
4/24/19

NUMBER .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, L. A. Thompson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 30 days in jail

C. N. Smith
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, L. A. Thompson the said fine of Jointly fine & 30 days in Jail Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant L. A. Thompson being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take possession of the Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County for 30 days
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

..... TERM. ..... A. D. 19.....

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 53830

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Alex Harmon

CHARGE: Wife Desertion
No. 53830
4-24-19.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Alex Harmon *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. L. Mims *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

R. L. Mims, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,..... the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 53849

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Marshall Reeves

CHARGE: Adultery & Fornication
No. 53849
April 26-1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Marshall Reeves *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. H. Farmer *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

R. H. Farmer
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,..... the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
G. Rotan

CHARGE: Operating Motor Vehicle without a license
No. 53594
4-20-19

NUMBER 53594

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* G. Rotan *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a ~~jury,~~ to-wit:* The Court *and five others, ~~was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who,~~ having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict,~~ and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, ~~which was rendered by the Court,~~ and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, ~~THE JURY~~, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs. B. B. Daniels

CHARGE: Operating Motor Vehicle without a license seal
No. 53563
4/180/19

NUMBER 53563

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs. B. B. Daniels *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, ~~and a jury,~~ to-wit:* The Court *and five others, ~~was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,~~ who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict,~~ and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, ~~which was rendered by the Court,~~ and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, ~~THE JURY~~, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty.

*IT IS ~~THEREFORE~~ CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 53961

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
M. H. Zechmeyer

CHARGE: Mfe Desertion
No 53961
5-2-19

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* M. H. Zechmeyer *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

Upon a hearing of this case the Court orders the Defendant to pay his mfe $ 7.00 per month for the support of Innocent Children, until further orders from this Court. Same to be paid $35.00 on the 16th of each month and $10.00 on the first of each month.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 53859

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Juan Garza

CHARGE: Mfe Desertion
No 53859
5/12/19

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Juan Garza *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

Upon a hearing of this case the Court orders the Defendant to pay his mfe $ 7.00 a week.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Frank Cipka

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 53884
5-2-19

NUMBER 53884

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Frank Cipka *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. H. Knight *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his fine at a punishment at a fine of $5.00

J. H. Knight
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Frank Cipka
*the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Jackson County, Texas, who will immediately take possession of defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Robert L. Macey

CHARGE: Wife Desertion
No. 53962
5-3-19

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Robert L. Macey *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein,* ~~*and a jury,*~~ *to-wit:* The Court ~~*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,*~~ *who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

The Court ~~*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant*~~ upon hearing this case orders the defendant to pay the Clerk of this Court $5.00 per week for the support of his wife and child.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read, signed and Approved in open Court this the 3rd Day of March May A. D. 1919.

Hugh L. Duvall
Co. Judge.

May TERM. May 5 A. D. 1919

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it Remembered, that on Monday, May 5, 1919 there came on and was held a regular term of the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House of said County in Fort Worth, Texas, present and presiding the Hon. Hugh L. Small County Judge of said Court, Jesse M. Brown County Attorney, Bart Maynard, County clerk and Sterling P. Clark, Sheriff, when the following proceedings among others, were had, to-wit:—

NUMBER 53959

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mary Bell

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 53959
May 7, 1919

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mary Bell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: G. B. Arwood and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and 20 days in the County Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Mary Bell the said fine of Fine + 20 days Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession

and confine her in the jail of this County for 20 days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 53948

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
B. C. Pine

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 53948
5-9-19

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, B. C. Pine pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. W. Morrison and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $250.00 and 2 yrs. in Jail
C. W. Morrison Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, B. C. Pine the said fine of Fifty + 2 yrs in Jail Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant B. C. Pine being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County for 2 years from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A. C. Rogers

CHARGE: Theft
No. 53779
8-8-19

NUMBER 53779

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A. C. Rogers *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Short Copeland *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00 and 1 day in Jail

Short Copeland
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* A. C. Rogers
*the said fine of* 1 day & 1 day in Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* A. C. Rogers, being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for One day
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
H. Bowman

CHARGE: Operating motor car without a license plate
No. 53570
8-15-19

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* H. Bowman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and ~~a jury,~~ to-wit:* the Court ~~*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,*~~ *who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, ~~retired to consider of their verdict,~~ and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which ~~was received by~~ the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"~~WE, THE JURY,~~ Finds the Defendant* Not Guilty. Car was Just out of the State and had been in this State only 12 days.

Co. Judge.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 54167

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Edgar Carter

CHARGE: Theft
No. 54167
5-28-19

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Edgar Carter *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. E. Johnson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

J. E. Johnson, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 54155

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A. E. Phelps

CHARGE: Speeding
No. 54155
5-27-19

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A. E. Phelps *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and ~~a jury, to-wit~~:* The Court *~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court~~, ~~retired to consider of their verdict~~, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

The Court.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
F. W. Axtell

CHARGE: Operating a Motor Vehicle without a License Seal
No. 53497
June 4-1919

NUMBER 53497

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* F. W. Axtell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. D. Burns *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.

E. D. Burns
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* F. W. Axtell *the said fine of* One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* F. W. Axtell being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. Texas, who will immediately take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* this date aforesaid *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read, signed and approved in open Court this the 6th day of June, A. D. 1919.

Hugh L. Russell
Co. Judge.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Stella Wright

CHARGE: Theft
No. 54134
6-4-19

NUMBER 54134

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Stella Wright *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. D. Burns *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* ~~E. D. B~~ Guilty

E. D. Burns
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Stella Wright *the said fine of* $ 26.00 Guilty. fine $ 100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. Texas, who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for 90 days *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 53962

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Robert L. Stacey

CHARGE: Wife Desertion
No. 53962
5-3-19

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Robert L. Stacey *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and upon hearing of above case the Court orders the Defendant to pay the Clerk of the Court $5.00 per week for the support of his wife & child

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 53429

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs. Paul Lipps

CHARGE: Operating Motor Vehicle without license
No. 53429
5-20-19

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs Paul Lipps *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, ~~and a jury~~, to-wit:* The Court *~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,~~ who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, ~~retired to consider of their verdict~~, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not Guilty The Court.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W O'Brien

CHARGE: Speeding
No. 54247
June 4-1919

NUMBER 5-4247

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W O'Brien *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, ~~and a jury,~~ to-wit:* The Court- *~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having~~* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of ~~their~~ verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"~~WE, THE JURY~~, Find the Defendant Guilty- and assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00
The Court-

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* W O Brien *the said fine of* Same *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Jackson Co. Texas who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* from this date *from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jno. Bradshaw

CHARGE: Obtaining Liquor
No. 54219
6-11-19

NUMBER 54219

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jno. Bradshaw *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. O. Hart *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty- and assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00
W. O. Hart
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jno Bradshaw *the said fine of* Fine *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Jackson Co. Texas who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* from this date *from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 54179

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs. Anna Green

CHARGE: Assault
No. 54179
6-11-19

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs. Anna Green *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. H. Williams *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of $25.00

J. H. Williams
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mrs. Anna Green *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Mrs. Anna Green being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine* her *in the jail of this County* .....
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 54320

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sam Mosley

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 54320
6-12-19

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sam Mosley *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. R. Lowden *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of 25.00 and 30 days in Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Sam Mosley *the said fine of* 25.00 & 30 days in Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. Texas who will immediately take possession of

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for 30 days
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Cassi

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 54178
6-12-19

NUMBER 54178

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Cassi pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. N. Lipford and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00 and 30 days in jail.

W. N. Lipford
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, John Cassi the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co., Texas who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County for 30 days from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Addie Williams

CHARGE: Theft
No. 54135
6-4-19

NUMBER 54135

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Addie Williams pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Arnold Gunter and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of $100 + 1 day in Jail.

Arnold Gunter
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Addie Williams the said fine of One Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Addie Williams being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co, who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession

and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County for one day from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 97166

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Clarence Davis

CHARGE: Theft
No. 97166
6-20-19

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Clarence Davis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M.G. Rhodes *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of thirty days in the County Jail

M.G. Rhodes
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Clarence Davis
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for 30 days
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 54357

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. H. Holland

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 54357.
6-19-19

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W.H. Holland *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Sam R Hemmicutt *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant*
W.H. Holland guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00
Sam R Hemmicutt
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* W.H. Holland
*the said fine of* guilty - fine *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Texana Poet

CHARGE: Obscene Language
No. 54345
6-20-19

NUMBER 54345

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Texana Poet *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W C Runyan *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty.
W C Runyan
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. A. Ash

CHARGE: Theft
No. 54261
6-19-19

NUMBER 54261

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. A. Ash *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty.
C. F. Pleasco
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 54257

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
G. C. Darwin

CHARGE: Speeding
No. 54257
6-18-19

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, G. C. Darwin pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: The Court- and five others, ~~was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict~~, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Finds the Defendant Guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of $100

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, G. C. Darwin the said fine of One Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff or Sergeant of County who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 54113

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. C. Wells

CHARGE: Abusive Language and Violating Peace
No. 54113
6-19-19

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. C. Wells pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. B. Stanfield and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

W. B. Stanfield
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
B. C. Sine

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No 53948

6/11/19

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* B. C. Sine *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. C. Houston *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at ~~a fine of~~ Two years in Jail

J. C. Houston
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* B. C. Sine
*the said fine of* no *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* B. C. Sine being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take said Defendant with his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for two years
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Clyde Brown

CHARGE: Speeding

No 51491

July 2-1919

NUMBER
5-4 5-91

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Clyde Brown *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. L. Keefe *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of 100 and costs

J. L. Keefe
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Clyde Brown
*the said fine of* One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. Texas who will immediately take said defendant with his possession.

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* from this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 54480

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Tom Vickery

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 54480
7-2-19

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Tom Vickery *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Jno Robinson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

J. E. Robinson
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 54162

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Oscar F. Rowland

CHARGE: Malicious Mischief
No. 54162
7-1-19

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Oscar F. Rowland *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. L. Keefe *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

J. L. Keefe
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read, signed and approved in open Court this the 5th day of July. 1919

Burt Reynolds Co. Clerk by M. C. Redford, Dep.

Hugh L. Threadgill
Co. Judge, Tarrant Co. Texas

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it Remembered, that on Monday, July the 7th A. D. 1919, there came on and was held a regular term of the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House of said County in Fort Worth, Texas, present and presiding, the Hon. Hugh L. Isnall, County Judge of said County; Jesse M. Brown, County Attorney; Bart Myrtle, County Clerk; and Sterling P. Clark, Sheriff; when the following proceedings, among others, were had:—

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. J. Lewis

CHARGE: Burglary-Theft-
No. 54647
July 16-1919

NUMBER 54647

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. J. Lewis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. T. Williams *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty.
J. T. Williams
Foreman".

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of ~~this~~ County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A. H. Morgan

CHARGE: Exceeding Speed Limit.
No. 54093
7-8-19

NUMBER 54093

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A. H. Morgan *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, ~~and a jury~~, to-wit:* The Court *and ~~five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court~~, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"~~WE, THE JURY~~, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty.
The Court

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the ~~property~~ of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 53994

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
M. A. Glenn

CHARGE: Speeding
No. 53994
7-15-19

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* M. A. Glenn *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. K. Williams *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty.

J. K. Williams
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charles Roy

CHARGE: Abusive Language
No. 54791
July 24-1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Charles Roy *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00.

M. J. Palermo
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Charles Roy *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of the said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
N. A. Mathews

CHARGE: Speeding
No. 54674
June 24-1919

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* N. A. Mathews *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and ~~a jury,~~ to-wit:* the Court *and five others, ~~was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,~~ who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired ~~to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned~~ into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $ 8.00

The Court

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* N. A. Mathews
*the said fine of* One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* N. A. Mathews being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County this sentence immediately and the said Defendant will his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs. Chas. E. Burns

CHARGE: Disturbing
No. 54642
July 23, 1919

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs Chas. E. Burns *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. W. Bone *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty.

J. W. Bone
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 24400

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E. W. Reynolds

CHARGE: Theft
No. 24400
July 24-1917

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* E. W. Reynolds *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. P. Buck *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

W. P. Buck
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 24109

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Pearl Bryant

CHARGE: Abusive Language
No. 24109
July 30-1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Pearl Bryant *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L. W. Johns *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

L. W. Johns
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Creed

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 54811

NUMBER 54811

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Creed *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ~~indictment~~ Complaint *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. M. Doherty *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ~~indictment~~ Complaint *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

J. M. Doherty
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. F. Lor

CHARGE: Violating Dis Eradication Law 8-1-19
No. 54750

NUMBER 54750

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. F. Lor *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L. W. Johns *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

L. W. Johns
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J. F. Lor
*the said fine of* ($25.00) Twenty-five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* J. F. Lor, being present in open Court, is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will immediately take the said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jno. Moore

CHARGE: Speeding
No. 5462 3
8-1-19

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jno. Moore pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. A. Ryan and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

C. A. Ryan - Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 1159

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bennie Lippins

CHARGE: ~~Aggravated Assault~~ ~~Assault with Attempt to Rape~~ Juvenile
No. 1159
June 2d 1919

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bennie Lippins pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Arnold Guenther and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged and assess his punishment - to 2 years and 6 mos. to the State Training School for Boys

Arnold Guenther - Foreman

6/2/19

Hugh L. Ewell, Co. Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Bennie Lippins ~~Reformatory~~ School for Boys the said ~~fine of~~ 2 yrs and 6 mos in the State Training ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs~~, and the said Defendant

Bennie Lippins being present in open Court is placed in the Sheriffs charge of this County & Defendant Co. Texas. who is hereby directed to take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the ~~jail of this County~~ State Training School for Boys from this date, ..... punishment is and until such ~~fine and costs are~~ fully paid.

Read, signed and approved
6/2/19

Hugh L. Ewell
County Judge.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. W. Cleere

CHARGE: Unlawfully killing
No. 54640 milch Heifer belonging
to another. 8/13/19

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. W. Cleere pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. H. Newberry and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty
J. H. Newberry
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Chas. Connerly

CHARGE: Theft
No. 55003
8/13/19

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Chas. Connerly pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00 and 30 days in the County Jail.
John W. Davis
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Chas. Connerly
the said fine of Zero Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Chas. Connerly being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County for 30 days
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 54898

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Aron Holland

CHARGE: Theft
No. 54898
8/13/19

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Aron Holland pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. A. Cope and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ~~information~~ read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his ~~punishment~~ punishment at a fine of $60.00 and 30 days in Jail.

J. A. Cope, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Aron Holland the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Aron Holland, being present in Open Court, is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of the said defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County per 30 days
from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 54987

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Alejandra Perino

CHARGE: Theft
No. 54987
Aug. 14-1919

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Alejandra Perino pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: N. H. Newberry and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ~~information~~ read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged and assess her punishment at three (3) days in Jail

N. H. Newberry
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Alejandra Perino the said ~~fine of~~ punishment - 3 days in Jail ~~Dollars~~ and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Alejandra Perino being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession

and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County punishment
from this date and until such ~~fine~~ and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Earl Yiee

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 53205
August 15-1919

NUMBER 53205

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Earl Yiee *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W H Beard, *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

W H Beard
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. G. Higgins

CHARGE: Theft
No. 54960
August 14, 1919

NUMBER 54960

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C. G. Higgins *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. H. Beard *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00 and 30 days Confinement in the County Jail.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* C. G. Higgins *the said fine of* ($10.00) Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* 30 days
*from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 54931

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Neil Randle & Mattie Moseley

CHARGE: Adultery & Fornication

No. 54931

August 26, 1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Neil Randle & Mattie Moseley *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charges *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L. M. Burkhart *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

L. M. Burkhart
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 54903

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sam Jacobs

CHARGE: Vagrancy

No. 54903

Aug 28, 1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sam Jacobs *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. P. Maby *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Def. *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

W. P. Maby
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Pascual Espelia

CHARGE: Theft
No. 53059
Aug 26, 1919

NUMBER 53059

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Pascual Espelia pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. F. McGuire and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

J. F. McGuire
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read, Signed and approved in open Court this the 30th day of August, A. D. 1919

Burt Wyatt, Co. Clerk, by Mrs. J. N. Pegues, Deputy

Hugh L. Scott
Co. Judge

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.

CHARGE:
No.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

September TERM. September 1, A. D. 1919

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be It Remembered That on Monday Sept. 1, 1919 there came on and was held a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House of said County in Fort Worth, Texas present and presiding the Honorable Hugh L. Russell, Co. Judge of said Court Jesse M. Brown Co. Attorney, Bart Tyrrell, Co. Clerk and Sterling A. Clark, Sheriff when the following proceedings among others were had, to-wit:

NUMBER 53098

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. B. Meyers

CHARGE: Misc Misdemeanor
No. 53098
Sept. 5-1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C. B. Meyers *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. L. McKnight *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty.

W. L. McKnight
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 54871

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. H. Green

CHARGE: Violating Pure Food Law
No. 54811
Sept 4-1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. H. Green *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. B. Anderson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J. B. Anderson
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A. B. Schwartz

CHARGE: Abusive Language
No. 55009
Sept 11-1919

NUMBER 55009

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A B Schwartz *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Geo. N. Stewart *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

Geo. N. Stewart
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Elisha Jones

CHARGE: Theft
No. 55031
Sept. 11, 1919

NUMBER 55031

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Elisha Jones *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M. G. Bryant *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not Guilty
M. G. Bryant,
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 54463

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Wm Bedwell

CHARGE: Unlawfully using auto

No. 54463

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Wm Bedwell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* S. B. Kuykendall *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

S. B. Kuykendall
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 53-310

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. A. Miller

CHARGE: Speeding

No. 53-310

9-19-1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. A. Miller *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, ~~and a jury,~~ ~~to wit:~~* the Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, *who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict~~, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his fine at $1.00 and cost.

Co. Judge.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J. A. Miller *the said fine of* one *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Rand

*and confine him in the ~~jail of~~ this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. H. Griffin

CHARGE: Bright Leigh'
No. 55264
9-19-1919

NUMBER 55264

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. H. Griffin pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. E. Teachout and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged and assess his fine at $50.00.

C. E. Teachout
Foreman

Co. Judge.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J. H. Griffin the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant J. H. Griffin being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who shall immediately take possession of the said Defendant, J. H. Griffin,

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date ~~From~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. C. Franklin

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 55705
9-17-1919

NUMBER 55705

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. C. Franklin pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. J. Ward and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty.

C. J. Ward
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of ~~this~~ County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 52437

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Polk Chauncy

CHARGE: Assault

No. 53437

Sept. 25, 1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Polk Chauncy *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* F. Bennett *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged and assess his fine at $ 750

F. Bennett
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Polk Chauncy *the said fine of* Recon and 50 & Co *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Polk Chauncy, being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff & Jailer & he is hereby commanded to take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 55509

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Henry King

CHARGE: Theft

No. 55509

10-1-19

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Henry King *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

Phil Bucken
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Herbert Lagow

CHARGE: Embezzlement
No. 33433
10-1-19

NUMBER 33433

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Herbert Lagow *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. J. Vandiver *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

A. J. Vandiver
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Beas Hoeden

CHARGE: Abusive Language and Disturbing Peace
No. 33275
9-30-19

NUMBER 33275

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Beas Hoeden *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Phil Becker *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

Phil Becker,
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 35401

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L. E. Luna

CHARGE: Bright-Light
No. 35401
9-26-19

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, L. E. Luna pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and ~~a jury, to wit:~~ The Court and five others, ~~was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, ~~retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court~~, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"~~WE, THE JURY~~, Find the Defendant Guilty and a fine assessed of One Dollar — The Court.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, L. E. Luna the said fine of One Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. Texas who is commanded to take the said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A. F. Rathlis

CHARGE: Assault
No. 35343
10-9-19

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, A. F. Rathlis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Frank Hubert and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty
Frank Hubert

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. M. Mellon

CHARGE: Speeding
No. 55703
Oct. 29-1919

NUMBER 65703

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. M. Mellon *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. P. Andrews *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

J. P. Andrews
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* W. M. Mellon
*the said fine of* Fine *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will immediately take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. H. Mathis

CHARGE: Assault
No. 53664
Oct. 20-1919

NUMBER 53664

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. H. Mathis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* _____ *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

W. D. Corbin
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 55616

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Rex Jackson

CHARGE: No Liq Law
No. 55616
Oct. 31-1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Rex Jackson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

L. C. Moody.
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs. M. F. Askew

CHARGE: Violating 9th Law
No. .....
Oct - 29-1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs. M. F. Askew *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of $ 50.00.

W. S. Shepard,
Foreman.

Paid

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read, signed and Approved in open Court this the 1st day of Nov. A. D. 1919.

Ralph T. Arendale
Co. Judge, Jasper Co Tex.

Bass Ingram, Clk. Co Court
By M. E. Rickard, Dep.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered that on Monday Nov. 3. 1919 there came on and was held a Regular Term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House of said County in Fort Worth, Texas, present and presiding the Honorable Hugh L. Lucas, County Judge, Jesse M. Brown, Dist. Atty., Bart Mynatt, Co. Clerk and Sterling P. Clark, Sheriff, when the following proceedings among others were had:-

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. R. Alcorn

CHARGE: Theft
No. 55804
11-7-1919

NUMBER 55804

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. R. Alcorn *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L. E. Cunningham *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty.

L. E. Cunningham
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of ~~said~~ Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Robert Sweeney

CHARGE: Abusive Language and disturbing peace
No. 55770

NUMBER 55770

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Robert Sweeney *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and ~~a jury~~, to-wit:* The Court *~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the* ~~information~~ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict~~, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by ~~the Court~~, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty
The Court."

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of ~~this~~ County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 55717

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jno Glauges

CHARGE: Violating P.W. law
No. 55717
10-30-19

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jno Glauges *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. D. Harper *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and punishment assessed at a fine of Seventy-five dollars

Harper
E. D. Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jno Glauges
*the said fine of* $75.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take said Defendant in to his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Dept. Excepts to this Verdict & Judgment of Conviction in open Court and gives notice of Appeal to the Court of Crim. Ap. Austin Tex. and said Notice of Appeal is herein entered of Record. The Dept. is hereby ordered committed to the custody of the Sheriff to abide the decision of the Court of Crim. App. unless he relieves himself on Appeal Bond as provided by Law.

NUMBER 55792

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Frank Pinto

CHARGE: Violating Penal Law
No. 55792
1-7-1919.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Frank Pinto *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Temple Harris *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

Temple Harris
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. R. Lagow

CHARGE: Child Desertion
No. 5-5822
11-19-19

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J R Lagow *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. W. Cole *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

J. W. Cole - Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
O. A. Hatcher

CHARGE: Gaming
No. 5-598
11-25-19

NUMBER
5-3-986

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* O. A. Hatcher *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Guy C. Elliott *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

Guy C. Elliott
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

198 ..... TERM. ..... A. D. 19.....

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 56022

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Concho Gonzales

CHARGE: Theft

No. 56022

Dec-11-1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Concho Gonzales *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. B. Wiggins *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00 and 30 days in Jail.

W. B. Wiggins
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Concho Gonzales *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Concho Gonzales being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

County Judge.

NUMBER 56039

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Geo Shaughnessy

CHARGE: Drunk

No. 56039

12-11-19

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Geo Shaughnessy *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and ~~a jury, to-wit:~~* The Court *and ~~five others~~, ~~was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict~~, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, ~~which was received by the Court~~, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Geo Shaughnessy *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Geo. Shaughnessy being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take said Deft. into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

This page plowed to page 201 M. C. Bedford

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jake Luskey

CHARGE: Abusive Lang. and Disturbing peace
No. 56190
1-16-20

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jake Luskey *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. P. Bowdey *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ~~information~~ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his fine at $5.00

W. P. Bowdey
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jake Luskey
*the said fine of* $5.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Jake Luskey being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. who will immediately take him into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
R. C. Rumfield

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 56192
1-15-20

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* R. C. Rumfield *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* The Court *and* ~~five others~~, ~~was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, *who, having heard the* ~~information~~ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted,* ~~and having been duly charged by the Court~~, *retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

~~The Court~~

*"~~WE, THE JURY~~, Finds the Defendant* Guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* R. C. Rumfield
*the said fine of* $10.00 Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* R. C. Rumfield being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. who will immediately take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 1225

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lee Simpson

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 1225

Nov 17 - 1919

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lee Simpson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. B. Webb *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged and recommend that he be placed in the State Training School for a period of three years. C. B. Webb. Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Lee Simpson *the said ~~fine of~~* Punishment *~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs,~~ and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of him ~~as~~ and place him in the State Training School from this date and until the said period of three years has fully passed. *~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ ~~from~~ ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~*

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
.....

CHARGE: .....

No. .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ..... pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant .....*

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County .....*
*from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read, Signed & Approved this the 3rd day of Jan. A. D. 1920

Hugh M. Tinsley
County Judge

January TERM. January 5 A. D. 1920 201

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it Remembered that on Monday, January 5th A.D. 1920 there came on and was held a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas at the Court House at Fort Worth, Texas; present and presiding The Hon. Hugh L. Duncan, County Judge; Jesse M. Brown, Dist. Atty.; Bart Bynum, C. Clerk and Sterling P. Clark, Sheriff; when the following proceedings among others were had, to-wit:—

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Henry Williams

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 56175
1-13-20

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Henry Williams pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. P. Nabors and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not Guilty"

A. P. Nabors Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
O. Perkins

CHARGE: Abusive language
No. 56071
1-15-20

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, O. Perkins pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, ~~and a jury, to-wit:~~ the Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict~~, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

~~"WE, THE JURY,~~ Find the Defendant not Guilty

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 56190

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jake Lusby

CHARGE: Abusive Language and Disturbing Peace.
No. 56190
1-16-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jake Lusby *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W P Bowdey *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty *and assess his punishment at a fine of* 5.00.

W. P. Bowdey
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jake Lusby
*the said fine of* 5.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. who will immediately take him into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 56193

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
R. C. Rumpfield

CHARGE: Drunkenness
No. 56193
1-15-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* R. C. Rumpfield *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and ~~a jury, to-wit:~~* The court *~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who~~, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having~~ been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty *and assess his punishment at a fine of* 10.00.

The Court

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* R. C. Rumpfield
*the said fine of* 10.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. who will immediately take defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. M. Parrish

CHARGE: Violating labor law.
No. 56224
June 28-1920

NUMBER 56224

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. M. Parrish *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. J. Beach *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant J. M. Parrish guilty and assess his fine at $100.00 One Hundred Dollars

W. J. Beach, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J. M. Parrish *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* J. M. Parrish being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. Texas who will immediately take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Defendant excepts to this Verdict and Judgment of Conviction in open Court and gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals at Austin Texas, and said Notice of Appeal is herein entered of Record Deft is hereby released on Recognizance Bond $500.00 J. M. Parrish Prin & H. M. Byers & Jno Hunter Sureties

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bill Hunt

CHARGE: Child Desertion
No. 56206
1-23-20

NUMBER 56206

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bill Hunt *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. E. Woods *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

J. E. Woods
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo. Vaughn

CHARGE: Assault
No. 56076
1-22-20

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Geo. Vaughn pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: G. D. Miles and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Five Dollars. G. D. Miles, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Geo. Vaughn the said fine of Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Geo. Vaughn being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mr. Walling

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 53844
1-8-20

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mr. Walling pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L. E. Day and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty L. E. Day. Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J H Hand

CHARGE: Wife Desertion
No. 55783
1-30-20

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J H Hand pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L. F. Williams and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $500.00

L. F. Williams, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J H Hand the said fine of Five Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant J H Hand, being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. Texas who will immediately take the said defendant into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Robert Mc Guinnis

CHARGE: Theft
No. 56815
Jan. 28, 1920

NUMBER 56815

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Robert Mc Guinnis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. N. Freeps and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged and assess his punishment at 25.00 and one day in Jail

A. N. Freeps, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Robert Mc Guinnis the said fine of Twenty-five and 1 day Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Robert Mc Guinnis being present in open Court is placed in custody of Sheriff of Tarrant Co. Texas who will immediately take said Defendant his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 56313

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Frank Daggett

CHARGE: Theft
No. 56313
2-13-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Frank Daggett *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Chas. M. Head *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

Chas. M. Head
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 56285

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
DeWitt Bryan

CHARGE: Gaming
No. 56285
2-5-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* DeWitt Bryan *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. F. Polk *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

J. F. Polk
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Henry Neely

CHARGE: Theft
No. 56382
2-18-20

NUMBER 56382

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Henry Neely *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Chas. Fambrough *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

Chas Fambrough
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Orland J. Allred

CHARGE: Abusive Language and Disturbing Peace
No. 56366
2-20-20

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Orland J. Allred *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. W. Eagle *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at $5.00 fine

W. W. Eagle
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Orland J. Allred
*the said fine of* Fine *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. who will immediately take said Deft. into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* from this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 56338

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J H Coiles

CHARGE: Mfr and chiel desertion
No. 56338
2-19-20

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J H Coiles pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. B. Dipson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty.

R. B. Dipson
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read, rejected and appealed this 28 day of Feb 1920

Hugh McDonald
County Judge

NUMBER 56574

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Roy Clawson

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 56674
3-24-20

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Roy Clawson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: B. Perkins and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged and assess his fine at $ 100.00.

B. Perkins,
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Roy Clawson
the said fine of $100.00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

Being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who is immediately take him with his jurisdiction

and confine him in the jail of this County
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it Remembered that on Monday, the 1st day of March, A. D. 1920, there commenced and was held a regular term of the County Court of Dawson County, Texas, at the Court House in said County, Texas; present and presiding the Hon. Hugh L. Quick, County Judge; Jesse M. Brown, District Attorney; Earl Wynne, County Clerk; Sterling P. Clark, Sheriff, when the following proceedings among others were had, to-wit:

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Albert Rempfer

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 5662
3-31-20

NUMBER 5662

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Albert Rempfer *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* B. D. Rape *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty
B. D. Rape
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Harvey Lee

CHARGE: Theft
No. 5669
4-8-20

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Harvey Lee *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* F. A. Martin *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty
F. A. Martin
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 56685

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ada Martin

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 56685
4-7-1920

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ada Martin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. D. Graham *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

W. D. Graham
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 56676

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bennie Hill

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 56676
4-8-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bennie Hill *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

G. M. Rose
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Curry Johnson

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 56674
4-8-20

NUMBER 56674

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Curry Johnson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $ 25.00

M. J. Lockett
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Curry Johnson the said fine of Twenty-five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Curry Johnson being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Cora Faulkner

CHARGE: .....
No. 56662
4-2-20

NUMBER .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Cora Faulkner pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: F. M. Ramquist and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

F. M. Ramquist
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 57648

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Edward Upstock

CHARGE: Theft
No. 57648
4-2-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Edward Upstock *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. A. Runyon *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty
W. A. Runyon
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 56543

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Columbus Coulter

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 56543
4-9-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Columbus Coulter *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. H. Shinkle *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty
W. H. Shinkle
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Hugo Kreiser

CHARGE: Wife & child desertion

No.

4-21-20

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Hugo Kreiser pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and ~~a jury, to wit:~~ The Court and five others, ~~was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the ~~information~~ read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly ~~charged by the Court~~, retired to consider of their verdict, ~~and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court and is here now entered upon the Minutes~~, to-wit: admitted as per law

"WE, ~~THE JURY,~~ Find the Defendant Guilty of child deserting. and assess his punishment at a fine of 50.00 & find the Defft not guilty of wife desertion.

The Court
~~Foreman~~.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Hugo Kreiser the said fine of 50.00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. Texas who will immediately take said Deft. with his possession and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. F. Stewart

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 36370

3-26-20

NUMBER 36370

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. F. Stewart pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Walter O'Malley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at $15.00

Walter O'Malley
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J. F. Stewart the said fine of $15.00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff Tarrant Co. Texas, who will immediately take him with possession and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 5677

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. W. Medlin

CHARGE: Operating Cattaw Jun without license
No. 5677
4/15-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. W. Medlin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. L. R. Ash *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty.

J. L. R. Ash
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 5704

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sweet Morris

CHARGE: A-a
No. 5704
4/3/20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sweet Morris *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. J. Glover *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty.

E. J. Glover

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

..... TERM. ..... A. D. 19.....

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
David Burus

CHARGE: Theft
No. 5671 4/13/20

NUMBER 5671

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* David Burus *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Evi *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Dft Guilty

C. A. Blue
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ada L Lomas

CHARGE: Dis House
No. 5676 2 4/14/20

NUMBER 5676 2

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ada L Lomas *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J Walter Estell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Evi *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty & Punishment assessed at a fine of $100.00 and Twenty days in Jail

Walter Estell
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Ada L Lomas *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Ada L Lomas being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Grayson Co. who will immediately take said dft into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date
from ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 56781

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. L. Worley

CHARGE: Theft

No. 5-6757

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. L. Worley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Jury and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Jury read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant J. L. Worley — Not Guilty

Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 56625

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lew Melton

CHARGE: Wife & C. Des

No. 56625

7/18/20

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lew Melton pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit: B. F. Beaver and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Jury read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Stella Ryan

CHARGE: I Left
No. 56004

NUMBER 56004

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Stella Ryan pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L. A. Green and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Ind read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty Punishment assessed at $10.00 fine & Ten days in Jail.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Stella Ryan the said fine of Ten, Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Stella Ryan Ten days present in open Court and was placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. and will detain her in custody Ten days.

and confine him in the jail of this County
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E J Bateman

CHARGE: A-A
No 56745
5/1/20

NUMBER 56745

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, E. J. Bateman pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. N. J. Yates and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Ind. read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant E. J. Bateman Guilty Punishment assessed at $25.00 J. N. J. Yates Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, E. J. Bateman the said fine of Twenty five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant E. J. Bateman being present in Open Court and was placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. and directed to immediately take possession of said party.

and confine him in the jail of this County
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
.....

CHARGE: .....
No. .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Leo I Berry

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 56657
5/3/20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Leo I. Berry *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* B. C. Wyatt *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Ind. *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it Remembered that on Monday May 3rd A.D. 1920. there came on and was held a regular Term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House of said County in Fort Worth, Texas, present and presiding: Honorable Hugh L. Lucas, County Judge; Fred M. Bruton, District Attorney; Bart Maynard, County Clerk; Sterling P. Clark, Sheriff; when the following proceedings among others were had:—

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Elvira Jatum & Jas H Farr

CHARGE: A. & F.
No. 56814
5/5/20

NUMBER 56814

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Elvira Jatum & Jas H. Farr pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: B. E. Baker, and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Ind. read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty

B. E. Baker
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ace Jefferson,

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 56838

NUMBER 56838

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ace Jefferson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: D. B. Daniels and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Ind. read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

D. B. Daniels
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 56743

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jon Harris

CHARGE: Theft
No. 56743
5/6/20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jon Harris *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. B. Wood *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Ind *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J. B. Wood
Foreman,

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 56707

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John P. Joyce

CHARGE: Defeating Stock Law
No. 56707
5/12/20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John P. Joyce *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D. C. Trantham *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Ind. *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty, Fine assessed at Fifteen Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* John P. Joyce *the said fine of* Fifteen *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court in Place of the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, W. D. Cyril, Jr. and Ted Braly Take Him into His possession.

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. H. Hampton

CHARGE: Wife & C. Des
No. 56644
5/13/20

NUMBER 56644

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. H. Hampton *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge, *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. H. E. Leyster *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Ind. *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* J. H. Hampton — Not Guilty.

H. E. Leyster
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ——— *the said fine of* ——— *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ——— *from* ——— *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs W. J. Terry

CHARGE: Theft
No. 57995
5/19/20

NUMBER 57995

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs W. J. Terry *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L. J. Rosenfeld *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Ind. *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty, and We Jury, assessed
at One Dollar and One day in the Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mrs W. J. Terry *the said fine of* One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court, is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will immediately take her into his custody *and confine him in the jail of this County* ——— *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 56981

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jon Campbell

CHARGE: Theft
No 56981
5/27/20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jon Campbell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Jon R. M. Klein *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Ind. *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

R. M. Klein
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 57065

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Eugene Casey

CHARGE: Starting Crank Motor Vehicle
No 57065

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Eugene Casey *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. L. Wood *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty — by peremptory instruction by Judge.

H. L. Wood
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J R Zumwalt

CHARGE: Wife & Child Des. NUMBER
No 57059
6/5/20

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. R. Zumwalt pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. P. Ludgen and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty.

J. P. Ludgen
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,..... the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sam Lasso

CHARGE: Reckless Driving NUMBER
No. 57091
6-16-20
57091

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Sam Lasso pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit:..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Sam Lasso guilty and assess his fine at $ 25.00

A. L. Hartsbons.
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Sam Lasso
the said fine of Twenty-five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of said defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from date
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bert Jones

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 57038
6-16-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bert Jones *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* B. F. Harmon *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty.

B. F. Harmon,
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Bert Jones *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER
57015

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. A. McCauto

CHARGE: Violating Pure Food Act
No. 57015
Misdemeanor
5-26-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. A. McCauto *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Jos Daniel *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his fine at $25.00

Jos Daniel
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* W. A. McCauto *the said fine of* $25.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

bring present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. who will immediately take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Henry James

CHARGE: Assault
No. 57028
6-23-20

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Henry James *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D. A. Brown *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his fine at $5.00

D. A. Brown
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Henry James
*the said fine of* Same *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Henry James being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Lamar County who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Aurora Barron

CHARGE: Theft
No. 57121
6-25-20

NUMBER 57121

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Aurora Barron *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

B. L. Ingram
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 57148

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
B. Pirtle

CHARGE: Burglary
No. 57148
6-12-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* B. Pirtle *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

Wade Carson
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Barker

CHARGE: Abusive Language & Disturbing Peace
No. 58980
6-11-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Barker *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. P. Harris *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

A. P. Harris
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. L. Thompson

CHARGE: wife & child desertion
No. 5-6775
July 2-1920

NUMBER 5622/1

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C. L. Thompson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. E. Saunders *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty
H. E. Saunders
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs. Clarence Madeiros

CHARGE: Abusive Language
No. 5-715-2
July 2-1920

NUMBER 5-715-2

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs Clarence Madeiros *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. L. Heck *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of $ 5.00
C. L. Heck
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mrs Clarence Madeiros *the said fine of* Fine *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. until same is complied with, & the said Defendant will be remanded to his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read, signed and approved this the 2nd day of July 1920
Thos. L. Blount
County Judge

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 56805

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E. C. Carlson

CHARGE: Violating Prohibition Measures Law
No. 56805
6-9-20

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, E C Carlson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, ~~to wit~~: The Court and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, ~~who~~, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and ~~having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict~~, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which ~~was received by the Court~~, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

~~"WE, THE JURY~~, Find the Defendant Guilty and his punishment is assessed at a fine of $50.00

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, E. C. Carlson the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant E. C. Carlson being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Co. Judge

NUMBER 56806

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E. C. Carlson

CHARGE: Violating Prohibition Measures Law
No. 56806
6-2-20

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, E. C. Carlson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. B. Pennock and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $200.00

J. B. Pennock
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, E. C. Carlson the said fine of Two Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant E. C. Carlson being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Co. Judge

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Leonard Tucker

CHARGE: Theft
No. 5-7177
6-17-20

NUMBER 5-7177

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Leonard Tucker *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charges, *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. B. Faris, foreman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty.

C. B. Faris, Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A. R. Womack

CHARGE: Wife & child desertion
No. 5-7212
7-8-1920

NUMBER 5-7177

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A. R. Womack *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charges, *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* P. J. Thompson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

P. J. Thompson,
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 56904

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E. C. Lee

CHARGE: A. A.
No 56904 Aggravated Assault
6-25-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* E. C. Lee *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. D. Parrish *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his fine at 500

J. D. Parrish
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* E. C. Lee
*the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* E. C. Lee being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take said defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 57353

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Paul Hopkins

CHARGE: Theft
No 57353
7-29-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Paul Hopkins *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. C. Ferguson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not Guilty

A. C. Ferguson
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. E. Parsons

CHARGE: Violating Prohibition Laws
No. 57330
7-30-20

NUMBER 57330

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. E. Parsons *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. Fred Robins *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars
J. Fred Robins
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J. E. Parsons
*the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bertha Smith

CHARGE: Theft
No. 57287
July 30 1920

NUMBER 57287

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bertha Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* G. M. Rice *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess her punishment at one day in Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Bertha Smith
*the said fine of* punishment *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

*and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County* punishment
*from* this date *and until such ~~fine~~ and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 5`7183

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jake Jefferson

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 5`7183
June 16-1920

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jake Jefferson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J B Phipps *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00 and 20 days in Jail

J B Phipps
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jake Jefferson
*the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Jasper County who will immediately take him into his possession
*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* date this *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 5`6703

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bud Moore

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 5`6703
5-13-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bud Moore *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M. L. Peurifoy *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00

M. L. Peurifoy
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Bud Moore
*the said fine of* $2.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Bud Moore being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Jasper Co. who will immediately take possession of said defendant
*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Received & approved 9/4/20
Co. Judge

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Maud Wellborn

CHARGE: Theft
No. 5-7459
8-17-20

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Maud Wellborn *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* G. A. Giddings *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Maud Wellborn Not Guilty
G. A. Giddings
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

J. R. Parker
Spec. Co. Judge

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Gertrude Howard

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 5-7446
8-19-20

NUMBER 5-7446

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Gertrude Howard *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. M. Whitley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* ~~W. M. Whitley~~ Guilty and assess his punishment at one (1) year in the County Jail
W. M. Whitley
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Gertrude Howard *the said* ~~fine of~~ punishment of one year in Co. Jail ~~Dollars~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* during present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Jasper County who will immediately take said Defendant with this prosecution *and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

J. R. Parker
Spec. Co. Judge

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19_____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 57401

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. M. Rucker

CHARGE: Theft

No. 57401

8-2nd-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. M. Rucker *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. Hansen *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant J M Rucker Guilty as charged and assess his fine at $100.00

R. Hansen
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J M Rucker *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Lamar County who will immediately take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

W. R. Parsons
Spec. Co. Judge

NUMBER 57169

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A. J. Steel

CHARGE: Theft

No. 57169

8-18-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A. J. Steel *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. M. Whitley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged and assess his punishment at ~~a fine of~~ 2 years in the County Jail

W. M. Whitley, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* A. J. Steel *the said ~~fine of~~* punishment of 2 yrs. in Co. Jail ~~Dollars~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Lamar County who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

W. R. Parsons
Spec. Co. Judge

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19_____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Irene McCain

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 57447
8-26-20

NUMBER 57447

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Irene McCain *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. A. Wade *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of $25.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Irene McCain *the said fine of* Twenty-five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. who will immediately take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

W. P. Parrish
Special County Judge

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
F. Casey

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 57308
8-26-20

NUMBER 57308

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* F. Casey *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D. J. Fruitbright *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty of simple assault and assess his fine at $25.00

D. J. Fruitbright
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* F. Casey *the said fine of* Twenty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. who will immediately take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

W. P. Parrish
Special County Judge

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 57532

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Fred Lethco

CHARGE: Wife desertion
No. 57532
8-2-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Fred Lethco *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. A. Sarleton *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $150.00 and 6 mos. imprisonment in County Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Fred Lethco *the said fine of* One Hundred Fifty & 6 mos in Co Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

W. R. Bryan
Special County Judge

NUMBER 57472

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Eugene Irby

CHARGE: Theft
No. 57472
8-1-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Eugene Irby *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, ~~to-wit~~:* being waived ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who~~, *having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court~~, retired ~~to consider of their verdict, and afterwards~~ returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received ~~by the Court~~, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of 10.00 and 60 days in County Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Eugene Irby *the said fine of* Ten and 60 days in Co. Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

W. R. Bryan
Spec. Co. Judge

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*

*CHARGE:*.....

*No.*.....

*NUMBER*

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* .....*pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the*.....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:*.....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* .....*read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant*.....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*.....
*the said fine of*.....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*.....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*

*CHARGE:*.....

*No.*.....

*NUMBER*

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* .....*pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the*.....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:*.....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* .....*read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant*.....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*.....
*the said fine of*.....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*.....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

238 Sept. TERM. Sept 6 A. D. 1920

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it Remembered that on Monday Sept. 6, 1920 there came on and was held a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas at the Court House in Fort Worth, Texas, present and presiding the Hon. Hugh L. Russell, Co. Judge: Jesse M. Brown District Atty; Bart Maynard, Co. clerk and Sterling P. Clark, Sheriff, when the following proceedings among others were had:

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
H. C. Gilbert

CHARGE: Theft

No. 57560

Sept 9-1920

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* H. C. Gilbert *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. D. Peveyer *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

W. D. Peveyer,
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Hayden Bone

CHARGE: Abusive Language & Disturbing Peace

No. 57549

Sept. 8-1920

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Hayden Bone *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Geo. C. Robinson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

Geo. C. Robinson
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. L. Barber

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 57478
Sept. 10-1920

NUMBER 57478

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. L. Barber pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. L. Reynolds and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00

R. L. Reynolds
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, W. L. Barber the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jacinto Aguilera

CHARGE: Practicing Medicine without a License
No. 57603
9-17-20

NUMBER 57603

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jacinto Aguilera pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. M. Fuson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty E M Fuson
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

240

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Cooper

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 5754
9-17-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Cooper *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E Hunt *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

E Hunt
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ~~*the said fine of*~~ ~~*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ~~*from*~~ ~~*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*~~

NUMBER 5758 2

THE STATE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E. M. McGee

CHARGE: Theft
No. 5758 2
9-25-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* E. M. McGee *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H.E. Sawyer *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty as charged and assess his punishment at 60 days in Co. Jail

H E Sawyer
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* E M McGee *the said* ~~*fine of*~~ punishment 60 days in Co Jail ~~*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

Being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co who will immidiately take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ~~*from*~~ this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. F. Garner

CHARGE: Speeding
No. 56663
9-24-20

NUMBER 56662

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. F. Garner *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L. B. Barnes *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $100

L. B. Barnes
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J. F. Garner
*the said fine of* One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* J. F. Garner being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Jasper County who will immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* the date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. M. Lawson

CHARGE: Theft
No. 57633
9-23-20

NUMBER 57633

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. M. Lawson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. L. Anderson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

W. L. Anderson
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 57673

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. M. Lawson

CHARGE: Theft

No. 57673

9/29/20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. M. Lawson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L. L. Cooper *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

L. L. Cooper
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 57678

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mary Specht

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 57678

Oct. 1 1920

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mary Specht *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. C. Coker *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Mary Specht guilty as charged and assess her punishment at a fine of Twenty-five Dollars.

A. C. Coker
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mary Specht *the said fine of* Twenty-five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

*and confine* her *in the jail of this County* ..... *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19_____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jack Keenan

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 57622
Oct. 1-1920

NUMBER 57622

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jack Keenan *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. M. Harlemon *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty-five Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jack Keenan *the said fine of* Twenty-five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Garrett County who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Gracenera Castin

CHARGE: Theft
No. 57702
Oct. 13-1920

NUMBER 57702

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Gracenera Castin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. C. Williamson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 1 day in County Jail

C. C. Williamson,
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Gracenera Castin *the said fine of* Twenty-five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Garrett Co. who will immediately take possession of her

*and confine* her *in the jail of this County* _____
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 5-7675

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. P. Williams

CHARGE: Theft
No. 5-7675
Oct. 15-1920

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. P. Williams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. P. Boyce *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

R. P. Boyce
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 5-7558

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. M. Gholson

CHARGE: Violating Dick Law
No. 5-75-58
10-16-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J M Gholson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty-five Dollars ($25.00)

Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J M Gholson *the said fine of* Twenty-five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Sargent Co. who will commit to the Lake possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* Mrs Hale *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
H. B. Harvey

CHARGE: Rape & child desertion
No. 5-7688
10-22-20

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, H B Harvey pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and ~~a jury,~~ to-wit: The Court and five others, ~~was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,~~ who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict,~~ and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, ~~which~~ was ~~received by the Court~~, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"~~WE, THE JURY,~~ The Court Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $ 500

The Court

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, H. B. Harvey the said fine of Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Howell

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 5-7679
Oct. 28-1920

NUMBER 5-7679

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Howell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J H Halmark and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $ 5.00

J. H. Halmark
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, John Howell the said fine of Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of him

and confine him in the jail of this County
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 57680

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sam Harrell

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 5 7680
Oct. 29 - 1920

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sam Harrell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Sam Harrell *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court & placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who is immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Oct 30 1920
Motion for New Trial Overruled
Approved Nov 1 1920
Presiding Judge

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
.....

CHARGE: .....
No. .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it Remembered that on Monday November 1st A. D. 1920 there came on and was held a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House at Fort Worth, Texas; present and presiding the Hon. Hugh L. Lucas, County Judge; Jesse M. Brown District Attorney; Burt Mynatt, County Clerk and Sterling P. Clark Sheriff; when the following proceedings among others were had, viz:

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Guadalupe Antuenia

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 57814
Nov. 3, 1920

NUMBER 57814

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Guadalupe Antuenia *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. J. Early *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

W. J. Early
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
G. A. Lewellen

CHARGE: No No. plates
No. .....
Nov. 6, 1920

NUMBER .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* G. A. Lewellen *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Geo. M. Lane *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00

Geo. M. Lane
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* G. A. Lewellen *the said fine of* One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of said Defendant *and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 57723

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. H. Hopper

CHARGE: Theft
No. 57723
11-4-1920

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C. H. Hopper *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Jno W. Deen *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

John W. Deen
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 57692

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jos Amaron

CHARGE: Reckless Driving
No. 57692
11-6-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jos Amaron *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. M. Pentecost *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $100

W. M. Pentecost
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jos Amaron *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who immediately take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19_____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Chalmers

CHARGE: Theft
No. 5-7836
11-12-20

NUMBER 5-7836

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Chalmers *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. E. Marshall *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at 15 days in Jail

J. E. Marshall
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* John Chalmers
*the said ~~fine of~~* punishment of 15 days in Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant* John Chalmers being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co who will immediately take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* punishment
*from* this date *and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sam Knight

CHARGE: Theft
No. 5-7838
11-12-20

NUMBER 5-7838

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sam Knight *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and ~~a jury, to-wit:~~* The Court *~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,~~ who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court,~~ and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*~~"WE, THE JURY,~~ Find the Defendant* Not guilty

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 57818

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lawrence Quirk

CHARGE: Contributing & delinquency
No. 57818
11-18-20

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lawrence Quirk *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M. L. Massey *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

M. L. Massey
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo. Walden

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 57918
December 2, 1920

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Geo. Walden *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. E. Thompson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at one year in Jail and a fine of $150.00.

J. E. Thompson
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Geo Walden & 1 yr in Jail *the said fine of* One Hundred Dollars *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tolbert County who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs. Lucile Rosenbaum

CHARGE: Theft
No. 57909
Dec - 3 - 1920

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs Lucile Rosenbaum pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A B Webb and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess her punishment at one day in Jail and a fine of $1.00
A B Webb
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Mrs Lucile Rosenbaum the said fine of one Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Bastrop County who is to immediately take possession of her and confine her in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs Lucile Rosenbaum

CHARGE: Theft
No. 57908
12-8-20

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs Lucile Rosenbaum pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Geo Hunter and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty
Geo. Hunter
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Brady Yancy

CHARGE: Procuring
No. 57992
Dec-17-1920

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Brady Yancy *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. E. Ray *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

R. E. Ray Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Don Rose

CHARGE: Theft
No. 57997
Dec-15-1920

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Don Rose *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. E. Crowley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00 & 5 days in Jail

R. E. Crowley Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Don Rose *the said fine of* one & 00 days in Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* bring present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
James Franco

CHARGE: Theft
No. 57850
Dec - 16. 1920

NUMBER 57850

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, James Franco pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. C. Yoker and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ~~information~~ read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty.

R. C. Yoker
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.

CHARGE:
No.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

Read, signed & approved
1/1/21
Judge

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

January TERM. Jan. 3 A. D. 19 21

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered that on Monday the 3rd day of Jan. A.D. 1921 this cause was heard a regular term of the Hon. Co. Court of Lamar Co. Texas at the Court House at Paris Texas, present and presiding the Hon. Hugh L. Lucas Co. Judge; Jesse M. Brown, Dist. Atty.; Bart Rymaet, Co. Clerk and Carl Smith, Sheriff when the following proceedings, among others, were had, to-wit:

NUMBER 57918

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo. Maeden

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 57918
Jan. 7, 1921

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Geo Maeden *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* P. E. Harrington *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty of aggravated assault and assess his punishment at 12 months in Co. Jail

P. E. Harrington
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Geo Maeden
*the said ~~fine~~ of* 12 mos. Confinement in Co. Jail ~~Dollars and~~ all costs of this *prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Lamar Co. who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

*and confine him ~~in the jail of~~ this County* Punishment
*from* this date *and until such ~~fine~~ and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 57875

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Warren Wagner

CHARGE: Reckless Driving
No. 57875
Jan. 7, 1920

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Warren Wagner *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and ~~a jury, to-wit:~~* The Court *~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,~~ who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and~~ afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, ~~which was received by the Court,~~ and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"~~WE~~ THE ~~JURY~~, Finds the Defendant* Guilty as charged and assess a fine of $25.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Warren Wagner
*the said fine of* Same fine *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Lamar Co. Texas who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Della White

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 58043
Jan. 14, 1921

NUMBER 58043

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Della White *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M. L. Waldrip *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess her punishment at 30 days in Jail

M. L. Waldrip
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Della White *the said ~~fine of~~* punishment of 30 days in Jail ~~Dollars~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take said Defendant into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ernest Christie

CHARGE: Swindling
No. S-7990
June 19-21

NUMBER _____

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ernest Christie *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. C. Rudd, *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* _____ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as Charged and assess a fine of $50.00 and 60 days in Jail

J. C. Rudd
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Ernest Christie *the said fine of* Fifty Dollars and 60 days in Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co Texas who will immediately take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* this date. *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C. .

NUMBER 58342

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Charlie Fowler

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 58342

1-19-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Charlie Fowler *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M. T. Pyle *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00

M. T. Pyle
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Charlie Fowler *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Lamar County who will immediately take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____ *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 5-8270

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mrs Nate Phillips

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 5-8270

1-21-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs Nate Phillips *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* G. A. Schaumburk *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of $25.00

G. A. Schaumburk
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mrs. Nate Phillips *the said fine of* Twenty-five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Lamar Co. who will immediately take said defendant with his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____ *from* from this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jo Llamas

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault NUMBER
No. 58415 58415
2-9-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jo Llamas *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. T. Ridnell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

W. T. Ridnell
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jo Llamas
*the said fine of* Twenty-five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lee Payton

CHARGE: Theft NUMBER
No. 58399 58399
2-9-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lee Payton *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* G. F. McPherson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* and assess his punishment at a fine of $30.00 and 30 days in County Jail

G. F. McPherson
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Lee Payton
*the said fine of* Thirty and 30 days in Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 58350

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. D. Weems

CHARGE: Adultery & Fornication
No. 58350
Jan-14, 1921

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. D. Weems *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* F. M. Darpley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his fine at $100.00

F. M. Darpley
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* W. D. Weems *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
G. A. Lemellen

CHARGE: Gambling
No. 58038
2-9-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* G. A. Lemellen *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

F. F. Cunningham

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

..... TERM. ..... A. D. 19.....

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
N. D. Langeut

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 58465
2-17-21

NUMBER 58465

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* N. D. Langeut *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00.

J. L. Barcourt
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* N. D. Langeut
*the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ballard Estell

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 58450
2-16-21

NUMBER 58450

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ballard Estell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* N. J. Stanger *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

N. J. Stanger
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 5-8396

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Searcy Dewitt

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 5-8396
Feb. 17-1921

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Searcy Dewitt *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* P. B. Bagley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and assess his punishment at 30 days in Jail

P. B. Bagley
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Searcy Dewitt *the said ~~fine~~ of* punishment of 30 days in Jail ~~Dollars~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* the date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 5-85-26

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A. Garvin

CHARGE: Theft
No. 5-85-26
March 3, 1921

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A. Garvin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. A. Kidd *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

A. A. Kidd
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of ~~this~~ County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Tony Rawlins

CHARGE: Theft
No. 58504
2-23-21

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Tony Rawlins *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. C. Moody *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

C. C. Moody
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Vera M. Carthy

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 58463
Oct 18-21

NUMBER
58463

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Vera M. Carthy *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. L. Barcourt *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess her fine at $100 and all costs— J. L. Barcourt
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Vera M. Carthy
*the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co who will immediately take possession of her

*and confine* her *in the jail of this County* .....
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read, Signed and Approved this the 3rd day of March A.D. 1921

Hugh L. Randell
Judge
County Court, Tarrant Co., Texas

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it Remembered that on Monday, the 7th day of March A.D. 1921 there came on and was held a regular Term of the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House in Fort Worth, when present and presiding the Hon. Hugh L. Lucas, County Judge; Jesse M. Brown, Dist. Atty; Carl Smith, Sheriff and Bart Wynatt, Co. Clerk, when the following proceedings among others were had: to-wit:

NUMBER 58561

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Toby Sanders

CHARGE: Theft
No. 58561
Mar 9-1921

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Toby Sanders *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. M. Phelps *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at 1 yr in Jail
E. M. Phelps
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Toby Sanders *the said ~~fine of~~* punishment of 1 yr in Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take him *and confine him in the jail of this County* from this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Toby Sanders

CHARGE: Theft
No. 58563
Mar 9-1921

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Toby Sanders *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Edward Stewart *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at 1 yr in Jail
Edwin Stewart
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Toby Sanders *the said ~~fine of~~* punishment of 1 yr in Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of said Defendant ~~and~~ *and confine him in the jail of this County* from this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

County Court at Law

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jack Ruple

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 58540
March 17-1921

NUMBER 58540

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jack Ruple *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. M. Masters *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

J. M. Masters
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
I. D. Lyons

CHARGE: I. D. Lyons
No. 58568
Aggravated Assault
3-18-1921

NUMBER 58568

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* I. D. Lyons *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. L. Williams *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

W. L. Williams
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
William K. Clark } (3) No. 5861?

CHARGE: Adultery and Fornication
March 23-1921

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* William K. Clark *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* John F. McIntire *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $200.00

Jno F. McIntire
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* William K. Clark *the said fine of* Two Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charley Fowler

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 5-8481

March 21-1921

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Charley Fowler *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* B. F. Brown *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $200.00 and 90 days in Jail

B. F. Brown
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Charley Fowler *the said fine of* Two Hundred Dollars & 90 days in Jail ~~Dollars~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charley Fowler

CHARGE: Assault
No. 58482
March 28-1921

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Charley Fowler pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. C. Moore and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00

W. C. Moore
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Charley Fowler the said fine of Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Jackson County who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Miguel Rivera

CHARGE: Theft
No. 10
(58622)
March 28-1921

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Miguel Rivera pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: D. A. Neel and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $100 & 1 day in Jail

D. A. Neel
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Miguel Rivera the said fine of Cost & 1 day in Jail Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Jackson County who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 324

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Elsa Moss

CHARGE: Assault
No. 324
Jan. 29-1921

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Elsa Moss *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a ~~jury,~~ to-wit:* The Court *and five others, ~~was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the* complaint *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict,~~ and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, ~~which was received by the Court~~, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*~~"WE, THE JURY,~~ Finds the Defendant* Not Guilty
The Court

B. M. Hardin
Agreed Special Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 57940

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Gladys Jenkins

CHARGE: Contributing to Delinquency
No. 57940
April 2-1921

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Gladys Jenkins *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* N. L. Page *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* complaint *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty
N. L. Page
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. D. Lipscomb

CHARGE: Assault
No. 58343

NUMBER 58343

April 2-1921

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C. D. Lipscomb *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. J. Perkins *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

W. J. Perkins
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ethel Lockland

CHARGE: Simple Assault
No. 57346
4-2-21

NUMBER 58346

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ethel Lockland *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* N. L. Paige *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of $25.00

N. L. Paige, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Ethel Lockland *the said fine of* ($25.00) Fine *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Lancaster County who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

*and confine* her *in the jail of this County* ..... *from* the date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 87

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. M. Skidmore

CHARGE: Reminding

No. 87

4-4-21

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, C. M. Skidmore pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. Smith and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars & 15 days in Jail

A. Smith
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, C. M. Skidmore the said fine of Ten & 15 days in Jail Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Jackson County, who is immediately take possession of said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from This date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.

CHARGE:

No.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

..... TERM. ..... A. D. 19.....

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. D. Lipscomb

CHARGE: Assaulting
No. 40 V
4-6-21

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C. D. Lipscomb *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. E. Durrell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

R. E. Durrell
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C D Lipscomb

CHARGE: Assaulting
No. 41 V
4-6-21

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C D Lipscomb *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. L. Durrell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J. L. Durrell
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 46

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. H. P. Ashmenson

CHARGE: Drunk

No. 46

4-4-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* H. P. Ashmenson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. J. Piper *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $~~10.00~~ 1.00

A. J. Piper
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* H. P. Ashmenson
*the said fine of* One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* during present sis Jury Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co, who will immediately take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 37

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. H. Britton

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 37

4-11-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. H. Britton *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. J. Piper Jr *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

A. J. Piper Jr
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Isabel Cacho

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 118
4-11-21

NUMBER 118

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Isabel Cacho *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty
R. O. Fortune
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. O. Burleigh

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 92
4-18-1921

NUMBER 92

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C. O. Burleigh *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty
H. B. Bracken, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* *the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 58478

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ramon Flores

CHARGE: Theft
No. 58478
4-15-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ramon Flores *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. O. East *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at 12 months in Jail

J. O. East
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Ramon Flores *the said fine of* 12 months in Jail ~~Dollars~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who is directed immediately take possession of said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 58611

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Frances E. Lasmadar

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 58611
4-20-1921

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Frances E. Lasmadar *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. A. Maxwell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at two years in the County Jail

J. A. Maxwell
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Frances E. Lasmadar *the said ~~fine of~~* punishment of 2 yrs confinement in Jail ~~Dollars~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lucile Rosenbaum

CHARGE: Theft
No. 57909
4-20-21

NUMBER 57909

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lucile Rosenbaum *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. S. Acuff *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ~~us~~ evidence *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J. S. Acuff
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. C. Harris

CHARGE: Violating Local Law
No. 58502
3-31-21

NUMBER 58502

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W C Harris *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. L. Hart *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ~~us~~ evidence *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

C. L. Hart
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 153

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Helen Moss

CHARGE: Theft

No. 153

4-22-31

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Helen Moss pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. C. Price and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ~~information~~ read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged and assess her punishment at 30 days in Co. Jail

E. C. Price
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Helen Moss the said ~~fine of~~ punishment of 30 days in Co Jail Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Jasper County who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County

from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
.....

CHARGE: .....

No. .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ..... pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant .....

Read and approved this 22nd day of April A. D. 1931
J. Sewell Cole
Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, .....
the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....

from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered that on Monday May 2nd 1921 there came on and was held a regular term of the County Court at Law, Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House in Fort Worth, present and presiding Hon. P. W. Seward, Judge; Jesse M. Brown, Criminal District Attorney; Bart Bryant, County Clerk; and Carl Smith, Sheriff when the following proceedings among others were had, to-wit:

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Carl La Verne

CHARGE: Pistol

No. _____

May 3, 1921

NUMBER _____

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Carl La Verne pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. G. Hayes and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at One Hundred Dollars ($100.00)

C. G. Hayes
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Carl La Verne the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of him and confine him in the jail of this County from the date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

N. T. Grattan J.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Robert Lee Hunt

CHARGE: Theft

No. 91

May 3rd 1921

NUMBER _____

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Robert Lee Hunt pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Ben T. Scott and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

Ben T. Scott, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, _____ the said fine of _____ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County _____ from _____ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs. Sarah Davis

CHARGE: Theft
No. 182
5-6-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs Sarah Davis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D. E. Nelson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

D. E. Nelson
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 180

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
M. P. Steele

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 180
5-4-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* M. P. Steele *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. E. Morley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at One Hundred Dollars Fine.

R. E. Morley
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* M P Steele
*the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Alex Maddox

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 106
5-11-21

NUMBER 106

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Alex Maddox *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. E. Reavis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J. E. Reavis
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
B. H. Ballenger

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 242
5-16-21

NUMBER 242

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* B. H. Ballenger *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M. A. Vestal *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of 100.00 and 30 days

M. A. Vestal
Foreman

M. A. V.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* B. H. Ballenger *the said fine of* One Hundred Dollars & 30 days in Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* during present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Parker Co. who will immediately take possession of said defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 245

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
M. F. Bakewell

CHARGE: Abusive Language
No. 245
5-18-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* M. F. Bakewell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. H. Morris *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty *and assess his punishment at a fine of* $10.00

W. H. Morris
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* M. F. Bakewell
*the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* M. F. Bakewell being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 286

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jay Jackson

CHARGE: Theft
No. 286
3-19-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jay Jackson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Geo. Bishop *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty *and assess his punishment at* 30 days in Jail and a fine of $75.00.

Geo. Bishop
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jay Jackson
*the said fine of* $75.00 and 30 days in Jail ~~Dollars~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Jay being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. who will immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lee Moreland

CHARGE: Embezzlement
No. 86
5-20-21

NUMBER 83

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lee Moreland *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. R. Howard *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at $100.00 fine and 90 days in Jail.

W. R. Howard
Foreman

W. J. H.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Lee Moreland *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* ~~90 days in~~ Jail

being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bert Jones

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 309
5-24-21

NUMBER 309

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bert Jones *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. V. Ellis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not Guilty

H. V. Ellis
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 5 8 5 7 0

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Harry Whitworth

CHARGE / Vagrancy
No. 5 8 5 7 0 /
5 - 2 6 - 2 1

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Harry Whitworth *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. N. Mc Gregor *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ~~information~~ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the complaint and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty - five Dollars

W. N. Mc Gregor
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Harry Whitworth
*the said fine of* Twenty - five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Lamb Count County who will immediately take possession of said Defendant

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Mc Guires

CHARGE: Keeping a Bawdy House
No. 2 1 3 /
5 - 3 1 - 2 1

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Mc Guires *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. M. Mason *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ~~information~~ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J. M. Mason
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Henry Head

CHARGE: Theft

No. 288

6-3-21

NUMBER 288

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Henry Head *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and ~~a jury~~, to-wit:* the Court *and five others, was duly selected, ~~impaneled and sworn~~, who, ~~having heard the~~ in person *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having ~~been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict~~, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"~~WE, THE JURY~~, Finds the Defendant* Not Guilty

The Court
C. M. Leonard
Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*

*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Morris Bakefield

CHARGE: Reckless Driving

No. 291

6-5-21

NUMBER 291

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Morris Bakefield *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Henry V. Head *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* in person *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00

Henry V. Head
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Morris Bakefield

*the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Jackson County who will take possession of him immediately

*and confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 337

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. N. Barnett

CHARGE: Adultery & Fornication
No. 337
6-10-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. N. Barnett *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D. P. Barnes *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty
D. P. Barnes
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 225

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Hubert Witt

CHARGE: Theft
No. 225
6-10-1921

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Hubert Witt *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Chas. M. Billings *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged and assess his punishment at Thirty days in Jail
Chas. M. Billings
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Hubert Witt
*the said ~~fine of~~* punishment of 30 days in Jail *~~Dollars~~ and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of Tom Ellis Sheriff of Lamar County State of Texas who will immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... punishment
*from* this date *and until such ~~fine~~ and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Clepford Lee Bley

CHARGE: Theft
No. 407
6-13-21

NUMBER 407

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Clepford Lee Bley *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. C. Jones *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

R. C. Jones
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. W. Shirley

CHARGE: Aban. Language
No. 372
6-13-21

NUMBER 372

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. W. Shirley *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Xanthus Deakle *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

Xanthus Mahaffey
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 276

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ellis Williams

No. 376 CHARGE: Theft

6-16-21

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ellis Williams pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: John B. Bishop and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at 90 days in Jail and a fine of $25.00 and costs

John B. Bishop
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ellis Williams the said fine of $25-00 and 90 days in Co. Jail Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take same

and confine him in the jail of this County
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Leslie Cop

No. 434 CHARGE: Theft

6-20-21.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Leslie Cop pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not Guilty

Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Preston Hopkins

CHARGE Aggravated Assault
No. 397
June 24-1921

NUMBER 397

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Preston Hopkins pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. C. Meek and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ~~information~~ read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at 30 days in C. Jail

C. C. Meek
Foreman

N. T. G.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Preston Hopkins the said ~~fine~~ of Punishment 30 days in C. Jail ~~Dollars~~ and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Jasper Co. whereof immediately take Possession of him

and confine him in the jail of this County
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. M. Hart

CHARGE Theft
No. 384
6-28-21

NUMBER 384

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. M. Hart pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Vern Hupp and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

Vern Hupp
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, _____ the said fine of _____ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from _____ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read, Signed and Approved
July 2-1921
P. K. Seward
Judge.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 456

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Johnnie Anderson

CHARGE: Abusive Language + Disturbing Peace
No. 456
7-6-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Johnnie Anderson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D. W. Crawford *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

D. W. Crawford
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 488

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Gus Benjamin

CHARGE: Theft
No. 488
7-6-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Gus Benjamin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. E. Thompson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged and assess his punishment at 160 days in the County Jail —

J. E. Thompson
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Gus Benjamin
*the said ~~fine of~~* punishment of 60 days in Co. Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas who will immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ellis Williams

CHARGE Aggravated Assault
No. 375
7-7-21

NUMBER 375

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ellis Williams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* T. J. Green *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

T. J. Green Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said ~~Defendant~~ for the amount of such fine ~~and costs~~, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ada Smith

CHARGE: Theft
No. 474
July 6-1921

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ada Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. A. Wills *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess her fine at $25.00 and 30 days in Jail

W. A. Wills
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Ada Smith
*the said fine of* $25 and 30 days in Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co who will immediately take possession of her

*and confine* her *~~him~~ in the jail of this County.....*
*from* this date *.....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

**JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 588

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ed Fisher

CHARGE: Assault
No. 588
7/21-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ed Fisher *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Chg *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Ben O Smith Jr *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollard.

Ben O Smith Jr
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Ed Fisher *the said fine of* Twenty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the sheriff of Tarrant Co who will immediately take possession of the Deft *and confine him in the jail of this County* this date. *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 519

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim King

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 519
7-20-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim King *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. D. Bullard *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty.

J. D. Bullard
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Robert A. Wickes

CHARGE: .....
No. 573

NUMBER 573

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Robert A Wickes *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Geo I Kennon *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ~~in~~formation *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty-five Dollars

Geo I Kennon
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Robert A. Wickes
*the said fine of* Twenty-five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* the date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Harriet Truitt

CHARGE: Abusive Language
No. 531
7-27-21

NUMBER 531

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Harriet Truitt *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. H. Bryan *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ~~in~~formation *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of $5.00

W. H. Bryan
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Harriet Truitt
*the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. who will immediately take possession of her

*and confine* ~~him~~ Her *in the jail of this County* .....
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mackey Davis

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 546
7-29-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mackey Davis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. D. White *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J. D. White
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Raymond Action

CHARGE: Theft
No. 451
7-21-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Raymond Action *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. H. Redwine *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty and assess his punishment at 2 yrs. in Co. Jail and a fine of $500.00

H. H. Redwine
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Raymond Action *the said fine of* $500.00 & 2 yrs in Co. Jail ~~Dollars~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Durant Co. who will immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* The date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Stelio Macaronis

CHARGE: Unlawful Sale of Hooch
No. 415
7-20-21

NUMBER 415

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Stelio Macaronis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. R. Lekes *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00.

W. R. Lekes
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Stelio Macaronis *the said fine of* Twenty-five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Jasper County who will immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Cleo Jackson

CHARGE: Theft
No. 658
Aug. 2-1921

NUMBER 658

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Cleo Jackson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L. F. Perkins *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in Information and assess her punishment at 60 days in County Jail

L. F. Perkins
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Cleo Jackson *the said ~~fine of~~* punishment of 60 days in Co. Jail ~~*Dollars*~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Jasper County who will immediately take possession of her

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 513

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jake Stone

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 513

August 4-1921

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jake Stone pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. F. Carlton and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at $50 and 60 days in C. Jail

J. F. Carlton
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Jake Stone the said fine of $50.00 & 60 days in C. Jail Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Jasper County who is ordered immediately take possession of him and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Flippo

CHARGE: .....

No. .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ..... pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant .....

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jno Bu

CHARGE:.....
No.....

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* .....*pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the*.....*herein, and a jury, to-wit:*.....*and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* .....*read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant*.....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*.....
*the said fine of*.....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*.....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo Morgan

CHARGE: Theft
No. 777
Aug 30 1921

NUMBER
777

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Geo Morgan *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* O R Motley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty
O R Motley foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*.....
*the said fine of*.....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*.....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 739

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W R Bruce

CHARGE: Theft

No. 739

8-31-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W R Bruce *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J W Maples *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at confinement in Co. Jail for 1 day and a fine of 25.00 & Costs

J W Maples
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* W R Bruce
*the said fine of* Twenty - five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Lamb Co. who will immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 683

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo. Harbert

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 683

8-31-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Geo Harbert *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Frank Young *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in Information and assess his punishment at a fine of 25.00 & Costs

Frank Young
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Geo Harbert
*the said fine of* Twenty - five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Lamb County who will immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read, signed & approved
9-3-21. P. H. Sullivan
Judge Co. Court
at Law.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it Remembered that on Monday, the 5th day of September A.D. 1921, there came on and was held a regular term of the County Court at Law of Tarrant County Texas, at the Court House in Fort Worth, present and presiding Hon. P. W. Renard, Judge; Jesse M. Brown, Criminal District Attorney; Bart Reynar, County Clerk; and Carl Smith, Sheriff when the following proceedings among others were had, to-wit:

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jap Adams

CHARGE: Theft
No. 823
9-7-21

NUMBER 823

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jap Adams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Geo. H. Ackoker *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ~~information~~ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty.

Geo. H. Ackoker
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Hallie Fitzgerald

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 495
7-6-21

NUMBER 495

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Hallie Fitzgerald *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. E. Buchanan *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ~~information~~ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty.

R. E. Buchanan
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 786

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Gertrude Eastman

No. 786

CHARGE: Keeping Bawdy House

9-7-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Gertrude Eastman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* N. B. La Cava *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of $200.00 + 20 days in Jail

N. B. La Cava
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Gertrude Eastman *the said fine of* $200.00 + 20 days in Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of her

*and confine* ~~him~~ her *in the jail of this County* .....
*from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 657

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
M. O. Corum

No. 657

CHARGE: Pistol

9-10-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* M. O. Corum *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. G. Pace *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ~~information~~ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J. G. Pace
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. A. Sims

CHARGE: Wife & child desertion 9-10-21

No. 587

NUMBER 587

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. A. Sims pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. C. Magoffin and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty-five Dollars

E. C. Magoffin
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, W. A. Sims the said fine of Twenty-five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Jackson County who will immediately take possession of him

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charley Burnett

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault 9-12-21

No. 769

NUMBER 769

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Charley Burnett pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A B Campbell and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his fine at $100.00

A B Campbell
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Charley Burnett the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Jackson County who will immediately take possession of him

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid,

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 886

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Arlinis Stuart

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 886
9-23-31

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Arlinis Stuart *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J O Carroll *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

J O Carroll
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 686

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. M. Hancock

CHARGE: Assaulting
No. 686
9-23-31

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. M. Hancock *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. M. Matlock *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in Information and assess his punishment at 30 days in Co. Jail of Jarnard Co. Texas.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* W. M. Hancock *the said fine of* 30 days in Co. Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Jarnard County who will immediately take him into possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* three days *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Benito Gonzales & Rebecca Montalvo

CHARGE: Adultery and Fornication
No. 934
Sept 26-1921

NUMBER 934

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, both waived pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Lewis Snyder and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendants Not Guilty
Lewis Snyder
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the ~~property~~ of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. J. Lewis

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 664
9-28-21

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. J. Lewis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: John Britt and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty
John Britt
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 916

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo. Williams

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 916
Sept 27-1921

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Geo. Williams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. E. Ratliff *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Geo. Williams *the said fine of* Twenty five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 908

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jesse Pistol

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 908
9-28-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jesse Pistol *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* F. L. Green *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty.
F. L. Green
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Eugene Berger

CHARGE: Theft
No. 863
9-30-21

NUMBER 863

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Eugene Berger *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* F. L. Green *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

F. L. Green
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Lawson

CHARGE: Theft
No. 932
10-5-21

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Lawson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* F. N. Penhouse *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

F. N. Penhouse
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. E. Healey

CHARGE: Theft
No. 941
10-5-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. E. Healey *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Edward Stewart *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in Information and assess his fine at 100 & 1 day in Jail

Edward Stewart
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* W. E. Healey
*the said fine of* 100 & 1 day in Jail ~~Dollars~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take this into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Willie Batsell

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 5451
10-12-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Willie Batsell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. A. Redford *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty—

W. A. Redford
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* ~~Dollars~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* ~~and until such fine~~ *and costs are fully paid.*

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19_____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
H B Wilson

No. 993 CHARGE: Manslaying

10-10-21

NUMBER 993

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* H B Wilson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Wm L Dennis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in Information and assess his punishment at $10 Fine and 20 days in Co. Jail Wm L Dennis Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* H B Wilson *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff Jackson County who will immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* the date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Effie Junior

No. 167 CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

10-11-21

NUMBER 167

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Effie Junior *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* F. M. Haddock *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty— F. M. Haddock Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 9951

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L. B. Montgomery

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 9951
10-19-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* L B Montgomery *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* P. C. Hearne *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty
P. C. Hearne
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 570

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dallas Beeton

CHARGE: Assault
No. 570
10-21-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Dallas Beeton *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J C Martin *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty
J. C. J. Martin
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Autry

CHARGE: Practicing Medicine without a license
No. 1036 10-26-21

NUMBER 1036

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Autry pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Ray H. McKinley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty
Ray H. McKinley
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Autry

CHARGE: Practicing Medicine without a license
No. 1035 11-3-21

NUMBER 1035

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Autry pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. R. Stricklin and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty
R. R. Stricklin,
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19_____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 1041

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs Mary Floyd

CHARGE: Abusive Lang and
No. 1041 Dist Court
11-4-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs Mary Floyd *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. G. Roberts *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty
E. G. Roberts
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____ *the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER ~~1070~~ ~~1053~~ 1070

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L. M. Argues

CHARGE: Pistol
No. ~~1070~~ ~~1053~~ 1070
11-4-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* L. M. Argues *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Dave E. Rellers *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his ~~fine~~ punishment at a fine of $100.00 and 30 days confinement in County Jail
Dave E. Rellers
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* L. M. Argues *the said fine of* $100.00 & 30 days in Jail ~~Dollars~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Lamar County who will immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* the date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Rufus Kemp

CHARGE: Theft

No. 895

11-4-21

NUMBER 895

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Rufus Kemp pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Jas. H. Cup and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $100 & 30 days in County Jail

James H Cup
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Rufus Kemp and 30 days in Co. Jail the said fine of Cash Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Garrett County who will immediately take possession of him

and confine him in the jail of this County
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Filed this 4th day of Nov. A.D. 1921
J. H. Cup, Clerk

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.

CHARGE:

No.

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

November TERM. Nov. 7 A. D. 1921

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it Remembered that on Monday the 7th day of November A.D. 1921 there came on and was held a regular term of the Honorable County Court at Law of Jasper County. Texas at the Court House in said County, Present and presiding the Hon. P. W. Seward, Judge; Jesse M. Brooks, Criminal District Atty; Bart Maynard, County Clerk; Carl Smith, Sheriff, when the following proceedings among others were had, to-wit:

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dominic Ward

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 1078

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dominic Ward pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E L Ross and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

E L Ross
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Doc Otto

CHARGE: Operating Dance Hall without a License
No. 1109
11-17-21

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Doc Otto pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, ~~and a jury, to-wit:~~ The Judge and five others, ~~was duly selected~~, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court~~, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, ~~which was received by the Court~~, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

~~"WE, THE JURY~~, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

J. P. W. Seward
Judge Presiding

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. B. Stacks

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 953
11-26-21

NUMBER 953

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. B. Stacks *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. H. Myers *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

W. H. Myers.
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jesse Sterling

CHARGE: Burglary
No. 1153
Dec-8-1921

NUMBER 1153

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jesse Sterling *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. P. Hayes *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in Indictment and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars ($50.00) and 30 days confinement in the Co. Jail of Tarrant Co., Texas

C. P. Hayes
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jesse Sterling *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co., Texas who will immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 1082

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L. H. Middleton

CHARGE: Abusive Language & Disturbing Peace
No. 1082
12-9-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* L H Middleton *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Aubrey Chapman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $ 5.00

Aubrey Chapman
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* L. H. Middleton
*the said fine of* Four *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. who will immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 1172

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
N. H. Stubbs

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 1172
12-8-21

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* N. H. Stubbs *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

C. F. Craig
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Carl Morris & Mary Messenger

CHARGE: Adultery & Fornication
No. 1163
12-8-21

NUMBER 1163

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Carl Morris & Mary Messenger *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charges *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

W. D. Shepherd
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. M. Laughery

CHARGE: Pistol
No 1076
12-19-21

NUMBER 1076

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. M. Laughery *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Chg *herein, and a jury, to-wit* O. P. Stanley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

O. P. Stanley
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 1210

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ollie Wilson

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 1210
12-21-27

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ollie Wilson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. O'neal and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged and assess his punishment at Nine months in the Co Jail
J. M. O'Neal
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ollie Wilson the said fine of Nine Months in Jail Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. who will immediately take possession of him

and confine ~~him~~ in the jail of this County Nine Months from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 1074

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Coy

CHARGE: Theft
No. 1074
12-20-27

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jim Coy pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. O'neal and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty
J. M. O'Neal
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
H. A. Padgett

CHARGE: Violating Law
No 1193

NUMBER 1193

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, H. A. Padgett pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. A. Anderson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty
J. A. Anderson
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of ____ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from ____ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A. J. Jefferson

CHARGE: Dist Peace
No. 982

NUMBER 982

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, A. J. Jefferson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H. B. Mayfield and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty
H. B. Mayfield
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of ____ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from ____ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read, Approved & Signed
Dec. 31-1921
O. N. Seward
Judge Co. Ct. at Law

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 1307

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Peyton Wilkins

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 1307

1/4/22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Peyton Wilkins pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J K P Snyder and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

D. J. Snyder

Forman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 1243

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Basilio Garcia

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 1243

1/3/22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Basilio Garcia pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C H Martin and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

C H Martin

Forman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. W. McGuire

CHARGE: Operating Motor Vehicle While Drunk
No. 1358 1-21-22
NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. W. McGuire pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Chg herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. A. McMath and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

J. A. McMath
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Anna Graham

CHARGE: Keeping a Bawdy and Disorderly House
No. 1008 1-18-22
NUMBER 1008

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Anna Graham pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Chg herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. A. Miller and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Chg read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information

J. A. Miller
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Anna Graham the said fine of ~~20 days and~~ Two hundred ($200.00) Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant, shall be confined in the County Jail of Tarrant County for a period of 20 days, and Being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the sheriff of Tarrant Co. Who will immediately take her in his possession,

and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until ~~such~~ fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 1372

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Charlie Taylor

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 1372

1-31-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Charlie Taylor pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Chg herein, and a jury, to-wit: H. W. Birch and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Chg read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

H W. Birch
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....
the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 1392

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lewis Cantrell

CHARGE: A-A

No. 1392

2-1-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lewis Cantrell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Chg herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. N. Winchester and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Chg read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit;

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

J. N. Winchester
foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....
the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
H.O Greer

CHARGE: wife and child
No. 1125 — Desr
1-30-22

NUMBER 1125-

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* H.O Greer *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Clerk *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L. L. Lipscomb *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Clerk *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess his punishment at 6 Mos in Tarrant Co Jail

L. L. Lipscomb
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* H.O Greer *the said ~~fine of~~ penalty of* 6 Mos in the Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine~~ costs, and the said Defendant* having been sentenced to ~~Pay a fine in~~ Placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County* punishment
*from* ..... *and until such ~~fine~~ and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L Grier

CHARGE: A — A
No. 1385-
2-3-22

NUMBER 1385-

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* L Grier *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Clerk *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J N Winchester *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Clerk *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J N Winchester
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 1325

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Aurelio Mendez

No. 1325 CHARGE: Pistol

Jan 16-1922

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Aurelio Mendez *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. A. Godfrey *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at thirty days confinement in Tarrant County Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Aurelio Mendez *the said fine of* 30 days confinement *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take him into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 1208

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. N. L. Harkey

No. 1208 CHARGE: Abusive Language

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* N. L. Harkey *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. L. Wallace *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Charge *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, as charged in the complaint and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* N. L. Harkey *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take him into his possession

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. B. Walker

CHARGE: Wife and Child Desertion
No. 1418

NUMBER 1418

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J B Walker pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: M. J. Salerno and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

M. J. Salerno
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,..... the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ewell Evans

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 1421
2-14-22

NUMBER 1421

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ewell Evans pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, ~~and a jury, to wit:~~ The Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,~~ who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards~~ returned into open Court the following ~~verdict~~ Judgment, which ~~was received by the Court, and~~ is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

~~"WE, THE JURY,~~ The Court Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars and Cost -

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ewell Evans
the said fine of Twenty five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take him into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from this date. .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 1389

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs R A Hardaman

CHARGE: Keeping a Bawdy House and Disorderly House
No. 1389
Feb. 15 1922

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs R A Hardaman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W Hollins *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Charge *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information by the Judge of said Court and her punishment assessed at a fine of Two Hundred Dollars and costs and 20 days confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant Co., Texas

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mrs R A Hardaman *the said fine of* ~~20 days and~~ Two Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* shall be confined in the County Jail of Tarrant County, Texas for a period of 20 days, and ~~be~~ being present in Open Court it is ordered by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take her into custody and immediately take her into his possession

*and confine* ~~him~~ her *in the jail of this County* .....
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 1421

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Birdie Edwards

CHARGE: A-A-
No. 1421

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant ..... Error

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Reversed

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Myre Henderson

CHARGE: 2 Theft
No. 1460
2-10-22

NUMBER 1460

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Myre Henderson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J M Ware and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of theft as charged in the information and assess his punishment at sixty days Confinement in County Jail.

J M Ware
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Myre Henderson the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jose Valdez

CHARGE: Theft
No. 1352
2-27-22

NUMBER 1352

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jose Valdez pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W R Vaughn and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty
W R Vaughn - Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 1493

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. H. May

CHARGE: Theft
No. 1493
3-1-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J H May *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* F W Fishburn *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

F W Fishburn
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 1494

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charlie May

CHARGE: Theft
No. 1494
3-1-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Charlie May *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. P. Brashear *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J P Brashear
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lee Lechmeyer

CHARGE: A-A-
No. 1440
2-28-22

NUMBER 1440

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lee Lechmeyer *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. L. McQueery *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* _____ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of simple assault and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00

W. L. McQueery
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Lee Lechmeyer
*the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Smith

CHARGE: Wife & Child Desertion
No. 1430
3-3-22

NUMBER 1430

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Ed Fishburn *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* _____ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a $50.00 Fine & 30 days in Jail

Ed Fishburn
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Will Smith
*the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read, approved & Signed in open Court This 4th day Mar 1922.

D. W. Seward Judge

March TERM. March 6th A. D. 1922

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 1244

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sam Jackson

CHARGE: 2 Lft
No. 1244
3-6-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Sam Jackson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. L. Shannon and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a Fine of $5.00 & ten days in jail. R L Shannon Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Sam Jackson the said fine of Find Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 1294

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Son Curris

CHARGE: A. & R.
No. 1294
3-7-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Son Curris pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. B. Kuykendall and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the indictment and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 E. B. Kuykendall Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Son Curris the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W J. Jones

CHARGE: Keeping a Bawdy & Disorderly House
No. 1383
3-7-22

NUMBER 1383

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W J. Jones pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: M. A. Benton and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty.
M A Benton
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Leo Slack

CHARGE: Wife & Child Desertion
No. 1386
3-7-22

NUMBER 1386

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Leo Slack pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. E. Greer and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty C. E. Greer
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

March TERM. March 6th A. D. 1922

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 1454

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Z E McKnight

CHARGE: Agg. Assault

No. 1454

3-8-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Z E McKnight pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess his punishment at Twenty Five ($25.00) Dollars fine.

J M McCombs
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Z E McKnight the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 1422

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mrs Ruth Beach

CHARGE: assault

No. 1422

3-9-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs Ruth Beach pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Lee Macky and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess her punishment at a fine of $5.00 Dollars.

Lee Macy
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Mrs Ruth Beach the said fine of Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Wilson

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 812
3-10-22

NUMBER 812

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Wilson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. J. Pendleton and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty

J. J. Pendleton
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mike Strawn

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 1202
3-10-22

NUMBER 1202

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mike Strawn pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. A. Holley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at One Hundred Dollars fine.

A. E. Holley
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Mike Strawn the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of him

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... date ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

March TERM. March 6th A. D. 1922

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 1277

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W L Ransome

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 1277

3-20-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W L Ransome pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A B Louds and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at 30 days in the County Jail.—

A. B. Louds
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....
the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 1287

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Junius Wimbley

CHARGE: A. C.

No. 1287

3-20-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Junius Wimbley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R L Carlton and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at $25.00 fine

R. L. Carlton
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....
the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charlie Suddith

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 1449 3-20-22

NUMBER 1449

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Charlie Suddith pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C B Daggett and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at Fine of one Hundred Dollars—

C B Daggett,
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Charlie Suddith the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant may Granted 1922

and confine him in the jail of this County See Page 344
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ola Weiler

CHARGE: Assault
No. 933 3-21-22

NUMBER 933

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ola Weiler pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. L. Carlton and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

R L Carlton
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

330

March TERM. March 6th A. D. 1922

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 1216

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs Virginia Colonna

CHARGE: Theft
No. 1216
3-21-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs Virginia Colonna pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. G. Gassaway and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty

E. G. Gassaway
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 1574

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J B Corley

CHARGE: Appeal-Corpn-Court-Speeding
No. 1574
3-22-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J B Corley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. L. Dodd and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the complaint and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 Cost

E L Dodd
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J B Corley
the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sol Lenard

CHARGE: appeal from Corpn Court Drunk
No. 1577 3-22-22

NUMBER 1577

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Sol Lenard pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J B Haskins and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

J B Haskins
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. L. Rowland

CHARGE: appeal from Corpn Court Speeding
No. 1580 3-22-22

NUMBER 1580

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, C. L. Rowland pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E Coombs and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

E. Coombs
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

March TERM. March 6 A. D. 1922

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 1396

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Luge Williams

No. 1396 CHARGE: 2 Theft 3-24-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Luge Williams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. L. Roff *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Charge *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

Def't - *"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

C. L. Roff Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 1207

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. S. M. Brodie

No. 1207 CHARGE: Pistol 3-24-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* S. M. Brodie *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. B. Souls *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Charge *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

A. B. Souls Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bessie Foster

CHARGE: Keeping a Bawdy and Disorderly House.
No. 1501
4-4-22

NUMBER 1501

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bessie Foster *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. S. Patter *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* charge *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty
A. S. Patter - Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sadie Watkins

CHARGE: A & T
No. 1593
4-5-22

NUMBER 1593

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sadie Watkins *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E Rogers *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty - E Rogers - Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

March TERM. March 6 A. D. 1922

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 1560

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Edward Slocum

CHARGE: Theft

No. 1560

4-7-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Edward Slocum pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: D. D. Van Cleave and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty, as charged in the information, and assess his punishment at 90 days confinement in the Tarrant County Jail — D. D. Van Cleave - Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 1593

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Sam Nelo

CHARGE: Adultery & Fornication

No. 1593

4-17-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Sam Nelo pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. N. Hinckley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00 — W. N. Hinckley 4 man.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

March TERM. March 6 A. D. 1922

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Linyard

CHARGE: Unl. Arrest
No. 1652
4-18-22

NUMBER 1652

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Linyard pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. J. Williams and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a $25.00 fine

R. J. Williams
Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Will Linyard the said fine of Twenty & ---- Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of him

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Hammack

CHARGE: A-A
No. 1649
4-18-22

NUMBER 1649

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Hammack pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. L. Porter and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ---- read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty — J. L. Porter Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ---- the said fine of ---- Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from ---- and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

March TERM. March A. D. 1922

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 1658

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Fields

No. 1658 CHARGE: Theft

4-20-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Will Fields pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J O Short and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty as charged in the information

J O Short
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 1684

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. D. Carlton

No. 1684 CHARGE: Assault

4-21-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. D. Carlton pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W E Schuchard and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty — W E Schuchard Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
_____

*CHARGE:* _____ *NUMBER*
*No.* _____ _____

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* _____ *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* _____ *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* _____ *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* _____ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* _____

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
_____

*CHARGE:* _____ *NUMBER*
*No.* _____ _____

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* _____ *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* _____ *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* _____ *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* _____ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* _____

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

~~678~~ 336 a — TERM. ..... A. D. 19.....

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 1644

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Claude Wilson

No. 1644 CHARGE: 2 kept

4-24-33

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Claude Wilson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. C. Harris and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of one hundred Dollars & $100.00 + 60 days in Tarrant county jail.

W. C. Harris - Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
.....

CHARGE: .....
No. .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ..... pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant .....

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Chas M. Billings

CHARGE: Unlawfully Killing NUMBER
No 1661 A. Dog 4-21-22 1661

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Chas M. Billings pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Karl Young and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of One Dollar

Karl G. Young Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Chas. M. Billings the said fine of one Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Being present in Court is placed in the hand of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co who will immediately take said Deft in to his possession. and confine him in the jail of this County from this date. and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bessie Gates

CHARGE: Contributing to the Delinquency of a Child NUMBER
No 1664 4-25-22 1664

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bessie Gates pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E Sellars and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty - E Sellars - Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read, approved and signed in open court this 29th day of April A.D. 1922.

Bart Mynatt County Clerk
By Inez Roddy Deputy

D. V. Seward Judge
Co Ct Ath Law

May TERM. May 1st A. D. 1922

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 1723

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jeff Kines

CHARGE: Wr & Deceit

No. 1723

5-2-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jeff Kines *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. L. Bibb *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* charge *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty. R. L. Bibb - Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 1724

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Willie Steves

CHARGE: Theft

No. 1724

5-4-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Willie Steves *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. L. Bibb *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* charge *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the information, and assess his penalty at six months in the County Jail. R. L. Bibb 4 men.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Willie Steves *the said fine of* ~~~~~ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Willie Steves

*and confine him in the jail of this County* six months *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

May TERM. May 12th A. D. 1922

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Humphries

CHARGE: A & D
No. 1755
5-5-22

NUMBER 1755

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Humphries pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E M Lipscomb and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty
E M Lipscomb - Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Leon Thompson

CHARGE: A-A
No. 1759
5-8-22

NUMBER 1759

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Leon Thompson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W W Burgess and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty
W W Burgess Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 1627

THE STATE OF TEXAS }
vs.
Sandy Watley }

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 1627

5-10-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Sandy Watley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J A Crosswhite and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information, and assess his punishment at One Hundred Dollars fine.

J A Crosswhite
Foreman.

New Trial
Overruled
5-13-22

See J-618

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, .....
the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 1270

THE STATE OF TEXAS }
vs.
Ed Brown }

CHARGE: Vio Labor Law.

No. 1270

5-12-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ed Brown pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J B. Kemp. Sr. and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at Twenty five $25.00 dollar fine

J B Kemp Sr.
Foreman.

New Trial
Overruled
5-13-22

See Vol M Page 11

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, .....
the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mark Davis

CHARGE: B & U A
No. 1725
5-11-22

NUMBER 1735

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mark Davis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Howard H Marks and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information
Howard H Marks
Foreman.

New Trial Granted 5/20/22
See Page 621

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Cora Lee Lepler

CHARGE: Enticing a Minor
No. 1714
5-12-22

NUMBER 1714

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Cora Lee Lepler pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Howard H Marks and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess her punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars ($100.00) — Howard H Marks - Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 1741

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Tom Richardson

No. 1741 CHARGE: A-A

5-9-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Tom Richardson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J K Neely *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Charge *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as Charged in the information And assess his punishment at a Fine of $1.00 Fine Roger O Neely – 4 man.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 1449

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charlie Suddeth

No. 1449 CHARGE: Pistol

5-16-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Charlie Suddeth *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J O Lockhart *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Charge *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty – J O Lockhart – 4 man.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. C. Fleming

CHARGE: Embezzlement
No. 1831
5-18-22

NUMBER 1831

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. C. Fleming *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. S. Lipscomb *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* charge *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty A. S. Lipscomb—4 man.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jack Moulton

CHARGE: 2 Theft
No. 1765
5-18-22

NUMBER 1765

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jack Moulton *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. W. Ford *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* charge *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty E. W. Ford—4 man.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 1455

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L.L. Wisdom

CHARGE: A-A
No. 1455
5-29-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, L.L. Wisdom pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: F.F. French and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty-
F F French- foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 1732

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lula Sharp

CHARGE: Theft
No. 1732
5-30-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lula Sharp pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Edw E Bear and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the second count in the information and assess her punishment at one dollar ($1.00) fine and one day in jail
Edw E Bear Foreman

Theft

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant Lula Sharp
the said fine of One Dollar and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. C. Fleming

No. 1833 CHARGE: Embezzlement 6-2-32

NUMBER 1833

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. C. Fleming *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. A. Babb *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty J. A. Babb-Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Clint Griffin

No. 1736 CHARGE: Theft 6-2-32

NUMBER 1736

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Clint Griffin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Instructed *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 2006

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mauro Rozas

CHARGE: 2 Sept-

No 2006

6-12-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mauro Rozas pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L. N. Mueller and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty, and assess his punishment at 20 days in County Jail and costs. L. N. Mueller - Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....
the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 1970

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Frank M. Jones

CHARGE: A-A

No. 1970

6-12-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Frank M. Jones pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: P. L. Lesser and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the charge read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty - P. L. Lesser - Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....
the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mattie Montgomery

No. 1986 CHARGE: A L & D
6-13-22

NUMBER 1986

*THIS DAY, THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mattie Montgomery *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Joe Barnesett *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Charge *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty — Joe Barnesett — foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Floyd Robeson

No. 1758 CHARGE: Procuring
6-16-22

NUMBER 1758

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Floyd Robeson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J.W. Campbell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Charge *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty — J.W. Campbell foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read, approved and ~~signed~~ ~~approved~~ in open court this 1st day of July A.D. 1922.

Bart Mynatt - County Clerk.
J.A. Fenelon - Deputy.

D.N. Garrard.
Judge, County Court at Law, Tarrant County, Texas

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 2157

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. P H Parks

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault 9/6/22

No 2157

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, P. H. Parks pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Ind & Cht herein, and a jury, to-wit: Ed J Roberts and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Ind read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty -

Ed J. Roberts
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, _____ the said fine of _____ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County _____ from _____ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 2364

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W. W Walker

CHARGE: Theft

No 2364

9/7/22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. W. Walker pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Chg herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. E. Finley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Ind read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

J. E. Finley

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, _____ the said fine of _____ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County _____ from _____ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs L. L. Staley

CHARGE: adultrey & Fornication  NUMBER
No. 2408  9/8/22  2408

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs L. L. Staley *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charg *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E B Jasmon *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty / E B Jasmon Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L. D. Stewart

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault  NUMBER
No. 2439  2439

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* L. D. Stewart *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Chg *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. Henderson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Ind *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty / C Henderson Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 2477

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. H. Burns

CHARGE: No. 2477 Theft 9/14/22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C. H. Burns *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Chg *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. E. Finley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Ind *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

J. E. Finley Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,..... the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 2041

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A. J. Kelly Marlie Hollis

CHARGE: No. 2041 Theft 9/19/22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A. J. Kelly Marlie Hollis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Chg *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. J. Kelly *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Ind *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

A. J. Kelly Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,..... the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19_____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Herbert Terrell

CHARGE: Aggravate & assault
No. 2488
9/2[illegible]22

NUMBER 2488

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Herbert Terrell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Clerk *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. W. Turnage *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J. W. Turnage
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs Grace Bayers

CHARGE: Aggravate & Assault
No. 2167
9/18/22

NUMBER 2167

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs Grace Bayers *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Clerk *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. E. Snelling *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty, as charged in the information and assess her punishment at a fine of $5.00

C. E. Snelling
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mrs Grace Bayers
*the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co who will immediately take possession of her

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 2368

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. H. Fleming

CHARGE: Aggravated assault

No. 2368

9/21/22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* H. G. Fleming *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* G. L. Elkins *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Ind *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

G. L. Elkins
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 1730

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lula Smith

CHARGE: Theft

No. 1730

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lula Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Chg *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. J. Moad *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Ind *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

W. J. Moad
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19_____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
G. D Drysdale

CHARGE: Aggravated assault
No. 2355-
9/20/22

NUMBER 2355-

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* G. D Drysdale *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Chg *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* T. G. Abby *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Ind *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of simple assault and battery, and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

T. G. Abby
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* G. D Drysdale *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of him *and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
R. H. Braziel

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 2373
9/26/22

NUMBER 2373

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* R. H. Braziel *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Chg *herein, and a jury, to-wit* Wm E. Boswell Jr *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Ind *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00

Wm E. Boswell Jr. Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* R. H. Braziel *the said fine of* one Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the hands of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co who will immediately take possession of him *and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mabie Hollis

CHARGE: Theft
No. 2041
9-19-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mabie Hollis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

Ord 1 Rec 3.50

Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. C. Sharp

CHARGE: Swindling
No. 2608
10-16-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J C Sharp *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

Rec M/43

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19_____

**JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ida Belle Williams

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault NUMBER
No. 2882
Oct 4-1922

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ida Belle Williams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Dan E. Lydick *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of $5.00

Dan E. Lydick
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Ida Belle Williams *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will take possession of her and immediately

*and confine* ~~him~~ her *in the jail of this County* _____
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Boone

CHARGE: Theft NUMBER
No. 2149
10-2-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Boone *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* _____ *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at 30 days confinement in Tarrant County Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* John Boone *the said fine of* 30 days confinement in Tarrant Co. Jail ~~Dollars~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant Co who will take possession of him immediately

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Frank Lisle

CHARGE: Assault
No. 1919
10-12-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Frank Lisle *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R E Keith *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00 and cost.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Frank Lisle *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J L Morris

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 2471
10-6-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. L. Morris *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. W. Atherton *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00 and 60 days confinement in Tarrant County Jail and all costs of prosecution

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J. L. Morris *the said fine of* One Hundred Dollars & 60 days confinement in Tarrant Co Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will take possession of him immediately

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

..... TERM. ..... A. D. 19.....

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Alis Ennis

CHARGE: Procuring
No. 2162
Oct 3 - 1922

NUMBER 2162

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Alis Ennis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* U. P. Johns *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty.

U. P. Johns
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Elizabeth Johnson

CHARGE: Assault
No. 2588
Oct - 14 - 1922

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Elizabeth Johnson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* O. N. Joyce *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in Information and assess her punishment at a fine of $25.00

O. N. Joyce
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Elizabeth Johnson *the said fine of* $25.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of her *and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County* ..... *from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
O W Thurman

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 2328
10-11-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* O W Thurman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W B Harcrow *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00

W B Harcrow
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* O W Thurman
*the said fine of* $100.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* ring present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff & Constable & Deputies who will immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County*.....
*from* the date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C H Burns

CHARGE: Theft
No. 2477
9-14-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C H Burns *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. L. Lewis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J. L. Lewis
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*.....
*the said fine of*.....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*.....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

..... TERM. ..... A. D. 19.....

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. C. Cameron

CHARGE: Abusive Language &
No. 2203 Assaulting Peace
6-20-22

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. C. Cameron *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L H Lock *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty
L H Lock
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Apolonia Salinas

CHARGE: A - a
No. 2174
10-9-22

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Apolonia Salinas *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the.....herein, and a jury, to-wit* M. J. Crowdale *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty
M. J. Crowdale
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Saunders

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 2344
10-12-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Saunders *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W M Thompson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in Information And assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

W. M. Thompson Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* John Saunders *the said fine of* Twenty fine *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* him present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* This date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 2054

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Marlie Hollis

CHARGE: Theft
No 2054
Oct 10-1922

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Marlie Hollis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

N. Armstrong Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
R. E. Kading

CHARGE: Theft
No. 2535-2
9-29-22

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* R. E. Kading *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. W. Edwards *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J. W. Edwards
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Apolinas Salinas

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 2174
10-9-22

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Apolinas Salinas *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. J. Crisdale *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

W. J. Crisdale
Foreman

see 359

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. L. Morris

CHARGE: A-A

No. 2133

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. L. Morris pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. E. Greas and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

R. E. Greas
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,..... the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bert Barkley

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 2366

Oct 3-1922

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bert Barkley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. T. Cooler and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

A. T. Cooler
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,..... the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. F. Brady

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 2560
9-28-32

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. F. Brady *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. P. Royston *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty - W. P. Royston Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jno. J. W. O'Brien

CHARGE: Keeping a Bawdy & Disorderly House
No. 2367
9-28-32

NUMBER 2367

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jno. J. W. O'Brien *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty - P. A. Miller Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER _____

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
P. H. Parks

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 2137

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, P. H. Parks pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Edw J. Roberts and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

Edw. J. Roberts
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, _____ the said fine of _____ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County _____ from _____ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER _____

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Herbert Lemell

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 2488
9-21-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Herbert Lemell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J W Turnage and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

J W Turnage
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, _____ the said fine of _____ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County _____ from _____ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19_____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L. D. Stewart

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 2439
9-13-22

NUMBER 2439

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* L. D. Stewart *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* _____ *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. Henderson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

C. Henderson
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L. Fleming

CHARGE: A-A
No. 2368
9-21-22

NUMBER _____

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* L. Fleming *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* G. L. Elkins *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* _____

G. L. Elkins
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Otis Ewing

CHARGE: Procuring
No. 2162
10-3-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Otis Ewing *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. O. Johns *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

W. O. Johns
Foreman

Rec 3-5-7 ewr

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Randle

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 2139
10-6-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Will Randle *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. L. Henderson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J. L. Henderson
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lee Cole

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 2491
10-25-22
NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lee Cole *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A W Wells *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

A. W. Wells
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J A Means

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 2398
10-25-22
NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J A Means *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L F Hickey *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

L F Hickey
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

10-24-22

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mattie Lenderpeel

CHARGE: Assisting inmate
No. 2487 of Girls Training
School to escape

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE ~~WAS~~ CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mattie Lenderpeel *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W E McDonald *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

W. E. McDonald
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Hadin Bone

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 2331

10-27-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Hadin Bone *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. L. Combs *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

C. L. Combs
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19_____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lola Johnson

CHARGE: Enticing a Minor
No. 2654
Oct 31-1922

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lola Johnson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. C. Kedrick *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in Information & Assess her punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars

W. C. Kedrick
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Lola Johnson *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of her

*and confine* ~~him~~ her *in the jail of this County* _____
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Motion for N. T. - Dept filed Same - See M/60

---

THE STATE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. H. Carney

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No 2631
11-2-22

NUMBER 2631

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. H. Carney *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charg *herein, and a jury, to-wit* J. M. Sanders *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Ind *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

J. M. Sanders
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L. E. Price

CHARGE: Theft
No. 2676
11-3-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* L. E. Price *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Clerk *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. D. Harralson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ——— *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

J. D. Harralson

Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ——— *the said fine of* ——— *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ——— *from* ——— *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bob Hargroves

CHARGE: A-a-
No. 2688
11-4-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bob Hargroves *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Clerk *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* O. G. Patterson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Indictment *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

O. G. Patterson

Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ——— *the said fine of* ——— *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ——— *from* ——— *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read, Approved, and Signed in open Court
This 4th day of Nov 1922
J. N. Seward Judge

Attest Bart Mynatt
County Clerk by Tuce Roddy Deputy Co. Ct. at Law.

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J L Griffin

CHARGE: A - A
No 2734
11-15-22

NUMBER 2734

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J L Griffin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Tom Thazier *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Ind *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

Tom Frazier
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ruth Lennis

CHARGE: Vag
No. 2165
11-10-22

NUMBER 2165

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ruth Lennis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Calvin Sweeney *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Ind *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

Calvin Sweeney
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 2703

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. F. Pendleton

CHARGE: Agg— Assault

No. 2703

11-14-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. F. Pendleton *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Chg *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* T. J. Carr *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Ind *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

T. J. Carr
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 2769

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jesse Smith

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 2769

11-15-22

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jesse Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Chg *herein, ~~and a jury,~~ and the Court to-wit:* ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who~~, *having heard the* Ind *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and ~~having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and~~ afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which ~~was received by the Court, and~~ is here now entered upon the ~~Minutes~~, to-wit:*

*"~~WE, THE JURY~~, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

P. D. Smead
Judge Presiding

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19_____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ester Rocha

CHARGE: a - a
No. 2677
11-6-22

NUMBER 2677

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ester Rocha *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Chg *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. L. Hartshorn *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

A. L. Hartshorn
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A. S. Van Orsdale

CHARGE: Abusive Language
No. 2374
Dist Court 11-7-22

NUMBER 2374

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A. S. Van Orsdale *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. G. Elliott *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J. G. Elliott
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 2723

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dan Batton

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 2723
11-13-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dan Batton pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 2163

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Otis Ennis

CHARGE: Drawing
No. 2163
11-10-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Otis Ennis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty, as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars & confinement in the County Jail of Tarrant Co. Tex for a period of One Month.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Otis Ennis the said fine of $50.00 and 1 month In Jail ~~Dollars~~ and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

Being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will Immediately take possession of him

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19_____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Arthur Morgan

CHARGE: A - A
No. 2720
11-15-22

NUMBER 2720

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Arthur Morgan *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* _____ *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Hung Jury

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Earl Phillips

CHARGE: Wife Desertion
No. 2603
10-23-22

NUMBER 2603

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Earl Phillips *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* _____ *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* _____ *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in information and assess his Punishment at Two Hundred and Fifty $250.00 Dollars Fine and one year Imprisonment in the County jail

J. K Hale
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Earl Phillips
*the said fine of* $250.00 and 1 year in Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

being present in open Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff of Lapeer County who will immediately take possession of him

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* Thro date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 7631

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. N. Carney

CHARGE: a - a

No. 7631

11-7-31

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. N. Carney pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M Sander and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not Guilty

J M. Sander

Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 7641

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ella Blackman

CHARGE: Preventing Electric Current from passing thru Meter

No. 7641

11-31-31

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ella Blackman pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
D. L. Price

CHARGE: Theft
No. 2676
1-2-27

NUMBER 2676.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* D. L. Price *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. H. Haroldson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not Guilty

J. H. Haroldson
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bob Hargraves

CHARGE: A - A
No. 2688

NUMBER 2688

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bob Hargraves *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* O. L. Patterson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* O. L. Patterson
Not Guilty
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 2629

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Joe Glazier

CHARGE: Offering Adulterated soda water for Sale

No. 2629 11-21-20

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Joe Glazier pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E H Snyder and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at $100.00

E. H. Schmidt
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Joe Glazier the said fine of $100.00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take possession of him

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Motion for new trial

NUMBER 2757

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. N. C. Gregory

CHARGE: Ag. Assault

No. 2757

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, N. C. Gregory pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J C Crouch and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty of assult and assess his fine punishment at fine of $ 25.00

J. C. Crouch
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, N C Gregory the said fine of $25.00 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County who will immediately take him into his possession

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Nelson Mackey

No. 2227 CHARGE: Procuring 12-8-22

NUMBER 2327

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Nelson Mackey pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Edgar L Brown and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty

Edgar L Brown
4 man

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lang Grace

No. 2259 CHARGE: Pistol 12-11-22

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lang Grace pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C W Drexel and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty C W Drexel
4 man.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 2822

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mrs Goldford

CHARGE: A & D

No. 2822

12-12-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs Goldford pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: N St Lipscomb and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess her punishment at a fine of $10.00 Dollars.

N St Lipscomb
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Mrs Goldford the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 2777

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Hugo Schifcak

CHARGE: Vio Prohibition Law

No. 2777

12-14-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Hugo Schifcak pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Bert Moore and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of one hundred dollars.

Bert Moore
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Hugo Schifcak the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ned Sterling

No. 2836 CHARGE: Viv ghr Law

12-14-22

NUMBER 2836

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ned Sterling *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Fred E Moore *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

Fred E Moore
4 man.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mack Hawkins

No. 2826 CHARGE: Assault

12-15-22

NUMBER 2836

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mack Hawkins *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R L Gibson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00

R L Gibson
4 man

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mack Hawkins *the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 2757

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. C L Aldrich

CHARGE: Jury No 2757 Aggravated Assault 12-20-22

THIS DAY ~~THIS CAUSE WAS~~ CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, C. L. Aldrich pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Wm S. Head and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information, and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

Wm S. Head

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, C. L. Aldrich the said fine of Twenty five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Court is placed in Custody of the Sheriff who will take Possession of the Defts and

and confine him in the jail of this County

from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 2857

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jerry Jordon

CHARGE: No. 2857 A-A 12-2-22

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jerry Jordon pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: F. C. Jay and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at $25.00 fine

F. C. Jay Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Jerry Jordon the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff of Garrett Co. who will immediately take possession of said Defendant,

and confine him in the jail of this County

from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read, approved and signed this 30th day of Dec 1922

Bart Bryant Co Clk By Pearl Roddy Dy

P. Hardwood Judge

January TERM. January 1st A. D. 1923

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it Remembered That on Monday January A.D. 1923 same being the first day of January A.D. 1923. There came on and was held a Regular term of the County Court at Law, of Tarrant County Texas, at the Court House of said County in the City of Fort Worth, Texas present and presiding the Hon D.W. Seward, Judge, of said Court. Robt K. Hengen, Criminal District attorney, Carl Smith, Sheriff and Ed Jones Clerk, When the following proceedings among other things were had to wit-

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jal Bell

CHARGE: Theft
No. 2994 Jury Verdict 1-28-23

NUMBER 2994

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jal Bell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* S.M. Barnum *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Ory *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

S.M. Barnum
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~
~~from~~ ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Cora Tiffin

CHARGE: Keeping a Bawdy house
No. 2290 1-30-1923

NUMBER 2290

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Cora Tiffin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W.O. Curry *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Evidence Jury *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the Information

W.O. Curry - Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Cora Tiffin
*the said fine of* Two hundred dollars *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* is further sentenced to serve a period of (20) Twenty days in the Tarrant County Jail. and the defendant Cora Tiffin being present in Court, is placed in custody of the Sheriff. who will take and

~~and confine him~~ her *in the jail of this County* for a period of Twenty days
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3097

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
B. W. Walker

CHARGE: Gaming
No. 3097 2-5-1923

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, B. W. Walker pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. W. Winninger and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the complaint and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars.

A. W. Winninger—foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, B. W. Walker the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 3093

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Alice Burns
alias Ethel Clay

CHARGE: Aggravated assault
No. 3093 By Indictment.
2-14-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Alice Burns, alias Ethel Clay pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Indictment herein, and a jury, to-wit: James T. Green and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess her punishment at a fine of twenty Dollars.

James T. Green, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Alice Burns, alias Ethel Clay the said fine of Twenty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

Being present in court then filed her motion for a new trial, ~~which motion is~~

~~and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs R. A. Hardeman

CHARGE: Keeping a Bawdy House & Disorderly House
No. 2557 2-9-23

NUMBER 2557

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs R. A. Hardeman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* information *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Emmitt Curran *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the information

Emmitt Curran
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mrs R. A. Hardeman
*the said fine of* Two Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Mrs R. A. Hardeman, is further sentenced to a period of Twenty days in the Tarrant County Jail, the defendant being present in court. ~~Thereupon defendant's motion for a new trial~~ is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take and confine her in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine & costs & Jail Sentence are fully satisfied.
*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

J M Seward Judge

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Orline Knowles

CHARGE: Pistol -
No. 3049 2-13-23 -

NUMBER 3049

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Orline Knowles *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ~~Indictment~~ information *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. H. Parker *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ~~Indictment~~ Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the information and assess her punishment at a fine of one hundred Dollars.

Signed: W. H. Parker, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Orline Knowles
*the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take ~~Motion for New Trial~~

*and confine* ~~him~~ her *in the jail of this County*
*from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3871

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
G. J. Otto

CHARGE: assault
No. 3871 2-13-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* G. J. Otto *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Information *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* F. Corbely *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* read *and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars

F. Corbely Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* G. J. Otto
*the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

motion for new trial

NUMBER 2275

THE STATE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
H. H. Cobb

CHARGE: Wife & Child desertion
No. 2275 10-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* H. H. Cobb *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. N. Belby *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty Five Dollars.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* H. H. Cobb
*the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

(Motion for new trial)

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Johnny Phelps

CHARGE: Aggravated assault
No. 2922 1-18-23

NUMBER 2922

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Johnny Phelps pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. C. Miller and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of Simple assault and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars & costs.

C. C. Miller Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Johnny Phelps the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charlie White

CHARGE: Theft
No. 2986 1-15-23

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Charlie White pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: P. C. Felix and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at Sixty days in the Tarrant county Jail.

P. C. Felix, Foreman.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Charlie White
~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and~~ all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in court is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant county, who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County as the law directs
from now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

motion for new trial

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 2951

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Roswelt Davis

CHARGE: Theft
No. 2951 1-10-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Roswelt Davis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. J. Ferris *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at Thirty days in the Tarrant County Jail

W. J. Ferris, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Roswelt Davis
~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and~~ *all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Roswelt Davis being present in court is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... and ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.* and the jail sentenance served, as the law directs

NUMBER 2937

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Willie Mae Thompson

CHARGE: Dis Orderly & Bawdy house
No. 2937 1-12-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Willie Mae Thompson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. C. Minshew *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information

C. C. Minshew - Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT,* ~~that the State of Texas do have and recover of~~ *the Defendant,* Willie Mae Thompson do pay a fine of Two Hundred Dollars
~~the said fine of~~ ..... ~~Dollars~~ *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* is further sentenced by the court to serve twenty days in the Tarrant County Jail, and the defendant being present in court is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ *confine* ~~him~~ her *in the jail of this County* for a period of twenty days *from* now and ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.* and satisfied

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Willie Jones

CHARGE: Theft —
No. 2933 1-4-23

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Willie Jones pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. J. Stacy and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at one year confinement in the Tarrant county Jail, and Fifty Dollars fine.
E. J. Stacy, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Willie Jones the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Willie Jones, being present in court, is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff, who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for a period of one year from now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Otto H. Rogers

CHARGE: Theft
No. 2925 1-3-23

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Otto H Rogers pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J F Bibey and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information, and assess his punishment at a fine of one dollar and imprisonment in the county Jail for a period of one day
J. F. Bibey Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Otto H Rogers the said fine of One Dollar Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for a period of one day from now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.
and satisfied

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 2889

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Cleo Person

CHARGE: Theft
No. 2989 1-9-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Cleo Person pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. G. Cordle and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00 (Ten Dollars) and Sixty days Confinement in the Tarrant County Jail

W. G. Cordle Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Cleo Person the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff. Parks Mill

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County for a period of Sixty days from now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and fully satisfied

NUMBER 2895

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. Gonzales

CHARGE: Aggravated assault
No. 2895 1-8-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. Gonzales pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. L. Perry and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of five dollars

J. L. Perry. Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J. Gonzales the said fine of Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

~~and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

..... TERM. ..... A. D. 19.....

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Pearl Morris

CHARGE: Keeping a Bawdy and disorderly house

No 2892

NUMBER 2892

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Pearl Morris *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E B Lewis Jr. *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information

E B Lewis Jr Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Pearl Morris The sum of Dve Hundred Dollars, fine *the said fine of* Dve Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* is further sentenced by the court to serve a period of Twenty days in the Harrison County Jail, and the said Defendant being present in court is hereby remanded to the custody of the sheriff. who will

~~and~~ confine ~~him~~ her *in the jail of this County* For a period of Twenty days *from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and fully satisfied

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Albert Smith

CHARGE: Aggravated assault 9-12-23

No. 2869

NUMBER 2869

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Albert Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Jno P Durham *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of One hundred

Jno P Dunham, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Albert Smith *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* is hereby remanded to the custody of the sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* and *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and fully satisfied

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 2961

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. D E Smith

CHARGE: Aggravated assault

No 2961 1-23-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, D E Smith pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant entered in prison and by his attorney + Pleads Guilty to said charge and the court after hearing said plea, and the evidence assesses his punishment at thirty days imprisonment in the Tarrant county Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, D E Smith

~~the said fine of~~ ..... ~~Dollars and~~ all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of thirty days from now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and satisfied

NUMBER 3011

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ella Bradford

CHARGE: Disorderly House

No. 3011 1-26-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ella Bradford pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: B F Pruitt and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information

B F Pruitt. Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ella Bradford

~~the said~~ fine of Two Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant is further sentenced to a period of twenty days imprisonment in the Tarrant county Jail. and the Defendant is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff. who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County for a period of twenty days from now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J B Lacy

CHARGE: Wife desertion
No. 3033 2-2-23

NUMBER 3033

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. B. Lacy *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. M. Cooper *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars.

J. M. Cooper Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J. B. Lacy *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in court is remanded to the custody of the Sheriff, who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Edward Updoch

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 2992 2-2-23

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Edward Updoch *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Warren Macy *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of three months confinement in the Tarrant county jail

Warren Macy-Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Edward Updoch *~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and~~ all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in court is remanded to the custody of the Sheriff, who will take and

*~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of three month *from* this date *and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3072

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Harry Brown

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 3072 2-10-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Harry Brown pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and ~~a jury~~, ~~to wit~~: The Court and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, ~~who, having~~ heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having ~~been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to wit:~~

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 3107

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
O. L. Rogers

CHARGE: Wife Desertion
No. 3107 2-3-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, O. L. Rogers pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: D. E. Lowery and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

D. E Lowry Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, O. L. Rogers, nothing
the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

..... TERM. ..... A. D. 19.....

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Grady Bouchillon

CHARGE: Theft
No. 2899 1-12-23

NUMBER 2899

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Grady Bouchillon pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: G. E. Hooper and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty

G. E. Hooper Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, .....
the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Robert McDaniel

CHARGE: Aggravated assault
No. 2894 1-13-23

NUMBER .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Robert pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: M. L. McCain and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty

M. L. McCain - Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, .....
the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 2870

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Fred B Otto

CHARGE: Assault
No. 2870 1-5-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Fred B. Otto *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L. O. Sidney *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

L. O. Sidney - Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Fred B. Otto
~~*the said fine of*~~ nothing ~~*Dollars and all costs of*~~ *this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ira Wood

CHARGE: Aggravated assault
No. 2923 1-22-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ira Wood *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Jurymen *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* .....*read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* nothing *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs Mattie L. Rogers

CHARGE: Disturbing Sunday School
No. 2995 1-24-23

NUMBER 2995

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs Mattie L. Rogers *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* P. R. Rodgers *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

P. R. Rodgers.
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mrs Mattie L. Rogers. nothing
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dorthy Jackson

CHARGE: Theft
No. 3019 1-25-23

NUMBER 3019

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Dorthy Jackson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Fred Pyle *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

Fred Pyle Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3034

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Clyde Daniel

CHARGE: Aggravated assault
No. 3034 2-7-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Clyd Daniel *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. W. Winningw *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

A. W. Winningw Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* nothing *the said fine of* $ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 3031

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bob Thomas

CHARGE: Theft
No. 3031 2-8-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bob Thomas *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* G B Cosnell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19_____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Arthur Eggleston

CHARGE: A. & F.
No. 2987 2-21-23

NUMBER 2987

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Arthur Eggleston *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* T. L Barker *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty -

T. L Barker Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Estell Tally

CHARGE: A & F.
No. 2988

NUMBER 2988

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Estell Tally *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. W. Cobb *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

J. W. Cobb = Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 2969

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Luthrel Robinson

CHARGE: Aggravated assault
No. 2969 1-9-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

It appearing to the court that this defendant is under the age of eighteen years, this cause is hereby ordered transferred to the County Court

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, ~~that the State of Texas do have and recover of~~ the Defendant,* Luthrel Robinson, *is remanded to the custody of ~~the said fine of~~* the County Juvenile Officer *~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~*

P. W. Seward - Judge

*~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~* .....
*~~from~~* ..... *~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~*

NUMBER 3085

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Alexander Adams

CHARGE: Theft
No. 3085 2-14-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

It appearing to the court that this defendant is but sixteen years of age, this cause is hereby transferred to the county court, and this defendant is hereby remanded to the custody of the County Juvenile Offir

P. W. Seward Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
G. W. Harwell

CHARGE: Selling adulterated Meat
No. 2976 1-15-23

NUMBER 2976

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, G. W. Harwell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and ~~a jury, to wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to wit:~~

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ and filed his motion to quash the information, and the court after a careful examination of the complaint is of the opinion that said information should be and the same is hereby quashed

P. W. Seward Judge

~~IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Riley Wilson

CHARGE: Selling adulterated Meat
No. 2977 1-15-23

NUMBER 2977

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, by his Attorney pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and ~~a jury, to wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to wit:~~

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ filed his motion requesting the Court to quash the Information, and the court after being fully advised, and after a careful examination of the complaint is of the opinion that said Information should be and the same is hereby quashed.

P. W. Seward. Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 2978

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
T. W. Schroder

CHARGE: Selling adulterated meat
No. 2978
1-15-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, By his attorney pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and ~~a jury, to-wit:~~ ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the~~ read ~~and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ filed a motion requesting the Court to quash the information and the court, after being fully advised and after a careful examination of the complaint is of the opinion that said information should be and the same is hereby quashed" O. H. Seward Judge

~~IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

NUMBER 3072

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Harry Brown

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 3072
2-10-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, By his attorney pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and ~~a jury, to-wit:~~ ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the~~ read ~~and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, ~~that the State of Texas do have and recover of~~ the Defendant, Harry Brown is not guilty ~~the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

O. H. Seward - Judge

~~and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ella Mae Rutherford

CHARGE: Dist orderly House & Bawdy House
No. 3059

NUMBER 3059

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, in person & By her attorney pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and ~~a jury,~~ to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read ~~and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ And the court after hearing the evidence is of the opinion that the Defendant is not guilty of said charge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, ~~that the State of Texas do have and recover of~~ the Defendant, is not guilty the said fine of ..... ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

G. W. Seward Judge

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. D. Clark

CHARGE: Pistol 2-13-23
No. 3111

NUMBER 3111

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, in Person pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and ~~a jury,~~ to-wit: ..... ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the~~ ..... ~~read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ And the court after hearing the evidence is of the opinion that the said Defendant is not guilty of said charge.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, ~~that the State of Texas do have and recover of~~ the Defendant, J. D. Clark is not guilty ~~the said fine of~~ ..... ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

G. W. Seward - Judge

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ .....
~~from~~ ..... ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3117

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dillman Giddens

CHARGE: Theft
No. 3117
2-19-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dillman Giddens pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Foreman and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information, and assess his punishment at six months in the county Jail

— — Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 3091

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
F. J. Knobles Jr

CHARGE: Indictment. a, a-
No. 3091
2-5-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, F. J. Knobles Jr pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Indictment O. G. Sears and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Indictment. and assess his punishment at a fine of fifteen Dollars

O. G. Sears. Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, F. J. Knobles
the said fine of Fifteen Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Filed March 3rd 1923

3-3-23 Read. Offrord Haynes. March 3rd 1923

W. R. Seward Judge

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it Remembered that on Monday March the 5th A.D. 1923, the same being the 5th day of March a.D. 1923. there came on and was held a regular term of the county Court at Law, of Tarrant County Texas, at the Court House of said County in the City of Fort Worth Texas, Present & Presiding, The Hon C.W. Seward, Judge, R.K. Stenger, District attorney, Earl Smith Sheriff & Ed Loomis, Clerk. When the following proceedings were had, towit

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A.P. Moore

CHARGE: Aggravated assault
No. 3232 3-21-23

NUMBER 3232

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A.P. Moore *pleaded ~~"NOT~~ GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L.C. Cunningham *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Charge *read and the Defendant's plea of ~~Not~~ Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five dollars. together with all cost accrued in this case.

L.C. Cunningham
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* A.P. Moore
*the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Will be confined in the Tarrant County Jail unless said fine and costs are paid, and the Sheriff will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mary Brown

CHARGE: Abusive Language and Disturbing the Peace
No. 1708

NUMBER 1708

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mary Brown *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C.G. Miller *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged and asses her punishment at a fine of ten dollars

C.G. Miller
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mary Brown
*the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Court is hereby remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County*
*from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3189

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
N. M. Sandlin

CHARGE: Swindling
No. 3189 3-5-1928

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* N. M. Sandlin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* _____ *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at two years in the Tarrant County Jail.

Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* N. M. Sandlin will pay *~~the said fine of~~* _____ *~~Dollars and~~ all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Court is here by remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 3244

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ardie Lamb

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 3244 # 3/26/1923

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ardie Lamb *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Geo McQueary *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and Assess his punishment at Confinement in the Tarrant County Jail for a period Thirty days

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Ardie Lamb Will pay *~~the said fine of~~* _____ *~~Dollars and~~ all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Court is here by remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff. who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19_____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bob Brazil

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 2141 8-20-23

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bob Brazil *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and ~~a jury, to-wit:~~ ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the~~ read ~~and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which~~ was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"~~WE, THE JURY~~, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

P. W. Seward
Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A Landman

CHARGE: Theft
No. 3210 4-17-23

NUMBER 3210

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A. Landman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. B. Thompson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars + one day in jail

J. B. Thompson
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* A. Landman
*the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in court is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3292

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sam Nolley

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 3292 4-12-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Sam Nolley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of two hundred dollars

Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Sam Nolley the said fine of Two Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in court is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff. who will take and

and confine him in the jail of this County from now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 3369

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Johnnie Williams

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 3369 4-27-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Johnnie Williams pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. S. Ray and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at $100.00 fine

J. S. Ray Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Johnnie Williams the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Court is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff. who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County from now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Oscar Berry

CHARGE: Theft
No. 3394 4-24-23

NUMBER 3394

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Oscar Berry *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Jas B Coolidge *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at six months confinement in the Tarrant county Jail

Jas B Coolidge
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Oscar Berry pay ~~the said fine of~~ ..... ~~Dollars and~~ all costs of this *prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant* being present in court is hereby remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* now *and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
G N Cale

CHARGE: Swindling
No. 3239 4-25-23

NUMBER 3239

*THIS DAY ~~THIS~~ CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* G N Cale *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A M Kline *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

A M Kline
Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3291

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
M. J. Murby

CHARGE: Aggravated assault
No. 3291 4-19-23
"Instructed"

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* M. J. Murby *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Ernest Gayle *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

Ernest Gayle
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
R. L. Hughes

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 3260 3-27-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* R. L. Hughes *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

O. M. Seward
Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ruby White

CHARGE: Theft
No. 3140 2-14-23

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ruby White pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

L. N. Everidge
Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mattie Kimmard

CHARGE: Aggravated assault
No. 3230 2-22-23

NUMBER 3230

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mattie Kimmard pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. C. Drinkard and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

A. C. Drinkard
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3222

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
D W. Moseley

CHARGE: Theft
No. 3222 3-21-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* D W. Moseley *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

P W Seward
Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 3087

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Riley Cash

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 3087 3-19-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Riley Cash *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* P M. G. Hollis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty"

M. G. Hollis
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

..... TERM. ..... A. D. 19.....

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
William Bridges

CHARGE: Adulterated Meat
No. 3121 8-22-23

NUMBER 3121

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, William Bridges pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A B Russell and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

A B Russell
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....
the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs C B Murphy

CHARGE: assisting inmates of Juvenile training school to Escape — D 3/9/23
No. 2483

NUMBER 2483

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs C B Murphy pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: G. J. King and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty.

G. J. King
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....
the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 2926

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
S. S. Summers

CHARGE: Exceeding Speed Limit
No. 2926 3-31-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, S. S. Summers pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: " " and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

O. N. Isaacs
Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 3218

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. F. Norman

CHARGE: Embezzlement
No. 3218 3-13-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. F. Norman pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. D. Harris and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

J. D. Harris
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

..... TERM. ..... A. D. 19.....

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jas Bell

CHARGE: Theft
No. 2994 1-28-23

NUMBER 2994

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jas Bell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

D. W. Seward
Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Pete Thomas

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 3105 3-27-23

NUMBER 3105

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Pete Thomas pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. P. Vernon and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

J. P. Vernon
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 226

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E. B. Harris

CHARGE: Theft
No 2261 4-16-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, E. B. Harris pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

D. W. Seward Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 2226

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charles Glenn

CHARGE: Violating gas law
No 2226 Indictment
4-13-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Charles Glenn pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Indictment herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

D. W. Seward
Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19_____

**JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Macy Arnold

CHARGE: Burglary
No. 3277
4-10-23

NUMBER 3277

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Macy Arnold *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. F. Howard *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

C. F. Howard
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Walter Belton

CHARGE: Theft
No. 3366
4-22-23

NUMBER 3366

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Walter Belton *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Nick Jones *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty"

Nick Jones. Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3278

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E.O. Ming

CHARGE: Aggravated assault
No. 3278 4-18-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, E.O. Ming pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J.N. Moon and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at One Hundred dollars fine and 90 days in the Tarrant County jail

J.N. Moon, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, E.O. Ming the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant E.O. Ming being present in open court is hereby remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff, who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County from now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and said jail sentenance duly served.

NUMBER 3476

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Maudie Gaither

CHARGE: Aggravated assault
No. 3476 5-3-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Maudie Gaither pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W.C. Bilderback and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and on his plea of Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars.

W.C. Bilderback
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Maudie Gaither the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
R C. Lee

CHARGE: Practicing Medicine without Lic
No. 2356 5-4-23

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, R C Lee pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Fred C Duncan and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty

Fred C Duncan
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Alma Payne

CHARGE: Theft
No 2285 5-2-23

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Alma Payne pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Ed Wilder and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

Ed Wilder Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3022

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Norman Smith

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 3022 5-1-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Norman Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J G Goodman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred dollars

J.G. Goodman
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Norman Smith *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Read Approved & Signed
May 5- 1923. (5-9-23)
P.H. Seward Judge

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
.....

CHARGE: .....
No. .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

May TERM. May 7th A. D. 1923

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered that on monday may 7th a.d. 1923 the same being monday may 7th 1923 this cause came on and was held a regular term of the county court at Law of Tarrent county Texas, at the court house in the city of Fort Worth Texas. there being present and presiding. the Hon P.W. Seward. Judge, D.K. Hodges. Criminal Dist attorney, Ed L. Sorrels. Clerk, and Carl Smith. Sheriff. when the following proceedings were had. to wit =

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Forney Hawkins

CHARGE: Wife desertion

No. 2979 5-9-1923

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Forney Hawkins pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E.M. Anderson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Seventy Five Dollars & Sixty days confinement in the Tarrant County Jail signed E.M. Anderson Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Forney Hawkins the said fine of Seventy five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is hereby remanded to the Jail Custody of the Sheriff. who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and said jail sentence now duly served

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. C.A. Cooper

CHARGE: Possessing adulterated Food f

No. 3509 5-24-23

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, C.A. Cooper pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Chas Brown and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. signed Chas Brown. Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, C.A. Cooper the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant is now remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3364

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
R. A. McArthur

CHARGE: Aggravated assault
No. 3364 5-17-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, R. A. McArthur pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R. A. Pemberton and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty.

Signed R. A. Pemberton. Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,..... the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County..... from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 3365

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
James Bartlett

CHARGE: Wife Desertion
No. 3365 5-15-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, James Bartlett pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. George and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty".

Signed J. M. George. Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,..... the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County..... from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charles Sankary

CHARGE: unlawfully employing a female
No. 3427 5-7-23

NUMBER 3427

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Chas Sankary pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S.S. Cromer and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty:

S.S. Cromer. Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,

the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County

from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Hardie Parker

CHARGE: Wife & Child desertion
No. 3404 5-11-23

NUMBER 3404

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Hardie Parker pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Chary herein, and a jury, to-wit: A.F. Brooks and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

Signed A.F. Brooks Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,

the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County

from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3403

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
George W Hardin

CHARGE: Wife & Child Desertion
No. 3403 5-17-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, George W Hardin pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J W T Merritt and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

Signed J W Merritt Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....
the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 3329

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. G. Bain

CHARGE: Violating Gas Law
No. 3329 5-10-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W. G. Bain pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. M. Anderson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

E. M. Anderson Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....
the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. W. Stroop

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 3469 5-25-23

NUMBER 3469

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. W. Stroop pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. Ward and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

J. M. Ward Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs
Bernice Jenkins

CHARGE: Vagrancy
No. 3318 5-23-23

NUMBER 3318

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bernice Jenkins pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Jones and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty -

D. W. Seward Judge

Instructed Verdict.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3516

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. A. L. Stateson

CHARGE: Arrest No. 3516 5-23-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A. L. Stateson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Foreman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty -

Instructed Verdict

P. M. Lucas Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 3305

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. T. H. Whaley

CHARGE: Swindling No. 3305 6-14-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* T. H. Whaley *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* O. E. Wade *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. & confinement in the Tarrant county Jail for a period of five days.

signed O. E. Wade Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* T. H. Whaley *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* is now remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take & confine

~~and confine~~ *him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* This date *and until said Jail sentence is fully satisfied.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Chester Dennis

No. 3352 CHARGE: Pistol 5-23-23

NUMBER 3352

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Chester Dennis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Geo B Gay *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at thirty days in the Tarrant county jail. signed Geo B Gay Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Chester Dennis
~~*the said fine of*~~ ~~*Dollars and*~~ *all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such* ~~*fine and*~~ *costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff. who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* This date *and until such* ~~*fine and*~~ *costs are fully paid.*
and said Jail sentinance fully satisfied

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. B. Walker

No 3389 CHARGE: Rape Assertion 6-8-1923

NUMBER 3389

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J B Walker *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Ed R Henry *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at thirty days confinement in the Tarrant county Jail ~~Fine~~ signed Ed R Henry Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J. B. Walker
~~*the said fine of*~~ ~~*Dollars and*~~ *all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such* ~~*fine and*~~ *costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff. who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* This date *and until such* ~~*fine and*~~ *costs are fully paid.*
and said jail sentinence duly satisfied

NUMBER 2453

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs E. P. Stevens

CHARGE: Abusive Language over Telephone.
No. 2453
6-13-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs E. P. Stevens *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Foreman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty"

Instructed Verdict.

O. W. Linard Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 3475

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mildred Hunt

CHARGE: Adultery & Fornication
No. 3475
5-25-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mildred Hunt *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. A. Buckley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the Information And assess her punishment at a fine of one Hundred Dollars. signed W. A. Buckley. Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mildred Hunt
*the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take her

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs J. B. Fuller
alias Bessie Bishop

CHARGE: Swindling
No. 3500 5-30-23

NUMBER 3500

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs J. B. Fuller pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: O. H. Heiss and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess her punishment at Thirty days in the Tarrant County Jail and a fine of Two Hundred and Twenty five Dollas.

Signed H. Heiss. Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Mrs J. B Fuller the said fine of Two Hundred & Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is hereby remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff who will take and

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County
from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.
and said jail sentenance duly served.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Fell Pickett

CHARGE: Theft
No. 3533 6-5-23

NUMBER 3533

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Fell Pickett pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Ira Sandedge and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Ten days confinement in the Tarrant County Jail.

Ira Sandedge. Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Fell Pickett
~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and all~~ costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant and being present in open Court is hereby remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County
from This date and said jail sentinonce fully satisfied

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3532

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. G. Starr

CHARGE: Theft
No. 3532 6-7-1923

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. G. Starr pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. Dyer and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

J. M. Dyer Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read. Approved and Signed. 6/30/23

Attest. E. L. Lewis County Clerk
By M. Watson Deputy

W. W. Seward Judge
Co. Ct. at Law

NUMBER 3527

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Farrar

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 3527 6-20-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE ~~WAS~~ CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Farrar pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S. S. Davison and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of fifty dollars and thirty days in the Tarrant county jail.

Signed S. S. Davison
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, John Farrar the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Court is hereby remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff until he can take

and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and said jail sentence and satisfied

W. W. Seward Judge

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it Remembered that on Monday July 2nd 1923, there was held a regular Term of The County Court at Law, in the city of Fort Worth at the Court House, thus being present and presiding The Hon C.T. Seward Judge, B.K. Hanger Criminal district attorney, Ed L Sorrels Clerk and Earl Smith Sheriff, When the following proceedings among other things were had & Wit=

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A. Roddy

CHARGE: Conducting Plumbing Business Without State License

No. 3611

NUMBER 3611

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, A. Roddy pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W B Shannon and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

W B Shannon
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,..... the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County..... from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Thomas

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
7-12-1923

No. 3592

NUMBER 3592

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John Thomas pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charges herein, and a jury, to-wit: J L Holden and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information, and Assess his punishment at thirty days Confinement in the Tarrant County Jail.

J L Holden,
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, John Thomas all costs in this case.
~~the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~ and the Defendant John Thomas being present in open Court is here by Remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County from now and
~~from~~ and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.
and said jail sentence duly served

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3571

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Willis Williams

CHARGE: Theft
No. 3571 7-9-1923

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Willis Williams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. M. Balding *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at 30 days in the Tarrant County Jail

J. M. Balding
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Willis Williams, All Costs in this case

~~*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*~~

And the Defendant Willis Williams being present in open Court is hereby remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* now *and until such* ~~fine and~~ *costs are fully paid.*

And said jail sentence duly served.

NUMBER 3621

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C P McKnight

CHARGE: Over loading a Motor Vehicle
No. 3621 7-13-1923.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C P McKnight *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M. E. Linville *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

M. E. Linville
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Hurbert Huntley

CHARGE: G Left
No. 3587

NUMBER 3587

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Hubert Huntley *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* S. L. Gerlock *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at 60 days in the County Jail and twenty-five dollar fine.

S. L. Gerlock.
Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Hubert Huntley *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Hubert Huntley being present in open Court is hereby remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

And said jail sentence duly served.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Allen Clark

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 3681
7-18-23

NUMBER 3681

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Allen Clark, *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C. R. Stigall *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at one month in the Tarant County Jail.

C. R. Stigall
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Allen Clark, All Costs in this Case ~~*the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs*~~, *and the said Defendant* Allen Clark being present in open Court is hereby remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

And said jail sentence duly served

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3652.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Andy Hamlin.

CHARGE: Theft
No. 3652
7-16-23.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Andy Hamlin *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* The Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who~~, *having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having* ~~been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which~~ *was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"~~WE, THE JURY,~~ *Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, By The Court

O W Seward J

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 3832

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
D. J. Parramore

CHARGE: Carrying a Pistol
No. 3832

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* D. J. Parramore *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* The Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,~~ *who, having heard the* Charge *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having* ~~been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict~~, *and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received* ~~by the Court~~, *and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"~~WE, THE JURY,~~ *Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, By The Court

O W Seward Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Read approved & signed in open Court This 31st of Aug 1923
Attest-Ed L Lewis. Clerk
By O W Watson. Deputy
O W Seward, Judge
Co Ct. Ark Pass Co.

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be It remembered that on monday the third day of September A.D. 1923, there was begun and holden a Regular Term of the County Court at Law of Tarrant County Texas at the Court house of said county in the City of Fort Worth Present and presiding The Hon B.W. Seward, Judge, R.T. Hanger District attorney, Ed L. Sowell Clerk and Carl Smith Sheriff When the following proceedings among other things were had to-wit;

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
G.A. Scurr

CHARGE: Aggravated assault with deadley weapon
No. 3704
9-4-23

NUMBER 3704

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, G.A. Scurr pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E.B. Speller and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of One hundred dollars ($100.00)

Signed
E.B. Speller, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, G.A. Scurr the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is hereby remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff, who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County from now from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J.L. Brown

CHARGE: Wife and Child desertion
No. 2661
9-6-23

NUMBER 2661

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J.L. Brown pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Chas F. Babb and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Six months in the Tarrant County Jail

Signed
Chas F. Babb
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J.L. Brown the said ~~fine of~~ Jail Sentence ~~Dollars~~ and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take and confine

~~and confine~~ him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.
and Jail Sentence only served

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3827

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Pedro Gonzales

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 3827 9-12-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Pedro Gonzales *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ~~Defendant~~ *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty.

P. M. Seward

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 3689

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ira Patterson

CHARGE: Theft of Auto Parts
No. 3689 9-27-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ira Patterson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

D ~~~ Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

..... TERM. ..... A. D. 19.....

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ross Anderson

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 3850
9-24-23

NUMBER 3850

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ross Anderson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. H. Johnston and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at one Hundred Dollars fine

signed J. H. Johnston, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ross Anderson the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County This date from noon and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mary Beasley

CHARGE: Abusive Language
No. 3870 Disturbing Peace
9-27-23

NUMBER 3870

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mary Beasley pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Geo. Cain and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

O. L. Seward
Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3877

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W. A. Zimmerman

CHARGE: Swindling

No. 3877 10-3-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. A. Zimmerman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. Instructed *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty.

D. M. Seward Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 3927

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W. T. Hallett

CHARGE: Theft

No. 3927 10-15-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W. T. Hallett *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D. B. Daniels *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty.

D. B. Daniels Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Freder Phillips

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 3928 10-23-23

NUMBER 3928

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Freder Phillips *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. P. Houston *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of one Hundred Dollars

H. P. Houston, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Freder Phillips *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in court is hereby remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County* this date and

~~from~~ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. A. Zimmerman

CHARGE: Swindling
No. 3931 10-15-23

NUMBER 3931

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. A. Zimmerman *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H. P. McDill *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

H. P. McDill

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J. A. Zimmerman, Nothing *~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3944

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mary Bell

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 3944 10-19-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mary Bell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. E. Coleman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

W. E. Coleman
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mary Bell
*the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 3642

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
G. L. Morgan

CHARGE: Holding State Tick Law.
No. 3642 9-21-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* G. L. Morgan *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. W. Darnell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars ($25.00)

J. W. Darnell
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* G. L. Morgan
*the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
~~*from*~~ ~~*and*~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Copeland Rust

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 3854 9-21-23

NUMBER 3854

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Copeland Rust *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* D G Murchison *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

D G Murchison Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Tony Cook

CHARGE: Adultery & Fornication
No. 3936 10-30-23

NUMBER 3936

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Tony Cook *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J C J House *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars

C J House - Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Tony Cook
*the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is hereby remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3958

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A Eldridge

CHARGE: Operating a Pool Hall
No. 3958
10-25-28

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A Eldridge *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. T. Hauseman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and Assess his punishment at a fine of one Hundred Dollars

J. T. Hauseman
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* A. Eldridge *the said fine of* one Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take and

~~and~~ *confine him in the jail of this County* ..... ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

Attest
Ed L Farris. Clk

Read approved & Signed
11-3-28
D. V. Seward Judge C. Ct. at Law.

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
.....

CHARGE: .....
No. .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it Remembered That on the 5th day of November 1923. there came on and was holden a regular term of the 4th on County Court at Law of Tarrant County Texas at the Court house in the City of Fort Worth, the Hon P. W. Seward Judge Presiding, R. H. Hanger Criminal District attorney, Earl Smith Sheriff, and Ed L Loucks Clerk, When the following Proceedings were had

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
M C Gregory

CHARGE: Indecent exposure
No. 2753
1-30-23

NUMBER 2753

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, M C Gregory pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty"

P W Seward Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bob Slaughter

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 4130
12-14-23

NUMBER 4130

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bob Slaughter pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty"

By the Court P. W. Seward Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 4094

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
B. A. Brown

CHARGE: Theft & Embezzlement
No. 4094
12-10-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* B. A. Brown *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* John R Clay *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment a fine of two Hundred Dollars and two years in the Tarrant County jail

Signed John R. Clay Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* B. A. Brown *the said fine of* Two Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is hereby remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County* from now *~~from~~* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and until said Jail sentence is fully satisfied

NUMBER 4086

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Clayton Parker

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4086
12-13-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Clayton Parker *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. S. Estell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Five days in the Tarrant County jail and a fine of Five dollars.

J. S. Estell Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Clayton Parker *the said fine of* Fine *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* is remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Loyd Muse

CHARGE: Wife & Child desertion
No. 4082
12-12-23

NUMBER 4082

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Loyd Muse *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J C Runion *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at one year in the Tarrant County jail and Five Hundred dollars fine

J. C. Runion, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Loyd Muse
*the said fine of* Five Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is hereby placed in the Custody of the Sheriff who will take and

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mary Campbell

CHARGE: Aggravated assault
No. 4015
12-14-23

NUMBER 4015

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mary Campbell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W M Atkins *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars

W. M Atkins Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mary Campbell
*the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 4011

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Clarence Haygh

CHARGE: Swindling
No. 4011
12-13-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Clarence Haygh pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: R F Hardee and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

J D

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 4059

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
M Gaunghlood

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 4059 11-30-23

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, M Gaunghlood pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: M B Brinks and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

J D M B Brinks

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19_____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J S Moore

CHARGE: Theft - Turkeys
No. 4045
11-27-23

NUMBER 4045

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J S Moore *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* _____ *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of fifty dollars and six months in the Parent County Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J S Moore
*the said fine of* Fifty - *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will take and

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Rebecca Smith

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4012
11-28-23

NUMBER 4012

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Rebecca Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. N. McIntosh *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess her punishment at ten days in the Parent County Jail and a fine of Twenty Five Dollars

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Rebecca Smith
*the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* is remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 2955

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Macy Arnold

CHARGE: Bawdy House
No. 2955
11-24-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Macy Arnold *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. Judge *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

P. M. Seward Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 2945

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mary Bell

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 2945
11-24-23

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mary Bell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the.....herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. Judge *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

P. M. Seward Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs Etta Garner

CHARGE: Bawdy House
No 3930
11-24-23

NUMBER 3930

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs Etta Garner pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Dy Judge and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

P M Edwards Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....
the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Nora Jelinsky

CHARGE: Bawdy House
No. 3918
11-24-23

NUMBER 3918

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Nora Jelinsky pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Dy Judge and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

P M Edwards Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....
the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

Attest: E L Sowell
County Clerk
W W Watson Deputy

Read Approved & Signed
P M Edwards Jr.
Judge

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

January TERM. January A. D. 1924

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembred that on Monday January A.D 1924 the same being the first monday in Jannuy, 1924 there came on and was holden a regular term of the County Court at Law of Tarrant County, at the Court house in said county in the City of Fort Worth Texas there being present & Presiding the Hon C.H. Linard Judge, D.K. Sanger District attorney, Ed L. Lands, Clerk and Carl Smith Sheriff. when the following proceedings among other things were had, to-wit:

NUMBER 4220

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W.H. Simpson

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4220 1-22-24

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W.H. Simpson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Thomas L Brown *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty'

Thomas L Brown, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 4202

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Robert H. Hall

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4202 1-23-24

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Robert H. Hall *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* T.S. Heston *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as Charged in the Information and his punishment assessed at a fine of one dollar and one day in jail

T.S. Heston Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Robert H Hall *the said fine of* One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County* until said fine & costs *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Thomas Mack

CHARGE: Aggravated assault
No. 4186
1-22-24

NUMBER 4186

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Thomas Mack *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M G Humphreys *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

M G Humphreys foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. R. Gray

CHARGE: Swindling
No. 4236
2-6-24

NUMBER 4236

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J R Gray *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M. F. Kinney *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not Guilty "instructed by court upon application of Dist. atty. M F Kenney. foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 4227

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ross Anderson

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 4227 2-9-24

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ross Anderson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Lee Campbell *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty As Charged in the Information And assess his punishment at $100.00 fine and one month in the Tarrant County Jail.

Signed: Lee Campbell, Foreman.

P. N. Seward, Judge.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Ross Anderson *the said fine of* $100.00 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Court is hereby Remanded in the Custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 4183

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. H. C. Fraley

CHARGE: Swindling

No. 4183 2-18-24

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* H. C. Fraley *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Frank E. Thomas *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

"Frank E. Thomas" Foreman

P. N. Seward Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Matthew Carter

CHARGE: ABL & D.P.
No. 4255
2-19-24

NUMBER 4255

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Matthew Carter *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. L. Blumberg *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

Signed, R. L. Blumberg Foreman
C. A. Seward, Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
H. C. Draley

CHARGE: H. C. D.
No. 4291
2-19-24

NUMBER 4291

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* H. C. Draley *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. B. Cotter *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

Signed W. B. Cotter, Foreman
C. A. Seward, Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 4254

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Matthew Carter

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 4254

2-19-24.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Matthew Carter *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty.

P. W. Seward Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....

*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 4279

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. John Morrow

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4279

2-22-24

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* John Morrow *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. J. Dean *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at 10 Days in the Tarrant County Jail & costs.

Signed, J. J. Dean Foreman

~~P. W. Seward Judge~~

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* John Morrow

~~*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and*~~ *all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of* ~~*such fine and*~~ *costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff who will take him

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....

~~*from* ..... *and*~~ *until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

And sentence duly served.

..... TERM. ..... A. D. 19.....

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ralph Lindsey

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4282
2-22-24.

NUMBER 4282

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ralph Lindsey pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. J. Deans and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at 10 Days in the Tarrant County Jail & Costs.

Signed J. J. Deans, Foreman.
P. W. Seward, Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Ralph Lindsey ~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and~~ all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court, is remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff who will take him and confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid. and Jail Sentence duly served.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
~~Herbert Maxwell~~

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4838
3-31-24.

NUMBER ~~4838~~

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Herbert Maxwell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. B. Spruckle and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

W. B. Spruckle, Foreman
P. W. Seward, Judge

See Page 458,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant ..... and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

March TERM. March A. D. 1924

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered that on Monday March 3rd. A. D. 1924 the same being the first Monday in March 1924 there came on and was holden a regular term of the County Court at Law of Tarrant County at the Court House in said County in the City of Fort Worth, Texas, there being present and Presiding the Hon P. W. Seward Judge, R. K. Hanger Dist Atty: Ed L. Sorrels and Carl Smith, Sheriff. when the following Proceedings among other things were had to-wit:

NUMBER 4297

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Carroll Clemans

CHARGE: Pistol (C & P)

No. 4297 3-3-24

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Carroll Clemans *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ——— *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

L. A. Eneridge Special Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ——— *the said fine of* ——— *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ———
*from* ——— *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 4096

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. C. A. Powell

CHARGE: Theft & Emb.

No. 4096 3/6/24

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* C. A. Powell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. J. Bilderback *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty

Signed A. J. Bilderback Foreman

P. W. Seward Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ——— *the said fine of* ——— *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ———
*from* ——— *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mack Francis.

CHARGE:.....
No. 4266

NUMBER 4266

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mack Francis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. A. Gray *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not Guilty

Signed: J. A. Gray Foreman
P. W. Seward Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Joe Rhodes.

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4296
3-5-24

NUMBER 4296

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Joe Rhodes *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. V. Babcock *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not Guilty

J. V. Babcock Foreman.
P. W. Seward Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 4325

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Arthur Thornhill

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 4325

3-8-34

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Arthur Thornhill *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ~~~ *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

P. W. Seward
Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 4388

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Herbert Maxwell

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4388.

3-31-34

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Herbert Maxwell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. B. Spruckle *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* not guilty.

W. B. Spruckle
Foreman

P. W. Seward
Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
McCall Richardson

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4382
3-31-26.

NUMBER 4382

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, McCall Richardson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. L. Finley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

Signed: J. L. Finley, Foreman
P. W. Seward, Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....
the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Frank Kreller

CHARGE: A L & D P.
No. 4378
April 3- 1926.

NUMBER 4378

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Frank Kreller pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: P. G. Hallen and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not guilty

P. G. Hallen, Foreman
P. W. Seward, Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....
the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 4362

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mary Mc Bride

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4362

4-11-24

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mary Mc Bride pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: J W Billington and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess her punishment at a fine of $1.00 and seven days in jail.

Signed: J W Billington Foreman.

P. W. Seward. Judge.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Mary Mc Bride the said fine of one 1 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Open court is remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff who will take her

and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County from now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

and said sentence is duly satisfied.

NUMBER 4420

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Rosie Hardeman

CHARGE: Bawdy House

No. 4420

4-16-24

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Rosie Hardeman pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J E Ralph and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged

Act Feb 4/61

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs Marie Kunschik

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4440
4-21-24

NUMBER 4440

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs. Marie Kunschik pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ——— and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"~~WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ Jury Verdict on A.G. $1.00, 1 Day and costs.

P.W. Seward
Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ~~Cost~~ Mrs Marie Kunschik. the said fine of One Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take her and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County from now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

And Jail term served.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Rosie Hardeman

CHARGE: Bawdy & Disorderly House
No. 4430
4-16-24

NUMBER 4430

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Rosie Hardeman pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J.E. Ralph and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information
Signed J.E. Ralph Foreman

Defendant Sentenced to serve a period of 40 days in the Garrett county Jail, and pay a fine of 400.00
P.W. Seward, Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Rosie Hardeman ~~the said~~ a fine of Four Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant Rosie Hardeman being present in open court is hereby remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff who will take her and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County from this date ~~from~~ ——— and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

And said jail Sentinance duly served

May TERM. May A. D. 1924

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered that on Monday May 5th 1924. the same being the first Monday in May 1924, there came on and was holden a regular term of the County Court at Law, of Tarrant County at the Court House in said County in the City of Ft Worth, Texas; there being present and presiding the Hon. P.W. Seward Judge, R.K. Sanger, dist attn; Ed L Borrels, County Clerk and Earl Smith Sheriff; when the following proceedings among other things were had to wit:

NUMBER 4494

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jim Arriola

CHARGE: Violating Employment Agency Law.

No. 4494 5-5-24.

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Arriola *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* O.C. Hebb *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the affidavit for Information and Assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00

O.C. Hebb. Foreman.

P.W. Seward Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Jim Arriola

*the said fine of* fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Jim Arriola being present in open Court is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take him

*and confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* this Date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 4432

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W M Scott

CHARGE: A. A.

No. 4432 5-8-1924

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* W M Scott *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

C B Stetter Foreman.

P.W. Seward Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*

*the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mildred Spencer

CHARGE: Theft
No. 14445
5 - 8 - 24

NUMBER 14445

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mildred Spencer *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

P. W. Seward
Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mildred Spencer

CHARGE: Theft
No. 14446
5 - 8 - 24

NUMBER 14446

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mildred Spencer *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

P. W. Seward
Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* .....*Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* .....*and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 4447

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mildred Spencer

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4447
5 - 8 - 1924

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mildred Spencer *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

P. V. Seward
Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 4448

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mildred Spencer

CHARGE: .....
No. 4448

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mildred Spencer *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

P. V. Seward
Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mildred Spencer

CHARGE: Theft
No. 11184
5 — 8 — 1924

NUMBER 11484

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mildred Spencer pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impanelled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant not Guilty

D W Seward
Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, .....
the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

The above and foregoing minutes Read approved and signed in open Court this the 5th July 1924

D W Seward
County Court at Law Tarrant County, Texas

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~ .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Alex Ed Farris Clerk County Court ~~for this~~ at Law, Tarrant County Texas
By P. M. Watson

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
.....

CHARGE: .....
No. .....

NUMBER .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ..... pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant .....

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, .....
the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

September TERM. A. D. 1924

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it Remembered that on monday September the 1st A.D. 1924 the same came on and was holden a regular term of the Hon County Court at Law of Tarrant county Texas, at the court house thereof in the City of Fort Worth, there being present and presiding the Hon D W Seward Judge, R. T. Hanger Criminal District attorney, Carl Smith Sheriff and Ed S Sawtelle Clerk. When the following proceedings among other things were had to wit

NUMBER 4803

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Bessie Crittenden

CHARGE: Child desertion

No. 4803 9-15-24

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bessie Crittenden *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J E Glenn *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J E Glenn Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*

*the said fine of* Dollars *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 4759

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jack Henderson

CHARGE: Aggravated assault

No. 4759 9-18-24

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jack Henderson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. P. Bergmon *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J. P. Bergmon Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*

*the said fine of* Dollars *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mary Brown

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4647 9-19-24

NUMBER 4647

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mary Brown *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J T M Harding *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

D J T M Harding Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mary Brown

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4638 9-19-24

NUMBER 4638

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mary Brown *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Geo W Soaw *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty—

D Geo W Soaw. Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 4808

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lorine Beasley

CHARGE: a a

No. 4808 9-24-24

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lorine Beasley *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A J Caudle *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

A. J. Caudal Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 4705

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Monroe Pena

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4705 9-24-24

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Monroe Pena *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A Harley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at 30 days in the County Jail

A. Harley Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Monual Peva

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4705 9-24-24

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Monual Peva pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H Worley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment in the Tarrant county Jail for a period of 30 days H Worley Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Monual Peva ~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and all~~ costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant Monual Peva being present in open court is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.

And said sentence duly served

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ed Smith

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 4642 10-1-24

NUMBER 4642

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ed Smith pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, ~~and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~

Judgment of not Guilty— O.W. Seward Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and all~~ costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 3049

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Orline Knobles

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 3049 9-26-24

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Orline Knobles *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W L. Andrews *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of Three Hundred and fifty dollars

Signed W L. Andrews Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Orline Knobles
*the said fine of* Three Hundred and fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* and the said Defendant Orline Knobles being present in Open Court is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine* ~~him~~ her *in the jail of this County*
*from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

W. W. Seward Judge

NUMBER 4824

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jess Gray

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4824 10-7-24

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jess Gray *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* R. W. Bramlett *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

R W Bramlett foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. N. Henderson / Kerendon

CHARGE: Swind
No. 4817 10-17-24

NUMBER 4817

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. N. ~~Henderson~~ Kerendon *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Emory Peterson *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

Emory Peterson Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*

*the said fine of* Dollars *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. N. Henderson / Kerendon

CHARGE: Swind
No. 4816 10-17-1924

NUMBER 4816

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. N. Kerendon *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Felix Harrison *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at 30 days in the county Jail

Felix Harrison, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J. N. Kerendon

~~*the said fine of*~~ ~~*Dollars and*~~ *all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of* ~~*such fine and*~~ *costs, and the said Defendant* is here now remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will

~~*and*~~ *confine him in the jail of this County*

*from* and said Sentence served ~~*and*~~ *until such* ~~*fine and*~~ *costs are fully paid.*

**JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 4806

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs L M Adams

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4806

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs L. M. Adams *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. G. Wallace *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

E. G. Wallace Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 4564

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Raymond Acton

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4564 10-24-24

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Raymond Acton *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L M Mosley *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty of the second count as charged in the information and assess his punishment at ninety days in the Tarrant county Jail

L M Mosley Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Raymond Acton *~~the said fine of~~* ..... *~~Dollars and~~ all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant* Raymond Acton being present in open court is remanded to the custody of the Sheriff, who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County* for a period of ninety days *from* This date *and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.* And said Jail Sentence duly served

D Woodward Judge

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Overton Sullivan

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4682

NUMBER 4682

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Overton Sullivan *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A H Swofford *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty
A. H. Swofford Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lon McNary

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 4680

NUMBER 4680

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Lon McNary *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, ~~and a jury, to-wit:~~ ..... ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the~~ ..... ~~read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~*

*~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~* Judgment of Not Guilty by the Court. S. H. Swofford Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 4944

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jim Lowe

CHARGE: Aggravated assault

No. 4944

12-15-24

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Jim Lowe *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. O. Wood *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

E. O. Wood Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 4962

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Isidora Valencia

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4962

12-17-24

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Isidora Valencia *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. Morgan Davis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the Information and assess her punishment at $100 fine + 10 days in the Tarrant county Jail.

J. Morgan Davis Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Isidora Valencia *the said fine of* one *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County* from now *from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Carmen Valerino

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4963

NUMBER 4963

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Carmen Valerino *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. Morgan Davis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess her punishment at Twenty Dollars fine and Thirty Days Confinement in the Tarant County Jail

Signed J. Morgan Davis
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Carmen Valerino *the said fine of* Twenty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* is placed in custody of the sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* Now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Marie Morales

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4964

NUMBER 4964

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Marie Morales *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. Morgan Davis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the infonation and assess her punishment at Twenty Dollars fine and Thirty days Confinement in the Tarant County Jail

Signed J. Morgan Davis Foremen

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Marie Morales *the said fine of* Twenty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* is placed in custody of the Sheriff who will Take

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 4966

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Maria Louise Romerez

CHARGE: Theft
No. 4966
12-16-24

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Maria Louise Romerez *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E. O. Wood *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the infomation and assess her punishment at one day in Jail

Signed. E. O. Wood Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Maria Louise Romerez *the said ~~fine~~ of ..... ~~Dollars and all costs~~ of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from* now *and ~~until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~*

NUMBER 4967

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs. Bonnie Snider

CHARGE: D. Theft.
No. 4967
12-16-24

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs. Bonnie Snider *pleaded "~~NOT~~ GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. W. Turner *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty on her plea of guilty and assess penalty at Five days in Jail and Five Dollars fine Signed J. W. Turner Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mrs. Bonnie Snider *the said fine of* Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* is placed in the custody of the Sheriff. who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Talmage Rayney

CHARGE: Theft. & Emb.
No. 4672
11-7-24

NUMBER 4672

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Talmage Rayney *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. Thigpen *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

Signed J. Thigpen

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Tresa Cole

CHARGE: Bawdy House
No. 4831
11-12-24

NUMBER 4831

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Tresa Cole *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. L. Wilks *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the .....read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

W. L. Wilks Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....*
*the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County.....*
*from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 4903

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E. L. Gordon

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 4903
11-12-24

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* E. L. Gordon *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Judgment not guilty acct. Def. Tarling
P. H. Seward Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 4825

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Martin Hogg

CHARGE: S. A.
No. 4825 11-13-24
11-25-24

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Martin Hogg *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. J. Semmonis *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty

Signed W. J. Semmonis Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
T. B. Penny

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 4789
12-11-24

NUMBER 4789

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, T. B. Penny pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: B. J. Loe and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the infomation and assess his punishment at Twenty Five Dollars Fine Signed B. J. Loe Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, T. B. Penny the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant is placed in the custody of the Sheriff who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County from Now. and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
H. E. Daniels

CHARGE: Impersonating Officer
No. 4921
12-8-24

NUMBER 4921

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, H. E. Daniels pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Judgment of not guilty on plea of not guilty and after Evidence had been introduced P. W. Seward Judge.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, H. E. Daniels. Go hence with out day ~~the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~

~~and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

Read, approved and Signed, This the 3rd day January 1925—

P. W. Seward Judge

Attest Ed L Sorrels, Clerk, By A M Watson, Deputy

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be It remembered That on Monday January the 5th 1925 the same being the first monday in January a.D. 1925 there come on and was holden a regular term of the County Court at Law in and for Tarrant county Texas, at the Court House in the City of Fort Worth. Presid ing and presiding the Hon. P. W. Seward Judge, R. K. Hanger, District attorney, Ed L. Norris Clerk, and Earl Smith Sheriff. When the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

NUMBER 5007

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mrs Maude Curtis

CHARGE: Theft

No. 5005 1-14-25

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs Maude Curtis *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* E A Clardy *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess her punishment at one day in the Tarrant County Jail and a fine of one hundred dollars and all Costs.

Signed: E. A. Clardy Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mrs Maude Curtis *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, ~~and the said Defendant~~* and the defendant being present in open court is here and now remanded to the custody of the Sheriff. who will take

*and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County* from now *~~from~~ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

and said jail sentence duly satisfied

NUMBER 5066

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. E. Caldwell

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault

No. 5066 1-16-25

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J E Caldwell *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. L. Tucker *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and his punishment Assessed at a fine of twenty five dollars.

"Signed W. L. Tucker. Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J. E. Caldwell *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

and the Sheriff will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Raymond Acton

CHARGE: Removing Parts of an automobile — 1-16-25
No. 5002

NUMBER 5002

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Raymond Acton *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J W Upchurch *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess His punishment at confinement in the Tarrant County Jail for a period of Six months

J W Upchurch - Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Raymond Acton
~~*the said fine of*~~ ..... ~~*Dollars and*~~ *all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such* ~~*fine and*~~ *costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is here and now remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* now *and until such* ~~*fine and*~~ *costs are fully paid.*
and Jail Sentence duly satisfied

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Robert Harrison

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 4989 1-12-25

NUMBER 4989

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Robert Harrison *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A M Coleman *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment one year in the Tarrant County Jail - A. M. Coleman - Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Robert Harrison
~~*the said fine of*~~ ..... ~~*Dollars and*~~ *all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such* ~~*fine and*~~ *costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is hereby remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff, who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* now *and until such* ~~*fine and*~~ *costs are fully paid.*
and said Jail Sentence duly satisfied.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 4650

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Alice Hardge

CHARGE: Theft

No. 4650

1-16-25

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Alice Hardge pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J.W. Upchurch and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at one week in the Tarrant County Jail

J.W. Upchurch Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Alice Hardge ~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and~~ all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant Alice Hardge is here and now remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff who will take and ~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County from Now and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid. and said sentence duly served

NUMBER 5026

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Elmer Lier

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 5026

1-28-25

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Elmer Lier pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: D.W. Cross and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty—

D.W. Cross—Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Alfred Broward

CHARGE: Speeding
No. 4990
2-13-25

NUMBER 4990

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Alfred Broward pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H. N. Bradford and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in Complaint and assess his punishment at a fine of ten Dollars, H. N. Bradford Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Alfred Broward the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant is here & now placed in custody of the Sheriff

New Trial Granted 2-28-25

and confine him in the jail of this County from Now until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
.....

CHARGE: .....
No. .....

NUMBER .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ..... pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant .....

The Above Minutes Read, approved and signed this 28th day of February 1925.
Attest Ed L Sarrels.
Clerk County Court. By M Watson Deputy
S. H. Seward Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, .....
the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

March TERM. March & April A. D. 1925

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it Remembered That on monday March 2nd a D 1925 there came on and was holden a regular term of the county court at Law in and for Tarrant county Texas the at the Court house in the city of Fort worth. Present + presiding the Hon O.W. Seward Judge. D.K. Hanger. District attorney. Carl Smith Sheriff + Ed L Ward clerk. when the following proceedings among other thing were had to-wit

NUMBER 5048

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jesse L Morris

CHARGE: Swindling

No. 5048 3-20-1925

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jesse L Morris pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W S Berger and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at one year in the Tarrant county Jail.

signed W S Berger Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Jessie L Morris the ~~said fine of~~ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is herewith remanded to the Custody of the sheriff who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County from now ~~from~~ and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid. and said sentence fully satisfied

NUMBER 5118

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mrs G. O. White

CHARGE: Bawdy & Disorderly house

No. 5118 4-2-1925

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs G O White pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E L Ward and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty—

E L Ward. Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
T. A. Williams

CHARGE: Wife & child desertion
No. 5109 3-31-23-

NUMBER 5109

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, T. A. Williams pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. J. Ellis and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at imprisonment in the Tarrant County Jail for one year

R. J. Ellis - Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, T. A. Williams
~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and~~ all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant And the Defendant being present in open Court is remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take and confine him in the jail of this County from now and said sentence satisfied until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Winston Hands

CHARGE: A. A
No. 5106 3-30-23-

NUMBER 5106

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Winston Hands pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. D. Lone and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

A. D. Lone Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

**JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

NUMBER 5163

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Fred A Duffield

CHARGE: Removing Parts from Motor Vehicle
No. 5163
3-31-25

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Fred A Duffield pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L. Hogan and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Six months in the Tarrant County Jail

L. Hogan Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Fred A Duffield ~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and~~ all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of ~~such fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant being present in court is hereby remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff, who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County until said sentence is satisfied ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 5189

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Houston Lyles

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 5189
4-3-25

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Houston Lyles pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ~~Geo~~ Ed Winne and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Inf read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information, simple assault and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten dollars

Ed Winne

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Houston Lyles the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in court is remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Earl Edwards

CHARGE: Theft 3-18-25
No. 5161

NUMBER 5161

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Earl Edwards pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: H. S Berger and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

H. S Berger
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, _____ the said fine of _____ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County _____ from _____ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Albert Longuist

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 5128 3-2-25

NUMBER 5128

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Albert Longuist pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: _____ and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

P. W Seward Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, _____ the said fine of _____ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County _____ from _____ and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 5182

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Earline Smith

CHARGE: Theft
No. 5182 4-13-25

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Earline Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H L Howard *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty —

H L Howard
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 5183

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Earline Smith

CHARGE: Theft
No. 5182 4-13-25

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Earline Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* H L Howard *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

H L Howard
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* ..... *the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Pablo Lopez

CHARGE: a a & a
No 5229 4-15-25

NUMBER 5229

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Pablo Lopez pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Ned Bigham and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guelty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00

Ned Bigham
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Pablo Lopez the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in court is remanded to the custody of the Sheriff, who will take and

~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County ~~from~~ ~~and~~ until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bob Lee

CHARGE: a a
No. 5238 4-17-25

NUMBER 5238

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Bob Lee pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Indictment herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. A. Leavy and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty -

E. A. Leavy -
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 5154

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John F. Waggoner

CHARGE: Swindling
No. 5154 3-2-25.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, John F. Waggoner pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and ~~a jury~~, to-wit: the Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,~~ who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~ Verdict of not Guilty.

O. H. Seward, Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,..... the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County..... from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 5137

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. H. Triplett

CHARGE: a. a.
No. 5137 3-5-25

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. H. Triplett pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and ~~a jury~~, to-wit: the Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,~~ who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

~~"WE, THE JURY~~, Find the Defendant not Guilty.

O. H. Seward, Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,..... the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County..... from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. M. Lybrand

CHARGE: a a
No. 5136 3-5-25

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J. M. Lybrand pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, ~~and a jury~~, to-wit: The Court and five others, ~~was duly selected, impaneled and sworn~~, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~

"~~WE, THE JURY,~~ Find the Defendant Not Guilty.

L. D. Edwards Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....
the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lillion Knight

CHARGE: Theft
No. 5103 4-28-25

NUMBER 5103

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lillion Knight pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L. D. Anderson and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty.

L. D. Anderson
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....
the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 5261

THE STATE OF TEXAS

vs.

E. J. Tucker

CHARGE: Theft

No. 5261 4-29-25

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, E. J. Tucker pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W. B. Hall and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty —

W. B. Hall Foreman,

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 5292

THE STATE OF TEXAS

vs.

George Sanders

CHARGE: Theft

No. 5292 4-27-25

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, George Sanders pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: E. A. Morris and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Ninety days in the Tarrant County ~~Court~~ Jail.

E. A. Morris Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ~~W. B. Hall~~ George Sanders ~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and~~ all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant being present in court is remanded to the custody of the Sheriff. who will take and said sentence satisfied

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... ~~from~~ ..... ~~and~~ until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Odis Walker

CHARGE: S. K.
No. 5293 4-29-25

NUMBER 5293

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Odis Walker pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: N. B. Hall and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty -

N. B. Hall
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Refugio Bravo

CHARGE: S. A. - a a -
No. 5280 4-30-25

NUMBER 5280

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Refugio Bravo pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. M. Self and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty of ~~the~~ Simple assault and assess her punishment at a fine of twenty five Dollars,

J. M. Self Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Refugio Bravo the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant and the defendant being present in open court is remanded to the custody of the Sheriff, who will take

~~and~~ confine her ~~him~~ in the jail of this County .....
from now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 5244

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Fred Hamilton

CHARGE: Contributing to the Delinquency of a Minor
No. 5244 4-30-25

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Fred Hamilton pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C. F. Harrington and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at six months in the Tarrant County Jail and $250.00 fine

C. F. Harrington
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Fred Hamilton the said fine of Two Hundred and fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County from Now and said Jail sentence duly satisfied and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

P. W. Seward Judge

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
.....

CHARGE: .....
No. .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ..... pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant .....

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, .....
the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

On this the 2nd day of May 1925 come on for examination and approval of the above minutes and the Court after Reading same and finding same correct does hereby in open court approve and sign same

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

P. W. Seward
Judge

Attest:
Ed L. Farris
Clerk County Court Tarrant County Texas
O. W. Watson
Deputy

Judge County Court at Law Tarrant County Tex

May TERM. County Court at Law A. D. 1925

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be It remembered that on Monday May the 4th A.D. 1925, the same being the First Monday in May A.D. 1925, there came on and was holden a regular term of the Hon County Court at Law in and for Tarrant county Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, there being present and presiding the Hon C. M. Seward Judge, C. S. Stenger Criminal Dist atty, Earl Smith Sheriff & Ed L. Steele, Clerk when the following proceedings were had to-wit:

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sam Chatmon

CHARGE: Theft
No. 5384
6-17-25

NUMBER 5384

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Sam Chatmon *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. E. Bullington *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

Signed- J. E. Bullington
Foreman-

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bryan Garrett

CHARGE: Adultery & Fornication
No. 5317
6-1-25

NUMBER 5317

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Bryan Garrett *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J. E. Bullington *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J. E. Bullington Foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 5345

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Chas Littlepage

CHARGE: Theft

No. 5345 6-3-1925

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Chas Littlepage *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J Dan Abbott *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of one Hundred Dollars

S. D. VanCleave Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Chas Littlepage *the said fine of* One Hundred *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is hereby remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 5362

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. James Richardson

CHARGE: Theft

No. 5362 6-2-25

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* James Richardson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* S Churchill *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at two years in the Tarrant County Jail

S. Churchill Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* James Richardson *the said ~~fine of~~* Jail Sentence of two years *~~Dollars~~ and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant* being present in Court is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take and

*~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* now *and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.*

and said Sentence duly served

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Iva Hutton

CHARGE: a a
No. 5318
5-19-25-

NUMBER 5318

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Iva Hutton *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Jno N Sparks *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and her punishment assessed at a fine of twenty Five Dollars

Jno N. Sparks Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Iva Hutton *the said fine of* Twenty Five *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take her *and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County* now *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ed Fatson

CHARGE: a, a,
No. 5304
5-22-25-

NUMBER 5304

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Ed Fatson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L.C. Tedtall *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars

L.C. Tedtall Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Ed Fatson *the said fine of* Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is remanded to the Custody of the sheriff who will take and *~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County* ..... *from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 5256

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J. T. Liddington

CHARGE: aggravated assault

No. 5256 5-22-25

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J. T. Liddington *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. D. Dilbeck *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine two Hundred & Fifty dollars W. D. Dilback Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J. T. Liddington *the said fine of* Two Hundred & Fifty *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in court is hereby remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

W. W. Seward Judge

NUMBER 5383

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Willis Galloway

CHARGE: Theft

No. 5383 6-15-25

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Willis Galloway *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* W. B. Miller *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at fifteen days in the Tarrant County Jail W. B. Miller Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Willis Galloway, the said Jail Sentence *~~the said fine~~ of* fifteen days in Jail *~~Dollars~~ and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant* being present in court is hereby remanded to the Custody of the sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County* *from* now *and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.*

And said Jail sentence duly satisfied

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mark Keith

CHARGE: aggravated assault
No. 5381
June 24th 1925

NUMBER 5381

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mark Keith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* C L Turner *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at two years Confinement in the Tarrant County Jail

C. L. Turner Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mark Keith *the said ~~fine of~~* Jail sentence of two years in Jail *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is hereby remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff who will take and

*~~and~~ confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* Now *and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid.*
and said sentence duly satisfied

P. W. Seward

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.

CHARGE:
No.

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant*

Also on the 4th day of July 1925 this Cause came on for Examination and Approval by the Court of the Above Minutes and the Court after Reading same and finding them Correct Does here in Open Court, Approve and Sign Same—

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

Attest: Ed Harris, Clerk
By P. W. Watson, Deputy

P. W. Seward
Judge

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered that on monday July 6th A. D. 1925 the same being the first monday in July 1925, there came on and was holden a regular term of the county court at Law #1, in and for Tarrant county, at the court house thereof in the city of Fort Worth, there being present and presiding the Hon. P. W. Seward Judge, Pat Hedgcoxe District attorney, Ed L. Loftis Clerk & Earl Smith Sheriff when the following among other things were had to-wit

NUMBER 5437

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. C. R. Kemp

CHARGE: A & T

No. 5437 7-7-25

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, C. R. Kemp pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A. D. Palmer and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of fifty dollars.

Signed A. D. Palmer Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, C. R. Kemp the said fine of fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is here and now remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County from Now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

P. W. Seward Judge

NUMBER 5465

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. L. B. Slingate

CHARGE: Offering adulterated Food for sale

No. 5465 7-21-25

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, L. B. Slingate pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and ~~a jury, to-wit:~~ The Court ~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn,~~ who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here~~ now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

~~"WE, THE JURY~~, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

The above & foregoing minutes read approved and signed this the 5th of Sept 1925.

P. W. Seward Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembed that on Monday Sept the 7th A D 1925 there come on and was Holden a Regular term of the county Court at Law #1— at the courthouse in the city of Fort Worth Tarrant County Texas. Present and presiding the Hon O.H. Seward Judge, R.K. Stenger district attorney, Ed L Farris clerk, and Earl Smith Sheriff when the following proceedings among other things were had to wit:

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Roy Mays

CHARGE: D W & D
No. 5484
9-16-25

NUMBER 5484

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Roy Mays pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J M F Mills and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Sixty days in the Tarrant County Jail

signed M F Mills Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Roy Mays, all costs of this ~~the said fine of~~ ..... ~~Dollars and all costs of this~~ prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant Roy Mays being present in open court is hereby remanded to the Custody of the sheriff, who will take and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from now and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid. and said jail sentence duly served -

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
G E Jackson

CHARGE: A A
No. 5735
10-9-25

NUMBER 5735

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, G E Jackson pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W S Grigsby and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty -

W S Grigsby Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 5699

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Calvin Coker

CHARGE: Hijo Execution
No. 5699 10-5-25-

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Calvin Coker by ~~himself~~ pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Information Steve Ewing and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty dollars

Steve Ewing

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Calvin Coker the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open Court is hereby remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff who will take and confine him in the jail of this County from now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 5504

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs Frank January

CHARGE: Simple assault
No. 5504 9-24-25-

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs Frank January pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Ben Lewis and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

Ben Lewis - Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

The above minutes read approved and signed in open court the 2nd day of Nov. 1925

Ed L Sorrels clerk

C. K. Sumpt
Judge

and confine him in the jail of this County ..... from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered that on monday November the 2nd A.D. 1925 there came on and was holden a regular term of the county Court at Law #1, of Tarrant county Texas, at the courthouse thereof in the city of Fort Worth there being present and presiding the Hon. D.W. Seward Judge, D.T. Hanger, District atty. Earl Smith Sheriff and Ed L. Farrell clerk, when the following proceedings among other things were had to wit:

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Don Curtis

CHARGE: A. A.
No. 5831 12-4-25

NUMBER 5831

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Don Curtis pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Indictment herein, and a jury, to-wit: N.M. McNulty and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant We the jury find the defendant guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess his punishment at $100.00 fine and 30 days in the Tarrant County Jail

N.M. McNulty Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Don Curtis the said fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is here and now remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff, who will take and confine him in the jail of this County from Now and until such fine and costs are fully paid. and said jail sentence duly satisfied

D.W. Seward, Judge

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lauri Evans

CHARGE: Swindling
No. 5802 11-2-25

NUMBER 5802

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Lauri Evans pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit Chas Schneider and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant We the jury find the defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Thirty days in the Tarrant County Jail.

Chas Schneider Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, the said jail sentence ~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars~~ and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is here and now remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff, who will take and confine him in the jail of this County from Now and until such ~~fine and~~ costs are fully paid. and said jail sentence duly served

D.W. Seward
Judge

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 5449

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
M. T. Keyl

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 5449 11-6-25

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, M. T. Keyl pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ..... read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant We the jury find the defendant Not Guilty.

Foreman

O. W. Seward Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 5754

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Earl Mahoney

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 5754 11-5-25

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Earl Mahoney pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the ..... herein, and a jury, to-wit: ..... and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant We the jury find the defendant Not Guilty

Foreman

O. W. Seward Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, ..... the said fine of ..... Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from ..... and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ellen McMullen

CHARGE: Contributing to delinquency of a Minor

No. 5773

NUMBER 5773

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Ellen McMullen pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: T. L. Stallcup and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant We the Jury find the Defendant Not Guilty.

T. L. Stallcup Foreman

D. W. Seward Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....
the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

The Above Minutes Read Approved & signed This the 2nd Day of January A.D. 1936

D. W. Seward Judge

~~and confine him in the jail of this County~~.....
~~from~~.....~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~

Attest: Ed S. Sanders County Clerk
By W. W. Watson Deputy

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
.....

CHARGE:.....

No.....

NUMBER .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ..... pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the.....herein, and a jury, to-wit:.....and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the .....read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant.....

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....
the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Jan TERM. January A. D. 1926

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembed that on Monday January the 4th 1926 there came on and was holden a regular term of the County Court at Law no 1, in and for Tarrant County Tx at the Courthouse thereof in the city of Fort Worth, there being present and presiding the Hon. P.W. Seward Judge D.A. Abernethy Dist Atty, Earl Smith Sheriff and Ed L Farrell Clerk, when the following proceedings were had towit

NUMBER 6227

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
N. Gordon Hargett

CHARGE: Producing Medicine Without Licence
No. 6227
3-10-26

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, N. Gordon Hargett pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J.P. Youngblood and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant We The Jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the ~~Information~~ and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00 and one hour in the Tarrant County Jail

W. J. Tinkhauser Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, N Gordon Hargett the said fine of Fifty Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is here and now remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take him and confine ~~him~~ in the jail of this County from now and until such fine and costs are fully paid. and said jail sentence duly satisfied

NUMBER 6008

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs
Evelyn Jones

CHARGE: Theft
No. 6008
1-13-26

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Evelyn Jones pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: C M. Shields and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of $1.00 and one Day in the Tarrant County Jail

C M. Shields
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Evelyn Jones the said fine of One Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is here and now remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take her and confine ~~him~~ in the jail of this County from no and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. C. Burrell

CHARGE: D C D
No. 6066 1-15-26

NUMBER 6066

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J C Burrell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: L Laughon and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

L Laughon Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,..... the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A B Teal

CHARGE: Swindl
No. 5961 1-5-26

NUMBER 5961

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, A B Teal pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Information and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read by L M Burford and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of One Dollar and one day in Jail.

L M Burford
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, A. B. Teal
the said fine of One Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is here & now remanded to the custody of the sheriff who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from Now .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 5966

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A B Neal

CHARGE: Found
No. 5966 1-5-26

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A B Neal *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L M Burford *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of 1.00 and one day in the Tarrant county Jail

L. M. Burford Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* A B Neal
*the said fine of* One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open court is hereby remanded to the custody of the sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER 5845

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Byron Neal

CHARGE: Found
No. 5845 1-5-26

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Byron Neal *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* L M Burford *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of one Dollar and one day in the Tarrant County Jail

L. M. Burford Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Byron Neal
*the said fine of* One *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in court is remanded to the custody of the sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
May Flowers

CHARGE: Theft 1-5-26
No. 5958

NUMBER 5958

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, May Flowers pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. H. Piper and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of one dollar & one day in jail

J. H. Piper Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, May Flowers the said fine of One Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in open court is here and now remanded to the custody of the sheriff who will take and

and confine him in the jail of this County
from now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
May Flowers

CHARGE: Theft 1-5-26
No. 5959

NUMBER 5959

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, May Flowers pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J. H. Piper and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of one dollar & one day in jail

J. H. Piper Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, May Flowers the said fine of one Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant present in open Court is here and now remanded to the Custody of the sheriff who will take and

and confine him in the jail of this County
from now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 5921 THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. S. W. Moon

CHARGE: Indictment

No. 5921 a a

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, S. W. Moon pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: Jas. A. Stiles and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Indictment read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

J. A. Stiles Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....
the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 5850 THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. E. C. Fleming

CHARGE: Pistol

No. 5850 2-8-96

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, E. C. Fleming pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

O. N. Seward Judge

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....
the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E. A. Varnell

CHARGE: Arson (Information)

No. 6177

NUMBER 6177

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, E A Varnell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W H Borders and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and one day in the Tarrant County Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, E A Varnell the said fine of Twenty Five and No/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Court is remanded to the custody of the Sheriff, who will take him

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from Now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

N D Gossett Judge

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W J Hennon

CHARGE: Wife & Child Desertion (Information)

No. 6200

NUMBER 6200

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, W J Hennon pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: M M Tarrick Jr and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00 and One Year in the Tarrant County Jail

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, W J Hennon the said fine of One Hundred and No/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant being present in Court, is remanded to the custody of the Sheriff, who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County .....
from Now and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

The above Minutes read, approved & signed in open Court
This 3rd day of April A.D. 1926
Attest: Ed L Shirley, Clerk
By Julian A. Gary
Deputy

D W Seward
Judge

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered that on Monday the 5th day of April A.D. 1926 there came on and was held a regular term of the Honorable County Court at Law #1, Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House of said County in Fort Worth; Present and presiding the Hon. P. W. Seward, Judge; R. K. Hagen, Criminal District Attorney; Cade Smith, Sheriff; Ed L. Sarles, Clerk; when the following proceedings, among others, were had, to-wit:

NUMBER 6285

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs. Clyde Bell

CHARGE: Violating Medical Practice Act
No. 6285
4-3-26

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mrs. Clyde Bell pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: ~~Information~~ A L Berlin and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the ~~Information~~ read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the information and assess her punishment at $100.00 fine and one hour in Tarrant County Jail.

A L Berlin
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Mrs Clyde Bell the said fine of One Hundred and no/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

Mrs Clyde Bell being present in open Court is remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff who will take her and confine ~~him~~ her in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 6366

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J O Collier

CHARGE: Assault
No. 6366
4/2/26

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, J O Collier pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: A L Berlin and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at 15 days in the Tarrant County jail

A L Berlin
Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, J O Collier the said fine of ~~Information~~ No/100 Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant J O Collier being present in open Court is remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff, who will take him and confine him in the jail of this County from this date and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19_____

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Annie Frances Jones

CHARGE: Theft
No. 6385 4/20/26

NUMBER 6385

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Annie Frances Jones *pleaded "~~NOT~~ GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J C Wilks *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the informatin and assess her punishment at 30 days in the Tarrant County jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Annie Frances Jones *the said fine of* No fine *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Annie Frances Jones being present in open Court, is remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County from* this date *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
M H Smith

CHARGE Assault
No. 5695 4-19-26

NUMBER 5695

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* M H Smith *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Information *herein, and a jury, ~~to-wit:~~* being waived by said Dft and the Court *~~and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who,~~ having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and* the Court *having heard the evidence submitted, ~~and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:~~*

*~~"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant~~*

And the Argument of Counsel, is of the opinion that the defendant is not guilty as charged in the Information herein

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that ~~the State of Texas do have and recover of~~ the Defendant,* M H Smith *~~the said fine of~~ ~~Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant~~* be released from said Charge

*~~and confine him in the jail of this County from~~ ~~and until such fine and costs are fully paid.~~*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 6102

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dewey J Jenkins

CHARGE: Theft
No. 6102
5-3-26

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Dewey J Jenkins pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Information herein, and a jury, to-wit: J G C Calloway and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty
J G C Calloway, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....
the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

NUMBER 6252

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
R M Walker

CHARGE: Theft
No. 6252
5-4-26

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, R M Walker pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Information herein, and a jury, to-wit: J R Smith and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not guilty. J R Smith, Foreman"

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,.....
the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County.....
from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM. A. D. 19

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Susie Adkinson

CHARGE: Steal
No. 6484
5/13/26

NUMBER 6484

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Susie Adkinson *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Information *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J H English *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, J H English, Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A L Crawford

CHARGE: Unlawfully using Motor Vehicle
No. 6485
5-13-26

NUMBER 6485

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* A L Crawford *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Information *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J D Wallace *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty, J D Wallace, Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* _____
*the said fine of* _____ *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 6367

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J P Collier

CHARGE: Swindling
No. 6367
4-30-26

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* J P Collier *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Information *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* M G Bein *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at One Dollar fine and one day in the Tarrant County Jail

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* J P Collier
*the said fine of* One Dollar *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* J P Collier being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff, who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
~~from~~ ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*
And Sentence Satisfied

NUMBER 6411

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Frank Samples

CHARGE: Pistol
No. 6411
5-6-26

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Frank Samples *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Information *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* John I Hawes *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at 60 days in the Tarrant County jail. John I Hawes, Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Frank Samples
*the said fine of* No/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* Frank Samples being present in open Court is placed in the custody of the Sheriff, who will take him

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
~~from~~ ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*
And Sentence Satisfied

_____ TERM. _____ A. D. 19_____

**JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.**

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
R W Carter

CHARGE: Theft
No. 6467
4-28-26

NUMBER 6467

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* R W Carter *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Information *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J W Harrison *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $ 75.00 and 60 days in the Tarrant County jail.

J W Harrison, Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* R W Carter
*the said fine of* Two Hundred Fifty & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* R W Carter, being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff, who will take him

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
~~from~~ _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*
And Sentence Satisfied

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dewey Jenkins

CHARGE: Removing part. from Motor Vehicle
No. 6513
5-19-26

NUMBER 6513

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Dewey Jenkins *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* J O Griffith *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty As Charged in the information And assess his punishment at a $ 100.00 fine.

J O Griffith, Foreman."

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Dewey Jenkins
*the said fine of* One & no/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is placed in the Custody of the Sheriff, who will take him

*and confine him in the jail of this County* _____
~~from~~ _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

The above Minutes read, approved & Signed in open Court, this the 5th day of June 1926

Attest: Ed L Sorrels Clerk
By Julian A. Lary
Deputy

D K Sullivan
Judge

May TERM. A. D. 1926

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered that on Monday the 3rd day of May A D 1926 there came on and was held a regular term of the County Court at Law #2 within and for the County of Tarrant at Fort Worth, Texas in the Court house thereof. Present and presiding: Hon H O Gossett, judge presiding; R K Nanger, Criminal District Attorney; Ed L Sarrels, Clerk C Carl Smith, Sheriff; When the following proceedings were had, to-wit;

NUMBER 6673

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E O Smith

CHARGE Removing parts from
No. 6673 Auto
May 25, 1926

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, E O Smith pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Information herein, and a jury, to-wit: W A Brown and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty"

W A Brown, Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,..... the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County..... from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

The Above Minutes read, approved & signed in open Court, this July 3, 1926

Attest: Ed L Sarrels, Clerk
By Julian A. Lacy
Deputy

H O Gossett
Judge

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
.....

CHARGE: .....
No. .....

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, ..... pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the.....herein, and a jury, to-wit:.....and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the .....read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant.....

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,..... the said fine of.....Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County..... from .....and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

June TERM. A. D. 1926

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered that on Monday the 7th day of June A.D. 1926 there came on and was held a regular term of the County Court at Law #1 of Tarrant County, Texas within and for the County of Tarrant at Ft Worth, Texas. Present and presiding: Hon D Weinhard, judge; J K Hanger, District Attorney; Ed L Lanes, clerk; Carl Smith, Sheriff; When the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Vernon D. Hinds

CHARGE: W & C D
No. 6618
6-11-26

NUMBER 6618

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Vernon D. Hinds *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Information *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* James I. Lattimore *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not guilty. James I. Lattimore, Foreman"

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,*
*the said fine of* Dollars *and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Albert Ragsdale

CHARGE: A A
No. 6659
6-8-26

NUMBER 6659

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Albert Ragsdale *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Information *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* James I. Lattimore *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* guilty and punishment assessed at a fine of $50.00 and 30 days in jail.
James I. Lattimore,
Foreman. "

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Albert Ragsdale
*the said fine of* Fifty & No/100 *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in open Court is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take him

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* Now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

August TERM. A. D. 1926

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered that on Monday the 2nd day of August A.D. 1926 there was holden a regular term of the County Court at Law No 1, within and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas at the Court House thereof in Fort Worth; there were present and presiding the Hon P.W. Seward, Judge; R.K. Hanger, District attorney; Earl Smith, Sheriff; Ed L Sanders, Clerk; When the following proceedings were had, to-wit;

NUMBER 6853

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jimmie Hewett

CHARGE: Aggravated Assault
No. 6853
9-21-26

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Jimmie Hewett pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: S Davis and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Not Guilty

Signed S Davis
Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

Read, Signed & Approved in open Court
This 2nd day of Oct 26
P.W. Seward
Judge Co Crim Law No 1

Attest: Ed L Sanders,
County Clerk
By Julian A Day Deputy

NUMBER

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.

CHARGE:
No.

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the herein, and a jury, to-wit: and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,
the said fine of Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County
from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

TERM. Oct A. D. 1926

521

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Brown

Be it remembered that on monday oct the 4th a. D. 1926 there came on and was holden a regular term of the County court at Law in and for Tarrant county Texas at the Courthouse there of in the city of Ft Worth Texas the Hon P. K. Seward Judge, present + presiding. D. T. Hanger distrct attorney Ed Lowell Clerk, + Carl Smith Sheriff, when the following proceedings among other things were had towit

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs Emma Ables

CHARGE: Theft
No. 7060 11-1-26

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Mrs Emma Ables *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Information *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. O Melton *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Charge *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess her punishment at one day in jail and ten dollars fine

O. A. Melton
Foreman

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Mrs Emma Ables
*the said fine of* Ten *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* being present in court is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Emmett Lock

CHARGE: Theft
No. 6989 10-6-26

NUMBER

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Emmett Lock *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* Frank E Turle *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at 3 Months in the Tarrant County Jail + all costs

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* Emmett Lock
*the said ~~fine of~~ Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such ~~fine and~~ costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County*
*from* *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 7129

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dave Mathews

CHARGE: Theft
No. 7129 12-1-26

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* Dave Mathews *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Not Guilty

J. S. Foreman

P. K. Seward Judge

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

The Above & foregoing Minutes rd signed and Approved this 1st day of December 1926
Ed L Jones Clerk
By M Watson Deputy.

P. K. Seward Judge

NUMBER _____

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
_____

CHARGE: _____
No. _____

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* _____ *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* _____ *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* _____ *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* _____ *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

Be it remembered that on Monday December 6th 1926 there was begun and holden a regular term of the county Court of Law #1, Tarrant County Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Ft Worth Texas present & Presiding the Hon P.W. Swayze Judge W.T. Hanger District atty, Earl Smith Sheriff and E.L. Jones Clerk when the following proceedings among other things were had to wit

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mary Jones

No. 7169 CHARGE: Theft 12-7-26

NUMBER

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Mary Jones pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: J Boyd Martin and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars and ten days in Jail

C.E. Taylor Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Mary Jones the said fine of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant him present in Court is Ivey by remanded to the custody of the sheriff who will take

and confine him in the jail of this County now from Jail and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Rosetta Harris

No 7091 CHARGE: C.A.A. 12-9-26

NUMBER 7091

THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Rosetta Harris pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the Charge herein, and a jury, to-wit: W.B. Tilley and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the Information read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:

"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess her punishment at twenty Five dollars fine & cost

W.B. Tilley Foreman

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant, Rosetta Harris the said fine of twenty five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant

and confine him in the jail of this County from and until such fine and costs are fully paid.

JUDGMENT OF GUILTY ON PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—C. C.

NUMBER 7040

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
O B McReynolds

CHARGE: D. C. D.
No. 7040 12-10-26

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* O B McReynolds *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* Charge *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* A. Hooker *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* Information *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* Guilty as Charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Two Hundred and fifty dollars, & costs

A. Hooker foreman.

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* O. B. McReynolds *the said fine of* Two Hundred and fifty dollars *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant* O B McReynolds being present in open court is here and now remanded to the custody of the Sheriff, who will take

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* now *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

NUMBER .....

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
.....

CHARGE: .....
No. ..... .....

*THIS DAY THIS CAUSE WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, and the Defendant,* ..... *pleaded "NOT GUILTY" to the* ..... *herein, and a jury, to-wit:* ..... *and five others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who, having heard the* ..... *read and the Defendant's plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and afterwards returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the Minutes, to-wit:*

*"WE, THE JURY, Find the Defendant* .....

*IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant,* .....
*the said fine of* ..... *Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said Defendant for the amount of such fine and costs, and the said Defendant*

*and confine him in the jail of this County* .....
*from* ..... *and until such fine and costs are fully paid.*

**County: Tarrant**

**Book: Criminal Minutes County Court Vol. 13 B**

**Page Number/s: 525-630**

**These pages were blank at the time of scanning, therefore were not scanned.**

**KOFILE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**

END

# CRIMINAL MINUTES COUNTY COURT

# VOL. 14

TARRANT COUNTY

TAR 162

CRIMINAL MINUTES

COUNTY COURT

1902-1907

Tarrant County
Criminal Minutes
County Court 14
FFL

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1902

Monday the 3rd day of March A. D. ~~189~~ 1902,

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in March A.D. 1902 the same being the 3rd day of March A.D. 1902 there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Courts of Tarrant County Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, present and presiding Hon M. B. Harris County Judge, O. S. Lattimore County Attorney, W. E. Butler County Clerk and Sterling P. Clark, Sheriff of said County, when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Dockets of said Courts viz;

## Venire Facias

Now comes Sterling P. Clark, Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas and brings into open Court the Venire Facias for this the First Week of the March Term of said Court and upon the making thereon being called the following qualified jurors answered to wit; R. Cox, C. M. Baker, Geo Shaw, J. B. Martin, A. A. Vaughn, J. M. Rice, Geo Clingman, H. G. Berry, S. Seaton C. M. Randle, L. C. Vaughn, Jeff Adams Bob Slack, W. H. Holt and C. S. Wallace who were all true, and were upon said Panels upon the panel for the Week:

1491 State of Texas vs Henry Thomas } Theft Monday Mch 3. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both

parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J M. Rice and five others who were duly elected sworn and empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendants now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at ten days in the County Jail.

J. M. Rice Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Henry Thomas ~~the sum of~~ all costs in this behalf expended for which let execution issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and until said costs are paid in full.

V4927 State of Texas -vs- W. Burnett } Thurs Monday Mch 3. 1902

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit J M Rice

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1902

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters her plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess her punishment at Thirty (30) days in the County Jail.

J. M. Rice Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant M. Burnett all costs in this behalf in curred for which let execution issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days and until said costs are paid in full.

Wednesday March 5, 1902 Court in session present as on first day of term.

7493o State of Texas — vs — Ralph Stinson } Aggravated Assault. Wednesday March 5, 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit, J. M. Rice and five others who were duly elected empaneled and

Sprow according to law and Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty of Simple Assault and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00. J. M. Rice, Foreman.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ralph Stinson the sum of five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7

Thursday March 6. 1902.

Court in session pursuant as on first day of term.

2488 State of Texas } Adultery
—vs— } Thursday Mch 6. 1902
John Allen

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: C. M. Randle and five

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 189 1902

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here, entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One hundred dollars.

C. N. Randle, Foreman.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Allen the sum of One hundred dollars fine assessed, as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Friday March 7. 1902.

Court in session pursuant to an final day of term.

4403 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
-vs- } Friday March 7. 1902.
John Johnston

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1902

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

jury of good and lawful men to-wit; L. C. Vaughn and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury finds the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00.

L. C. Vaughn, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Johnston the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

24844 State of Texas
-vs-
Bob Wills } Betting
Friday March 7. 1902

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit C. N. Randle and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 189~~9~~1902

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars.

C. M. Randle, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Mills the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine & costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

---

Vol 110 State of Texas -vs- Louis H. Anderson } Meeting Place of Commissioners Court in Session Saturday March 8. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the ~~the charge of the Court~~ evidence, arguments of Counsel and ~~receiving~~ being fully

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Louie K. Anderson the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Monday March 17, 1902

Court in session. Present as on first day of term.

Venire Facias

Now comes Sterling P. Clark, Sheriff of Tarrant County and brings into open Court the Venire Facias for this the third week of the County Court and upon the drawing thereof being called the following qualified jurors answered to-wit: Geo D. Cockey, J. A. T. Evans, J. C. Hammonds, M. F. Anthony, J. W. Dreher, J. A. Applewhite, E. D. Farmer, J. K. Boyce, J. M. Strickland, F. D. Jones, R. T. Finn, W. A. Redford, N. D. Davis and E. D. Farmer who were all sworn, tried and placed upon the panel for the week.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 189~~0~~2

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

V#6327 State of Texas / -vs- / Will Black } Fornication
Monday March 17. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. A. Redford, and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. W. A. Redford Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas ~~recover~~ of take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Tuesday March 18. 1902 Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

V#6331 State of Texas / -vs- / W. L. Stinson } Aggravated Assault
Tuesday March 18. 1902

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties

announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; J. K. P. Hammonds and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendants not guilty".

J. K. P. Hammonds Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

V4970 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
-vs- } Tuesday Mch 18, 1902.
Frank Young }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; W. A. Rulford and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 189 1902

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty." W. A. Redford, Foreman, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Wednesday March 19, 1902. Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

V4670 State of Texas
-vs-
Timmie Dickson } Theft
Wednesday March 9, 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit Geo D. Eckley and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered her plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit

"We the jury find the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 189 1902

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189 .....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

not guilty. Geo D. Ackley. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

V4693 State of Texas } Selling on Sunday
vs } Wednesday March 19. 1902.
W. H. Ward }

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. A. F. Evans and four others who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00. J. A. F. Evans. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. H. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1902

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9. o'clock

Thursday March 20. 1902 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

7468 State of Texas } Embezzlement
J. W. Simms } Thursday March 20. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. W. Archer and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty". J. W. Archer, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1902.

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

7949 | State of Texas | Aggravated Assault
-vs- | Thursday March 20. 1902.
J. C. Young

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. F. Anthony and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty." W. F. Anthony, Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

7947 | State of Texas | Open on Sunday
-vs- | Thursday March 20. 1902.
W. H. Ward

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. R. P. Hammonds and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1902

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $30.00.

J. K. P. Hammond. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant N. B. Ward the sum of Thirty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cause No. 14693 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until the fine and costs in this cause are paid in full.

---

15001 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault.
-vs- } Friday March 21, 1902.
Nettie Abram

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: N. A. Redford and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here withdrew her plea of not-guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendants not guilty as charged in the Information."

W. A. Redford, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas ~~do hereby and hereon of and from the Defendants~~ take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

5017 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Woods } Order Motion New Trial Monday April 14. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendants in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new trial herein and the arguments of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendants. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendants excepts and in open Court gives notice of Appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1902

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

V4693 State of Texas } Order on Motion New Trial
vs } Monday April 14, 1902.
W. K. Ward }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and ~~both parties announced ready for~~ then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion for a new trial herein, and the arguments of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant. It is therefor ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled, To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

V5113 State of Texas } Order on Motion New Trial
-vs- } Monday April 7, 1902.
Gertrude Allison }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion for a new trial herein and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant. It is therefor ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that this case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Dockets of said Court.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1902

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

V4749 State of Texas -vs- Tim Sullivan } Selling Liquor Local Option District Monday March 17, 1902.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit W. A. Redford and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after several deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict towit; "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty." W. A. Redford Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

Saturday May 3rd A.D. 1902.

~~Court~~ Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in Course.

Attest: County Judge.
W. E. Bunton Co. Clk

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1902

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in May A. D. 1902 the same being the 5th day of May A. D. 1902 there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth present and presiding Hon W. B. Harris, County Judge, O. L. Lattimore County Attorney, W. E. Lester, County Clerk and Sterling P. Clark, Sheriff of Tarrant County when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court.

— Venire Facias —

Now comes Sterling P. Clark, Sheriff of Tarrant County and brings into open Court the Venire Facias for this the First Week of the May Term of the County Court and upon the roll thereon being called the following qualified jurors answered to-wit: B. F. Crowley, J. A. Gladwin, J. W. Fulton, A. J. Ballard, J. L. Johnson, W. T. Kimble, W. G. Norby, Robt Ward, G. S. Hart, W. T. Shahan, R. L. Burnett, Jim Jackson, E. O. Livingston, O. W. Neal and G. W. Tarkington, who were all sworn, tried and placed upon the panel for the week.

1501 State of Texas. } Aggravated Assault.
-vs- } Monday May 5, 1902.
Walter M. Scott }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: O. W. Neal and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to

law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant Walter M. Scott guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars ($25.00). O. W. Cook, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Walter M. Scott the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

V5164 State of Texas vs J. M. Nash } Aggravated Assault
Monday May 5, 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; B. F. Crosby and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 189~~9~~1902

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendants not guilty. B. F. Crowley, Foreman.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Tuesday May 6th 1902 Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

15097 State of Texas -vs- Mattie Welch } Affray Tuesday May 6. 1902

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit J. W. Fuller and five others who were duly elected impaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered her plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty: J. W. Fuller. Foreman.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1902

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

N 5108

State of Texas
-vs-
Gabe Thomas
} Theft
Tuesday May 6. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit J. L. Johnson and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at confinement in the County jail for five days. J. L. Johnson, Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant Gabe Thomas all costs in this behalf incurred for which said costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County jail for the full period of five days and until said costs are paid in full.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1902

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

N 5134 State of Texas -vs- W. B. Tankabury } Selling on Sunday. Tuesday May 6. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: A. H. Hall and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars."

A. H. Hall, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. B. Tankabury the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1902.

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

V5160 State of Texas -vs- T. B. Symms } Aggravated Assault. Monday May 5. 1902.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. L. Johnson and five others who were duly selected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant was duly entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

J. L. Johnson, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

Wednesday May 7. 1902 Court in session pursuant as on first day of term.

V5094 State of Texas -vs- Lewis Clark } Aggravated Assault. Wednesday May 7. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit:

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1902.

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Wm G. Newby and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00.

Wm G. Newby, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lewis Clark the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

---

5117 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault.
-vs- } Wednesday May 7. 1902.
Will Lowe

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit J. L. Johnson and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 189~~9~~02

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

now here retends his plea of not guilty Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit

"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty"

J. L. Johnson, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

V5135 State of Texas vs Billy Carrick } Selling Liquor to a minor Wednesday May 7. 1902.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: O. W. Read and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here retends his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Billy Carrick guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00.

O. W. Read. Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 189 1902

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189 .....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Billy Carrill the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

---

4/5/49 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
-vs- } Wednesday May 7. 1902.
John Johnson }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. L. Johnson and five others who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant for his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty:
J. L. Johnson, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

Thursday May 8. 1902 Court in session pursuant as on first day of term.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1902.

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189 .....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

V5171 State of Texas -vs- J. W. Chambers } Aggravated Assault.
Thursday May 8. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: A. J. Ballard and five others who were duly elected, impanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant upon his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant J. W. Chambers, guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars $25.00. A. J. Ballard, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. W. Chambers the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

V5249 State of Texas -vs- Kid English } Theft
Thursday May 8. 1902.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1902,

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

of good and lawful men to-wit: R. H. Hardie and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the 2nd Count of the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00 and 30 days in jail.

R. H. Hardie, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Kid English the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirty days and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

V5 W53 State of Texas } Abilene Langmoyd.
-vs- } Thursday May 8. 1902.
Wiley Pirtle

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit O. W. Read and five others who were duly elected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being

read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00.

O. W. Wade. Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Wiley Pirtle the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Friday May 9. 1902. Court in session pursuant to adjournment as on first day of term.

7493 State of Texas } Assault
-vs- } Friday, May 9, 1902.
Ernest Page

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: G. A. Hart, and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to con-

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1902,

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

sider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returnd into open Court re-tired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returnd into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars."

G. S. Hart, Foreman,

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Emmit Page the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand com-mitted to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full,

Monday May 12, 1902 Court in session present as on first day of term,

15010 State of Texas } Motion for New Trial
-vs- } Monday May 12, 1902.
Walter M. Scott }

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion for a new trial herein and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant it is therefor ordered by the Court that said

motion be and motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said case stands for trial in its regular order upon the docket of said Court.

5135 State of Texas } Motion for New Trial
-vs- } Tuesday May 13, 1902.
Billy Carrill }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion for a new trial herein and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. The Recognizance of Defendant fixed by the Court at the sum of Three Hundred Dollars.

5135 State of Texas } Motion in Arrest of Judgment
-vs- } Tuesday May 13. 1902.
Billy Carrill }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion in Arrest of Judgment herein and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant it is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 189 1902

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts & in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

V5135 State of Texas -vs- Billy Carrill } Recognizance Thursday May 15, 1902,

This day came into open Court Billy Carrill, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with A. H. Smith, J. H. Baskin and J. C. Young his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Three Hundred Dollars conditioned that the said Billy Carrill who has been convicted in this case of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and all costs in this behalf incurred as more fully appears from the judgments of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgments of the Courts of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1902

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Monday May 19. 1902 Court in session present as on first day of term,

— Venire Facias —

Now come Sterling O. Clark, Sheriff of Tarrant County and brings into open Court the Venire Facias for this the third week of the May Term of the County Court and upon the coming thereon being called the following qualified jurors answered to-wit: J. R. Crane, J. M. Britton, J. M. Plummer, J. A. Bradley, I. J. Forgy, C. L. Smith, D. H. Hightower A. H. Copeland, N. C. Goff, G. B. Maddox, Ed Hall, M. N. Hampton, E. S. Dula and N. L. Lacy, who were all sworn, tried and placed upon the panel for the week.

V4605 State of Texas -vs- C. G. Calig } Theft Monday May 19. 1902.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law was submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine Twenty five dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for one day.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant C. G. Calig the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1902

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full. To which judgment of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. The recognizance of Defendant fixed by the Court at the sum of Two Hundred Dollars.

4605 State of Texas } Recognizance
-vs- } Monday May 19, 1902.
C. G. Cates

This day came into open Court C. G. Cates, Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with J. A. Martin and R. H. Smith, his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Two Hundred Dollars; conditioned that the said C. G. Cates who has been convicted in this case of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of Twenty five Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for one day ~~all costs in behalf incurred~~ as more fully appears from the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 189 1902.

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189 .....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

V5V5V State of Texas } Wounding Cattle
-vs- } Monday May 19. 1902.
T. E. Nichols }

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. Forgy and five others who were duly, elected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now has entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty:
J. Forgy, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

Tuesday May 20. 1902 Court in session pursuant as on first day of term.

V4106 State of Texas } Swindling
-vs- } Tuesday May 20. 1902.
Ed J Williams }

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 189 1902

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

of good and lawful men to-wit N. P. Gaff and five others who were duly selected impaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entends his plea of not guilty Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retires to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returns into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. N. P. Gaff. Foreman.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

45010 State of Texas -vs- Walter M. Scall } Aggravated Assault. Tuesday May 20. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; Jno. A. Brazley and five others who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entends his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retires to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returns into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty: Jno A. Brazley, Foreman.

It is therefor ordered by the Court

that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

V5172 State of Texas -vs- R. J. Johnson } Aggravated Assault
Tuesday May 20. 1902.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: I. L. Forgy and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. I. L. Forgy, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

V5134 State of Texas -vs- N. B. Jackabarry. } Motion New Trial
Monday May 26. 1902.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for a new trial herein and the

argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant, it is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, The recognizance of Defendant fixed by the Court at the sum of Two Hundred Dollars."

15134 State of Texas -vs- N. B. Tackabury } Recognizance
Tuesday May 27. 1902.

This day came into open Court N.B. Tackabury, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with Jeff D. McLean and N. T. Brann his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Two Hundred Dollars; conditioned that the said N. B. Tackabury who has been convicted in this case of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of Twenty Dollars and all costs in this behalf incurred as more fully appears from the judgments of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this case,

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1902

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

24605 State of Texas
-vs-
C. G. Cates

Motion for ten days
Saturday July 5. 1902

This day came on to be heard the motion of Defendants herein for ten days after the adjournment of this term of Court to file statement of facts, and the same being heard and the Court being fully advised in the premises, It is ordered by the Court that said Defendant be and he is hereby granted ten days after the adjournment of this the July Term of Court within which to prepare and file a statement of facts herein

Saturday July 5. 1902.
Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in Course.

Attest
W E Bucter
Co Clk

M B Harris
County Judge.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, ~~189~~ 1902

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in September A. D. 1902 the same being the 1st day of September A. D. 1902 there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth Tarrant and presiding Hon W. B. Harris, County Judge O. S. Lattimore, County Attorney N. E. Butler, County Clerk and Sterling P. Clark, Sheriff of Tarrant County, when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court.

— Venire Facias —

Now comes Sterling P. Clark, Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas and brings into open Court the ~~following~~ Venire Facias for this the First Week of the September Term of the County Court and upon the names thereon being called the following qualified jurors answered to-wit: L. L. Radick, Frank Stark, D. Portwood Jr, N. E. Acker, Robt Cary, Jno Hiett, J. C. Truman, J. F. Vinson, C. W. Randle, H. J. Dacus, Robt Terrell, J. B. Martin Jr, L. T. Martin, R. L. Rayzy A. L. Flippo, J. A. Elliott, T. B. Kahrum G. W. Bray and ~~who~~ George Tandy, who were all sworn, tried and placed upon the panel for the week.

V 5771 State of Texas
-vs-
Will Williams } Theft
Tuesday Sept 2. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: S. L. Radick and five others who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

S. L. Radick. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

V5769 State of Texas } Theft
-vs- } Tuesday Sept 2. 1907
Jos Burris Jr }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit J. A. Hill and five others who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury finds the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment

1630 Hawkins



# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 189 1902.

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

at a fine of Twenty five dollars and twelve months imprisonment in the County Jail.

J. A. Hill, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant for Fines & the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full term of twelve months and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

7580 3 State of Texas } Theft.
-vs-
Harry Plum } Tuesday Sept 2, 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit C. W. Randle and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit.

"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty

C. W. Randle, Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1902.

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

that the State of Texas ~~do have and recover of and from~~ take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

7589 | State of Texas | Selling on Sunday
-vs- | Tuesday Sept 2. 1902.
D^r A. J. D^r Witt

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendants in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: C. M. Randle and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendants now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendants not guilty".

C. M. Randle. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Courts Clerk the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, ~~189~~ 1902

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

15777

State of Texas
-vs-
Jon Wheat

} Cause
Wednesday Sept 3. 1902.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: K. J. Deering and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars.

K. J. Deering. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jon Wheat the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further orders that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1902.

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

No 5778 State of Texas -vs- Jon Wheat } Cards Wednesday Sept 3. 1902.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit J. C. Trimmon and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty and also plea of former conviction. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retires to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returns into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant's plea of former conviction true and therefore find the Defendant not guilty." J. C. Trimmon Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

No 5870 State of Texas -vs- Ed Williams } Carrying Pistol Thursday Sept 4. 1902.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit Jas. F. Trimmon and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 189~~0~~1902.

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty as charged in the Indictment."

Jas F. Vinson, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

7575 State of Texas } Vagrancy
-vs- } Friday Sept 6. 1902.
Jesse Jones (Col) }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: D. Portwood Jr and five others who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars.

D. Portwood Jr. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, ~~189~~ 1902.

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189 .....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jesse Jones the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

---

5878 State of Texas
-vs-
Ed Williams

Carrying Dist Ct
Friday Sept 5, 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: H. L. Davis and five others, who were duly elected, impanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. H. L. Davis Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed Williams the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

V5756 State of Texas } Vagrancy
-vs- } Saturday Sept 6th 1902.
Will Hunter }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. J. Davis and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

W. J. Davis, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 189~~9~~1902.

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Venire Facias

Now comes Sterling P. Clark, Sheriff of Tarrant County, and brings into open Court the Venire Facias for this the third week of the County Courts of Tarrant County, and upon the same thereon being called the following qualified jurors answered to-wit: Harry Adams Jr., Watley Cook, Jno Cottrell, C. E. Parsley, A. S. McMorris, R. Kelly, R. P. Royse, H. A. Williams, J. M. Vincent, H. C. McKee, W. A. Gable, W. A. Royston, Sam Shumate, Jos McDonald, H. B. McGar, H. P. Eiseman, W. H. Allison, J. W. Haggerty, and Jno Purvis who was all sworn, tried and placed upon the panel for the week.

7538 State of Texas } Resisting an Officer
-vs- } Tuesday Apl 16. 1901.
J. S. Clark

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendants in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; H. A. Williams and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendants now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; We the jury find the Defendants not guilty.

H. A. Williams, Foreman

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, ~~189~~ 1902

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

7548 State of Texas vs P. A. Rogers } Aggravated Assault Tuesday Sept 16. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendants in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: P. R. Rogers and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendants now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendants not guilty.

P. A. Rogers Foreman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1902

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

7552 State of Texas -vs- Charlie Caldwell } Carrying Pistol
Tuesday Sept 16. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit A. S. McMorris and five others who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit

"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty

A. S. McMorris Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

7548 State of Texas -vs- Mary Pate } Adultery
Wednesday Sept 17. 1902

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit N. C. McKee and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 189~~9~~0x.

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now has entered her plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of One hundred dollars.

K. C. McKee. Foreman.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mary Pate the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full."

V5919 State of Texas } Saturday
-vs- } Thursday Sept 18. 1902.
W. E. Bobley

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announcing ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: S. R. Shroder and five others who were duly selected impaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury

the Defendants now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:
"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty as charged in the Indictment.
S. R. Shrader, Foreman.
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

V5985 State of Texas
-vs-
Jeff Davis. alias Jack Davis } Theft
Thursday Sept 18. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Harvey Adams and five others who were duly selected, impaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.
Harvey Adams Foreman.
It is therefore ordered by the Court

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, ~~189~~ 1902

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

V5388 State of Texas -vs- Will Kizzee } Aggravated Assault
Thursday Sept 18. 1902.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: K. C. McKee and five others who was duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now herein entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. K. C. McKee. Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

V5773 State of Texas -vs- Mathew Alexander } Fornication
Friday Sept 19. 1902.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties

announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J.M. Vincent and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of fifty dollars. J.M. Vincent, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mathew Arnold the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

15751 State of Texas } Thefft.
-vs- } Friday Sept 19, 1902
Harry Lovelin

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. P. Royston and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information having

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

read to the jury the Defendants now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

W. P. Royston, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

7581A State of Texas } Aggravated Assault.
-vs- } Friday Sept 19, 1902.
Sam Elliston }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit H. A. Williams and five others who were duly elected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars.

H. A. Williams, Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that

the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Sam Elliston the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

5813 State of Texas -vs- Nat Ramsey } Aggravated Assault. Saturday Sept 20. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit J. M. Vincent and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. J. M. Vincent, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 189 1902

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

76011 State of Texas -vs- Samuel Williams } Aggravated Assault Saturday Sept 20. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit O.A. Rogers and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Hundred Dollars and six months imprisonment in the County Jail.

O. A. Rogers, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Samuel Williams the sum of Five Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of six months and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1902.

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

7581 5 | State of Texas / -vs- / Balum Shaw | Distraying Personal Property / Friday Oct 10, 1902.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. H. Seay and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty as charged in the Information. J. H. Seay Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

7589 3 | State of Texas / -vs- / John Reed | Theft / Wednesday Oct 22. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. H. Seay and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 189 1902

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

J. R. Sears, Foreman.

It is therefore Ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day

76070 State of Texas } Theft.
-vs-
J. V. Borders } Monday Oct 20. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. A. Thurston and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

in the Indictment and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars ($50.00) and ten days in jail.

W. A. Thornton, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. V. Bowling the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7604 | State of Texas -vs- Juanita Wyatt | Adultery. Tuesday Oct 21. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Joseph McDonald and five others who were duly elected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters her plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of Two Hundred ($200.00) dollars

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1902.

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Joseph McDonald, Jr.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Juanita Hyatt the sum of Two Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7601 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
-vs- } Thursday Oct 23, 1902.
Ed Atkins }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. A. Thornton and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty:
W. A. Thornton, Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1902.

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

75976

State of Texas
-vs-
N. T. Brown

Open on Sunday
Thursday Oct 23, 1902,

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: E. L. Dunaway and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess a fine of $50.00 Dollars.

E. L. Dunaway. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant N. T. Brown the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County September Term, 1907.

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

7606 State of Texas } Fluffs.
-vs- } Thursday Oct 23. 1907.
J. J. Mashom }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendants in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: D. B. Winstead and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury finds the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at one year in jail and $200.00 fine.

D. B. Winstead Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. J. Mashom the sum of Two Hundred Dollars fine assessed by aforesaid Verdict with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of one year and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1902.

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4/6/03

State of Texas
-vs-
J. J. Ward

Tuesday Oct 28, 1902.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit A. J. Day and five others who were duly elected impannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars.

A. J. Day. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. J. Ward the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County September Term, 1902.

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

#6106 State of Texas -vs- Luz Landis } Violating Local option Law
Thursday Oct 23, 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: R. S. Ferrell and five others who were duly elected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty."

R. S. Ferrell, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

#6008 State of Texas -vs- Lewis Brand } Abusive Language.
Friday Oct 24th. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: D. B. Kindred and five others who were duly elected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here

entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:
"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty"
D. B. Winstead Foreman.
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

No 6099 State of Texas vs B. F. Hunter } Thefft. Friday Oct 1st. 1902.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: D. B. Winstead and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returning into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars and ten days in jail
D. B. Winstead Foreman.
It is therefore ordered by the Court that

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County September Term, 189 1902

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant B. F. Hunter the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Hunter ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

6118 State of Texas } Theft.
-vs-
J. M. Meachum } Friday Oct 24. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. A. Thornton and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and thirty days in jail."

W. A. Thornton, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. M. Meachum

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1904

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.
Further ordered that said Defendant be committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

No 6131 State of Texas
-vs-
George Kelly
} Betting
Saturday Oct 8th, 1904.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: F.B. Smith and five others who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the 3rd Count and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. F.B. Smith Foreman.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Kelly the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1902.

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Juter orders that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

V5814 State of Texas } Motion for New Trial
-vs- } Tuesday Sept 30, 1902.
Sam Elliston }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new trial herein, And the arguments of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant, it is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

V5948 State of Texas } Motion for New Trial
-vs- } Thursday Sept 18th 1902.
Mary Pate }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and then came on to be heard Defendant's Motion for a new trial herein and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant it is therefore ordered by the Court

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said case ~~stands reinstated to the County~~ said for trial in its regular order upon the criminal dockets of this Courts.

V6011 State of Texas vs Sam Williams } Motion for New Trial Thursday Oct 30. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendants in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new trial herein and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Courts that the law is for said Defendants it is therefore ordered by the Courts that said Motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said case stands for trial, in its regular order upon the Criminal Dockets of this Courts.

V5976 State of Texas vs W. T. Brown } Defts Motion for New Trial Saturday Nov 1, 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendants in his own proper person and then came on to be heard Defendants Motion for a new trial herein and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Courts that the law is against said Defendants. It is therefore ordered by the Courts

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County September Term, 189 1902.

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas The Defendant is granted ten days after adjournment of this term of Court within which to prepare a statement of facts herein, The Recognizance of Defendant fixed by the Court at the sum of $

Saturday Nov. 1. 1902.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in Course.

M. B. Harris
County Judge

Attest:
W. E. Ruckus Co Clk

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1902 ~~189~~

Monday the 3rd day of Dec A. D. 190~~9~~2

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

State of Texas
County of Tarrant } Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in November A.D. 1902 the same being the first Monday in November A.D. 1902 there was begun and holden a regular term of the honorable County Court of Tarrant County, Texas at the Court house thereof in the City of Fort Worth present and presiding Hon W.B. Harris County Judge O.S. Lattimore County Attorney J.E. Butler County Clerk and ~~Sterling~~ O. Clark Sheriff of Tarrant County when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket, to wit.

## Venire Facias

Now comes Sterling O. Clark Sheriff of Tarrant County and bringing into open Court the Venire Facias of this the first week of this term of Court and upon the names thereof being called the following ~~qualified~~ jurors answered to wit, J.C. Reeder, J.R. Donnell, V.B. Hardwick, F.E. Rogers, J.R. Hardin, N.A. Matlock, R.T. Rose, W.R. Cunningham F.A. Redmond, M.C. Bowles, E.O. Linguiter, Jno. Cox, Mat March and J.L. Brown, who were all sworn tried and placed upon the panel for the week.

25349 State of Texas } Agg. Assault
vs } Wednesday, Nov. 19-1902
Walker Wilburn }

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a good and lawful jury

to wit A. S. McMorris and five others who were duly selected, tried, empaneled, and sworn as directed by law, and who having heard the evidence, argument of Counsel and having received the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court which the following verdict to wit We the Jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 A. S. McMorris Foreman

It is therefore Considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Walter Wilburn the sum of $25.00 and all Costs in this behalf for which said fine and Cost execution may issue

Further ordered by the Court that said defendant Stands Committed to the County Jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full

7535 State of Texas vs Burt Williams } Agg. Assault
Wednesday Nov. 19-1902

This day Came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also Came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men Composed of T. J. Wood who were duly tried empaneled and sworn as by law required and the Complaint being now read to the Jury, the Defendant entered his plea of "not guilty" and the Jury having heard the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, ~~189~~ 1902

Saturday the 22 day of Nov A. D. ~~189~~ 1902

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Verdict to-wit: We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty -
It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover of Defendant nothing by reason of this prosecution and that he go hence without day.

75364 State of Texas vs John D. Neal } Saturday Nov. 22 - 1902

This day Came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men Composed of J. J. Hood and five others who were duly selected, tried, empaneled, and Sworn, as required by law. and the Complaint being read to the Jury the Defendant entered his plea of "not guilty thereto, and the Jury having heard the evidence, argument of Counsel and having received the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following Verdict, to-wit: We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 J. J. Hood foreman
It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jno. D. Neal the Sum of $25.00 as aforesaid and all Costs in this behalf. incurred, both in this Court and the Corporation Court from which this Cause was appealed, for all of which let execution issue.
Further ordered by the Court that said Defendant Stands Committed to the County Jail until said fine and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, August Term, 189 2

Friday the 1st day of Nov A. D. 1902

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Costs are paid in full

State of Texas } Theft
25691 vs }
E. J. Palmer } Friday Nov. 7th 1902

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a Jury of good & lawful men Composed of J. L. Brown and five others who were duly selected, tried empaneled and sworn as required by law and the Complaint being read here read to the Jury - the Defendant entered his plea of "Not Guilty" thereto and the Jury having heard the evidence, argument of Counsel and having received the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following Verdict, to wit - We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at one day in Jail and five Dollars fine J. L. Brown Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant E. J. Palmer the sum of Twenty-five ($25.00) Dollars aforesaid and all Costs in this behalf incurred for which let execution issue for said fine and Costs - Further ordered by the Court that said Defendant stands Committed to the County Jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full

State of Texas } Injuring a Public Building
25706 vs }
G. W. Burton } Friday Nov. 7th 1902

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 189~~9~~ 1902

Saturday the 8th day of Nov A. D. 189~~9~~ 1902

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a good and lawful jury composed of E.O. Linguenlter and five others who were duly selected, tried, empaneled, and sworn as by law directed, and the jury having heard the evidence argument of Counsel and having received the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberations returned into open Court with the following verdict, to wit, We the jury find the Defendant not guilty E.O. Linguenlter Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing of Defendant by reason of this suit and that Defendant go hence without day

State of Texas } Agg. assault
25811 vs } Saturday Nov. 8th 1902
Chas Garner }

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a good and lawful Jury composed of J.P. Cope and five others who were duly selected, tried, empaneled and sworn, and the Jury having heard the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict, to wit We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty J.P. Cope Foreman It is therefore considered and ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing of Defendant by reason of this suit and that said Defendant go hence without day

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

State of Texas } Agg. assault
7590 vs } Sept 5th 1902
Jim Henderson }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial thereupon came a good and lawful jury composed of H J Davis and five others who were duly selected, tried, empaneled and sworn as by law directed and the jury having heard the evidence argument of Counsel and having received the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict, to wit We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a twenty five Dollars fine H. J. Davis foreman It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Henderson the sum of Twenty five Dollars, aforesaid and all Costs of this suit incurred for which let execution issue for said fine and Cost. It further ordered by the Court that said Defendant Stands Committed to the County Jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full

State of Texas } Betting
75936 vs } Wednesday Nov 5th 1902
Sonny Beckham }

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial thereupon came a good and lawful Jury composed of W. C. Bowles and five others who were duly

selected, tried, empaneled and sworn and the Jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit

We the Jury find the Defendant guilty as Charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00 M.C. Bowles foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Sonny Buckhanan the sum of ten dollars, as aforesaid and all Cost herein incurred for which let execution issue for said fine and Costs -

Further ordered by the Court that Defendant Stands Committed to the Counts jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full.

7597 State of Texas vs James Halferty } Theft Thursday Nov. 6-1902

This day Came the County attorney Prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant in his own proper person and both Parties announced ready for trial thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men Composed of J.L. Brown and five others who were duly selected, tried, empaneled, and Sworn - and the jury having heard the evidence, argument of Counsel and having received the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court with the following verdict to wit, We the Jury find the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 189 1902

Monday the 10 day of Nov A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

not guilty J. L. Brown foreman
It is therefore considered & ordered by
the Court that the plaintiff the State of
Texas take nothing of the Defendant
James Haleferty by reason of this suit

26077 State of Texas vs Lewis Pope } Monday Nov. 10th 1902

This day came the County
attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State
and also the Defendant in his own proper person
and both parties announced ready for
trial, thereupon came a jury of good and
lawful men composed of C. F. Nance and
five others who were duly selected, tried em-
panneled and sworn as directed by law and
the jury having heard the evidence, argu-
ment of counsel and having received the
charge of the Court retired to consider of their
verdict and after mature deliberation re-
turned into open Court with the following
verdict, to wit, "We the jury find the defen-
dant not guilty C. F. Nance foreman"
It is therefore ordered by the Court that
the plaintiff take nothing of the defen-
dant by reason of this suit and that
said plaintiff go hence without day.

26041 State of Texas vs Charly Harris } Adultry Friday Nov. 7th 1902

This day came the County
attorney prosecuting the pleas of the
State also the Defendant in his own
proper person and both parties an-
nounced ready for trial. thereupon
came a jury of good and lawful men
composed of W. C. Bowles and five others
who were duly selected, tried, empaneled and
sworn, and the jury having heard the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, ~~189~~ 1902

Friday the 21 day of Nov A. D. ~~189~~ 1902

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

evidence argument of Counsel and having received the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their Verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following Verdict, to wit We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $200.00 M. P. Bowles Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the plaintiff, the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charley Harris the sum of Two Hundred $200.00 Dollars, as aforesaid, and all Costs incurred herein for which let execution issue for said fine and Cost. Further ordered by the Court that said Defendant Stands Committed to the County Jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full

N 097 State of Texas vs Joe Armstrong — Open on Sunday. Friday Nov. 21st 1902

This day Came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial thereupon Came a jury of good and lawful men composed of W. S. Williamson and five others who were duly selected, tried, empaneled, and sworn as directed by law and the jury having heard the evidence argument of Counsel, and having received the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict, to wit We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00 W. S. Williamson foreman It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1902

Saturday the 22 day of Nov A. D. 1902

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

and recover of and from the defendant Joe Armstrong, the sum of Fifty ($50.00) Dollars fine, and all costs of this prosecution for which let execution issue for said fine and Costs. Further ordered by the Court that said defendant stands Committed to the County jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full

No 093 State of Texas vs Joe Armstrong } Open on Sunday Saturday Nov. 22" 1902

This day Came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced Ready for trial thereupon Came a jury of good and lawful men Composed of W. S. Williamson and five others who were duly selected, tried, empaneled and Sworn as required by law and the jury having heards the evidence argument of Counsel and having received the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to wit, We the jury find the defendant not guilty W. S. Williamson foreman It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Tex. recover nothing of Defendant by reason of this prosecution herein.

No 182 State of Texas vs Mary Williams } Pistol Thursday Nov. 20" 1902

This day Came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announ

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

received ready for trial, thereupon came a good and lawful jury composed of J Wood and five others who were duly selected tried, empaneled, and sworn and the jury having heard the evidence argument of Counsel and having received the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict, to wit,

We the jury find the Defendant not guilty J Wood foreman

It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing of Defendant by reason of this prosecution

26184 State of Texas vs R. L. Tillard } Theft

Monday Nov. 17th 1902

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties having announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men composed of G. W. Coulter and five others who were duly selected, tried, empaneled and sworn and the jury having heard the evidence argument of Counsel and having received the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict, to wit

We the jury find the defendant not guilty G W Coulter foreman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing of Defendant by reason of this prosecution

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

26195 State of Tex. vs Wm Shipp } Assault
Tuesday Nov- 6 - 1902

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men composed of E.P. Lingenfelser and five others who were duly selected, tried empaneled and sworn and the jury having heard the evidence, argument of counsel and having received the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to wit, We the Jury find the defendant not guilty E.P. Lingenfelser foreman
It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Tex, recover nothing of and from the defendant herein.

26193 State of Texas vs May Bell allen } Wednesday Nov. 19" 1902

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial thereupon came to jury of good and lawful men composed of J.J. Colthorp and five other good and lawful men who after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retires to Consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to wit:
We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of $1.00
J J Colthorp foreman - It is therefore Considered and ordered by the Court that the

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

90

plaintiff the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant May Bell allen the sum of $1.00 fine as aforesaid and all Costs incurred by reason of this prosecution for which let execution issue for said fine and Costs- Further ordered by the Court that said defendant Stands Committed to the Co Jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full

No 708

State of Tex
vs
Henry Loyd
} Agg assault
Nov. 17- 1902

This day Came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial thereupon Came a jury of good and lawful Composed of J. L Forian and five others who were duly selected tried empanneled and sworn as directed by law and the jury having heard the evidence argument of Counsel and having received the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court with the following verdict to wit- Upon Defendants plea of guilty We the jury find Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 J. L Forian foreman

It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Henry Lord the sum of $25.00 fine and all Costs incurred by reason of this prosecution for which let execution issue for said fine and Costs Further ordered by the Court that said Defendant Stands Committed to the Co. Jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 189 1902

the 14 day of Nov A. D. 189 1902

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

No 7327

State of Tex
vs
Mike Cassidy

Setting on Sunday
Nov. 14-1902

This day came the State by its attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men composed of
and five others who were duly selected tried empaneled, and sworn as by law directed and the jury having heard the evidence argument of Counsel and having received the charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to wit, We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $20.00
foreman

It is therefore Considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Tex. do have and recover of and from the Defendant, Mike Cassidy the sum of $20.00 fine as aforesaid and all Costs incurred by reason of this prosecution for which let Execution issue for said fine and Costs Further ordered by the Court that said Defendant Stands Committed to the County jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full.

No 7328

State of Texas
vs
Mike Cassidy

Setting on Sunday
Friday Nov 1st. 1902

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

being waived the reading of facts as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mike Cassidy the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

No 730 State of Texas vs Mike Cassidy } Setting on Sunday Friday Nov 14, 1902

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of facts as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and ~~receiving the charge of~~ the Court retired to ~~consult~~

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

being fully advised in the premis finds the Defendant guilty, and assessing his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mike Cassidy the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further orders that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7673 State of Texas — vs — Mike Cassidy } Setting on Sunday Friday Nov 14, 1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the reading of facts as well as law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here in open Court his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mike Cassidy the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7693 State of Texas } Fighting
vs } Wednesday May 19, 1902.
May Bell Allen }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the filing of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: E J Mayfield and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered her plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of One Dollar.

S. J. Mayfield, Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant May Bell Allen the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

...the... ...day of... ...A. D. 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

both in this Court and in the Corporation Court from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further orders that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7676 The State of Texas } Assault
vs } Thursday Nov 20, 1902
Peter Wayne

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; S. J. Mayfield and five others who were duly elected, impaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

S. J. Mayfield Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

26762 State of Texas -vs- Mike McVickers } Theft Thursday Nov 20 1902

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the evidence of both as well as of law was submitted to the Court and the Defendant now in court his plea of not guilty Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence arguments of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assessing his punishment at a fine of One Dollar and one day in jail.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mike McVickers the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of one day and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

26317 State of Texas -vs- Maroney } Carrying Pistol Friday Nov 21. 1902.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. Woods and five others who were duly selected, impaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant was then asked his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel ~~and being duly advised as to the premises~~ findings retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendants not guilty

J. J. Woods. Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

16388 State of Texas } Violating Local Option Law
-vs- } Monday Dec 29. 1902.
Manuel Johnson }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendants in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. P. Gray and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendants now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their Verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty

J. B. Young Foreman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that Defendant be discharged by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

764 The State of Texas } Thefft.
-vs-
Carl Woodard } Tuesday Dec 30. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit R. R. Porter and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now changed his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open the following verdict to-wit: We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00 and ten days in jail,

R. R. Porter, Foreman

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ord Woodard the sum of ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant Stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

2647B State of Texas } Carrying Pistol
-vs- } Tuesday Dec 30. 1902.
Jon Wagner

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. Bryant and finishing who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $75.00

J. P. Young, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jon Waymon the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,
Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full,

7647 State of Texas } Theft
-vs- } Tuesday Dec 30. 1902.
Ben Nash

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit Ray Braswell and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 25 days in jail"

Ray Braswell Foreman.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ben Wash the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirty five days and until said fine and costs are paid in full,

No 454 State of Texas -vs- Roy Jackson } Jornication
Tuesday Dec 30. 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit Roy Bracwell and five others who were duly selected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant was then arraigned and his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00

Roy Bracwell, Foreman"

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Rayner Jackson the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant be taken committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7601 3 State of Texas } agg assault.
vs. } Wednesday Dec 30, 1902.
Fred Hill

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: R. A. Barnard and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. A. A. Barnard Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189 ....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189 .....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

No 9477 State of Texas
-vs-
Jon Armstrong } Monday Dec 15, 1902.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion for a new trial herein and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant it is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant in open Court excepts and gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. The recognizance of Defendant fixed at Two Hundred and fifty dollars.

No 9477 State of Texas
-vs-
Jon Armstrong } Recognized Monday Dec 15, 1902.

This day came into open Court Jon Armstrong, Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with Tom Wilcox and ... his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Two

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Hundred and fifty dollars conditioned that the said Jon Armstrong who has been convicted in this case of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of Fifty Dollars as more fully appears from the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

Saturday January 3rd 1903
Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in Course

Attest:
R. L. Young
Co Clk

County Judge

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in January A.D. 1903 the same being the 5th day of January A.D. 1903 there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Courts of Tarrant County Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth present and Presiding Hon R. F. Milam, County Judge, O. D. Lattimore County Attorney, R. L. Young County Clerk and John T. Konen Sheriff of said County, when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court;

— Venire Facias —

Now coming John T. Konen, Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas and brings into open Court the Venire Facias for this the First Week of the January Term of said Court and upon the roll being thereon being called the following qualified jurors answered to-wit; Jno E. Kennon, Jno A. Mugg, Dave Martin, Will Noah, Tom Laring, R. N. Jones, J. A. Foster, A. S. McMorris A. N. Witherg, G. W. Enridge, Will Maxwell and J. B. Winn, who were all sworn first and placed upon the panel for the week.

26313 State of Texas — vs — Mamie Rucker } Vagrancy
Monday January 5, 1903.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the plea of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; A. S. McMorris and five others who were duly elected,

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. A. L. McMorris Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

7631 | State of Texas } Selling to Minor
vs } Monday January 5, 1903
W. J. Wyndham }

This day came the Defendant in his own proper person and both the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: John E. Norman and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $75.00. Jno E. Norman, Foreman.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County January Term, 189~~0~~3

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. J. Windeborn the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

16379 State of Texas vs Will Windeborn } Selling to minor
Monday Jany 5. 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit J. B. Wilson and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit

"We the jury find the defendant guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00.

J. B. Wilson. Foreman"

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will Windeborn the sum of Twenty

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

76437 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
vs- } Tuesday Jany 6. 1903.
T. N. Bradburn }

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendants in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: R. N. Jones and five others who were duly elected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendants now here enters his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendants not guilty."

R. N. Jones. Foreman.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 189~~0~~3

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

7646 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault.
-vs- } Tuesday Jany 6. 1903.
John Allen }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J E Hannon and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

J. E. Hannon, Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

7645 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
-vs- } Wednesday Jany 7. 1903.
John Allen }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit John E. Hannon and five others who were duly elected

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00 and three months in the County jail.

John E. Harmon, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Allen the sum of One Hundred dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of three months and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

V6475 State of Texas } Adultery
-vs- } Friday Jany 9, 1903.
H. J. Jeffries

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the plea of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit:

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, ~~189~~ 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

A. N. Maxwell and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty"

A. N. Maxwell, Foreman.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

V6477 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault.
-vs- } Friday, January 9, 1903.
Henry Price }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the plea of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: A. S. McMorris and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. A. S. McMorris, Foreman

It is therefor ordered by the Court

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

16504 State of Texas } Carrying Pistol
-vs- } Friday Jany 9, 1903
Granville Riggs

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendants in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: John A. Mugg and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendants guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00,

John A. Mugg. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendants Granville Riggs the sum of Two Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendants stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 189~~0~~1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

7be497

State of Texas } Theft
-vs- }
Will Johnson } Friday January 9, 1903.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: D. R. Martin and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $1\frac{50}{100}$ and two years in jail. D. R. Martin. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will Johnson the sum of Two Hundred and fifty dollars fine aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of two years and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Venire Facing

This day came John T. Kenna, Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, and brings into Open Court the Venire Facias for this the Third Week of the January Term of said Court and upon the roll thereof being called the following qualified jurors answered to-wit: Oliver Kause, W. L. Evans, Geo Norton, John Griswell, O. W. Read, John Hill, A. McVean, W. A. Gable, M. W. Hampton, Jamus Floyd, Will Floyd, Tom Hill and H. H. Austin, who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week.

7634 State of Texas } Sheriff.
—vs— } Monday January 19, 1903.
C. A. Goodman }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. J. Grisswell and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel & receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty." J. J. Grisswell, Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, ~~189~~ 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

7654 State of Texas vs Frank Carrine } Theft.

7641 State of Texas vs J. E. Garrison } Theft. Tuesday Jany 20. 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendants in his own proper proper and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. W. Floyd and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendants now here enter their plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendants not guilty" W. W. Floyd, Foreman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1903 ~~189~~

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

No 6507 State of Texas -vs- William Warren } Dkt. Tuesday Jany 20, 1903.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: H. H. Austin and five others who were duly selected impaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

H. H. Austin. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

No 6513 State of Texas -vs- Frank Carrine } Dkt. Tuesday Feby 17, 1903.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit C. M. Randle and five others who were duly selected, impaneled and sworn

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County January Term, 1903.

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at thirty days in the County Jail. C. M. Randle Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that all costs in this behalf incurred is hereby adjudged against said Defendant for which execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Gail for the full period of thirty days and until all costs are paid in full.

16588 State of Texas } Theft
—vs— } Tuesday July 17. 1903.
Charlie Chamberg }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawfully men to-wit W. D. Woodard and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

into open Court the following verdict to-wit:
"We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at 90 days in County Jail
W. D. Woodson, Foreman.
It is therefor ordered by the Court that all costs in this behalf incurred be adjudged against said Defendant, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ninety days and until said Costs are paid in full.

6613 State of Texas } Swindling
-vs- } Monday July 16. 1903.
J. F. Wollmon

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Jesse Young and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at confinement in the County Jail for a term of two months.
Jesse Young Foreman.
It is therefor ordered by the Court that all costs in this behalf incurred be adjudged against said Defendant J. F. Wollmon for all of which Execution may issue. Further

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of three months and until said costs are paid in full.

7661 State of Texas } Theft.
-vs- } Tuesday Feby 17. 1903.
John McCaston }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: D. McRae and six others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty".

D. McRae, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

7679 State of Texas } Theft.
-vs- } Monday Feby 16. 1903.
Geo M. Pedley }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties

announced ready for trial. Thompson came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Alex Hunter and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at one year in jail and fine of One Hundred Dollars. Alex Hunter Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of one year and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

V6674 State of Texas -vs- Mc Jack De Morr } Abusive Language Wednesday July 16, 1902

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thompson came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. D. Woodard and five

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, ~~189~~ 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

ching who was duly elected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant then here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty". W. D. Woodard, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

16735 State of Texas — vs. — Jimmy Wallis } Disorderly House
Wednesday Feby 18. 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Jesse Young and five others who were duly elected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant upon his plea entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00.

Jesse Young, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Adkins the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7668 State of Texas — vs — J. M. Rogers } Theft. Wednesday July 18. 1903.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. D. Woodard and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after making deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $75.00 and one day in the County Jail. W. D. Woodard. Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, ~~189~~ 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Defendant J. M. Rogers the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid Together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of one day and until said fine and costs are paid in full

No 710 State of Texas } Disturbing the Peace.
vs } Thursday July 9th 1903.
W. C. Webb

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit J. J. Mabon and five others who were duly selected, impannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00.

J. J. Mabon, Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. C. Webb the sum

of one hundred dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

16735 State of Texas -vs- George Wallis } Motion for New Trial
Monday Feby 23. 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, which is now here entered of record in the minutes of this Court. Further ordered that said Defendant have ten days after the adjournment of Court within which to prepare and file Statement of facts herein. The recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of Five Hundred Dollars.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 189 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189 .....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

6735 State of Texas } Recognizance
-vs- } Tuesday Feby 7th, 1903.
George Wallis }

This day came into open Court George Wallis, Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with J. C. Yeary and W. M. Austin his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Three Hundred Dollars conditioned that the said George Wallis who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County Texas in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of One Hundred Dollars as more fully appears from the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this ~~same~~ Court in order to abide the judgment of the Courts of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

6735 State of Texas } Motion in Arrest of Judgment
-vs- } Monday Feby 23. 1903.
George Wallis }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion in Arrest of Judgment thereof, and the arguments of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant it is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts,

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County January Term, 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

7661 3

State of Texas
-vs-
J. F. Wallmon

Motion for New Trial
Tuesday. July 7th, 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and ~~both parties announced ready for~~ then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for a new trial herein and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

7686

State of Texas
-vs-
Ed Isaacs

Motion for New Trial
Friday July 24. 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and ~~both parties announced ready for trial~~. then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion for a new trial herein and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

7668

State of Texas
-vs-
J. M. Rayers

Motion for New Trial
Wednesday July 15. 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, ~~189~~ 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

proper person and ~~both parties announced~~ ready for trial then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant it is therefor ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

State of Texas
-vs-
John Allen

Motion for new Trial
Monday January 12-1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came the Defendant in his own proper person. Then came on to be heard Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of Council being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against Said Defendant; It is therefor ordered by the Court that that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of Appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

State of Texas.
John -vs- Allen

Recognizance
Monday Jany 12-1903.

This day came in to open Court John Allen Defendant in the above entitled Cause. who together with John Baskin & A Dodge his Sureties Acknowledge themselves Severally indebeted to the State of Texas in the penal Sum of Four hundred Dollars. Conditioned that the said John Allen. Who has been Convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County Texas. This Case of a Misdemeaner and his punishment assessed at a fine of One hundred dollars

Sunday ~~July~~ Jany 18, 1903

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in Course,

Attest:
R L. Rayns. Clk.

County Judge

26445

Appeal from the Judgement of Conviction
This Cause. Shall appear before this Court
from day to day and from term to term of the same cause
not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide
the Judgement of the Court of Criminal Appeals of
The State of Texas in this Cause.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in March A. D. 1903 the same being the 2nd day of March A. D. 1903 there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Courts of Tarrant County Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth present and presiding Hon R. F. Milam, County Judge O. L. Lattimore, County Attorney, R. L. Rayzor County Clerk and John T. Konen, Sheriff of said County when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Dockets of said Courts.

— Venire Facias —

Now coming John T. Konen, Sheriff of Tarrant County, and brings into Open Court the Venire Facias for this the first week of the January Term of the County Courts of said County and upon the roll thereon being called the following qualified jurors answered to wit: Jesse Jones, J. C. Keaton, J. H. Dooley, J. B. Winn, J. N. Knott, Jos Saithers, J. N. Edmondson, J. A. Pray, N. C. Pettigrew, J. E. Kerr, W. B. Cutchins, A. J. McMorris, C. N. Neal and Jo Thompson who when all sworn, true and placed upon the panel for the week.

764 30 State of Texas -vs- Tom Ray } Aggravated Assault. Monday March 2. 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of facts as well

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

as of law was submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars. It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Ray the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

16546 State of Texas } Exhibiting
-vs- } Monday March 9, 1903.
Nancy Grose }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. B. Morris and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Clarence Ivie guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00 and thirty days imprisonment in the County jail.

J. B. Morris. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Clarence Ivie the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirty Days and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

76609 State of Texas } Abusive Language
-vs- } Monday March 2. 1903
Robb Tipping }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; J. B. Morris and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March ~~January~~ Term, 189~~2~~3

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Defendants not guilty.

J. B. Morris, Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas ~~discharged and recover of and from the Defendant Robt Tipton the sum of~~ take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

7654 8 State of Texas } Exhibiting
-vs- } Tuesday March 3. 1903.
Clarence Price }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit J. E. Keaton and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retires to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returns into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant Clarence Price guilty as charged and assess his punishment at two days in jail and $25.00 fine.

J. E. Keaton, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Clarence Price the sum of Twenty five

dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine, costs and imprisonment in Cause No. 7654 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7687 | State of Texas | Exhibiting
-vs- | Tuesday Mch 3. 1903.
Jon Purvis

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the plea of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. ~~Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men~~ came a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for thirty days. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jon Purvis the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further orders that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail ~~until for~~ the full period of thirty days and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

6878 State of Texas } Exhibiting
-vs- } Tuesday Mch 3" 1903.
Fred Jones }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: O. M. Head and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now for plea entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess his punishment at a fine of $1,00 and imprisonment in the County Jail for thirty days.

O. M. Head. Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Fred Jones the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred

for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirty days and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Order for fine entered
12-16-03 W. T. Williams
S. Judge

No 8979 State of Texas } Exhibiting
-vs- } Tuesday Mch 3. 1903.
Fred Jones }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Jesse Jones and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the plea of former conviction insufficient. We further find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess his punishment at confinement in the County Jail for thirty days and a fine of $75.00.

Jesse Jones Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Fred Jones the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1899/1903

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

assessed as aforesaids together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs and imprisonment in cause No. 16878 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of twenty days, and until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full,

Capias for fine ordered 3.16.03 Defendant & Sheriff

16836 State of Texas —vs— Kid Kinney } Exhibiting Wednesday March 1. 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and Defendant after having been cautioned by the Court to reflect upon the matter before pleading, now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence arguments of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty as charged in the information herein and assessing his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for forty days. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Kid Kinney the sum of one hundred dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue

further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of forty days and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

76837 State of Texas } Exhibiting
—vs— } Wednesday March 4. 1903
Kirb Kinney

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the rights of jury as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty as charged in the information and assessing his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for forty days, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Kirb Kinney the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed by aforesaid Jury, together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine, costs and imprisonments in Cause No. 76836 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of forty days, and until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 189~~8~~/1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

16838

State of Texas
-vs-
Kid Kinney

Exhibiting
Wednesday Mch 4, 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announce ready for trial, a jury being waived the reading of law as well as of fact was submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and ~~assesses~~ It appearing to the Court that the Defendant has been convicted in County Nos. 24404-24459-24760 as alleged in the Indictment filed and now here assesses his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for forty days. ~~A~~ It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Kid Kinney the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further orders that after the satisfaction of the fine, costs and imprisonment in Cases Nos. 26836 & 26837 said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail for the full period of forty days and until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

6764 State of Texas -vs- Lawrence Washington } aggravated assault. Thursday March 5, 1903.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. E. Keaton and five others who were duly selected, impanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at a fine of $115.00 and thirty days in jail.

J. E. Keaton. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lawrence Washington the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County jail for the full period of thirty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 189 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189 .....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

76377 State of Texas -vs- Walter Sims } Carrying Pistol. Thursday March 5, 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. M. Kirkes and five others who were duly elected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit "We the jury finds the Defendant not guilty. J. M. Kirkes, Foreman"

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

76836 State of Texas -vs- Kid Kinney } Motion to Quash Indictment. Wednesday March 4, 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion to quash the Indictment herein and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts.

V6836 State of Texas } Recognizance
-vs- } Wednesday March 4, 1903.
Kirb Kinney }

This day came into open Court Kirb Kinney Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with J. C. Dennis and J. J. Wheat his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Two Hundred Dollars conditioned that the said Kirb Kinney who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County Texas in this case of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for forty days as more fully appears from the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this case

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

#6836 State of Texas } Motion for New Trial
-vs- } Wednesday Mch 4, 1903
Kid Kinney }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and ~~Cook~~ then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion for a new trial herein and the arguments of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that hearing against said Defendant, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Courts of Criminal of the State of Texas, which is now entered of record in the minutes of this Court, The Recognizance of Defendant fixed by the Court at the sum of Three Hundred Dollars,

#6837 State of Texas } Motion for new trial
-vs- } Wednesday Mch 4, 1903
Kid Kinney }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion for a new trial herein and the arguments of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled To which ruling of the Court

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Courts of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, which is now in session of Record in the minutes of this Court. The recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of Three Hundred Dollars.

76838 State of Texas } Motion New Trial
-vs- } Wednesday Mch 4. 1903.
Kid Kinney

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that ~~the~~ the law is against said Defendant it is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Courts of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas which is now in session of Record in the minutes of this Court. The recognizance of Defendant fixed by the Court at the sum of Three Hundred Dollars.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

76837 State of Texas
-vs-
Kid Kinney
} Recognizance
Wednesday Mch 4. 1903.

This day came into open Court Kid Kinney Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with J. C. Dennis and J. J. Wheat his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Three Hundred Dollars conditioned that the said Kid Kinney who has been convicted in the County Courts of Tarrant County Texas in this case of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for ~~forty~~ days as more fully appears from the judgment of conviction duly entered ~~herein~~ in this cause, shall appear befor this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

76838 State of Texas
-vs-
Kid Kinney
} Recognizance
Wednesday Mch 4. 1903.

This day came into open Court Kid Kinney Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with J. C. Dennis and J. J. Wheat his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Three Hundred Dollars conditioned that the said Kid Kinney who has been convicted in the County Courts of Tarrant County Texas in this case of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

of One Hundred Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for forty days or more fully appears from the judgment & conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgments of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this cause.

State of Texas
-vs-
John Ford
} Monday, March 2. 1903.

It appearing to the Court that the Defendant John Ford, came in to Court while same was in session in an intoxicated condition it is therefore the opinion of the Court that he is in contempt. It is therefore ordered by the Court that a fine of Twenty five dollars be and the same is hereby adjudged against the said John Ford and that he be committed to the County Jail for the full period of three days, for which said fine execution may issue.

See Motion docket

V6399 State of Texas
-vs-
Andy Carroll
} Selling to Minors
Monday March 16. 1903.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: D. T. Copher and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty." D. T. Copher, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

764-51 State of Texas } Disturbing the Peace
-vs- Tuesday March 17. 1903.
Kallum

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. B. McGar and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to

consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:
"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.
L. B. McGee, Foreman.
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

76887 State of Texas vs- J. L. Vincent } Thefft.
Monday March 16. 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Cy Lee and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit
"We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00 and one day in the County Jail. Cy Lee, Foreman.
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. L. Vincent the sum of

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Jw Dollars fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further orders that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of one day and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7691V State of Texas } Costs
-vs- } Monday Mch 16. 1903.
B. H. Grun

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here retracts his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Jw Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant B. H. Grun the sum of Jw Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further orders that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine is paid in full.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1903.

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

16984

State of Texas
-vs-
Henry McCauley

Theft.
Tuesday Mch 17. 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Cy Looper and five others who were duly selected, impaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $1.00 and one day in jail.

Cy Looper, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Henry McCauley the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of one day and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1903.

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

No 6905 | State of Texas -vs- J. M. March | Carrying Pistol. Thursday Mch 19, 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: D. T. Copher and five others who were duly elected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant was arraigned plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty."

D. T. Copher, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

No 6904 | State of Texas -vs- Bud Garrison | Aggravated Assault. Thursday Mch 19, 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Cy Lowe and five others who were duly elected empanneled and sworn according

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $75.00 and six months in the County Jail.

Cy Lowe, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bud Garrison the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of six months and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

---

7697 60 State of Texas } Theft
vs } Friday March 20, 1903.
Jeff Oliver

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Cy Lowe and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Informatin

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00 and ten days in jail.

Cy Lowe, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jeff Oliver the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

V6741 State of Texas } Assault
-vs- } Friday March 20. 1903.
J. A. Williams }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Cy Lowe and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1903.

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

enlinds his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returns into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. Ch Lane, Foreman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

6617 State of Texas } Thefft.
-vs- } Friday Mch 20. 1903.
Thos Curran

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: R. H. Kirig and five others who were duly elected, empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enlinds his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returns into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. R. H. Kirig, Foreman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

6966 State of Texas -vs- Sol Leonard } Abusive Language. Friday Mch 20. 1903.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit R. H. King, Foreman, King and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant was then retired his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. R. H. King, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

6980 State of Texas -vs- George Nixon } Pltffs. Saturday Mch 21. 1903

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: R. H. King and five others who were duly elected, empaneled & sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $30.00 and thirty days in jail.

R. H. King Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Nelson the sum of Thirty Dollars fine assessed by aforesaid verdict with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirty days and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

V6974 State of Texas
-vs-
Jerry Rogers } Theft.
Saturday March 21, 1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1903.

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Cy Lowe and five others who were duly selected impaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Dollar and six months in jail.

Cy Lowe, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jerry Rogers the sum of One Dollar fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further, ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail ~~until said fine and~~ for the full period of six months and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189...

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

V9076 State of Texas -vs- Bill Gillian } Theft. Monday April 13. 1903.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit N. T. Stubbs and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant was then asked his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at ninety days in jail

N. T. Stubbs. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the Defendant stand committed to the County jail for the full period of ninety days and until all costs in this case are paid in full.

V9000 State of Texas -vs- Jess Anderson } Aggravated Assault. Monday Apl. 13. 1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 189~~0~~3

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; J. M. Adams and five others who were duly selected impaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and six months in the County Jail.

J. M. Adams Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jess Anderson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further order that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of six months and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Docket

State of Texas vs. Jim Henderson } Thursday, Common Dife / Monday April 13. 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Whereupon came a jury of good

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

and lawful men to-wit E. F. Lashley and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant was then retired his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars.

E. F. Lashley Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant and Hudson the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

V6981 State of Texas } Aggravated assault
-vs- } Tuesday April 14. 1903.
John White }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

J. N. Douglas and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here retends his Plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retires to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returns into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty of Simple Assault and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars.

J. N. Douglas. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John White the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

---

N 6997 State of Texas } Theft
vs. } Tuesday Apl 14, 1903.
Will Downey

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: N. F. Stubbs and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here retund his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

N. T. Stubbs, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

7904 | State of Texas vs R. W. Jenkins | Disturbing Peace | Tuesday Apl 14. 1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: N. T. Stubbs and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of "not guilty." Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 189[1]

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

at a fine of Twenty Dollars.
N. T. Stubbs, Foreman.
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant R. M. Jenkins the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issued. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7905 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault.
vs } Wednesday April 15. 1903.
Jos Watkinson }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit E. Haywood and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. E. Haywood, Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 189 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

7907 | State of Texas -vs- D. C. Caldwell | Threatening Human Life | Wednesday Apl 15, 1903.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper proon and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit N. T. Stubbs and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law. and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit,

"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

N. T. Stubbs, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

7907r | State of Texas -vs- D. C. Caldwell | Threatening Human Life | Wednesday Apl 15, 1903.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper proon and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit J. M. Adams and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law and

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1903.

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. J. M. Adams, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

7097 State of Texas } Abusive Language.
-vs- } Friday, April 17, 1903.
Jose Salvatierra

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit Jno M. Adams and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment

at a fine of Five Dollars.
Jno M. Adams. Foreman.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jose Salvatierra the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7905O State of Texas } Adultery
vs- } Monday April 20. 1903.
E. J. Palmer

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: A. L. Harris and five others who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. A. L. Harris, Foreman.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

...the... day of ... A. D. 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

7085 State of Texas } Occupation without License
-vs- } Monday April 20th 1903.
Henry Bell

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendants in their own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: A. S. Harris and five others who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendants now here entered their plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendants not guilty". A. S. Harris Foreman.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

7106 State of Texas } Theft.
-vs- } Monday April 20. 1903.
Sylla McConnell

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant

in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawfull men to-wit: W. R. Hurley and five others who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered her plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty." W. R. Hurley. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

V7041

State of Texas
-vs-
Orville Finney
} Theft
Tuesday April 21, 1903.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: D. E. Ermin and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty."

D. E. Erwin, Foreman"

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

7705 H

State of Texas } Assault
vs. } Tuesday April 21. 1903.
Will Harris

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: A. S. Harris and five others who were duly elected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty."

A. S. Harris, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1903.

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

7079 State of Texas -vs- Jack DeMarr } aggravated assault Tuesday April 21. 1903

This day the County Attorney announcing the ready of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit W.D. Davis and five others who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $15.00.

W. D. Davis. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jack DeMarr the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and said execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 189 1903.

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

27107 State of Texas —vs— John Roberts } Embezzlement
Wednesday April 22-1903

This day the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial: Thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit;- W. D. Farris and five others who were duly selected impanelled and Sworn according to law and the information being read to the Jury the Defendent now here enters his plea of Not guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence: Argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their Verdict and after Mature deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to-Wit:- We the Jury find the Defendant Not guilty.

W D Farris Foreman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that The State of Texas take Nothing by reason of this Prosecution and that Defendant go hence. Without day.

27108 State of Texas —vs— W. O. Holly } Malfeasance in Office
Thursday April 30-1903

This day the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also Came the Defendent in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial; Thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men — to-wit;- W D Farris and five others who were duly Selected impanneled and Sworn — according to law and the information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here enters his plea

of Not guilty: Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel. and receiving the Charge of the Court. retired to Consider of their Verdict. And after Mature deliberation returned into open Court. the following Verdict to-Wit:- We the Jury find the Defendant Not-guilty.

W D Farris Forman

It is therefor Ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take Nothing by reason of this prosecution and that Defendant Go hence Without day.

27109 State of Texas -vs- John Jones | Malfeasance in office Thursday April 30-1903

This day the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and Came the Defendent in his own proper person, and both parties announced Ready for trial: Thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men to-Wit:- W.D. Farris. and four others Who Were duly Selected empaneled and Sworn according to law. And the information being read to the Jury. the Defendent now here enters his plea of Not guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence. Argument of Counsel, and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their Verdict, And after Mature deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to-Wit:- We the Jury find the Defendant Not guilty.

W D. Farris Forman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas. take Nothing by reason of this prosecution and that Defendent go hence Without day

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

27254 State of Texas —vs— Marian O'Wallis } Motion for New Trial Friday June 3-1903.

This day Came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, Also Came the Defendant in his own proper person. And then Came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant it is therefore Ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is overruled to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Which is now here Entered of record in the minutes of the Court.

Further ordered that said Defendant have ten days after the adjournment of Court in which to prepare and file Statements of facts herein. The Recognizance of Defendants fixed at the Sum Two hundred and fifty Dollars.

State of Texas —vs— Marian O'Wallis } Recognizance Friday June 3-1903

This day Came into open Court Marian O'Wallis. Defendant in the above entitled Cause Who together With J. C. Young and Jeff D. McLendon Surety acknowledge themselves Severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal Sum of Two hundred and fifty Dollars. Conditioned that the said Marian O'Wallis. Who has been Convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County Texas. in this Case of a Misdemeanor and his Punishment assessed at a fine of One ~~Twenty five~~ Hundred Dollars as more fully appears from the Judgement of Conviction duly entered in this Cause shall appear before this Court from day to day

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

And from term to term of the Same and Not depart without leave from this Court in order to abide the Judgement of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this Cause

2/254 State of Texas -vs- Marian O'Wallis } Motion for 20 days to file Statement of Facts Friday June 3-1902

This day Came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also Came the Defendant in his own proper person, and then Came on to be heard the Defendants Motion to be allowed twenty days in which to file a Statement of facts. and the Argument of Counsel being heard because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the Same is hereby granted

Saturday May 2nd 1903

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in Cause

Attest:—

R L Rogers.....

County Judge

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189 1903

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Be it remembered that on the First Monday in May A.D 1903. The same being the 4th day of May A.D 1903. There was begun and holden a regular Term of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas. at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth: present and presiding Hon R F Millam County Judge. O. S. Lattimore County Attorney. R L Rogers County Clerk and Jno T. Hovis Sheriff of Said County When the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of Said Court:

27003 State of Texas — Vs — Charles Williams } Aggravated Assault
Friday May 8th 1903.

This day the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also Came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced Ready for trial. Thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men to-Wit:- J. G Smith and five others who were duly Selected empaneled and Sworn according to Law and the information being read to the Jury the Defendant Now enters his plea of Not guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, Argument of Council, and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their Verdict, and after Mature deliberation returned in Open Court the following Verdict to-Wit:- We the Jury find the Defendant guilty as Charged in bill of information. and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars

J. G. Smith Forman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover from the Defendant Charles Williams the Sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid to gether With all Costs in this behalf

incurred for Which said fine and Costs Execution May issue, Further ordered that said Defendant Stand Committed to the County Jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full.

27114 State of Texas —vs— Richard James } Aggravated Assault May 5- 1903

This day the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and Came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men to-Wit:- J.S. Smith and five others Who Were duely Selected empaneled and Sworn According to law. and the information being Read to the Jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of Not guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence. Argument of Council and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their Verdict. and After Mature deliberation. returned into open Court the following Verdict to-Wit:- We the Jury find the Defendant Not guilty.

H. Gates Forman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas. take Nothing by reason of this prosecution. and that the Defendant go hence Without day.

27170 State of Texas —Vs— G S Smith } Aggravated Assault May 6th 1903

This day the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and Came the Defendant in his own

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial: Thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful Men to-wit!— J. G. Smith and five others who were duly ~~Sworn~~ Selected impaneled and Sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of Not guilty: Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence. Argument of Counsel. and receiving the Charge of the Court. Retired to Consider of their Verdict. and after Mature deliberation Returned into open Court the following Verdict to-Wit!— We the Jury find the Defendant Not Guilty.

J. G. Smith Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas. take Nothing by reason of this prosecution and that Defendant go hence Without day

27171 State of Texas vs Robert Jones } Theft Friday May 8-1903

This day the County Attorney prosecuting - the pleas of the State J. Also Came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial: Thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit!— J G Smith and five Others who were duly Selected impaneled and Sworn according to law. and the information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon

the Jury after hearing the evidence Argument of Councils, and receiving the Charge of the Court. retired to Consider of their Verdict And after Mature deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to Wit:- We the Jury find the Defendant Not guilty. J. G. Smith Foreman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution. and that Defendant go hence without day.

27/175 State of Texas — Aggravated Assault
— Vs —
Leonard O'Mills — Friday June 19-1903

This day the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also came the Defendant in his own proper person. and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men to-Wit:- C. L. Brown and five others. Who were duly Selected empaneled and Sworn according to law. and the information being Read to the Jury the Defendant Now here enters his plea of Not guilty Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence. Argument of Council. and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their Verdict and after Mature deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to-Wit:- We the Jury find the Defendent ~~Not~~ guilty:- and Assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. C. L. Brown Foreman

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 189 9

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover from the Defendant Leonard Mills the Sum of Twenty-Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. Further Ordered that Defendant stand Committed to the County Jail until Said fine and Costs are paid in full

2/178 State of Texas vs. J. L. Alcott } Aggravated Assault
Monday May 4-1903

This day the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State Also Came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial: Thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men to-Wit:- J. L. Morhead and five others Who were duly Selected Impaneled and Sworn According to law and the information being read to the Jury the Defendent now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence Argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their Verdict, and after Mature deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to-Wit:- We the Jury find the Defendant Not guilty

J. L. Markead Foreman

It is therefore Ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take Nothing by reason of this prosecution. And that Defendant go hence Without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

27181 State of Texas vs Tony Landrum } Theft
Friday May 8- 1903

This day the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-Wit- F. P. Day and five others who were duly Selected empaneled and Sworn according to law. and the information being read to the Jury. The Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty; Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence. argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their Verdict And after mature deliberation. returned into open Court the following Verdict to-Wit- We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty

F. P. Day Foreman

It is therefor Ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence Without Day.

27099 State of Texas vs Louis Shiver } Fornication
Monday May 4- 1903

This day Came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State Also Came the Defendant in his Own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon Came a Jury of Good and lawful men to-Wit- H Gates and five others Who Were duly Selected empaneled and Sworn

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 19 02

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

according to law. and the information being read to the Jury. the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Council and receving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their Verdict, and after Mature deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to Wit/— We the Jury find the Defendant Not guilty

H Gates Forman

It is therefor ordered that by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that Defendant go hence Without day.

2719 7 State of Texas vs Ivory Leek } In the Monday May 18-1903

This day the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendent in his own proper person. and both parties announced ready for trial; Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to Wit R. E. Morris and five others. Who were duly Selected impaneled and Sworn according to law and the information being read to the Jury the defendant now here enters his plea of Not guilty.

R. E. Morris Forman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that Defendant go hence Without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

2/1903

State of Texas — Vs — Tom Hill } Adultery

Wednesday May 6 – 1903

This day the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both partis announced ready for trial; Thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men to wit;- H. Gates and five others Who were duly selected empaneled and Sworn according to law and the information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of Not guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence Argument of Counsil and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their Verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to-Wit:- We the Jury find the Defendant ... guilty as Charged and assess his punishment at a fine of One hundred Dollars

H. Gates Forman

It is therefor Ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover from the Defendant Tom Hill the Sum of One hundred dollars fine assessed as aforsaid to gether With all Costs in this behalf incurred for Which said fine and Costs execution May issue; further Ordered that said Defendant Stand Committed to the County Jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full; Defendent in open Court Waives the two days allowed by law in Which to file and present a Mation for a New trial

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

2704 State of Texas — Vs — J N Berry } Pistol. Monday May 18-1903

This day the County Attorney also came prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties answered ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-Wit:- R S Cloud and five others who were duly selected empaneled and Sworn according to law. and the information being Read to the Jury; The Defendant now here enters his plea of Not guilty; Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, Argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the ~~Jury~~ Court retired to Consider of their Verdict, and after Mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following Verdict to-Wit:- We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and Assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars; R S Cloud Foreman It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover from the Defendant J N Berry the Sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforsaid together With all Costs in this behalf incurred for Which Said fine and Costs execution may issue further Ordered that Said Defendant Stand Commited to the County Jail until Said fine and Costs are paid in full

2714 State of Texas. — Vs — Lewis Clark } Aggravated Assault. Friday May 17th Tuesday May 19-1903

This day the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also Came the Defendant in his own proper person; And both parties

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Announced ready for trial. Whereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men to-Wit:- Robert Young and five others Who Were duly Selected empaneled and Sworn According to law: and the information being read to the Jury. The Dependent Now here enters his plea of not guilty; Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, Argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their Verdict, and after Mature deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to-Wit:- We the Jury find the Dependent guilty. and Assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars

Robert Young Forman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that The State of Texas. do have and recover from the Defendant Lewis Clark the Sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforsaid together With all Costs in this behalf incurred for Which said fine and Costs Execution may issue, Further ordered that said Dependant Stand Committed to the County Jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full.

27216 State of Texas vs John Raines } Throwing Wednesday June 17th 1903

This day the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State Also Came the Dependant in his own proper person. and both parties Announced ready for trial Whereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men to-Wit:- Robert Young and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1908

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

And five others. Who were duly Selected empaneled and Sworn according to law- and the information being read to the Jury. The Dependent now here enters his plea of not guilty Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence. Argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their Verdict and after Mature deliberation returned into open Court. the following Verdict to-Wit:— We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars

Robert Young Foreman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas. do have and recover from the Defendant John Ramis the Sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforsaid together With all Costs in this behalf incurred for which Said fine and Costs Execution may issue further Ordered that Said Defendant Stand Committed to the County Jail until Said fine and Costs are paid in full.

27224 State of Texas. } Theft
— vs — } Thursday June 16-08
Wyatt Brown

This day Came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also Came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men to-Wit:— C. S. Kenykendall and five others Who were duly Selected empaneled and Sworn according to law. and upon the

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189
Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of Not guilty: Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence: Argument of Counsel. and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their Verdict. and after Mature deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to-Wit= We. the Jury find the Defendant Not guilty. E. L. Kuykendall Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take Nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence Without day.

2725 State of Texas. | Open on Sunday
— VS — | Thursday June 18-03
Marion Wallis |

This day Came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also. Came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial: Thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men to-Wit:- E. L. Kuykendall and five others Who Were duly elected empaneled and Sworn according to-law and the ~~indictment~~ information being read to the Jury The Defendant here now enters his plea of Guilty: Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, Argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court; retired to Consider of their Verdict and after Mature deliberation returned into open Court, The following Verdict to-Wit:- We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

punishment at a fine of Twenty-
Dollars.
E. S. Kuykendall Forman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that
The State of Texas. do have and recover
from the Defendant Marian Wallis
the Sum of. Twenty Dollars fine assessed
as aforsaid together With all Costs in
this behalf incurred for Which said
fine and Costs execution May issue
Further ordered that said Defendant
Stand Committed to the County Jail
until the said fine and Costs are paid
in full.

2nd 288 State of Texas | Aggravated Assault
— vs — | Tuesday June 16-03
Ora Hollo |

This day Came the County
Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State
also Came the Defendant in his own
proper person and both parties
announced ready for trial. Thereupon
Came a Jury of good and lawful
men to-Wit:— W. L. Ligon and five others
Who Were duly Selected empaneled and
and Sworn according to law and the
information being read to the Jury the
Defendant Now here enters his plea
of Not guilty. Whereupon the Jury
After hearing the evidence argument of
Council and receiving the Charge of the
Court. Retired to Consider of their Verdict
and After Mature deliberation returned
into open Court the following Verdict
to-Wit:— We the Jury find the Defendant
Not guilty. W. L. Ligon Jr Forman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that
The State of Texas take Nothing

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 189

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

by reason of this prosecution and that Defendant go hence without day.

27289 State of Texas vs A L. Hutto } Aggravated Assault Tuesday June 16-03

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-Wit;-A S McMorris and five others who were duly Selected empaneled and Sworn according to law and the information being read to the Jury: The Defendant now here enters his plea of Not guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence argument of Council. and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their Verdict. and after Mature deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to-Wit;- We the Jury find the Defendant guilty as Charged and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars

A S McMorris Foreman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover from the Defendant A L. Hutto the Sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforsaid together With All Costs in this behalf incurred for Which said fine and Costs Execution May issue: Further ordered that said Defendant Stand Committed to the County Jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

2/290 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault.
— Vs —
Ora Hutto } Tuesday June 16-03

This day Came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also Came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial: Thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men to-Wit:- W.R. Pearson and five others: Who were duly selected empaneled and Sworn according to law and the information being read to the Jury: The Defendant now here enters his plea of Not guilty: Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence argument of Council. and receiving the Charge of the Court. retired to Consider of their Verdict And after Mature deliberation returned in to open Court the following Verdict to wit:- We the Jury find the Defendant Not guilty.

W.R. Pearson Foreman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas. take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that Defendant go hence Without day.

2/312 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
— Vs —
Robbert Little } Monday June 15-1903

This day Came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also Came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men to Wit- W.R. Pearson and five others. Who were duly selected empaneled and Sworn according to law and the

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of Not guilty: Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence Argument of Council and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their Verdict. and after Mature deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to-Wit:- We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

W. R. Pearsons Forman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover from the Defendant: Robert Little the Sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine Assessed as aforesaid together With all Costs in this behalf incurred for Which said fine and Costs Execution May issue: Further ordered that said Defendant Stand Committed to the County Jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full.

2733/5 State of Texas vs Will Strange } Aggravated Assault June 16-1903

This day Came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State: Also Came the Defendant in his own proper person. and both parties announced ready for trial thereupon Came a Jury of Good and Lawful men to-Wit:- C. Lindley and four others Who Were duly Selected empaneled and Sworn According to law: and the information being read to the Jury: The Defendant now here enters his plea of Not guilty. Whereupon the Jury after

hearing the evidence. Argument of Council. and receiving the Charge of the Court: retired to Consider of their Verdict: and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to-Wit- We the Jury find the Defendant Not guilty-

C. Lindsey Forman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas. take Nothing by reason of this prosecution and that Defendant go hence Without day.

27328 State of Texas — Vs — Sam Robinson } - Theft - Friday June 19-03

This day Came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also Came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men to-Wit;- A.S.McMorris and five others: Who Were duely Selected empaneled and Sworn according to law and the information being read to the Jury The Defendant herein now enters his plea of not guilty: Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence Argument of Council and receiving the Charge of the Court. Retired to Consider of their Verdict: and after Mature deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to-Wit- We the Jury find the Defendant Not Guilty.

A.S.McMorris Forman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas. take Nothing by reason of this prosecution and that Defendant go hence Without day

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

27332 State of Texas | Theft
— Vs — | Wednesday June 17-03
Will Sweeney

This day Came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also. Came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful Men to-Wit:- W. R. Pearson and five Others. Who Were duly Selected. empaneled and Sworn according to law. and the information being read to the Jury The Defendant now here enters his plea of Not guilty: Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence Argument of Council. and receiving the Charge of the Court. retired to Consider of their Verdict: And after Mature deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to-Wit— We The Jury find the Defendant not guilty

W R Pearson Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that Defendant go hence Without day.

27354 State of Texas | Aggravated Assault
— Vs — | Thursday June 18-03
Joe Crowley

This day the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also Came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men to Wit:- E & Newby and five others Who Were duly Selected empaneled and Sworn according

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

to law. and the information being read to the Jury; The Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence. Argument of Counsel. and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their Verdict and after Mature deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to-Wit- We The Jury find the Defendant. guilty. as Charged and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars. and Thirty days in the County Jail.

E. L. Newby Foreman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas. do have and recover from The Defendant Jos Crowley the Sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as. aforesaid to gether With all Costs in this behalf incured for Which said fine and Costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant Stands Committed to the County Jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full.

2744 State of Texas. vs Charley Forney } Vagrancy. Tuesday June 16- 1903

This day the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and Came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial; Thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men as follows to-Wit- R. Young and five others to Wit- Who Were duly Selected empaneled and Sworn according to law and the information being read to the Jury. The Defendant Now

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

here enters his plea of not guilty Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence Argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their Verdict: and after Mature deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to-Wit/- We The Jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars/

R Young Foreman

It is therefore Ordered by the Court that the State of Texas. do have and recover from the Defendant Charley Forney The Sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together With all Costs in this behalf incurred for Which said fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant Stand Committed to the County Jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full

2714 5

State of Texas
-vs-
Boss Clark

Theft
Tuesday June 16-03

This day the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for Trial. Thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men to Wit/- J G Smith and five others Who were duly Selected empaneled and Sworn according to law. and the Information being read to the Jury. The Defendant Now here enters his plea of Not Guilty; Whereupon the Jury after hearing the Evidence. argument of Council and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their Verdict and after Mature

deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to-Wit:— We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Dollar and one hour in Jail.

J. S. Smith Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that The State of Texas do have and recover from the Defendant Boss-Clark the Sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant Stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full.

27254 State of Texas vs Marian Wallis | Motion For New Trial Friday June 3-1903

This day Came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also Came the Defendant in his own proper person and then Came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the Defendant. It is therefor ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the Same is overruled to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of Appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of The State of Texas Which is now here entered of record in the Minutes of the Court. Further ordered that said Defendant have ten days after the

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Adjournment of Court in which to Prepare and file Statements of facts herein; The Recognizance of Defendants fixed at the Sum of Two-hundred and fifty dollars

27254 State of Texas — vs — Marian Wallis } Recognizance. Friday July 3-1903

This day Came into open Court Marian Wallis Defendant in the above entitled Cause. Who together With J C Young and Jeff D McLean his Sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal Sum of Two hundred and fifty dollars Conditioned that the said Marian Wallace Who has been Convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County Texas. in this Case of a Misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of One hundred — Dollars. as more fully appears from the Judgment of Conviction duly entered in this Cause Shall Appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the Same and not depart without leave from this Court in order to abide the Judgement of the Court of Criminal Appeals of The State of Texas in this Cause.

27254 State of Texas — vs — Marian Wallis } Motion for Twenty days to file Statement of Facts. Friday July 3-1903

This day Came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and Came the Defendant in his own proper person and then Came

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 189 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

On to be heard Defendants Motion to be Allowed Twenty days in which to file a Statement of facts and the argument of Counsel being heard because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant it is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the Same is hereby granted:-

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1903

Monday the 6" day of July A. D. 1903

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in July A.D. 1903, the same being the 6th day of July A.D. 1903, there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, present and presiding the Hon R. F. Milam, County Judge, O. S. Latimore County Attorney, R. L. Rogers, County Clerk and Jno. T. Norris Sheriff of said County wherein the following proceedings were had upon the criminal Docket, Viz:

27294

State of Texas
vs
J. E. N. Allen

Agg. Assault

Tuesday July 6" 1903

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Frank Mullins, and five others, who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury, the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty; Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court, the following verdict to-wit; We, the Jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00.

Frank Mullins
Forman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

..... the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

recover of and from the Defendant J. E. St. Allen, all of the costs in this behalf expended for which let execution issue. Further ordered that the said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until the said fine and costs are paid in full.

2740

State of Texas }
vs. } Assault
Jack Rivera } Monday July 6th 1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for Trial. Thereupon came a a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit- W. H. Williams and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

We the Jury find the Defendant not Guilty. W. H. Williams foreman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas tote nothing by reason of this prosecution and that Defendant go hence without day.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

27416

State of Texas
vs
F. H. Rodgers } Assault

Tuesday July 7th 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: L A Green and five others who were duly selected empannuled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury. The Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open open Court the following verdict to-wit:- We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty. L A. Green, Foreman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas tak nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day

27425

State of Texas
vs
Frank Shields } Sunday Selling

Tuesday July 7th 1903.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit- C E Gidsley and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the information being read

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not Guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned in to open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty. C E Parsley. Foreman."

It is therefor ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day

9743 V

State of Texas
vs
Ed Williams
} Agg. Assault
Monday July 6th 1903.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon come a jury of good and lawful men to-wit:- W L Williams foreman, and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:- "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty. W L Williams. Foreman"

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day

27433

State of Texas } Agg. Assault
vs.
A J Oney } Monday July 6" 1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. L. Williams and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty. W. L. Williams Foreman"

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

27466

State of Texas } Fornication
vs.
A Jack Oney } Tuesday July 7" 1903

This day come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

...the... day of ... A. D. 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Whereupon Came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W K Williams, Foreman and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to the law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty of fornication and assess his punishment at a fine of $300.00. W. K. Williams Foreman"

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jack Oney ~~the sum~~ of $300.00 fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incured for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail untill said fine and costs are paid in full.

27500

State of Texas
vs
Jim Whitlow
} Agg. Assault
Wednesday 8th 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon come a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. H. Judkins, and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the information

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned this plea of not guilty Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:- "We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 J.W. Judkins Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Whitlow the sum of Twenty Five Dollors. fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incured for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered by the Court that said Defendant Stand Committed to the County Jail untill said fine and costs are paid in full.

27507

State of Texas )
vs ) Assault
Wm Jenkins )

Wednesday July 8th 1903

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W.A. Williams and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of the counsel and receiving the Charge

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:" We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00 W. H. Williams, foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Wm Jenkins, the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail untill said fine and costs are paid in full

2752 State of Texas } Agg. Assault
vs
Passey White } Monday July 20, 1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon come a jury of good and lawful men to wit:- J.N. Edmonson and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of the Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:- "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $100 Dollars. J. N. Edmonson, Foreman."

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Casey White, the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered the said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

27564 State of Texas } Theft
A. C. ½ Turner } Tuesday July 21-1903

This day come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit:- J N Edmonson and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. J A Edmonson, Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept Term, 1903

Monday the 7th day of September A. D. 1903

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Be it remembered that on the first Monday in September A.D. 1903, the same being the 7th day of September A.D. 1903, there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House thereof in the city of Fort Worth, present and presiding the Hon R.T. Milam County Judge, O.L. Latimore, County attorney, R.L. Rogers, County Clerk, Jno T. Honea, Sheriff of said County, wherein the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket Viz:-

27589

State of Texas
vs
Sam Elliston

Selling Liquor to Habitual Drunkard
Thursday Sept 10-1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; Cal Bates, and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury, the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty. Cal Bates, Foreman"

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

27625

State of Texas
vs
Harry Cohen

Cards

Wednesday Sept. 9th 1903

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Ol Gates, and five others, who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the Defendant here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon, the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty, Ol Gates, Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day

27632

State of Texas
vs
Virginia Wear.

Agg. Assault

Wednesday Sept 9th 1903

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J N Withers and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the Defendand now here returned his plea of not Guilty.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept Term, 189 2

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty. J. N. Withers Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

27663

State of Texas
vs
E J Palmer

Sept

This Friday Sept 11- 1903 day came the County Attorney Prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; A. Q. Robinson, and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of the counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:- "We the Jury find the Defendant not Guilty" A Q Robinson, Foreman,

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

27677

State of Texas
vs
John Bewley

Adultery

Wednesday Sept 9th - 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit:- J N Withers and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation has returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:- "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. J. N. Withers. Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day

27682

State of Texas
vs
Ed Stills

Agg. Assault

Tuesday Sept. 8th. 1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. N. Withers and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evedence argument of the Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict; "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty J. N. Withers, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day

27480

State of Texas
vs
Collin Baker } Theft

Wednesday Sept 23-1903

This came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: D. H. Hightower and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now has returned his plea of not guilty

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of the Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:- "We the jury find the defendand Collin Baker, not guilty.

D H Hightower

Foreman

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

27645

State of Texas
vs
Lula Washington } Agg. Assault.

Wednesday Sept 23-1903

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; H Gates, and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty" H Gates, Foreman,

It is therfor ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day

27871

State of Texas
vs
Carl Parks } Theft

Wednesday Oct. 7th 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; P.L. Hovenkamp, and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the Defendant, now here returned his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:- "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty J D Norenkamp, Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

27863

State of Texas
vs
John Westbrook } Agg. Assault

Monday Oct 5th 1903.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and men to wit; F. P. Day, and five others, who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty. F. P. Day. Foreman."

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

27841

State of Texas
vs
S. E. McCauley

Agg. Assault

Monday. Oct 5th 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announce ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: H. Gates, and five others, who were duly elected empanneled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:- "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty. H. Gates Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

27655

State of Texas
vs
Will Andrews

Motion for new trial

Tuesday Sept 16-1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant, it is therfore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the criminal docket of this Court.

27722 State of Texas }
vs. } Motion for new Trial
J B Hardin } Monday Oct 12th 1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came Defendant in his own proper person and then come on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant, it is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

27752 State of Texas }
vs. } Motion for new Trial
J J Shanklin } Saturday Sept 26th 1903

This day come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also come the Defendant in his own proper person and then come on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant, it is therefor ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that the said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

27830

State of Texas
vs
Lonnie Jasper

Motion for New Trial
Friday Sept 25th 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and then come on to be heard the Defendants motion for new trial herein, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant, it is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal docket of this Court.

27831

State of Texas
vs
Autry Strowd

Motion for new Trial
Friday Sept 25" 1903

This day come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also come the Defendant in his own propers person and then come on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, And the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant, it is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the criminal docket of this Court.

27882

State of Texas
vs
Geo Dillard

Motion for New Trial
Monday Oct 5th 1903.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also come the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant, it is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the criminal Docket of this Court.

27872

State of Texas
vs
J J Stelzer
Motion for New Trial
Friday Oct 9th 1903.

This day come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then come on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant, it is therefor ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is herby sustained and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the criminal docket of this Court.

27853

State of Texas
vs
Fritz Byers
Motion for new Trial
Friday October 9th 1903.

This day come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also come the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants

motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of the counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant, it is therefor ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the criminal docket of this Court.

27752

State of Texas
vs
J J Shankles
Motion for New Trial.
Monday Oct 12" 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant, it is therefor ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

Error
See Page 216

27599

State of Texas
vs
Bob Crowson
Motion to Quash
Tuesday Sept 22" 1903.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash complaint herein. And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept Term, 189
the day of A. D. 189
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Defendant it is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

27814

State of Texas
vs
A J Kindrick } Recognizance
Monday Oct 5th 1903

This day came into open Court, A.J. Kindrick, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with J.D. McLean and Kirk Holland, his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Two Hundred Dollars; conditioned, that the said A.J. Kindrick, who has been convicted in this cause of a misdemeanor, and his punishment assessed at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars, as more fully appear by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this case

Fine remitted in this case 9/24-03. See docket 39 Page 322

State of Texas
vs
Piper } Wednesday Sept 23- 1903

It appearing to the Court that the Defendant — Piper, came into court while same was in session, in an intoxicated condition it is therefor the opinion of the Court that he is in contempt. It is therefor ordered by the Court that a fine of Twenty Five Dollars be and the same is hereby adjudged against the said Piper and that he be

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

to the County jail for the full period of two days, for which said fine & execution may issue.

2-7752

State of Texas
vs
J J Shankles

Recognizance
Saturday October 17. 1903.

This day came into open court J.J. Shankles Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with Sam Elliston and Frank Elliston, his sureties acknowledged themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $400 cc, conditioned that the said J.J. Shankles who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this case of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of One Hundred Dollars, as more fully appears from the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case

27752

State of Texas
vs
J J Shankles

Motion for New Trial
Monday October 12. 1903

This day come the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also come the Defendant in his own proper person and then come on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant, it is therefor ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, which is now entered of record in the minutes of this Court. The Recognizance of Defendant fixed by the Court at the sum of Four Hundred Dollars

27960 State of Texas vs Jeand Doyle } Motion for New Trial

Friday October 16th 1903

This day come the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State come also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new Trial herein, and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the said Defendant, It is therefor ordered by the Court that the said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of ~~the State of~~ the State of Texas, which is now entered of record in the minutes of this Court. Recognizance of Defendant fixed by the Court at the sum of Twenty Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars 11/21-03

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept Term, 1903

Friday the 17th day of October A. D. 1903.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

27961

State of Texas
vs
Claud Doyle

Motion for New Trial
Friday October 17th 1903

This day come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also come the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new Trial herein And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the said Defendant, it is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, which is now entered of record in the minutes of this Court

Recognizance of Defendant, fixed by the Court at the sum of Twenty Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars. 11/21-03.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

Monday the 2" day of Nov A. D. 1903

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in November A D 1903, the same being the 2" day of November A.D. 1903, there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House thereof, in the City of Fort Worth, present and presiding the Hon. R. F. Milam, County Judge, O L Lattimore, County Attorney R L Rogers, County Clerk, and Jno T Hones Sheriff of said County, wherein the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket, viz:-

— Venire Facias — —

Now comes Jno T. Hones, Sheriff of Tarrant County, and brings into open Court the Venire Facias, for this the first week of the November term of the County Court of said County, and upon the names being theron called the following qualified jurors answered to-wit: D F Ross, Dow Martin, Jesse Jones, A H Sewell, W K Sprinkle, Green Chapman, A D Colvin, H Edmonson, T A Dunlap, J E Kaggs J C Reid, O B Jordon, G W Marcum A D Lassiter and John T Wedington, who were all sworn, tried and placed upon the panel for the week.

28038

State of Texas }
vs }
Lee Tignor } Motion for New Trial

Monday Nov 2" 1903

This day come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also come the Defendant in his own proper person, and then come on to be heard Defendants Motion for a New trial herein, And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon, because

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

Monday the 2 day of Nov A. D. 1903

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the said Defendant, it is therefor ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of The State of Texas, which is now entered of record in the minutes of this Court. The recognizance of Defendant fixed by the Court at the sum of Three Hundred Dollars.

28038

State of Texas
vs
Lee Tignor

Recognizance

Monday Nov 2nd 1903

This day came into open Court Lee Tignor, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with Jake Johnson and J. C. Dennis, his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of Three Hundred Dollars; conditioned, that the said Lee Tignor, who has been convicted in this cause of a misdemeanor, and his punishment assessed at a fine of Seventy Five Dollars and fifty days imprisonment in the County Jail, as more fully appears from the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this cause.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1893

Monday the 27 day of Nov A. D. 1893 1903

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

28013

State of Texas
vs
Pete Newman } Motion for New Trial
Monday Nov 2nd 1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new Trial herein, and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the said Defendant, it is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, To which ruling of the Court Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, which is now entered of record in the minutes of this Court. The recognizance of Defendant fixed by the Court at the sum of Three Hundred Dollars.

28013

State of Texas
vs
Pete Newman } Recognizance
Monday Nov 2nd 1903

This day come into open Court Pete Newman, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with Jake Johnson and J.C. Dennis, his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Three Hundred Dollars; conditioned that the said Pete Newman who has been convicted in this court of a misdemeanor, and his punishment assessed at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars, and imprisonment in

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

Monday the V day of Nov A. D. 189 1903.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers

the County Jail for a period of ten days as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this cause.

28015

State of Texas
vs
Arthur Hooks

Motion for New Trial
Monday November 2nd 1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the said Defendant, it is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled To which ruling of the Court Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. which is now entered of record in the minutes of this Court. The Recognizance of Defendant fixed by the Court at the sum of Three Hundred Dollors.

28015

State of Texas
vs
Arthur Hooks

Recognizance
Monday. Nov 2nd 1903

This day came into open Court, Arthur Hooks, Defendant in the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 189 1903

Monday the 2 day of Nov A. D. 189 1903

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

above entitled cause, who, together with T B James, and T A James, his Sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Three Hundred Dollars; conditioned, that the said Arthur Hoods, who has been convicted in this cause of a misdemeanor, and his punishment assessed at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and imprisonment in the County jail for a period of ten days, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

28035

State of Texas
vs
Tom Erwin

Motion for New Trial
Monday Nov 2nd 1903

This day come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also come the Defendant in his own proper person and then come on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the said Defendant, it is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

Monday the 2 day of Nov A. D. 1903

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

State of Texas, which is now entered of record in the minutes of this Court— The Recognizance of Defendant fixed by the Court at the sum of Three Hundred Dollars.

28025

State of Texas }
vs
Tim Erwin } Recognizance
Monday Nov 2. 1903.

This day came into open Court Tim Erwin, Defendant, in the above entitled cause, who, together with Jake Johnson, and J.C. Dennis, his Sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of Three Hundred Dollars; conditioned, that the said Tim Erwin, who has been convicted in this cause of a misdemeanor, and his punishment assessed at a fine of Fifty Dollars, and imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of ten days, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

28014

State of Texas }
vs
Ernest Barr } Motion for New Trial.
Monday Nov 2. 1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

Monday the 2 day of Nov A. D. 189 1903

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the said Defendant, it is therefor ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas which is now entered of record in the minutes of this Court. The Recognizance of Defendant fixed by the Court at the sum of Three Hundred Dollars.

28014

State of Texas }
vs } Recognizance
Ernest Barr } Monday Nov 2. 1903.

This day came into open Court Ernest Barr, Defendant, in the above entitled cause, who, together with Jame Johnson and J. C. Dennis his Sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Three Hundred Dollars conditioned, that the said Ernest Barr, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County Texas in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of Fifty Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of ten Days, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

Monday the 2 day of Nov A. D. 1903

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

28012

State of Texas }
vs } Motion for New Trial
Ed Bailey } Monday. Nov 2nd 1903

This day come the County attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also come the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant, it is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled To which ruling of the Court Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, which is now entered of record in the minutes of this Court. The Recognizance of Defendant fixed by the Court at the sum of Three Hundred Dollars

28012

State of Texas }
vs } Recognizance
Ed Bailey } Monday. Nov 2nd 1903.

This day come into open Court, Ed Bailey, Defendant, in the above entitled cause, who, together with T B James and T. B. James, his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Three Hundred Dollars; Conditioned that the said Ed Bailey, who has been

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 189 3

Monday the V day of Nov A. D. 189 1903

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St Louis, Mo.

convicted in this cause of a misdemeanor, and his punishment assessed at a fine, of Twenty Five Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of ten Days, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case.

28007

State of Texas
vs
George Reeves
} Motion for New Trial
Monday Nov 2-1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also come the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant, it is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, which is now entered of record in the minutes of this Court. The Recognizance of Defendant fixed by the Court at the sum of Three Hundred Dollars

28007

State of Texas
vs
George Reeves
} Recognizance
Monday Nov. 2. 1903.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

Monday the 5 day of Nov A. D. 1903

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers

This day came into open Court, George Reeves, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with Jake Johnson and J. C. Dennis his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Three Hundred Dollors, conditioned that the said George Reeves, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County Texas in this case of a misdemeanor, and his punishment assessed at a fine of Twenty Five Dollors, and imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of ten days, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

27960

State of Texas
vs
Claude Doyle

Recognizance
Saturday Nov 21st 1903

This day came into open Court Claude Doyle, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with R. L. Crowder, Jno W Gray, J N Diehl, J. F. Summers, W R Rabold and W B Scott, his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Twenty two Hundred and fifty Dollors, conditioned, that the said Claud Doyle, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor, and his punishment assessed at a fine of One Thousand

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

~~Thousand~~ Dollars and imprisonment for two years in the County Jail, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this Case.

7961

State of Texas }
vs } Recognizance
Claude Doyle } Saturday Nov 21-1903

This day came into open Court Claude Doyle, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with A L Crowder, Jno W Wray, J N Diehl, J T Summers, W R Cook and W B Scott, his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of Twenty Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars; Conditioned, that the said Claud Doyle, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County Texas, in this Cause of a misdemeanor, and his punishment assessed at a fine of One Thousand Dollars, and imprisonment for two years in the County Jail, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear befor this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this Case.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

28011

State of Texas
vs
Henry Lovette

Motion for New Trial
Monday Nov. 16th 1903

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, And the argument of the counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the said Defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of Appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, which is now entered of record in the minutes of this Court, Recognizance of Defendant fixed by the Court at the sum of Three Hundred Dollars.

28011

State of Texas
vs
Henry Lovette

Recognizance
Monday Nov 16-1903.

This day came into open Court Henry Lovette, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with, Jake Johnson and J.C. Dennis, his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Three Hundred Dollars, conditioned that the said Henry Lovette, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor, and his punishment assessed at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and imprisonment for ten days in the County Jail as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 189 1903

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

the day of A. D. 189

this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this case

2803 4

State of Texas }
vs
Mart Ozee } Motion for New Trial

Monday November 16th. 1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new trial herein, And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon, for it is the opinion of the Court thos the law is against the said Defendant, It is therefor ordered by the Court that the said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled, To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, which is now entered of record in the minutes of this Court. Recognizance of Defendant fixed by the Court at the sum of Three Hundred Dollars.

2803 4

State of Texas }
vs
Mart Ozee } Recognizance

Monday November 16-1903

This day came into open Court Mart Ozee, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with J M Gross and J L Morris, his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Three Hundred Dollars, conditioned, that the said Mart Ozee, who has been convicted in the

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor, and his punishment assessed at a fine of Twenty Five Dollors and imprisonment for ten days in the County Jail, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case,

28036

State of Texas
vs
Mart Ozee

Motion for New Trial
Monday Nov 16th 1903.

This day come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new trial herein, And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon, for it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the said Defendant, It is therefor ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, which is now entered of record in the minutes of this Court, Recognizance of Defendant fixed by the Court at the sum of Three Hundred Dollors.

18036

State of Texas
vs
Mart Ozee

Recognizance
Monday Nov 16th 1903

This day come into open Court

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Mart Ozee, Defendant, in the above entitled cause, who, together with, J M Gross and J.L. Morris, his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Three Hundred Dollars, conditioned, that the said Mart Ozee, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County Texas, in this Cause of a misdemeanor, and his punishment assessed at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and imprisonment for ten days in the County Jail, as more fully appears by the judgment of Conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this cause.

28061

State of Texas }
vs } Motion for New Trial
E.A. Sutton } Monday Nov 23, 1903

This day Come the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also come the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new trial herein, And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant, it is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 189 1903

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189 .....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

7/8088

State of Texas
vs
T. T. Boyd

Motion for New Trial
Wednesday, November 18th 1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also come the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon for it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant, it is therefor ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

2-7951

State of Texas
vs
Daniel Finn

Motion for New Trial
Monday November 23-1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also, come the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, And the argument of the counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant, it is therefor ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

27814

State of Texas
vs
E L Thielmon

Motion for New Trial
Monday November 23. 1903

This day come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also come the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

of the Court that the law is against said Defendant, it is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

27975

State of Texas
vs
W. W. Robberts } Motion for new Trial

Saturday November 21st 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant, it is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is herby overruled. To which ruling of the Court Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, which is now entered of record in the minutes of this Court.

Recognizance of Defendant fixed by the Court at the sum of Five Hundred Dollors.

28119

State of Texas
vs
Kid Kinney } Motion for New Trial

Tuesday Dec 1st 1903

This day Come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also come the Defendant in his own proper person, and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a New Trial herein, and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

is against the said Defendant, It is therfore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

To which ruling of the Court, Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant fixed by the Court at the Sum of Five Hundred Dollars.

28119

State of Texas }
vs. } Recognizance
Kid Kinney } Tuesday Dec. 1st. 1903.

This day came into open Court Kid Kinney, Defendant, in the above entitled cause, who, together with J. J. Ware and J. C. Dennis, his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Five Hundred Dollars, conditioned that the said Kid Kinney, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor, and his punishment assessed at a fine of Two Hundred Dollars and imprisonment for twenty days in the County Jail, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

...the... day of ... A. D. 189...

28124

State of Texas }
vs
Fred Jones } Motition for New Trial

Tuesday December 1st 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new Trial herein, And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon, Because it is the opinion of the Court, that the law is against said Defendant, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court Defendant excepts, and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

Recognizance of Defendant fixed by the Court at the sum of Three Hundred Dollars.

28124

State of Texas. }
vs
Fred Jones } Recognizance

Tuesday. Dec. 1st 1903.

This day came into open Court Fred Jones, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with Chas Plumm and Ernest Quickenstedt, his sureties, acknowledged themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of Three Hundred Dollars, Conditioned that the said Fred Jones, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor, and his punishment assessed at a fine of One Hundred Dollars, and imprisonment in the County Jail for forty days, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Court, in order to abide the Judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case.

27523

State of Texas }
vs } Theft
Henry Seibes } Monday Nov 17th 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit:- N. T. Stubbs, and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty, N. T. Stubbs, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

27649

State of Texas }
vs } Theft
Jon Robinson } Monday Nov 16th 1903

This came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a Jury of good

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1893

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

and lawful men to wit; Sid Wiley and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not Guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to Consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:- "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty. Sid Wiley, Foreman,

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day

27858

State of Texas
vs
E. L. Thielman

Open On Sunday, Thursday Nov. 19" 1893

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial; Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; J. H. Woods, and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not Guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant

not Guilty, J. N. Woods, Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

State of Texas }
vs. } Adultery
Sonnie Nichols } Thursday Nov. 19th 1903

D7901

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. F. Wellington and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not Guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty, J. F. Wellington Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

State of Texas }
vs. } Agg. Assault
L. F. Delozier } Wednesday Nov 18th 1903

27916

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J W Judkins, and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty. J W Judkins, Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

27919

State of Texas }
vs } Theft
Henry Pakes } Tuesday Dec 1st. 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. C. Billings and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the counsel

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;- We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty. M C Billings, Forman."

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

28009

State of Texas
vs
Kid Kinney } Cards

Wednesday Dec 2nd 1903

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; T. A. Dunlap, and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguement of the counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature debberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty, T. A. Dunlap Forman"

It is therefor ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Noon Term, 189 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

2801

State of Texas
vs
John Basham } Sunday Selling
Tuesday Nov 17th 1903

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; J.H. Wood and five others, who were duly selected empannoled and sworn according to law and the indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation have returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty. J.H. Wood, Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas, take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day

2802

State of Texas
vs
Sam Basham } Sunday Selling
Tuesday Nov 17th 1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; J.H. Woods, and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the information

Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon, the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the Counsel and receiving the charge of court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:- "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty, J. H. Woods, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas, take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

28042

State of Texas }
vs } Agg Assault
Jas Evans } Monday Nov. 16th 1903.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: A. L. Lassiter, and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the information being Read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, Argument of the Counsel, and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty, A. L. Lassiter, Foreman",

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 189 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

28044

State of Texas
vs
Willie Preston } Dist Court
Tuesday Nov. 17th 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit:- - - - - Foreman and five others who were duly selected empannoled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence arguments of the Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty" - - - Foreman."

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

28115

State of Texas
vs
Tom Phism } Exhibiting
Tuesday Dec 1st 1903

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit;- T.C Rushing and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury, the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty, T.C. Rushing Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

28122

State of Texas
vs
Jack Ford } Exhibiting
Tuesday Dec 1st 1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to wit. Pete Silver and five others, who were duly selected empannoled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the Jury the Defendant now here returned their plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

hearing the evidence, argument of the Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty, Pete Strayer Foreman.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day

28130

State of Texas }
vs. } Exhibiting
Mart Davis } Tuesday Dec 1st 1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; N. T. Stubbs and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty. N. T. Stubbs Foreman"

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers

28188

State of Texas }
vs } Setting
Grant Leroy } Wednesday Dec 2nd 1903

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; N T Stubbs and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of the counsel. and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty, N. T. Stubbs Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

27951

State of Texas }
vs } Theft
Daniel Fin } Wednesday Nov. 18th 1903

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

...the... day of ... A. D. 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

evidence argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant Guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Three Hundred Dollars, and imprisonment for six months in the county jail.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant, Daniel Fein, the sum of Three Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County jail until said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of six months

28011

State of Texas
vs
Henry Lovette } ~~Cards~~ Exhibiting
Monday Nov 16th 1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty.

Whereupon Came the Court after hearing the evidence argument of the counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and imprisonment for ten days in the County Jail.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Henry Lovette, the sum of Twenty five Dollars, fine

assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the jail of said County untill said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of ten days.

2801 v

State of Texas }
vs } Exhibiting
Ed Bailey } Monday Nov 2nd 1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and imprisonment for ten days in the County Jail.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed Bailey, the sum of ~~Forty~~ Twenty Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that the said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail untill said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of ten days.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

2801

State of Texas }
vs } Exhibiting
Pete Newman } Monday Nov 2d 1903

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and imprisonment for the days in the County Jail.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Pete Newman the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of ten days.

2803

State of Texas }
vs } Exhibiting
Mart Ozee. } Monday Nov 16th 1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also come the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the ordered argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and imprisonment for ten days in the County Jail.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mark Ozee, the sum of Twenty Five Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County jail until said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of ten days.

28036

State of Texas
vs
Mark Ozee } Exhibiting

Tuesday Nov 16 1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and being advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars, and imprisonment for ten days in the County jail.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover

of and from the Defendant Mart Ozee, the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County jail untill said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of ten days.

28067

State of Texas
vs
Dave Gorman

Sunday Selling
Monday Nov 16th 1903

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dave Gorman the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County jail untill said fine and cost are fully paid

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

28119

State of Texas }
vs. } Exhibiting
Kid Kinney } Tuesday Dec 1st 1903

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Thos Resnall, Foreman, and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the ~~Indictment~~ ~~information~~ being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evedence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess his punishment at a fine of Two Hundred Dollors and imprisonment for twenty days in the County jail. Thos Resnall. Forman."

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Kid Kinney the sum of Two Hundred Dollors fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs in this behalf incurred for which fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant Kid committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of twenty days.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 189 1903

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

28094

State of Texas }
vs } Motion for New Trial
E. A. Cassidy } Monday Dec 7th 1903.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the said Defendant.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Jan'y Term, 1904

Monday the Fourth day of January A. D. 1904

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

State of Texas
County of Tarrant } Be it remembered that on this the first monday in January A D 1904 the same being the first Monday in January A D 1904 there was begun and holden a regular term of the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Ft Worth Texas, present and presiding the Hon R. T. Milam, County Judge, O S Lattimore, County Attorney R. L. Rogers, County Clerk, and Jno. T. Honea, Sheriff of Tarrant County, when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket to-wit:

— Venire Facias —

This day came Jno T Honea, Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas and brings into open Court the Venire Facias for this the first week of the January Term of said Court and upon the names thereon being called the following qualified Jurors answered to-wit; J R Shannon, Dick Thomas C N Wilson John Roberts, G W Shaddy Jno Niette, O St Neos T A Hood, Frank Roark Pete Hilger, W. M. Mitchell, J S McKinney Ed Hardesty and J. M. Stewart, who were all sworn tried and placed upon the panel for the week.

27351

State of Texas
vs
County of Tarrant } Pistol
Friday Jan 8. 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J R Shannon and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

I was a according to law and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not Guilty,

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:- "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

J R Shannon, Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

2809 Cr.

State of Texas
vs
Ed Nolens } Threatening Life
Tuesday Jan. 5th 1904

This day come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit:- O W Head and five others. who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not Guilty,

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to Consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty

O W Head,
Foreman"

It is therfor ordered by the Court that

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Jan Term, 1904

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

2814 10

State of Texas
vs
Frank Carter } Selling to Minor
Wednesday Jan. 6th 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: O. W. Head and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not Guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:- "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty." O. W. Head, Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

The State of Texas
vs
Gabe Harris } Adultery
Wednesday, Jany 6th 1904

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo. Harris the Sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

28463

State of Texas
vs
Geo Harris

Open On Sunday
Wednesday Jan. 6th 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State Came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon Came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W H Mitchell and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evedence, argument of the Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

W N Michell, Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

28495

State of Texas vs A N Townley } Agg. Assault

Friday Jan. 8, 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived and the Defendant in open Court having waived the two days in which to prepare written pleadings the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Five Hundred Dollars and imprisonment for one year in the County jail.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant A. N. Townley, the sum of Five Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County jail until said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189....

....the.... day of .... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

period of one year.

28513 State of Texas } Docket
vs. } Docket
E. L. Theilman } Saturday Jan 9th 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Two Hundred Dollars.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant E. L. Theilman the sum of Two Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County jail until said fine and costs are fully paid

28532 State of Texas } Theft
vs. } Theft
E. T. Tucker } Monday Jan. 18th 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful

men to-wit: Q Lowe and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the information being read the the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. Q Lowe, Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and the the Defendant go hence without day.

28546

State of Texas
vs
Minnie Abrams } Ab. Language
Tuesday Feby. 2nd 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Whereupon come a jury of good and lawfull men to-wit; J.D. Blessing and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. J.D. Blessing Foreman"

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

28550

State of Texas } Theft
vs
Henry Osborn } Tuesday Feby 2nd 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced redy for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J R. Green and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of the Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:- "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty, J R Green foreman"

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

28607

State of Texas } Agg. Assault
vs
W G Gray } Wednesday Feby 3rd 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also come the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced redy for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence argument of the Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of One Thousand Dollors and imprisonment for two years in the County jail.

It is therefor ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant. W L Gray. the sum of One Thousand Dollors fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail untill said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of two years

28250

State of Texas
vs
F M Rapsp

Ab Language
Monday Jan. 18th 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant F M Rapsp in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day

Compared Dec Book 13 pg 43

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

28434

State of Texas }
vs
Gabe Harris } Motion for New Trial

Tuesday Jan. 5th 1904.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant, it is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal docket of this Court.

28294

State of Texas }
vs
F M Drake } Motion for new Trial

Monday Jany. 11th 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a New Trial herein, And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant, it is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustaind and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

28250

State of Texas }
vs
F M Drake } Motion for new Trial

Monday Jany 11th 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the said Defendant, It is therefor ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas which is now entered of record in the minutes of this Court.

28513

State of Texas
vs
E S Sheilman } Motion for New Trial
Monday Feby 8th 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new trial herein.

And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant, It is therefor ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

28568

State of Texas
vs
J. H. Childers, et al

Motion for New Trial
Thursday Feby. 4th 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant, J. H. Childers in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of the counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of Appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of the Defendant fixed in the sum of One Thousand Dollars.

28568

State of Texas
vs
J. H. Childress, et. al.

Recognizance
Monday : Febuary 8 : 1904

This day came into open Court J. H. Childress, one of the Defendants in the above entitled cause, who, together with J. M. Childers and C. D. Gibbs, his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Thousand Dollars, conditioned that the said J. H. Childress, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor, and his punishment assessed at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and imprisonment for six months in the County Jail, as more fully appears from the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not

depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

28568

State of Texas
vs
Tom Hines, et al } Motion for New Trial
Thursday Feby 4th 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant Tom Hines in his own proper person and then come on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of the Defendant fixed in the Sum of Seven Hundred and Fifty Dollars.

28568

State of Texas
vs
Tom Hines, et al } Recognizance
Wednesday, Feby 10th 1904

This day came into open Court Tom Hines, one of the Defendants in the above entitled cause, who, together with W. L. Scott and Jeff D. McLean, his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Seven Hundred & Fifty Dollars, conditioned, that the said Tom Hines, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor, and his punishment assessed at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

imprisonment for six months in the County jail, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case.

28094

State of Texas
vs
E A Cassity

Motion for New Trial
Monday. Feby. 29th 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said cause stand for trial in its regular order upon the Docket of this Court

27561

State of Texas
vs
Dan Washington

Motion to reinstate Case.
Thursday. Mch. 3rd 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and then came on to be heard the Motion to set aside the Judgment of Not Guilty, heretofore rendered in the above styled and numbered cause, and to reinstate the same upon the Dockets of this Court; and the Court after hearing the evidence thereon is of the opinion that said motion should be granted.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM 1904 Term, 189

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said case stated for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

28495

State of Texas
vs
A N Townley
} Motion for New Trial.
Friday, Mch. 4th 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then come on to be heard Defendants motion for a new trial herein, And the Argument of the Counsel being heard thereon, for it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the said Defendant.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of Appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Defendant has 20 days after the adjournment of Court in which to prepare and file Statement of Facts.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1904

Monday the 7th day of March A. D. 1904

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

State of Texas }
County of Tarrant } Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in March A.D. 1904 the same being the 5th Monday in March A.D. 1904, there was begun and holden a regular term of the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County, State of Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Ft Worth, Texas present and presiding the Honorable R. F. Milam, County Judge; O.S. Lattimore, County Attorney; R.L. Myers, County Clerk; and Jno. T. Boner, Sheriff of Tarrant County, when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket, to-wit:—

—Venire Facias—

This day came Jno. T. Boner, Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, and brings into open Court the Venire Facias for this the first week of March Term of said Court and upon the names thereon being called the following qualified jurors answered to-wit:- W.N. Howell H.D. Music, J.A. Avark, W.O. Gauff, J.T. Myers, L.A. Green, Jno Campbell, E.D. Scott E.L. Newby, E.A. King, D.L. Bailey, J.M. Bryson G.T. Harris, W.N. Ballenger and E.A. Adams who were all sworn tried and placed upon the panel for the week.

2437

State of Texas }
Ike Hawkins } Theft
Friday March 11th 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: E.C. Adams and four others who were duly selected

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1904

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. E. Adams Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

2847 V

State of Texas }
vs } Theft
Alvin Dee } Friday March 11. 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: E. Adams and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:- "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty. E. Adams foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1904

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

by reason of this prosecution and thos the Defendant go hence without day.

2854 V

State of Texas vs Sam Hidley } Theft

Tuesday March 22. 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J F Waller and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retires to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty J. F. Waller, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

2863

State of Texas vs Dick Winters } Fornication

Wednesday Mch 23rd 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J W Sears and five others, who were duly selected empannelled

and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. J E Adams Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

28681

State of Texas
vs. } Agg. Assault
Chas Preble } Tuesday, Mch 22, 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. H. Waller and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. J. H. Waller, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1904

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

28687

State of Texas }
vs. } Agg. Assault
Pete House } Monday March 7th 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. O. Goff and five others, who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now here retaining his plea of not guilty

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation have returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty W. O. Goff Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

28689

State of Texas. }
vs. } Agg. Assault
M Douglass } Monday Mch 7th 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. H. Sears and five others, who were duly selected

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

empaneled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty J H Dillard Foreman"

It is therefore by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

28706

State of Texas }
vs } Theft
Olan Thomas } Wednesday March 23. 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. T. Waller and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the Counsel and ~~receiving~~ the Charge of the Court, retired to Consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into Open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty, J. T. Waller. Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 189 1904

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

State of Texas }
vs } Theft
Willie Gill } Tuesday March 22nd, 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: P. G. Ferrell and five others, who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returning his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the Counsel and being fully advised in the premises, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. P. G. Ferrell Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

State of Texas }
vs } Theft
Mary Durham } Monday Mch 21st 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of One Dollar and imprisonment for one day in the County jail.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mary Dunham the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County jail until said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of one day.

2803

State of Texas
vs
Jno Raines
} Theft

Monday March 21st 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of the Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and imprisonment for one day in the County jail.

It is therefore ordered by the Court

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1894

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jno Raines the Sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

7829

State of Texas
vs
Arthur Dell
} Vagrancy

Wednesday March 23rd 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of the Counsel and being fully advised in the premises, finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Arthur Dell, the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and Costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stands Committed to the County jail untill said fine and Costs are fully paid.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~1904

Monday the 7" day of May A. D. ~~189~~1904.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

State of Texas }
County of Tarrant } Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in May A. D. 1904. the same being the 2" day of May A. D. 1904. there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, present and presiding the Honorable R. F. Milan, County Judge, O. S. Lattimore, County Attorney, R. L. Rogers, County Clerk, and John T. Honea, Sheriff of Tarrant County, when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court.

Venire Facias,

Now comes John T. Honea, Sheriff of Tarrant County, and brings into open court the Venire Facias for this the first week of the May Term of the County Court and upon the names thereon being called the following qualified jurors answered to-wit:- Jno. Ebtes, R. N. Wells, J. H. Crow, E. A. Dimble, J. N. Underwood, G. W. Wilcox, Geo. Thompson, W. M. Scott, A. S. McMorris, M. Davis, Henry Williams, C. McDougle, Nat Decker, A. L. Suggs, J. S. McKinney and Ed Henderson, who were all sworn tried and placed upon the panel for the week,

78609 State of Texas }
vs } Motion for New Trial
Will Warren } Saturday May 7" 1904.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a New trial herein.

And the argument of the counsel being

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

heard thereon, because it is the openion of the Court, that the law is for said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

78660 State of Texas vs Jessie Lush. } Theft.

Wednesday May 4" 1904.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: H. Decker and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty" H. Decker. Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

78959 State of Texas vs Allie Cox } Appealed from J. P. Court.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

7891l

State of Texas
vs.
John Gitsinger } Poston
Monday May 2nd 1904.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars.

It is therefor ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Gitsinger the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered, that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail untill said fine and costs are fully paid.

7871b

State of Texas
vs
S. Frazerant } Defts Mo. in arrest of Judgment
Tuesday May 3rd 1904.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. Also came the Defendant in his own ~~proper~~ person. Then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion in arrest of Judgment herein and the argument of counsel being heard

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

thereon. Because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

7891 V

State of Texas
vs
J. G. Hardin

Order on Motion for New Trial,
Saturday May 7th 1904.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a New trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant,
It is therefor ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal appeals of the State of Texas.
Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of ($300.00) Three Hundred Dollars.

7891 V

State of Texas
vs
J. G. Hardin

Recognizance,
Saturday May 7th 1904.

This day came into open Court J. G. Hardin, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with A. S. Suggs, H. A. Smith and A. J. Clendenon his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $300.00, conditioned that the said J. G. Hardin, who has been convicted in the County Court, of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

and his punishment assessed at a fine of Fifty Dollars as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Venire Facias,

Now comes John D. Howard, Sheriff of Tarrant County, and brings into open court the Venire Facias for this the third week of the May Term of the County Court and upon the names thereon being called the following qualified jurors answered to-wit;

J. S. Akers, H. C. Cantrell, C. J. Trimble, J. N. Withers, N. Dewett, Sam. Seaton, Dan Parker, W. C. Holly, J. W. Arnold, J. B. Stewart, H. L. Weatherly and Wm Blevins.

7861

State of Texas
vs
R. C. Armstrong Jr.

Motion to Quash Complaint.
Wednesday May 18" 1904.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the Complaint herein.

And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court, that the law is for said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

7895

State of Texas
vs
Ollie Cox.

Appeal Justice Court.
Tuesday May 17" 1904.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his proper person and parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; H. C. Cantrell and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

entered his plea of not guilty,
Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit;
"We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty,
H. C. Cantrell, Foreman,
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day,

State of Texas
vs
78980 W. B. Lavender } Agg. Assault
Tuesday May 17" 1904,
This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the, came also the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial,
Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; W. D. Weatherly and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law. And the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant made there entered his plea of not guilty,
Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty W. D. Weatherly Foreman."
It is therefore ordered that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Defendant go hence without day.

18981 State of Texas vs W. D. Beckham } Agg. Assault.

Monday May 16th 1904.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. N. Withers and five others, who were duly selected impanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. J. N. Withers, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

18716 State of Texas vs S. Drozevan } Defendants Motion for New Trial.

Tuesday May 3rd 1904.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard Defendants motion for a new herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Court that the law is against said Defendant,
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.
Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of ($200.00) Two Hundred Dollars,
(For Recognizance see page 289)

28609 State of Texas
vs
Will Norren
Defendants Motion for New Trial
Saturday May 28th 1904.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon, Because it is the opinion of the Court, that the law is for said Defendant. It is therefor ordered by the Court, that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the criminal docket of this Court.

28983 State of Texas
vs
Joe Hunton
Motion for New Trial
Friday May 27th 1904.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

for a New Trial Herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

78716

State of Texas §
§
vs § Recognizance
§
S. Trezevant § Tuesday May 3" 1904.

This day came into open Court, S. Trezevant Defendant. in the above entitled cause, who together with N. B. Scott and Jeff D. McLean his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas. in the penal sum of $200.00 conditioned that the said S. Trezevant, who has been convicted in the County Court, of Tarrant County. Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $5.00 as more fully appears by the judgment of Conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this court. from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

28902

State of Texas
vs
Herman Matthews

Saturday July 2nd 1904
Order on Motion for New Trial.
May Term, 1904,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a New trial herein, and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the openion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Defendant has 20 days to file Statement of facts and bills of exception.

28873

State of Texas
vs
Lanie Schrain

Order on Motion for New Trial
May Teay Term
Saturday July 2nd 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard because it is the openion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant. It is therefor ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Deft. has twenty days to file bills of exception and statement of facts. Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of $300.00

28873

State of Texas
vs
Louie Schnair
Recognizance
Saturday July 2nd 1904.

This day came into open Court Louie Schnair Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with N. R. Parker and W. P. McLean Jr. his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $300.00, conditioned that the said Louie Schnair, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $25.00 as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this case,

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1904

Monday the 4th day of July A. D. 1904

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in July A.D. 1904, the same being the 4th day of July A.D. 1904, there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas, at the Court House thereof, in the city of Fort Worth, present and presiding the Hon R. F. Milam, County Judge, O. L. Lattimore, County Attorney, R. L. Rogers County Clerk and John J Howe, Sheriff of Tarrant County, when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court.

## Venire Facias

Now comes John J Howe, Sheriff of Tarrant County and brings into Open Court the Venire Facias for this the first week of the July term of the County Court and upon the names thereon being called the following qualified jurors answered to-wit:-

Wm Whitehead, Henry Williams, Jno Nalin, J D Day, W R Mathis, A G McMorries, John Odam, H. Yates Thos Bratton, J H Keys, C Adams S G Chapman, R A Weaver, H. H Cook John Dunn, J S Martin, D Warren, A K Withrow and J M Dorhein.

28741

State of Texas vs Alozo Barnes } Agg. Assault
Tuesday July 19. 1904
Judgment

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

good and lawful men to wit; D J Warren and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation have returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty." D.J. Warren, Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

29056

State of Texas }
vs } Agg. Assault
Jas Garnett } Tuesday July 19th 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; J M Dunn and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the following verdict to-wit:
"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty, J M Dunn. foreman."
It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

29080

State of Texas }
vs } Agg. Assault
Gus Hardie } Tuesday July 19th, 1904

This day came the County prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit. Thos Bratton and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into Open Court with the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty, Thos Bratton foreman"

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day

29116

State of Texas }
vs } Theft.
Ethel Moore } Monday July 25" 1904

This day came the Co~~unty~~

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Thos Gratton and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence Argument of the Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into Open Court with the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty, Thos. E Fallon Foreman,

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

29193

State of Texas }
vs } Vagrancy
R. F. Miller } Wednesday July 25th 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit, J. C. Day and five other who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court with the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. J. T. Day. foreman".

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

29236

State of Texas
vs
Alex Moore } Pistol
Friday July 22nd 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful to-wit: J. T. Key and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here returned his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court with the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. J. T. Key. foreman."

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

.....the..... .....day of..... .....A. D. 189.....

29747

State of Texas }
vs } Agg. Assault
J L Wiley } Tuesday July 26" 1904

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars and imprisonment for Ninety days in the County Jail.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of & from the Defendant J. L. Wiley, the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of Ninety days.

73833

State of Texas } Motion to substitute
vs } Capias
Taylor Harrison } Monday July 11" 1904.

On this day came on to be heard by the Court the motion of the County Attorney

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

to substitute papers herein filed herein July 11th 1904,
And the Court being fully advised in the premises is of the opinion that the law is with the County attorney. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby granted

Said motion is in words & figures as follows)

The State of Texas }
vs } In the County Court
Taylor Harrison } Tarrant Co. Texas.

To the Hon. R. F. Milam;

Now comes O. S. Lattimore, County attorney, and move the Court for permission to substitute papers in the above numbered and entitled cause and states that the original papers in said cause have been misplaced or lost and after diligent search have been unable to find the same. Whereon petitioner prays that he be allowed to substitute the papers in said case,

O. S. Lattimore Co. Atty,
By B. F. Baulkin
Asst. Co. Atty,

9100

State of Texas }
vs } Motion for New Trial,
Jno Higgins } Saturday Sept. 3rd 1904,

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a New trial herein,

And the argument of the Counsel

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

being heard thereon, because it is the openion of the Court, that the law is for said Defendant,

It is thereupon ordered by the Court, that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said Case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept, Term, 1904,

Monday the 5" day of Sept, A. D. 1904

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Caption

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in September A.D. 1904, the same being the 5 day of September A.D. 1904. there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, present and presiding the Honorable R. F. Milam, County Judge, O. S. Lattimore, County Attorney, R. L. Rogers, County Clerk, and John J. Honea Sheriff of Tarrant County, when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court,

Venire Facias,

Now Come John J. Honea, Sheriff of Tarrant County, and brings into Open Court the Venire Facias for this the first week of the Sept. Term. of the County Court, and upon the names thereon being called the following qualified jurors answered to-wit:— J. H. Hill, N. N. Wilson, Geo. Vaughn J. F. Pellington, Gamie Davis, J. B. Martin, G. J. Smith, R. A. Weaver, W. W. Cross, J. B. Jerrig Cook King and E. W. Yates,

9081 State of Texas
vs
W. A. Goodman } Appeal from J.P.C. #8

Monday Sept. 19" 1904,

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189.....

.....the..... .....day of..... .....A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. T. Townsend and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit: "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty

J. T. Townsend
Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas, take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

State of Texas

vs

79733 W. D. Spillman } Theft.

Monday Sept. 19" 1904,

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. T. Townsend and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty".

"J. J. Townsend, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

79377

State of Texas
vs
Joe Taylor

Theft.

Wednesday Sept. 7th 1904.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; G. J. Smith and four others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty,

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit: "We the Jury find the

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Defendant not guilty J. G. Smith Foreman."
It is therefore ordered by the Court
that the State of Texas take nothing by
reason of this prosecution and that
the Defendant go hence without day,

State of Texas } Throwing Stones.
vs } Tuesday Sept. 6" 1904.
79377 Ira Townsend }

This day came the County Attorney pro-
secuting the pleas of the State and
came also the Defendant in his own
proper person and both parties
announced ready for trial,
Thereupon came a jury of good and
lawful men to-wit: J. F. Wellington
and five others, who were duly se-
lected empanneled and sworn ac-
cording to law, and the Information
being read to the jury the Defendant
now here entered his plea of not guilty,
Whereupon the jury after hearing
the evidence, argument of Counsel
and receiving the charge of the Court
retired to consider of their verdict
and after mature deliberation had,
returned into open Court the
following verdict, to-wit: "We
the jury find the Defendant not
guilty J. F. Wellington Foreman,"
It is therefore ordered by the Court
that the state of Texas take nothing
by reason of this prosecution and
that the Defendant go hence without day,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

No 9395

State of Texas
vs
Bill Jackson

Agg. Assault,
Tuesday Sept. 6th 1904,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit, J. B. Martin and four others, who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty, J. B. Martin, Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Venire Facias,

Now comes John D. Hovard, Sheriff of Tarrant County, and brings into open Court the Venire Facias for this the first third week of the September Term of the County Court and upon the name thereof being called the following qualified jurors answered to-wit; R. W. Jones, J. A. Maudry, Jno White, E. K. Rea, R. C. Brunnette, J. E. Westland, Jno. Banks, R. L. Ward, W. H. Rumbo, J. J. Townsend, J. D. Fisher and Jeff Beaird, who were all sworn tried and picked on the panel for the week,

7908 State of Texas } Appeal from J. P.
vs } Court, Prect. #8
H. A. Goodman } Monday Sept. 19th 1904,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit J. J. Townsend and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty, J. D. Townsend Foreman"

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

19733

State of Texas } Theft,
vs }
N. J. Spillman } Monday Sept. 19" 1904,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; J. J. Townsend and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit; "We the Jury find the Defendant. not guilty J. J. Townsend. Foreman."

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

...the... day of ... A. D. 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

79354 State of Texas vs Jessie Simmons } Assault

Tuesday Sept. 20th 1904,

This day came the County attorney prosecuting, the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; John White and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit; "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. John White. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

79395 State of Texas vs Bill Jackson } Agg. Assault. Error

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

29430 State of Texas vs M. C. Nichols } Assault, Monday Sept. 19th 1904.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; John Bauer and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury, the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit! "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty John Bauer Foreman" It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

29487 State of Texas vs Annie Brewster } Theft, Wednesday Sept. 21st 1904.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties an-

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

announced ready for trial,

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. J. Townsend and five others, who were duly selected impaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider, to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty, J. J. Townsend, Foreman"; It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas, take nothing by reason of their prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day,

---

19387

State of Texas } Theft,
-vs- } Justay Sept. 6th 1904,
Sam Lee,

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial-

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Thereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten dollars, and imprisonment for 10 days in the County Jail.

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Sam Lee the sum of $10.00 fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,

Further ordered, that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of Ten Days,

State of Texas } A. A,
-vs- } Tuesday Sept. 20th 1904,
79460 Will Massey }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State-came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial-

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars,

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

and imprisonment for 30 days in the County Jail,

It is therefor ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will Massey the sum of Twenty five Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered, that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said and costs are fully paid and for the full period of thirty days.

79465 State of Texas, } Rest.
-vs- } Thursday Sept. 22nd 1904.
Etta Carry }

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of One Dollar, and imprisonment for One days in the County Jail.

It is thereon ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

do have and recover of and from the Defendant Etta Cox the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of Ninety days.

79349

State of Texas
vs
J. L. Cox

Motion for a New Trial.
Saturday Oct. 8th 1904.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State - came also the Defendant in his own proper person, and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein.

And the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the criminal Docket of this Court.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

19798 State of Texas
vs
Ben Newell

Motion for a New Trial,
Saturday Sept. 10" 1904,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefor ordered by the Court the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled,

19711 State of Texas
vs
J. E. Cathran

Motion for a New Trial,
Wednesday Oct 19" 1904,

This came came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on the to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the said Defendant.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

314 Ernest Lee Dep

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

79711

State of Texas }
vs } Motion for New Trial
J. E. Cathran } Wednesday Oct. 19th 1904,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein.

And the argument of the counsel being heard thereon. because it is the opinion of the Court, that the law is for said Defendant

It is therefor ordered by the Court, that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

79434

State of Texas }
vs } Theft.
Jack Phillips } Friday Nov. 4th A.D. 1904,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state - came also the Defd. in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial - Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit! J.D. Meyer and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit! "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty J. D. Meyer, Foreman."
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas, take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

79461

State of Texas }
vs } a. a.
Alice Smith } Thursday Nov. 3" 1904.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; O.C. Hustead and four others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit; "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty, O.C. Hustead, Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

19553

State of Texas
vs
Samuel Anderson } Theft

Tuesday Nov. 1st 1904.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; D. M. Brock and five others, who were duly selected and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty-

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deleberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit; "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. D. M. Brock, Foreman"

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day,

19609

State of Texas
vs
Jim Humphill } A. A.

Monday Oct. 31st 1904.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Deft. in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. D. Myers and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following verdict, to-wit: "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. J. D. Myers. Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas, take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

State of Texas }
vs }
9611 Loni Govea } Monday Oct. 31 A. D. 1904. A. A.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: C. Stoag, and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Thereupon the jury after hearing

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following verdict, to-wit; "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. C. Stoody, Foreman" It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

7961 r

State of Texas
vs
Ed Young. } Theft.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial-

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of one dollar and imprisonment for one day in the County Jail.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed Young the sum of one dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

which said fine and costs execution may issue,

Further ordered, that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid and for the space of one day,

State of Texas }
vs }
7960 C. J. Anderson } Adultery

Tuesday Nov. 1st A.D. 1904,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: E. F. Lashley and four others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Thereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. E. F. Lashley Foreman" It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day,

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

79636

State of Texas
vs
P. N. Rogers. } Theft.

Monday Oct. 31st A.D. 1904,
This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: E. T. Lashley and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open court the following verdict, to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty E. T. Lashley, Foreman." It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

79667

State of Texas
vs
Dunney } A. A.

Wednesday Nov. 2nd 1904,
This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

trial;

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; J. M. Madey and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered their plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following verdict to-wit; "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty J. M. Madey, Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

State of Texas }
vs } Motion for New Trial -
7.9346 Will McDuff } Friday Nov. 4" 1904,

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and ~~the~~ then come on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the openion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and and the same is hereby overruled.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

19474

State of Texas
vs
B. F. Cummings

Motion for a New Trial.

Friday Nov. 4th A.D. 1904,

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

19465

State of Texas
vs
Etta Curry

Motion for New Trial

Friday Nov. 4th 1904,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant, It is therefor ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled,

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1904

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

The State of Texas
vs No. 19571 } Declaration of Forfeiture
Madaline Wagner } and Judgment Nisi.

On this day the above entitled cause having been regularly reached on the docket and called, the State of Texas appeared by her County attorney, but the defendant Madaline Wagner came not, but was wholly in default. Whereupon the name of the defendant Madeline Wagner, was by order of the Court called distinctly at the door of the courthouse, and said defendant failed and did not appear within a reasonable time after such call was made.

And it appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit on the 4th day of October A. D. 1904, the said Madeline Wagner as principal, together with Sam H. Elliston and Jeff D. McLean and Walter B. Scott and H. P. McLean Jr. as sureties, did execute to the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas and deliver to him her bail bond by which she and her said sureties acknowledged themselves to owe and be indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of $500.00 conditioned that the defendant Madelin Wagner as principal should and would appear before the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, to be begun and holden at the courthouse in and for the County of Tarrant and State aforesaid, on the 1st Monday in November the same being the 7th day of November A. D. 1904, and there remaining from day to day and term to term of said court, and not depart until discharged by due course of law, then and there

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

to answer the said above described charge, and to answer to the information in said cause wherein the said Madelin Wagner was legally charged with the offence of adultery, and the said Madelin Wagner having failed to appear, and having made default as aforesaid, it is considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Madelin Wagner as principal the sum of $500.00 and said bail bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and the State of Texas do also have and recover of and from the said Sam H. Elliston, Jeff D. McLean, Walter B. Scott and D. P. McLean Jr. as her sureties the sum of $500.00 which said judgment will be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of said Court why defendant Madelin Wagner did not appear. Further ordered that scire facias issue to the sureties requiring them to appear and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final.

The State of Texas
VS No. 2957
Sam Elliston

Declaration of Forfeiture and Judgment Nisi.

On this day, the above entitled cause having been regularly reached on the docket and called, the State of Texas appeared by her County Attorney, but the defendant Sam Elliston came

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

not, but was wholly in default, whereupon the name of the defendant Sam Ellistion, was by order of the Court called distinctly at the door of the Courthouse, and said defendant answered not and did not appear within a reasonable time after such call was made,

And it appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit on the 4th day of October A.D. 1904, the said Sam Ellistion as principal, together with Jeff D. McLean and Watter B. Scott and W. P. McLean Jr, as surties, did execute to the sheriff of Jorrant County Texas, and deliver to him his bail bond by which he and his said surties acknowledged themselves to owe and be indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of $500.00 conditioned that the defendant Sam Ellistion as principal should and would appear before the County Court of Jorrant County, Texas, to be begun and holden at the Courthouse, in and for the County of Jorrant and State aforesaid, on the 1st Monday in November the same being the 7th day of November A.D. 1904, and then remain from day to day and term to term of said Court, and not depart until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer the said above described charge, and to answer to the information in said cause wherein the said Sam Ellistion was legally charged with the offense of adultery, and the said Sam Ellistion having failed

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

to appear, and having made default as aforesaid. it is considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court, that the State of Texas, do have and recover of and from the said Sam Elliston as principal the sum of $500.00 and said bail bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and the State of Texas do also have and recover of said from the said Jeff D. McLean, Walter B. Scott and W. S. McLean Jr. as his sureties the sum of $500.00 which said judgment will be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why defendant Sam Elliston did not appear. Further ordered that scire facias issue to the sureties requiring them to appear and show cause if any they have why this judgment should not be made final,

79718

State of Texas
vs
J. V. Kite

A. A.

Thursday Nov. 17" 1904.

This cause coming on this day to be heard and being regularly reached upon the docket of this court, the state appeared by and through O. S. Lattimore County Attorney of Tarrant County, Texas, and the defendant appeared in his own proper person and a jury being waived the matters of law as well as of fact were submitted to the court and the court after hearing

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

the evidence and defendants plea of guilty to an affray to the information in this cause wherein the defendant stands charged by information with an assault with brass Knuckles, it is of the opinion that the defendant is guilty of the offense of an affray.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant J. V. Kite the sum of $1.00 fine and an affray and all costs of this prosecution for which let execution issue. It is further ordered that the defendant J. V. Kite be commited to the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, until said fine and costs are fully paid.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1904

Monday the 7" day of Nov. A. D. 1904.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in November A. D. 1904, the same being the 7 day of November A. D. 1904. there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth present and presiding the Honorable R. J. Milam, County Judge, O. S. Lattimore, County Attorney, R. L. Rogers County Clerk, and John D. Honea, Sheriff of Tarrant County, when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court.

Venire Facias.

Now comes John D. Honea, Sheriff of Tarrant County, and brings into open court the Venire Facias for this the first week of the Nov. Term of the County Court and upon the names thereon being called the following qualified jurors answered to wit: J. N. Withers, D. A. Hightower, Jack Laur, D. M. Tucker, A. J. Thurman, N. C. Danner, Jno. Hahn, John Griswold, J. M. Ivey, H. M. Ash, D. C. Norris, J. B. Mudkey, N. P. Russell and B. King.

7977 | State of Texas vs Georgie Munn. | A. A. Wednesday Nov. 9" 1904,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; D. M. Tucker and

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

five others, who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered this plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit; "We the jury find the defendant not guilty. J. M Ducker, Foreman."

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas, take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

State of Texas }
vs } Selling to minor.
Clay Jennings. } Wednesday Nov. 9" 1904.

9507

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; D. C. Norris and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty D.C. Norris Foreman" It is therefor ordered by the Court that the ~~D~~ State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

29699

State of Texas,
vs
Lawson Glaze

A. A.
Monday Nov. 7th 1904.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: H.C. Danner and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law; and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit: "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. H. C. Danner. Foreman"

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

without day-

9708 State of Texas
vs
Will Crawford, } Tuesday Nov. 22nd 1904.

A. A.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; J. K. Lake and five others, who were duly selected empannuled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into Open Court the following verdict, to-wit: "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. J. K. Lake Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of their prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

9763 State of Texas
vs
Harvy Jackson. } Tuesday Dec. 6th 1904.

A. A.

This day came the County attorney pro-

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

securing the plead of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.
Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: M.D. Bradley and six others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law. and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,
Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following verdict, to-wit; "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty, M.D. Bradley. Foreman"
It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

9693 State of Texas }
vs } Appeal
Gus Bader } Monday Nov. 7th 1904.
This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,
A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,
Thereupon the Court after hearing

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of One Hundred and Fifty Dollars, ($150.00)

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Gus Bader the sum of One Hundred and Fifty Dollars ($150.00) fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,

Further ordered, that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

99761

State of Texas, }
vs } Assault
Bill Arnold } Tuesday Dec. 6" 1904,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting, the pleas of the State. came also the dependent in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars ($5.00)

It is therefore ordered by

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bill Arnold the sum of Five Dollars ($5.00) fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.
Further ordered, that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

7964

State of Texas }
vs } Motion for New Trial
Dutch Boder } Saturday Nov. 26th 1904.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a New trial herein.
And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court, that the law is for said Defendant.
It is therefor ordered by the Court, that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

7964

State of Texas }
vs } Motion for New Trial
Walter Simpson } Saturday Nov. 19th 1904,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the openion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant. It is therefor ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal appeals of the State of Texas.

29649. State of Texas vs Hatter Simpson } Motion for New Trial.

Saturday Nov 19" 1904.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the openion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal appeals of the State of Texas.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

#9494

State of Texas }
vs } Motion for New Trial
E. J. Thompson } Monday Nov. 28th 1904,

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein,

And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court,

#9646

The State of Texas }
vs } Recognizance
Walter Simpson } Saturday Dec. 17th 1904,

This day came into open Court Walter Simpson Defendant in the above cause, who together with Walter Slate and A. F. Filgore his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of $500.00, conditioned that the said Walter Simpson, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $25.00 as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case, Recognizance fixed by the Court at the sum of $500.00

19649

The State of Texas
vs
Walter Simpson

Recognizance

Saturday Dec. 24, 1904.

This day came into open Court Walter Simpson Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with Walter Slate and H. F. Gilgore his sureties, acknowledged themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $500.00, conditioned that the said Walter Simpson, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $100.00 and imprisonment for one year in the County Jail, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this cause.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

7964

State of Texas }
vs } Motion for New Trial
S. D. Miller } Saturday Dec. 31st 1904,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein,

And the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court, that the law is for said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of the Court.

7950 8

State of Texas }
vs } Motion for New Trial.
Clay Jennings } Saturday Dec. 31st 1904,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein.

And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court, that the law is for said Defendant.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said Case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal docket of this Court.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

29570
29577
29648

State of Texas } Motion to Relax Cost &
vs } Reform Judgment.
Sam Elliston } Saturday Dec. 31st 1904.

On this day the motion of the State of Texas, in the above entitled cause to have the judgment in cause No. 29577, reformed and re-entered in cause No. 29648 coming on to be heard, and it appearing to the court that the order heretofore entered on to wit the day of November A.D. 1904, in cause No. 29577 was by mistake entered in said cause and should have been entered in cause No 29648 as shown by the motion of the State of Texas on file herein, and it further appearing to the court that notice of said motion was duly and legally served upon defendants attorneys of record McLean & Scott as required by law, and that said order to wit "P. G. Defendants punishment assessed at a fine of $100.00" should have been entered in cause No 29648. instead of cause No 29577.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that said entry be changed from cause No. 29577 to cause No. 29648 and that the clerk of this court do issue execution and writ of commitment on cause No. 29648 instead of cause No 29577 and that defendants plea of guilty be entered in cause No. 29648.

Therefore it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Sam Elliston the sum of $100.00 fine in cause No 29648, and all costs of prosecution for which let execution issue. It is further adjudged upon

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

defendants plea of guilty to the offence of adultery that he is guilty of said offence and that he be committed to the Sheriff of Tarrant County's custody until said fine and costs shall have been fully paid. for all of which let executions and commitments issue,

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Be it remered that on this the first Monday in January A.D. 1905, the same being the 2nd day of January A.D. 1905. there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, present and presiding the Honorable R. J. Milam, County Judge, Jeff. D. McLean, County attorney; R. L. Rogers, County Clerk, and John D. Honea, Sheriff of Tarrant County, when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court,

Venire Facias,

Now comes John D. Honea, Sheriff of Tarrant County, and brings into open Court the Venire Facias for this the first week of the January Term of the County Court and upon the names thereon being called the following qualified jurors answered to-wit; J. A. Parchman, O. N. Head, A. D. Bakeland, J. L. Heatherly, J. C. McKinney, L. K. Dent, P. C. Davis, Dave Gray, P. A. Bryan, M. J. Pankey, Chas. Hogan and N. C. Burrond.

29760

The State of Texas } Postal
vs } Thursday Jany. 5" 1905.
Dan Baker } Judgment.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel & being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty, and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars,

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dan Baker the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,

Further ordered, that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid,

29838a

The State of Texas } Theft,
vs } Thursday Jany. 5th 1905,
Henry Grabs. } Judgment.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; J. L. Heatherly and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

...the... day of ... A. D. 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the court retired to Consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit! "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty J. L. Heatherly, Foreman"

It is therefor ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

29841

The State of Texas } Theft by Bailee,
vs } Wednesday Jany. 4th 1905,
Elzie Shaw. } Judgment.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Thereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and imprisonment for Thirty days in the County Jail,

It is therefor ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas,

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

do have and recover of and from the Defendant Elzie Shalb. the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered, that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of thirty days.

---

No. 29881. The State of Texas vs Laura Robinson } Theft. Wednesday, Jany. 18th 1905. Judgment.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; H. B. McGee and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant herein entered his plea of not guilty,

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit; "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty! H. B. McGee. Foreman,"

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

29957

The State of Texas } A. A.
vs } Tuesday Jany. 17" 1905.
Frank Smith } Judgment

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State & the came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five dollars, and imprisonment for one Month in the County Jail.

It is therefor ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Smith the sum of Twenty Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,

Further ordered, that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

fully paid and for the full period of One month.

29987

The State of Texas } Selling in L. O. Prect.
vs } Monday Jany. 16th 1905,
Mall Miller } Judgment.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; W. D. Farris and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. W. D. Farris, Foreman".

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189.....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

30004

The State of Texas }
vs } Theft by Bailee
Elzie Rhodes. } Thursday Jany. 19th 1905.
Judgment.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; R. H. Babb and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit; "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. R. H. Babb, Foreman."

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

30005

The State of Texas } A. A.
vs } Wednesday Jany. 18th 1905,
B. J. Hamilton } Judgment.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; H. D. Farris and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit; "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. H. D. Farris, Foreman".

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

30011

The State of Texas } Selling Liquor.
vs } Monday Jany. 16th 1905.
Harry Leavenson } Judgment.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; Chas. Hoag, and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information herein read to the

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. Chas Hoag, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

30037

The State of Texas } Cause
vs } Monday, Jany. 16th 1905.
Geo. Moore } Judgment

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Wm Wills and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence arguments of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature delib-

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

eration had returned into Open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. W^m Hill. Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

9850

The State of Texas vs Dyer Jones. } Adultery. Tuesday Feby 21st 1905.

Judgment

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: R. L. Shivers and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit: "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. R. L. Shivers. Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

#989. The State of Texas } Hunting on Enclosed Land,
vs } Monday Feby. 20th 1905.
Robt. Guinn } Judgment.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit, J. Culbertson and four others, who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Indt. being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and the receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open court the following verdict to-wit; "We, the Jury find the Defendant not guilty J. Culbertson. Foreman".

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

30144

The State of Texas } Theft.
vs
L. McDowel } Tuesday Feby 21st 1905.
Judgment.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: D. O. Woodie and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now Here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. D. O. Woodie Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

30155

The State of Texas } Theft.
vs
Bailey } Tuesday Feby 21st 1905.
Judgment.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State v.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.



came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises found the Defendant guilty and assessed his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars, and imprisonment for ninety days in the County Jail.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Daily the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant be committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of Ninety days.

30176 The State of Texas vs Jon Nichols } A. A.
Monday Feby. 20th 1905.
Judgment

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,
A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,
Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and imprisonment for ninety days in the County Jail.
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jon Nichols the sum of One Hundred Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.
Further ordered, that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of ninety days;

30035

State of Texas }
vs } Motion for New Trial.
Bob. Perkins } Tuesday Feby. 7th 1905,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a New trial

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant,
It is therefor ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts.

29895

State of Texas }
vs } Motion for New Trial.
Ira Williams } Thursday Feby. 2nd 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a New trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.
It is therefor ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of Three Hundred Dollars.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

19887

The State of Texas
vs
H. J. Brown

Motion for New Trial.
Saturday Mch. 4" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the openion of the Court that the law is against said defendant, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of defendant fixed at the the sum of Three Hundred Dollars

19887

The State of Texas
vs
H. J. Brown

Recognizance
Saturday Mch. 4" 1905.

This day came into open Court H. J. Brown defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with O. B. Jordan and John L. Terrell his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $300.00. Conditioned that the said H. J. Brown, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in the cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $20.00 as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appe

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. in this case.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in March A.D. 1905. the same being the 6th day of March. A. D. 1905. there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County. Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Ft. Worth - present and presiding the Hon. R. F. Milam. County Judge, Jeff D. McLean. County Attorney, R. L. Rogers. County Clerk. and John D. Honea. Sheriff of Tarrant County. when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court.

Venire Facias.

Now comes John D. Honea. Sheriff of Tarrant County and brings into open Court the Venire Facias for this the first week of the March Term. of the County Court and upon the names thereon being called the following qualified jurors answered to-wit; Tom Smith, J. Cowden, J. F. Cartwright, J. N. Collins, J. C. McCain, J. B. Winn, J. D. Chapman, T. M. Ivey, A. G. Sapp; Tom Boaz, A. Gates, Dock Danner, Ike Sansberry, J. A. Harrell, O. N. Head, J. C. Cavender and A. C. Cantrell Sr.

The State of Texas } Dist. House.
vs } Wednesday Mch. 29th 1905.
Eva Caffey } Judgment.

30 1/2 rr

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189.....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

ready for trial

Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit; D. R. Vickers and five others, who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered her plea of not guilty

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty" D. R. Vickers, Foreman."

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

30745

The State of Texas }
vs } Theft.
Sarah Walker. } Tuesday March. 28" 1905.

Judgment.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit; J. A. Bowerman and five others, who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law. and the Indictment being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. J. A. Bowerman, Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.-

30365

The State of Texas vs D. C. Crawford } A. A. Wednesday April 5" 1905.

Judgment.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit; A. D. McMorris and five others, who were duly selected impaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. A. D. McMorris. Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day,

30390

The State of Texas, } Vag,
vs } Monday April 3" 1905,
John Dunn, } Judgment,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; O. R. Rogers and five others. who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered this plea of not guilty,

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had returned into open court the following verdict to-wit; "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. O. R. Rogers. Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

30393

The State of Texas } Theft.
vs } Wednesday Mch. 29th 1905.
Maud Atwood. } Judgment.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. A. Bowerman and five others. who were duly selected. empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict. and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. J. A. Bowerman. Foreman".

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

30158

The State of Texas } Adultery
vs } Tuesday Mch. 28th 1905.
Claude Smith } Judgment

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

ready for trial

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises found the defendant guilty and assessed his punishment at a fine of $100.00

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Claude Smith the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs and fully paid,

30334

The State of Texas } A. A.
vs } Wednesday April 5th 1905.
Price Witten } Judgment.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Price Witten the sum of Twenty Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,

Further ordered, that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid,

30335

The State of Texas
vs
W. D. Brown alias
Bub Brown

Order on Motion for New Trial,

Friday April 28th 1905,

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants amended Motion for a New Trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled, To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of $300.00

30355 The State of Texas
vs
H. D. Brown alias
Bud Brown
Recognizance
Friday April 28" 1905.

This day came into open Court H. D. Brown, alias "Bud" Brown defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with C. R. Bowlin and Harry McCoy his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of $300.00 conditioned, that the said H. D. Brown, Alias "Bud" Brown, who has been convicted in this cause of a misdemeanor, and his punishment assessed at a fine of Fifty Dollars, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day, and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this case,

30485 The State of Texas
vs
Eva Reeves
Motion for New Trial.
Friday April 28" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person. and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

a New Trial Herein,
And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court, that the law is for said Defendant,
It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

30308

The State of Texas
vs
Jack Leatherman

Order on Mo. for new Trial,
Friday April 28th 1905.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant,
It is therefore ordered by the court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of $300.00

30308

State of Texas
vs
Jack Leatherman

Recognizance
Friday April 28th 1905.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

..... the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

This day came into open Court Jack Leatherman in the above entitled cause, who together with Horry McCart, C. R. Bowlin and N. D. Brown his sureties, acknowledged themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of $300.00; conditioned, that the said Jack Leatherman who has been convicted in this cause of a misdemeanor, and his punishment assessed at a fine of One Dollar, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

30158

The State of Texas
vs
Claude Truett.

Order on motion for New Trial.
Wednesday Mch. 29th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting, the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the Sum of $400.00

30158

The State of Texas }
vs } Recognizance
Claude Smith } Wednesday March 29th 1905.

This day came into open Court Claude Smith Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with Ed. Johnson and E. Smith his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $400.00 Conditioned that the said Claude Smith, who has been convicted in the County Court, of Tarrant County Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $100.00 as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal appeals of the State of Texas, in this case,

30351

The State of Texas }
vs. } Order on Motion for new Trial.
Max Smith } Saturday April 29th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a New trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant,

It is therefore ordered by the court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas,

30736

The State of Texas
vs
S. M. Galloway

Motion for New Trial

Friday April 28th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new trial herein.

And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the court, that the law is for said Defendant,

It is therefor ordered by the Court, that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

30064

The State of Texas
vs
Jim Pogue.

Motion for New Trial
Wednesday March 29th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person, and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein,

And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court, that the law is for said defendant,

It is therefor ordered by the Court, that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said cause stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

30408

The State of Texas
vs
Albert Ables.

Order on Motion for New Trial,
Saturday Apr. 29th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a New trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefor ordered by the court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal appeals of the State of Texas,

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Defendant hav 10 days to file statement of facts and bills of exceptions,
Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of $300.00

30408

The State of Texas }
vs } Recognizance,
Albert Ables } Saturday Apr. 29" 1905,

This day came into open Court Albert Ables Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with John Baskin, A. J. Baskin and N. A. Dodge his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $300.00 conditioned that the said Albert Ables, who has been convicted in the County Court, of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $10.00 as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case,

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

*..... the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189....*

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1905

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in May A.D. 1905. the same being the 1st day of May A.D. 1905. there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth - present and presiding the Hon. R. F. Milam County Judge. D. McLean County Attorney, R. L. Rogers County Clerk and John D. Honea Sheriff of Tarrant when the following proceedings were had upon the criminal docket of this Court.

Venire Facias.

Now comes Jno. D. Honea Sheriff of Tarrant County. and brings into open Court the Venire Facias for the first week of the May Term of the County Court and upon the names thereon being called the following qualified jurors answered to-wit; W. M. Clark, R. H. Bates, N. D. Morgan, J. A. Goodman, J. R. Davis, O. N. Sprinkle, S. H. Chapman, A. L. Cochran, G. W. Allen, J. R. Brewer, Will Evans and G. D. Carter.

29738

State of Texas vs Fayett Taylor. } Theft.

Monday May 7th 1905.

Judgment.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; B. H. Shipp and five others. who were duly selected

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

impannelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation, had, returned into open Court. the following verdict. to-wit: "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty, B. H. Skipp. Foreman"

It is therefor ordered by the court that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

30399

The State of Texas } Theft,
vs } Tuesday, May 23" 1905.
Mary Bucy } Judgment.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; J. D. Overcamp and five others. who were duly selected impannelled and sworn according to law. and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit; "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. J. D. Hovenkamp, Foreman"

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

30407

State of Texas } Cause.
vs } Tuesday May. 23" 1905.
J. D. Kidd } Judgment

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; O. R. Barton and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law. and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to conclude of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict. to-wit: "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty, O. R. Barton Foreman".

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

30515

State of Texas
vs
Major Chaney.

A. A.
Tuesday May 16th 1905.
Judgment

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; O. W. Spinks and his others. who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the defendant now herein entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit; "We the Jury find the defendant not guilty. O. W. Spinks. Foreman",

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

P.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

30537 State of Texas } Vol,
vs } Wednesday, May. 17" 1905,
Elhom Brannon. } Judgment,
This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,
Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; S—— —— and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,
Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation—had returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. —— Foreman",
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day,

30564 State of Texas } Theft.
vs } Monday, May. 15" 1905,
Lee H. Harris } Judgment.
This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,
Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; S. F. Dickson and five others, who were duly

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

selected empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty" S. L. Cochran, Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day,

306 70

State of Texas } In the County Court,
vs } Monday, May 15th 1905.
Steve Smith. } Judgment.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

and assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars.

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Steve Smith, the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs of this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

30628

State of Texas
vs
Andy Welch.

May Thursday May. 18th 1905.

Judgment

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars.

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Andy Welch the sum

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,

Further ordered, that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

30645

State of Texas, } No. 9,
vs } Thursday May 18th 1905.
Harris Stubblefield } Judgment.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting, the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

A jury having waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars.

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Harris Stubblefield the sum of Ten Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Further ordered. that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

30673

State of Texas } Theft.
vs. } Thursday May 25" 1905.
Bob. Knight } Judgment.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and imprisonment for ten days in the County Jail,

It is therefore ordered by the Court. that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob. Knight the sum of Ten Dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,

Further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of ten days,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

30690

State of Texas } Theft.
vs
Louise Vandresser. } Thursday May 25th 1905.
Judgment.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty, and assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and imprisonment for ten days in the County Jail,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Louise Vandresser the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of ten days,

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

30699

State of Texas } Ab. Law,
vs } Tuesday May 16th 1905.
Carrie Ellis. } Judgment.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; C. B. Law and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following verdict, to-wit; "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. C. B. Law Foreman",

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas, take nothing by reason of their prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

30495

The State of Texas
vs
J. B. Cross.

Order on Motion for New Trial.

Monday May 29th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepted and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of $300.00

State of Texas
vs
J. B. Cross.

Recognizance

Monday May 29th 1905.

This day came into open Court, J. B. Cross. Defendant in the above entitled cause. who together with Jas. Cents and D. C. Watcham his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $300.00, conditioned that the said J. B. Cross. who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County. Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

a fine of $50.00 as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this cause.

30761

The State of Texas vs A. J. Hill

Order on Motion for New Trial.

Monday May 29th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State. Came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a New Trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepted and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas,

Recognizance of Defendant fixed at $1000.00

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

State of Texas }
vs } Recognizance.
A. J. Hill. } Monday May 29" 1905.

This day came into open Court A. J. Hill defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with Ed. Otto, N. P. McLean Jr. and Walter B. Scott, his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $1000.00, conditioned that the said A. J. Hill, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $100.00 and imprisonment for 90 days in the County Jail, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case,

30746

State of Texas }
vs } Order on Motion
Chas Jones. } for New Trial.
Monday May 29" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the defendant's

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

motion for a new trial herein and arguments of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of $1000.00

State of Texas }
vs } Recognizance
Chas. Fraser. } Monday May 29" 1905.

This day came into open Court Chas. Fraser Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with Ed Otto, W.P. McLean Jr, and Walter B. Scott, his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $1000.00; conditioned that the said Chas. Fraser who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $100.00, and imprisonment for 90 days in the County Jail, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

depose without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this cause.

30753 The State of Texas vs Jack McDaniel } Order on Motion for New Trial. } Monday May 29 "1905. This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial, herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives Notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of $1000.00

30543 The State of Texas vs Mace Lawrence } Order on Motion for New Trial. } Saturday June 10 "1905. This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of $1760.00

30291

The State of Texas
vs
Oscar Galloway

Order on Motion for New Trial

Saturday July 1st 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Deft. in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants Mo. for a new trial herein,

And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled,

To which ruling of the Court the

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

*.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....*

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....*the*..... .....*day of*.....*A. D. 189*.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

*..... the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189....*

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Be it remembered that on this the first monday in July A.D. 1905. there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth present and presiding the Hon. R.T. Milam County judge, Jeff D. McLean County attorney, R.L. Rogers County Clerk and John T. Honea. Sheriff of Tarrant County. when the following proceedings were had upon the criminal docket of said Court.

Venire facias.

Now come John T. Honea Sheriff of Tarrant County and bring into open Court the Venire facias for the first week of the July Term of the County Court and upon the names thereon being called the following qualified jurors answered to-wit:- Thad Collins. J.D. Baker. R.P. Allen. J.I. Griswold. D.D. Hughes. R.R. Potter. H.S. Saturn J.J. Fowler. M.L. Benton. John Dawson. Ed. McGinnis. B.F. Spruill and J.H. Boggus.

30986

The State of Texas
vs
Mallie Dixon

Court in session July 5th. 1905. This day this cause was called for trial, and thereupon came the State of Texas by her County attorney, but the defendant Mallie

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Dixon failed to appear and answer in this behalf, and thereupon her name was called distinctly at the door of the Court House, and a reasonable time given her after such call was made in which to appear. Yet the said defendant came not but wholly made default, And it appearing to the Court that the defendant Mollie Dixon as principal, together with W.R. Parker, D. W. Dunn, R. C. Parker and J. W. Dixon, as sureties, did, on to-wit; the 27th day of May A. D. 1905, enter into a bail bond payable to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Two Hundred ($200) Dollars, conditioned that the defendant, as principal should well and truly make her personal appearance before the Honorable, the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House of said County in the City of Ft. Worth, on the first day of the next term, to be holden at the Court House thereof in and for the County of Tarrant, and State aforesaid, on the first Monday, the same being the 1st day of term 1905, and there remain from day to day and term to term of said Court until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer the State of Texas on a charge by information therein filed, accusing her of the offense of Fornication. It is therefore considered by the Court that the State is entitled

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

to a forfeiture of said bail bond, and it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Mallie Dixon as principal the sum of $200.00 and in like manner that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said W. R. Baker, J. W. Dunn, R. C. Baker and J. W. Dixon, as sureties the sum of $200.00 each, and that this judgment will be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this why said defendant did not appear.

R. F. Milam, Judge.

30814

The State of Texas
vs
Cliff Warren.

Court in session July 5th. 1905.
This day this cause was called for trial, and thereupon came the State of Texas by her County Attorney and the defendant Cliff Warren failed to appear and answer in this behalf, and thereupon his name was called distinctly at the door of the Court House, and a reasonable time given him after such call was made in which to appear, yet the said defendant came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that the defendant Cliff Warren as principal, together with W. M. McJohn, Sam E. Ellerton and J. M. McCain as

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

sureties, did, on towit: The 10 day of June A.D. 1905, enter into a bail bond payable to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Hundred ($100) Dollars, conditioned that the defendant, as principal should well and truly make his personal appearance before the Honorable, the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House of said county in the City of Ft. Worth on the first day of the next term, to be holden at the Court House thereof in and for the County of Tarrant, and State aforesaid. on the first Monday, the same being the first day of term 1905. and there remain from day to day and term to term of said Court until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer the State of Texas on a charge by information therein filed accusing him of the offense of Theft under $50.00 It is therefore considered by the Court that the State of is entitled to a forfeiture of said bail bond, and it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Cliff Harper as principal the sum of $100.00 and in like manner that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said A. W. St. John, Saml. A. Elliston and J. W. McCain as sureties the sum of $100.00 each: and that this judgment will be made final unless good cause be shown at

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

the next term of this court when said defendant did not appear.

R. F. Milam, Judge,

30700

The State of Texas } In the County Court of
vs } Tarrant County, Texas.
J. M. Moody } July 6th, 1905.

Judgment of the Court.

This day the above numbered and entitled cause coming on to be heard, comes now the defendant and moves the court to dismiss his appeal for the reason that no final judgment appears in the transcript of the proceedings in the Corporation Court of the City of North Fort Worth, Tarrant County, Texas, from which said court said cause was appealed. It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendant's said appeal be dismissed and the Clerk of this Court is ordered to return the original papers in said cause to the said Corporation Court and said Corporation Court is directed to proceed in said cause as if no such appeal had been taken,

R. F. Milam (Copy)
Co. Judge,

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

30865 1

State of Texas
Vs.
Oscar Galloway

Order on Motion for new Trial.
July 5, 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a New trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Crimnal Appeals of the State of Texas.

Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of Three Hundred Dollars

30464

State of Texas
Vs.
Oscar Galloway

Order on Motion for new Trial
Aug. 5, 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the Sum of Three Hundred Dollars.

30866

State of Texas } Order on motion for
Vs. } Trial.
Oscar Galloway } Aug 5, 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State come also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a New trial herein and the Argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the Sum of Three Hundred Dollars.

30869

State of Texas } Order on motion for
Vs. } Trial
Oscar Galloway } Aug. 5, 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State come also the Defendant in his own proper

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therfore ordered by the court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of Three Hundred Dollars.

30816

The State of Texas } Theft.
vs } Thursday July 6th 1905.
Henry Neer } Judgment

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. D. Tribble and five others, who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit: "We the Jury find the defendant not guilty." Jno. Gilmore, Foreman."

It is thereupon ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

30827

The State of Texas } Cruelty to animals.
vs } Thursday July 6th 1905.
B. M. Jason. } Judgment

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: M. L. Benton and 11 others, who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit: "We the Jury find the defendant not guilty M. L. Benton. Foreman."

It is thereupon ordered by the

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in September A. D. 1905, the same being the 4th day of September A. D. 1905. there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, present and presiding the Hon. R. T. Milam. County Judge. Jeff D. McLean. County Attorney. R. L. Rogers. County Clerk and John T. Honea sheriff of Tarrant County. when the following proceedings were had upon the criminal docket of said Court.

Venire Facias.

Now comes John T. Honea. Sheriff of Tarrant County. and brings into open Court the Venire Facias for the first week of the saptember Term. of the County Court. and upon the names thereon being called the following qualified jurors answered to-wit:- Everette Pat Powell. Dock Porter. J. A. Coughlin. H. B. Beard. J. E. Dodson. H. M. Crawford. E. J. Block. J. B. Claypool. Fred Edgell. H. C. Hally. and J. C. Richardson.

31087

The State of Texas. }
vs }
A. H. Burling. } September 15. 1905.

This day this cause was called for trial, and thereupon came the State of Texas, by her County attorney, but the defendant A. H. Burling failed to appear and answer in this behalf,

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

and thereupon his name was called distinctly at the door of the Court house. and a reasonable time given him after such call was made in which to appear. yet the said defendant came not. but wholly made default. and it appearing to the Court that the defendant Abe Burling as principal, together with Emil Johnson and Sam Cotton, as sureties, did, on, to wit, the 14th day of August, A.D. 1905. enter into a bail bond. payable to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of One Hundred Dollars. conditioned that the defendant, as principal, should well and truly make his personal appearance before the Honorable, the County Court of Tarrant County, at the Court House of said County: in the City of Fort Worth, on the first day of the next term of said Court. to be holden on the 4th Monday in the inst. Monday. and there remain from day to day and term to term of said County until discharged by due course of law. then and there to answer the State of Texas, upon a charge by information therein filed. and charging him of the offence of Gaming on Sunday: It is therefore considered by the Court that the State is entitled to a forfeiture of said bail bond. and it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Abe Burling as principal. the sum of One Hundred

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

dollars; and in like manner that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from said Emile Johnson and Sam Dalton, as sureties, the sum of one hundred dollars each, and that hereafter this judgment will be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear,

R. F. Milam
Judge.

30758

State of Texas. vs. Laura Moore

Co. Ct.
Thursday, Sept 21st 1905
Judgement.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in her own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; J.A. Cope and five others, who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered her plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit: "We the Jury find the defendant not guilty J.A. Cope, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by this Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

30930

State of Texas
vs.
Gez. Carpenter

Pistol
Tuesday Sept 19, 1905.
Judgement.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: R. D. Higgins and five others, who were duly selected, empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty, R. D. Higgins, Forman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

31037

State of Texas
vs.
Bob Stell

Ab. Law.
Tuesday, Sept. 5-th 1905.
Judgement.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a Jury of good and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

lawful men to-wit; T.A. Loughlin and five others, who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn, according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here enterd his plea of guilty.

Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. T.A. Loughlin, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

31050

State of Texas &c. vs. P.L. James. } C. S. Wednesday, Sept. 6th 1905 Judgement.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: E.J. Brock Jr. and five others, who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Council and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into the Open Court the following verdict, to-wit "We

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the Jury Lined the Defendant not guilty. E. J. Brock Jr. Forman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

31168

State of Texas. vs. Will Wallace

Sheft.
Thursday, Sept 7th 1905.
Judgement.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Wm. Wallace and five others, who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following verdict, to-wit: "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. Wm. Wallace, Foreman."

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

31069.

State of Texas } In Comty
vs } Friday, Sept 8th 1905-
Jno. Inverdy } Judgement.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: E. A. Kidd, and five others, who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law. and the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into the open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. E. A. Kidd, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

31109

State of Texas } In C. C.
vs. } Thursday. Sept. 7. 1905-
Stella Avery } Judgement.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. came also the Defendant in her own proper person and both announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: E. D. Farmer,

and five others, who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered her plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, Arguments of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit, "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty, E.D. Farmer, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

31140

State of Texas
vs.
Bob. Stell

A. A.
Tuesday, Sept 5th 1905
Judgement.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: Fred Edgell and five others, who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury, the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel, and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

and after mature deliberation was had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit:- "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. Fred Edgell, Forman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

31,236

State of Texas
vs.
Frank Price

Vag.

Wednesday. Sept. 20th 1905.

Judgement.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: A.E. Krzywanski, and five others, who were duly selected, empannled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury, the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit. "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. A.E. Krzywanski, Forman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

31,263

State of Texas } Vag.
vs }
Sam Brown } Tuesday, Sept 19th 905.
Judgment.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; Alex Curry, and five others, who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury, the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty, Alex Curry, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Tex take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

31,173

The State of Texas } A. A.
vs }
Jas. Ridley } Saturday Sept. 9" 1905.
Judgment

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

....., the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189....
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars, and imprisonment for ninety days in the County Jail. (to be cumulative)

It is therefore ordered and decreed by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jas. Ridley the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered, that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of ninety days,

31149

The State of Texas } Theft.
vs
C. C. Peters } Wednesday Sept. 6, 1905.
Judgment.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here enters his plea of Not. guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars, and imprisonment for ninety days in the County Jail.

It is therefor ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant C.C. Peters the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of ninety days.

31901

The State of Texas
vs
Ben Thaley

Sheft.
Tuesday Sept. 19th 1905.
Judgment.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of $10.00, and imprisonment for thirty days in the County Jail.

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Ben H Kelly the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of thirty days.

31749 The State of Texas } Vag.
vs } Tuesday Sept. 19th 1905.
Herbert Pollard. } Judgment

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a Fine of $10.00

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Herbert Tolland the sum of Ten Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue

Further ordered, that said Defendant stand Committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

3959

The State of Texas
vs
Nash Walton

Vag

Tuesday Sept. 19th 1905.

Judgment.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial

A jury being waived the matters of fact or well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assess his

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

punishment at a fine of $10.00
It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Nash Walton the sum of Ten Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.
Further ordered, that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

31764 The State of Texas } Vag.
vs } Tuesday Sept. 19" 1905.
Vernon Hill. } Judgment.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.
Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: A. E. Kujawski and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.
Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict. to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty, A. E. Kujawski Foreman."

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

It is thereon ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

30/00

The State of Texas } Assault
vs } Tuesday Sept. 19th 1905.
J. M. Moody } Judgment.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon there a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: N. D. Stubbs and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following verdict, to-wit: "We the Jury find the defendant not guilty, N. D. Stubbs, Foreman."

3/1584

The State of Texas } Cruelty to Horse.
vs } Thursday Oct. 5th A. D. 1905.
Harry Lee. } Judgment.

This day came the County attorney

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

prosecuting the pleas of the State. Came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: E. Godbold and five others. who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law. and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence. argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had. returned into open Court the following verdict. to-wit: "We the Jury find the defendant not guilty. E. Powell. Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of their prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

31367 The State of Texas vs Jim Cosby

A. A. Thursday Oct. 5th 1905.

Judgment.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. H. Edmondson and five others. who were duly selected empanneled

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

and sworn according to law. Read the Information being read to the jury the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the Jury find the defendant not guilty. J. W. Edmondson. Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day,

31149

The State of Texas } Motion for New Trial.
vs } Thursday Sept. 7th 1905.
C. C. Peters.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a New trial herein and the arguments of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the openion of the Court that the law is against said defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Texas.

Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of Two Hundred Dollars.

State of Texas }
vs } Recognizance.
31149 C. C. Peters. } Thursday Sept. 7th 1905.

This day came into open Court C. C. Peters, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with Jno. N. Baskin, O. A. Lattimore and Tom Peters, sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $500.00, conditioned that the said C. C. Peters, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $50.00 and imprisonment for 90 days in the County Jail, shall personally appear by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before the Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case.

State of Texas }
vs } Motion for New Trial.
31104 Kale Craig } Tuesday Oct. 10th 1905.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the openion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of $200.00 Deft. has twenty days in which to file bills of exception & statement of facts.

31104 State of Texas } Recognizance
vs
Kale Craig. } Tuesday Oct. 10' 1905.

This day came into open Court, Kale Craig Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with J. A. Bennett and H. C. Franklin. Sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $200.00, conditioned that the said Kale Craig, who has been convicted in the County Court of Dorman County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $40.00 as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the said cause and not depart without

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case.

31105

State of Texas }
vs } Motion for New Trial.
Kale Craig } Tuesday Oct. 10" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a New trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of $200.00 Dfft. Has twenty days in which to file bills of exceptions and statement of facts.

31105

State of Texas }
vs } Recognizance
Kale Craig. } Tuesday Oct. 10" 1905.

This day came into open Court Kale Craig. Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with J. A. Bennett and H. C. Franklin, sureties, acknowledge themselves

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of $200.00, conditioned that the said Kale Craig who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $30.00, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case.

31107

State of Texas
vs
Kale Craig.

Motion in arrest of Judgment.
Tuesday Oct. 10th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person then came on to be heard the Defendants motion in arrest of judgment herein, and the argument of Counsel being thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

of the State of Texas,

31107

State of Texas, }
vs } Motion for New Trial.
Kale Craig. } Tuesday Oct. 10th 1905.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new tride herein. and the argumen of Counsel being heard thereon because it is it is the openion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is Hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court give notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal appeals of the State of Texas.

Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of $200.00 Dfft. Has twenty days in which to file bills of exception & statement of facts.

31107

State of Texas }
vs } Recognizance
Kale Craig. } Tuesday Oct. 10th 1905.

This day came into open Court Kale Craig, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with J. A. Bennett and W. C. Franklin sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $200.00. conditioned that the said Kale Craig, who has

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

been convicted in the County Court of Dorcas County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $35.00 as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case.

31098

State of Texas }
vs } Motion in arrest of Judgment.
J. A. Bennett } Tuesday Oct. 10th 1905.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties then came on to be heard the Defendants motion in arrest of judgment. Herein and the Court after hearing the argument of Counsel is of the opinion that the law is against said Defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

...the... day of ... A. D. 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

31098

State of Texas }
vs } Motion for New Trial.
J. A. Bennett. } Tuesday Oct. 10th 1905.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for a new trial herein and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of $200.00 Defft. has twenty days in which to file bills of exceptions & statement of facts.

31098

State of Texas }
vs } Recognizance
J. A. Bennett. } Tuesday Oct. 10th 1905.

This day came into open Court, J. A. Bennett, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with Kale Craig and H. C. Bauslin sureties, acknowledge themselves indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of $200.00, conditioned that the said J. A. Bennett, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $30.00 as more fully appears by

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case,

31099 State of Texas vs J. A. Bennett. } Motion in arrest of Judgment.

31099 State of Texas vs J. A. Bennett. } Motion for New Trial, Tuesday Oct. 10th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person then came on to heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant,

It is therefore ordered by the court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of $200.00 Deft. has twenty days in which to file Bills of exception & Statement of facts,

31099

State of Texas, }
vs } Recognizance
J. A. Bennett. } Tuesday Oct. 10th 1905.

This day came in to open court J. A. Bennett, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with Kale Craig and W. C. Franklin sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $200.00, Condition that the said J. A. Bennett, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $25.00 as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case,

31107

State of Texas, }
vs } Motion for New Trial.
Cliff Franklin } Tuesday Oct. 10th 1905,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

motion for a new trial herein and the arguments of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal appeals of the State of Texas.

Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of $200.00 Defl. has twenty days in which to file bills of exception and statement of facts

3110r

State of Texas
vs
Cliff Franklin. Recognizance.

Tuesday Oct. 10th 1905.

This day came into open Court Cliff Franklin Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with J. A. Bennett and Kate Craig sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of $200.00, conditioned that the said Cliff Franklin, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $40.00 as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Court; in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case.

30701 State of Texas }
vs } Motion for New Trial.
Jon Campbell, } Saturday Sept. 23" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the arguments of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

Recognizance of Defendant fixed at $300.00

30701 State of Texas. }
vs } Recognizance
Jon Campbell. } Saturday Sept. 23" 1905.

This day came into open Court Jon Campbell Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with Ben Campbell, P. R. Orr, Jr. and Wm Orr, sureties, acknowledge themselves severally

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $300.00, conditioned that the said Don Campbell, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment at a fine of $1.00 and imprisonment for 15 days in the County Jail be now duly appear by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this case,

31041

State of Texas.
vs.
Fred Houghton

Motion for new trial.
Saturday Sept. 23rd 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person, and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in Open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Tex. Recognizance

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

of Defendant fixed at the sum of $500.00

31041

State of Texas
vs.
Fred Houghton

Recognizance
Monday, Sept., 20th 1905.

This day came into open court Fred Houghton, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with, N. A. Dodge, L. N. Walker, and L. N. Brister, his sureties acknowledged themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $500.00, conditioned that the said Fred Houghton, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $100.00 and imprisonment for 30 days in the County Jail, as more fully appears by the judgement of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgement of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, on this case.

31041

State of Texas
vs.
Fred Houghton

Motion in arrest of judgement
Saturday, Sept 23rd 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person, then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion in arrest of judgement filed herein, and the Court after hearing the argument of

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Counsel, is of the opinion that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts, and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

31113

State of Texas
vs.
Sam Shrender } Motion for new trial
Saturday, Sept 23rd 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person, and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of $500.00.

31113

State of Texas
vs.
Sam Shrender } Recognizance.
Saturday, Sept 23rd, 1905.

This day came into open Court Sam Shrender, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with, J. B. James, R. W. Jones, S. R. Shrender, and Fred Shrender his sureties,

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $5000 conditioned that the said Sam Shreuder, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in the cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $100.00 and imprisonment for 60 days in the County jail as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this court, in order to abide the judgement of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this cause.

31114

State of Texas
vs.
Sam Shreuder.

Motion for new trial.
Saturday, Sept 23rd 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person, and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of $500.00.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

31114

State of Texas.
vs.
Sam Shrender

Recognizance
Saturday, Sept 23rd 1905

This day came into open Court Sam Shrender, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with T. B. James, R. W. Jones, S. R. Shrender and Fred Shrender, his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $500.00, conditioned that the said Defendant Sam Shrender, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor, and his punishment assessed at a fine of $25.00, as more fully appears by the judgement of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case.

31267

State of Texas
vs
Tom Mason

Order granting New Trial.
Saturday Sept. 30 "1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and also the Defendant in his own proper person then came on to heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein,

And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon. Because it is the opinion of the Court, that the law is for said Defendant.

It is therefor ordered by the Court, that the said motion be

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

and the same is hereby sustained, and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

State of Texas }
vs } Motion to quash Indictment
Dr. M. B. Harris. } Thursday Oct. 5th 1905.

#3776

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash Indictment and upon hearing thereon.

And the Court after hearing the argument of Counsel is of the opinion that the law is with said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

31517

The State of Texas }
vs }
Sam Shrewder. }

Be it remembered that on this the first monday in November A.D. 1905. the same being the 6th day of November. A.D. 1905. there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County. Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth present and presiding the Hon. R. T. Milam. County Judge. Jeff D. McLean. County attorney. R. L. Rogers, County Clerk. and John D. Honea. Sheriff of Tarrant County. when the following proceedings were had upon the criminal docket of said Court.

Venire Facias.

Now comes John D. Honea Sheriff of Tarrant County and brings into open court the Venire Facias for the first week of the November Term of said Court. and upon the names thereon being called the following qualified jurors answered to!-

J. E. Hurell. J. D. Sandsberry. H. H. Harrel. C. H. Holley. D. J. George. Ed McGinner. V. L. Gilbert. L. N. Smith. D. H. Hightower. Jno. H. Carrick. A. C. Robinson and W. D. Barry.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... .....day of..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

31517

The State of Texas, }
vs } Judgment Nisi
Sam Shrewder. } November 8th, 1905.

On this day the above numbered and entitled cause having been regularly reached on the docket and called; the State of Texas appeared by her County Attorney; but the defendant Sam Shrewder came not, but was wholly in default. Thereupon the name of the defendant Sam Shrewder was by order of the Court called distinctly at the door of the Court House, and the said defendant answered not, and did not appear within a reasonable time after such call was made, and it appearing to the Court that heretofore, to wit: the 21st day of October A. D. 1905, the said defendant Sam Shrewder as principal, together with Edd McCoy, J. D. Turner, D. B. James and R. L. Purvis, as sureties, did execute his bail bond payable to the State of Texas, by which he and his said sureties acknowledged themselves to owe and be indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Hundred Dollars, conditioned, that the said defendant Sam Shrewder, as principal, would and should appear before the County Court of Tarrant County, to be begun and holden at the Court House in the City of Fort Worth; on the first day of the next term thereof, and there remain from day to day and term to term of said Court to answer to the information in this cause, charging him the said Sam Shrewder with the offense of

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

opening on Sunday, and the said Sam Shrewder having failed to appear, and having made default as aforesaid, it is considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court, that the said bail bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited; and it is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Sam Shrewder, as principal, the sum of One Hundred Dollars, and that the State of Texas, do also have and recover from the said Edd McCoy, J. D. Turner, J. B. James and R. S. Purvis, each, as his sureties, the sum of One Hundred Dollars, which said judgment will be made final, unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why defendant Sam Shrewder did not appear.

31514

The State of Texas
vs
Effie Taylor. } Judgment Nisi,
November 8th A. D. 1905.

On this day the above numbered and entitled cause having been regularly reached on the docket and called; the State of Texas appeared by her County Attorney; but the defendant Effie Taylor came not, but was wholly in default. Thereupon, the name of the defendant Effie Taylor was by order of the Court called distinctly at the door of the Court House, and the said defendant answered not, and did not appear

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

within a reasonable time after such call was made. And it appearing to the Court that heretofore, on, to-wit: the 21st day of October A.D. 1905, the said defendant Effie Taylor as principal, together with Ed McCoy, J.T. Turner, Bert Campbell and John Reeder, as sureties, did execute her bail bond payable to the State of Texas, by which she and her said sureties acknowledged themselves to owe and be indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Hundred Dollars, conditioned that the said defendant Effie Taylor, as principal, would and should appear before the County Court of Tarrant County, to be begun and holden at the Court House in the City of Fort Worth, on the first day of the next term thereof and there remain from day to day and term to term of said court, to answer to the information in this cause charging the said Effie Taylor with the offense of Open on Sunday; and the said Effie Taylor having failed to appear, and having made default as aforesaid, it is considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court, that the said bail bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited; and it is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Effie Taylor, as principal, the sum of One Hundred Dollars, and that the State of Texas, do also have and

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

recover from the said Edd McCoy, J. J. Turner, Bert Campbell and John Reeder each, as her sureties, the sum of One Hundred Dollars, which said judgment will be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant Effie Taylor did not appear

315 16

The State of Texas
vs
Effie Taylor. } Judgment Nisi.
November 8th A.D. 1905.

On this day the above numbered and entitled cause having been regularly reached on the docket and called; the State of Texas appeared by her County Attorney; but the defendant Effie Taylor came not, but was wholly in default. Whereupon, the name of the defendant Effie Taylor was by order of the Court called distinctly at the door of the Court House, and the said defendant answered not, and did not appear within a reasonable time after such call was made. And it appearing to the Court that heretofore, vizt; the 21st day of October A.D. 1905. the said defendant Effie Taylor, as principal, together with Edd McCoy, J. J. Turner, and T. B. James, as sureties, did execute her bail bond payable to the State of Texas, by which she and her said sureties acknowledged themselves to owe

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

and be indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Hundred Dollars: conditioned, that the said defendant Effie Taylor as principal, would and should appear before the County Court of Tarrant County, to be begun and holden at the Court House in the City of Fort Worth, on the first day of the next term thereof and there remain from day to day and term to term of said Court to answer to the information in this cause charging the said Effie Taylor with the offense of Open on Sunday, and the said Effie Taylor having failed to appear, and having made default as aforesaid, it is considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court, that the said bail bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited; and it is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas, do have and recover of and from the said Effie Taylor, as principal, the sum of One Hundred Dollars; and that the State of Texas do also have and recover from the said Edd McCoy, J. S. Turner and D. B. Jamerson each, as his sureties, the sum of One Hundred Dollars, which said judgment will be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant Effie Taylor did not appear.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3515

The State of Texas
vs
Effie Taylor. } Judgment Nisi
November 8th A.D. 1905.

On this day the above numbered and entitled cause having been regularly reached on the docket and called, the State of Texas appeared by her County Attorney, but the defendant Effie Taylor came not, but was wholly in default. Thereupon the name of the defendant Effie Taylor was by order of the Court called distinctly at the door of the Court House, and the said defendant answered not, and did not appear within a reasonable time after such call was made. And it appearing to the Court that heretofore, on, to wit, the 2nd day of October A.D. 1905, the said defendant Effie Taylor as principal, together with Ed McCoy, J. D. Turner, Thos B. Jones and R. L. Purvis, as sureties, did execute her bail bond payable to the State of Texas, by which she and the said sureties acknowledged themselves to owe and be indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of One Hundred Dollars, conditioned that the said defendant Effie Taylor, as principal, would and should appear before the County Court of Tarrant County, to be begun and holden at the Court House in the City of Fort Worth. On the first day of the next term thereof and then

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

remain from day to day and term to term of said Court to answer to the information in this cause charging her the said Effie Taylor with the offense of Open on Sunday, and the said Effie Taylor having failed to appear, and having made default as aforesaid, it is considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court, that the said bail bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited; and it is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas, do have and recover of and from the said Effie Taylor, as principal, the sum of One Hundred Dollars; and that the State of Texas, do also have and recover from the said Ed McCoy, J. J. Turner, Jno. B. James and R. L. Purvis, each, as his sureties, the sum of One Hundred Dollars, which said judgment will be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant Effie Taylor did not appear.

31473

The State of Texas
vs
Pete Skaggs.

Judgment Nisi.
November 8th 1905.

This day this cause was called for trial, and thereupon came the State of Texas by her County attorney. But the defendant Pete Skaggs failed to appear and answer in this behalf, and thereupon his name was called distinctly at the door

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

of the Court House, and a reasonable time given him after such Call was made in which to appear, yet the said defendant Came not, but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court that the defendant Pete Staggs as principal, together with J. A. Chapman and Lula Staggs, as sureties, did on, towit: the 16th day of October A.D. 1905, enter into a bail bond payable to the State of Texas in the penal sum of two hundred dollars, conditioned that the defendant Pete Staggs, as principal, should well and truly make his personal appearance before the Honorable, the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House of said County, in the City of Fort Worth, on the first day of the next term thereof, and there remain from day to day and term to term of said Court, and not depart hence until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer the State of Texas upon a charge by information therein filed, accusing him of the offense of aggravated assault. It is therefore Considered by the Court that the State of Texas, is entitled to a forfeiture of said bail bond, and it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Pete Staggs, as principal, the sum of two hundred dollars; and in like manner that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

said D. H. Chapman and Lula Skaggs as sureties the sum of two hundred dollars each, and that this judgment will be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this court why said defendant did not appear.

3088 v

State of Texas }
vs } Theft
John Hopkins. } Friday Nov. 17th 1905.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; C. A. Holley and four others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberations had returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty, C. A. Holley Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

nothing by reason of this pro-
secution and that the defendant
go hence without day.

30977

State of Texas }
vs } A. A.
Johnson Gilbert } Friday Nov. 17" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney
prosecuting the pleas of the State,
came also the defendant in his
own proper person and both
parties announced ready for trial

Thereupon came a jury of good
and lawful men to-wit; N.C. Cantrell
and five others, who were duly
selected empanneled and sworn
according to law, and the
Information being read to the
jury the Defendant now here
entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after
hearing the evidence, arguments
of Counsel and receiving the
charge of the Court retired to
consider of their verdict and after
mature deliberation had, re-
turned into open Court the
following verdict to-wit: "We
the jury find the Defendant not guilty.
N. C. Cantrell. Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by
the Court that the State of Texas
take nothing by reason of this
prosecution and that the Defendant
go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

31048

State of Texas }
vs } Perjury A. without L.
Tom Williams } Thursday Nov. 23" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. A. Farmer and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit; We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. W. A. Farmer, Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

31776

State of Texas }
vs } Ab. Law.
C. M. Dove } Wednesday Nov. 23" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises found the Defendant guilty and assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars.

It is therefore ordered and decreed by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant C. M. Done the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered, that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

31387

State of Texas
vs
James Gonzales
Ab. Law.
Wednesday Nov. 15th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit:

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

W. D. Barry, and six others, who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Not guilty. W. D. Barry, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas, take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

3150

State of Texas
vs
Lawyer C. Gillen

Adultery.
Wednesday Nov. 15th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. N. Bordon and six others, who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

not guilty,

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty, W. A. Bardon, Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day

3/5-3r

State of Texas
vs
J. B. Williams } Appeal
Friday Nov. 17th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant J. B. Williams the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,

Further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

31532

State of Texas
vs
Jno. F. Swayne. } Bribery

appeal

Nov. 17th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law was submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars,

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the defendant Jno. F. Swayne the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,

Further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

3157-87

State of Texas
vs
Ben Vincent

A. A.
Thursday Nov. 23rd 1905.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit W. A. Farmer and five others, who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the defendant now here enters his plea of Not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit: "We the Jury find the Defendant

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

not guilty.

It is thereupon ordered and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

31607

State of Texas
vs
Dr. C. C. Blair

} U. S. Court -
} Thursday Nov. 23" 1905.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial

A jury duly waived the matters of fact herein well and law duly submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here enter his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars.

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dr. C. C. Blair, the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed herein aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine & costs execution may issue.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

further ordered that said Defendant be committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

3161S

State of Texas
vs
H. N. Gilderell

In County Court, Nov. Term, 1905.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: E. S. Linkenfelter and [illegible] others, who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law, and the indictment being read to the jury the defendant, now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation they returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit:

"We the Jury find the defendant not guilty, E. S. Linkenfelter Foreman."

It is thereupon ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of [illegible] prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

31605

State of Texas }
vs } Order on Motion for New Trial.
Dr. C. C. Blair } Saturday Dec. 16" 1905.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the openion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of Three Hundred Dollars. Deft. has ~~thirty~~ 20 days after adjournment of Court to file statement of facts and bills of exception.

31605

State of Texas }
vs } Recognizance
Dr. C. C. Blair } Saturday Dec. 16" 1905.

This day came into open Court, Dr. C. C. Blair Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with H. P. Holland and E. C. Orrick his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $300 Dollars conditioned that the said Dr. C. C. Blair, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

fine of $25.00 as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this court from day to day and term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case,

31607

State of Texas }
vs } Order on Motion for New Trial,
Dr. C. C. Blair } Saturday Dec. 16" 1905,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of Three Hundred Dollars. Deft. has 20 days after adjournment to file bills of exception and statement of facts

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

...the... day of ... A. D. 189...

31607

State of Texas }
vs } Recognizance
Dr. C. C. Blair. } Saturday Dec. 16" 1905.

This day came into open Court, Dr. C. C. Blair, defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with H. B. Holland and E. C. Orrick his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $300.00 conditioned that the said Dr. C. C. Blair, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $50.00 as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Jany. Term, 1906

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in January A. D. 1906. the same being the 1 day of January. A.D. 1906. there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth. present and presiding the Hon. R. E. Milam County Judge. Jeff D. McLean County Attorney. R. L. Logan. County Clerk, and John D. Honea. Sheriff of Tarrant County, when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court,

Venire Facias.

Now comes John D. Honea. Sheriff of Tarrant County, and brings into open Court the Venire Facias for this the first week of the January Term of the County Court and upon the names thereon being called the following qualified jurors answered to-wit; J. N. Buchanan. Tom James. J. R. Puckett. A. N. Evans. E. A. Dowman. A. J. Parks. N. A. Fleming. A. B. Black. C. H. Holley. G. A. Glass. J. B. Winn & J. H. Bovell.

State of Texas }
vs } Permitting Minor to R. in Pool Hall.
31729 John Sorbon } Tuesday Jany. 16" 1906.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Thereupon came a jury of good and

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

lawfull men to-wit; J. N. Cole and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit; "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. J. N. Cole. Foreman."

It is therefore ordered and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

31793

State of Texas
vs
Eddie Beck

Theft.

Tuesday Jany. 16th 1906.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; A. F. Faulkner and four others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit: "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. N. J. Faulkner Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

3184v

State of Texas
vs. A. A.
Jim Miller Tuesday January 16, 1906.

This day came to Court, attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. G. Smith and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Jury. Being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

considers of this verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit: "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. J. S. Smith Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

31851

State of Texas
vs
Will Preston

A. A.

Monday Jany. 15th 1906.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars, and imprisonment for ninety days in the County Jail.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will Preston the

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

sum of One Hundred Dollars fine, assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further order, that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of Ninety days.

37013

State of Texas }
-Vs- } A. A.
Phil Smith } Saturday Feby. 17" 1906.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. came also the Deft. in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Hod. Ramsey and five others. who were duly selected. empaneled and sworn according to law. and the information being read to the jury the Deft. now here entered his plea of not guilty. and the jury after hearing the evidence. argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the defendant not guilty. J. Hal Ramsey. Foreman."

It is therefore ordered and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

The State of Texas }
—VS— } Order on Motion for New Trial,
31031 R. E. Sandford. } Saturday Feby. 24" 1906.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a New Trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of One Thousand Dollars. Defendant has twenty days in which to file bills of exceptions and Statement of facts.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3186 4

The State of Texas }
vs } Order on motion for New Trial.
Geo. Eubanks. } Saturday Mch. 3rd 1906.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open Court gave notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of defendant fixed at the sum of $400.00 Dollars in granted 20 days to file Statement of facts and bills of exception.

3186 4

The State of Texas }
vs } Recognizance
Geo. Eubanks. } Saturday Mch. 3rd 1906.

This day came into open Court Geo. Eubanks defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with W. B. Scott and Tate Eubanks, sureties, acknowledge themselves indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of $400.00 conditioned that the said Geo. Eubanks who has been convicted in the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $100.00 as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this cause.

37433

State of Texas }
vs. } Order Granting New Trial.
Grant Gray } Saturday June 30th 1906.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Came also the County Attorney Defendant in his own proper person. Then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein.

And the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defft.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby granted, and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal docket of this Court.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1906

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

32795

State of Texas }
vs } Order Granting New Trial.
Chas. Hawkins. } Saturday June 30th 1906.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Deft. in his own proper person then came on to be heard the Defts. motion for a new trial herein.

And the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Deft.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby granted and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal docket of this Court.

32337

State of Texas }
vs } Order Granting New Trial.
Dora Katz. } Saturday June 30th 1906.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Deft. in his own proper person this came on to be heard the Defts. motion for a new trial herein.

And the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby granted. and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the criminal docket of this court.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1906.

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

37334

State of Texas }
vs } Order Granting New Trial.
Rosa Frank. } Saturday June 30" 1906.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein.

And the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the court that said motion be and the same is hereby granted and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the criminal docket of this court.

37379

State of Texas }
vs } Order Granting New Trial.
Jamie Davis } Saturday June 30" 1906.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein.

And the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said defendant

It is therefore ordered by the court that said motion be and the same is hereby granted. and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the criminal docket of this Court.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1906.

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in March A.D. 1906. the same being the 5 day of March A.D. 1906. there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth. Present and presiding the Honorable R.T. Milam. County Judge, Jeff D. McLean, County Attorney, R. L. Rogers, County Clerk, and John D. Howea, Sheriff of Tarrant County, when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court,

Venire Facias

Now comes John D. Howea, Sheriff of Tarrant County, and brings into open Court the Venire Facias for this the first week of the March Term of the County Court and upon the names thereon being called the following qualified jurors answered to-wit;

J. C. Browning, E. N. Taylor, G. D. Silvertooth, N. V. Dewitt, J. B. Addison, D. A. Reeves, M. F. Fulton, G. N. Barnes, N. J. Gibson, J. R. Cannon, J. M. Brown, D. S. Smith, N. F. Smotherman & A. J. Baker.

31673

State of Texas
vs
Mattie Simpson.

Theft.

Wednesday March 14th 1906.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1906.

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of One Dollar, and imprisonment for one day in the County Jail.

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mattie Simpson the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered. that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of one day.

The State of Texas }
vs } Order on Motion for new Trial
37023 W. J. Brown } Saturday March 10th 1906.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

same is Hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepted and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of $1000.00 One Thousand Dollars.

Deft. has 90 days after adjournment of Court to file statement of facts and bills of exceptions.

3702

The State of Texas
vs
W. D. Brown
Recognizance
Saturday March 10th 1906.

This day came into open Court W. D. Brown Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with W. B. Scott, H. P. McLean Jr. & A. C. McLean, sureties, acknowledged themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $1000.00, conditioned that the said W. D. Brown, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $300.00 and sixty days labor on the public road, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this cause

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... .....day of..... .....A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1906,

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in May A.D. 1906. the same being the 7 day of May A.D. 1906. there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, present and presiding the Hon. R.T. McLean Co. Judge. Jeff D. McLean Co. Atty. R. L. Rogers Co. Clk. & John D. Honea Sheriff. Tarrant County, Texas, that the following proceedings were had

37361

The State of Texas. } Setting at D.
vs } Wednesday May 23rd 1906.
Sam Dunn. } Judgment.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury duly elected tried the matters of fact as well as of law very submitted to the Court, and the defts. now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Deft. guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Deft. Sam Dunn the sum of Ten Dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1906.

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

37363 The State of Texas vs Nash Hally } Betting at D.
Wednesday May 23" 1906.
Judgment.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Deft. in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten dollars.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of Ten dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,

State of Texas vs
37336 Marguite Lapell, } Defts. No. for New
37337 Dora Katz, } Trial
37339 Jamie Davis, } Thursday June 28" 1906.
37334 Rosie Frank.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defts. in their own proper person and their came on to be heard the Defts. motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court

that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same are hereby overruled

37337 State of Texas vs Frank DeBeque } Defts. Mo. to Quash } Monday June 25th 1906.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defts. in his own proper person then came on to be heard the Defts Mo. to quash herein and the Court being fully advised in the premises is of the opinion that the law is against said Defts.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Mo. be and the same is hereby overruled.

State of Texas vs
37337 Dora Kratz
37295 Chas. Hawkins
37432 Frank Gray
37334 Rosa Franks
37329 Fannie Davis
} Order Granting New Trials Page 469, 470, 471

State of Texas vs
37336 Margorita Lapell
} Order granting New Trial. Saturday June 30th 1906.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1896.

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

proper person then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein.

And the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said defendant

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby granted and that said Case stand for trial in its regular order upon the criminal docket of this Court.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1906.

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

37761

State of Texas }
vs } Theft.
Harrison Simpson. } Monday August 6th 1906.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Deft. now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Deft. guilty as charged and assesses his punishment at a fine of fifty dollars, and imprisonment for Ninety days in the County Jail.

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Harrison Simpson the sum of $50.00 fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Deft. stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of Ninety days.

It is further ordered that this judgment be cumulative and serve at the expiration of the sentence in Cause No. 37761,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1906

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

32765

State of Texas }
vs. } Theft.
Cy Roy } Monday August 6th 1906.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of $50.00 Dollars, (and imprisonment for 90 days in the County Jail.)

It is therefore ordered by the court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Cy Roy the sum of $50.00 fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incured for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered, that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of 90 days.

32860

State of Texas } Adultery
vs. } Tuesday Aug. 28, 1906.
J. C. Lovett }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

A jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of, not guilty.

Thereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred ($100.00) Dollars.

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do and have and recover of and from the Defendant J. C. Lovett the sum of One Hundred ($100.00) Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incured for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered, that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs be fully paid.

The State of

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

..... *the* ..... *day of* ..... *A. D. 189*.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

*..... the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189....*

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 189/1906

the day of A. D. 189

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in September A D 1906 The same being the 3rd day of September A D 1906, There was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, Texas and presiding the Honorable R F Milam, County Judge. Jeff D McLean County Attorney, R L Rogers County Clerk, and Jno. T. Honea Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas. when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court.

Now comes John T Honea sheriff of Tarrant County and brings into open Court the Venire Facias for this the first week of the September Term of the County Court and upon the names thereon being called the following qualified jurors answered to wit:

J E Thorne, A Sandegard, W J Ozee, Alex Henry, A S McMorris, N O White, W B James, J M Scott, J M Perry, Jno Norwood, Dick Ladd, and E H Cowen

33079

State of Texas
vs
Chas Henry

Vag

Wednesday October 3rd. 1906

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars, It is therefore

the day of A. D. 189
Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas Henry the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County jail until said fine and costs are fully paid ~~and~~.

32323

State of Texas
vs
John Dennis

Recognizance
Friday September 21st 1906

This day came into open Court John Dennis Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with Jake Johnson and R N Graham his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of One Thousand Dollars conditioned that the said John Dennis who has been convicted in County Court of Tarrant County Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of Five Hundred Dollars (and imprisonment for Ninety days in the County Jail) as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this cause.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

37926
37926

State of Texas }
vs } Def't. Motion for New Trial
Jim Erwin. } Saturday Nov. 3" 1906.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein.

And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the court, that the law is for said Defendant

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal docket of this Court.

November Term.

33180

State of Texas }
vs } Ag. Assault.
Bob Davis } Monday Dec 17, 1906.

This day come the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of $400.00 (and imprisonment for four months in jail.)

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Bob Davis, the sum of $400.00 fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,

Further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the county jail until ~~Said~~ fine and costs are fully paid and for the full period of 4 months.

77777 ✓

State of Texas.
vs
Bill Thompson

Defendants Mo for New Trial.
Dec. 17th 1906

This day come the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State come also the defendant in his own proper person and then come on to be heard Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the arguments of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is ~~#~~ the opinion of the Court that the law is against said defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appleas of the State of Texas.

Recognizance of defendant fixed at the sum of Seven hundred & fifty $750.00 dollars

77777 ✓

State of Texas
vs
Bill Thompson

Recognizance
Dec. 17th 1906,

This day come into open Court Bill Thompson Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with W.J. Roberts W.A. Harnbeck sureties, acknowledged themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of $ 75.00 Conditioned that the said Bill Thompson who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $50.00 ( and im-

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

prisonment for Ninety days in the County Jail) as more fully appion by the judgment of conviction duly entred in this cause, shall appion before this court from day to day and from term to term of the Same and not depart without leave of this court, in order to abide the judgment of the court of Criminal appleos of the State of Texas in this case.

#3180

State of Texas }
vs } Motion for New Trial
Bob Davis } Dec. 18th 1906.

This day come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state came also the Defendants in his own proper and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motin for a New trial here in and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the said motion be and the Same is here by over ruled. To which ruling of the court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of Eight Hundred $800.00 Dollors.

#3180

State of Texas }
vs } Recognizance
Bob Davis } Dec. 18th 1906.

This day came into open Court Bob Davis Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with Jas. O'Dowd and Jack Burke Sureties, acknowledged themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $800.00, conditioned that the said Bob Davis, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of Misdemeanor and his punishment assessed

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

at a fine of $50.00 (and imprisonment for ninety days in the county jail) as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this cause.

33275

State of Texas
vs.
W. H. Mathews } Order Granting New Trial
Dec. 17th 1906.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and then come on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein.
and the argument being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court, that the law is for said defendant,
It is therefore ordered by the court, the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said cause stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

33334

State of Texas
vs
Wallace Perkins } Agravated Assault
Thursday Jan 10th 1907.

This day come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.
A jury having been waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and then defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,
Where upon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

of Twenty five ($25.00) Dollors.
It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Wallace Perkins, the sum of Twenty five ($25.00) Dollors, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.
Further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

33408

State of Texas
vs
L. Smith

Cords.

Wednesday Jan. 9th. 07

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also come the defendant in his own proper person announced ready for trial.
A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not-guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty, and assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten ($10.00) Dollors.
It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant L. Smith the sum of Twenty five ($25.00) Dollors, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue.
Further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

33409

State of Texas
vs.
Albert Parks

Cards

Wednesday Jan. 9, 1907.

This day come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and being fully advised in premises finds the defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten($10.00) Dollors.

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Albert Parks the sum of Ten($10.00) Dollors. fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs of suit incurred in this behalf. for which execution may issue.

Futher ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the county jail until said fine and Costs are fully paid.

33411

State of Texas
vs.
Jim Cox

Cards

Wednesday Jan 9th 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten ($10.00) Dollars, It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jim Coss the sum of Ten ($10.00) Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs incurred in this behalf for which execution may issue, Further ordered, that said difendant stand committed to the County Jail until all said fine and costs are fully paid,

22470

State of Texas
vs
Jamie Davis

Pistol,
Friday Jan. 11th 1907.

This day came the county Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a ~~fine~~ confinement of Ten days in the County Jail,

32484

State of Texas
vs
Roy Wallace

Vagrancy,
Tuesday Jan. 8th 1907.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of ~~Good~~ and lawful men to wit; J.S. Seely and five others

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

who were duly selected empannuled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury the defendant from here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:- "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One ($1.00) Dollar." J.S. Sealy Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Roy Wallace the sum of One ($1.00) Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

23487

State of Texas
vs. Adultery
Jim Coss

Saturday Jan. 12, 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of one hundred ($100.00) Dollars. It is therefore ordered by

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

court, that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jim Voss, the sum of one hundred ($100.00) Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to jail until said fine and costs are fully paid,

33789

State of Texas
vs
Nick the Greek

Ag. Assault,
Thursday, Jan. 17. 1907.

This day come the county Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

There upon come a jury of good and lawful men to-wit:- A.P. Luckett, and five others, who were duly selected and impanneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Where upon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following, Verdict to-wit:- We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of five ($5.00) Dollors, A.P. Luckett, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Nick the Greek the sum of five ($5.00) Dollors, fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to jail until said fine and costs are fully paid,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3383

State of Texas
vs.
Antonio Mortuz } Fornication
Jan. 10th 1907.

This day came the county Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon come a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Lee Phelps and five others, who were duly selected and emponeled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation have, returned into open court the following verdict, to wit:
"We the jury find the defendant not guilty"
It is therefore ordered by the court that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence with out day.

3407

State of Texas
vs.
Howard Foster } Theft
January 11th 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon come a jury of good and lawful men to wit: C W Foster and five others, who were duly selected emponeled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation have

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

returned into open court the following verdict, to-wit; "We the jury find the defendant not guilty" C W Foster Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence with out day.

33578

State of Texas
vs
Tate Eubanks } Dis. House
Jan. 16th 1907.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of six good and lawful men to-wit; J. L. Stephenson, and five others, who were duly selected impaneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury the defendant now here entered his plea of not-guilty

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had returned into open court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the defendant not guilty" J. L. Stephenson Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant go hence without day.

33617

State of Texas
vs
Owen Mills } Goming House
January 23rd 1907.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, came also the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

for trial. There upon came a jury of six good and lawfull men to-wit C.M.Baker and five others, who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read, the defendant- now here entered his plea of not- guilty.
Where upon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument counsel and receiving the charge of the court- retired to consider of their Verdict, and after mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following Verdict- to wit:
"We the jury find the defendant- guilty and assess his punishment- at a fine of Fifty $50.00 Dollars and forty days in the county jail. C.M.Baker Foreman".
It is therefore ordered by the court, that the State of Texas do have and recover and from the defendant- Owen Mills, the sum of Fifty $50.00 Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, to gether with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.
Further ordered, that said defendant- stand committed to the county jail until said fine and costs are fully paid, and for the full period of 40 days.

3364

State of Texas
vs
Sheppard Hill } Cards
January 23" 1907

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the of the State, came also the defendant- in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of six good and lawful men to wit: J.W.B. Moon, and five others who were duly empaneled and sworn according to law and the information

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, evidence whereupon the jury after hearing the argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider of their verdict; and after mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten $10.00 Dollars,

It is therefore ordered by the court, that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Shepherd Hill the sum of Ten $10.00 Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and cost incurred execution may issue,

It is further ordered, that the defendant stand committed to the county jail until said fine and cost are fully paid.

33625 State of Texas
vs
Buck Hill } Co. Ct.

January 23, 1907

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, came also the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of six good and lawful men to wit; A. Chaney and five others, who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider of their verdict; and after mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following verdict to wit;

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

"We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten #10^00 Dollors, As Cheney, Foreman"
It is there fore ordered by the court that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from defendant Buck Hill the sum of Ten #10^00 Dollors, fine assessed aforesaid to gether with all ~~of~~ costs of ~~Suit~~ in this behalf incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue
Further ordered, that the said defendant Stand committed to the county jail until said fine and cost are fully paid,

33633

No. 607
New trial

State of Texas }
vs } Crap
John Miller } January 23" 1906,

This day came the county attorny prosecuting the pleas of the state came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to court, and the difendant now has entered his plea of not guilty. Where upon the Court after hearing the evidence, and argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten #10^00 Dollors,
It is there fore ~~consisted~~ ordered by the court, that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of Ten #10^00 Dollors, fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs of ~~Suit~~ in this behalf incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the county jail, until said fine and cost are fully paid,

33636

State of Texas
vs
Bartley Brown } Cruelty
January 23rd 1907.

mo for new trial

Error

This day came the county Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of six good and lawful men to wit:-

271

33641

State of Texas
vs
Jas. Holloway } Crop.
January 23rd 1907

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state came also the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. There upon came a jury of six good and lawful men to wit, as Cheney and five others, who were duly selected impaneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deberation had returned into open court the following verdict-to-wit; "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten $10.00 Dollors.

It is therefore ordered by the court, that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jos. Holloway the sum of Ten $10.00 Dollors fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs of in this behalf incurred for which said

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and cost are fully paid

33647

State of Texas
vs.
Will Edwards

Crapo.
January 23rd 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State come also the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon come a jury of six good and lawful men to wit: A.S. Cheney and five others, who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.
Where upon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict; and after mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten $10.00 Dollors. It is therefore ordered, by the court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Will Edwards the sum of Ten $10.00 Dollors fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.
Further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the county jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

...the... day of ... A. D. 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

33643

State of Texas
vs
Henry Turner
} Crops
January 23rd 1907

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. There upon came a jury of six good and lawful men to wit- J H Joyce, and five others, who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Where upon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had returned into open court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at fine of Ten $10.00 Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant-th Henry Turner, the sum of Ten $10.00 Dollars fine assessed a foresaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine & costs execution may issue.

Further ordered, that defendant stand committed to the county jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

272

33644

State of Texas
vs
John Williams
} Crops
January 23rd 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of six good and lawful men to wit: J H Cornithus, and five others, who were duly selected empaneled

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.
Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following verdict, to-wit; "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifteen $15.00 Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant John Williams, the sum of Fifteen $15.00 Dollars fine assessed aforesaid together with all cost in this behalf incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue.
Further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the county jail until said fine and cost are fully paid.

33648

State of Texas
vs.
Lula Easley } Crops
January 23rd 1907.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.
There upon came a jury of six good and lawful men to-wit: A Cheney and five others who were selected empaneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.
Where upon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel, and after receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty, and assess his punishment at a

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

fine of ~~Fifteen~~ $10.00 Dollors.
It is therefore considered by the court, that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant- Lula Easley the sum of ~~Fifteen~~ $10.00 Dollors, fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue. Further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the county jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

✓
3648

State of Texas }
vs. } Corps.
Walter Pernell } January 23rd 1907.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, came also the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of six good and lawful men to wit: J.N. Osborn, Foreman, and five others, who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict; and after mature deliberation had returned into open court the following verdict-to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten $10.00 Dollors.
It is therefore ordered by court that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Walter Pernell the sum of Ten $10.00 Dollors fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Z Z650

State of Texas
vs
T F Smith
Crops
January 23" 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant- in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of six good and lawful men to wit:- as Chaney and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the defendant- now entered his plea of not- guilty.

Where upon the jury after hearing the evidence argument- of counsel, and receiving the charge of the court- retired to consider of their verdict- and after mature deliberation have returned in to open court- the following verdict- to wit- "We the jury find the defendant- guilty and assess his punishment- at a fine of Ten $10 00 Dollors.

It is there for ordered by the court that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant- the T. F. Smith the sum of Ten $10 00 Dollors fine assessed aforesaid to gether with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine & Costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that- said defendant- stand committed to the county jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

Z Z608

State of Texas.
vs.
Mike Murphy
Ag. Assault
February. 1" 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State come also the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

of six good and lawful men to wit, E.W. Provine and five others, who were duly ~~sworn~~ elected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the information being to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.
Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider of their verdict; and after mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following verdict, to wit; "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars, E.W. Provine, Foreman"
It is therefore ordered by the court, that the State of Texas, do have and recover of and from the defendant Mike Murphy the sum of Twenty five Dollars, fine assessed aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.
Further ordered that defendant stand committed to the county jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

/

State of Texas }
vs. } Adultery.
Bob Hunter } February 1st 1887.

83670

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact, as well as of law were submitted to the court and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.
Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, and argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises, finds

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Two hundred Dollars."
It is therefore ordered by the court, that the State of Texas, do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of Two hundred dollars, fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred and for which said fine and costs execution may issue.
It is further ordered that the defendant stand committed to the County jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

3637

State of Texas }
v. } Open, Sunday
Harry Emerson } Feb. 1st 1907.

This day come the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.
Thereupon come a jury of good and lawful men to-wit. A.J. Johnson and five others, who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury. the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty
Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation hood, returned into open court the following verdict, we the jury find the defendant not guilty, A.J. Johnson, Foreman.
It is therefore ordered by the court, that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence with out day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189...

33319

State of Texas }
vs } A. A.
Frank Grey } February 16th 1907.

This day come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, & came also the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon come a jury of good and lawful men to wit:- L.P Moon and five others, who were duly selected impanelled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Where upon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit. "We the Jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty five ($25.00) Dollars. L.P. Moon, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from defendant Frank Grey the sum of $25.00 fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

It further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail, until said fine and costs are fully paid.

33442

Error
Dec 13-634

State of Texas }
vs. } Mo. for New Trial
Sam McCullis } January 18th 1907

This day come the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State come also the defendant in his own proper person and then come on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon & Court is of the opinion of the court that the law is against the defendant

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby over ruled. To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeals to the Court of Criminal appeals of the State of Texas.
Recognizance of defendant fixed at the sum of $120.00.

33390
Dec 12/6 32

State of Texas
vs
Geo Zimmerman
} Motion for New Trial.
January 16th 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state come also the defendant in his own proper person and then come on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the defendant.
It is therefore ordered, by the Court that the said motion be and the same is here by overruled. To which ruling defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal appeals of the State of Texas.
Recognizance of defendant fixed at the sum of $750.00

33330

State of Texas
vs
Chas. Dollins
} Motion for New Trial.
January 19th 1907.

This day come the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person, and then come on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial. And the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is of the opinion of the Court, that the law is.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

for paid defendant,
It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said case placed for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal docket of this Court.

33633

State of Texas
vs
John Miner

Motion for New Trial.
January 26th 1907

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein.
And the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court, that the law is for said defendant
It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said ~~motion be and~~ case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal docket of this court.

33636

State of Texas
vs
Bartley Brown

Motion for New Trial
January 16th 1907

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person, and then come on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein. And the argument of the counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the court, that the law is for said defendant. It is therefore ordered by the court, that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said case stand for trial on the criminal docket of this court in regular order

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

33310

State of Texas
vs
Jack H Stacks } Assault
March, 3" 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the, come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. There upon come a jury of good and lawful men to wit; B F Davis and five others, who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, where upon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the defendant guilty as charged in the information, and assess his punishment at a fine of Five 00 - Dollars.

It is therefore ordered by the court, that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jack H Stacks the sum of five Dollars assessed as aforesaid to gether with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Futher ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the County jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

33690

State of Texas
vs
Wm Schmith } Ak. City Court
March 8" 07.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, come also the defendant in his own proper person and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

both parties announced ready for trial, There upon come a jury of six good and lawful men to wit, Don Zufelt and five others. who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Where upon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to wit, "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at fine of Ten $10.00 Dollors

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from defendant Wm Schmith the sum of Ten dollors fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered, that defendant stand committed to the county jail until said fine and costs are fully paid

33785 State of Texas }
vs } A. A.
Ida Webb } March 8th 07,

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State Come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.
There upon come a jury of six good and lawful men to wit A. J. Long and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty; Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty, A. J. Long, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

✓

3378 Ed Knowles
vs
State of Texas } Agravated Assault
March. 6th 07.

This day come the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, Where upon come a jury of six good and lawful men to wit Don Jeffers and five others, who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty;

Where upon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the defendant guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00

It is therefore ordered by the

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Court, that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from defendant Ed. Knowles the sum of twenty five $25.00 Dollos fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.
Further ordered that defendant stand committed to jail until said fine and cost are fully paid.

✓

33796 State of Texas
vs
Al Baker } Theft
March 7th 1907

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his on proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,
Thereupon come a jury of six good and lawful men to wit; R. T. Bibb, and five others, who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.
Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberations had returned into open court the following verdict to wit;
"We the jury find the defendant guilty as charged in the Information, and assess his punishment at a fine of Five hundred $500.00 Dollors and one day in the County Jail.
It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Al Baker the sum of Five hundred $500.00 Dollors.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

fine assessed as aforesaid to getter with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid, and for the full period of one days.

3380

State of Texas }
vs } Swindling
Monroe Kinney } March. 8th 1907.

This day come the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon come a jury of six good and lawful men to wit; Don Seufeldt, and five others, who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty

Where upon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following verdict to wit; We the jury find the defendant Not Guilty. Don Seufeldt, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of its prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

33809

State of Texas }
vs } a. a,
Odean Dumond } March 8th 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial.

There upon come a jury of six good and lawful men to wit: J.A. Cope and five others who were duly ~~sworn~~ selected empaneled and ~~sworn~~ according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel, and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict "We the jury find the defendant not guilty, J.A. Cope Fore, It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of its prosecution, and that the defendant go hence without day.

33860

State of Texas }
vs } Vagancy
Vick Estes, alias Corey } Apr 6th 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. There upon come a jury of six good and law ful men ~~and sworn~~ to wit Wm Rufford and five others, who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury. the defendant

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open Court the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the defendant guilty as charged in the Information, and assess his punishment at a fine of Five $5.00 Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Dick Estes the sum of Five $5.00 Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all cost in this behalf incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue,

Further ordered by the court that defendant stand committed to the county jail until said fine & costs are fully paid

↓

33940

State of Texas }
vs } Dist. Court
Charlie Mathews } Apr 6th 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Come also the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon, the court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five $25.00 Dollars It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $25.00

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all cost in this behalf incurred for which paid fine costs execution may issue. Futher ordered by the court that the defendant stand committed to the county jail until paid fine and costs are fully paid.

33878

State of Texas
vs
Chas. J. Hall

Theft
March 4th 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty, and assesses his punishment at a fine of Fifteen $15.00 dollars and imprisonment in the county jail for 15 days.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the state of Texas do have and recover of and ~~recover~~ from the defendant Chas. J. Hall the sum of $25.00 fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue. Futher ordered by the court that said defendant stand committed to the county jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

33817

State of Texas. }
vs. } Theft
C. R. Whitfield } March 7" 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and after hearing the evidence argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifteen $15.00 dollars and imprisonment in the county jail for 15 days.

It is therefor ordered by the court that the State do have and recover of and from the defendant C.R. Whitfield the sum of $15.00, fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred, and for which said fine & costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the county jail until said fine and costs are fully paid, and for the full period of 15 days.

33654

State of Texas }
vs } Aff. Theft
Tom Ridgway } Mar 8" 1907

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five $25.00 dollars.

It is therefore ordered by the court

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Tom Ridgeway the sum of Twenty five $25.00 Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and cost are fully paid.

33210

State of Texas
vs
John Stacks
} Theft 2nd, for N. T.
Apr. 4th 1907

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person, and then come on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial, and the court being fully advised in the premises, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the defendant It is therefore ordered by the court that the same be overruled.

33240

State of Texas.
vs
H M Schmith
} Motion for New Trial.
Apr. 6th 1907.

This day come the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person, and then come on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial. after argument of counsel, the court be fully advised in the premises, it is the opinion of the Court that law is against the defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the same be overruled.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

33310

State of Texas
vs
Frank Cox } Adultery
Apr. 6th 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, come also the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial,

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty

Thereupon the court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of one hundred $/100 Dollars,

It is therefore ordered by the court, that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Frank Cox the sum of $/100 fine assessed as aforesaid to gether with all costs in this behalf incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue,

Further ordered, that the said defendant stand committed to the county jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

33868

State of Texas
vs
Elmer Rogers } Ag. assault
Apr. 13th 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Thereupon come a jury of good and lawful men, who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open court the following verdict, to-wit. "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and one month in the county jail. It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $25.00 fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Futher ordered that said defendant stand committed to the county jail until said fine and costs are fully paid, and for the full period of one month.

33919

State of Texas }
vs } Ag. assault
E. R. Glen } Apr. 13" 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial

Thereupon come a jury of six good and lawful men, who were duly selected, empaneled, and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following verdict, "We the jury find the defendant not guilty.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant go hence without day.

33945

State of Texas
vs.
Fred Haberzettle

Open Sunday
Apr. 13th 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial

Thereupon come a jury of six good and lawful men, who were duly selected, empaneled, and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following verdict. "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty $50.00 dollars."

It is therefore ordered, by the court that the state do have and recover of and from the defendant Fred Haberzettle the sum of $50.00 fine assessed aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred, for which said fine and cost, execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the county jail until said fine and cost are fully paid.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

...the... day of ... A. D. 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

33946

State of Texas }
vs } Open Sunday
Fred Habergitte } Apr. 13" 1907

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon come a jury of six good and lawful men, to-wit: were duly selected, empaneled, and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and after argument of and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant not guilty.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant go hence without day.

33960

State of Texas }
vs } Theft
Frank Redfield } Apr. 13" 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury having been waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the information ~~being read~~, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant not guilty.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant go hence without day

33966

State of Texas }
vs } Selling
J. M. Moss } Apr. 20" 190 7

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon come a jury of six good and lawful men, who were duly selected, emponelled, and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

When upon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation, had returned into Open Court the following Verdict, "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of one hundred $100.00 Dollars.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant J. M. Moss the sum of $100.00 fine aforesaid aforesaid to gether with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Futher ordered that defendant stand committed to the county jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

..... the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

34015

State of Texas
vs
Will Miller
} occupation without license
April, 18" 1907,

It appearing to the court upon hearing that defendant since the filing of this information herein has paid all taxes due, and costs of court herein incurred, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that this case be hereby dismissed and the defendant be discharged,

33946

State of Texas
vs
Arnold Guetler
} occupation without license
April, 13" 1907,

It appearing to the court upon hearing that defendant since the filing of this information herein has paid all taxes due and costs of court herein incurred it is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the court that this case be hereby dismissed and the defendant be discharged,

33947

State of Texas
vs
Fred Hobergith
} occupation without license
Apr. 13" 1907,

It appearing to the court upon hearing that defendant since the filing of this information herein has paid all taxes due, and costs of court herein incurred, it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that this case be dismissed and the defendant discharged.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

33945

State of Texas
vs
Fred Hoberg ette

Deft. motion for new trial,
April, 30" 1907.

This day come the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, come also the defendant in his own proper person.

And then come on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the court that the law is against said defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the court that said motion be, and the same is hereby overruled.

34029

State of Texas
vs
Dennis Williams

Theft
May. 2" 1907.

This day come the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial

A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, finds the defendant not guilty.

It is therefore ordered by the court, that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant go hence without day.

34011

State of Texas
vs
J.D. Fitzgerald

Ag. Assault
May. 2" 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived, the matter of fact as well as of law, was submitted to the court and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of fifty $50.00 Dollars, and imprisonment in the county jail for 50 days.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from defendant J.D. Fitzgerald the sum of $50.00 fine assessed aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred, for paid fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that defendant stand committed to the county jail, until said fine and costs are fully paid, and for the full period of 50 days.

34053

State of Texas }
vs } Theft
John Hughes } May 3" 1907

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

There upon came a jury of six good and lawful men to wit W.E. Phelps and five others, who were duly selected, impaneled and sworn according to law, and the information here being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Where upon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after Mature deleberation had, returned into open

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the defendant not guilty" W.E. Phelps Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant go hence without day.

34027

State of Texas }
vs. } A. assault
M. Albert } May 3rd 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, come also the defendant in person and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon come a jury of six good and lawful men to-wit, H.V. Rossau and five others, who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty" H V Rossau Foreman.

34000

State of Texas. }
vs } Ab. Long.
Jim Hunter } May 3rd 1907

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon come a jury of six good and law ful men to wit J.C. Young and five others. who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

law, and the information being read to the jury and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had returned into open court the following verdict We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jim Hunter the sum of $5.00 fine assessed aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue

Further ordered that defendant stand committed to the county jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

33993

State of Texas }
vs. } No License
Henry Hollingsworth } May 3" 1907.

It appearing to the court that defendant has since filing of suit has made payment of tax as provided by law, this cause be dismissed on the payment of the costs.

33988

State of Texas }
vs. } Ab. Long.
Frank Wickfield } May 3" 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. There upon come a jury of six good and lawful men, to wit — — — who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury the defendant here entered his plea of not guilty.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Thereupon the jury after after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into Open court the following verdict "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00" Court that

It is therefore ordered by the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Frank Hirt fined the sum of $25.00 fine assessed aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred, for which said fine and cost execution may issue.

Futher ordered that defendant stand committed to the county jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

3367

State of Texas }
vs } Motion New Trial,
Tom Ridgway } May 4th 1907,

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendent in his own proper person, and then come on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the court that the law is against said defendant,

It is therefore ordered by the court that the said motion be and the same is here by overruled

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

...the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

33707

State of Texas }
vs } Theft
J M Long. } May 25th 1907.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, finds the defendant not guilty. It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant go hence without day.

33839

State of Texas }
vs } Cards
Bert Perkins } May 27th 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced for trial.

A jury being waived, the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the court being ~~fully advised in the premises~~, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Wherefore the court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises, finds the defendant not guilty.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant go hence without day

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

33846

State of Texas }
vs. } Card
Luke Ponder } June 1st 1907

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Wherefore the court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises, finds the defendant not guilty.

It is therefore ordered by the court, that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant go hence without day.

34067

State of Texas }
vs. } Embezzlement
W H Wright } May 10th 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived, the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Wherefore the court after hearing the argument of counsel and the evidence, the court finds the defendant not guilty.

It is therefore forderd by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189.....
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

3407

State of Texas
vs. } Ag. Assn
Ed. Collins } May 10th 1907.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived, all matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Wherefore the court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel, fully and being fully advised in the premises, the court finds the defendant not guilty.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant go hence with out day.

3411

State of Texas
vs } Fornication
Catherine Cross } May 23rd 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of ~~pleas~~ the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived, the matter of fact as well as of law was submitted to the court and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Wherefore the court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, finds the defendant not guilty, It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant go hence with out day.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

✓
3414 29.

State of Texas }
vs. } Dist Peace
Jadie Jobe } May 23" 1907

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial,

~~A jury~~ Thereupon come a jury of six good and lawful men to wit C McDougle and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deleberation had, returned into open court the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the defendant not guilty" C. McDougle, Foreman, It is therefore, ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant go hence without day.

✓
3-4130

State of Texas }
vs } Cruelty to an Animal
A B. Mathews } May 23" 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon come a jury of six good and lawful men to wit J. Rice, and five others who were duly selected empaneled, and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty; Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

afte mature deliberation had, returned into open court the following verdict to wit, "We the jury find the defendant not guilty. J. Rice, Foreman, It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this suit prosecution and that defendant go hence with day.

✓
3417 CP

State of Texas }
vs } Abusive Language
Fritze Rheinheart } May 25" 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Thereupon come a jury of six good and lawful men to wit O.E. Megow and five others who were duly selected, empaneled, and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the argument of counsel, and the evidence, and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation had returned into open court the following verdict "We the jury find the defendant not guilty,

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant go hence without day.

✓
34348

State of Texas }
vs. } Theft
Jack Flanigan } June 14" 1907.

~~the plea~~ This day come the county prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

Thereupon came a jury of six good and lawful men to wit J.J. Price, and five others, who were duly elected, empanneled and sworn

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

according to law, and the information being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the court, and after mature deliberation had returned into open court the following verdict, "We the jury find the defendant not guilty. L. J. Price, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant go hence without day.

34743

State of Texas
vs.
Milas Billington

Theft

June, 14th 1907.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of six good and lawful men to wit, J. H. Wilkinson and five others who, were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Therefore the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had returned into open court the following verdict, "We the jury find the defendant not guilty. It is therefore ordered by the court that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant go hence without day.

34181

State of Texas
vs.
Jacob Heirholzer

Pistol

June 15th 1907.

This day came the county attorney

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Where upon came a jury of six good and law ful men to wit: J. C. Duggan, and five others who were duly selected, empaneled, and sworn according, and the information being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the argument of counsel, and the evidence, and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had returned into open court the following verdict "We the jury find the defendant not guilty.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant go hence without day.

V
33846

State of Texas }
vs } Defendants No. N. T.
Tom Davis } May 28" 1907.

On this day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, come also the defendant, and by attorney, And then come on to be defendants motion for a new trial, and the court after hearing the argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, find that the law is against the defendant.

To which defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the court of criminal appeals.

✓

State of Texas }
vs } Defts No N. T.
Hugh Gibson } May 25" 1907

On this day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant by attorney, Then come on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial, and the court

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

after hearing the argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises, finds for the defendant. It is therefore ordered that said cause be placed on the docket in regular order and a new trial given.

34035

State of Texas }
vs } Theft; No. N. T.
P. T. Cross } June 28th 1907

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant and attorney, then came on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial, and the court after hearing the law in the case and argument of counsel is of the opinion that the law is with the defendant. It is therefore ordered that said motion be sustained, and defendant given a new trial, and that this cause be reinstated on the docket in regular order.

34184

State of Texas }
vs } Theft; No. N. T.
Otis Hamilton } June 29th 1907.

On this day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant and by attorney, then come on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial, and the court after being fully advised in the premises finds that the law is with the defendant, and that said motion be granted, and that this cause be reinstated on the docket in regular order.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

✓

34035

State of Texas,
vs } No. N. T.
L. T. Crews } July 22. 1907.

This day come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, came also the defendant in his own proper person, and then come on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

Recognizance of defendant fixed at the sum of $500.00.

✓

34035

State of Texas,
vs } Recognizance
L. T. Crews } July 22. 1907.

This day come into open Court L. T. Crews, defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with R. L. James and L. L. Peters as sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of $500.00 conditioned that the said L. T. Crews, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $25.00 and imprisonment for 30 days in the County Jail as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

term of the same, and not depart without leave of this court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this cause.

34523

State of Texas } Open on Sunday.
vs } Friday, August 9th 1907.
Shorty Mitchell } Judgment

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Deft. in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Deft. now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Deft. guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of $50.00

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Deft. Shorty Mitchell the sum of $50.00 fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered, that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs be fully paid.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

34671

State of Texas }
} Order on Motion for New Trial,
Jim Aden } Friday August 23" 1907.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting for the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon & because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Deft. excepts and in open Court gives Notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

Recognizance of Deft. fixed at the sum of $400.00

34671

State of Texas. }
} Recognizance
Jim Aden. } Friday Aug. 23" 1907.

This day came into open Court Jim Aden, defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with Lee Fleming, A.C. Roberson and J.A. Avery. his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally and jointly unto the State of Texas, in the penal sum of $400.00 conditioned that the said Jim Aden. who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $100.00 and imprisonment

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

for 60 days in the County Jail, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

34671

State of Texas }
vs }
Jim Blackman. } Friday Aug. 23" 1907.

Order on Motion for New Trial.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeals to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of $400.00

34671

State of Texas }
vs }
Jim Blackman. } Friday Aug. 23" 1907.

Recognizance

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

...the... day of ... A. D. 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

This day came into open Court Jim Blackman, defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with Lee Fleming, A. C. Roberson and J. A. Avery, his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally and jointly unto the State of Texas in the penal sum of $400.00, conditioned that the said Jim Blackman who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $50.00 and imprisonment for 60 days in the County Jail, as more fully appears by said judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause. I shall appear before this Court from day to day, and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal appeals of the State of Texas, in this case,

34084

State of Texas }
vs } Motion for New Trial.
J. L. Batsell. } Friday Aug. 30" 1907.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein.

And the argument of the Counsel having heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

34318

State of Texas }
vs } Order on Motion for New Trial.
Geo. Peace } Friday August 30th 1907.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

34596

State of Texas }
vs } Motion for New Trial.
John Oneel. } Friday August 30th 1907.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the defendant's motion for a new trial herein,

and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court, the law is for

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

...the... day of ... A. D. 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

said Defendant.

It is thereupon ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is herein sustained, and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of said Court.

34351

State of Texas
vs
W. C. Epps

Motion for New Trial
Filed Jan 31 1907

This day come the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, come also the defendant in his own proper person and then come on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein.

And the argument being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court the law is against the said defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of defendant fixed at the sum of Two Hundred Dollars.

34351

State of Texas
vs
W C Epps

Recognizance
Filed Jan 31 1907

This day come into open Court W. C. Epps defendant in the above and entitled cause, together with S. B. Peters and Storm Austin sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of Two Hundred Dollars conditioned that the said W. C. Epps, who has been

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of Twenty Dollars as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this cause.

34728

State of Texas
vs
Bertha Day
} Dept. No. 2. Trial.
Sept. 13" 1907.

This day come the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and also the defendant in his own proper person and then come on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein, And the argument of the counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the court, that the law is for said defendant. It is therefore ordered by the court, that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said cause stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal docket of this court.

34847

State of Texas
vs.
Geo. Pitta
} Dept No. 2. T.
Nov. 9" 1907,

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, came also the defendant in his own proper person, And then came on to be heard defendants Motion for a new trial herein, And the argument of the counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the court that the law is for the defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court,

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said cause stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

34885 State of Texas vs. J.F. & J.K. Yates } Defts. Mo. N. Trial. Nov. 7th 1907.

On this day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state came also the defendants in their own proper persons and then come on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is of the opinion of the court that the law is against said defendants. It is therefor ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the court the defendants excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of defendants fixed at the sum of ($100.00) One hundred Dollors each.

34857 State of Texas vs. Joe Purvis } Defts Mo. N. T. Nov. 9th 1907.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, came also the defendant in his own proper person, and then came on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is of the opinion of the court that the law is against said defendant. It is therefore ordered by the court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the court the defendant excepts, and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of defendant fixed at the sum of Two Hundred dollors.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

34885

State of Texas
vs.
J.F. & J.K. Yates

Recognizance
Nov. 9" 1907.

This day come into open court J.F. & J.K. Yates, defendants in the above and entitled cause, who together with J.L. Wright as surety acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the state of Texas, in the penal sum of one hundred dollars each, conditioned that the said J.F. & J.K. Yates who have been convicted in the county court, of Tarrant county, Texas in this cause of a misdemeanor and their punishment assessed at a fine of $5.00 each, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this court, in order to abide the judgment of the court of criminal appeals of the state of Texas, in this cause.

34857

State of Texas
vs.
Joe Purvis

Recognizance
Nov. 9" 1907.

This day came into open court Joe Purvis defendant in the above and entitled cause, who together R.L. James and W.B. Scott sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the state of Texas in the penal sum of Two Hundred dollars, conditioned that the said Joe Purvis who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, State of Texas in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of Thirty dollars, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart with our leave of this court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case.

Index to Book

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

...the... day of ... A. D. 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

34824 ✓

State of Texas
vs.
May Wilson

Order on Motion for new Trial.

Nov. 15th 1907.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the court that the law is against said defendant. It is therefore ordered by the court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

Recognizance of defendant fixed at the sum of Five Hundred dollars.

34824 ✓

State of Texas
vs
May Wilson

Recognizance

Nov. 15th 1907.

This day came into open Court May Wilson defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with Herman Deike and W.B. Scott sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of Five hundred dollars, conditioned that the said May Wilson, who has been convicted in the County Court, of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and her punishment assessed at a fine of Two hundred dollars, and imprisonment for twenty days in the County Jail, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal appeals of the State of Texas, in this cause.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... .....day of..... A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

35128 State of Texas.
vs.
Mart Douglas

Ag. asst.
Dec. 6th 1907.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, whereupon the court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Five dollars.

It is therefore ordered, by the court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Mart Douglas the sum of Ten dollars, fine assessed aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the County jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

35164 State of Texas.
vs
Bill Harris

Selling without license
Dec. 13th 1907.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assesses his punishment a fine of Fifty dollars and thirty days in jail.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189....
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Bill Harris the sum of Fifty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Futher ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the County jail until said fine and Costs are fully paid, and for the full period of thirty days.

35007

State of Texas
vs.
Calhoun Bell

Order granting New Trial.
Nov. 20" 1907.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein.

And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said defendant.

It is therfore ordered by the Court, that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

*.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189.....*

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

January. 6" 1908.

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in January. a.D. 1908, the same being the 6" day of January. 1908, there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas. at the Court House thereof in the City of Ft. Worth, present and presiding the Hon. John L. Terrell, County Judge, R.E.L. Roy. County Attorney, Jno. A. Lee County Clerk and T.J. Wood. Sheriff, when the following proceedings were had to-wit:-

35751

State of Texas.
vs.
Katie May Brooks.

Order on Motion.
Jany. 18" 1908.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in her own proper person and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

35751

State of Texas
vs.
Katie May Brooks.

Notice of appeal.
Jany. 18" 1908.

In the above entitled and numbered cause the defendant, Katie May Brooks, this day in open Court gave notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. from the judgment here to fore rendered against her on the 8" day of Jany. 1908. which said notice is now here entered of record, and the said defendant, Katie May Brooks. being in custody, is now committed to the Jail of said Tarrant County to be therein confined

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

until the decision of the Court of Criminal Appeals herein, can be made and received.

34947

State of Texas.
vs.
S. L. Simpson

Judgment.
Feby. 6th 1908.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Chas E Degnum and five others, who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation had, returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit. "We, the jury find the defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Hundred dollars. Chas. E. Degnum. Foreman.

It therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas have and recover of and from the defendant S.L. Simpson the sum of Five Hundred dollars for the use and benift of his wife Mrs. S.E. Simpson, fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incured for which said fine and Costs execution may issue.

And further ordered that the defendant pay paid fine of Five hundred dollars to the Clerk of this Court in installments of Five dollars per Week, said payment of Five dollars to be paid on each and every Friday of each Week.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

...the... day of ... A. D. 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

35368
3

State of Texas
vs.
John Jorden

Order on Motion
Feby. 7th 1908.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person, and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein,

And the argument of the counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court, that the law is for said defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said cause stand for trial in its regular order upon the criminal of this Court,

State of Texas
vs
Tony Noconey

Order on Motion New Trial.
Feby. 7th 1908.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person, and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the court that the law is against the defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

Recognizance of defendant fixed at the sum of $500.00

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

State of Texas.
vs.
Tony Nocony

Recognizance
Feby. 1st 1908.

This day come into open court Tony Nocony defendant in the above entitled cause who together with George Pitta and Nick Pitta, sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the state of Texas, in the penal sum of Five hundred dollars, conditioned that the said Tony Nocony who has been convicted in the County Court, of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of Fifty dollars, and imprisonment for 30 days in the County Jail, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart with out leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal appeals of the State of Texas, in this cause.

State of Texas,
vs
Herb Simmondson

Order on Motion
Feby. 28th 1908.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State come also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the defendants Motion for a new trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... .....day of..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

35363

State of Texas
vs
Herb Simmons

Order on Motion
Feby. 29th 1908.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of Counsel being heard, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be sustained, and a new trial herein granted, and and this cause reinstated on the docket in its regular order.

Be it remembered that on the 2nd day of March A. D. 1908. there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas.

Present and presiding, Hon. John L. Terrell County Judge, R. E. L. Ray County Attorney, T. J. Wood Sheriff and Jno. Aston County Clerk, when the following proceedings were had.

State of Texas.
vs.
Sam Jones.

Order on Motion
March, 7th 1908.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein. And the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court, that the law is against said defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Court of criminal appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of defendant fixed at the sum of $300.00

State of Texas }
vs. } Recognizance
Sam Jones. } April, 23" 1908.

This day came into open court Sam Jones defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with W B Scott as surety, acknowledged themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of three hundred dollars, conditioned that the said Sam Jones, who has been convicted in the County Court, of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of one hundred dollars, (and imprisonment for 90 days in the County Jail) as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly intered in this cause, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of criminal appeals of the State of Texas, in this cause.

State of Texas }
vs. } Order on Motion
Bessie Dillis } March. 30. 1908.

On this day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, came also the defendant in his own proper person, and then came on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein. And the argument of the counsel being heard hereon, because it is the opinion of the court, that the law is for said defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this court.

35434

State of Texas. }
vs. } Order on Motion
Bud Abbey. } Sept. 19" 1908.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person, and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said defendant.

It is therefor ordered by the court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the court the defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the court of criminal appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of defendant fixed at the sum of Five hundred dollars.

35435

State of Texas }
vs. } Recognizance
Bud Abbey } ~~Sept. 19~~" 1908.

~~This~~ day came into open court Bud abbey defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with L. G. Pritchard and John W. Baskin sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Five hundred dollars, conditioned that the said Bud abbey who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at imprisonment in the County jail for ninety days as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal appeals of the state of Texas, in this cause,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes; Tarrant County, May Term, 1908

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

May. 4" 1908

Be it remembered that there was begun and holden a regular term of the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. Present and presiding Hon. John L. Terrell County Judge, R. E. L. Ray Co. County attorney D. J. Wood Sheriff and Jno. A. Kee County Clerk, when the following proceedings were had.

State of Texas }
vs. } Order on motion
G. W. Cutler. } June, 13" 1908.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of the counsel being heard thereon. because it is the opinion of the court. that the law is for said defendant. It is therefore ordered by the court, that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said cause stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

State of Texas }
vs } Order on Motion
Al Waller. } June, 30" 1908.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein. and the argument of the counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the court. that the law is for said defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained. and that said Case stands for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

State of Texas
vs. } order on motion
Mike O'Connell } June 29th 1908.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the said motion be and the same is here by overruled. To which ~~action~~ ruling of the court the defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of defendant fixed at the sum of Five Hundred dollars

State of Texas }
vs. } Recognizance
Mike O'Connell } June 30th 1908

This day came into open court Mike O'Connell defendant in the above entitled cause who together with Jim Griffin and Dan O'Connell sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of Five hundred dollars conditioned that the said Mike O'Connell who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas in this cause of a misdemeanor, and his punishment assessed at a fine of One hundred dollars and imprisonment for ninety days in the County Jail as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this court, in

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal appeals of the State of Texas, in this case,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1908

Monday the July day of 6 A. D. 1908

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

July. 6" 1908,

Be it remembered that on this day there was begun and holden a regular term of the Hon. County Court. Present and presiding, Hon, John L. Terrell, County Judge, R.E.L. Roy County attorney, and J.J. Wood Sheriff and Jno.A. Ku County Clerk. When the following proceedings were had;

35908

State of Texas }
vs. } Judgment.
W.D. Blanton } Aug. 5" 1908,

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Five dollars.

It is therefore ordered by the court, that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant W.D Blanton the sum of Five dollars fine assessed aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. And further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

36118

State of Texas
vs
John Davis } Judgment
Aug 5th 1908.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars.

It is therefore ordered by the court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant John Davis the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

36144

State of Texas.
vs
Norman Thompson } Judgment
Aug. 4th 1908.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the court after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars..

It is therefore ordered by the court, that the State

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Norman Thompson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said fine and costs execution may issue, It is ordered that said defendant stand committed to the county jail until said fine and costs are fully paid,

35681 State of Texas vs. Elias Turner — Order on Motion Aug. 7th 1908.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas the State, came also the defendant, then came on to be heard the county attorneys motion to dismiss the cause filed herein, and the court after hearing same, dismisses this cause,

36758 State of Texas vs. John Kierman — Judgment not Guilty Aug. 31st 1908.

This day came the

35214 State of Texas vs. Bessie Tillis — Judgment. Sept. 3rd 1908.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant, in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here entered her plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assesses her punishment at a fine of Five dollars,.

It is therefore ordered by the court

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Bessie Ellis the sum of five dollars fine aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,

It is further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the county jail until said fine and costs are fully paid

36757

State of Texas }
vs } Order on Motion
C. Cope } Sept. 4th 1908.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein.

And the argument of the counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the court, that the law is for said defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that said case stand for trial in its regular order upon the criminal docket of this court.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

...the... day of ... A. D. 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

36760

State of Texas
vs
Will Miller

Order on Motion
Sept 5th 1908.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the court that the law is against said defendant. It is therefore ordered by the court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ~~action~~ ruling of the court the defendants excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.
Recognizance of defendant fixed at the sum of $400.00.

36760

State of Texas
vs.
Will Miller

Recognizance
Sept. 5th 1908.

This day came into open court Will Miller defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with E.B. Daggett and H.P. McLean, Jr. sureties, acknowledged themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Four hundred dollars conditioned that the said Will Miller who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of Twenty five dollars, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this court in order to abide the judgment of the court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

35214

State of Texas
vs.
Bessie Dillis

Order on Motion
Sept 5th 1908.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the court that the law is against said defendant,

It is therefore ordered by the court that the said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

35623

State of Texas
vs
Mary Allen

Order on motion
Sept 5th 1908.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person then came on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein because it is the opinion of the court that the law is against defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... .....day of..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

State of Texas }
vs } Order on Motion
Jake Cohn } Sept 5th 1908.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person, and then came on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the court that the law is against said defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept Term, 1908

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3690 10

State of Texas
vs.
J. H. Holt

Sept. 14th 1908
Dominion saloon,

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars.

It is therefore ordered by the court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant J. H. Holt the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

It is further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the county jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

3690 85

State of Texas
vs.
E. L. Coalley

Dis. Peace
Sept. 16th 1908.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, came also the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

It is therefore ordered by the court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant J. L. Cooley the sum of twenty five dollars fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which let execution issue. It further ordered that said defendant Stand committed to the county jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

3/2/77

State of Texas }
vs. } Vagancy
Lillian Stewart } Sept. 14th 1908.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of one dollar.

It is therefore ordered by the court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Lillian Stewart the sum of one dollars fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

It further ordered, that said defendant Stand committed to the county jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

35432

State of Texas }
vs } Order on Motion
Bud Abbey } Sept. 19th 1908.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas Recognizance of defendant fixed at the sum of Five hundred dollars.

35434

State of Texas }
vs. } Recognizance
Bud Abbey } Sept. 19th 1908.

This day came into open Court Bud Abbey defendant in the above entitled cause who together with L. G. Pritchard and John W. Baskin sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Five hundred dollars, conditioned that the said Bud Abbey who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at imprisonment for sixty days in the County Jail as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this cause,

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

36188

State of Texas. }
vs. } Order on motion
Lee Henderson } Sept. 26th 1908.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said defendant,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal appeals of the State of Texas.

Recognizance of defendant fixed at the sum of three hundred dollars.

36188

State of Texas. }
vs. } Recognizance
Lee Henderson } Sept. 26th 1908.

This day came into open Court Lee Henderson defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with W.P. Lucas and Major Henderson Curtis acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of Three Hundred dollars, conditioned that the said Lee Henderson who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas in this cause of a Misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at ninty days imprisonment in the County Jail, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal appeals of the State of Texas.

Recognizance of defendant fixed at the sum of Three hundred dollars.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

36309

State of Texas
vs.
Aaron Waldron

Order on motion
Sept 21st 1908,

This day the ~~above cause~~ defendants motion for a new trial came on to be heard, and the court being fully advised finds that the law is for said defendant, and said motion is granted, and this cause is ordered to stand in its regular order on the docket of this court,

36166

State of Texas
vs
R B Vance

Order on motion
Oct 28th 1908.

On this day came on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the court being fully advised, is of the opinion that the law is for defendant, and said motion is here by granted,

State of Texas
vs
Pearl Kennedy

Ordin on motion
Sept. 18th 1908.

This day come on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial, and the court being fully advised, is of opinion that the law is against said defendant, and said motion is overruled,

State of Texas
vs
John Gibson

Order on motion
Oct. 30th 1908.

This day came on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the court after being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that the law is against defendant, and said motion is overruled,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

State of Texas
vs
J. G. Gibson

Order on motion
Oct. 30th 1908.

This day came on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the court after being fully advised, is of opinion that the law is against defendant and said motion is hereby overruled.

State of Texas
vs
Will Williams

Order on motion
Sept 10th 1908.

This day came on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the court after being fully advised, is of opinion that the law is against said defendant, and said motion is here by overruled

State of Texas
vs
Eugene Parrish

Order on motion
Oct. 30th 1908.

This day came on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the court after being fully advised is of opinion that the law is for said defendant, and said motion is hereby granted.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

36552

State of Texas
vs.
R.A. White

Vagrancy
Dec. 10" 1908.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.
A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.
Whereupon the court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant R.A. White the sum of Five dollars fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered, that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid.

36676-77

State of Texas.
vs.
H.P. Cotton

Vagrancy
Dec. 14" 1908.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.
A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

...the... day of ... A. D. 189...

Whereupon the court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant not guilty. It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

16493 State of Texas
vs. } Pistol
H. W. Whitley } Dec. 3" 1908.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived, all matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, and the court after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant not guilty. It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and the defendant go hence without day.

16494 State of Texas
vs. } A. A. & B.
Frank McDonald } Dec. 7" 1908.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury having been waived all matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty,

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

And the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel, and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant not guilty.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant go hence without day.

36615

State Of Texas. }
vs. } Theft.
Eddie Bonner } Dec. 8" 1908.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced for trial. A jury being waived all matter of facts as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel, and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of One dollar, and one day in the County Jail. It is therefore ordered by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Eddie Bonner the sum of $1.00 fine assessed aforesaid, together with all Costs of in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that the said defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid, and for the full period of one day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

...the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189...

State of Texas
vs
H.E. Garth

order on motion
Dec. 3" 1908,

This day came on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the court after hearing the evidence and the law, is of the opinion that the law is for said defendant, and a new trial is hereby granted, and this case ordered on the docket in its regular order.

36453

State of Texas.
vs.
Freeman Elkins

Order on motion
Dec. 19" 1908,

This day came on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the court after hearing the evidence, and law. is of the opinion that the law is for defendant, said motion granted, and new trial ordered.

36362

State of Texas
vs.
Carrie Larkin

Order on motion.
Dec 28" 1908,

On this day came on to be heard defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the court after hearing the evidence and the law finds that the law is for defendant, said motion is granted, and new trial ordered.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, ~~189~~ 1909

This the 4th day of January A. D. ~~189~~ 1909

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Be it Remembered that on this ~~day~~ the first Monday in January A.D. 1909, the Same being the 4th day of January, A.D 1909, there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, present and presiding Hon Marvin Johns. Terrell County Judge R.E.L. Ray, County Attorney John A. Lee County Clerk, and J. J. Wood. Sheriff of Tarrant County. When the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court to wit;—

36308

State of Texas
vs
R N. Stevens

Charge. A C A
Judgment Jan 7th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State. Came also the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial,

A Jury being received the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty

Thereupon the Court after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of $50.00 and imprisonment for 60 days in County Jail.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant R N. Stevens the Sums of $50.00 fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs or execution may issue.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

36616

State of Texas } Order on Motion
vs.
Bob Flanigan } Jan. 16 - 1909.

This day came the County Prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the Sum of $300.00 Dollars.

36616

State of Texas } Recognizance
vs.
Bob Flanigan } Jan 23rd. 1909.

This day came in to open Court Bob Flanigan, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with A. J. Flanigan and J. M. S. Pipkin Sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal Sum of $300.00, conditioned that the said Bob Flanigan who has been convicted in the County Court, of Tarrant County, Texas, in this cause of a Misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at imprisonment for 60 days in the County Jail as more fully appears by the judgment & conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from Term to Term of the same and not depart without leave of

this Court in order to abide the Judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this Case.

#36805 State of Texas vs L. V. Coin } A. A.

Thursday March 4th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: B. C. Baldwin and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury, the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the defendant not guilty.
B. C. Baldwin, foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

#36887 State of Texas vs O. L. Bogan } Pistol.

Wednesday March 10th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for Trial. Thereupon came a jury of

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

good and lawful men, to wit: N. N. Sprinkle and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, To wit:

"We the jury find the defendant not guilty.
N. N. Sprinkle, Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

*36921.

State of Texas.
vs
Daisy McGinnis } Agg. A.

Saturday, March 27th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: J. N. Caldwell, and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the defendant now here enters her plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to conside of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit!

"We the jury find the defendant not guilty.
J. N. Caldwell, Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

The State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

\#36922 State of Texas vs Geo. Smith } A. A.

Thursday, March 25th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: G. L. Bushay and five others who were duly selected Impaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury, the defendant now here enters his pled of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, To wit:

"We the jury find the defendant not guilty" G. L. Bushay Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

\#37031 State of Texas vs May Carroll } A. A.

Thursday, April 1st 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: Jno. A. Martin and five

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury, the defendant now here enters her plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, To wit:

"We the jury find the defendant not guilty.
Jos. A. Martin, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

\#37033. State of Texas vs Thomas E. Johnson } Abusive Language.

Friday, April 2nd 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: B. G. Salter and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, To wit:

"We the jury find the defendant not guilty.
B. G. Salter Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

*36604

State of Texas }
vs
E. F. Johnson } Knucks.

Friday, March 26th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: J. A. Hunter and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to wit:

"We the jury find the defendant not guilty.
J. A. Hunter, Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant go hence without day.

*36771

State of Texas }
vs
Karla Pitroff } Pistol.

Friday, March 26th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: D. C. Haggard and five others who were duly elected, empaneled

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to concider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the defendant not guilty.
D. C. Haggard, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

#36827

State of Texas
vs
Lela Bates

Unlawful Knife.
Wednesday, March 10th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: A. J. Wilson, and five others who were duly elected, impaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the defendant now here enters her plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to concider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the defendant not guilty. A. J. Wilson, Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day."

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

36990. State of Texas vs G. Wilson } A. A.

Friday, April 9th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: J. O. East and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury, the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty.

J. O. East, Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

#36875. State of Texas vs Mrs. Nettie Stalline } Dis. Peace.

Wednesday, April 7th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful man, to wit: W. E. Phelps and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury, the defendant now here enters her plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

...the... day of ... A. D. 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

the charge of the Court retired to concider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We, the jury find defendant not guilty. N. E. Phelps, forman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant go hence without day.

* 36874

State of Texas. }
vs } Dis. Peace.
Pearl Stalline } Wednesday, April 7th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: N. E. Phelps and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the defendant now here enters her plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to concider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to wit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty. N. E. Phelps Forman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

\#37066

State of Texas
vs
M. Leydler } Theft.
Wednesday, April 7th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: E. P. Patterson and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to wit:

"We the jury find the defendant not guilty.
E. P. Patterson, Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

36767

State of Texas
vs
C. J. Stovall } Theft by Bailee.
Wednesday, March 3rd 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: — and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the defendant now here enters his plea of Not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to conceider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict. To wit:

"We the jury find the defendant not guilty.

"

It is therefore ordered by the Court That the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

*36872

State of Texas
vs
Harry Franklin } Hunting and fishing on Posted lands.

Monday, March 8th 1909.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came onto be heard defendants motion to quash herein. The argument of the counsel bring heard thereon, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is with the defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said case be dismissed.

*36871

State of Texas.
vs
E. L. Chapman } Hunting and fishing on Posted Lands.

Friday, March 5th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came onto be heard defendants motion to quash herein. The argument of the counsel bring heard thereon, it is the opinion of the Court that the

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

law is with the defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said case be dismissed.

#36870

State of Texas
vs.
Geo. Kilburn
} Hunting and fishing on Posted Lands.

Thursday March 4th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person, and then came onto be heard defendants motion to quash herein. The argument of the counsel being heard thereon, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is with the defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said case be dismissed.

#36869

State of Texas
vs.
Dave Kilburn
} Hunting and fishing on posted lands.

Thursday March 4th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person and then came onto be heard defendants motion to quash herein. The argument of the counsel being heard thereon, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is with the defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said case be dismissed.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189.....
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

\#36932 State of Texas vs May Carroll } A. A.

Thursday March 25th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in her own proper person and then came onto be heard defendants motion to quash herein. The argument of the counsel bring heard thereon, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is with the defendant.

It is therefore ordered by the Court That said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and That said case be dismissed.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same:

\#36901 State of Texas vs Herbert Sprinkle.
Violating Speed Law.

\#36521 State of Texas vs R. A. White.
Ab. Lang.

\#36522 State of Texas vs R. A. White.
Dis. Peace.

\#36639 State of Texas vs Bob Flanigan.
A. A.

\#36665. State of Texas vs Padon Thomas.
Dis. Peace.

\#36828 State of Texas vs Horrace Johnson
Theft.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

| | |
|---|---|
| #36853 | State of Texas vs F. M. Phillips. Wife abandonment. |
| #36914 | State of Texas vs Sam Whitehead. Theft. |
| #36885 | State of Texas vs Frank Wilburn. A. A. |
| #36360 | The State of Texas vs F. D. Southern. Permitting Pool & Billiard in Saloon. |
| #36495 | The State of Texas vs T. J. McCarty. Wantonly Killing a Cow. |
| #36926 | The State of Texas vs Lula Bogan. Disorderly House. |
| #37015 | The State of Texas vs J. Martinez. Theft. |
| #37014 | The State of Texas vs L. Martinez. Theft. |
| #36934 | The State of Texas vs Eva Vanburen. Aselt. |
| #36931 | The State of Texas vs Peter Vanburen. Disorderly House. |
| #36816 | The State of Texas vs N. B. Boncon Pistol. |
| #36746 | The State of Texas vs Bob Vanzandt. Theft. |
| #36711 | The State of Texas vs Chas. McCauley. A. A. |
| #36710 | The State of Texas vs Charley McCauley. A. A. |

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... .....day of..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Now comes The County Attorney prosecuting The pleas of the State, and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following case and moves the Court to dismiss the same.

*37092. The State of Texas vs Bessie Davis—Theft.

37100 State of Texas vs Sam Hendrix } A. A.

Friday, April 16th 1909.

This day came The County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for Trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: — — and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict To wit:

"We the jury find the defendant not guilty.

— — Forman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

#35764

State of Texas
vs
Harry Shelton } Emb.

Thursday April 15th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: R. Snow and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury. The defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to wit:

"We, the jury find the defendant not guilty.

R. Snow Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this Prosecution, and that the defendant go hence without day.

37051.

State of Texas
vs
Geo. Hall } A. A.

Wednesday April 7th 1909.

Judgment.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon The Court after hearing The evidence, argument of counsel, and being fully advised in The premises finds The Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars and imprisonment for Six months in the County Jail.

It is Therefore ordered by The Court that The State of Texas do have and recover of and from The Defendant, Geo. Hall, the sum of Twenty five Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered That said Defendant stand committed To The County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid, and for The full period of Six months.

97117

State of Texas
vs
Billie Minn

-Embezzlement-

Wednesday, April 21st 1909

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came The defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; J. A. Graves, and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Jury after hearing The evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of The Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;-

"We the Jury find the defendant not guilty. J. A. Graves, Foreman."

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

36957

State of Texas }
vs. } -Pistol-
Geo. Steely. } Wednesday, April 21st 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to wit: A. D. Hackney, and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the Jury find the defendant not guilty.
A. D. Hackney, foleman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

37012

State of Texas }
vs. } Abusive Language
B. C. Bledsoe. } Saturday, April 24, 1909

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: E. B. Haywood, and five

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to-wit:-

"We the Jury find the defendant not guilty.
E. B. Haywood, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

37069

State of Texas,
vs.
Sackey Emerson. } A. A.
Thursday, April 22nd 1909

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: A. D. Hackney, and five others who were duly selected, impaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to-wit:-

"We the Jury find the defendant not guilty.
A. D. Hackney, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

97073

State of Texas.
vs
J. H. Harralson. } Pistol.

Wednesday, April 14th, 1909

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: J. K. Mackler, and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to-wit:-

"We the Jury find the defendant not guilty.
J. K. Mackler, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same; to-

* 97072 State of Texas - vs - J. K. Farrel, Assault.

*96999 The State of Texas - vs - Owen Mills. Vag.

*96998 The State of Texas - vs - Owen Mills, Craps

*96974 The State of Texas - vs - Will H. Coffee
Violating Local Option Law

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

| | |
|---|---|
| #36894 | The State of Texas — vs — R. L. Lair. Crossing funeral |
| #36717 | The State of Texas — vs — S. B. Jones. Threating to take human life |
| #36474 | The State of Texas — vs — Otazel Stott, Theft by Bailee. |
| #37119 | The State of Texas — vs — Jo. Warren. Vag. |
| #37088 | The State of Texas — vs — Eulogis Bermudes. Pistol. |
| #36221 | The State of Texas — vs — Ennis Moore Assault. |
| #36215 | The State of Texas — vs — Will Cauley: A. A- |
| #34943 | The State of Texas — vs — O. R. Menefee. Keeping open a place of Public Amusement on Sunday. |
| #34942 | The State of Texas — vs — Chas. F. Long. Keeping open a place of Public Amusement on Sunday |
| #34941 | The State of Texas — vs — Carl R. Evans Keeping open a place of Public Amusement on Sunday. |
| #34936 | The State of Texas — vs — Horace Carter. ag. asst. |
| #34928 | The State of Texas — vs — Joshua Williams Wife Abandonment. |
| #34418 | The State of Texas — vs — J. E. White-Sunday S. |

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

#37380

State of Texas,.. }
vs }
Harry Michall } Dis. House,
June 18" 1909

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A Jury being waived the matters of facts as well as of Law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his Plea of not Guilty.

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel, and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Two Hundred ($200.00) Dollars & Twenty days in the County Jail.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Harry Michall the sum Two Hundred ($200.00) Dollars fine assessed afore said, "and that he be Confined in County Jail for the period of Twenty (20) days"; together with all costs in this behalf incurred, for which said fine and costs Execution may issue And further ordered that said defendant Stand Committed to the County Jail until said fine & costs are fully paid.

#36987

State of Texas }
vs }
Dave Wilburn, } Hunting and Fishing on Land of Another
May 6" 1909

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for Trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

lawful men, to-wit: B.E. Barton, and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the Jury find the defendant not guilty.

B.E. Barton, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

#37209

State of Texas
vs
A. Adams

A.A.

May 20" 1909

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: A.J. Ballard, and five others, who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the Jury find the defendant not guilty.

A.J. Ballard, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

#37200

State of Texas,
vs
Clyde Malone

Sunday Selling & Selling without License
May 17" 1909

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: W. A. Bledsoe, and five others, who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law, and Information being read to the Jury the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the Jury find the defendant not guilty.
W. A. Bledsoe, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

#37190

State of Texas.
vs.
B. H. Gibson.

Assault
May 22" 1909

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State of Texas, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: John D. Estell, and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit:

"We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty"
John D. Estell, Foreman."

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

\#37250

State of Texas,
vs
Theo. Wood

Fight
May 28" 1909

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State of Texas, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: Frank Riley, and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the Jury find the defendant not guilty.
Frank Riley, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

\#37332

State of Texas
vs
T. J. Largin

Sunday Opening
June 3" 1909

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Frank Duringer, and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers

deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the Jury find the defendant not guilty.
Frank Duringer, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

# 37310

State of Texas, vs Joe Moaso } Dis. Peace

June 2" 1909

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. There upon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: H. A. Mulholland, and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Where upon the Jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the Jury find the defendant not guilty.
H. A. Mucholland, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the court to dismiss the Same:

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

..... the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

| | |
|---|---|
| #36684 | The State of Texas vs Frank Richards --- Wife Abandonment |
| #36672 | The State of Texas vs Ed. Barkin ---- Threat life |
| #36761 | The State of Texas vs R. E. Blackwell --- A. A. |
| #36659 ✓ | The State of Texas vs Fred Elte ------- A. A. |
| #36645 | The State of Texas vs Tom Brookout --- A. A |
| #36641 | The State of Texas vs Elmer Conner ---Wife Abandonment |
| #36640 | The State of Texas vs do .... do |
| #36633 | The State of Texas vs Conductor #88 --- A. A. |
| #36600 | The State of Texas vs Albert Rotison -- A. A. |
| #36599 | The State of Texas vs Virgil Brown -- Theft. |
| #36593 | The State of Texas vs Jerry Bennett --- ---Fornication |
| #36569 | The State of Texas vs J. W. Stegall — Drunk. |
| #36567 | The State of Texas vs Minnie Moore ---Theft. |
| #36566 | The State of Texas vs Nanny Sherifield Theft. |
| #36543 | The State of Texas vs A. J. Mayton Threat Life |
| #36541 | The State of Texas vs F Richardson Wife Abandonment |
| #36537 | The State of Texas vs Elkins Theft. |
| #36536 | The State of Texas vs do do |
| #36535 | The State of Texas vs do Threat Life |
| #36534 | The State of Texas vs do Ab Fang. |

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| #36533 | The State of Texas | vs Mrs. Elkins | Ab. Language |
| #36532 | The State of Texas | vs Elkins | do |
| #36531 | The State of Texas | vs O. E. Lessenberry | Ag. Asst. |
| #36530 | The State of Texas | vs M. A. Lively | Wife Abandonment. |
| #36587 | The State of Texas | vs Claude T. Ramsey | A.A. |
| #36486 | The State of Texas | vs Ed Welch | Wife Abandonment |
| #36483 | The State of Texas | vs Will Terry | Theft. |
| #36482 | The State of Texas | vs Will Terry | Theft. |
| #36478 | The State of Texas | vs Maggie Bostick | Child Abandonment |
| #36473 | The State of Texas | vs Albert Sweeny | A.A. |
| #36472 | The State of Texas | vs Albert Sweeny | A.A. |
| #36471 | The State of Texas | vs Albert Sweeny | A.A. |
| #36470 | The State of Texas | vs Belle Hargis | Ab. Lang. |
| #36462 | The State of Texas | vs Chas. S. Manuel | A. B. |
| #36455 | The State of Texas | vs Bert Hughes | Threat life |
| #36452 | The State of Texas | vs O. L. Chapman | Dis. Peace |
| #36448 | The State of Texas | vs S. S Blount | Wife Abandonment |
| #36443 | The State of Texas | vs Tom Taylor | Abondonment of Children |
| #36419 | The State of Texas | vs Joe Lane | A.A. |
| #36388 | The State of Texas | vs Alex Fewater | Wife Abandonment. |
| #36387 | The State of Texas | vs John Curtis | Vag. |

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| #36382 | The State of Texas | vs Napoleon Martin | Wife abandonment |
| #36366 | The State of Texas | vs Mrs. Ray McGill | Asst. |
| #36359 | The State of Texas | vs John Jernigan | Theft. |
| #36823 | The State of Texas | vs Minnie Lewis | Dis. House |
| #37148 | The State of Texas | vs Pearl Hains | Threating Life |
| #37147 | The State of Texas | vs Pearl Hains | Assault + B. |
| #37158 | The State of Texas | vs Jackson Hill | Selling goods on Sund. |
| #37150 | The State of Texas | vs Jim Maddox | Theft. |
| #36964 | The State of Texas | vs Kid Williams + Bessie Smith | Fornication |
| #36942 | The State of Texas | vs John Williams | Wife abandonment |
| #36923 | The State of Texas | vs Will Skinner + Otes Cagew | Theft. |
| #36880 | The State of Texas | vs A. J. Harrison | assault. |
| #36879 | The State of Texas | vs Will Long | a. a. |
| #36864 | The State of Texas | vs Susie Bennett | Killing a dog |
| #36854 | The State of Texas | vs Will L. Mitchell | Wife abandonment |
| #36829 | The State of Texas | vs Earnest Smith | Wife abandonment |
| #36814 | The State of Texas | vs Jack Fennegan + B. Temple | Theft. |
| #36783 | The State of Texas | vs Mrs. Annie Haines | Slander |
| #36739 | The State of Texas | vs Jim Hargraves | ab. Lang. |
| #36733 | The State of Texas | vs Jim Maddox Rec + Con. | Stolen property |
| #36703 | The State of Texas | vs J. B. Knudson, A— | |

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| #36702 | The State of Texas -vs- Fred Ette | A.A. |
| #36701 | The State of Texas -vs- Fred Ette | A. |
| #36696 | The State of Texas -vs- Mrs. Frank Nelson | Abusive Language |
| #36695 | The State of Texas -vs- Mrs. Frank Nelson | do |
| #37142 | The State of Texas - vs - Andrew Prohowke | Affray |
| #37137 | The State of Texas - vs - Chas. Turney | Theft. |
| #37133 | The State of Texas - vs - Theodoe Schlicker | Ab. Language |
| #37130 | The State of Texas - vs - Grant Jackson | Child Abandonment |
| #37128 | The State of Texas - vs - Wallace Wyatt | Abandonment |
| #37123 | The State of Texas - vs - F. T. York | do |
| #37032 | The State of Texas - vs - Grover Moore | do |
| #37007 | The State of Texas - vs - Bob. Ford | do |
| #36972 | The State of Texas - vs - L. M. Walker | Embezzlement |
| #36971 | The State of Texas - vs - L. M. Walker | do |
| #36970 | The State of Texas - vs - L. M. Walker | do |
| #36969 | The State of Texas - vs - L. M. Walker | do |
| #36968 | The State of Texas - vs - L. M. Walker | do |
| #36966 | The State of Texas - vs - S. Hooper | A + B. |
| #36965 | The State of Texas - vs - Gus. Walbert + Pearl Purvis | Fornication |
| #35-751 | The State of Texas - vs - Clyde Jamison | Cruelty to Animal |
| #35-931 | The State of Texas - vs - Sam M. Wood | Wife Abandonment |

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| #35895 | The State of Texas - vs - C. S. Capers | Wife Abandonment |
| #35857 | The State of Texas - vs - P. M. Stripling - | Do |
| #36042 | The State of Texas - vs - Henry Havens - | Asst. |
| #36032 | The State of Texas - vs - L. Adams | Selling Cocaine without Prescription |
| #36025 | The State of Texas - vs - C. Y. Elliott | A. |
| #35993 | The State of Texas - vs - J. B. Jones & L. Powney | Permitting Lewd Women in Saloon |
| #35986 | The State of Texas - vs - Ben Brooks | Violating Dog Ordinance |
| #35985 | The State of Texas - vs - Ben Henwood | Do |
| #35972 | The State of Texas - vs - Roy Aden | A.A. |
| #36350 | The State of Texas - vs - C. R. Farrell | Wife Abandonment |
| #36345 | The State of Texas - vs - Mart Davis & Henrietta Dozier | Adultery |
| #36327 | The State of Texas - vs - Ed Moore | A.A. |
| #36324 | The State of Texas - vs - R. M. Stevens | A.A. |
| #36312 | The State of Texas - vs - Jess Flanagon | Wife Abandonment |
| #36306 | The State of Texas - vs - F. E. Maxwell | Wife Abandonment |
| #36264 | The State of Texas - vs - Fred Steinfeldt | Dis Peace |
| #36269 | The State of Texas - vs - Fred Steinfeldt | A.A. |
| #36225 | The State of Texas - vs - Wm Obrien | Wife Abandonment |
| #36216 | The State of Texas - vs - Vester McLemore | Vag. |
| #36176 | The State of Texas - vs - Lewis Dixon | Theft |
| #36175 | The State of Texas - vs - D. Howell | Slander |

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

_____ the _____ day of _____ A. D. 189__

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

| | |
|---|---|
| #36171 | The State of Texas - vs - Tom Slink Delinquent Child. |
| #36166 | The State of Texas - vs - R. B. Vance Wife Abandonment |
| #36146 | The State of Texas - vs - R. E. Lowder do |
| #36130 | The State of Texas - vs - Lester Wilkinson Threat Life |
| #36106 | The State of Texas - vs - J. M. Gibson Sunday Selling |
| #36105 | The State of Texas - vs - J. M. Gibson Selling without License |
| #36104 | The State of Texas - vs - J. M. Gibson Sunday Opening |
| #36103 | The State of Texas - vs - J. M. Gibson Sunday Selling |
| #36102 | The State of Texas - vs - J. M. Gibson Sunday Selling |
| #36101 | The State of Texas - vs - J. H. Bucher No License |
| #36092 | The State of Texas - vs - John Bost Wife Abandonment |
| #36090 | The State of Texas - vs - Mrs. Jim Bennett Contributing to delinquency of Child. |
| #36083 | The State of Texas - vs - S Soloman. Midnight Opening |
| #36072 | The State of Texas - vs - Jerry Dunn Ab. Lang. |
| #36071 | The State of Texas - vs - Helen McBride Child Abondonment |
| #36064 | The State of Texas - vs - John Kuntzel A.A. |
| #36061 | The State of Texas - vs Mrs. P. A. Collins Causing dependency of Child |
| #36358 | The State of Texas - vs. S. C. Youngblood Theft. |
| #35354 | The State of Texas - vs - Jas. Moore Wife Abandonment |
| #35343 | The State of Texas - vs - Walker Hargraves Pistol |
| #35393 | The State of Texas - vs - Rankin Mathews Wife Abandonment |

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| #35389 | The State of Texas -vs- Lee Bly | Wife Abandonment |
| #35373 | The State of Texas -vs- Mrs. Cris Reger | Dependency of Child |
| #35474 | The State of Texas - vs - Walter McCormick | Wife Abandonment |
| #35472 | The State of Texas - vs - Mrs. John Blair | Slander |
| #35462 | The State of Texas - vs - Thomas Townsend | Wife Abandonme |
| #35454 | The State of Texas - vs - Harry C. Parks | Wife Abandonment |
| #35435 | The State of Texas - vs - Abe Polishman | Selling without Licen |
| #37371 | The State of Texas - vs - J. A. Potts | A. A. |
| #36835 | The State of Texas - vs - J. H. Holt | Lending money to pay Poll Tax |
| #36834 | The State of Texas - vs - J. H. Holt | do |
| #36568 | The State of Texas - vs - J. W. Corn | Pistol |
| #37262 | The State of Texas - vs - F. W. Taylor | Theft. |
| #37265 | The State of Texas - vs - W. T. Cox | Permitting Stock to run at Large |
| #37210 | The State of Texas - vs - Will Odem | Theft. |
| #37181 | The State of Texas - vs - G. S. Smith | Dist Peace |
| #37176 | The State of Texas - vs - Coy Williams | No License |
| #37144 | The State of Texas - vs - Will Miller | S. S. |
| #37143 | The State of Texas - vs - Will Miller | A. A. |
| #36520 | The State of Texas - vs - W. T. Webber | S. S. |
| #36761 | The State of Texas - vs - R. E. Blackwell | A. A. |
| #36812 | The State of Texas - vs - Mose Bearden | Ab. L. |

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| #36813 | The State of Texas | vs | Mose Barden — Ab. L. |
| #36841 | The State of Texas | vs | M. Coniglio S. Having Liquor in his possession |
| #36918 | The State of Texas | vs | Lon Edmonds — A. A |
| #36983 | The State of Texas | vs | Geo. Wilburne — Hunting & Fishing on Land of another |
| #36984 | The State of Texas | vs | Jim Bains — Permitting Stock run at Large |
| #37010 | The State of Texas | vs | B. C. Bledsoe — Threating life |
| #37011 | The State of Texas | vs | do do |
| #37080 | The State of Texas | vs | Billie Munn Theft. |
| #37113 | The State of Texas | vs | E. B. Threadgill do |
| #37278 | The State of Texas | vs | Herman Sutherland — A. A. & B. |
| #37301 | The State of Texas | vs | C. W. Odell — S. without License |
| #37302 | The State of Texas | vs | do do |
| #37416 | The State of Texas | vs | Barney Dwarkin — S. S. |
| #37418 | The State of Texas | vs | Percy Cooper — C. S. |
| #37447 | The State of Texas | vs | J. B. Kundsens Pistol |
| #37448 | do | vs | do Threating life |
| #37471 | The State of Texas | vs | M. Adams — Beating dog |
| #37527 | The State of Texas | vs | Fred H. Watkins — Theft |
| #37534 | The State of Texas | vs | Ray Hatlock — Dis. Peace |
| 37547 | The State of Texas | vs | Mrs. L. A. Robins — S. without License |
| #37516 | The State of Texas | vs. | Harris Jacobs Theft. |

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

#37391

State of Texas

vs

Carl Utely

A. a.

July 8th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announcing ready for trial: Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: H. J. Denny and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury the defendant now enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Jury, after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the Jury find the defendant not guilty"

H. J. Denny, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant go hence without day.

#37431

State of Texas

vs.

H. E. Coleman

Embezzlement

July 15th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announcing ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit:- Jno Hackney and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury the defendant now enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to-wit "We the Jury find the defendant not guilty" Jno Hackney Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take Nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

#37501

State of Texas

vs

Jim Chandler

A.A.

July 28, 1909.

This day came the county attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announcing ready for trial, thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit:- J.A. Graves and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider their verdict and after mature deliberation, returned into open court the following verdict to wit:-

"We the Jury find the defendant not guilty." J.A. Graves. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this suit or prosecution and that defendant go hence without day.

#37506

State of Texas

vs

A.A.

Frank Maggio.

July 14, 1909

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announcing ready for trial, thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J.W. Hackney and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open court the following verdict. to-wit:-

"We the Jury find the Defendant not Guilty" J.W. Hackney, Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by this prosecution and that Defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

#37408

State of Texas

vs

Herman Grant

No License

July 8. 1909

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announcing ready for trial, thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit:- R H Bardin and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury, the Defendant now enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider their verdict, and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict- to-wit:-

"We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty." R H Bardin Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant go hence without day.

---

State of Texas, vs J.H.Holt. // No.36849 MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL Saturday, April 3,1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the defendant in his own proper person, and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial, and after argument of counsel, the court being fully advised in the premises it is the opinion of the court that the law is against the defendant. it is therefore ordered by the court that the same be overruled. To which the defendant excepts and gives notice of appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas and the same is granted. Defendant is given 20 days from adjournment of this term in which to prepare and file his bill of exception and Statement of facts.

No. 36849

State of Texas vs J.H.Holt. // Recognizance Monday, April 19, 1909.

On this day came into open court J.H.Holt, defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with Press James and Will Booth, sureties, acknowledged themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of $250.00 conditioned that the said J.H.Holt who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor, and his punishment assessed at a fine of $100.00 as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case.

Tarrant County Criminal Minutes County Court 14 PG 619 1 of 2

State of Texas,

vs

Geo.Turner

#37284

MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL.

Saturday, July 3, 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State , came also the defendant in his own proper person, and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial, and after argument of counsel, the court being fully advised in the premises it is the opinion of the court that the law is against the defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the same be overruled.

No. 37284

State of Texas,
vs
Geo.Turner.

Recognizance

Saturday July 3rd, 1909.

On this day came into open court Geo. Turner, defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with W.P.McLean Jr., and W.B.Scott, sureties, acknowledged themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of $300.00 conditioned that the said Geo. Turner who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor, and his punishment assessed at a fine of $25.00 as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case.

Tarrant County Criminal Minutes County Court 14 1909 208 2

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, ~~189~~ 1909

This the 24 day of July A. D. ~~189~~ 1909.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Court Room County Court at Court House
Tarrant County. Texas.
Tuesday July 24, 1909.

This day being the date heretofore set for a call meeting of the attorneys of the Ft Worth bar for the purpose of electing a Special County Judge of the County Court of Tarrant County. Texas, the Hon John L. Terrell, the regular Judge of said Court being absent, thereupon came the following attorneys - to wit:. J.C. Scott. J.J. Cummings. Ben. S. Baldwin O.E. Farmer. D.M. Doyle. W.O. McLean Jr., Wm L. Evans Bruce Young., R.E.L. Coy, Virgil Parker. Tom Valentine. and the Hon. J.C. Scott having been elected Chairman of the said body, and said Chairman having instructed the Sheriff of Tarrant County to make procolimation at the Court House door of the election of a Special County Judge of said County. and said Sheriff having made said proclimation in due form as by law required whereupon Wm L. Evans was put in nomination and was elected. receiving seven votes. for said office of Special County Judge. while W.M. Tidwell and W.O. McLean Jr received one vote each - whereupon Hon Wm L. Evans was declared elected Special County Judge of Tarrant County, Texas.

Indexed To Here

John L Terrell
County Judge

---

State of Texas
vs
Irvine Beasley

No. 37719
MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL/
Saturday, September 25th, 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own prpper person, and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial, and after argument of counsel, the Court being fully advised in the premises, it is the the opinion of the Court that the law is against the Defendant. It is there fore ordered by the Court that that the same be overruled.

Tarran County
CRIMINAL MINUTES
COUNTY COURT M
PG 620

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

State of Texas } It appearing to the Court upon hearing of this
vs } cause that Frank Papscan having a Retail Liquor
Frank Papscan } dealers license No 85 issued by the County Clerk of Tarrant County on
the 8th day of August 1909. has violated the provisions of
Chapter xxxviii of General Laws of Texas. page 258 et seq. Regulating the
sale of intoxicating liquors,

---

No. 37827

State of Texas. In the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas,

Vs

Frank Papscar. September 23nd.,1909.

Application of W. C. Smith to have ~~a~~ license of Frank Papscar revoked on account of sale during August 1909 of intoxicating liquor to Robert Smith, a minor.

I find from the evidence that Frank Papascar,holding a license as a retail liquor dedler did sell,as alleged,to Robert Smith,who was a minor . I further find said Robert Smith has the appearance of a man over the age of 21 years;that there was good ground for belief on the part of Papscar that the said minor was of age,and that the sale was made in good faith.

It is claimed by applicant that the license should be revoked ~~on~~ merely because ~~the person~~ Robert Smith is in fact under the age of 21 years,tho he appeared to be an adult and the sale was made in good faith.,and defendant Papscar acted as a man of ordinary prudence would have acted under similar circumstances.

In the provisions of a liquor dealers bond referreing to sale to minors, the word"knowingly"is not used;but I find that throughout the new liquor law, known as the Fitzhugh-Robertson Bill,whenever the matter of selling to minors is referred to the word "knowingly" is uniformly ~~used~~. In Section 8 of the law, it is provided that when a person des reg to engage in the liquor business,he must first make an application for a permit to the Comptroller of Public Accounts of the State, in which under oath he states that "he has not since May 1 1909 *knowingly* sold to a minor,and that "if granted the permit,he will not *knowingly* sell to a minor" Should he violate any of the provisions of this affidavit,his license can be revoked by the Comptroller or the County Judge. Section 15 of the law provides that "where the sale is made in good faith and with the belief that the minor is of age,and there is good grounds for such belief,this shall be a valid defense to any recovery on such bond.,and the provisions of this act shall apply to any suit by the State or any indivisual". It is admitted that a conviction in a criminal case where the liquor dealer is charged with selling to a minor could not be sustained,if the sale were made in good faith withe belief that the minor were of age, and good ground existed for such belief.

It would in my opinion be a strained and unwarranted construction of the lawto revoke a liquor dealers license for doing an act for which there would be no liability upon his bond,~~either by the~~ in a suit either by the State or any person aggreived;an act for which he could not be prosecuted criminally;and an act for which the Comptroller of Public Accounts admittedly could not revoke his license. Holding therefore that if a sale to a minor be made in good faith ,with the belief that the minor is of age,and there is good ground for such belief,there can be no forfeiture of the license,the application is this case is ~~refused~~. denied.

Tarran County Criminal Minutes County Court MP3 621 1 of 2

John L. Terrell,
County Judge.

---

State of Texas
vs
Mike Cassidy

No. 37627

MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL.

Saturday, October 2nd, 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State came also the Defendant in his own proper person, and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial,and after argument of counsel, the Court being fully advised in the premises, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is afainst the defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the same be overruled. To which ruling and judgment Notice of Appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals and Defendant is granted 30 Days within which to file Statement of Facts and Bill of Exceptions.

Tarran County Criminal Minutes County Court MP3 621 2 of 2

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

37719

State of Texas
vs
Irvine Beasley

Recognizance
Saturday, Sept. 25 1909.

This day came into open court Irvine Beasley Defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with T M Brooks, W D Littles and W. W. Waits, sureties acknowledged themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of $300.00. Conditioned that the said Irvine Beasley, who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas in this cause of a misdemeanor and his punishment assessed at a fine of $100.00 and Thirty days imprisonment in the County Jail, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction duly entred in this cause, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals, of the State of Texas, in this case.

*37627

State of Texas
vs
Mike Cassidy

Recognizance—
Saturday, October 2nd 1909.

On this day came into open Court Mike Cassidy Defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with Jim Liston and Ed Buckley, sureties acknowledged themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of $250.00 conditioned that the said Mike Cassidy who has been convicted in the County Court of Tarrant County Texas, in this cause of a misdemeanor, and his punishment, assessed at a fine of $500.00 and 10 days imprisonment in the County Jail, as more fully appears by the judgment of conviction, duly entered in this cause, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, in this case.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein, says he will no further prosecute the following cases, and moves the Court to dismiss the same:

37743. State of Texas vs Mrs. Ketcel. A.

37742. State of Texas vs Mrs. Ketcel. Ab. Lang.

37727. State of Texas vs J. F. File. A.A.

37726. State of Texas vs J. F. File Ab. Lang.

37709. State of Texas vs Nelse Eckelson. Pistol.

37708. State of Texas vs Nelse Eckelson. Ab. Language

37706. State of Texas vs Joe Butler and Maggie Haughey - Adultery.

37702 State of Texas vs Frank Walker. A.A.

37701. State of Texas vs Frank Walker. A.A.

37697. State of Texas vs John Stewart. A.A.

37695. State of Texas vs Raul Orozco - Pistol.

37694. State of Texas vs E. St. Greenlee. Swindling.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 37681. | State of Texas | vs | Chas. Pickett. A. A. |
| 37680. | State of Texas | vs | John Turner. A. A. |
| 37672. | State of Texas | vs | Ida Matthie. Adultery. |
| 37649. | State of Texas | vs | Joe Rodreguez. Pistol. |
| 37644. | State of Texas | vs | John Patton. Theft. |
| 37632. | State of Texas | vs | George Harper. A. A. |
| 37620. | State of Texas | vs | Frank McKitchen. A. A. |
| 37449. | State of Texas | vs | O. P. McKee. Ab. Laug. |
| 37395. | State of Texas | vs | Levi Robinson. Pistol. |
| 37394. | State of Texas | vs | Levi Robinson. Knucks. |
| 37238 | State of Texas | vs | F. M. King. Speed Limit. |
| 37217. | State of Texas | vs | A. Davis. Gaming. |
| 37216. | State of Texas | vs | Willie Jackson. Gaming. |
| 37748 | State of Texas | vs | Mrs. Will Schulte Ab. Laug. |

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

375-84. State of Texas vs J. Stansell.
Maj.

36899. State of Texas vs G. N. Keith.
Hauling Garbage.

\#37771 State of Texas. }
vs } Sunday Opening.
Herman Grant } Thursday, Sept. 23rd 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good, and lawful men, to wit: Wm Barr, and five others who were, duly selected empaneled and sworn, according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury, the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon, the Jury, after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to wit:-

"We, the Jury find the defendant not guilty.
Wm Barr, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant go hence without day.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

#7
#36777

State of Texas
vs
Will Martin
} A. A.

Thursday, September 23rd 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: J. B. Neace and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to Law, and the Information being read to the jury. The defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon, the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We, The Jury find the defendant not guilty.

J. B. Neace Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant go hence without day.

#37235

State of Texas
vs
P. L. Gibson
} Garbage Law.

Friday, September 24th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Wm Barr and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury. The defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon, the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

The charge of the Court retired To consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict To wit:
"We the jury find the defendant not guilty.
Wm Barr Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas Take nothing by reason of this proecdition, and that the defendant go hence without day.

#37432

State of Texas
vs
Bob Hammond } A. A.

Wednesday August 4th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney Prosecuting The pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: S. C. Shanklin and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read To the Jury, the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We, the Jury find the Defendant not guilty.
S. C. Shanklin Foreman"

It is Therefore ordered by the Court That The State of Texas Take nothing by reason of this Prodecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 189 1909

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

\#37682

State of Texas
vs
Sam Miller
A. A.

Thursday, September 9th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: A. F. Hampton and five others, who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury. The defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon, the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to conceider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation returned into open Court, the following verdict to wit:

We, the Jury find the defendant not guilty.

A. F. Hampton Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

\#3757.

State of Texas
vs
Bob Fox
A. A.

Wednesday, September 15th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon, came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: J. A. Bayless, and five others, who were, duly selected, empaneled and sworn, according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury, the defendant now here entere his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon, the Jury after hearing the evi-

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

dence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to conceider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court, the following verdict, to wit:
"We, the Jury find the defendant not guilty.
J. A. Baylees, Forman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant go hence without day.

1
#37526.

State of Texas
vs
Bob Hammond } Pistol.

Wednesday, August 4th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon, came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: E. McDougal, and five others, who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury, the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon, the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to conceider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation, returned into open Court the following verdict, to wit:
"We, the Jury find the defendant not guilty.
E. McDougal, Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

\#37568

State of Texas
vs
Mrs. L. A. Robbins } Disorderly House.
Thursday, September 16th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in her own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon, came a Jury of good and lawful men, to wit: Ben Brooks and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Indictment being read to the Jury, the defendant now here enters her plea of not guilty.

Thereupon, the Jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation, returned into open Court the following verdict, to wit:

"We, the Jury find the Defendant not guilty.

Ben Brooks, Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant go hence without day.

\#37604

State of Texas
vs
Tom Quiett } Pistol.
Saturday, September 25th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for Trial. Thereupon, came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: W. H. Perry, and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury, the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon, the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

charge of the Court, retired To conciders of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court The following verdict, to wit:

"We The jury find the defendant not guilty.

W. W. Perry Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that The State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

*3765-6

State of Texas }
vs } Theft.
N. B. Glover }

Wednesday, September 22nd 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: J. B. Neace, and five others who were duly selected, empaneled, and sworn according to law, and the Information being read To The Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon, the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation returned into open Court, the following verdict, to wit:-

"We the Jury find the defendant not guilty.

J. B. Neace, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, ~~189~~ 1909

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

✓

\# 37696

State of Texas
vs
Laura Rodriguez

Pistol.

Tuesday, September 7th 1909.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: J. P. O'Dell and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury, the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Thereupon, the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court, the following verdict, to wit:

"We, the Jury find the defendant not guilty.

J. P. O'Dell, Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

\# 37699.

State of Texas
vs
F. Butler

A. A.

Tuesday, September 7th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: O. L. Carter and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury, the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189...

...the... ...day of... ...A. D. 189...

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

Whereupon, the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to conceider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to wit:

"We, the Jury find the defendant not guilty.

C. L. Carter, Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this Prosecution, and that the defendant go hence without day.

37716

State of Texas }
vs } Theft.
Charlie Fowler }

Friday, September 10th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: J. A. Abercrombie, and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury, the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon, the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to conceider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to wit:

"We, the Jury find the Defendant not guilty.

J. A. Abercrombie, Forman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this Prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, ~~189~~ 1909.

the day of A. D. 189

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

\#37674

State of Texas
vs
Will Brown
} Theft.
Thureday, Sept. 7th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "not guilty".

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises, finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of $30.00 and 6 months in Jail.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will Brown the sum of Thirty Dollars ($30.00) and 6 months in Jail, fine assessed aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred, for which said fine and costs, execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are fully paid, and for the full period of 6 months.

37664.

State of Texas
vs
Jeff McFarland
} Theft.
Wednesday, Sept. 15th 1909.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, came also the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial.

A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 189 1909

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

plea of "not guilty".

Thereupon, the Court after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of $10.00 and 10 days in Jail.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant, Jeff McFarland, the sum of $10.00 fine assessed aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine, and costs are fully paid, and for the full period of 10 days.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the court to dismiss the same:

#37648 State of Texas vs Chas Beatty. Theft.

#37647 State of Texas vs Joe Perez a.a.

#37638 State of Texas John Rawles Swindling

#37611 State of Texas S.N. Martin Theft.

#37600 State of Texas Frank Mullins Swindling

#37575 State of Texas Jim Key Theft

#37596 State of Texas N.P. Wyatt Vagrancy

#35646 State of Texas Bob Snodgrass Child abandonment

#35673 State of Texas H.A. Lutrell Wife abandonment

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

| | |
|---|---|
| #35723 | State of Texas vs Ab Dean. Selling outside Saloon Limit |
| #35756 | State of Texas vs Melvin T. Plantz. Wife abandonment |
| #35808 | State of Texas vs J H Smith A. A. |
| #35860 | State of Texas vs P. G. Fisher Not Posting License |
| #35861 | State of Texas vs Ab. Dean Not Posting License |
| #35862 | State of Texas vs J. G. Gibson. Not Posting License |
| #35972 | State of Texas vs Paul Schubert. V. Saloon Limit Law. |
| #35974 | State of Texas vs Paul Schubert do |
| # | |
| #35975 | State of Texas vs Paul Schubert do |
| #35976 | State of Texas vs Paul Schubert do |
| #35977 | State of Texas vs Paul Schubert do |
| #35998 | State of Texas vs H. C. James, assault |
| #36045 | State of Texas vs Paul Schubert. Violating Sal. Limit |
| #36109 | State of Texas vs Jake Goldstein. S. S. |
| #36270 | State of Texas vs Steffen Manyeck. Cards |
| #36271 | State of Texas vs Mosel Lazok. Cards |
| #36284 | State of Texas vs Paul Schubert. Violating Saloon Limit |
| #36286 | State of Texas vs Paul Schubert do |
| #36288 | State of Texas vs Paul Schubert do |
| #36290 | State of Texas vs Paul Schubert do |
| #36293 | State of Texas vs Paul Schubert do |

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the..... day of ..... A. D. 189.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis, Mo.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| #36294 | State of Texas vs Paul Schubert | Violating Saloon Limit |
| #36295 | State of Texas vs Paul Schubert | Do |
| #36298 | State of Texas vs Paul Schubert | Do |
| #36296 | State of Texas vs Walter Smith | Do |
| #36302 | State of Texas vs John Gibson | Do |
| #36303 | State of Texas vs Charley Patterson | Do |
| #36330 | State of Texas vs Nick O'Connell | Do. |
| #36361 | State of Texas vs J. P. Hollingsworth. | Permitting Pool in Saloon. |
| #36389 | State of Texas vs Claud Lewis. | Theft. |
| #36461 | State of Texas vs H. E. Garth | Pistol |
| #34368 | State of Texas vs Pervella Williams | Ab Larg. |
| #34507 | State of Texas vs Annie Bell McConnell. | Off. City |
| #34681 | State of Texas vs C Smith | Dist. Peace |
| #34682 | State of Texas vs Tillie Harris | Dist Peace |
| #34683 | State of Texas vs Marie Parker | Vagrancy |
| #34685 | State of Texas vs Jno. Brown | Trespassing |
| #34838 | State of Texas vs Fannie Irving. | Child abandonment |
| #35361 | State of Texas vs Geo Mitchell. | Threatening Life |
| #35006 | State of Texas vs Dan O'Connell. | Selling without Licen |
| 37879 | State of Texas vs Sam Moskowitz | a.a. |

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

.....the.....day of.....A. D. 189....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

37713 State of Texas vs Lee Stallings Threatening Life.

36788 State of Texas vs H. H. Harding. a.a.

37816 The State of Texas vs Jno. M. Lionberger } Embez. Oct 29th 1909

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit:

and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury, the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon, the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open court, the following verdict to-wit:-

"We, the Jury find the defendant not guilty"

It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day.

John D. Terrell
Oct 30th 1909 = Co Judge.

END

# CRIMINAL MINUTES COUNTY COURT

# VOL. 15

TARRANT COUNTY

THR 163
CRIMINAL MINUTES
COUNTY CLERK
1902-1905

Terran COUNTY
Criminal MINUTES
FFL COUNTY COURTS

FFL COUNTY COURT 15
CRIMINAL MINUTES

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Litho Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
R W Lamb
No. 25973

Charge: Theft

July 11 1903

R W Lamb This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Dan Evans
No 26187

Charge: Threatening Life

Saturday July 11 - 1903

Dan Evans This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Thos Depton
No 26190

Charge: Fornication

Thos Depton This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

Tarrant County
Criminal Minutes
County Court 15

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Nellie Sowers
No 26570
Charge: Fornication
Saturday July 11th 1903

Nellie Sowers in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Henry Lee
No 26626
Charge: Abusive Language
Saturday July 11-1903

Henry Lee This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jack Brown
No 26738
Charge: Disorderly House
Saturday July 11-1903

Jack Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
J R Binyon
No. 26740
Charge: Abusive Language
Saturday July 11th 1903

J R Binyon This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Lon Saunders
No. 26745
Charge: Disturbing Peace

Lon Saunders This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Lon Saunders
No. 26914
Charge: Injuring Personal Property
Saturday July 11- 1903

Lon Saunders This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Ealey } No. 2696 Charge: Theft

Saturday July 11th 1903

Ealey This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Henry Stegall } No. 27017 Charge: Enticing a Minor from Legal Custody

Saturday July 11-1903

Henry Stegall This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Carrie Davis } No. 27030 Charge: Aggravated Assault Parent

July 11-1903

Carrie Davis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Will Davis
No 27040
Charge: Theft
Saturday July 11-1903

Will Davis in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Lang Grace
No. 27137
Charge: Theft
Tuesday July 7-1903

Lang Grace in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jas Strong
No. 27138
Charge: Open On Sunday
Friday July 10- 1903

Jas Strong in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jas Strong
No 27139
Charge: Sunday Selling
Friday July 10 - 1903

Jas Strong This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jas Strong
No. 27143
Charge: Sunday Selling
Friday July 10" 1903

Jas Strong This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jas Strong
No. 27144
Charge: Sunday Selling
Friday July 10" 1903

Jas Strong This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jas Strong

No 27145 Charge: Sunday Selling

Friday July 10" 1903

Jas Strong

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jas Strong

No 27146 Charge: Sunday Selling

Friday July 10" 1903

Jas Strong

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bill Griffin & Eula Hamilton

No 27177 Charge: Adultery & Fornication

Sunday July 11" 1903

Bill Griffin & Eula Hamilton

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 1903

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Georgia Haywarth } No. 27192 Charge: Theft

Saturday July 11th 1903

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Georgia Haywarth in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Jno Roberts } No. 27103 Charge: Embezzlement

Saturday July 11-1903

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jno Roberts in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jno Roberts } No. 27104 Charge: Embezzlement

Saturday July 11th 1903

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jno Roberts in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jno Roberts

No 27105 Charge: Embezzlement

Saturday July 11. 1903

Jno Roberts This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
B. C. Kirtley

No 27210 Charge: Assault

Saturday July 11. 1903

B C Kirtley This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W T Brown

No 27223 Charge: Selling on Sunday

W T Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Nat Davis
No 2724 9
Charge: Threatening Life
Saturday July 11" 1903

Nat Davis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
W M Astin
No 2727 0
Charge: Hunting on Sunday
Saturday July 11" 1903

W M Astin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs Jessie Sectal
No 2728 1
Charge: Agg Assault
Saturday July 11" 1903

Mrs Jessie Sectal This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Bert Becktol

No. 27282

Charge: Agg Assault

Saturday July 11th 1903

Bert Becktol This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Sam Shrewder

No. 26045

Charge: Agg Assault

Thursday July 11- 1903

Sam Shrewder This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sam Shrewder

No 26046

Charge: Agg. Assault

Thursday July 11. 1903

Sam Shrewder This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Sam Shrewder
No. 26537
Charge: Agg Assault
Thursday July 9th 1903

Sam Shrewder in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jon Britton
No. 27284
Charge: Agg Assault
Saturday July 11-1903

Jon Britton in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sadie Bradford
No. 27292
Charge: Agg Assault
Saturday July 11th 1903

Sadie Bradford in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J E H Allen
No. 27295
Charge: Disturbing Peace
Saturday July 11" 1903

J E H Allen This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Lettie Armstead
No. 27309
Charge: Adultery
Saturday July 11- 1903

Lettie Armstead This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ingram Woods
No. 27310
Charge: Adultery
Saturday July 11" 1903

Ingram Woods This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189_

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. J J Scoggins } No 27316 Charge: Theft July 8" 1903

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant J. J. Scoggins in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. J N Shelton } No 27319 Charge: Theft Saturday July 11-1903

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant J. N. Shelton in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Henry Watson } No 27327 Charge: Open On Sunday Saturday July 10" 1903

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Henry Watson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Litho Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Henry Watson } No. 27338 Charge: Selling on Sunday

Henry Watson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Geo Wallace } No. 27339 Charge: Sunday Selling Saturday July 11 - 1903

Geo Wallace This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Geo Wallace } No. 27340 Charge: Sunday Selling July 11 - 1903.

Geo Wallace This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Donnell Ingram

No. 27348

Charge: Killing a Dog.

Thursday July 9th 1903

Donnell Ingram This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
O K White

No. 27360

Charge: Theft

Saturday July 11th 1903

O K White This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J E Wilson

No. 27400

Charge: Cards

Saturday July 11th 1903

J E Wilson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Lith. Co. Printers & Stationers Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Lee Buckner } No. 27401 Charge: Cards

Saturday July 11-1903

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Lee Buckner in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Jack Rivers } No. 27411 Charge: Disturbance

Monday July 6th 1903

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jack Rivers in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. S. B. Jones } No. 27419 Charge: Selling Lottery Tickets

Saturday July 11-1903

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant S. B. Jones in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189_

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
A B Jones
No. 27420
Charge: Selling Lottery Tickets
Saturday July 11 - 1903

A. B. Jones in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Frank Shields
No. 27426
Charge: Selling Lottery Tickets
Saturday July 11th 1903

Frank Shields in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Snowballs
No. 27427
Charge Selling Lottery Tickets
Saturday July 11 - 1903.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Snowball
No 27428
Charge: Selling Lottery Tickets
Saturday July 11th 1903

Snowball This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Snowball in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Owen Mills
No 27487
Charge: Agg Assault
Saturday July 4th 1903

Owen Mills This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Owen Mills in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Geo Johnson
No. 27440
Charge: Abusive Language
Monday July 20th 1903

Geo Johnson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Geo Johnson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Frank Holmes
No. 27443
Charge: Agg Assault
Saturday July 11th 1903

Frank Holmes This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
James Fox
No. 27444
Charge: Theft
Saturday July 11th 1903

James Fox This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Cunningham
No. 27445
Charge: Sunday Selling
Monday July 6th 1903

Jim Cunningham This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jim Cunningham
No. 27448
Charge: Sunday Selling
Monday July 6th 1903

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jim Cunningham in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jim Cunningham
No. 27449
Charge: Sunday Selling
Monday July 6th 1903

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jim Cunningham in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Mary Brown
No. 27452
Charge: Theft
Friday July 10th 1903

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Mary Brown in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. F. C. J. McElroy } No. 27453 Charge: Sending Threatening Letter

Saturday July 11 — 1903

F. C. J. McElroy This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. M F Holley } No. 27463 Charge: Failing to work on Public Road

Saturday July 11th 1903.

M. F. Holley This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Hattie Butler } No. 27455 Charge: Theft

Saturday July 11th 1903

Hattie Butler This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Lura Bowen } No. 27457 Charge: Assault

Thursday July 9th 1903

Lura Bowen This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Horace Woods } No. 27467 Charge: Pistol

Saturday July 11-1903

Horace Woods This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W. T. Brown } No 27469 Charge: Open Sunday

Friday July 10th 1903

W. T. Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189_

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. W T Brown } No. 27469 Charge: Selling Sunday July 10 - 1903

W T Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. W T Brown } No. 2747° Charge: Selling Sunday Friday July 10° 1903

W. T. Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W T Brown } No. 2747 Charge: Sunday Selling Friday July 10° 1903

W T Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. W T Brown } No. 27477 Charge: Sunday Selling

Friday July 10th 1903

W T Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Frank Shields } No. 27473 Charge: Sunday Selling

Friday July 10th 1903

Frank Shields This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lon Sanders } No. 27474 Charge: Fornication

Saturday July 11th 1903

Lon Sanders This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189_

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mrs Fannie Griswold
No. 27475
Charge: Abus Language
Thursday July 9th 1903

Mrs Fannie Griswold This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Asher Rone
No 27485
Charge: Theft
Saturday July 11th 1903

Asher Rone This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs
Freeman Rone
No 27486
Charge: Theft
Saturday July 11-1903

Freeman Rone This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Sam Mills

No. 27494

Charge: Agg Assault

Wednesday July 8th 1903

Sam Mills in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Sam Mills

No. 27495

Charge: Agg. Assault

Wednesday July 8th 1903

Sam Mills in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Wm Jenkins

No. 27502

Charge: _____

July 8 1903

Wm Jenkins in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 1903

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Pinkey Butler
No. 27504
Charge: Adultery
July 11 – 1903

Pinkey Butler This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Joe Britton
No. 27506
Charge: Adultery
Saturday July 11 – 1903

Joe Britton This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bud Starkalin alias
E L Starks
No. 27526
Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday July 20 – 1903

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Ed Boyce } No. 27535 Charge: Sunday Selling

Tuesday July 20-1903

Ed Boyce This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Ed Boyce } No. 27536 Charge: Sunday Selling

Monday July 20-1903

Ed Boyce This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ed Boyce } No. 27537 Charge: Sunday Selling

Monday July 20-1903

Ed Boyce This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ed Boyce
Ed Boyce

No. 27538

Charge: Sunday Selling

Monday July 20. 1903

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ed Boyce
Ed Boyce

No. 27539

Charge: Sunday Selling

Monday July 20-1903

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ed Boyce
Ed Boyce

No. 27540

Charge: Sunday Selling

Monday July 20" 1903

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
A C Turner
No. 27563
Charge: Theft
Tuesday July 21-1903

A C Turner This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
A C Turner
No. 27565
Charge: Theft
Tuesday July 21" 1903

A C Turner This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Wain Pinkerton
No. 27566
Charge: Theft
Tuesday July 21" 1903

Wain Pinkerton This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1903

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Pearl Debee
No. 27568
Charge: Vagrancy
Wednesday July 22-1903

Pearl Debee This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Blanch Clark
No. 27570
Charge: Vagrancy
Wednesday July 22-1903

Blanch Clark This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
R W Lamb
No. 26634
Charge: Dist Peace
Saturday July 11-1903

R W Lamb This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept Term, 1903

Texas Printing and Litho. Co. Printers & Stationers Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Carrie Morton
No. 27661
Charge: Theft
Thursday Sept 24. 1903

Carrie Morton in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
O B Burnsides
No 27710
Charge: Agg. Assault
Tuesday Sept 8. 1903

O. B. Burnsides in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Willie Skinner
No. 27801
Charge: Agg Assault
Thursday Sept 24. 1903

Willie Skinner in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept Term, 1903

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
R N Perkins
No. 27840
Charge: Adultery
Wednesday Sept 23- 1903

R N Perkins in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Gen Reeves
No. 27955
Charge: Theft
Friday Oct 9" 1903

Gen Reeves in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Harris
No. 27956
Charge: Theft
Friday Oct 9th-1903

Will Harris in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept Term, 1903

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Henry Hollingworth
No. 27754
Charge: Agg. Assault
Tuesday Oct 6th 1903

Henry Hollingworth in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Harry De Fee
No. 27616
Charge: Theft
September 28" 1903

Harry De Fee in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Patrick Taylor
No. 27617
Charge: Theft
September 28" 1903

Patrick Taylor in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189_

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
_____
No. _____

Charge: _____

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Pennie Nelson
No. 27902

Charge: Theft

Tuesday Oct 6th 1903

Pennie Nelson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, ~~and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty."~~ And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant ~~not~~ guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

Cost
Cost

and his punishment assessed at a fine of $10.00 and two days in the county jail.

It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas, do have and recover of the Defendant Pennie Nelson,

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
_____
No. _____

Charge: _____

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1903.

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Basham
No. 28072
Charge: Sunday Selling
Tuesday Nov 17th 1903

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant John Basham in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
D J Rasberry
No. 28057
Charge: Vagrancy
Tuesday Nov 3rd 1903

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant D J Rasberry in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sol Richardson
No. 28077
Charge: Fornication
Thursday Nov 19. 1903.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Sol Richardson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1903

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Annie Nolan } No. 28078 Charge: Fornication

Thursday Nov 19th 1903

Annie Nolan This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Alphas Green } No. 28087 Charge: Adultery

Monday Nov. 9th 1903

Alphas Green This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ed Green } No. 26746 Charge: Assault

Saturday Nov 28th 1903

Ed Green This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Jas Sanders } No. 7684 Charge: Exhibiting
Saturday Nov 28-1903

Jas Sanders This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Jim Brown } No. 27023 Charge: Enticing a minor from Parent
Saturday Nov 28th 1903

Jim Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ed Dillon } No. 27047 Charge: Exhibiting
Saturday Nov 28-1903

Ed Dillon This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Anderson Upshaw
No. 27218 Charge: Throwing Stones
Saturday Nov 28 1903

Anderson Upshaw This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mr Brooks
No. 27447 Charge: Agg. Assault
Saturday Nov 28-1903

Mr Brooks This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Walker
No. 27457 Charge: Agg. Assault
Saturday Nov 28" 1903

Walker This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
R J Thompson
No. 27492
Charge: Threatening Life
Saturday Nov 28th 1903

R J Thompson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Sam Elliston
No. 27549
Charge: Selling Intoxicants to Hab. Drunkard
Saturday Nov 28th 1903.

Sam Elliston in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ben Ford
No. 27585
Charge: Enticing a Minor from Parent
Saturday Nov 28th 1903

Ben Ford in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189_

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Jeff Hamer } No. 27624 Charge: Craps

Saturday Nov 28-1903

Jeff Hamer This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Jack Tierney } No. 27626. Charge: Craps

Saturday Nov 28th 1903

Jack Tierney This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W C Grewett } No. 27627 Charge: Craps

Saturday Nov 28" 1903.

W C Grewett This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Lewd East
No. 27628
Charge: Adultery
Saturday Nov 28" 1903

Lewd East This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jno A Williams alias Dock
No. 27637
Charge: Fornication
Saturday Nov. 28" 1903

Jno A Williams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Anna Hamilton
No. 27659
Charge: Vagrancy
Saturday Nov 28" 1903

Anna Hamilton This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189_

THE STATE OF TEXAS, } No. 27678 Charge: Open On Sunday

vs.

Henry Watson

Saturday Nov 28" 1903

Henry Watson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, } No. 27679 Charge: Theft

vs.

Will Sweeney

Saturday Nov 28" 1903

Will Sweeney in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS } No. 27713 Charge: Abusive Language

vs.

Pat Reeves

Saturday Nov 28" 1903

Pat Reeves in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Tom Chism
No. 2774
Charge: Agg Assault
Saturday Nov 29 1902

Tom Chism This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Fred Knuckles
No. 2775
Charge: Assault
Saturday Nov 28-1902

Fred Knuckles This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Scott
No. 2777
Charge: Agg. Assault
Saturday Nov 28. 1902

Jim Scott This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Bennie Nelson
No. 27778
Charge: Theft
Saturday Nov 28" 1903

Bennie Nelson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mr. Dave Gorman
No. 27839
Charge: Abusive Language
Saturday Nov 28" 1903

Mrs Dave Gorman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Horace Woods
No. 27842
Charge: Agg. Assault
Saturday Nov 28" 1903

Horace Woods This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1902

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Dave Gorman
No. 27850
Charge: Open On Sunday
Saturday Nov 28th 1903

Dave Gorman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
L D Chapman
No. 27886
Charge: Agg Assault
Saturday Nov 28th 1903

L D Chapman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dave Gorman
No. 27890
Charge: Sunday Selling
Saturday Nov 28th 1903

Dave Gorman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Dave Gorman } No. 27891 Charge: Sunday Selling

Saturday Nov 28 1903

Dave Gorman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Dave Gorman } No. 27892 Charge: Sunday Selling

Saturday Nov 28" 1903

Dave Gorman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Dave Gorman } No. 27893 Charge: Sunday Selling

Saturday Nov 28" 1903.

Dave Gorman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Dave Gorman
No. 27894
Charge: Sunday Selling
Saturday Nov 28 1903

Dave Gorman in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mat S Blanton
No. 27898
Charge: Failing to Render Property
Saturday Nov 28" 1903

Mat S Blanton in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mike Cassiday
No. 27903
Charge: Open On Sunday
Saturday Nov 28" 1903

Mike Cassiday in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Will Sare alias Red
No. 2790[illegible] Charge: Agg Assault

Will Sare This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mike Cassidy
No. 2790[illegible] Charge: Shot On Sunday Saturday Nov 28th 1903

Mike Cassidy This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A B Coy
No. 2790[illegible] Charge: Theft Saturday Nov 28th 1903

A B Coy This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Henry Bakes
No. 27919
Charge: _____

Henry Bakes This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Frit. Byers
No. 27941
Charge: Agg Assault
Saturday Nov 28, 1903

Frit Byers This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Frit Byers
No 27942
Charge: Agg Assault
Saturday Nov 28th 1903

Frit Byers This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Pat Reeves
No. 27925 Charge: Theft
Saturday Nov 28" 1903

Pat Reeves in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. B. Milner
No. 27967 Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday Nov 30. 1903

J. B. Milner in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. B. Milner
No. 27968 Charge: Threatening Life
Monday Nov 30- 1903

J. B. Milner in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. E P West } No. 27969 Charge: Agg. Assault

Saturday Nov 28-1903

E P West This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Homer West } No. 27971 Charge: Agg. Assault

Saturday Nov 28-1903

Homer West This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Sot Byrnes } No. 27972 Charge: Agg. Assault

Saturday Nov 28-1903

Sot Byrnes This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mrs Agnes West
No. 27972 Charge: Agg. Assault
Saturday Nov 28. 1903

Mrs Agnes West in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Gus Arnold
No. 27974 Charge: Agg. Assault
Saturday Nov. 28-1903

Gus Arnold in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
O A Summons
No. 28017 Charge: Pistol
Saturday Nov 28" 1903.

O A Summons in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Litho Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Julius Robertson } No. 28018 Charge: Agg. Assault

Saturday Nov 28 1903

Julius Robertson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Bob Owens } No. 28048 Charge: Failing to work roads

Saturday Nov 28 1903

Bob Owens This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Al Dean } No. 28062 Charge: Sunday Selling

Saturday Nov. 28th 1903

Al Dean This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Dave Gowan
No. 28066
Charge: Sunday Selling
Saturday Nov 28-1903

Dave Gowan in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Al Dean
No. 28069
Charge: Sunday Selling
Saturday Nov 28-1903

Al Dean in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Ed Galloway
No. 28071
Charge: Agg Assault
Saturday Nov 28 1903

Ed Galloway in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Goldie Hayward } No. 28091 Charge: Theft

Saturday Nov 28 1903

Goldie Hayward This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jack Ford } No. 28173 Charge: Exhibiting

Tuesday Dec 1. 1903

Jack Ford This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bud Holmes } No 28217 Charge: Betting

Wednesday Dec 2nd 1903

Bud Holmes This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Lang Grace
No. 28237
Charge: Betting
Wednesday Dec 2nd 1903

Lang Grace in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ed McCoy
No. 28280
Charge: Craps
Monday Nov 30th 1903

Ed McCoy in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo Peace
No. 28303
Charge: Betting
Thursday Dec 3rd 1903

Geo Peace in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Ed McCoy } No. 28318 Charge: Craps

Thursday Dec 3. 1903

Ed McCoy This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Ed McCoy in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Lary Grove } No. 28332 Charge: Craps

Wednesday Dec 2. 1903

Lary Grove This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Lary Grove in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Ceason Gragg } No. 28340 Charge: Exhibiting

Wednesday Dec 2. 1903

Ceason Gragg This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Ceason Gragg in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Will Green
No. 27217
Charge Throwing Stones
Saturday Nov 28 1903

Will Green This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Kid Kinney
No. 26837
Charge: Exhibiting
Saturday Dec 12 1903

Kid Kinney This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Baby Sibley
No. 28047
Charge: Failing to work Road
Saturday Nov 28 1903

Baby Sibley This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ed Galloway
No. 28071
Charge: Agg. Assault
Saturday Nov 28" 1903

Ed Galloway This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Ed Galloway in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Tom Chism
No. 28116
Charge: Exhibiting
Saturday Dec 12" 1903

Tom Chism This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Tom Chism in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Tom Chism
No. 28117
Charge: Exhibiting
Saturday Dec 12-1903

Tom Chism This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Tom Chism in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Tom Chism } No 2818 Charge: Exhibiting

Saturday Dec 12" 1903

Tom Chism This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Mark Davis } No. 2813 Charge: Exhibiting

Saturday Dec 12" 1903

Mark Davis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mark Davis } No 2813 Charge: Exhibiting

Saturday Dec 12" 1903

Mark Davis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mark Davis
No. 28133 Charge: Exhibiting
Saturday Dec 12" 1903

Mark Davis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Chas Smith
No. 28174 Charge: Betting
Tuesday Dec 1" 1903

Chas Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Chas Smith
No. 28175 Charge: Betting
Tuesday Dec 1st 1903

Chas Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Grant Leroy

No. 28188 Charge: Betting

Saturday Dec 12" 1903

Grant Leroy This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Grant Leroy

No. 28189 Charge: Betting

Saturday Dec 12" 1903

Grant Leroy This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Grant Leroy

No. 28190 Charge: Betting

Saturday Dec 12" 1903

Grant Leroy This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1903

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Bud Holmes } No. 28218 Charge: Betting

Saturday Dec 12" 1903

Bud Holmes This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Bud Holmes } No. 28219 Charge: Betting

Saturday Dec 12" 1903

Bud Holmes This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Kid Kinney } No. 26839 Charge: Exhibiting

Saturday Dec 12" 1903

Kid Kinney This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
D W Mower
No. 26256
Charge:
Monday Jany 11" 1904

D W Mower in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bill Johnson
No. 26682
Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday Jan 11-1904

Bill Johnson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Will Jackson
No. 26683
Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday Jan 11-1904

Will Jackson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1904

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Ed Atkison
No. 26742
Charge: Disturbance
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Ed Atkison This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jno Smelley
No. 26883
Charge: Cards
Monday Jan 11th 1904

Jno Smelley This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
H B Rod
No. 26936
Charge: Cards
Monday Jan. 11-1904

H B Rod This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1904

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
J W Johnson
No 27061
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jan 11th 1904

J W Johnson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Will Dailey
No 27066
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jan 11th 1904

Will Dailey in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Lem Carson
No 27082
Charge: Pistol
Monday Jan 11th 1904

Lem Carson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ross Snider
No 27077
Charge: Affray
Monday Jan 11" 1904

Ross Snider This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jennie O'Leary
No. 27115
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jan. 11" 1904

Jennie O'Leary This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
CC Glass
No 27151
Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday Jan. 11" 1904

CC Glass This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Charley Young
No 27176
Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday Jan. 11-1904

Charley Young This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Walter Reeves
No 27195
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jan. 11-1904

Walter Reeves This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Melvin Lorgent
No 27048
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Melvin Lorgent This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J M Sapp

No. 27232 Charge: Cards

Monday Jan. 18th 1904

J M Sapp

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jos Hunter

No. 27207 Charge: Theft

Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Jos Hunter

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W B Rawlings

No. 27211 Charge: Agg. Assault

Monday Jan. 11-1904

W B Rawlings

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jim Peckham
No. 27214
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jany 11th 1904

Jim Peckham This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Fred Jones
No. 27234
Charge: Cards
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Fred Jones This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Victor
No. 27245
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Jim Victor This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Pete Dixon
No. 27250
Charge: Threatening Life
Monday Jany 11th 1904

Pete Dixon This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Green Taylor
No 27318
Charge: Theft
Monday Jany 11th 1904

Green Taylor This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sank Wilburn
No 27346
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jany 11th 1904

Sank Wilburn This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Pete Dixon
No 27257
Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday Jany 11th 1904

Pete Dixon in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Tom Garrette
No. 27350
Charge: Theft
Monday Jany 11th 1904

Tom Garrette in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Arthur Nelson
No 27335
Charge: Theft
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Arthur Nelson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Sutter Rogers
No. 27369
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jan. 11. 1904

Sutter Rogers in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Dorsey Ridge
No. 27381
Charge:

Dorsey Ridge in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Pete Adams
No. 27423
Charge: Theft
Monday, 11th 1904

Pete Adams in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Frank Johnson
No. 27462 Charge: Theft
Monday Jan. 11- 1904

Frank Johnson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jno Norwood
No. 27465 Charge: Disturbance
Monday Jan. 11- 1904

Jno Norwood in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
J. Rattenberry
No. 27477 Charge: Theft
Monday Jan. 11" 1904

J. Rattenberry in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Bill Jackson
No. 27478
Charge: Adultery
Monday Jan. 11 - 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Bill Jackson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Luke Holman
No 27490
Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday Jany 11th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Luke Holman in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo Johnson
No 27527
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jany 11th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Geo Johnson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Jim Tuck } No. 27548 Charge: Theft

Monday Jan. 11" 1904

Jim Tuck This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Don Washington } No. 27561 Charge: Agg. Assault

Monday Jan. 11" 1904

Don Washington This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Stowers } No. 27562 Charge: Throwing Stones

Monday Jany. 11" 1904

Will Stowers This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
L A Wright
No. 27578
Charge: Theft
Monday Jany 11th 1904

L A Wright in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jon Scott
No. 27587
Charge: Theft
Monday Jany 11th 1904

Jon Scott in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sam Fisher
No 27582
Charge: Theft
Monday Jany 11th 1904

Sam Fisher in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Sam Fisher
No. 27583
Charge: Theft
Monday Jany 11th 1904

Sam Fisher This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
A D Minaker
No 27586
Charge: Theft
Monday Jany 11th 1904

A D Minaker This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Oal Leach
No 27590
Charge: Theft
Monday Jany 11th 1904

Oal Leach This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Marion Dodd
No. 27594
Charge: Theft & Embezzlement
Monday Jany. 11th 1904

Marion Dodd in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jonnie Jones
No. 27596
Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Jonnie Jones in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Frank Simpson
No. 27597
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Frank Simpson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
R Murrell
No. 27601
Charge: Adultery
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

R Murrell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jno Brown
No. 27619
Charge: Theft
Monday Jan 11th 1904

Jno Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Chas Walker
No. 27623
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Chas Walker This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Geo Griffin
No 27639
Charge: Threatening Life
Monday Jany. 11th 1904

Geo Griffin in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Chas Johnson
No 27640
Charge: Gambling
Monday Jany. 11th 1904

Chas Johnson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dick Boyd
No 27643
Charge: Pistol
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Dick Boyd in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Oscar Johnson
No. 27657
Charge: Assault
Monday Jany. 11th 1904

Oscar Johnson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Henry Kinney
No. 27660
Charge: Theft
Monday Jan. 12th 1904

Henry Kinney This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sadie Harrison
No. 27666
Charge: Adultery
Monday Janv. 11th 1904

Sadie Harrison This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Andrew McGinnis
No. 27668
Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Andrew McGinnis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Charlie Jarrette
No. 27669
Charge: Theft
Monday Jany. 11th 1904

Charlie Jarrette This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Jackson
No. 27689
Charge: Theft
Monday Jany. 11th 1904

Jim Jackson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189[illegible]

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Earl Lindsey
No 27688
Charge: Theft
Monday Jany 11th 1904

Earl Lindsey This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Beverly Thompson
No 27689
Charge: Theft
Monday Jany 11th 1904

Beverly Thompson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J M Pursall
No 27961
Charge: Assault
Monday Jany 11th 1904

J M Pursall This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
J M Pursall

No. 27692 Charge: Assault

Monday Jany. 11th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant J M Pursall in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jennie Davis

No. 27694 Charge: Theft

Monday Jany 11-1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jennie Davis in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Jess Murphy

No. 27697 Charge: Assault

Monday Jany. 11th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jess Murphy in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Al Baker
No. 27698
Charge: Assault
Monday Feby 8" 1904

Al Baker This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Sarah Purleson
No. 27701
Charge: Appealed
Monday Feby 8" 1904

Sarah Purleson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Higgins
No. 27702
Charge: Appealed
Monday Feby 8" 1904

Will Higgins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY. TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Grant Jones

No. 27745 Charge: Theft

Monday Jan. 11th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Grant Jones in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Callie Strous

No. 27757 Charge: Ab Law

Monday Jan. 11. 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Callie Strous in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Thos Williams

No. 27758 Charge: Theft

Monday Jan. 11th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Thos Williams in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. A Larkin } No 27761 Charge: Theft Monday Jan 11 1904

A Larkin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Chas Brisco } No 27769 Charge: Agg Assault Monday Jan. 11 1904

Chas Brisco This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Chas Brisco } No 27770 Charge: Agg. Assault Monday Jan. 11 1904

Chas Brisco This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Low Simmons
No. 27792
Charge: Theft by Bailee
Monday, Jan. 11th 1904

Low Simmons in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
A C Lacroix
No. 27793
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

A C Lacroix in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Sallie Mathews
No 27794
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jany 11th 1904

Sallie Mathews in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jim Reynolds
No. 27797
Charge: Theft
Monday Jany 11" 1904

Jim Reynolds This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Nannie Roberts
No. 27798
Charge: Adultery
Monday Jan. 11" 1904

Nannie Roberts This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Edgar Griffin
No. 27799
Charge: Theft by Bailee
Monday Jan. 11" 1904

Edgar Griffin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

Texas Printing and Litho Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Will Hewitt
No. 27800
Charge: Adultery
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Will Hewitt in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
W R Blythe
No 27820
Charge: Embezzlement
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

W R Blythe in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Henry Bennette
No 27828
Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Henry Bennette in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Henry Carter
No. 27832
Charge: Theft
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Henry Carter This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Geo Baker
No 27835
Charge: Theft
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Geo Baker This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charley Hill
No. 27836
Charge: Theft
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Charley Hill This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Don Castello
No 27837
Charge: Embezzlement
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Don Castello in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Geo Baker
No 27838
Charge: Rec & Concealing Stolen Property
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Geo Baker in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Arthur Upshow
No. 27843
Charge: Assault
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Arthur Upshow in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Chas LeRoy
No. 27845
Charge: Disturbance
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Chas LeRoy in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
L O Fahey
No. 27846
Charge: Swindling & Theft
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

L O Fahey in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Brown
No. 27847
Charge: Theft
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

John Brown in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Sim Ward
No. 27848 Charge: Knives
Monday Jan. 11. 1904

Sim Ward This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
H B North
No. 27782 Charge: Theft
Monday Feby 8" 1904

H B North This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Fred Neeley
No 27884 Charge: Theft
Monday Feby. 8" 1904

Fred Neeley This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Tom Pinkston
No. 27857
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Tom Pinkston This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
E R Cotter
No. 27858
Charge: Theft
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

E. R. Cotter This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Lewis
No. 27860
Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Jim Lewis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Andrew Summers
No. 27867 Charge: Theft
Monday Jan 11. 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Andrew Summers in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Lacey
No. 27864 Charge: Theft
Monday Jan. 11. 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Lacey in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Hayden
No. 27865 Charge: Theft
Monday Jan 11. 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Hayden in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Napoleon Weaver
No. 27866
Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday Jan. 11. 1904

Napoleon Weaver in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jim Jordon
No. 27867
Charge: Adultery
Monday Jan. 11. 1904

Jim Jordon in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lelia Buckhanon
No. 27868
Charge: Adultery
Monday Jan. 11. 1904

Lelia Buckhanon in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jon Grandi
No. 27870
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jan. 11 1904

Jon Grandi in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
B L Lockwood
No. 27873
Charge: Theft
Monday Jan. 11 1904

B L Lockwood in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jake Davis
No. 27881
Charge: Theft
Monday Jan. 11 1904

Jake Davis in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Willie Patterson
No. 27895 Charge: Theft
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Willie Patterson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
R L Tyre
No. 27896 Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jan 11th 1904

R L Tyre This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Frank Tiller
No. 27897 Charge:

Frank Tiller This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
D L Vining
No 27900
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jan. 11" 1904

D L Vining This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Thos Gilbert
No 27908
Charge: Receiving & Concealing Stolen Prop
Monday Jan. 11" 1904

Thos Gilbert This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J D Carskadon
No 27920
Charge: Threatening Life
Monday Jan. 11" 1904

J D Carskadon This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Maggie Davis
No. 2795 Charge: Adultery
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Maggie Davis in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Will Stanley
No. 2790 Charge: Theft
Monday Jan. 18. 1904

Will Stanley in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charley Shanchon
No. 2795 Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday Jan. 11 1904

Charley Shanchon in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM 1904 Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Dave Lewis } No. 27984 Charge: Agg. Assault

Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Dave Lewis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Dave Lewis in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Rena Shaw } No. 27959 Charge: Theft

Monday Jany. 18th 1904

Rena Shaw This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Rena Shaw in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Henry Maxwell } No. 27976 Charge: Fornication

Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Henry Maxwell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Henry Maxwell in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Lovey Robinson
No 27977
Charge: Theft
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Lovey Robinson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Will Johnson
No 27978
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Will Johnson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Gus Brewer
No 28019
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jan 11th 1904

Gus Brewer in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Gus Greiner
No. 28020 Charge: Assault
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Gus Greiner This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Sy Tuck
No. 28024 Charge: Cards
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Sy Tuck This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
T M Hughes
No. 28027 Charge: Theft
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

T M Hughes This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189[illegible]

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Jim Smith } No 28043 Charge: Theft

Monday Jan 11" 1904

Jim Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Mary Ross } No. 28058 Charge: Assault

Wednesday, Jan. 6" 1904

Mary Ross This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Geo Campbell } No. 28063 Charge: Vagrancy

Monday Jan. 11" 1904

Geo Campbell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Will Richmond
No. 28072
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jan. 11. 1904

Will Richmond This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Wade Lockett
No. 28075
Charge: Ab. Language
Monday Jan. 11. 1904

Wade Lockett This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Willie Thomas
No. 28076
Charge: Dist Penal
Monday Jan. 11. 1904

Willie Thomas This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. John Johns } No. 28079 Charge: Fornication

Monday Jan. 11. 1904

John Johns This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Mattie Marshall } No. 28080 Charge: Fornication

Monday Jan. 11. 1904

Mattie Marshall This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Chas Bell } No. 28081 Charge: Agg. Assault

Monday Jan. 11. 1904

Chas Bell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM 1904 Term, 189

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Fannie Carnell

No. 28085 Charge: Agg Assault

Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Fannie Carnell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Minnie Brown

No. 28095 Charge: Adultery

Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Minnie Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John White

No. 28096 Charge: Adultery

Monday Jan. 11th 1904

John White This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Frank Simpson
No. 28100
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Frank Simpson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Will Miller
No. 28109
Charge: Theft
Monday Jany. 11th 1904

Will Miller This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J B Raeph
No. 28112
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

J B Raeph This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

Texas Printing and Litho Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Richard Jones
No. 28154
Charge: Betting
Monday Jan. 4th 1904

Richard Jones in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Richard Jones
No. 28155
Charge: Betting
Monday Jan 4th 1904

Richard Jones in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Richard Jones
No. 28156
Charge: Betting
Monday Jan 4th 1904

Richard Jones in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
O. Minsen
No. 7822

Charge: Adultery

Monday Jan. 11th 1904

O. Minsen This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mrs Alvina Fredericks
No. 28724

Charge: Adultery

Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Mrs Alvina Fredericks This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Emma Harris
No. 28755

Charge: Adultery

Wednesday Jan. 6th 1904

Emma Harris This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Litho. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Dave Kincklelow } No. 28256 Charge: Theft

Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Dave Kincklelow in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. W M Mosier } No 28257 Charge: Agg Assault

Monday Jan. 11th 1904

W M Mosier in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. M L Jones Col } No. 28264 Charge: Threatening Life

Monday Jan. 11th 1904

M L Jones Col in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Harry H Sharkey
Harry H Sharkey

No. 28262 Charge: Vagrancy
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Geary Parker
Geary Parker

No. 28263 Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jan. 18th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Hemphill
Jim Hemphill

No. 28268 Charge: Craps
Tuesday Jan 5th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
_____
No._____ Charge: _____

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
T. M. Cathcheon
No. 28794 Charge: Theft
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

T M Cathcheon This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
F. N. Cook
No. 28295 Charge: Swindling
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

F. N. Cook This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Steve Smith
No. 28316
Charge: Craps
Wednesday Jan. 6" 1904

Steve Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jno Bruno
No. 28327
Charge: Craps
Tuesday Jan 5" 1904

Jno Bruno This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Robt Wilson
No 28343
Charge Killing a Horse
Monday Feby 8" 1904

Robt Wilson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

Texas Printing and Litho. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Will Glass } No. 28347 Charge: Killing a Horse

Monday Jan 11th 1904

Will Glass This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Chas Thomas } No. 28344 Charge: Theft

Friday Jan. 8th 1904

Chas Thomas This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Henry New } No. 28345 Charge: Theft

Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Henry New This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY. TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Leander Clark
No. 28352
Charge: Vagrancy
Tuesday Jan 5th 1904

Leander Clark This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jim Whitlow
No. 28360
Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Jim Whitlow This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

Carried Over

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
D Wilson
No. 28362
Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

D Wilson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Sonnie Nichols
No. 28364
Charge: Embezzlement
Monday, Feby. 8th 1904

Sonnie Nichols in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Clark
No. 28366
Charge: Assault
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

John Clark in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C E Roberts
No. 28367
Charge: Dist. Peace
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

C E Roberts in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM 1904 Term, 189[illegible]

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. A L Shaw — No. 28368 — Charge: Theft — Monday Jan. 11th 1904

A L Shaw in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Bob James — No. 28369 — Charge: Agg. Assault — Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Bob James in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W M Taylor — No. 28371 — Charge: Agg. Assault — Monday Jan. 11th 1904

W M Taylor in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Bob James } No. 28370 Charge: Agg. Assault

Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Bob James

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Gabe Jones } No. 28373 Charge: Theft

Wednesday Jan. 6th 1904

Gabe Jones

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Luther Pollard } No. 28378 Charge: Theft

Monday Jan. 18th 1904

Luther Pollard

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Henderson Gaines
No. 28389
Charge: Theft
Monday, 18th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Henderson Gaines in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Henry Schewark
No. 28390
Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday, Feby 8th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Henry Schewark in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Henry Schewark
No. 28391
Charge: Resisting Officer
Monday, Feby 8th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Henry Schewark in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Henry Schanerwerk
No. 2839 Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday Feby 8th 1904

Henry Schanerwerk This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Henry Schanerwerk
No 2839½ Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday Jan. 18th 1904

Henry Schanerwerk This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Florence Delacy
No. 2839¼ Charge: Theft
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Florence Delacy This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jon Williams
No. 28398 Charge: Theft
Monday Jan. 11" 1904

Jon Williams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Henry Marshall
No. 28399 Charge: Agg Assault
Wednesday Jan. 6" 1904

Henry Marshall This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Jeff Robinson
No. 28400 Charge: Adultery
Monday Jany 11" 1904

Jeff Robinson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant ~~in~~ his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Fannie Davis
No 2840/ Charge: Adultery
Monday Jan 11th 1904

Fannie Davis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
May Moore
No 2840 2 Charge: Adultery
Monday Jan 11th 1904

May Moore This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Chas Hulen
No 2840 3 Charge: Adultery
Monday Jan 11th 1904

Chas Hulen This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Silas White
No. 28404 Charge: Embezzlement
Monday Jan 11th 1904

Silas White This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Silas White
No. 28405 Charge: Embezzlement
Monday Jan 11th 1904

Silas White This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Oar Walker
No. 28406 Charge: Selling to Minor
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Oar Walker This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Oa Walker
No. 2840?
Charge: Selling to Minor
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Oa Walker This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jno Chesson
No. 2840?
Charge: Theft
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Jno Chesson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
E. Smith
No. 28411
Charge: Theft
Monday Jany 11th 1904

E. Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jno Denges
No. 28414
Charge: Giving Intoxicants to Minor
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jno Denges in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jno Denges
No. 28415
Charge: Giving Intoxicants to Minor
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jno Denges in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jno Denges
No. 28416
Charge: Giving Intoxicants to Minor
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jno Denges in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM 1904 Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ed Johnson
No. 28417
Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday Jan. 11. 1904

Ed Johnson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Percy Johnson
No. 28418
Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday Feby. 8" 1904

Percy Johnson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dennis Hatton
No 28421
Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday Jan. 11" 1904

Dennis Hatton This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Aquilla Walker
No 28427 Charge: Theft
Monday Jan 11th 1904

Aquilla Walker This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jim Bennette
No. 28426 Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Jany 11th 1904

Jim Bennette This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Cal Denison
No 28428 Charge: Ab Language
Monday Jan 11th 1904

Cal Denison This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ode Denison
No. 2829
Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Ode Denison This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Archie Robinson
No. 2830
Charge: Fighting
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Archie Robinson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Chas Lee
No. 2831
Charge: Assault
Monday Jan. 11th 1904

Chas Lee This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ella Brown
No. 28464
Charge: Adultery
Wednesday Jan. 6th 1904

Ella Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ethel Street
No. 28466
Charge: Disturbance
Monday Feby 1st 1904

Ethel Street This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Roy Sprinkle
No. 28477
Charge: Theft
Monday Jan 8th 1904

Roy Sprinkle This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Roy Sprinkle
No. 28482 Charge: Theft
Monday, Feby. 8, 1904

Roy Sprinkle This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Sam A Joseph
No. 28488 Charge: Open On Sunday
Monday, Feby 1st 1904

Sam A Joseph This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sam A Joseph
No. 28487 Charge: Open On Sunday
Monday Feby 1st 1904

Sam A Joseph This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mark Davis
No. 28491
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Feby 8th 1904

Mark Davis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jno Long
No. 28501
Charge: Open On Sunday
Monday Feby 8th 1904

Jno Long This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jno Long
No 28502
Charge: Open on Sunday
Monday Feby 8th 1904

Jno Long This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Oare King
No. 2807
Charge: Theft
Monday Feby. 8. 1904

Oare King This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
E Rankin
No. 28514
Charge: Theft
Monday Feby 8" 1904

E Rankin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jon Carson
No. 28515
Charge: Theft
Monday Feby 8" 1904

Jon Carson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Lee Ward
No. 28516
Charge: Swindling
Monday Feby 8th 1904

Lee Ward This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Fuller
No. 28518
Charge: Disturbance
Monday Jan. 18th 1904

Fuller This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Evalina Jackson
No. 28520
Charge: Assault
Monday Feby 8th 1904

Evalina Jackson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Minnie Abrams
No. 28546
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Feby 8" 1904

Minnie Abrams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Tom Johnson
No. 28566
Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday. Feby 1" 1904

Tom Johnson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
D H Wolf
No. 28561
Charge: Dist Peace
Monday Feby 8" 1904

D H Wolf This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Tom Johnson
No 28570

Charge: Pistol

Monday Feby 1st 1904

Tom Johnson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Tom Johnson
No. 28579

Charge: Agg Assault

Monday Feby 1st 1904

Tom Johnson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Tom Johnson
No. 28580

Charge: Agg. Assault

Monday Feby 1st 1904

Tom Johnson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY-TERM, 1904 Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Louise Johnson
No. 28582
Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday. Feby. 1st 1904

Louise Johnson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mabel Thompson
No. 28590
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Feby. 1st 1904

Mabel Thompson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Frank Brady
No. 28185
Charge: Betting
Thursday Mch 3rd 1904

Frank Brady This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, JANY TERM 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Frank Brady
No. 28186
Charge: Betting
Thursday Mch. 3. 1904

Frank Brady This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Frank Brady
No. 28187
Charge: Betting
Thursday Mch 3. 1904

Frank Brady This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
No.
Charge:

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH TERM A.D. 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Jas Banks } No. 27802 Charge: Betting

Monday March 14th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jas Banks in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Will Preston } No. 27803 Charge: Betting

Monday March 14th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Will Preston in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Sandy Sadler } No. 27804 Charge: Betting

Monday March 14th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Sandy Sadler in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH TERM. A. D. 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ruff Douglass
No. 27805
Charge: Betting
Monday Mch 14th 1904

Ruff Douglass in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Cotton Bell
No. 27806
Charge: Betting
Monday Mch 14th 1904

Cotton Bell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Burl Davis
No. 27808
Charge: Betting
Monday Mch 14th 1904

Burl Davis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH-TERM. A. D. 1904 Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Charley Keeps
No. 27809
Charge: Betting
Monday March 14th 1904

Charley Keeps in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ben Burnella
No. 27811
Charge: Betting
Monday March 14th 1904

Ben Burnella in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Rick Riley
No. 27812
Charge: Betting
Monday March 14th 1904

Rick Riley in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH TERM A.D. 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Jno Hooper } No. 27813 Charge: Betting Monday March 14th 1904

Jno Hooper This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. M Ida Sterling } No. 28028 Charge: Sunday Selling Monday March 14th 1904

M Ida Sterling This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. M Ida Sterling } No. 28029 Charge: Sunday Selling Monday March 14th 1904

M Ida Sterling This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH TERM. A. D. 1904 Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Louis Schubert } No. 2803 Charge: Sunday Selling

Monday March 14th 1904

Louis Schubert This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. M Ida Sterling } No. 2831 Charge: Sunday Selling

Monday March 14th 1904

M Ida Sterling This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W S Sterling } No. 2832 Charge: Sunday Selling

March 14th 1904

W S Sterling This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH-TERM. A. D, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. M Ida Sterling } No. 21033 Charge: Sunday Selling

Monday Mch 14th 1904

M Ida Sterling in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. W E Raycroft } No. 21117 Charge: Agg Assault

Monday Mch 21st 1904

W E Raycroft in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Charley Abott } No. 21147 Charge: Betting

Monday Mch 14th 1904

Charley Abott in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH TERM. A. D. 1904 Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Charley Abbott
No. 2143
Charge: Betting
Monday March 14th 1904

Charley Abbott This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Charley Abbott
No. 2144
Charge: Betting
Monday March 14th 1904

Charley Abbott This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charley Abbott
No. 2145
Charge: Betting
Monday March 14th 1904

Charley Abbott This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH-TERM. A. D. 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Reubin Gill } No. 2810 Charge: Betting

Monday March 14th 1904

Reubin Gill This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Reubin Gill } No. 2880 Charge: Betting

Monday March 14th 1904

Reubin Gill This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Reubin Gill } No. 2817 Charge: Betting

Monday March 14th 1904

Reubin Gill This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH TERM. A. D. 1904 Term, 189

Texas Printing and Litho Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Reuben Gill } No. 2193 Charge: Betting

Monday March 14th 1904

Reuben Gill in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Burrell Otts } No. 2193 Charge: Betting

Monday March 14th 1904

Burrell Otts in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Burrell Otts } No 2194 Charge: Betting

Monday March 14th 1904

Burrell Otts in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH-TERM. A. D. 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Burrell Otts
No. 2819 5
Charge: Betting
Monday Mch 14th 1904

Burrell Otts This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Wile Snow
No. 2819 7
Charge: Betting
Monday Mch 14th 1904

Wile Snow This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Wile Snow
No. 2819 8
Charge: Betting
Monday Mch 14th 1904

Wile Snow This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH TERM. A. D. 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Will Snow
No. 7199
Charge: Betting
Monday Mch 14. 1904

Will Snow in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Lige Ross
No. 21207
Charge: Betting
Monday Mch 14th 1904

Lige Ross in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs
Charley Keefs
No. 21225
Charge: Betting
Mch 14th 1904

Charley Keefs in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH-TERM. A. D. 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Charley Keeps
No. 2776

Charge: Betting
Monday March 14th 1904

Charley Keeps in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Charley Keeps
No. 2777

Charge: Betting
Monday March 14th 1904

Charley Keeps in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charley Keeps
No. 2778

Charge: Betting
Monday March 14th 1904

Charley Keeps in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH TERM, A. D. 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
R Thomas
No. 7733
Charge: Betting
Monday Mch 14th 1904

R Thomas This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
R Thomas
No. 7734
Charge: Betting

R Thomas This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charley Keefe
No. 7766
Charge: Craps
Monday Mch 14th 1904

Charley Keefe This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH-TERM. A. D. 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Walter Cassey
No. 2767
Charge: Craps
Monday Mch 14th 1904

Walter Cassey in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jno Banks
No. 2777
Charge: Craps
Monday Mch 14th 1904

Jno Banks in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Thos Coleman
No. 2774
Charge: Craps
Monday Mch 14th 1904

Thos Coleman in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH TERM. A. D. 1904 Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Litho Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Doots Edwards } No. 2771 Charge: Craps

Monday March 14th 1904

Doots Edwards This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Jon Goss } No. 2772 Charge: Craps

Monday March 14th 1904

Jon Goss This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Hunt Garrison } No. 2790 Charge: Craps

Monday March 14th 1904

Hunt Garrison This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH TERM A.D. 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Horace Calico
No. 7179 Charge: Craps
Monday March 14 1904

Horace Calico in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Plauterhous Frank
No. 7193 Charge: Craps
Monday March 14 1904

Plauterhous Frank in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Walter Cossey
No. 21307 Charge: Craps
Monday March 14 1904

Walter Cossey in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH TERM, A. D. 1904 Term, 189___

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Chas Keeps
No. 2134
Charge: Craps
Monday March 14th 1904

Chas Keeps This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Planterhouse Frank
No. 2135
Charge: Craps
Monday March 14th 1904

Planterhouse Frank This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dick Boyd
No. 2137
Charge: Betting
Monday March 14th 1904

Dick Boyd This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH TERM. A. D. 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Planterhouse Frank

No. 7373

Charge: Betting

Monday March 14th 1904

Planterhouse Frank in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Doots Edwards

No. 7330

Charge: Craps

Monday March 14th 1904

Doots Edwards in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W E Body

No. 7423

Charge: Agg. Assault

Monday March 14th 1904

W E Body in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH TERM. A. D. 1904 Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Wilford Young
No. 2469
Charge: Theft
Monday March 14th 1904

Wilford Young in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Darance Johnson
No. 2554
Charge: Theft
Monday March 14th 1904

Darance Johnson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
O. J. Rowley
No. 2613
Charge: Agg Assault
Saturday March 5th 1904

O. J. Rowley in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH-TERM, A. D, 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Henry Hembree
No. 2865
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Mch 14th 1904

Henry Hembree in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. D Sandsbury
No. 2861
Charge: Disc Pence
Monday Mch 14th 1904

J. D Sandsbury in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. D. Sandsbury
No. 2862
Charge: Pistol
Monday Mch 14th 1904

J. D Sandsbury in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH TERM A.D. 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. D. Sandsbury
No. 7663
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday March 14 1904

J. D. Sandsbury in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. D. Sandsbury
No. 7664
Charge: Agg Assault
Monday March 14 1904

J. D. Sandsbury in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Tom Pinkston
No. 7665
Charge: Theft
Monday March 7. 1904

Tom Pinkston in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH TERM A.D. 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Pete House
No. 2668 Charge: Disturbing Peace
Monday Mch 14th 1904

Pete House This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
M Douglass
No. 2699 Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Mch 14th 1904

M Douglass This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Donnie Jefferson
No. 2691 Charge: Agg Assault
Monday Mch 14th 1904

Donnie Jefferson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH TERM. A. D. 1904 Term, 189__

Fort Worth Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Sonner Jefferson
No. 2697
Charge: Ab Language
Monday Mch 14th 1904

Sonner Jefferson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. D. Sandsbury
No. 2717
Charge: Pistol
Monday Mch 14th 1904

J. D. Sandsbury This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. D. Sandsbury
No. 2718
Charge: Pistol
Monday Mch 14th 1904

J. D. Sandsbury This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH TERM A. D. 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. D. Sandsbury

No. 28719

Charge: Disturbing Peace

Monday March 14th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant J. D. Sandsbury in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. D. Sandsbury

No. 28720

Charge: Agg Assault

Monday March 14th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant J. D. Sandsbury in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Pinkie Pardon

No. 28729

Charge: Agg Assault

Monday March 21st 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Pinkie Pardon in ~~his~~ her own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, [illegible] TERM. A. D. 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Sue Cobb } No. 28743 Charge: Theft

Tuesday Mch 22. 1904

Sue Cobb This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in ~~his~~ her own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Speed Richardson } No. 28744 Charge: Betting

Friday Mch 11. 1904

Speed Richardson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W R Odum } No. 28745 Charge: Threatening Life

Monday Mch 14. 1904

W R Odum This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH-TERM. A. D. 1904 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. W R Odum } No. 28746 Charge: Threatening Life

Monday March 14th 1904

W R Odum This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. W R Odum } No. 28747 Charge: Threatening Life

Monday March 14th 1904

W R Odum This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. W R Odum } No. 28748 Charge: Threatening Life

Monday March 14th 1904

W R Odum This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH TERM A.D. 1904 Term, 189___

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. W R Odum } No. 2749 Charge: Threatening Life

Monday Mch. 14th 1904

W R Odum This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. W R Odum } No. 2750 Charge: Threatening Life

Mch 14th 1904

W R Odum This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Mrs Kate Wilson } No. 2785 Charge: Theft

Saturday Mch 5th 1904

Mrs Kate Wilson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, MARCH TERM A.D. 1924 Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Alex Prather
No. 28493
Charge: Agg Assault
Tuesday Mch 22 1924

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Alex Prather in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
No.
Charge:

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
No.
Charge:

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1904.

Texas Printing and Litho Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
D. C. Babcock

No. 28772

Charge: Slander

Wednesday May 4" 1904.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant D. C. Babcock in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
L. M. Webb

No. 28784

Charge: Swindling

Tuesday May 3" 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant L. M. Webb in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Tom Brooks

No. 28811

Charge: Agg. assault

Tuesday May 3" 1904.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Tom Brooks in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1904,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
T. C. Griffin
No. 28884 Charge: Adultery

Monday May 9th 1904,

T. C. Griffin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
G. R. Wilkinson
No. 28885 Charge: Adultery

Monday May 9th 1904,

G. R. Wilkinson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. Baker
No. 28888 Charge: Injuring Property

Monday May 9th 1904,

C. Baker This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1904,

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Helan Frezevant

No. 28889 Charge: Theft

Tuesday May 3" 1904.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Helan Frezevant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Shannon Frezevant

No. 28890 Charge: Theft.

Tuesday May 3" 1904.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Shannon Frezevant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Frezevant

No. 28891 Charge: Theft.

Tuesday May 3" 1904.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Will Frezevant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1904

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jas Long
No. 28936 Charge: Ab. Law.
Tuesday May 17" 1904

Jas. Long This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Oscar Olsen
No. 28986 Charge: Agg. Assault
Tuesday May 17" 1904.

Oscar Olsen This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Henry Joner
No. 29090 Charge: Theft
Monday June 13" 1904,

Henry Joner This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1904,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Henry Jones
No. 7903
Charge: Theft
Monday June 13th 1904.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Henry Jones in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Henry Jones
No. 7904
Charge: Theft
Monday June 13th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Henry Jones in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
No.
Charge:

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
E L Sherman
No. 28513
Charge: Disord House
Tuesday June 26 1906

E L Sherman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Tom Jones
No. 28576
Charge: Betting
Tuesday July 24 1906

Tom Jones This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Johnson
No. 28577
Charge: Betting
Tuesday July 24 1906

Will Johnson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Ben Price } No. 28578 Charge: Setting

Tuesday July 26. 1904

Ben Price This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Anna Watts } No. 28587 Charge: Adultery

Tuesday July 26. 1904

Anna Watts This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Brigmore } No. 28582 Charge: Adultery

July 26. 1904

Will Brigmore This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Wiley
No. 28583
Charge: Adultery
Tuesday July 26. 1904

John Wiley This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant John Wiley in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
F L Sherman
No. 28584
Charge: Disord House
Tuesday July 26. 1904

F L Sherman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant F L Sherman in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs Francis
No. 28586
Charge: Disord House
Tuesday July 26. 1904

Mrs Francis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Mrs Francis in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Litho. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Jim Erwin } No. 28587 Charge: Fine

Tuesday July 26th 1904

Jim Erwin in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Mart Ozee } No. 28588 Charge: Cards

Tuesday July 26th 1904

Mart Ozee in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Eunice Ferrell } No. 28589 Charge: Adultery

Tuesday July 26th 1904

Eunice Ferrell in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189_

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jim Johnson
No. 28597 Charge: Cards
Tuesday July 26th 1904

Judy Johnson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
William Lons
No. 28592 Charge: Fel
Tuesday July 26th 1904

William Lons This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Brooks
No. 28593 Charge: Fel
Tuesday July 26th 1904

Will Brooks This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Geo Rivers
No. 28597
Charge: Cards
Tuesday July 26" 1904

Geo Rivers This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Will Warren
No. 28609
Charge: Killing a Dog
Tuesday July 26" 1904

Will Warren This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Moore
No. 28599
Charge: Cards
Tuesday July 26" 1904

John Moore This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
A. E. Keery

No. 28614 Charge: Agg. Asslt

Tuesday July 26" 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant A. E. Keery in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Thos Smith

No. 28616 Charge: Theft

Tuesday July 26" 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Thos Smith in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Simon Perry

No. 28617 Charge: Agg. Asslt

Tuesday July 26" 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Simon Perry in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Ab Dean
No. 28667
Charge: Rec. Con. Stolen Property
Tuesday July 26th 1904

Ab Dean This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jay Berg
No. 28668
Charge: Cards
Tuesday July 26th 1904

Jay Berg This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Goldie Haworth
No. 28677
Charge: Appl City Court
Tuesday July 26th 1904

Goldie Haworth This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Luther Jones
No. 28776
Charge: Throwing Stones
Tuesday July 26. 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Luther Jones in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Alozo Barnes
No. 28741
Charge: Agg Assn

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Alonzo Barnes in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo Campbell
No. 21754
Charge: Rec & Con. Stolen Prop
Tuesday July 26. 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Geo Campbell in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. R L McAndrews No. 28802 Charge: Agg. Assault

Tuesday July 26th 1904

R L McAndrews This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant R L McAndrews in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. H C Kelley No. 28809 Charge: Agg. Assault

Tuesday July 26th 1904

H C Kelley This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant H C Kelley in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Preston Parham No. 28810 Charge: Agg. Assault

Tuesday July 26th 1904

Preston Parham This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Preston Parham in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ____ Term, 189[illegible]

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Aleck Robbins
No. 28832 Charge: Selling to Minor
Tuesday July 26. 1904

Aleck Robbins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Henry Robbins
No. 28833 Charge: Selling to Minor
Tuesday July 26" 1904

Henry Robbins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Henry Robbins
No. 28834 Charge: Selling to Minor
Tuesday July 26. 1904

Henry Robbins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Henry Robbins } No. 28835 Charge: Selling to Minor

Tuesday Juey 26th 1904

Henry Robbins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Henry Robbins } No 28876 Charge: Selling to Minor

Tuesday Juey 26th 1904

Henry Robbins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. J D Miller } No. 28876 Charge: Agg. Assault

Tuesday Juey 26th 1904

J D Miller This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Sylvester Schnaughney
No. 2880
Charge: Theft
Tuesday July 26th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Sylvester Schnaughney in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Will Steel
No. 2878
Charge: Threatening Life
Tuesday July 26th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Will Steel in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mattie Fisher
No. 2913
Charge: Fornication
Tuesday July 26th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Mattie Fisher in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Pete Dorchester } No. 28914 Charge: Fornication

Tuesday July 26. 1904

Pete Dorchester This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. D C Babcock } No. 28929 Charge: Threatening Life

Tuesday July 26. 1904

D C Babcock This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Will Jasper } No. 28933 Charge: Throwing Stone

Tuesday July 26. 1904

Will Jasper This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Arthur Daye

No. 28934

Charge: Throwing Stones

Tuesday July 26. 1904

Arthur Daye This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Preston Hughes

No. 28935

Charge: Throwing Stones

Tuesday July 26. 1904

Preston Hughes This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
J L Smith

No. 28937

Charge: Agg. Assault

Tuesday July 26. 1904

J L Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Ink Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. J C J King Jr } No. 28939 Charge: Theft

J C J King Jr

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. J L Smith } No. 28931 Charge: Agg. Assault Tuesday July 26. 1904

J L Smith

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Andrew Marks } No. 28940 Charge: Adultery Tuesday July 26. 1904

Andrew Marks

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Ramsey Jefferson
No. 28941
Charge: Adultery
Tuesday July 26th 1904

Ramsey Jefferson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Dayton Maddox
No. 28954
Charge: Agg Assault
Friday July 22nd 1904

Dayton Maddox in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Will Robinson
No. 28956
Charge: Permitting Cattle to run at Large
Tuesday July 26th 1904

Will Robinson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. J. Smith
No. 28964
Charge: Adultery
Tuesday July 26th 1904

J. J. Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Dave Holt
No. 29006
Charge: Disturbing Peace
Tuesday July 26th 1904

Dave Holt This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Smith
No. 29007
Charge: Giving intoxicants to Minor
Tuesday July 26th 1904

John Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189_

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Dave Neisler

No. 29049 Charge: Threatening Life

Tuesday July 26" 1904

Dave Neisler This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Ethel Moore

No. 29115 Charge: Theft

Monday July 25" 1904

Ethel Moore This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
O J Cantrell

No. 29121 Charge: Agg. Assault

Friday July 22" 1904

O J Cantrell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
R H Hammack
No. 29132
Charge: Open On Sunday
Monday July 25th 1904

R H Hammack in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
T. R. Hammack
No. 29133
Charge: Open On Sunday
Monday July 25th 1904

T. R. Hammack in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Jack Sitts
No. 29143
Charge: Pl.
Friday July 22nd 1904

Jack Sitts in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Litho. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jack Gitts

No. 2945

Charge: Ex

Monday July 22" 1904

Jack Gitts This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Tim Brown

No. 2964

Charge: Exhibiting

Monday July 22" 1904

Tim Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Tim Brown

No. 2965

Charge: Exhibiting

Monday July 22" 1904

Tim Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Willie McDoss

No. 29189

Charge: Agg. Assault

Tuesday, July 26, 1904

Willie McDoss in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Butler Coleman

No. 29190

Charge: Vag

Tuesday, July 26, 1904

Butler Coleman in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Toby Widner

No. 29200

Charge: Sunday Selling

Tuesday, July 26, 1904

Toby Widner in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. H Burling } No. 2920 Charge: Assault
Tuesday June 28. 1904

H Burling This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Annie Wiley } No. 29243 Charge: Assault
Tuesday June 26" 1904

Annie Wiley This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Don Langdon } No. 29229 Charge: Theft
Monday July 18-1904

Don Langdon This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Title Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Michael Slate
No. 29252
Charge: Open On Sunday
Monday July 25th 1904

Michael Slate This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Michael Slate
No. 29253
Charge: Open On Sunday
Monday July 25th 1904

Michael Slate This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Michael Slate
No. 29254
Charge: Open On Sunday
Monday July 25th 1904

Michael Slate This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mollie Holt
No. 2926
Charge: Agg. Assault
Monday June 25" 1904

Mollie Holt This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Will Purser
No. 29786
Charge: Adultery
Thursday Aug. 18" 1904

Will Purser This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Bill Goulden
No. 29135
Charge: Adultery
Saturday Sept. 3" 1904

Bill Goulden This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, ~~189~~ 1904,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
B. C. Eester

No. 28673 Charge: Agg. Assault.

Thursday Sept. 22nd 1904,

B. C. Eester This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jim Liston

No. 29201 Charge: Sunday selling

Thursday Sept. 22nd 1904,

Jim Liston This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Jim Liston

No. 29204 Charge: Selling Sunday

Thursday Sept. 22nd 1904,

Jim Liston This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, ~~189~~ 1904,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mrs. L. Kinney
No. 29206
Charge: Dist. Block
Wednesday Sept. 21st 1904.

Mrs. L. Kinney This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Lewis Smith
No. 29223
Charge: Theft.
Thursday Sept. 22nd 1904.

Lewis Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. J. Thomas
No. 29260
Charge: Theft,
Monday Sept. 26th 1904,

J. J. Thomas This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, ~~189~~ 190x

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jas Liston
No. 19176
Charge: Open on Sunday
Thursday Sept. 22nd 1904

Jas Liston This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jas Liston in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mike Cassidy
No. 19177
Charge: Open on Sunday
Thursday Sept. 22nd 1904,

Mike Cassidy This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Mike Cassidy in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Abe Burling
No. 19180
Charge: Open on Sunday
Thursday Sept. 22nd 1904,

Abe Burling This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Abe Burling in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, ~~189~~ 1904,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
J. R. Hammack

No. 29284 Charge: Open on Sunday

Thursday Sept. 22nd 1904

J. R. Hammack in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Eddie Mappin

No. 29289 Charge: Theft.

Thursday Sept. 8th 1904

Eddie Mappin, in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
M. Brawner

No. 29303 Charge: Adult,

Thursday Sept. 22nd 1904

M. Brawner in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, ~~189~~ 1904,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Geo. Dowsend

No. 29336 Charge: Throwing Stones.
Wednesday Sept. 7th 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Geo. Dowsend in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Paslen Rogers

No. 29337 Charge: Throwing Stones
Wednesday Sept. 7th 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Paslen Rogers in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Elbert Rogers

No. 29338 Charge: Throwing Stones
Wednesday Sept. 7th 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Elbert Rogers in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1904

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Will McDuff } No. 29345 Charge: Agg. Asslt, Wednesday Sept. 7th 1904,

Will McDuff This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Will McDuff } No. 29346 Charge: Pistol Wednesday Sept. 7th 1904,

Will McDuff This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo Sippin } No. 29375 Charge: Ab. Law, Wednesday Sept. 7th 1904,

Geo Sippin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, ~~189~~ 1904,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. M. Halsell
No. 19376
Charge: Ab. Law,
Wednesday Sept. 7" 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant J. M. Halsell in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mrs. Jennie Groves
No. 19476
Charge: Ab. Law,
Thursday Sept. 8" 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Mrs. Jennie Groves in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Unknown man
No. 19479
Charge: Personating an officer
Wednesday Sept. 7" 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Unknown man in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, ~~189~~ 1904

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Louie Schnair

No. 29504

Charge: Sunday Selling
Friday Sept. 23rd 1904

Louie Schnair This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Louie Schnair

No. 29505

Charge: Sunday Selling
Friday Sept. 23rd 1904

Louie Schnair This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Henry Joe, alias Joe Blatzman

No. 29394

Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Sept. 7th 1904,

Henry Joe alias Joe Blatzman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Eugine Edwards } No. 28648 Charge: Theft.
Eugine Edwards Saturday Oct. 22 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Eugine Edwards } No. 28649 Charge: Theft.
Eugine Edwards Saturday Oct 22 "1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Harry Berkshire } No. 28651 Charge: Burglary
Saturday Oct. 22 "1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Grant Thomas
No. 28660
Charge: Theft
Saturday Oct. 27" 1904

Grant Thomas This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Bob Johnson
No. 26674
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 2" 1904

Bob Johnson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
P. G. Enlow
No. 28693
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 2" 1904

P. G. Enlow This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Chas Porter
No. 28707 Charge: Theft
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904

Chas Porter This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Linsley Bell
No. 28708 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904

Linsley Bell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Williams
No. 28713 Charge: Theft
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904.

Will Williams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Will Simpson
No. 28724
Charge: Adultery
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Will Simpson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Nora Johnson
No 28725
Charge: Adultery
November 7th 1904,

Nora Johnson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ed Watkins
No 28730
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Ed Watkins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jos Walker
No. 28731
Charge: Theft.
Saturday Nov 7 1904

Jos Walker This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Sam Lindsey
No. 28732
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904.

Sam Lindsey This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
L. McDowell
No. 28735
Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Nov 7th 1904.

L. McDowell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mal Upshaw

No. 28736 Charge: Dist. Peace
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904

Mal Upshaw This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Will Norris

No 28737 Charge: Theft
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904

Will Norris This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
John Teague

No 28739 Charge: Assault
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904

John Teague This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Seeger
No. 7849
Charge: Assault
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904.

John Seeger This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Chas Harris
No. 7874
Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904.

Chas Harris This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Calhoun Bell
No. 28783
Charge: Betting
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904.

Calhoun Bell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Kill Violet
No. 28789
Charge: Bettering
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904

Kill Violet This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ben Lane
No. 28794
Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Ben Lane This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. M. Mortens
No. 28798
Charge: Theft,
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

C. M. Mortens This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ..... Term, 189....

Texas Printing and Litho. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
R. M. McLaughlin } No. 28804 Charge: A. A. Wednesday Nov. 7" 1904

R. M. McLaughlin in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Blanch Clark } No. 28815 Charge: Vag. Wednesday Nov. 7" 1904,

Blanch Clark in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Blanch Clark } No. 28816 Charge: Vag. Wednesday Nov. 7" 1904,

Blanch Clark in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mildred Clifton } No. 28821 Charge: Voy,
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Mildred Clifton This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mildred Clifton } No. 28822 Charge: Voy,
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Mildred Clifton This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Fannie Gillion } No. 28823 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Fannie Gillion This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Ink Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Harry Jackson

No. 7884 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904.

Harry Jackson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bob Jones

No. 78825 Charge: Theft,
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Bob Jones This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Jake Davis

No. 78826 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Jake Davis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Gabe Johnson
No. 28827
Charge: Theft,
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Gabe Johnson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Wilson
No. 28830
Charge: Theft
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

John Wilson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Billie Hendricks
No. 28831
Charge: Ex
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Billie Hendricks in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
A. McElyea

No. 28851 Charge: Pistol
Saturday Oct 22nd 1904.

A. McElyea This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
A. McElyea

No. 28854 Charge: Pistol
Saturday Oct. 22nd 1904,

A. McElyea This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Jennie Hoffing

No. 28856 Charge: Theft,
Tuesday Oct. 4th 1904

Jennie Hoffing This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Alex Gibson
No. 28859 Charge: Theft.
Friday Oct. 21 " 1904.

Alex Gibson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
W. M. Robinson
No. 28861 Charge: Dem. Selling
Friday Oct. 21" 1904

W. M. Robinson, This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Clark Moore
No. 28863 Charge: Theft
Friday Oct. 21" 1904.

Clark Moore This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
John Brune

No. 28874 Charge: Ab. Law,
Thursday Oct. 20th 1904.

John Brune in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mary Davis

No. 28876 Charge: Ab. Law
Thursday Oct 20th 1904

Mary Davis, This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Sterling Woods

No. 28875 Charge: Ab. Law
Thursday Oct. 20th 1904.

Sterling Woods This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189_

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jobe Williams
No. 28887
Charge: A. A.
Thursday Oct. 20th 1904

Jobe Williams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Henry Douglass
No. 28883
Charge: A. A.
Thursday Oct. 20th 1904

Henry Douglass This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Ed Johnson
No. 28887
Charge: Pistol
Friday Oct. 21st 1904,

Ed Johnson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bayer Alexander
No 28892 Charge: Theft,
Saturday Oct. 22nd 1904

Bayer Alexander This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
J. L. Scott
No. 28900 Charge: Theft.
Saturday Oct. 22nd 1904,

J. L. Scott This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Geo. Day
No. 28910 Charge: A. A.
Monday Oct. 24th 1904,

Geo. Day This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 1904

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. E. Skelton
No. 18970
Charge: Theft.
Monday Oct. 24th 1904,

J. E. Skelton This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Haes, alias Jas. Hunter
No. 18926
Charge: Theft.
Monday Oct. 24th 1904,

Haes alias Jas Hunter This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. C. King Jr,
No. 18939
Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

J. C. King Jr, This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Willis Maw + Ed Terry

No. 28948

Charge: Theft.

Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

Willis Maw + Ed Terry in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Frank Simpson

No. 28949

Charge: A. A.

Saturday Oct. 12th 1904,

Frank Simpson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
John D. Brown

No. 28950

Charge: A. A.

Saturday Oct. 12th 1904,

John D. Brown in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 1904.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
O. R. Miller
No. 28953
Charge: Theft.
Saturday Oct. 17th 1904,

O. R. Miller This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Ed Williams
No. 28969
Charge: Theft.
Saturday Oct. 17th 1904.

Ed Williams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
J. W. Wilson
No. 28982
Charge: Assault
Saturday Oct. 17th 1904,

J. W. Wilson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bill Green

No. 18984 Charge: A. A.

Saturday Oct. 17th 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Bill Green in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Seth Burns

No. 18988 Charge: A. A.

Saturday Oct. 17th 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Seth Burns in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Jack Young

No. 18989 Charge: A. A.

Saturday Oct. 17th 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jack Young in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Josh Lawson
No. 28996
Charge: Dist't Peace
Saturday Oct 29th 1904,

Josh Lawson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jos Lawson
No. 28997
Charge: A. A,
Thursday Oct. 20th 1904,

Jos Lawson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Mark Davis
No. 28998
Charge: Disd Assault
Thursday Oct. 20th 1904,

Mark Davis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
R. L. Teamster

No. 18999

Charge: A. A.

Thursday Oct. 20th 1904.

R. L. Teamster This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Tom Chism

No. 19004

Charge: Pistol

Thursday Oct. 20th 1904,

Tom Chism This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Laura Barber

No. 19005

Charge: Ab. Law

Thursday Oct. 20th 1904,

Laura Barber This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Viney Watkins
No. 19003
Charge: Affray
Thursday Oct 20th 1904,

Viney Watkins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Wiley Brown
No. 19004
Charge: Pistol
Thursday Oct. 20th 1904,

Wiley Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
H. Jefferson
No. 19008
Charge: A. A.
Thursday Oct. 20th 1904,

H. Jefferson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Charley Neal
No. 29004 Charge: A. A
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

Charley Neal in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
J. D. Karkason
No. 29010 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

J. D. Karkason in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Jas Ingram
No. 29014 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

Jas Ingram in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189_

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jim Bowles

No. 29014 Charge: Theft.
Friday Oct. 21st 1904.

Jim Bowles This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Lewis Weaver

No. 29015 Charge: Theft.
Friday Oct. 21st 1904.

Lewis Weaver This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Bob Gillman

No. 29018 Charge: Dist Court
Friday Oct. 21st 1904.

Bob Gillman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Gus Berryman
No. 29019
Charge: Dist Plea
Friday Oct. 21st 1904.

Gus Berryman in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Lewis Harp
No. 29020
Charge: Dist Plea
Friday Oct. 21st 1904.

Lewis Harp in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Gus Berryman
No. 29021
Charge: affray
Friday Oct. 21st 1904.

Gus Berryman in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 1904

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Lewis Hart
No. 19022 Charge: Affray
Saturday Oct. 22nd 1904.

Lewis Hart This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Orvilla Mason
No. 19024 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

Orvilla Mason This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Becker Williams
No. 19025 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

Becker Williams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Becker Adams } No. 19026 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Oct. 19" 1904,

Becker Adams in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Tom Anderson } No. 19029 Charge: Ab. Law
Wednesday Oct. 19" 1904,

Tom Anderson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Orilla Newton } No. 19030 Charge: Theft,
Friday Oct. 21" 1904,

Orilla Newton in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 1904

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
H.B. Asford & Rose Abernathy
No. 19631
Charge: Adultery
Friday Oct. 21st 1904.

H.B. Asford & Rose Abernathy This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Effie Taylor
No. 19033
Charge: Vag.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904.

Effie Taylor This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Mildred Clifton
No. 19035
Charge: Vag.
Wednesday Oct. 14th 1904.

Mildred Clifton This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mabel Thompson } No. 29034 Charge: Vag.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

Mabel Thompson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Pearl Bebee } No. 29036 Charge: Vag.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

Pearl Bebee This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Ed Bussey } No. 29037 Charge: Adult.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

Ed Bussey This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189_

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
R. M. Woodruff

No. 29038 Charge: Adult

Wednesday Oct. 19th 1904,

R. M. Woodruff in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mallie Klunts

No. 29039 Charge: Adult

Thursday Oct. 20th 1904,

Mallie Klunts in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
John Geney

No. 29040 Charge: Assault

Thursday Oct. 20th 1904,

John Geney in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bob Lane
No. 29041 Charge: Theat. Left
Thursday Oct. 20th 1904.

Bob Lane This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
H. Ward
No 29042 Charge: A. A.
Thursday Oct. 20th 1904,

H. Ward This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
H. A. Clark
No. 29045 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Oct. 19th 1904.

H. A. Clark This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189[illegible]

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Emma Brooks } No. 29047 Charge: Dist Peace
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904.

Emma Brooks This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Pink Coleman } No. 29048 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904.

Pink Coleman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Claud Trimble } No. 29053 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904.

Claud Trimble This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Geo Lasater

No. 29060

Charge: a. a.

Friday Oct 4" 1904

Geo Lasater This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Sherman Varner

No. 29065

Charge: a. a.

Friday Oct. 7" 1904,

Sherman Varner This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
D. E. Price

No. 29069

Charge: Appeal

Friday Oct. 7" 1904,

D. E. Price This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189_

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mollie Brooks
No. 29069
Charge: Dist. Peace
Friday Oct. 21st 1904

Mollie Brooks in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bob Dillman
No. 29070
Charge: Assault
Friday Oct. 4th 1904,

Bob Dillman in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
J. P. Brooks
No. 29075
Charge: Dist. Peace
Wednesday Nov. 2nd, 1904.

J. P. Brooks in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
J. P. Brooks } No. 29076 Charge: Disturbance
Friday Oct. 21st 1904,

J. P. Brooks This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
J. P. Brooks } No. 29077 Charge: Disturbance
Friday Oct. 21st 1904,

J. P. Brooks This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Dave Johnson } No. 29079 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 2nd 1904,

Dave Johnson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 1904

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Geo. Jackson

No. 29082 Charge: Adult

Friday Oct. 21st 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Geo Jackson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Paul Johnson

No. 29085 Charge: A. A.

Friday Oct. 21st 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Paul Johnson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Rosie Vickers

No. 29087 Charge: Adult,

Friday Oct. 21st 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Rosie Vickers in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Noxon
No. 29088
Charge: Adult.
Thursday Oct. 20th 1904,

John Noxon This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Adie Goble
No. 29098
Charge: Ab. Law
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

Adie Goble This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Harry Wright
No. 29102
Charge: 3 Refl.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

Harry Wright This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Patrick Caffery

No. 7906 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Oct. 17th 1904.

Patrick Caffery in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Kemp Payne

No. 7906 Charge: Dist Court
Wednesday Oct. 17th 1904.

Kemp Payne in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Jim Ingram

No. 7909 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Oct. 17th 1904.

Jim Ingram in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
J. E. Eggleston
} No. 29111 Charge: Ab. Law,
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

J. E. Eggleston This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Sidney Claibourn
} No 29117 Charge: Theft,
Wednesday Nov. 2nd 1904,

Sidney Claibourn This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Mrs. Lucile Gassoway
} No 29126 Charge: Assault
Wednesday Nov. 2nd 1904,

Mrs. Lucile Gassoway This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Corrina Adams
No. 29134
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Corrina Adams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bill Gaulden
No. 29135½
Charge: Adult,
Thursday Oct. 20th 1904,

Bill Gaulden This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Monroe Carson
No. 29136
Charge: Cards
Thursday Oct. 20th 1904,

Monroe Carson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Litho. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Monroe Carson

No. 29137

Charge: Card

Thursday Oct. 20th 1904.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Monroe Carson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Monroe Carson

No. 29138

Charge: Card

Friday Oct. 21st 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Monroe Carson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Monroe Carson

No. 29139

Charge: Card

Friday Oct. 21st 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Monroe Carson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bob Sliver

No. 29140 Charge: Card

Friday Oct. 21st 1904.

Bob Sliver This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bob Sliver

No. 29141 Charge: Ex

Wednesday Oct. 19th 1904,

Bob Sliver This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Bob Sliver

No. 29142 Charge: Ex

Thursday Oct. 20th 1904.

Bob Sliver This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jim Igo

No. 29149

Charge: Ex,

Thursday Oct. 20" 1904,

Jim Igo in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jim Igo

No. 29150

Charge: Ex

Thursday Oct. 20" 1904,

Jim Igo in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Jim Igo

No. 29151

Charge: Ex

Wednesday Oct. 19" 1904,

Jim Igo in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Lee Signor

No. 29152 Charge: Ex.
Thursday Oct. 20th 1904,

Lee Signor This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Lee Signor

No. 29153 Charge: Ex
Thursday Oct. 20th 1904,

Lee Signor This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Lee Signor

No. 29154 Charge: Ex
Wednesday Oct. 19th 1904,

Lee Signor This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Dutch Bader

No. 19155 Charge: Ex

Wednesday Oct. 21st 1904,

Dutch Bader This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Dutch Bader

No. 19156 Charge: Ex.

Friday Oct. 21st 1904,

Dutch Bader This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Dutch Bader

No. 19157 Charge: Ex

Friday Oct. 21st 1904,

Dutch Bader This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Pete Newman } No. 29158 Charge: Ex.
Friday Oct. 21st 1904.

Pete Newman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Pete Newman } No. 29159 Charge: Ex
Friday Oct. 21st 1904,

Pete Newman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Pete Newman } No. 29160 Charge: Ex
Wednesday Oct. 19th 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Chas Billings

No. 29161

Charge: Ex.

Friday Oct. 21st 1904.

Chas Billings This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Chas Billings

No. 29164

Charge: Ex.

Wednesday Oct. 19th 1904.

Chas Billings This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Chas Billings

No. 29163

Charge: Ex.

Wednesday Oct. 19th 1904.

Chas Billings This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mrs. Carraden } No. 29169 Charge: Slander

Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904.

Mrs. Carraden This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Rufe James } No. 29170 Charge: Assault.

Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Albert Rogers } No. 29171 Charge: Ab. Law

Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904.

Albert Rogers This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ezz Ballard
No. 29173
Charge: Ab. Law
Friday Oct. 21st 1904.

Ezz Ballard This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Henry Farmer
No. 29174
Charge: Ab. Law
Friday Oct. 21st 1904.

Henry Farmer This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Essie McCarstin
No. 29177
Charge: Theft.
Friday Oct. 21st 1904.

Essie McCarstin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jessie Smith
No. 29178
Charge: A. A.
Friday Oct. 21st 1904.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jessie Smith in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Harry Anderson
No. 29171½
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Oct. 19th 1904.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Harry Anderson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
M. Jerwick
No. 29176½
Charge: Theft,
Thursday Oct. 20th 1904.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant M. Jerwick in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mud Stephenson } No. 29181

Charge: S. I. in L. O. District
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Mud Stephenson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mud Stephenson } No. 29182

Charge: S. I. in L. O. D.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

Mud Stephenson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Mud Stephenson } No. 29183

Charge: S. I. in L. O. D.
Wednesday Oct. 14th 1904,

Mud Stephenson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mud Stephenson } No. 7918½ Charge: S. I in L. O. D.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

Mud Stephenson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Mud Stephenson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mud Stephenson } No. 7918⅔ Charge: S. I in L. O. D.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

Mud Stephenson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Mud Stephenson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Mud Stephenson } No. 7918⅘ Charge: S. I in L. O. D.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

Mud Stephenson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Mud Stephenson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mud Stephenson } No. 29185 Charge: D. Div L. O. D.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904.

Mud Stephenson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mud Stephenson } No. 29168 Charge: D. Div L. O. D.
Friday Oct. 21st 1904.

Mud Stephenson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mud Stephenson } No. 29187 Charge: D. Div L. O. D.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904.

Mud Stephenson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mud Stephenson
No. 29188
Charge: D. Div. L. O. D.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

Mud Stephenson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Lizzie Strickland
No. 29191
Charge: Assault
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

Lizzie Strickland This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Henry Varner
No. 29192
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

Henry Varner This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Joe Robertson
No. 19208 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Oct. 19 1904,

Joe Robertson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Emma Hodge
No. 19209 Charge: Theft.
Thursday Oct. 20th 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Jessie Thurman
No. 19210 Charge: A. A.
Friday Oct. 21st 1904,

Jessie Thurman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189[illegible]

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Edna Gibson
No. 19713
Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904.

Edna Gibson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bill Ford
No. 19718
Charge: Ab. Law
Wednesday Nov. 2nd 1904.

Bill Ford This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
W. W. Ford
No. 19727
Charge: A. A.
Friday Oct. 21st 1904.

W. W. Ford This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Simon Adams
No. 29228
Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

Simon Adams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Will Anglin
No. 29232
Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

Will Anglin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Alex Mullens
No. 29234
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

Alex Mullens This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Alex Mullens

No. 19235 Charge: Dist. Peace

Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

Alex Mullens in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Dan Geary

No. 19239 Charge: Card

Wednesday Oct. 12th 1904,

Dan Geary in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Addison Rose

No. 19244 Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Nov. 2th 1904,

Addison Rose in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Henry Varner

No. 19245 Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Nov. 7" 1904.

Henry Varner This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bud Cross

No. 19246 Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Nov. 7" 1904.

Bud Cross This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Clarence Brown

No. 19247 Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Nov. 7" 1904

Clarence Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Henry Marshall
No. 29249
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904

Henry Marshall This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
J. M. Johnson
No. 29250
Charge: Swindling
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

J. M. Johnson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Mabel Thompson
No. 29256
Charge: Vag.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Mabel Thompson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Mildred Clifton } No. 29257 Charge: Vag.

Wednesday Nov. 2nd 1904,

Mildred Clifton This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Effie Taylor } No. 29258 Charge: Vag.

Wednesday Nov. 2nd 1904,

Effie Taylor This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Horace Woods } No. 29259 Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Nov. 2nd 1904,

Horace Woods This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jas Strong
No. 19278
Charge: Open on Sunday
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Jas Strong This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Asco Galloway
No. 19279
Charge: Open on Sunday
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Asco Galloway This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
W. M. Robinson
No. 19281
Charge: Open on Sunday
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

W. M. Robinson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Litho. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Will Purser

No. 29266

Charge: Adult.

Wednesday Nov. 2nd 1904.

Will Purser This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Will Purser

No. 29287

Charge: Theft.

Wednesday Nov. 2nd 1904,

Will Purser This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Purser

No. 29288

Charge: Theft,

Wednesday Nov. 2nd 1904,

Will Purser This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189_

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Ola Hudson

No. 29294 Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Ola Hudson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Josaphine Hightower

No. 29294 Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Josaphine Hightower This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
R. Murphy

No. 29296 Charge: Fornication

Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904

R. Murphy This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Litho Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
W. H. Stewart

No. 19299

Charge: Theft.

Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904.

W. H. Stewart This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
John Roselle

No. 19300

Charge: Disturbing

Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904.

John Roselle This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
E. Rowland

No. 19301

Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

E. Rowland This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Chas Butler
No. 79304 Charge: Assault
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Chas Butler This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Pleas Bell alias Wash Bell
No. 79304 Charge: Adult
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Pleas Bell alias Wash Bell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Alice Bell alias Alice Osborn
No. 79305 Charge: Threat Life
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904

Alice Bell alias Alice Osborn This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Title Co., Printers & Binders, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Sam Taylor

No. 19307

Charge: Threat Life
Wednesday Nov. 7" 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Sam Taylor in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Tom Patterson

No. 19310

Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 7" 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Tom Patterson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Mrs. Jos Flaherty

No. 19313

Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 7" 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Mrs. Jos Flaherty in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mrs. Bill Stewbert
No. 19314
Charge: Ab. Law
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Mrs. Bill Stewbert This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jon Lockett
No. 19315
Charge: Killing a Chicken
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Jon Lockett This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Dan Purdy
No. 19323
Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Dan Purdy This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Agnes Wall
No. 19326
Charge: Adult,
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Agnes Wall This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Dan Rust
No. 19327
Charge: Adult,
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Dan Rust This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Jess Anderson
No. 19328
Charge: Fornication
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Jess Anderson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
W. F. Green
No. 29330 Charge: Adult,
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

W. F. Green This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ruby & Annie Painter
No. 29331 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Ruby & Annie Painter This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ponnie Ritter
No. 29332 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Ponnie Ritter This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
J. C. Randall
No. 7933 ✓
Charge: Pistol
Wednesday Nov. 7" 1904,

J. C. Randall This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bob. Haymaker ✓
No. 7934 7
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 7" 1904,

Bob. Haymaker ✓ This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Bob. Haymaker ✓
No. 7934 8
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 7" 1904.

Bob. Haymaker ✓ This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189_

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bob. Hammond

No 19350

Charge: Killing a Dog.

Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Bob Hammond This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
O. E. Long

No. 19351

Charge: Theft.

Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

O. E. Long This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
E. B. Griffin

No. 19352

Charge: Theft.

Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904.

E. B. Griffin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Oscar Wilson
No. 29355 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Oscar Wilson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Lewis Simmons
No. 29356 Charge: Assault
Wednesday Nov. 7th, 1904,

Lewis Simmons This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Rosetta Wilson
No 29357 Charge: Assault
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Rosetta Wilson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Ethel Payne

No. 29358 Charge: Vag.

Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Ethel Payne This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jessie Condon

No. 29359 Charge: Adult,

Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Jessie Condon This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Albert Gibson

No. 29362 Charge: Theft.

Wednesday Nov. 7th, 1904,

Albert Gibson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jas. Brown

No. 29365 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Nov. 2nd 1904.

Jas Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Eunice Graham

No. 29366 Charge: Assault
Wednesday Nov. 2nd 1904,

Eunice Graham This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mabel Graham

No. 29367 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 2nd 1904,

Mabel Graham This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Mabel Graham } No. 29386. Charge: A. A. Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904.

Mabel Graham This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Mrs. S. C. Graham } No. 29369 Charge: A. A. Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904.

Mrs. S. C. Graham This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Marion Guynn } No. 29370 Charge: Theft by Bailee Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904.

Marion Guynn This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Dillie White
No. 19382
Charge: Ab. Law,
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Dillie White This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Dillie White
No. 19384
Charge: Vag.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Dillie White This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mildred Logan
No. 19385
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Mildred Logan This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
John Robertson
No. 19389
Charge: Malicious Mischief
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

John Robertson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Lena Friedman
No. 19393
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Lena Friedman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Dan Taylor
No. 19399
Charge: Dist. Peace
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Dan Taylor This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Van R. Haywood
No. 19471
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 7" 1904,

Van R. Haywood in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Van R. Haywood
No. 19472
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 7" 1904,

Van R. Haywood in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Mildred Clifton
No. 19473
Charge: Vag.
Wednesday Nov. 7" 1904,

Mildred Clifton in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jean Beck

No. 19431 Charge: Vag.

Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Jean Beck This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jean Beck

No. 19434 Charge: Vag.

Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Jean Beck This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
J. A. Bennett

No. 19445 Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904

J. A. Bennett This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Cliff White
No. 7944[6] Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Cliff White This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Max Boorshow
No. 7944[7] Charge: Appeal Civ City Ct,
Wednesday Nov 7th 1904,

Max Boorshow This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
M. C. Hancock
No. 7944[8] Charge: Ab. Law,
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

M. C. Hancock This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
E. Perry
No. 29450
Charge: Swindling
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

E. Perry This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Max Boreham
No. 29451
Charge: Desert. Hazard
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Max Boreham This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Frank Smith
No. 29452
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov 7th 1904

Frank Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
E. B. Reed

No. 7945 Charge: Theft,

Wednesday Nov. 2nd 1904,

E. B. Reed This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
W. A. Smith

No. 7946 Charge: Theft

Wednesday Nov. 2nd 1904,

W. A. Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Chas Alston

No. 7946½ Charge: Ab Law,

Wednesday Nov. 2nd 1904,

Chas Alston This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bob Peters

No. 19463 Charge: Pistol

Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Bob Peters This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bob Peters

No. 19464 Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Bob Peters This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
W. V. Vestel

No. 19471 Charge: Assault

Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

W. V. Vestel This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
T. S. Scott

No. 7947 Charge: Ab. Law.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

T. S. Scott This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
O. A. Nance

No. 7948 Charge: Adultery
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

O. A. Nance This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Ed Brown Jr.

No. 7949 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Ed Brown Jr. This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Carroll Connelly
No. 29484
Charge: Theft
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904.

Carroll Connelly in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
John Deals
No. 29516
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904.

John Deals in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Younger
No. 29520
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904.

Younger in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Clarence Freese
No. 29525 Charge: Escaping Convict
Thursday Nov. 3" 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Clarence Freese in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Leonard Mills
No. 29526 Charge: Theft.
Tuesday Nov. 1" 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Leonard Mills in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jno Ramsey
No. 29543 Charge: A. A.
Tuesday Nov. 1" 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jno Ramsey in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 1904

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bob Perry

No. 29544 Charge: Theft.

Wednesday Nov. 2nd 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Bob Perry in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
J. M. Cummings

No. 29550 Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Nov. 2nd 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant J. M. Cummings in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
J. M. Cummings

No. 29551 Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Nov. 2nd 1904

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant J. M. Cummings in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Floyd Hama

No. 19556

Charge: Destroying Person Property,

Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Floyd Hama in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Phil + Ada Epstein

No. 19558

Charge: Des House

Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Phil + Ada Epstein in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Ada Epstein + Ethel Russell

No. 19559

Charge: Swindling

Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Ada Epstein + Ethel Russell in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Essie Hickman
No. 19565 Charge: Theft.
Tuesday Nov. 1st 1904.

Essie Hickman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Howard Newson
No. 19573 Charge: A. A.
Tuesday Nov. 1st 1904,

Howard Newson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Elijah Newson
No. 19574 Charge: A. A.
Tuesday Nov. 1st 1904,

Elijah Newson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Steve Smith
No 29581
Charge: Selling Lottery Tickets
Tuesday Oct. 25" 1904,

Steve Smith in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bill Coons
No 29584
Charge Establishing a Lottery
Tuesday Oct. 25" 1904,

Bill Coons in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
W. E. Pollack
No 29588
Charge: Gathering Pecans
Tuesday Nov. 1" 1904,

W. E. Pollack in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Oscar Grantham
No. 29593
Charge: Sunday Selling
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Oscar Grantham This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
E. Quickenstedt
No. 29596
Charge: Open on Sunday
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904.

E. Quickenstedt This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Laura Robertson
No. 29603
Charge: Theft.
Tuesday Nov. 1st 1904.

Laura Robertson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Gus Bades alias Dutch Bades
No. 29619
Charge: A. A.
Tuesday, Nov. 1st 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Gus Bades alias Dutch Bades in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
J. O. Anderson
No. 29621
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 2nd 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant J. O. Anderson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Nageeb Simon
No. 29625
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 2nd 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Nageeb Simon in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189[illegible]

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Gus Gitstrap
No. 29643
Charge: Vag.
Wednesday Nov. 7th 1904,

Gus Gitstrap This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Maggie Moore
No. 29666
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 9th 1904,

Maggie Moore This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
O. M. Greenlee
No. 28701
Charge: A. A.
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904

O. M. Greenlee This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Elmer Wilmer } No. 28908 Charge: Dist. Peace
Friday Nov. 11" 1904.

Elmer Wilmer This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mrs. J. M. Moore } No. 28965 Charge: Ab. Law
Saturday Dec. 10" 1904

Mrs. J. M. Moore This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Mrs. J. M. Moore } No. 28966 Charge: Assault
Saturday Dec. 10" 1904

Mrs. J. M. Moore This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Dom Largent
No. 7894

Charge: A. A.

Friday Nov. 11" 1904,

Dom Largent This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Tate Buchanan
No. 7897

Charge: Appeal

Monday Nov. 7th 1904,

Tate Buchana This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Isiah Washington
No. 7985

Charge: Pistol

Friday Nov. 11 "1904,

Isiah Washington This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jeff Doggett
No. 19000
Charge: Appeald
Friday Nov. 11" 1904,

Jeff Doggett in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. B. Matheson
No. 19005
Charge: Pistol
Friday Nov. 11" 1904,

J. B. Matheson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Janie Gillion
No. 19054
Charge: A. A.
Friday Nov. 11" 1904,

Janie Gillion in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Walter Lee
No. 19057 Charge: A. A.
Friday Nov. 11th 1904.

Walter Lee This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Bob Dillman
No. 19070 Charge: Assault
Friday Nov. 11th 1904,

Bob Dillman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
D. E. Price
No. 19074 Charge: Selling I. in L. O. Dist
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

D. E. Price This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Will Brown
No. 29114
Charge: Ab. Law
Friday Nov. 11" 1904,

Will Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Billy Brown
No. 29118
Charge: Pistol
Tuesday Nov. 22" 1904,

Billy Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dom McDonough
No. 29171
Charge: A. A.
Saturday Dec. 10" 1904,

Dom McDonough This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
C. C. Sneed
No. 7913

Charge: A. A.

Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

C. C. Sneed in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Dom McDonough
No. 7914

Charge: Destroying Poroty

Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

Dom McDonough in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mark McCary
No. 7930

Charge: A. A.

Friday Nov. 11th 1904,

Mark McCary in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bob Anderson
No. 29168
Charge: A. A.
Friday Dec. 11" 1904,

Bob Anderson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Billy Kidd
No. 29176
Charge: Appeal C. City Ct.,
Friday Nov. 11" 1904,

Billy Kidd This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
John Brothers
No. 29170 a
Charge: A. A.,
Saturday Dec. 10" 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Pink Coleman
No. 19172 Charge: Theft.
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

Pink Coleman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Tom Laughlin
No. 19173 Charge: Theft.
Monday Dec. 5th 1904,

Tom Laughlin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Madine Hagner
No. 19174 Charge: Voy.
Friday Nov. 11th 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Litho. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Madline Haynes
No. 29175 Charge: Vag.
Friday Nov. 11" 1904.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
G. E. Jay
No. 29238 Charge: Cards
Friday Nov. 11" 1904.

G. E. Jay

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Fred Zabeler
No. 29240 Charge: Theft.
Friday Nov. 11" 1904.

Fred Zabeler

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jas. Strong

No. 29241

Charge: Gaming,

Friday Dec. 11" 1904,

Jas. Strong This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Odie Gable

No. 29264

Charge: Theft,

Wednesday Nov. 9" 1904,

Odie Gable This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Calhoun Bell

No. 29266

Charge: Appealed City Court,

Thursday Dec. 10" 1904,

Calhoun Bell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Litho Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
W. S. Priest

No. 29267 Charge: Appealed

Wednesday Nov. 7 1904,

W. S. Priest in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
C. H. Norgrove

No. 29275 Charge: Rec. & Con. Stolen Property

Tuesday Nov. 8th 1904,

C. H. Norgrove in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Harry Lane

No. 29308 Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Nov. 9th 1904,

Harry Lane in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
John Robinson
No. 29318 Charge: A. A.

Tuesday Nov. 8th 1904,

John Robinson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Ida Johnson
No. 29347 Charge: App. City Court,

Monday Dec. 7th 1904,

Ida Johnson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
B. F. White
No. 29360 Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Nov. 9th 1904,

B. F. White This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ennis Jasper } No. 19362 Charge: Theft.
Saturday Dec 10" 1904.

Ennis Jasper This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Sam Williams } No. 19381 Charge: Theft.
Thursday Nov. 10" 1904.

Sam Williams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
Will Thomas } No. 19382 Charge: Theft.
Tuesday Nov. 22" 1904.

Will Thomas This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Mattie Hollman } No. 19397 Charge: Theft. Monday Nov. 7th 1904,

Mattie Hollman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. A. L. Gordan } No. 19418 Charge: Theft. Wednesday Nov. 9th 1904,

A. L. Gordan This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Janie Lewis } No. 19419 Charge: Theft. Friday Nov. 11th 1904.

Janie Lewis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
A. L. Gordan

No. 7940 Charge: Theft,
Thursday Nov. 10 1904.

A. L. Gordan This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Melvine Butler

No. 7943 5 Charge: Desl Peace
Wednesday Nov. 9" 1904.

Melvine Butler This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Cathrine Wesley

No. 7943 Charge: Opp. City Court,
Friday Nov. 11" 1904,

Cathrine Wesley This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
J. M. Johnson
No. 7949
Charge: Swindling
Wednesday Nov. 9th 1904.

J. M. Johnson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jim Smith
No. 7954
Charge: A. A.
Thursday Nov. 10th 1904,

Jim Smith in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Al Stinberg
No. 7978
Charge: Appl. City Court,
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

Al Stinberg in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mattie Smith
Mattie Smith

No 29479

Charge: Apl. City Court,
Wednesday Nov. 9" 1904.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
May Smith
May Smith

No. 29480

Charge: app. City Court,
Thursday Nov. 10" 1904.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Slack Wilburn
Slack Wilburn

No 29481

Charge: App. City Court,
Saturday Dec. 10" 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Minnie Thompson
No. 19482
Charge: App. City Court,
Thursday Nov. 10" 1904,

Minnie Thompson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
May Davis
No. 19483
Charge: App. City Court,
Thursday Nov. 10" 1904,

May Davis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Artie Gatton
No. 19486
Charge: App. Rowlands Court,
Wednesday Nov. 9" 1904,

Artie Gatton This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Ruling Co., Printers & Binders, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Frank Keniff

No. 19488 Charge: Assault.

Saturday, Dec. 10th 1904,

Frank Keniff

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Frank Keniff

No. 19490 Charge: Ab. Law.

Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

Frank Keniff

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
R. L. Horton

No. 19491 Charge: Ab. Law,

Tuesday Nov. 22nd 1904,

R. L. Horton

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Day Loyd

No. 7949 Charge: A. A,
Wednesday Nov. 9th 1904,

Day Loyd This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Ros Barbuzza

No. 7949 Charge: Pistol,
Tuesday Nov. 22nd 1904,

Ros Barbuzza This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
E. J. Thompson

No. 7949 Charge: Pistol
Monday Nov. 28th 1904.

E. J. Thompson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Litho Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. C. Choice

No. 7949 Charge: Theft,

Wednesday Nov. 9th 1904,

J. C. Choice This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Louie Govia

No. 7949 6 Charge: A. A.

Thursday Nov. 10th 1904,

Louie Govia This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
B. Hart

No. 7950 1 Charge: A. A.

Thursday Nov. 10th 1904,

B. Hart This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189_

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Georgie Richardson
No. 19502
Charge: Ab. Law.
Saturday Dec 10/904,

Georgie Richardson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Georgie Robinson
No. 19503
Charge: Assault
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

Georgie Robinson, This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Clay Jennings
No. 19506
Charge: D. S.
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

Clay Jennings, This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Clay Jennings
No. 19509

Charge: Open Sunday
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

Clay Jennings in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Clay Jennings
No. 19510

Charge: Selling to minor,
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

Clay Jennings in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Clay Jennings
No. 19511

Charge: S. S.,
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

Clay Jennings in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Clay Jennings } No. 19514 Charge: Open on Sunday
Saturday Dec 10th 1904,

Clay Jennings in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Clay Jennings } No. 19513 Charge: Selling to Minor,
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

Clay Jennings This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Clay Jennings } No. 19514 Charge Sunday Selling
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

Clay Jennings This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Robert Larry
Robert Larry

No. 19515 Charge: Killing Cattle
Thursday Nov. 10th 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
County Smith
County Smith

No. 19518 Charge: Pistol
Thursday Nov. 10th 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
J. A. Billingsley
J. A. Billingsley

No. 19511 Charge: Theft.
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Rosie Hopkins
No. 19513
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 9th 1904,

Rosie Hopkins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Grant Leroy
No. 19545
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 9th 1904,

Grant Leroy This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
O. N. Sandel
No. 19557
Charge: A. A.
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

O. N. Sandel This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mary Simmons } No. 19555 Charge: Theft by Bailee,
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

Mary Simmons This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Henry Mulden } No. 19563 Charge: A. A.
Thursday Nov. 10th 1904,

Henry Mulden This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
B. E. Parsons } No. 19577 Charge: A. A.
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

B. E. Parsons This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Oscar Grantham
No. 29575 Charge: A. A.
Tuesday Nov. 17 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Oscar Grantham in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Geo. H. Heaver
No. 29585 Charge: Ab. Law,
Saturday Dec 10" 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Geo. H. Heaver in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Low Nichols
No. 29587 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 9" 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Low Nichols in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
John Peck
No. 19591
Charge: A. A.
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

John Peck This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Francis Jackson
No. 19592
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 9th 1904,

Francis Jackson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Will Payne
No. 19598
Charge: A. A.
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904.

Will Payne This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189_

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Will Payne
No. 19599
Charge: Pistol
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

Will Payne This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Henry Erby
No. 19605
Charge: Theft.
Tuesday Nov. 22nd 1904,

Henry Erby This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dr Tom Schooler
No. 19607
Charge: Drunkardness
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

Dr Tom Schooler This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court; and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Dr Jom Schooler

No. 19608

Charge: Threatning Life &c.

Saturday Dec. 10" 1904.

Dr Jom Schooler This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Al Baker

No 19610

Charge: Theft &c.

Wednesday Nov. 9" 1904,

Al Baker This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
John Jenkins

No. 19613

Charge: A. A.

Monday Nov. 21" 1904,

John Jenkins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Chas. McGlothlin
No. 29615 Charge: A. A.
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904.

Chas McGlothlin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. M. French
No 29616 Charge: A. A.
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904.

J. M. French This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Olem Boaz
No 29626 Charge: A. A.
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904.

Olem Boaz This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
L. G. Runyon
No. 29628
Charge: A. A.
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904.

L. G. Runyon in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Clem Cavenaugh
No. 29638
Charge: Theft.
Tuesday Nov. 22nd 1904.

Clem Cavenaugh in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Tilton Willis
No. 29639
Charge: Co. Convict Escaping Hire.
Thursday Dec. 10th 1904.

Tilton Willis in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189[illegible]

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Bob Haw } No. 29641 Charge: Theft by Bailee

Saturday Dec. 10th 1904.

Bob Haw This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Albert Swartz } No. 29640 Charge: Swindling

Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

Albert Swartz This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Nora Cooper } No. 29644 Charge: Theft,

Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

Nora Cooper This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Otley Rosenberg
No. 29651
Charge: Killing Quail
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Otley Rosenberg in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Earl Crawford
No. 29665
Charge: Theft,
Tuesday Nov. 22nd 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Earl Crawford in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Jules Derivner
No. 29670
Charge: A. A.
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jules Derivner in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Bob Crafton
No. 29674
Charge: Theft.
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

Bob Crafton This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
A. L. Quesenberry
No. 29675
Charge: A. A.
Monday Nov. 21st 1904,

A. L. Quesenberry This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Luther Langley
No. 29676
Charge: Theft.
Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

Luther Langley This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jos Mays
No. 29679 Charge: Theft,
Saturday Dec. 10" 1904,

Jos Mays This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Walker
No. 29680 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 9" 1904,

Walker This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Francis Jackson
No. 29682 Charge: A. A.
Monday Nov. 7" 1904,

Francis Jackson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jennie Chambers } No 29683. Charge: Theft V.
Saturday Dec. 10" 1904.

Jennie Chambers This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jas. Lawson } No 29684 Charge: Theft V.
Saturday Dec. 10" 1904,

Jas. Lawson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Olen Cavenaugh } No 29685 Charge: Theft.
Tuesday Nov. 22" 1904,

Olen Cavenaugh This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ligs Newson
No. 19689
Charge: Appeal
Saturday Dec. 10" 1904,

Ligs Newson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Chas Yett
No. 19690
Charge: Appeal

Chas. Yett This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dave Johnson
No. 19691
Charge: Appeal
Saturday Dec. 10" 1904,

Dave Johnson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189_

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mabel Holt

No. 29697 Charge: Appeal

Monday Nov. 7" 1904,

Mabel Holt This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ward Rich

No. 29696 Charge: Theft.

Saturday Dec. 10" 1904,

Ward Rich This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. L. Cox

No. 29349 Charge: A. A.

Monday Nov. 7" 1904,

J. L. Cox This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Annie (Col)
No. 19706
Charge: A. A.
Saturday Dec. 10" 1904,

Annie (Col) This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Evalena Jackson
No. 19707
Charge: A. A.
Tuesday Nov. 22" 1904,

Evaline Jackson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Sam Kell
No. 19713
Charge: A. A.
Saturday Nov. 12" 1904.

Sam Kell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Jim Whitlow } No. 19714 Charge: Disk. Peace Monday Dec. 10th 1904

Jim Whitlow This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jim Whitlow in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. E. P. McCarthy } No. 19715 Charge: Disk. Peace Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

E. P. McCarthy This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant E. P. McCarthy in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Lon Danford } No. 19718 Charge: Adultery Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

Lon Danford This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Lon Danford in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Ink Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Son Beckham

No. 29730

Charge: A. A.

Tuesday Nov. 27th 1904,

San Beckham This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Joe Lowery

No. 29738

Charge: A. A.

Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

Joe Lowery This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Jack Davis

No. 29753

Charge: Theft,

Saturday Dec. 10th 1904,

Jack Davis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Knox McGee
No. 29765 Charge: Theft.
Monday Dec. 5" 1904.

Knox McGee This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Joe Henderson
No. 29767 Charge: A. A.
Monday Dec 5" 1904,

Joe Henderson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. H. Kirby
No. 29774 Charge: Card
Tuesday Dec. 6" 1904,

W. H. Kirby This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Arnold Guetler } No. 29790 Charge: D. D. Wednesday Dec. 7th 1904

Arnold Guetler This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Arnold Guetler } No. 29791 Charge: D. D. Wednesday Dec. 7th 1904

Arnold Guetler This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Arnold Guetler } No. 29792 Charge: D. D. Wednesday Dec. 7th 1904

Arnold Guetler This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
S. D. Miller
No. 29693
Charge: D. S.
Saturday Dec. 31 "1904

S. D. Miller This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
No.
Charge:

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
No.
Charge:

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jas. N. Thrasher
No. 29966
Charge: Selling Polls etc,
Friday Jany. 6th 1905,

Jas. N. Thrasher This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
B. Dwarkin
No 29764
Charge: Assault.
Thursday Jany 19th 1905,

B. Dwarkin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
W. M. Gaddis
No. 29771
Charge: Cards
Tuesday Jany. 19th 1905,

W. M. Gaddis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Pete Abbey

No. 29793 Charge: Pistol

Thursday Jany. 5th 1905.

Pete Abbey This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Phie Epstein

No. 29838 Charge: Assault

Thursday Jany. 5th 1905.

Phie Epstein This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ida Mitchell

No. 29840 Charge: Assault

Tuesday Jany. 3rd 1905.

Ida Mitchell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Pete Dorchester

No. 29843

Charge: A. A.

Friday Jany. 6th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Pete Dorchester in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Emma Vedlon

No. 29878

Charge: Theft.

Thursday Jany. 19th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Emma Vedlon in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Sam Miller

No. 29885

Charge: Open

Monday Jany. 16th 1905,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Sam Miller in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189_

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Frank Bush } No. 29950 Charge: Theft. Monday Jany. 2nd 1905

Frank Bush This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. H. White } No. 29956 Charge: Open on Sunday Saturday Jany. 21st 1905.

H. White This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. H. White } No. 29960 Charge: S. S. Saturday Jany. 21st 1905,

H. White This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mall Millis
No. 19984 Charge: A. I. in L. O. P.
Tuesday Jany. 17" 1905.

Mall Millis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mall Millis
No. 19985 Charge: A. I. in L. O. P.
Tuesday Jany. 17" 1905.

Mall Millis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Mall Millis
No. 19986 Charge: A. I. in L. O. P.
Tuesday Jany. 17" 1905.

Mall Millis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189[illegible]

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mall Miller

No. 7998

Charge: S. D. in L. O. P.

Tuesday Jany. 17th 1905.

Mall Miller in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mall Miller

No. 7999

Charge: S. D. in L. O. P.

Tuesday Jany. 17th 1905.

Mall Miller in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
J. H. Plumb

No. 7994

Charge: A. A.

Thursday Jany. 19th 1905.

J. H. Plumb in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Janaro
No. 29996 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Jany. 18th 1905,

Janaro This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Wm Hunter
No. 30004 Charge: A. A.
Thursday Jany. 19th 1905,

Wm Hunter This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Chas Powers
No. 30014 Charge: Card
Monday Jany. 16th 1905.

Chas Powers This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
J. W. Burford
No. 30019
Charge: Assault
Wednesday Jany. 18th 1905,

J. W. Burford in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Albert Rogers
No. 30073
Charge: Assault.
Saturday Jany. 14th 1905,

Albert Rogers in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Chas. Taylor
No. 30077
Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Jany. 18th 1905.

Chas. Taylor in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Nicholson
No. 09865 Charge: Cards
Thursday Jany. 5th 1905,

John Nicholson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Donnally
No. 29077 Charge: Dist Peace
Saturday Feby. 18th 1905

John Donnelly This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Higgins
No. 29100 Charge: affray
Saturday Feby. 18th 1905

John Higgins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

NO. _____ _____ _____ $_____

VS.

_____

Received of _____ for

the _____ the sum of _____ and _____/100 DOLLARS

in payment of _____ above cause.

_____

Clerk County Court of Tarrant County, for Civil Cases, Tarrant County, Texas.

_____ 190__ By _____ Deputy.

Tarrant County
Criminal Minutes
County Court 15 pg 359

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
John Higgins
No. 29199
Charge: Assault
Saturday Feby 18" 1905,

John Higgins in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Madoline Wagoner
No. 29320
Charge: Adult.
Saturday Feby 18" 1905,

Madoline Wagoner in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Jim Conner
No. 29931
Charge: Slander
Saturday Feby 18" 1905.

Jim Conner in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Ink Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Paul Stripling

No. 29339

Charge: A.P.,

Saturday Febry 18th 1905,

Paul Stripling This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Paul Stripling

No. 29341

Charge: A.P.,

Saturday Febry 18th 1905,

Paul Stripling This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Fritz Beier

No. 29379

Charge: A. A.,

Saturday Febry 18th 1905,

Fritz Beier This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Fritz Beier
No. 29380
Charge: A. A.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Fritz Beier This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
John Higgins
No 29458
Charge: Assault.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

John Higgins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Jack Corbin
No. 29498
Charge: A. A.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Jack Corbin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Paul Houston
No. 29517
Charge: Burglary
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Paul Houston in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Oscar Casimul
No. 29577
Charge: A. A.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Oscar Casimul in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
John Henie alias John Woods
No. 29564
Charge: Theft
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant John Henie alias John Woods in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Madaline Hagoned
No 29578
Charge: Adult.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Madaline Hagoned This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
J. H. Mitchell
No. 29579
Charge: Embez,
Saturday Feby 18" 1905.

J. H. Mitchell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
H. S. Patterson
No 29586
Charge: Theft.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

H. S. Patterson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Thos. Martin
No. 29606
Charge: Ab. Law,
Saturday Feby. 18th 1905.

Thos. Martin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Madoline Hagood
No. 29647
Charge: Adulty,
Saturday Feby. 18th 1905,

Madoline Hagood This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Sam Elliston
No 29648
Charge: Adulty,
Saturday Feby. 18th 1905,

Sam Elliston This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Gus Bader

No. 29650

Charge: Pistol

Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Gus Bader This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jack Gaines

No. 29677

Charge: A. A.

Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Jack Gaines This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
North Sewall

No. 29687

Charge: A. A.

Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

North Sewall This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Ink Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Floyd Honea
No. 29698 Charge: AP,
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Floyd Honea This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Roy Morgan
No. 29700 Charge: Dirk.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Roy Morgan This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
G. M. Jobe
No. 29702 Charge: Ap
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

G. M. Jobe This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Carl Chambers
No. 29712
Charge: Saturday Feby 18th 1905
A. A.

Carl Chambers This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Ol. Henley
No 29720
Charge: Theft.
Saturday Feby. 18th 1905,

Ol. Henley This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Pat Shay
No 29734
Charge: Threat. Life
Saturday Feby. 18th 1905,

Pat Shay This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Ink Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
W. R. Odum

No. 29731

Charge: Slander

Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

W. R. Odum in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Sarah Walker

No. 29737

Charge: Theft.

Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Sarah Walker in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Walter Peebles

No. 29747

Charge: Ex,

Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Walter Peebles in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Kid Fisher
No. 29743 Charge: Ex.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Kid Fisher This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jim Strong
No. 29755 Charge: Ex,
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Jim Strong This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo. Heatherly
No. 29757 Charge: Theft Less
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905

Geo. Heatherly This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Geo. Campbell
No 29766
Charge: Theft
Saturday Feby 18" 1905,

Geo. Campbell in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bert O'Neal
No. 29775
Charge: Assault.
Saturday, Feby. 18" 1905,

Bert O'Neal in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Sweedy Ross
No. 29777
Charge: Assault
Saturday Feby 18" 1905,

Sweedy Ross in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189_

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Albert Lee
No. 29779
Charge: A. A.
Saturday Feby. 18th 1905,

Albert Lee This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jno. Curtain
No. 29780
Charge: A. A.
Saturday Feby. 18th 1905,

Jno. Curtain This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
C. C. Coleman
No. 29782
Charge: Card
Saturday Feby. 18th 1905,

C. C. Coleman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
W. M. Hansel

No. 29784 Charge: Card's
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

W. M. Hansel in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Azie Coleman

No. 29787 Charge: A. A.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Azie Coleman in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Dan O'Connell

No. 29788 Charge: S. S.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Dan O'Connell in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189[illegible]

Texas Printing and Lith. Co. Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Elijah Montgomery
No. 29794. Charge: Fornication
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Elijah Montgomery This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Minnie Keefer
No. 29795 Charge: Fornication
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Minnie Keefer This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Minnie Keefer
No. 29796 Charge: A. A.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Minnie Keefer This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Pete Abbey
No 29797 Charge: A. A.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Pete Abbey This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Myrtle Roberts
No. 29805 Charge: Theft.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Myrtle Roberts This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
J. S. Mitchell.
No. 29817 Charge: Theft. Lift
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

J. S. Mitchell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. S. Mitchell
No. 29813, Charge: Theat. Life
Saturday Feby. 18th 1905,

J. S. Mitchell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. S. Mitchell
No. 29814 Charge: Theat Life
Saturday Feby. 18th 1905,

J. S. Mitchell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo. Helm
No. 29815 Charge: A. A.
Saturday Feby. 18th 1905,

Geo. Helm This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
N. O. O'Connell } No 29816 Charge: Theft.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

N. O. O'Connell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Will Gill } No. 29818 Charge: Card
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Will Gill This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bessie Vaughn } No. 29821 Charge: Adultry,
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Bessie Vaughn This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
W. D. Brown alias Bud Brown
No 29831 Charge: Open Sunday
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

W. D. Brown alias Bud Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Gus Stein
No 29873 Charge: D. I.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Gus Stein This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Henry Porter
No 29844 Charge: Assault
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Henry Porter This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Paul Stripling & Louise Martin } No. 29858 Charge: Adulty,
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Paul Stripling & Louise Martin in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Chas. Abby } No. 29866 Charge: Card
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Chas. Abby in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Pete Dorchester } No. 29867 Charge: Card
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Pete Dorchester in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jim Griffin
No. 29868
Charge: O. S.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Jim Griffin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jim Harris
No. 29870
Charge: Card
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Jim Harris This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Chas Holland
No. 29873
Charge: Selling Sunday
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Chas Holland This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Title Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ike Ridge

No 29874 Charge: S. S.

Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Ike Ridge This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Chas. Chrifty

No 29875 Charge: Vag.

Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Chas. Chrifty This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Grant

No 29876 Charge: Vag.

Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Will Grant This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
William Hunter
No 29879
Charge: A. A.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

William Hunter in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Henry New
No 29880
Charge: Theft.
Sunday Feby. 18" 1905,

Henry New in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Taylor Ridge
No 29884
Charge: Open
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Taylor Ridge in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Lee Crabb
No. 29888 Charge: Theft.
Saturday Feby. 26th 1905.

Lee Crabb This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Geo. Harrison
No. 29889 Charge: Hunting on E. land.
Monday Feby. 20th 1905,

Geo. Harrison This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Alex Prather
No. 29891 Charge: A. A.
Saturday Feby. 18th 1905,

Alex Prather This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
S. Natan

No. 29896 Charge: Assault

Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

S. Natan This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Henry Hines

No. 29906 Charge: Vag.

Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Henry Hines This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Foster D. Maxwell

No. 29909 Charge: Theft.

Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Foster D. Maxwell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Joe Plummer
No. 29911
Charge: A. A.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Joe Plummer in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Joyal Burkway
No. 29916
Charge: Selling L. O. Law.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Joyal Burkway in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Joyal Burkway
No. 29918
Charge: Selling in L. O. Prect.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Joyal Burkway in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189_

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Joyal Burkway
No. 29971

Charge: Selling in L. O. Prec.
Saturday Feby. 18th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Joyal Burkway in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Joyal Burkway
No. 29972

Charge: Selling in L. O. Prec.
Saturday Feby. 18th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Joyal Burkway in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Joyal Burkway
No. 29973

Charge: Selling in L. O. Prec.
Saturday Feby. 18th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Joyal Burkway in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Joyal Burkway } No. 29924 Charge: Selling in L. O. Precl,
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Joyal Burkway in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Joyal Burkway } No. 29925 Charge: Selling in L. O. Precl
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Joyal Burkway in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Henry Stegall } No. 29928 Charge: Ely,
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Henry Stegall in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Term, 189

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Chas. Owens
No. 29929. Charge: Ex.
Monday Feby. 20" 1905.

Chas Owens This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Kid Eldridge
No. 29931 Charge: Voy.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Kid Eldridge This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Grover Brucks
No. 29934 Charge: Dice
Monday Feby. 20" 1905.

Grover Brucks This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Groner Bruce

No. 29935 Charge: Cards

Monday Feby. 20th 1905.

Groner Bruce This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Rose Duncan

No. 29947 Charge: Fighting

Saturday Feby. 18th 1905.

Rose Duncan This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Harry Fisher

No. 29963 Charge: Selling Pools &c

Saturday Feby. 18th 1905.

Harry Fisher This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
A. H. Brown
No. 19964. Charge: Selling Polls &c
Saturday Feby. 18th 1905,

A. H. Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Johnie Champ
No. 19965 Charge: Selling Pools &c
Saturday Feby. 18th 1905,

Johnie Champ This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
E. J. Johnson
No. 19980 Charge: A. A.
Saturday Feby. 18th 1905,

E. J. Johnson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
John Crist
No. 29985 Charge: A. A.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

John Crist This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mall Miller
No. 29983 Charge: Selling Liq. L. O. Bract.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Mall Miller This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Daisy
No. 29990 Charge: Assault
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Daisy This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
John Crist

No. 29993, Charge: A. A.

Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

John Crist in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Alonzo Blocker

No. 30001 Charge: Adultry

Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Alonzo Blocker in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Ed Dillon

No. 30028 Charge: Ex.

Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Ed Dillon in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Sam Johnson
No. 30010
Charge: Ex.
Saturday Feby 18" 1905,

Sam Johnson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Grimsley
No. 30016
Charge: Card
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Grimsley. This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Butler Coleman
No. 30079
Charge: Vag.
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Butler Coleman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189[illegible]

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Delaney
No. 30030
Charge: Vag,
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

John Delaney in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Chas. Garrett
No. 30031
Charge: Vag,
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Chas. Garrett in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Roberts
No. 30032
Charge: Vag,
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Jim Roberts in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Walter Davis

No. 30033 Charge: Vag.

Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Walter Davis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jeff Giles

No. 30034 Charge: Card

Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Jeff Giles This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Bill Jackson

No. 30036 Charge: Card

Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Bill Jackson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189[illegible]

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Rhoder
No. 30038 Charge: Card
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

John Rhoder This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Dutch Baker
No. 30041 Charge: Pistol
Saturday Feby. 18" 1905.

Butch Baker This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. J. Robbins
No. 30071 Charge: D. S.
Tuesday Feby. 21" 1905

J. J. Robbins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
D. J. Robbins

No. 30074 Charge: U. S.
Tuesday Feby. 21" 1905.

D. J. Robbins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jeff Clark

No. 30074 Charge: Theft.
Monday Feby. 20" 1905.

Jeff Clark This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Mall Miller

No. 30076 Charge: Selling in L. O. Prest,
Saturday Feby 18" 1905

Mall Miller This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Walter McMullins } No. 30080 Charge: Vag,

Tuesday Feby 21" 1905,

Walter McMullins This day, came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Thomas Jefferson } No. 30085 Charge: A. A.

Tuesday Feby 21" 1905,

Thomas Jefferson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Bush St. John } No. 30100 Charge: Card

Saturday Feby. 18" 1905,

Bush St. John This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Horace Carter
No. 30119 Charge: Adulty,
Tuesday Febg 21st 1905,

Horace Carter This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
D. Brown
No. 30145 Charge: Vag,
Tuesday Feby 21st 1905,

D. Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
H. A. Williams
No. 30146 Charge: Vag,
Tuesday, Feby 21st 1905,

H. A. Williams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Walter Scott

No. 30162 Charge: A. A.

Tuesday, Feby. 21" 1905,

Walter Scott This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
P. H. Moon

No. 30104 Charge: Theft.

Saturday, Feby. 18" 1905,

P. H. Moon This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
D. J. Robbins

No. 30073 Charge: O. S.

Tuesday, Feby. 21" 1905,

D. J. Robbins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Litho Co. Publishers & Stationers Ft. Worth Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jos Carson

No 30551 Charge: Theft.

Friday Apr. 21 "1905.

Jos Carson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Harry Moon

No 30556 Charge: Theft.

Saturday Apr. 22" 1905.

Harry Moon in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Harry Moon

No 30561 Charge: Theft.

Saturday Apr. 22" 1905.

Harry Moon in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Will Simpson
No. 29740
Charge: Pistol
Monday Mch. 6" 1905,

Will Simpson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Will Simpson
No 29741
Charge: A. A.
Monday Mch. 6" 1905,

Will Simpson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
H. E. Kallins
No 29805
Charge: Theft.
Monday Apr. 3" 1905,

H. E. Kallins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

Texas Printing and Litg. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
W. E. Rollins

No. 29803 Charge: Theft.

Monday Apr. 3" 1905.

W. E. Rollins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
E. Y. Keitch

No. 29804 Charge: Theft.

Monday Apr. 3" 1905.

E. Y. Keitch This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. E. Rollins

No. 29806 Charge: Theft.

Monday Apr. 3" 1905.

W. E. Rollins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
E. Y. Keitch
No. 29807
Charge: Theft,
Monday Apr. 3" 1905,

E. Y. Keitch This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
E. Y. Keitch
No. 29808
Charge: Theft,
Monday Apr. 3" 1905,

E. Y. Keitch This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Roy McVeigh
No. 29974
Charge: Dist. Peace
Monday Apr 3" 1905,

Roy McVeigh This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Bob Merrell
No 30047
Charge: A. A.
Monday Mch. 20" 1905,

Bob Merrell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Irene Lindsey
No 30094
Charge: Theft.
Monday Apr. 3" 1905,

Irene Lindsey This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Liston
No 30141
Charge: Open S.
Monday Mch. 20" 1905,

Jim Liston This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
A. Burling

No. 30157

Charge: Theft

Tuesday Mch. 28" 1905,

A. Burling in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Henry Samuel

No. 30198

Charge: Pistol

Tuesday Apr. 4" 1905,

Henry Samuel in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
W. L. Jackson

No. 30227

Charge: Malicious Mr.

Monday Mch. 27" 1905,

W. L. Jackson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Bill Black
No. 30134 Charge: Drunk
Thursday Mch. 23" 1905,

Bill Black This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Dom Watson
No. 30143 Charge: A. A.
Thursday Mch. 23" 1905

Dom. Watson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. H. Hall
No. 30146 Charge: Pistol
Monday Mch. 27" 1905

J. H. Hall This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March. Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mrs. John Barry
No. 30249
Charge: Theft
Monday Mch. 27th 1905.

Mrs. John Barry in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
F. Halloman
No. 30250
Charge: Theft.
Monday Mch. 27th 1905,

F. Halloman in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Sam Shreveder
No. 30258
Charge: A. S.
Monday Mch. 27th 1905.

Sam Shreveder in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Sam Shrewder } No. 30259 Charge: Open S.

Monday Mch. 20th 1905.

Sam Shrewder This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Carl Merritt } No. 30274 Charge: Vag,

Monday Mch. 6th 1905,

Carl Merritt This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Chas. Hickman } No. 30280 Charge: Theft,

Monday Mch. 20th 1905,

Chas. Hickman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Oscar Galloway
No. 30190
Charge: Open S.
Wednesday Mch. 29th 1905,

Oscar Galloway This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Frank Akers
No. 30293
Charge: A. S.
Tuesday Mch. 28th 1905,

Frank Akers This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Frank Akers
No. 30294
Charge: A. S.
Tuesday Mch. 28th 1905,

Frank Akers This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
_____
No._____ Charge: _____

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Frank Akers
No. 30296 Charge: I. S.
Tuesday Mch. 28th 1905.

Frank Akers This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
A. N. Samuels
No. 30303 Charge: A. A.
Monday Mch. 27th 1905.

A. N. Samuels This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March. Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Smith

No. 30315 Charge: D. S.
Monday Mch 27th 1905.

John Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Bob Bonard

No. 30349 Charge: Theft.
Tuesday Mch. 28th 1905,

Bob Bonard This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Alex Prather

No. 30357 Charge: A. A.
Tuesday Apr. 4th 1905,

Alex Prather This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
D. C. Crawford
No. 30366 Charge: Vag.
Wednesday Apr. 5th 1905.

D. C. Crawford in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Charley Hill
No. 30398 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Mch. 29th 1905.

Charley Hill in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Henry Eerby
No. 29604 Charge: Theft.
Friday Apr. 14th 1905.

Henry Eerby in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Oscar Galloway
No 29904
Charge: Selling L. to an Habitual Drunkard.
Friday April 14th 1905.

Oscar Galloway in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Leonard Mills
No 29943
Charge: Appeal.
Friday April 14th 1905.

Leonard Mills in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Davy Gorman
No 29995
Charge: A. A.
Friday April 14th 1905.

Davy Gorman in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
A. Snider

No. 30055 Charge: Pistol
Friday April 14th 1905.

A. Snider This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Eva Reeves

No. 30067 Charge: Ab. Law
Friday April 14th 1905,

Eva Reeves This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Hill Mason

No. 30067 Charge: Ab. Law
Friday April 14th 1905.

Hill Mason This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Kemp
No. 30068 Charge: Adultery
Friday April 14" 1905.

John Kemp in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Kemp
No. 30069 Charge: Adultery
Friday April 14" 1905.

John Kemp in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lilly Anderson
No. 30093 Charge: A. A.
Friday April 14" 1905.

Lilly Anderson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
W. L. Reeves
No 30103 Charge: Cards
Friday April 14" 1905.

W. L. Reeves This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Geo Smith
No 30108 Charge: Cards
Friday April 14" 1905.

Geo. Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Katherine Kines
No 30170 Charge: Adultery
Friday April 14" 1905.

Katherine Kines This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Liddy Thornton
No 30139
Charge: Theft
Friday April 14" 1905.

Liddy Thornton in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
W. E. Hudson
No 30156
Charge: Theft.
Friday April 14" 1905.

W. E. Hudson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo. Atchison
No 30165
Charge: Dis. House,
Friday April 14" 1905.

Geo Atchison in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
G. B. Atchison

No. 30174 Charge: Injuring Fence
Friday April 14" 1905.

G. B. Atchison This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Pete Shonnesy

No. 30175 Charge: Theft.
Friday April 14" 1905.

Pete Shonnesy This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Hubbard

No. 30181 Charge: Theft.
Friday April 14" 1905.

Hubbard This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Hubbard
No 30182 Charge: Theft.
Friday April 14" 1905.

Hubbard This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mary Smith
No 30190 Charge: Ab. Law,
Friday April 14" 1905.

Mary Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mary Smith
No 30191 Charge: Assault
Friday April 14" 1905.

Mary Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jos Campbell
No. 30193 Charge: Vag.
Friday April 14" 1905.

Jos Campbell in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Lester Branch
No. 30194 Charge: Assault
Friday April 14" 1905.

Lester Branch in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
John Nickson
No. 30218 Charge: Vag.
Friday April 14" 1905.

John Nickson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Lucynda Crafford } No 30226 Charge: Fornication
Friday April 14th 1905.

Lucynda Crafford This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
A. M. Galloway } No 30229 Charge: Selling to Minor
Friday April 14th 1905.

A M Galloway This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Meeks } No 30233 Charge: Drunk
Friday April 14th 1905.

Jim Meeks This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1905.

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jim Meek

No. 30737 Charge: Ab. Law
Friday April 14" 1905.

Jim Meek This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jim Meek

No. 30744 Charge: A. A.
Friday April 14" 1905.

Jim Meek This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Nick Hearn

No. 30763 Charge: Assault
Friday April 14" 1905.

Nick Hearn This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Price Hutten
No. 30271

Charge: A. A.

Friday April 14" 1905.

Price Hutten This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Dom Hallum
No. 30275

Charge: Voy.

Friday April 14" 1905.

Dom Hallum This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L. N. Jack
No. 30278

Charge: Ex

Monday Mch. 27" 1905.

L. N. Jack This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Geo. Drippett
No. 30300 Charge: Vag.
Friday April 14" 1905.

Geo. Drippett This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Henry Stegall
No. 30305 Charge: Vag.
Friday April 14" 1905.

Henry Stegall This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Boyd Collins
No. 30318 Charge: D. S.
Friday April 14" 1905.

Boyd Collins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jesse Smith
No. 30321
Charge: Trespass
Friday April 14" 1905.

Jesse Smith in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jap Adams
No. 30326
Charge: Theft by Bailee
Friday April 14" 1905.

Jap Adams in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Frank Daley
No. 30350
Charge: A. A.
Friday April 14" 1905

Frank Daley in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Lizzie Hudgens

No. 30357 Charge: Adultery

Friday April 14th 1905.

Lizzie Hudgens This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Lizzie Foster

No. 30354 Charge: Adultery

Friday April 14th 1905.

Lizzie Foster This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Robt. R. Dilley

No. 30359 Charge: Vag.

Friday April 14th 1905.

Robt. R. Dilley This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Camp

No. 30387 Charge: Ex

Friday April 14" 1905,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Camp in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Gray

No. 30418 Charge: Theft

Wednesday Apr. 5" 1905,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant John Gray in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo. Porter

No. 28808 Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Geo. Porter in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ury Osborne
No. 29499
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905

Ury Osborne This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
C. C. Drake
No. 29546
Charge: Embez,
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

C. C. Drake This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Houston Meirces
No. 29562
Charge: Dist. Peace
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

Houston Meirces This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
J. H. Smith
No. 2962 Charge: Embez,
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant J. H. Smith in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
D. H. Mitchell
No. 2963A Charge: Embez,
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant D. H. Mitchell in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
D. H. Mitchell
No. 2963A Charge: Embez,
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant D. H. Mitchell in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March, Term, ~~189~~ 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. M. Mitchell
No. 29633 Charge: Embez,
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

J. M. Mitchell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. M. Mitchell
No. 29634 Charge: Embez,
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

J. M. Mitchell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. M. Mitchell
No. 29635 Charge: Embez
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

J. M. Mitchell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Ed Phillips
No 29671
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Ed Phillips This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Don Miller
No. 29672
Charge: Embezz.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

Don Miller This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Frank Keniff
No 29681
Charge: Ap
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Frank Keniff This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jim Jones

No. 29705, Charge: Embez,
Wednesday Apr. 26 1905.

Jim Jones in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Will Wright

No. 29719 Charge: Theft,
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

Will Wright in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Murray Banard

No. 29758 Charge: A. A.,
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

Murray Banard in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jas. Quinn
No 29770 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

Jas Quinn in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
C. J. Hamilton
No 29786 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

C. J. Hamilton in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
W. O. Williams
No 29798 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

W. O. Williams in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
A. Snider

No 29870 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

A. Snider This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bob Hardgrove

No. 29872 Charge: Card
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

Bob Hardgrove This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Henry Yeargen

No. 29873 Charge: Card
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Henry Yeargen This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
S. E. Hicks

No. 2985 Charge: Card

Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

S. E. Hicks This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. G. Gibson

No. 2986 Charge: Card

Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

J. G. Gibson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
James White Corp'n

No. 2987 Charge: Gaming

Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

James White This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

see Book Z, Page 61

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March, Term, ~~189~~ 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
W. C. Chaplin
W. C. Chaplin

No. 29831 Charge: Vag, Dw 7-61
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
W. A. Shephard
W. A. Shephard

No. 29833 Charge: A. A,
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Gus Reeves
Gus Reeves

No. 29836 Dw 7-64 Charge: A. A,
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Robt Taylor

No. 29847 Charge: Theft, Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

Robt Taylor This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Julius Dillman

No 29849 Charge: A. A. Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

Julius Dillman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Leslie Thompson

No 29848 Charge: Theft, Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

Leslie Thompson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Laura Robinson
No. 29851 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

Laura Robinson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Frank Kid
No. 29852 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Apr 26" 1905,

Frank Kid This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ardie Gatin
No. 29853 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

Ardie Gatin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ardie Gatin
No 29854 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

Ardie Gatin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Will Kidville
No 29855 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

Will Kidville This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L. Thompson
No. 29856 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

L. Thompson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905,

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ed Williams
No 24859 Charge: Adultry
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905,

Ed Williams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Henry Smith
No. 29869 Charge: Theft,
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905,

Henry Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ike Hill
No 29877 Charge: Theft,
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905,

Ike Hill This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ed Taylor
No 29887
Charge: Knuckles
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Ed Taylor This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ed Taylor
No. 29883
Charge: Vag.
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Ed Taylor This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. W. Hill
No 29886
Charge: Theft
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

J. W. Hill This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
W. D. Brown
No. 29887
Charge: Open S.
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

W. D. Brown in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Alex Mullins
No. 29892
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Alex Mullins in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jack Goddin
No. 29893
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Jack Goddin in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Lena Mullins } No. 29897 Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905,

Lena Mullins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Chas. Thomas } No. 29898 Charge: Theft.

Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905,

Chas Thomas This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Jim Johnson } No. 29899 Charge: Theft.

Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905,

Jim Johnson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905,

Texas Printing and Ruling Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Lena Mullins

No. 29907 Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

Lena Mullins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Alex Mullins

No. 29903 Charge: Ab. Law,

Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Alex Mullins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Major Chaney

No. 29910 Charge: Theft.

Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

Major Chaney This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Strowman
No. 29912 Charge: Giving to minor

Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905,

Strowman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Frank Dubois
No. 29917 Charge: Defacing Public Boty,

Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905,

Frank Dubois This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Dom Powell
No 29933 Charge: Vag.

Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905,

Dom Powell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Susie Vaughn
No. 79944
Charge: Pistol
Wednesday apr. 26" 1905.

Susie Vaughn This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
John Bennett
No. 79946
Charge: Ab. Law,
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

John Bennett This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
W. P. Cain
No. 79947
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26/905,

W. P. Cain This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Unknown Woman
No. 29948 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Unknown Woman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Greer
No. 29955 Charge: Ab. Law.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

John Greer This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Medelin Elliston
No. 29958 Charge: Pistol
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Medelin Elliston This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

Texas Printing and Litho Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Charley Wilson } No. 29967 Charge: Reckless
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Charley Wilson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Geo. Watts & H. M. Wilson } No. 29975 Charge: Occupation without L.
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Geo. Watts & H. M. Wilson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Eddie Johnson } No. 29997 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905,

Eddie Johnson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Henry Marshall
No. 30000
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Henry Marshall in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
May Whirley
No. 30003
Charge: Adultry
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

May Whirley in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Frank White
No. 30006
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905

Frank White in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Geo Watson

No 3007 Charge: Hunting on E. land.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Geo Watson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bud Price

No 30071 Charge: Pistol
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Bud Price This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Chas Blackwell

No 30078 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Chas Blackwell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Gus Moore

No 30039 Charge: Theft. Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905

Gus Moore This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Annie Allen

No 30043 Charge: Adultery Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Annie Allen This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Bud Kirk

No 30044 Charge: A. A. Wednesday Apr. 26' 1905

Bud Kirk This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jim Kirk

No. 30045 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Jim Kirk, This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jim Kirk in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jim Kirk

No. 30046 Charge: Pistol
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Jim Kirk, This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jim Kirk in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mike Miran

No. 30048 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Mike Miran, This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Mike Miran in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Dom McDade
No. 30049 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Dom McDade in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Rowland N. Lassiter
No. 30050 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905,

Rowland N. Lassiter in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Pat Conley
No. 30651 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905,

Pat Conley in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jim Thomas
No. 30054
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Jim Thomas in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Oscar Galloway
No. 30058
Charge: Open S.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Oscar Galloway in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bell Berry
No. 30059
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26 1905.

Bell Berry in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bell Berry

No. 30060 Charge: D. D.

Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Bell Berry This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Eva Reeves

No. 30065 Charge: Ab. Law,

Eva Reeves This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Will Scott

No. 30077 Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Will Scott This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~180~~ 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Henry Lee

No. 30078 Charge: Ab. Law.
Wednesday apr. 26" 1905.

Henry Lee This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Ausilene Murphy

No. 30082 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday apr. 26" 1905.

Ausilene Murphy This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Jon Holk

No. 30083 Charge: Assault
Wednesday apr. 26" 1905.

Jon Holk This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Robt. Brown

No. 20089

Charge: Theft.

Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Robt. Brown in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Oliver Lewis

No. 20111

Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Oliver Lewis in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Dan Loyd

No. 20117

Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Dan Loyd in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~1899~~ 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Robt Burks
No. 30113 Charge: Ab. Law
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

Robt. Burks This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
B. Kornicc
No 30116 Charge: Ab. Law.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

B. Kornicc This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Horace Carter
No 30118 Charge: Pistol
Wednesday Apr. 26 1905.

Horace Carter This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Geo. Austell
No. 30140
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Geo. Austell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Shorty
No. 30142
Charge: Open S.
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Shorty This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Will Sweeney
No. 30143
Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Will Sweeney This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~180~~ 1905,

Texas Printing and Litho Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
G. N. Smith

No 30163 Charge: Ab. Law,

Wednesday April 26" 1905,

G. N. Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
G. N. Smith

No. 30164 Charge: Ab. Law,

Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

G. N. Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Riley

No. 30167 Charge: Theft.

Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Will Riley This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Butler Coleman
No. 30164
Charge: Ab. Law.
Wednesday April 26th 1905

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Butler Coleman in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Butler Coleman
No. 30173
Charge: Pistol
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Butler Coleman in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Dom Stewart
No. 30179
Charge: Vag.
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Dom Stewart in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905,

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
J. C. Sutton

No 30179 Charge: Theft.

Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

J. C. Sutton in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jim Smith

No 30180 Charge: Theft.

Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Jim Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Geo. Allen

No 30183 Charge: Theft.

Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

Geo. Allen This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Alonzo Brown
No. 30184
Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Alonzo Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
A. H. Wilson
No. 30186
Charge: Adultery
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

A. H. Wilson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Swales
No. 30187
Charge: Keep by Bawdy
Wednesday apr. 26th 1905.

Swales This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mary Lewis
No. 30189 Charge: Assault
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Mary Lewis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Charley Jerman
No. 30206 Charge: Theft,
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Charley Jerman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ed Lassiter
No. 30208 Charge: Theft,
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Ed Lassiter This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Epps } No. 30209 Charge: Dis. Peace

Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Epps This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Epps } No. 30210 Charge: Dis. Peace

Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Epps This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Eddie Johnson } No. 30213 Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Eddie Johnson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~1904~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Hall McNeil
No. 3014 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Hall McNeil, This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mexican Charley
No. 3016 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Mexican Charley This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Lord Douglass
No. 3017 Charge: Theft,
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Lord Douglass This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. S. Haynes
No. 30777 Charge: Swindling
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

J. S. Haynes in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Nannie Worthington
No. 30773 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Nannie Worthington in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Eddie Thompson
No. 30775 Charge: Fornication
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
W. L. Jackson
No. 30228 Charge: Malicious M.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

W. L. Jackson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Dom Watson
No. 30235 Charge: Drunk
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Dom Watson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. W. Hauser
No. 30238 Charge: Ab Law,
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

W. W. Hauser This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mrs. H. F. Mayers

No. 30752

Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Mrs. H. F. Mayers in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jerry Bush

No. 30753

Charge: A. A.

Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jerry Bush in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Ella Johnson

No. 30754

Charge: Ab. Law.

Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Ella Johnson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Stewart Jackson
No. 30767

Charge: Unlawfully Using A.
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Stewart Jackson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Willie Fields
No. 30768

Charge: Unlawfully Using A.
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Willie Fields This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Frank Burns
No. 30773

Charge: Vag.
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Frank Burns This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Nick Harris
No. 30281
Charge: Theft
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Nick Harris This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Nick Harris
No. 30282
Charge: Theft
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Nick Harris This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
H. Besterman
No. 30283
Charge: Vag.
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

H. Besterman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ed Cisco
No. 30295 Charge: A. S.
Wednesday apr. 26" 1905.

Ed Cisco This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Grant Ferguson
No. 30297 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Grant Ferguson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dave Berry
No. 30301 Charge: Vag.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Dave Berry This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Stonewall Blair

No. 30304 Charge: Ab. Law.

Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Stonewall Blair in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
R. D. Oldham

No. 30306 Charge: Vag.

Wednesday April 26" 1905,

R. D. Oldham in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Geo. Vaughan

No. 30337 Charge: Pistol

Wednesday Apr. 26 1905,

Geo. Vaughan in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mary B. Williams
No. 30339 Charge: Killing a dumb Animal
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Mary B. Williams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Chas Haymird
No. 30340 Charge: A. to murder
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Chas. Haymird This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Carrel Sutton
No. 30386 Charge: Pistol
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Carrel Sutton This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~1904~~ 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Thelma McMillan
No 30353
Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Apr. 26 1905

Thelma McMillan This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Lena Bell Harrison
No 30368
Charge: Adultry
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

Lena Bell Harrison This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Maud Atwood
No 30388
Charge: Dis. House
Wednesday Apr. 26 1905.

Maud Atwood This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Etta Henry
No. 30389 Charge: Vag.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

Etta Henry This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Paulin Gaynor
No. 30391 Charge: Vag.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905,

Paulin Gaynor This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
S. D. Atwood
No. 30392 Charge: Vag.
Wednesday Apr. 26" 1905.

S. D. Atwood This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Abr Patishman
No. 30415
Charge: Vag.
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905.

Abr Patishman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Abr Patishmcard
No. 30416
Charge: Ab. Law,
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905

Abr Patishman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS.
vs.
Dave Gorman
No. 30427
Charge: Gon D,
Wednesday Apr. 26th 1905

Dave Gorman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County,_____Term, 189___

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
_____

No._____ Charge: _____

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
_____

No._____ Charge: _____

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
_____

No._____ Charge: _____

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Wm Lukes
No 30570

Charge: A. A.

Wednesday May 3" 1905,

Wm Lukes This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jno Williams
No 30171

Charge: Theft,

Monday May 15" 1905,

Jno Williams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lula McKinney
No 30237

Charge: Postal

Tuesday May 23" 1905,

Lula McKinney This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
A. A. Herman } No. 30341 Charge: Pistol

Monday May 22" 1905,

A. A. Herman in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jessie Lewis } No. 30400. Charge: Theft.

Tuesday May 23" 1905,

Jessie Lewis in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Rachael Johnson } No. 30431 Charge: Pistol

Monday May 15" 1905.

Rachael Johnson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Katie Garrett
No. 30443 Charge: Ab. Law.
Tuesday, May 23" 1905,

Katie Garrett This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
E. Young
No 30446 Charge: Theft.
Tuesday May 23" 1905.

E. Young This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dave Gorman
No 30448 Charge: Assault
Monday May 15" 1905.

Dave Gorman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Sam Settles

No. 30454 Charge: Adultery

Tuesday, May 16th 1905.

Sam Settles This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Lula Taylor

No. 30455 Charge: Adult & For.

Tuesday, May 16th 1905.

Lula Taylor This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Geo. Wallace

No. 30463 Charge: Open on S.

Monday, May 15th 1905.

Geo. Wallace This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Geo. Palmer
No. 30464
Charge: A. A.
Tuesday May 16th 1905,

Geo. Palmer This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
W. A. Wright
No. 30483
Charge: Fornication
Tuesday May 16th 1905,

W. A. Wright This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Bill Thompson
No. 30506
Charge: Vag.
Thursday May 25th 1905,

Bill Thompson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Dona Lee

No. 30535 Charge: Vag.

Wednesday May 17" 1905

Dona Lee This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Bert McHam

No. 30538 Charge: Vag.

Wednesday May 17" 1905

Bert McHam This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Nanie Lee

No. 30539 Charge: Vag.

Wednesday May 17" 1905.

Nanie Lee This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Lee Harris
No. 30563
Charge: Theft.
Monday May 15" 1905,

Lee Harris This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
W. H. Hallard
No. 30568
Charge: Obstructing Public Road,
Wednesday May 17" 1905,

W. H. Hallard This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. H. Meenach
No. 30597
Charge: Theft.
Monday May 15" 1905,

J. H. Meenach This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Pierce & Washburn, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Dan O'Connell

No. 30603 Charge: Fornication

Wednesday May 17" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Dan O'Connell in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Margaret Stanley

No. 30606 Charge: Fornication

Wednesday May. 17" 1905,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Margaret Stanley in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Hoyt Jacobs

No. 30617 Charge: Vag.

Friday May 26" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Hoyt Jacobs in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Henry Pearl

No. 30614

Charge: Adultery

Monday May 15" 1905,

Henry Pearl in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
R. C. Johnson

No. 30616

Charge: Vag.

Friday May 19" 1905,

R. C. Johnson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Wm Washington

No. 30619

Charge: Vag.

Friday May 19" 1905,

Wm Washington in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Frank Cooper

No. 30630 Charge: Vag.

Thursday May 18th 1905.

Frank Cooper This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jim Hines

No. 30633 Charge: Vag.

Thursday May 18th 1905.

Jim Hines This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
R. J. Gillespie

No. 30639 Charge: Vag.

Thursday May 18th 1905

R. J. Gillespie This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Wyley Brown
No. 30641
Charge: Vag.
Thursday May 18" 1905.

Wyley Brown in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Elwood Admiral
No. 30643
Charge: Vag.
Thursday May 18" 1905.

Elwood Admiral in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
B. Mason
No. 30649
Charge: Vag.
Wednesday May 17" 1905

B. Mason in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Chas. Simmons
No. 30651
Charge: Voy.
Wednesday May 17" 1905.

Chas Simmons This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ben Henderson
No. 30655
Charge: Voy.
Thursday May 18" 1905.

Ben Henderson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Claude Flueller
No. 30695
Charge: Theft.
Tuesday May 9" 1905.

Claude Flueller This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Carroll Flueller
No 30696
Charge: Theft
Tuesday May 9th 1905.

Carroll Flueller This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
John Swetzer
No 30679
Charge: A. A.
Friday May 26th 1905.

John Swetzer This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Charley Caldwell
No. 30680
Charge: A. A.
Thursday May 25th 1905.

Charley Caldwell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ed McHam
No. 30689 Charge: Vag.
Thursday May 25" 1905.

Ed McHam This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Louise Vandresser
No. 30691 Charge: Theft.
Thursday May 25" 1905.

Louise Vandresser This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Louise Vandresser
No. 30697 Charge: Theft.
Thursday May 25" 1905.

Louise Vandresser This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jim Page
No. 30064
Charge: Assault
Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Jim Page in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Pete Shawnsey
No. 30203
Charge: Theft.
Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Pete Shawnsey in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS.
vs.
Pete Abbey
No. 30219.
Charge: Viol.
Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Pete Abbey in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
J. W. Ramsey

No. 30754 Charge: Dis. House
Tuesday June 27th 1905.

J. W. Ramsey in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Wash Hap,

No. 30761 Charge: Dis. House
Tuesday June 27th 1905,

Wash Hap This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Char Chung

No. 30762 Charge: Dis. House
Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Char Chung This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Charley Thompson
No 30765 Charge: Theft.
Tuesday June 27" 1905.

Charley Thompson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Willie Kidwell
No 30769 Charge: Theft.
Tuesday June 27" 1905.

Willie Kidwell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Grant Thomas
No 30327 Charge: A. A.
Tuesday June 27" 1905,

Grant Thomas This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1905,

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Doc Evans

No. 30331 Charge: Assault

Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Doc Evans in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Eugine Wilson

No. 30375 Charge: Throwing Stones.

Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Eugine Wilson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Annie Lacy

No. 30445 Charge: Ab. Law.

Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Annie Lacy in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Annie Batton
No. 30457 Charge: Adultry.

Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Annie Batton This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Susie Oneal
No. 30457 Charge: Adult. & For.

Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Susie Oneal This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mary Byers
No. 30487 Charge: Dis. House

Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Mary Byers This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Bertha Jackson
No. 30574 Charge: Ab. Law,
Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Bertha Jackson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jake Hatten
No. 30584 Charge: Theft.
Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Jake Hatten This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Frank Comita
No. 30601 Charge: Fornication
Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Frank Comita This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Fannie Hise
No. 30607
Charge: Fornication
Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Fannie Hise This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Lillian Roberts
No. 30608
Charge: Fornication
Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Lillian Roberts This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Roy McVey
No. 30609
Charge: Fornication
Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Roy McVey This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Frank Smith
No. 30676 Charge: Estab Lottery
Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Frank Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Will Dailey
No. 30682 Charge: Policy
Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Will Dailey This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
J. H. Hargrove
No. 30682 Charge: Policy
Tuesday June 27th 1905.

J. H. Hargrove This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~1905~~

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
F. C. Mathews
No. 30685. Charge: Policy

Tuesday June 27th 1905.

F. C. Mathews This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Dick Humphries
No. 30686 Charge: Policy

Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Dick Humphries This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
John Nickson
No. 30687 Charge: Policy

Tuesday June 27th 1905.

John Nickson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Nettie Worthington } No. 30710 Charge: A. A. Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Nettie Worthington This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Kate Padgett } No. 30773 Charge: Adultery Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Kate Padgett This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Rosa Duncan } No. 30730 Charge: Vag. Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Rosa Duncan This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, ~~189~~ 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Leona Barnes
No. 30731 Charge: Vag.
Tuesday June 27th 1905.

Leona Barnes This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Lackey
No. 30877 Charge: Pistol
Monday June 27th 1905.

John Lackey This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Gaer Jackson
No. ~~29773~~ Charge: Carrying Pistol
July 20th 1905

Gaer Jackson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
_____

No. 29827

Charge: _____

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
_____

No. _____

Charge: _____

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
_____

No. _____

Charge: _____

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

Be it remembered that on the First Monday in July there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court present and presiding the Hon R. J. Milam County Judge Jeff D. McLean County Attorney R. L. Rogers County Clerk John D. Hood Sheriff Dorran County, Texas. When the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal docket of said Court,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Gaes Jackson
No 29773
Charge: Cards
Thursday July 20" 1905

Gaes Jackson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jim Reynolds
No 29827
Charge: Cards
Saturday Aug. 26" 1905

Jim Reynolds in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
H. Pake
No 29829
Charge: Pistol
Saturday Aug. 26" 1905.

H. Pake in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
A. Perry

No. 29908 Charge: Disk. P.

Saturday, Aug. 26" 1905,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant A. Perry in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Geo. Pleasant

No. 30052 Charge: Cards

Saturday Aug. 26" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Geo. Pleasant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Nat Kramer

No. 30138 Charge: Betting

Saturday Aug. 26, 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Nat Kramer in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. A. Harrison } No. 30169 Charge: A. D. & S.
Sat Aug 26, 1905

J. A. Harrison This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. A. Harrison } No. 30170 Charge: Theft
Sat. Aug. 26, 1905

J. A. Harrison This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Fred Lowendes } No. 30202 Charge: Theft
Sat. July 8, 1905.

Fred Lowendes This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
S. M. Galloway
No. 30236

Charge: Dis. Hearse
Sat. Aug. 26, 1905.

S. M. Galloway in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
S. M. Robinson
No. 30274

Charge: Theft
Friday July 5, 1905.

S. M. Robinson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Will Reynolds
No. 29837

Charge:
Sat. Aug. 26, 1905.

Will Reynolds in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jim Liston
No. 29756 Charge: D. S.
Wednesday Aug 30, 1905

Jim Liston This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Geo. Williams
No. 29762 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Aug. 30, 1905

Geo. Williams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Oscar Galloway
No. 29835 Charge: D. S.
Wednesday Aug. 30th 1905

Oscar Galloway This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Tom Porter

No. 30731

Charge: Dist. Peace

Wednesday, July 30th 1905.

Tom Porter This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Charles Leeper

No. 30779

Charge: Preventing Ex. C. Process

Saturday, July 26th 1905.

Charles Leeper This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Geo. Kelley

No. 30313

Charge: Vag

Saturday July 8th 1905.

Geo. Kelley This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
R. L. Embaurk

No 30324 Charge: Dis House
Saturday Aug. 26 "1905.

R. L. Embaurk This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Will Flemester

No 30333 Charge: Unlawfully Using Intoxicants
Saturday Aug. 26 "1905,

Will Flemester This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Jack Flanagan

No 30336 Charge: Unlawfully Using Criminal
Saturday Aug. 26 "1905

Jack Flanagan This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Hill Maier

No 30361 Charge: A. A.

Wednesday July 30th 1905,

Hill Maier This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Bert McHam

No 30381 Charge: Cruelty to Animals

Saturday Aug. 26th 1905.

Bert McHam This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. C. Peters

No 30382 Charge: Fight.

Saturday Aug. 26th 1905.

C. C. Peters This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
C. C. Peters

No. 30384 Charge: Ab. Law,
Saturday Aug. 26" 1905,

C. C. Peters This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jos Spencer

No. 30401 Charge: Card
Saturday July 8" 1905,

Jos Spencer This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
J. Coon

No. 30402 Charge: Card
Saturday July 8" 1905.

J. Coon This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905.

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Nickerson
No 30403 Charge: Card
Wednesday Aug. 2nd 1905.

John Nickerson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Will Avery
No 30404 Charge: Card
Saturday July 8th 1905.

Will Avery This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Pete abbey
No 30406 Charge: Card
Saturday July 8th 1905.

Pete abbey This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jess Johnson
No. 30413 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Aug 30th 1905.

Jess Johnson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
John Henderson
No. 30414 Charge: A. A.
Saturday July 8th 1905.

John Henderson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Will Vaughn
No. 30422 Charge: Adultery
Saturday Aug. 26th 1905.

Will Vaughn in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Susie Vaughn
No. 30422
Charge: Adultery
Friday July 21st 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Susie Vaughn in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Paul Heirant
No. 30433
Charge: Div. House
Saturday Aug. 26th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Paul Heirant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lucile Reese
No. 30434
Charge: Div. House
Friday July 21st 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Lucile Reese in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
May Williams
No. 30435 Charge: Dis. Havoc
Friday July 21st 1905.

May Williams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Herbert Skelton
No. 30449 Charge: Theft V.
Wednesday July 26th 1905

Herbert Skelton This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A. J. Schank
No. 30457 Charge: Theft V.
Saturday Aug. 26th 1905,

A. J. Schank This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John White
No 30459 Charge: Adultery
Saturday July 8" 1905.

John White This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Allie Tribue
No. 30465 Charge: Theft.
Saturday Aug. 26" 1905.

Allie Tribue This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Fred Lavender
No 30469 Charge: Theft.
Saturday July 8" 1905.

Fred Lavender This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ambrose Rankin } No 30470. Charge: Theft. Saturday July 8th 1905.

Ambrose Rankin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Eugene Lavender } No 30471 Charge: Theft Saturday July 8th 1905.

Eugene Lavender This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Willie McLeod } No 30472 Charge: Theft. Saturday Aug. 26th 1905.

Willie McLeod This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Doe
No. 30477 Charge: Theft V, Saturday, May 27th 1905.

John Doe This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jor Smith
No. 30484 Charge: A. A. Wednesday May 30th 1905.

Jor Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Bennett
No. 30485 Charge: Dis. House Saturday July 8th 1905

John Bennett This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jack Ford
No. 30507 Charge: Viol.
Saturday July 8" 1905.

Jack Ford This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jim Irwin
No. 30508 Charge: Viol.
Saturday July 8" 1905.

Jim Irwin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
H. White
No. 30509 Charge: Viol.
Saturday July 8" 1905.

H. White This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Enoch Teague
No. 30513
Charge: Slander
Saturday Aug. 26" 1905.

Enoch Teague in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Inez Thompson
No. 30514
Charge: Theft
July 8" 1905.

Inez Thompson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dick Henley
No. 30526
Charge: A. L. Driver
Saturday Aug. 26" 1905

Dick Henley in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Chas. Hornby } No 30529 Charge: Theft
Saturday July 8" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
August Williams } No 30530 Charge: Theft.
August Williams Saturday July 8" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Cardabell Garrison } No 30534 Charge: Vag.
Saturday July 22" 1905
Cardabell Garrison

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Joe Campbell } No 30540 Charge: Theft.
Saturday Aug. 26 "1905

Joe Campbell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Marie Anderson } No 30541 Charge: Theft.
Saturday Aug. 26 "1905

Marie Anderson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Burt Adams } No 30545 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Aug. 30 "1905

Burt Adams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Clarence Baker

No. 30546 Charge: Vag

Wednesday Aug 30th 1905.

Clarence Baker This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
A. Dawsey

No. 30549 Charge: Theft.

Saturday Aug. 26th 1905,

A. Dawsey This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Chas. Thompson

No. 30550 Charge: Theft,

Wednesday Aug. 30th 1905

Chas Thompson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jor Carson
No. 30551 Charge: Theft, Wednesday Aug 30" 1905

Jor Carson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mrs. Lucile Moore
No. 30553 Charge: Ab. Law. Saturday Aug 26" 1905.

Mrs. Lucile Moore in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Clarence Skiller
No. 30555 Charge: Adultery Saturday Aug 26" 1905.

Clarence Skiller in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Alice Smith } No. 30556 Charge: Adultery Saturday Aug. 26th 1905.

Alice Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Jack Goins } No. 30585 Charge: A. A. Saturday Aug. 26th 1905

Jack Goins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jack Goins } No. 30586 Charge: Pistol Saturday Aug. 26th 1905.

Jack Goins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Rosa Gilbert

No. 30588 Charge: At. Law.
Saturday, Aug. 26th 1905.

Rosa Gilbert This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
A. Lock

No. 30604 Charge: Fornication
Saturday, Aug. 26th 1905.

A. Lock This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Ah Dam

No. 30615 Charge: Fornication
Saturday, Aug. 26th 1905.

Ah Dam This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jor McDuff
No 3067 Charge: Assault
Saturday Aug 26" 1905

Jor McDuff This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jas. Denton
No 30725 ~~Charge~~: Vag.
Saturday July 8" 1905

Jas Denton This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. C. Kile
No 30726 Charge: Ab. Law
Saturday Aug 26" 1905

J. C. Kile This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. C. Kyle
No. 30709

Charge: Threatning Life
Saturday Aug 26 1905,

J. C. Kyle

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jim Dancy
No. 30736

Charge: Theft by Bailee
Saturday Aug. 26 1905,

Jim Dancy

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Riley Cash
No. 30737

Charge: A. A.
Wednesday July 5 1905

Riley Cash

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Bob Casper
Bob Casper

No. 30738 Charge: Ab. Assn
Saturday July 22nd 1905

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mary Baker alias Mary Brown
Mary Brown alias Mary Baker

No. 30739 Charge: A. A
Saturday Aug. 26th 1905

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charley Sharp
Charley Sharp

No. 30744 Charge: A. A.
Saturday July 22nd 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
A. J. Hill
No. 3045 Charge: Eex.
Saturday Aug. 26" 1905

A. J. Hill This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Vaughan
No. 30748 Charge: Card
Saturday July 29, 1905

John Vaughan This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Spurgen Hughes
No. 30750 Charge: Vag.
Saturday July 29" 1905,

Spurgen Hughes This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Charley Freese
No. 3075 Charge: Vag.

Saturday July 22nd 1905,

Charley Freese This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Frank Price
No. 30770 Charge: Adultery

Wednesday Aug. 30th 1905

Frank Price This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Vera Griffin
No. 30771 Charge: Adultery

Wednesday Aug. 30th 1905,

Vera Griffin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jno. Harris
Jno. Harris

No. 30775 Charge: Threatening Life
Saturday Aug. 26th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John McDonald
John McDonald

No. 30775 Charge: Vag.
Saturday Aug. 26th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mollie Dixon
Mollie Dixon

No. 30786 Charge: Fornication
Saturday Aug 26th 1905

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Hugh Woods
No. 30787 Charge: Fornication
Saturday Aug. 26th 1905.

Hugh Woods This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Walter Dawkins
No. 30788 Charge: Shooting Into
Saturday Aug. 26th 1905.

Walter Dawkins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
A. Reigler
No. 30789 Charge: A. A.
Saturday July 22nd 1905.

A. Reigler This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Horace Carter
No. 30793 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Aug. 30th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Horace Carter in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Geo. Berry
No. 30804 Charge: Ex.
Saturday Aug. 26th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Geo. Berry in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo. Berry
No. 30805 Charge: Ex
Saturday Aug. 26th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Geo. Berry in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
George Berry
George Berry

No. 30806 Charge: Ex
Saturday Aug. 26" 1905,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Will Hour & Rosa Duncan
Will Hour & Rose Duncan

No. 30807 Charge: Fornication
Saturday Aug. 26" 1905,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
John Paddy
John Paddy

No. 30811 Charge: Ex
Thursday July 6" 1905,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Lucinda Hargrove
Lucinda Hargrove

No. 30823 Charge: Policy
Saturday Aug. 26th 1905,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Rufner
John Rufner

No. 30828 Charge: A. A.
Saturday Aug. 26th 1905,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Viola Franklin
Viola Franklin

No. 30829 Charge: A. A.,
Wednesday Aug. 30th 1905,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Minnie Robinson } No. 30833 Charge: Adultery
Saturday Aug. 26, 1905.

Minnie Robinson, This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Henry McCastle } No. 30843 Charge: Theft by Bailee
Saturday Aug. 26, 1905.

Henry McCastle This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Oscar Rowland } No. 30844 Charge: Assault
Saturday Aug. 26, 1905.

Oscar Rowland This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
E. J. McWilliams

No. 30854 Charge: Vag.
Tuesday Aug. 29th 1905.

E. J. McWilliams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
E. J. McWilliams

No. 30856 Charge: Carp
Tuesday Aug. 29th 1905

E. J. McWilliams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Mike Cassiday

No. 30893 Charge: D. S.
Wednesday July 5th 1905

Mike Cassiday This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Inez Thompson
No. 30905

Charge: Appeal Cety
Saturday July 8th 1905,

Inez Thompson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
W. R. Willoughby
No. 30922

Charge: Threatning Life
Thursday July 6th 1905,

W. R. Willoughby in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. H. Julian
No. 30979

Charge: Theft.
Friday July 21st 1905,

W. H. Julian in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County,_____Term, 189____

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
_____
No._____

Charge: _____

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
_____
No._____

Charge: _____

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
_____
No._____

Charge: _____

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1905.

Be it remembered that on the first Monday in Sept. the same was begun and holden a regular Term of the County Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, present and presiding Hon. R. J. Milam Co. Judge, Jeff D. McLean County attorney, R. L. Rogers Co. Clk, and John Thomas Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas. When the following proceedings were had upon the criminal docket of said Court.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Charles Vance
No. 30066 Charge: Fighting
Friday Oct. 20th 1905.

Charles Vance This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Chas. Moran
No. 30091 Charge: A. A.
Friday Oct. 20th 1905.

Chas. Moran This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Nichols
No. 30095 Charge: A. A.
Friday Oct. 20th 1905.

Jim Nichols This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jett Hoaten
No. 30171 Charge: Theft.
Friday Oct. 20" 1905.

Jett Hoaten This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jap. Henley
No. 30317 Charge: Vag.
Friday, Oct. 20" 1905.

Jap Henley This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Pearl Racquemore
No. 30379 Charge: Trespass.
Friday Oct. 20" 1905.

Pearl Racquemore This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Bud Stockins
No. 30439
Charge: D. on Election
Friday Oct. 20th 1905,

Bud Stockins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Al. Baker
No. 30442
Charge: D. on E—
Friday Oct. 20th 1905,

Al Baker This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Enoch Teague
No. 30469
Charge: Dis'l. Peace
Friday Oct. 20th 1905,

Enoch Teague This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jon H Keal
Jon H Keal

No. 30488 Charge: Pet - Gaming
Thursday Sept. 14th 1905

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ed Norwood
Ed Norwood

No. 30469 Charge: A. A.
Friday Oct. 20th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sam Shrewder
Sam Shrewder

No. 30498 Charge: Cards
Thursday Sept. 14th 1905

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jon H Keat

No 30575 Charge: Per Gaming.
Thursday Sept. 14 1905.

Jon H Keast This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Norman Allen

No 30547 Charge: Ab. Law.
Friday Oct. 20th 1905.

Norman Allen This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jon Sucker

No 30561 Charge: Ab. Law.
Friday Sept. 8th 1905.

Jon Sucker This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept't, Term, 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Della Owen
No. 30589 Charge: Adultery
Saturday Sept. 9th 1905.

Della Owen in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Henry Wright
No. 30590 Charge: Adultery
Saturday Sept. 9th 1905.

Henry Wright in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Charley Gorman
No. 30594 Charge: Ab. Law,
Wednesday Sept. 20th 1905.

Charley Gorman in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Baner

No. 30596 Charge: A. A.

Friday Oct. 20" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant John Baner in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mary Baker

No. 30600 Charge: A. A.

Thursday Sept. 14" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Mary Baker in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Griffin

No. 30666 Charge: O. D.

Thursday Sept. 28" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jim Griffin in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905,

Texas Printing and Litho. Co. Robberson Stationery Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Dweller

No. 30678 Charge: A. A.

Friday Oct. 20th 1905.

John Dweller This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Willie Skinnett

No. 30698 Charge: Theft.

Thursday Sept. 14th 1905.

Willie Skinnett This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Cleburne

No. 30705 Charge: A. A.

Thursday Sept. 14th 1905.

John Cleburne This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
D. C. White

No. 30715 Charge: Dist. Peace
Tuesday Sept. 12th 1905.

D. C. White This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Carl King

No. 30716 Charge: Theft.
Thursday Sept. 14th 1905.

Carl King This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. H. Hamilton

No. 30722 Charge: Adultery
Thursday Sept. 14th 1905.

J. H. Hamilton This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905,

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jim Sullivan
No. 30809 Charge: D. D.
Thursday Sept. 14" 1905,

Jim Sullivan This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Howard Lassiter
No. 30810 Charge: D. D. L. in L. O. Dist.
Tuesday Sept. 19" 1905,

Howard Lassiter This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Myrtle Dorsey
No. 30811 Charge: Vag.
Friday Oct. 20" 1905,

Myrtle Dorsey This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ed. Carter
Ed. Carter

No. 30818 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Sept. 13th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
S. D. Bryan
S. D. Bryan

No. 30837 Charge: Burglary
Thursday Sept. 14th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Joseph Hodges
Joseph Hodges

No. 30847 Charge: D. Life
Friday Oct. 20th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905

Texas Printing and Ink Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
D. R. Hammock

No. 30857 Charge: D. S.
Friday Oct. 20" 1905.

D. R. Hammock in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Dom Hammock

No. 30858 Charge: D. S.
Friday Oct. 20" 1905.

Dom Hammock in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dom Hammock

No. 30859 Charge: D. S.
Friday Oct. 20 '1905.

Dom Hammock in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Tom Hammock
No. 30860 Charge: S. S.
Friday Oct. 20th 1905.

Tom Hammock This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Tom Hammock
No. 30861 Charge: S. S.
Friday Oct. 20th 1905.

Tom Hammock This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. A. Bennett
No. 30870 Charge: O. A.
Thursday Sept. 7th 1905.

J. A. Bennett This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905,

Texas Printing and Ling. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. A. Berry
No. 3087, Charge: Fornication
Friday Oct. 20th 1905,

J. A. Berry This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty," And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Felix Garrett
No. 30874 Charge: A. A.
Friday Oct. 20th 1905,

Felix Garrett This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. H. Rice
No. 30876 Charge: Theft
Thursday Sept. 14th 1905,

C. H. Rice This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Sampson Lackey
No 30879 Charge: Theft.
Thursday Sept. 14" 1905.

Sampson Lackey This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jim Ford
No 30880 Charge: Theft.
Friday Sept. 30" 1905.

Jim Ford This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jas Flanagan
No. 30884 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Sept. 13" 1905.

Jas Flanagan This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Mike Cassiday

No. 30889 Charge: D. S.
Thursday Sept. 14th 1905.

Mike Cassiday in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Charley Keith

No. 30895 Charge: A. A.
Thursday Sept. 14th 1905,

Charley Keith ~~This~~ day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Rosa Duncan

No. 30896 Charge: Theft,
Friday Oct. 20th 1905,

Rosa Duncan This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
O. G. Bradley
No. 30909 Charge: A. A.
Friday Oct. 20th 1905.

O. G. Bradley This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mike Cassiday
No. 30917 Charge: D. S.
Thursday Sept. 14th 1905.

Mike Cassiday This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
B. F. White
No. 30921 Charge: Theft.
Friday Oct. 20th 1905.

B. F. White This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
A. G. Kirkpatrick
No. 30976 Charge: Threat. Life )
Thursday Sept. 14th 1905,

A. G. Kirkpatrick This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Lee Herron
No. 30954 Charge: Assault
Saturday Sept. 9th 1905,

Lee Herron This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo. Hemberly
No. 30967 Charge: Theft.
Friday Sept. 8th 1905,

Geo. Hemberly This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Harry Herbert
No. 30993 Charge: Ab. Law.
Monday Sept. 18th 1905,

Harry Herbert This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ed Phillips
No. 30994 Charge: Ab. Law,
Friday Oct. 20th 1905,

Ed Phillips This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Willie Carter
No. 30997 Charge: Theft.
Saturday Sept. 9th 1905,

Willie Carter This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Minnie Hagelhoff } No. 31018 Charge: Disk. House
Friday Oct. 20th 1905.

Minnie Hagelhoff, This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. M. Shook } No. 31077 Charge: Theft.
Friday Oct. 20th 1905.

J. M. Shook This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. M. Slater } No. 31074 Charge: Adultry
Friday Sept. 8th 1905.

C. M. Slater ~~This~~ day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Jim Brown
No. 31027 Charge: D. S.
Wednesday Sept. 6" 1905.

Jim Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Emmet Ayres
No. 31034 Charge: D. S.
Wednesday Sept. 6" 1905.

Emmet Ayres This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Maud Boken
No. 31033 Charge: A. A.
Thursday Sept. 7" 1905.

Maud Boken This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Bob Stell
No. 31036 Charge: Pistol
Wednesday Sept. 6th 1905.

Bob Stell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Joe Gimon
No. 31042 Charge: Theft.
Monday Sept. 18th 1905.

Joe Gimon This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Alice Little
No. 31047 Charge: A. & A.
Monday Sept. 18th 1905.

Alice Little This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mary J. Vining
No. 31044. Charge: Dist. Peace
Thursday Sept. 7th 1905.

Mary J. Vining in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Horace Hord
No. 31065 Charge: Pistol
Thursday Sept. 14th 1905.

Horace Hord in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Madie Parker
No. 31073 Charge: Dist. Peace
Friday Sept. 8th 1905,

Madie Parker in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Charley Johnson
No. 31081 Charge: D. S.
Thursday Sept. 14th 1905.

Charley Johnson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Press Mitchell
No. 31083 Charge: D. S.
Thursday Sept. 7th 1905.

Press Mitchell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bob. Hatter
No. 31084 Charge: D. S.
Thursday Sept. 14th 1905.

Bob. Hatter This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Press Mitchell
No. 31086 Charge: O. S.
Thursday Sept. 7th 1905.

Press Mitchell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Abe Burling
No. 31087 Charge: O. S.
Friday Oct. 20th 1905.

Abe Burling This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Emil Johnson
No. 31090 Charge: O. S.
Friday Oct. 20th 1905.

Emil Johnson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mary Smith
No. 31101
Charge: Theft.
Friday Oct. 20th 1905.

Mary Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Grover Brown
No. 31110
Charge: A. A.
Thursday Sept. 7th 1905.

Grover Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Buck Starr
No. 31116
Charge: A. A.
Saturday Sept. 16th 1905.

Buck Starr This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Henry Lee
No. 31117 Charge: A. A.
Friday Oct. 20 1905.

Henry Lee This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Monroe Green
No. 31171 Charge: Drunk
Friday Sept. 8" 1905.

Monroe Green This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Will Miller
No. 31138 Charge: O. S.
Wednesday Sept. 6" 1905,

Will Miller This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Edith Gray
No. 31139 Charge: Theft.
Tuesday Sept. 19th 1905.

Edith Gray This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Dan Doggett
No. 31141 Charge: M. a. Nuisance
Thursday Sept. 14th 1905.

Dan Doggett This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lee Herring
No. 31144 Charge: A. A.
Saturday Sept. 9th 1905.

Lee Herring This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Myrtle Casey } No 31145 Charge: Ab. Law
Tuesday Sept. 19" 1905.

Myrtle Casey This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
C. E. Boggs } No 31157 Charge: A. A.
Saturday Sept. 9" 1905.

C. E. Boggs This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. E. Boggs } No 31152 Charge: A. A.
Saturday Sept. 9" 1905.

C. E. Boggs This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Bud Boonett
No 31155 Charge: A. A.
Saturday Sept. 9 1905.

Bud Boonett This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Lizzie Adams
No 3097 Charge: A. A.
Friday Sept. 8" 1905.

Lizzie Adams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
H. M. Killen
No 31977 Charge: Ab. Law.
Tuesday Sept. 12" 1905.

H. M. Killen This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Geo. Jordon
No 31908 Charge: Theft
Tuesday Sept. 19" 1905.

Geo. Jordon This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
W. F. Derinskie
No 31709 Charge: Theft.
Monday Sept. 18" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Bob Emerson
No 31710 Charge: Theft.
Tuesday Sept. 19" 1905.

Bob Emerson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905.

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Harry Hightower

No. 3/711 Charge: Theft.

Tuesday Sept. 19 1905.

Harry Hightower This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
G. B. Brinklay

No. 3/735 Charge: Theft.

Friday Oct. 20 1905.

G. B. Brinklay This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Rolly Brown

No. 3/739 Charge: Vag.

Tuesday Sept. 19 1905.

Rolly Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Alentha Johnson
No 31740
Charge: Vag.
Tuesday Sept. 19" 1905.

Alentha Johnston This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Robt. Pitts
No 31741
Charge: Vag.
Tuesday Sept. 19" 1905.

Robt Pitts This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
H. Simpson
No 31742
Charge: Vag.
Tuesday Sept. 19" 1905.

H. Simpson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905.

Texas Printing and Law Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Willie Love

No. 31744 Charge: Viol.

Tuesday Sept. 19 1905.

Willie Love This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jas Thomas

No. 31745 Charge: Viol.

Thursday Sept. 21 1905.

Jas Thomas This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mayo Redman

No. 31746 Charge: Viol.

Tuesday Sept. 19 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Hawk Hawor

No. 31747 Charge: Vag.

Tuesday Sept. 19" 1905.

Hawk Hawor

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Chas. Croft.

No. 31750 Charge: Vag.

Wednesday Sept. 20" 1905.

Chas Croft.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Hemphill

No. 31754 Charge: Vag.

Wednesday Sept. 20" 1905.

Jim Hemphill

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Geo. Peace
No. 31755 Charge: Vag.
Friday Oct. 20th 1905.

Geo. Peace This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Fred Brown
No. 31757 Charge: Vag.
Tuesday Sept. 19th 1905.

Fred Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Harley Grigsby
No. 31758 Charge: Vag.
Thursday Sept. 21st 1905.

Harley Grigsby This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ed Brown
No. 3176 Charge: Vag.
Tuesday Sept. 19" 1905,

Ed Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Geo. Sewell
No. 3177 Charge: Vag.
Tuesday Sept. 19" 1905,

Geo. Sewell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Rosa Stanley
No. 3133 Charge: For.
Saturday Sept. 30" 1905.

Rosa Stanley This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Will Wade
No. 31318 Charge: D. D.
Mnday Oct. 2nd 1905.

Will Wade This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
W. H. Hall
No. 31310 Charge: Vag.
Friday Oct. 20th 1905.

W. H. Hall This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Roy Trusty
No. 31326 Charge: For -
Wednesday Oct. 4th 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept. Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Lee Welch
No. 31378 Charge: A. A.
Friday Oct. 20 "1905.

Lee Welch This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Bob. Hargrove
No. 31366 Charge: Ab. Law,
Friday Oct. 20 "1905

Bob. Hargrove This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
D. Powell
No. 31368 Charge: A, A,
Friday, Oct. 20 "1905

D. Powell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County,_____Term, 189__

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
_____

No._____

Charge: _____

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
_____

No._____

Charge: _____

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
_____

No._____

Charge: _____

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant _____ in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in Nov. A.D. 1905. there was begun & holden a regular term of the County Court at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, present & presiding the Hon. R. J. Milam, County Judge, Ted D. McLean Co. Atty. R. L. Rogers Co. Clk. and John D. Hooks Sheriff of Tarrant County, when the following proceedings were had upon the criminal docket of said Court.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
S. L. Price
No. 30491
Charge: S. L. in L. O. P.
Friday Dec. 22nd 1905.

S. L. Price This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant S. L. Price in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jap Henley
No. 30492
Charge: Card
Friday Dec. 22nd 1905.

Jap Henley This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jap Henley in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sig Summers
No. 30493
Charge: Card
Thursday Dec. 21st 1905.

Sig Summers This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Sig Summers in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Geo. Lettlen
No. 30502

Charge: Lottery

Wednesday Dec. 20" 1905.

Geo. Lettlen This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
S. L. Price
No. 30514

Charge: O. D.

Thursday 21" 1905.

S. L. Price This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. B. Crass
No. 30528

Charge: Selling to Minor

Wednesday Dec. 20" 1905.

J. B. Crass This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1905,

Be it remembered that on the first Monday in Nov. A.D. 1905. The same being the 6th day of Nov. A.D. 1905. there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, Presided and presiding the Hon. W. F. Milam Co. Judge, Jeff. D. McLean Co. atty. J. R. L. Rogers Co. Clk and John J. Hined Sheriff

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Bob. Ferrell } No 30578 Charge: Theft. Monday Dec. 18" 1905

Bob. Ferrell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Willie Smith alias Doy } No 30596 Charge: Theft. Tuesday Dec. 19th 1905,

Willie Smith alias Doy This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Son Campbell } No 30662 Charge: Theft. Thursday Dec. 21" 1905.

Son Campbell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Lucile Wade
No. 30717 Charge: A. A.
Monday Dec. 18" 1905.

Lucile Wade This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Frank Mathews
No. 30877 Charge: Policy
Thursday Dec. 21" 1905.

Frank Mathews This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Dow Jones
No. 30875 Charge: Theft by Bailee
Monday Nov. 13" 1905.

Dow Jones This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
O. McCain & L. Mays } No. 30813 Charge: For.

Wednesday Dec. 20" 1905.

O. McCain & L. Mays This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Levi Robinson } No. 30899 Charge: Ap

Wednesday Dec. 20" 1905.

Levi Robinson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Melvina Butler } No. 30903 Charge: Ap

Friday Nov. 17" 1905.

Melvina Butler This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Ed Brady
No. 30931 Charge: Cards
Thursday Dec. 21st 1905.

Ed Brady This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Peter Jones
No. 30932 Charge: Cards
Wednesday Dec. 20th 1905.

Peter Jones This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
John Brady
No. 30933 Charge: Cards
Wednesday Dec. 20th 1905.

John Brady This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov, Term, 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Charlie Moore } No. 30936 Charge: Card
Tuesday Dec. 12" 1905.

Charlie Moore This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Cornelius Dauchett } No. 30938 Charge: Cards
Monday Dec. 18" 1905.

Cornelius Dauchett This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Chester Evans } No. 30939 Charge: Cards
Wednesday Dec. 20" 1905,

Chester Evans This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov, Term, 1905,

Texas Printing and Litho. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Geo. Campbell
No. 30941 Charge: Cards
Thursday Dec. 21" 1905.

Geo. Campbell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
A. Wright
No. 30942 Charge: Cards
Thursday Dec. 21" 1905.

A. Wright This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Claude Zimmerman
No. 30945 Charge: Theft,
Thursday Dec. 21 1905,

Claude Zimmerman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Geo. Watson

No. 30968 Charge: Theft.

Monday Nov. 13" 1905.

Geo. Watson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Bill Goin

No. 30969 Charge: A. A.

Tuesday Dec. 19" 1905.

Bill Goin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Will Jackson

No. 30973 Charge: A. A.

Friday Nov. 17" 1905.

Will Jackson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905

Texas Printing and Bdg. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Wm Williams & Eva Stephens
No. 30975 Charge: Adultery
Thursday Dec. 21st 1905.

Wm Williams & Eva Stephens in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Henry McCastle
No. 30981 Charge: A. A.
Thursday Dec. 21st 1905.

Henry McCastle in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lillie Livingston
No. 30985 Charge: Dist. Peace
Wednesday Dec. 20th 1905.

Lillie Livingston in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
B. B. Bowen
No 30986 Charge: Dist. Plead
Wednesday Dec. 20th 1905.

B. B. Bowen This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Lottie Freeman
No 30989 Charge: Fighting
Friday Nov. 17th 1905,

Lottie Freeman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Jeff Davis
No 30998 Charge: Adultery
Thursday Dec. 21st 1905.

Jeff Davis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

Texas Printing and Ltg. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
James Cantrell
No. 3000
Charge: Vag.
Monday Dec. 18" 1905,

James Cantrell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Chas. Brooks
No. 3010
Charge: M. Mischief
Monday Dec 18" 1905.

Chas Brooks This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
R. P. Adams
No. 3023
Charge: Theft,
Monday Dec. 18" 1905,

R. P. Adams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
C. H. Betts
No. 31044
Charge: A. A.
Thursday Dec. 21 1905.

C. H. Betts This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
L. Cuney
No. 31070
Charge: Selling ½ G. to minor
Monday Dec. 18" 1905.

L. Cuney This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
L. Cuney
No. 31071
Charge: S. & G. to minor
Wednesday Dec. 20" 1905.

L. Cuney This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Will O. Williams } No. 31072 Charge: Keg. Monday Dec. 18" 1905.

Will O. Williams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Will O. Williams in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. C. M. Carr } No. 31074 Charge: P. D. without License Thursday Dec. 21" 1905.

C. M. Carr This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant C. M. Carr in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Jack Kidwell } No. 31100 Charge: O. S. Monday Dec. 18" 1905.

Jack Kidwell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant Jack Kidwell in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Henry Killingham } No 31111 Charge: Theft.
Friday Dec. 15" 1905.

Henry Killingham This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Annie Ferguson } No 31127 Charge: A. A.
Friday Dec. 15" 1905.

Annie Ferguson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
John Hallis } No 31129 Charge: apposing & R an officer
Thursday Dec. 21" 1905.

John Hallis This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Wm O. Perry

No. 3135 Charge: Theft

Friday Dec. 15" 1905.

Wm O. Perry

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Frank Simmons

No. 31146 Charge: A. A.

Saturday Dec. 16" 1905.

Frank Simmons

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Edna Wallace

No. 31154 Charge: Theft

Saturday Dec. 23" 1905.

Edna Wallace

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. C. Kyle
No 3158 Charge: Ab. Law.
Monday Dec. 18" 1905.

J. C. Kyle This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Walter Lacy
No 3163 Charge: Theft.
Saturday Dec. 16" 1905.

Walter Lacy This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Chas. F. Sumpter
No 3174 Charge: Theft
Monday Dec. 18" 1905.

Chas F. Sumpter This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
S. Carb
No. 31173 Charge: M. a Nuisance
Monday Dec. 18" 1905,

S. Carb This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Paul Williams
No. 31175 Charge: Theft.
Wednesday Nov. 15" 1905,

Paul Williams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
P. I. Hood
No. 31177 Charge: Theft
Saturday Dec. 16" 1905,

P. I. Hood This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov., Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Tom Buckley
No. 31180
Charge: Theft
Saturday Dec. 16" 1905

Tom Buckley This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
G. G. Cobb
No. 31187
Charge: Pistol
Saturday Dec. 16" 1905.

G. G. Cobb This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
G. G. Cobb
No. 31184
Charge: A. A.
Saturday Dec. 16 1905,

G. G. Cobb This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
L. Stell
No. 3196 Charge: A. A.
Saturday Dec. 16" 1905,

L. Stell This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ned Tatum
No. 31703 Charge: Pistol
Friday Dec. 15" 1905,

Ned Tatum This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lala Babosett
No. 31717 Charge: A. A.,
Saturday Dec. 16" 1905,

Lala Babosett This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
C. M. Done
No 3177 Charge: A. A.
Thursday Nov. 23" 1905

C. M. Done This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ed Hutchins
No 3179 Charge: A. A.
Saturday Dec. 16" 1905.

Ed Hutchins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jim Rafferty
No 3175 Charge: Rift.
Saturday Dec. 16" 1905.

Jim Rafferty This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
W. H. Hall
No. 3124 Charge: Vag.
Friday Dec. 15" 1905,

W. H. Hall This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ruby Foster
No. 3148 Charge: Vag.
Friday Dec. 15" 1905,

Ruby Foster ~~This day~~ came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jess Fowler
No. 3156 Charge: Vag.
Monday Dec. 18" 1905.

Jess Fowler This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Dom Mason
No. 31762 Charge: Vag.

Monday Dec. 18" 1905.

Dom Mason This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. L. Green
No. 31780 Charge: A. A.

Saturday Dec. 16" 1905.

J. L. Green This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. L. Green
No. 31781 Charge: Pistol

Saturday Dec. 16" 1905.

J. L. Green This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Sophia Lawnon
No 31784 Charge: Vag.
Thursday Dec. 14" 1905.

Sophia Lawnon This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Rosa Goldstein
No 31785 Charge: Vag.
Monday Dec. 11" 1905.

Rosa Goldstein This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Rosa Goldstein
No. 31788 Charge: Vag.
Monday Dec. 11" 1905.

Rosa Goldstein This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Sadie Syster

No. 31789 Charge: Vag.
Thursday Dec 14" 1905.

Sadie Syster This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Ada Polk

No. 31790 Charge: Disk. Peace,
Saturday Dec. 16" 1905

Ada Polk This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Joe Smith

No. 31791 Charge: Assault
Thursday Dec. 14" 1905,

Joe Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Bettie Goodman } No. 31794 Charge: Vag.

Tuesday Dec. 12th 1905,

Bettie Goodman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Sadie Smith } No. 31793 Charge: Vag.

Saturday Dec. 16th 1905,

Sadie Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Sadie Levy } No. 31794 Charge: Vag.

Thursday Dec. 14th 1905,

Sadie Levy This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Sadie Levy
No. 3179. Charge: Vag.
Tuesday Dec. 13" 1905.

Sadie Levy in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
H. D. Borden
No. 3130 Charge: Theft
Monday Dec. 11" 1905.

H. D. Borden in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Mrs. Mary Schubert
No. 3135 1 Charge: Selling to minor
Saturday Dec. 16" 1905,

Mrs. Mary Schubert in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, _____ Term, 189__

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Daisy Stewart

No. 31385 Charge: A. A.

Thursday Dec. 14th 1905.

Daisy Stewart in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jos Johnson

No. 31396 Charge: Card

Saturday Dec. 16th 1905.

Jos Johnson in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Josh Lovell

No. 31397 Charge: Card

Thursday Dec 14th 1905.

Josh Lovell in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jim Dowlin
No. 31398
Charge: Card
Tuesday Dec. 12" 1905.

Jim Dowlin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Henry Dodson
No. 31400
Charge: Card
Monday Dec. 11" 1905.

Henry Dodson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. C. Daylor
No. 31401
Charge: Card
Monday Dec. 11" 1905.

J. C. Daylor This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
W. C. McLeod
No. 31404 Charge: Card
Monday Dec. 11th 1905.

W. C. McLeod This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
W. H. Tomlin
No. 31406 Charge: Card
Thursday Dec. 14th 1905.

W. H. Tomlin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
W. O. S. Wordlaw
No. 31408 Charge: Cards
Thursday Dec. 14th 1905.

W. O. S. Wordlaw This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Bergman
No. 3140 Charge: Card
Thursday Dec. 14th 1905.

John Bergman This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Hampton
No. 31411 Charge: Card
Saturday Dec. 16th 1905.

John Hampton This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
G. Rowe
No. 31413 Charge: Card
Monday Dec. 11th 1905.

G. Rowe This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov, Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
W. B. Jackson
No 3141 3 Charge: Card
Wednesday Dec, 13" 1905.

W. B. Jackson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
W. B. Brown
No 3141 4 Charge: Card
Thursday Dec. 14" 1905.

W. B. Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
J. B. Ricker
No. 3141 5 Charge: Card
Tuesday Dec 14" 1905.

J. B. Ricker This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov., Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
John Hull
No. 31417 Charge: Card
Thursday Dec. 14" 1905.

John Hull This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Geen Dunpin
No. 31418 Charge: Card
Saturday Dec. 16" 1905.

Geen Dunpin This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
G. H. Bostwick
No. 31419 Charge: Card
Monday Nov. 6" 1905.

G. H. Bostwick This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov, Term, 1905

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Will Hurst
No 31473 Charge: Card
Saturday Dec. 16" 1905.

Will Hurst This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mike Cassidy
No 31476 Charge: S. S.
Thursday Dec. 14" 1905.

Mike Cassidy This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Henry Jackson
No. 31477 Charge: Card
Monday Nov. 6" 1905.

Henry Jackson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Bob Williams
No. 31435 Charge:
Thursday Nov. 17 1905.

Bob Williams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
U, Ho,
No. 31438 Charge: Fan Tan ✓
Tuesday Nov. 14" 1905,

U, Ho This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John Lee
No. 31439 Charge: Fan Tan ✓
Tuesday Nov. 14" 1905,

John Lee This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jon Ryn
Jon Ryn

No. 31440 Charge: Fan Fan
Monday Nov. 13" 1905,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Sam Hall
Sam Hall

No. 31441 Charge: Fan Fan
Monday Nov. 13" 1905,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jess Henry
Jess Henry

No. 31442 Charge: Fan Fan
Saturday Nov. 11" 1905,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov, Term, 1905,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Levi Robinson
No 31444 Charge: Card
Tuesday Nov. 7" 1905.

Levi Robinson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jon Jones
No 31445 Charge: Card
Monday Dec. 11" 1905.

Jon Jones This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Jon Smith
No 31446 Charge: Card
Thursday Dec 14" 1905.

Jon Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. Josh Lovell } No. 31448 Charge: Card's Tuesday Dec 12" 1905.

Josh Lovell

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. R. A. Williams } No. 31463 Charge: Theft Thursday Dec 14" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Frank McMillon } No. 31470 Charge: A. A. Wednesday Dec. 13" 1905.

Frank McMillon

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Elmer Mayfield } No. 31472 Charge: A. A.
Friday Dec. 15th 1905.

Elmer Mayfield This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
Harry Patton } No. 31474 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 15th 1905.

Harry Patton This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Wm Scott & Callie Duty } No. 31477 Charge: Adultery
Thursday Dec. 14th 1905.

Wm Scott & Callie Duty This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
J. W. Woods & Minnie Williams
No. 31478 Charge: Adultery
Saturday Dec. 16" 1905.

J. W. Woods & Minnie Williams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
E. C. Orrick
No. 31506 Charge: A. A.
Thursday Dec. 14" 1905.

E. C. Orrick This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
Walter Mann
No. 31510 Charge: Vag.
Thursday Dec. 14" 1905.

Walter Mann This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
E. N. Bodker
No. 31517 Charge: Theft
Thursday Dec. 14" 1905.

E. N. Bodker This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Francis Gill
No. 31522 Charge: For,
Saturday Dec. 16" 1905,

Francis Gill This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
John McDonald
No. 31523 Charge: For.
Thursday Dec. 14" 1905.

John McDonald This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

Texas Printing and Lith. Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Emma Dammill
No 31577 Charge: For.
Thursday Dec. 14" 1905.

Emma Dammill in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Richard Bennett
No 31534 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 15" 1905.

Richard Bennett in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
C. Fowler
No 31536 Charge: For,
Wednesday Nov. 8" 1905.

C. Fowler in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Oscar Carr
No 31536 Charge: For.
Wednesday Nov. 8" 1905,

Oscar Carr This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
M. D. Haley
No 31539 Charge: Theft
Thursday Dec. 14" 1905,

M. D. Haley This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Clay Hambrick
No 31540 Charge: Ab. Law
Tuesday Dec 12" 1905,

Clay Hambrick This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

Texas Printing and Ruling Co., Printers & Stationers, Ft. Worth, Tex.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Bill Thomas
No. 31548 Charge: Theft
Tuesday Nov. 14" 1905.

Bill Thomas This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Joe Jones
No. 31549 Charge: Theft
Tuesday Nov. 14" 1905.

Joe Jones This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Nellie Beaty
No. 31550 Charge: Assault
Saturday Dec. 16" 1905.

Nellie Beaty This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mary Maxey
No. 31551 Charge: Assault
Tuesday Dec. 14" 1905.

Mary Maxey in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Geo. Watton
No. 31563 Charge: A. A.
Thursday Dec. 14" 1905.

Geo. Watton in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
O. F. Sweeny
No. 31566 Charge: Ab. Law
Monday Nov. 13" 1905.

O. F. Sweeny in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Jno. Lewis
Jno. Lewis

No. 31577 Charge: Pistol
Saturday Nov. 18" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Hester Harris
Hester Harris

No. 31579 Charge: A. A.
Thursday Dec. 14" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Josie Boyd
Josie Boyd

No. 31586 Charge: A. A.
Monday Dec. 11" 1905.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
J. A. Bennett
No. 31607
Charge: O. S.
Thursday Nov. 23" 1905.

J. A. Bennett This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Mike Billington
No. 31638
Charge: Keep.
Thursday Dec. 14" 1905.

Mike Billington This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

---

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Tyner Edwards
No. 31645
Charge: Card
Friday Nov. 24" 1905.

Tyner Edwards This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov. Term, 1905.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Will Robinson
No. 31669 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Nov. 29" 1905.

Will Robinson This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
No. Charge:

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
No. Charge:

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Jany. Term, 1906.

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in Jany. A.D. 1906, there was begun & holden a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, Present and Presiding the Hon. R. D. Mclean Judge. Jeff D. McLean Co. Atty. & John S. Hanna Sheriff & R. L. Brock Co. Clk. when the following proceedings were had upon the criminal docket of said Court.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. John Donnelly } No. 29071 Charge: A. A. Wednesday Jany. 3rd 1906.

John Donnelly This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, vs. John Higgins } No. 29361 Charge: Theft, Tuesday Jany. 2nd 1906.

John Higgins This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS vs. Hampton Keen } No. 29579 Charge: A. A. Tuesday Jany. 2nd 1906,

Hampton Keen This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1906.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
W. D. Brown
No. 29887 Charge: D. S.
Wednesday Jany. 3" 1906.

W. D. Brown This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Ira Williams
No. 29895 Charge:
Thursday Jany. 4" 1906.

Ira Williams This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Lee Reeves
No. 30063 Charge: Ab. Law
Thursday Jany. 4" 1906,

Lee Reeves This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1906,

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Burk Parks
No. 30065 Charge: Vag.
Monday Jany 1st 1906.

Burk Parks This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Phil Smith
No. 30197 Charge: Pistol
Wednesday Jany 3rd 1906

Phil Smith This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
George Vaughn
No. 30230 Charge: D. & Minor
Wednesday Jany 3rd 1906,

George Vaughn This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1906.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Square Rogers
No. 30240
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Jany. 3" 1906.

Square Rogers in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
vs.
Charley Miller
No. 30299
Charge: Theft.
Thursday Jany. 4" 1906.

Charley Miller in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
vs.
Geo. King
No. 30325
Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Jany. 3" 1906,

Geo. King in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

# County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1906.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
A. R. Cromer
No. 30358 Charge: Ab. Assl.
Wednesday Jany. 3" 1906

A. R. Cromer in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
*vs.*
A. R. Cromer
No. 30329 Charge: A. A.
Wednesday Jany. 3" 1906.

A. R. Cromer in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

THE STATE OF TEXAS
*vs.*
J. S. Miller
No. 30360 Charge: Assault
Tuesday Jany. 2" 1906,

J. S. Miller in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." And the Court being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is, therefore, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

END