PRESERVATION OF THIS
HISTORICAL RECORD IS DEDICATED
TO TARRANT COUNTY
AND AUTHORIZED BY

The Tarrant County Clerk

PRESERVATION OF THIS HISTORICAL RECORD
IS DEDICATED TO TARRANT COUNTY
AND AUTHORIZED BY

The Tarrant County Clerk

AND

B. GLEN WHITLEY
COUNTY JUDGE

ROY C. BROOKS
COMMISSIONER, PRECINCT 1

ANDY H. NGUYEN
COMMISSIONER, PRECINCT 2

GARY FICKES
COMMISSIONER, PRECINCT 3

J.D. JOHNSON
COMMISSIONER, PRECINCT 4

CRIMINAL MINUTES COUNTY COURT

VOL. 6

TARRANT COUNTY

TAR 150
CRIMINAL MINUTES
COUNTY COURT
1886-87

Minutes County Court Tarrant County Texas

Vol. No 6.

Criminal Minutes City Court to
Tarrant Cty, TX FHL 763

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Monday the 1st day of March A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

The State of Texas,
County of Tarrant.

Be it remembered that on the first Monday in March A.D. 1886. (it being the 1st day of said month) there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court in and for said County and State, at the Court house thereof in the City of Fort Worth. Present. Hon. Sam Furman. County Judge presiding; also present N.R. Bowlin County attorney. Jno. F. Swayne. County Clerk. and W. T. Maddox. Sheriff of said County, when the following proceedings were had on the Criminal Docket of said court. to wit:

Friday. March 5th A.D. 1886. Court in regular session, all officers of Court present

3514 The State of Texas vs. Wm Drew. } Theft of property under value of $20.00 Friday March 5. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, as well as the said defendant in his own proper person. and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at Confinement in the County jail for the period of 10 days, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas have and recover of and from the defendant Wm Drew all costs herein incurred for which execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff do now take the defendant Wm Drew and confine him in the County jail of said County for the full period of 10 days. and thereafter to retain in custody said defendant until said Costs are paid in full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Saturday the 6th day of March A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Saturday March 6th 1886. Court in regular all officers of Court present.

3508. The State of Texas vs. H. J. Wood } Theft under Value of $20.00 Saturday March 6. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State as well as the said defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at one days imprisonment in the County jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take into custody said defendant H. J. Wood and confine him in the County Jail of said County for the full period of one day, and that The State of Texas have and recover of and from the defendant H. J. Wood all costs herein incurred for which execution may issue. and that the sheriff retain in custody said defendant until said costs are paid.

Monday March 15th 1886 Court in regular session, all officers of Court present.

3515. The State of Texas vs. Ben Griffen } Theft under value of $20.00 Monday March. 15. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state as well as the defendant in his own proper person. and both parties announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty — whereupon the Court assessed the defendants pun-

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

the day of A. D. 188

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

ishment at a Confinement in the County jail for the period of two days. It is therfore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take into Custody the said defendant Ben Griffen and confine him in the County jail of said County for the full period of two days, and that the state of Texas have and recover of said defendant all Costs of this prosecution. for which execution may issue, and that said defendant stand Committed to County jail until said Costs are paid,

Thursday March 18th 1886. Court in regular session, all officers of Court present.

2896 The State of Texas vs. Tom Stegall } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Thursday March 18. 1886.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, as well as the said defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, whereupon the court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Tom Stegall the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for all of which execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff now take said defendant in custody ~~until~~ and retain him in custody until said fine and costs are paid in full.

2897 The State of Texas vs. Tom Stegall. } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Thursday March. 18. 1886.

This day came the parties by their

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state as well as the said defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore consid- ered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Tom Stegall said sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all Costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3516 The State of Texas vs. Oscar Smith. } Theft of property under value $20. Thursday March 18. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. as well as the said defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at imprisonment in the County jail for the period of 30 days. It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take into custody said defendant & imprison him in the County Jail of said County for the full period of thirty days, and thereafter to retain him in custody said until said fine and costs are paid

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Thursday the 25. day of March A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Thursday March 25th. 1886. Court in regular Session all officers of Court present.

3518 The State of Texas vs. Frank Robbins } Theft under $20.00 Thursday March 25. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court. and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged. whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at imprisonment in the County jail for the period of ten (10) days. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take into custody said defendant Frank Robbins. and Confine him in the County jail of said county for the full period of ten (10) days. and that the state of Texas have and recover of and from the defendant all Costs herein incurred for which execution may issue and that the sheriff retain in custody said defendant until said fine costs are paid in full.

Monday Morning. 9 oclock. March 29. 1886. Court in regular Session. all officers of Court present. to wit: Sam Furman, County Judge. N. R. Boulin County attorney. Jno. F. Strayne, County Clerk and W. T. Maddox. Sheriff of said County. when the following proceedings were had to wit:

Jury.

Now comes W. T. Maddox, Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into open court the venire facias heretofore issued for petit jurors to serve this the 5th. week of the present term of the Court, and upon call the following named answered to wit: A. M. Fry. F. J. Cross. D. G. Tate. R. E. Duringer. J. N. Autry J. C. Burgess. W. W. Trippett. and M. J. Lewis.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the panel being incomplete; the sheriff after being duly sworn and instructed by the court was directed to summon other qualified jurors to fill the panel whereupon said sheriff returned into Court the following named as jurymen to wit: J. D. Lakin P. B. Atwood. Wm. Greaves Wm. Riley. R. C. Stepp H. L. Abston. A. Sinclair, F. T. Atkens, E. Hahn R. H. Murray. and all of said jurors were duly selected, sworn, tried and empanneled according to law.

2830 The State of Texas vs. Jake Johnson } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Monday March 29. 1886.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the said defendant by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged, whereupon the court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of $25.00.
It is Therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jake Johnson said sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take and retain into custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full to wit:

2836. The State of Texas vs. Luke Short. } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Monday March 29. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state; also came the said defendant by his attorney. and both parties announced ready for trial ~~the~~ a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Monday the 29 day of March A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

to the court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged, whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore consid- ered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said defendant Luke Short said sum of Twenty five ($25) dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs of this prosecution, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take & retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid

2848 The State of Texas vs. Chas. Dixon } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Monday March 29. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state as well as the said defendant by his attorney and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Charles Dixon said sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and Costs are paid

2860 The State of Texas vs. Jose Wilson. } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Monday March 29. 1886

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, as well as the said defendant by his attorney and both parties

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jose Wilson said sum of $25.00 together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid

2861 The State of Texas vs. Jose Wilson } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Monday March 31. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. also came the defendant by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jose Wilson said sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff of Tarrant County take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and Costs are paid in full

3174 The State of Texas vs. Dn. C. Harris, } Playing Cards in a Public place Monday March 31. 1886

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, as well as the said defendant by his attorney and both parties announced

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Monday the 31st day of March A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant M.C. Harris, said sum of Ten ($10.00) Dollars. together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, and that the Sheriff take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid

3201 The State of Texas vs. Martin Lavin & Steve Murrin. } Selling whiskey on Sunday Monday March 31. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and says he will no further prosecute this cause as to the defendant Murrin but asks leave of the Court to dismiss as to said defendant and files reasons therefore. It is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed as to said defendant Steve Murrin; and the said defendant Martin Lavin appearing in person and by attorney announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant Martin Lavin now here enters his plea of guilty as charged. whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas, do have and recover of and from the defendant Martin Lavin said sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that ~~execution~~ the Sheriff do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Monday the 29th day of March A. D. 1886.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3221. The State of Texas vs. Tom Holland } Playing cards in a Pub. place Monday March 29. 1886.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, as well as the said defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty — whereupon the court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Ten dollars. It is considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Tom Holland said sum of Ten dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3225. The State of Texas vs. Bill Kennedy } Playing cards in a public place Monday March 29. 1886.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state as well as the said defendant by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Bill Kennedy said sum of Ten dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and Costs execution may issue Further ordered that the sheriff do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Monday the 29th day of March A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3614

The State of Texas vs. Nat Williams } Theft under value of $20 00/100 Monday March 29. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, as well as the said defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury to wit: M.J. Lewis and five others, who were duly selected empannuled and sworn according to law. and the jury after hearing the indictment read and the defendants plea of guilty as charged returned into Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury assess the penalty at one days confinement in the County Jail"

(Signed) M. J. Lewis, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take into custody said defendant Nat Williams, and Confine him in the County Jail of said County for the full period of one day, and thereafter to retain said defendant in custody until all costs herein are paid.

3615.

The State of Texas vs. Burt Brodwick } Theft under value of $20 00/100 Monday March 29. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury to wit: M. J. Lewis, and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law, and the jury after hearing the indictment read and the defendants plea of guilty as charged whereupon the jury returned the following verdict to wit: "We the jury assess the penalty at thirty days Confinement in the County Jail" (Signed) M. J Lewis, Foreman, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take into custody said defendant and confine him in the County jail of said County for the full period of 30 days. & thereafter to retain him in custody said defendant until ~~and~~ all costs herein are paid in full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Monday the 29th day of March A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

(Cases Filed)

The following cases are ordered to the file Docket, it appearing to the Court that the defendants therein cannot be found and their whereabouts are not known) to wit:

2401. The State of Texas vs. T. G. Golden. } Obstructing Public St. in Fort Worth

2402 The State of Texas - vs - T. G. Golden.
Obstructing Public Street in Ft. Worth

2403. The State of Texas - vs - T. G. Golden.
Obstructing Public street in Ft. Worth

2404 The State of Texas - vs - T. G. Golden.
Obstructing Public street in Ft. Worth.

2665 The State of Texas - vs - B. Poore,
Embezzlement

2671. The State of Texas - vs - Willie Robinson.
Theft of property under $20.00

2805. The State of Texas - vs - Tom McLemore.
Unlawfully carrying a Pistol.

2811 The State of Texas - vs - Dick Bates.
Unlawfully carrying a Pistol

2812 The State of Texas - vs - Sam Cox.
Unlawfully carrying a Pistol

2813 The State of Texas - vs - Mat Brinson.
Unlawfully carrying a Pistol.

2844 The State of Texas - vs - Dock Harris.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2858 The State of Texas - vs - Charles Berry.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

2859 The State of Texas - vs - J. M. Miller.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2899 The State of Texas - vs - M. L. Turner
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2900 The State of Texas - vs - Henry Lark
Aggravated Assault

2903 The State of Texas - vs - Sam Crowley
Aggravated Assault.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Monday the 29th day of March A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

2951 The State of Texas -vs- John Wilson.
Theft under value of $20.00

2955 The State of Texas -vs- Soloman Playfair.
Theft under value of $20.00

2980 The State of Texas -vs- Jas. Torian.
Aggravated Assault.

2986 The State of Texas -vs- Eugene Ross.
Aggravated Assault

2990 The State of Texas -vs- Emma McVane.
Aggravated Assault.

(Alias Capias' Continued.)

It appearing to the Court that the defendants in the following named causes have not been arrested; it is considered and ordered by the Court that the Alias Capias' issue for the defendant in each of the following cases, and said cases are continued for the term, to wit:

2379. The State of Texas - vs - Joseph Laing.
Disturbing remains of Human body

2790 The State of Texas - vs - Johnnie Murphy.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2949 The State of Texas - vs - Ben Griffin.
Theft under under value $20.00

2950 The State of Texas - vs - Ben Griffin
Theft under value of $20.00

3019 The State of Texas - vs - Jim Atkins.
Betting at a Faro Bank.

3020 The State of Texas - vs - Jim Atkins
Betting at a Faro Bank

3021 The State of Texas - vs - Jim Atkins
Betting at a Faro Bank

3034 The State of Texas - vs - Pat McGuire.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3035 The State of Texas - vs - Pat McGuire.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3036 The State of Texas - vs - Pat McGuire.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3038 The State of Texas - vs - John Turner
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Monday the 29 day of March A. D. 1886.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

3039 The State of Texas -vs- John Turner
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3040 The State of Texas -vs- John Turner
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3044 The State of Texas -vs- Dave Runnels.
Betting at a Faro Bank

3061 The State of Texas -vs- Pat McGuire
Exhibiting Faro Bank

3068 The State of Texas -vs- Emma Johnson
Keeping Disorderly House.

3077 The State of Texas -vs- Wm Bernar.
Unlawfully carrying a Pistol.

3094 The State of Texas -vs- Jerry Bolin.
Theft under value of $20.00

3102 The State of Texas -vs- Lee Smith
Aggravated Assault.

3103 The State of Texas -vs- Lee Smith
Aggravated Assault.

3116 The State of Texas -vs- W. H. Rittenhouse
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3117 The State of Texas -vs- W. H. Rittenhouse
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3118 The State of Texas -vs- W. H. Rittenhouse
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3124 The State of Texas -vs- Dock Tanner
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3125 The State of Texas -vs- Dock Tanner
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3126 The State of Texas -vs- Dock Tanner
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3130 The State of Texas -vs- Emma Johnson
Aggravated Assault.

3138 The State of Texas -vs- Bill Cornelius
Unlawfully carrying a Pistol

3143. The State of Texas -vs- Mitchell Gladden
Unlawfully carrying Pistol

3144 The State of Texas -vs- Robt. Donnal
Unlawfully Carrying a Pistol.

3148 The State of Texas -vs- Bill Cornelius,
Disturbing the Peace

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Monday the 29 day of March A. D. 188

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3155 The State of Texas -vs- Bill Cornelius.
Aggravated Assault.

3173 The State of Texas -vs- Will Brooks.
Exhibiting Faro Bank.

3175 The State of Texas -vs- Ben Oliver.
Betting at Faro Bank.

3180 The State of Texas -vs- G. P. Thompson.
Aggravated Assault

3185 The State of Texas -vs- Fred Brown
Exhibiting Faro Bank

3186 The State of Texas -vs- Fred Brown
Exhibiting Faro Bank.

3193 The State of Texas -vs- Mitchell Gladden
Unlawfully carrying a Pistol

3195 The State of Texas -vs- Joe Freeman.
Violating Local Option law

3209 The State of Texas -vs- Joe Freeman.
Violating Local Option law

3211 The State of Texas -vs- John Blankenship
Assault.

3212 The State of Texas -vs- A. B. Russell
Unlawfully carrying a Pistol

3213 The State of Texas -vs- Tom Dawson.
Theft of a hog.

3239 The State of Texas -vs- Jim Thurman
Theft of a hog.

3248 The State of Texas -vs- Malam Hurst
Unlawfully carrying a Pistol

3259 The State of Texas -vs- S. W. Barber.
Aggravated Assault.

3260 The State of Texas -vs- S. W. Barber
Unlawfully carrying a Pistol.

3270 The State of Texas -vs- Will. Miller.
Aggravated Assault.

(Continued by Consent).

By and between &c—

(over)

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

(Continued by Consent.)

By Consent of the parties hereto it is considered and ordered by the Court that the following cases be continued until next term. to wit:

2822 The State of Texas -vs- G. W. Day -
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2824 The State of Texas -vs- G. W. Day
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2837 The State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2838 The State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2846 The State of Texas -vs- Alex Reddick.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2849 The State of Texas -vs- G. W. Day.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2851 The State of Texas -vs- G. W. Day.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2852 The State of Texas -vs- Luke Short
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2853 The State of Texas -vs- Luke Short.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2855 The State of Texas -vs- Alex Reddick.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2865 The State of Texas -vs- Alex Reddick
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2866 The State of Texas -vs- Louis Maas.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2868 The State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2869 The State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2870 The State of Texas -vs- G. W. Day.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2871 The State of Texas -vs- G. W. Day.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2872 The State of Texas -vs- Luke Short
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2873 The State of Texas -vs- Luke Short
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Monday the 29th day of March A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

2876 The State of Texas -vs- E. H. Day.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2877 The State of Texas -vs- E. H. Day
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2878 The State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2879 The State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

2880 The State of Texas -vs- Louis Maas
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

2884 The State of Texas -vs- Luke Short.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2885 The State of Texas -vs- Jose Wilson.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2886 The State of Texas -vs- Jose Wilson.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2887 The State of Texas -vs- Alex Reddick.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2888 The State of Texas -vs- Alex Reddick.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2891 The State of Texas -vs- Luke Short
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2892 The State of Texas -vs- Geo. Andrews.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2894 The State of Texas -vs- M. F. McLane.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

2895 The State of Texas -vs- M. F. McLane.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3013 The State of Texas -vs- W. D. Cameron
Adultery.

3014 The State of Texas -vs- Lou Morris
Adultery.

3159 The State of Texas -vs- Atchinson.
Aggravated Assault

3160 The State of Texas -vs- Houston Atchinson
Aggravated Assault

3161 The State of Texas -vs- Houston Atchinson.
Aggravated Assault

3220 The State of Texas -vs- M. C. Harris
Playing Cards in Public Place

3269 The State of Texas -vs- Bob Price - Aggravated Assault.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Monday the 29th day of March A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

2516 The State of Texas vs. Wm. Steiner } Mol. Pros. Monday March 29. 1886

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and says he will no further prosecute this cause but asks leave of the Court to dismiss the same and files reasons for a dismissal of said cause, it is therefore ordered by the court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

2649 The State of Texas vs. George Palmer } Theft under value of $20.00 Monday March 29. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and says he will no further prosecute this cause but asks leave of the Court to dismiss the same and files reasons therefor. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

2686 The State of Texas vs. S. D. Harris } Theft under value of $20.00 Monday March 29. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and says he will no further prosecute this cause but asks leave of the Court th to dismiss the same and files his reasons therefor, it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

2927 The State of Texas vs. John Guesseges } Aggravated Assault Monday March 29. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and says he will no further prosecute this cause, but asks leave of the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Monday the 29th day of March A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Court to dismiss the same. and files reasons therefor it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed. and that said defendant go hence without day

3000 The State of Texas vs. J. Y. Wheat } Pursuing Occupation without License Monday March 29th 1886.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and says he will no further prosecute this cause but will dismiss the same it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed. and that said defendant go hence without day.

3150 The State of Texas vs. Dave Rogers } Disturbing the Peace Monday March 29. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and says he will no further prosecute this cause. but asks leave of the Court to dismiss this Cause and files reasons therefor. it is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3304 The State of Texas vs. F. W. Bradley } Selling whiskey on Sunday Monday March 29. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. and says he will no further prosecute this cause but will dismiss the same. and it appearing to the Court that the reasons therefor are sufficient. it is ordered that this cause be and the same is dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning. 9 o'clock.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Tuesday the 30th day of March A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Tuesday morning, 9 o'clock. March 30th A.D. 1886 Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

2923. The State of Texas vs. John Stewart. } Selling whiskey on Sunday Tuesday March 30th 1886

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury to wit: Pete Atwood and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn. and the said defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty".

(Signed) Pete Atwood. Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing of said defendant by reason of this prosecution. and that said defendant go hence without day.

3065. The State of Texas vs. Harry Clark } Aggravated Assault Tuesday March 30th 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, as well as the said defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury to wit: S.M. Fry. and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law. and the indictment being now read to the jury, to the charge therein the said defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Tuesday the 30th day of March A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant Harry Clark Not guilty" (Signed) J. No Fry. Foreman. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that The State of Texas take nothing of said defendant by reason of this prosecution, and that said defendant Harry Clark go hence without day.

3113. The State of Texas vs. Ella Blackwell } Keeping Disorderly House. Tuesday March 30. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the defendant in her own proper person and by her attorney and both parties announced ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: H. L. Abston and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law, thereupon the County attorney read to the jury the indictment herein, and the said defendant duly entered her plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty" (Signed) H. L. Abston. Foreman. It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court, that the State of Texas do take nothing of said defendant by reason of this prosecution, and that said defendant Ella Blackwell go hence without day.

3223. The State of Texas vs. John Swanson } Playing cards in Pub. place Tuesday March 30th 1886

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, as well as the said defendant by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: A. Sinclair. and five

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Tuesday the 30th day of March A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn thereupon the County attorney read to the jury the indictment herein. and the defendant entered his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict. and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess the fine at $15.00" A. Sinclair, Foreman. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant John Arranson, said sum of Fifteen dollars, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3226 The State of Texas vs. W. T. Grigsby. } Playing cards in a Public place Tuesday March 30th 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state as well as the said defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: F. T. Atkins and five others who were duly selected. empanneled and sworn according to law. thereupon the County attorney read to the jury the indictment herein and the said defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant W. T. Grigsby not guilty"

(Signed) F. T. Atkins. Foreman.

It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing of said defendant by reason of this prosecution. and that said defendant go hence without day

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Tuesday the 30th day of March A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3227

The State of Texas vs. Burb Bondurant } Playing cards in Pub. place. Tuesday March 30. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, as well as the said defendant by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: A. Sinclair and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law. thereupon the County attorney read to the jury the indictment herein, and the defendant entered his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at $15.00. Signed, A. Sinclair, Foreman. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Burb Bondurant said sum of Fifteen dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning. 9 o'clock.

Wednesday morning 9 o'clock March 31st 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment, present as on yesterday.

3271. The State of Texas vs. H. Byrne. } Selling Beer to Minor. Wednesday March 31st 1886

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. and says he will no further prosecute this cause but will dismiss the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Wednesday the 31st day of March A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

same and files reasons therefor. It is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that said defendant go hence without day.

3272 The State of Texas vs. H. Byrne } Selling Beer to a minor. Wednesday March 31st 1886.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and says he will no further prosecute this cause but will dismiss the same. and files reasons therefor, it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

(Continued for Service)

It appearing to the Court that in the following cases the defendants have not been arrested, it is ordered by the Court that alias Capias' issue for said defendants and the said causes are continued for service to wit:

3281 The State of Texas - vs - Jack Goens.
Disturbing Religious Worship.

3286 The State of Texas - vs - Bill Atterberry.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3288 The State of Texas - vs - ——— Abbott.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3289 The State of Texas - vs - Harry Hewey.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3290 The State of Texas - vs - Harry Hewey
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3291 The State of Texas - vs - Harry Hewey.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3313 The State of Texas - vs - ——— McCarty.
Betting at a Gaming Bank

3316 The State of Texas - vs - One Charley
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3382 The State of Texas - vs - L. H. Atchinson
Betting at Faro Bank

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Wednesday the 31st day of March A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3404 The State of Texas -vs- E. Morris
Occupation without License.

3406 The State of Texas -vs- John McCarlin
Occupation without License.

3407 The State of Texas -vs- L. C. Payne
Occupation without License.

3422 The State of Texas -vs- W. E. Kaye.
Occupation without License.

3427 The State of Texas -vs- J. L. Palmer.
Occupation without License

3431 The State of Texas -vs- E. L. Morris.
Occupation without License.

3438 The State of Texas -vs- W. H. Aldridge.
Occupation without License.

3452 The State of Texas -vs- H. C. Wharton
Occupation without License.

3453 The State of Texas -vs- E. W. Morton
Occupation without License.

+3454 The State of Texas -vs- J. W. Alexander
Occupation without License. +

3458 The State of Texas -vs- T. W. Conwall.
Occupation without License.

+3283 The State of Texas -vs- J. R. Bosh
Aggravated Assault

3283 The State of Texas vs. J R Bosh } Aggravated Assault Wednesday March 31. 1886.

Ordered that this cause be continued.

3284 The State of Texas vs. G. D. Monroe } Aggravated Assault Wednesday March 31. 1886.

Ordered that this cause be continued.

3383. The State of Texas vs. W. B. McKensey } Running Billiard Table without License Wednesday March 31st 1886.

Ordered that alias Capias issue for the defendant herein.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Wednesday the 31st day of March A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3298 The State of Texas vs. John Graham & Wm. Bohn } Selling whiskey & Beer on Sunday Wednesday March 31st 1886

This day came the County attorney and the defendant John Graham in his own proper person and by his attorney, and said defendant asks for a severance on the trial of this cause and that he said John Graham be placed first upon trial, it is so ordered by the Court, and said parties announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury to wit: S. M. Fry and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law, and the indictment herein being read to the jury. the said defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict, to wit: "We the jury find the defendant John Graham guilty. and assess his punishment at twenty dollars fine. S. M. Fry. Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant John Graham said sum of Twenty dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue, and that the sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid

3303. The State of Texas vs. Charles Gay } Selling whiskey & Beer on Sunday Wednesday March 31st 1886.

This day came the parties by their attorneys & the defendant appearing in his own proper person and by his attorneys, and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury to wit: F. T. Adkins and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law. thereupon the County

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Wednesday the 31st day of March A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Attorney read to the jury the indictment herein, and the said defendant entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to Consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: We the jury find the defendant not guilty.

(Signed) F. T. Adkins. Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and take nothing of said defendant by reason of this prosecution and that said defendant go hence without day.

3318 The State of Texas vs. F. W. Bradley } Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday Wednesday March 31. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said defendant F. W. Bradley said sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3384 The State of Texas vs. M. Christ. } Occupation without License Wednesday March 31. 1886.

It appearing to the Court that the defendant has paid the tax required by law, and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Wednesday the 31st day of March A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

has also paid the costs herein incurred. wherefore under the statute in such cases made and provided this prosecution ought to be dismissed. it is therefore Considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed. and that said defendant go hence without day.

3347 The State of Texas vs. E. Pfeil. } Occupation without License Wednesday March 31. 1886

This day it appearing to the Court that the defendant E. Pfeil has since the filing of the indictment herein. paid to the proper authority the tax required by law in such cases. as well as all costs herein incurred. wherefore said prosecution ought to be dismissed. it is therefore Considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3400. The State of Texas vs. W. H. Blank } Occupation without License Wednesday March 31. 1886.

It appearing to the Court. from reasons filed by the County Attorney. that this prosecution ought to be dismissed. it is therefore Considered and ordered by the Court that this Cause be and the same is hereby dismissed. and that said defendant go hence without day.

3413. The State of Texas vs. J. E. Martin. } Occupation without License Wednesday March 31. 1886

It appearing to the Court from reasons filed by the County Attorney. that this prosecution ought to be dismissed, it is therefore Considered and ordered by the Court that this Cause be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that said defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Wednesday the 31st day of March A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3425. The State of Texas vs J. Washer & L. August } Occupation without License. Wednesday March 31. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and files reasons for a dismissal of said cause and it appearing to the Court that said reasons are sufficient. it is ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendants go hence without day.

3426 The State of Texas vs. G. C. Rhyne } Occupation without License Wednesday March 31. 1886.

It appearing to the Court that the defendant G C Rhyne has since the filing of the indictment herein paid to the proper authority the tax required by law in such cases, as well as all costs herein incurred. wherefore under the law this prosecution ought to be dismissed, it is ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3443. The State of Texas vs. G. P. & J. A. Weaber } Occupation without License. Wednesday March 31. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and files reasons for a dismissal of this cause, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that said reasons are good and sufficient. it is considered and ordered by the Court that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that said defendants go hence without day.

3444 The State of Texas vs. Sam Benson } Occupation without License Wednesday March 31. 1886

The defendant herein having paid the required tax, and all costs. it is ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Wednesday the 31st day of March A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

3449 The State of Texas vs. H. W. Nye. } Occupation without License Wednesday March 31. 1886

The county attorney prosicuting the pleas of the state having filed with the papers in this cause. reasons for a dismissal of same. it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that said defendant go hence without day.

3467 The State of Texas vs. G. H. Dashwood } Occupation without License Wednesday March 31. 1886.

The defendant herein having paid the required tax, and all costs herein. it is ordered by the court that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3472 The State of Texas vs. A. Kent. } Occupation without License Wednesday March 31. 1886

The county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. having filed with the papers in this cause reasons for a dismissal of same., it is considered and ordered by the Court that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day

3473. The State of Texas vs. C. Adams } Occupation without License Wednesday March 31st 1886.

The County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, having filed with the papers in this cause reasons for a dismissal of same, it is considered and ordered that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that said defendant go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886.

Wednesday the 31st day of March A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Thursday morning. 9 o'clock. April 1st 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

3618 The State of Texas vs. B. P. Blakley } unlawfully carrying a Pistol. Thursday April 1st 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state; also came the said defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged whereupon the court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant B. P. Blakley said sum of $25.00 fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3168 The State of Texas vs. Downey Hunt. } Exhibiting Faro Bank Thursday April 1st 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecution the pleas of the state, and shows to the court that the indictment herein is defective and insufficient in law. and asks that the same be quashed. it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said indictment be and the same is hereby quashed, and for naught held, and said defendant is discharged from further answering to same

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until to-morrow morning 9 o'clock

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Thursday the 1st day of April A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3169. The State of Texas vs. Downey Hunt. } Exhibiting Faro Bank Thursday April 1. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorneys, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: S. M. Fry and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn. Thereupon the County attorney read to the jury the indictment herein, and the defendant entered his plea of not guilty, and also enters a special plea of former acquittal, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to wit "We the jury find the defendant Downey Hunt guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00" (Signed) S. M. Fry. Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Downey Hunt said sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, and all costs of this prosecution, and that said defendant (he being present) be committed to jail until said fine and costs are paid. Also that execution may issue against the property of said defendant for the amount of such fine and costs.

3214 The State of Texas vs. L. Bisocchi. } Selling Liquor without License Thursday April 1st 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and files reasons for a dismissal of this Cause, it is ordered that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning. 9 o'clock

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Friday the 2nd day of April A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Friday morning. 9 o'clock April 2nd 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

3171 The State of Texas vs. Charles Hadley } Exhibiting Faro Bank. Friday April 2. 1886

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the said defendant in his own proper person and by his attorneys and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: F. T. Adkins and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law, and the County attorney now here read to the jury the indictment herein; and the said defendant entered his plea of not guilty, and also entered a special plea of former conviction, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendants plea of former conviction to be true"

(Signed) F. T. Adkins, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that said defendant go hence without day.

3172. The State of Texas vs. Charles Hadley } Exhibiting Faro Bank Friday April 2. 1886.

On application of state, it is considered and ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby continued on account of absence of James Nash, witness for state.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Friday the 2nd day of March April A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

3187 & 3188. The State of Texas vs. J. E. Calhoun } Exhibiting Faro Bank Friday 2nd April 1886.

Ordered that alias capias issue in each of the above numbered causes returnable instanter.

3215. The State of Texas vs. Eugene Lambert } Exhibiting Faro Bank Friday April 2nd 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state; also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorneys and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury to wit: F. T. Adkins and five others, who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law, thereupon the County attorney read to the jury the indictment herein. and the said defendant entered his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict, to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at $25 00/100 and imprisonment in County jail 10 days"

(Signed) F. T. Adkins. Foreman

It is therefore Considered by the Court that the verdict of the jury aforesaid be and is approved and that said defendant is guilty of the offense as found by the jury. and it is adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Eugene Lambert, said sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered and adjudged that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take into Custody said defendant Eugene Lambert, and imprison him in the County jail of said County for the full period of ten days. and thereafter to retain

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Friday the 2nd day of April A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

him said defendant in custody until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3216 The State of Texas vs. Eugene Lambert } Exhibiting Fare & Bank Friday April 2nd 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state; also came the said defendant in his own proper person and by his attorneys and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury to wit: R. F. Stapp and five others who were duly selected, and sworn, and the said County attorney read the indictment herein to the jury, and the said defendant entered his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty." (signed) R. F. Stapp. Foreman. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that said defendant go hence without day.

3457. The State of Texas vs. G. W. Alexander } Occupation without License. Friday April 2. 1886.

The county attorney having filed with the papers in this cause reasons for a dismissal of this cause, it is considered and adjudged by the court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that said defendant go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning. 9 o'clock.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Saturday the 3rd day of March A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Saturday morning, 9 o'clock, March April 3rd A.D. 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

3476. The State of Texas vs. W. T. Jones } Aggravated Assault Saturday April 3rd 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State: also came the said defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: S. McFry and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law, and the county attorney read to the jury the forgy indictment herein, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and, after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the defendant W. Jones, guilty of aggravated assault, and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00" (Signed) S. McFry, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant W. T. Jones said sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein expended or incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid

3482 The State of Texas vs. Isaac Foster } Removing sand and gravel from land of another Saturday April 3. 1886

A Jury having been empannelled and sworn in this cause; it being deemed advisable by the Court and the parties Consenting thereto, it is ordered that this cause be withdrawn from the jury, and said Jury is now here discharged.

Orders that Court do now adjourn until Monday morning next.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886
Monday the 5th day of April A. D. 1886
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Monday morning. 9 o'clock. April 5th 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on Saturday last.

It appearing to the Court that the County attorney will be the whole of this week. busily engaged with the Grand Jury. and other matters in the District Court and that it would best subserve the ends of justice and the interests of the County to adjourn this Court for the week, and until next Monday morning April 12th. It is accordingly so ordered.

Monday morning. 9 o'clock April 12th A. D. 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment. present as at Commencement of term.

Jury.

Now come W. T. Maddox Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into open Court the venire facias heretofore issued for petit jurors to serve this the 7th week of this court. and upon call the following named answered to wit: Sam Vaughn. A. A. Stuart. M. M. Austin. J. C. Boydston. T. F. Rogers. G. F. Chrisman and Wm Blevins.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Tuesday morning 9 o'clock April 13. A. D. 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment. present as on yesterday.

Jury.

The jury panel being incomplete, the sheriff after being sworn and instructed by the Court was directed and ordered by the Court to summon seven other qualified jurors to fill the panel. whereupon said Sheriff. brought into Court the following named persons to wit: J. A. Mitchell. A. J. Shattuck M. M. Holbrook. B. S. Wall. J. A. Saunders. and all of said jurors were sworn and

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

tried according to law, and impanneled as a petit jury for this the 7th week of this term of the Court

3477 The State of Texas vs. Frank Kaiser } Selling Beer on Sunday Tuesday April 13. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. also came the said defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. there upon came a jury of good and lawful men. to wit: M. N. Holbrook, and five others who were duly selected impanneled and sworn according to law. and the said defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at Twenty dollars"

(Signed) M. N. Holbrook, Foreman

It is therefore, considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas, do have and recover of and from the defendant Frank Kaiser said sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein, & so incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs ~~execution may issue~~ are paid in full.

3595. The State of Texas vs. Fred Shivers } Disturbing Religious Worship Tuesday April 13. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

as of law were submitted to the Court and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged, whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that The State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Fred Shivers said sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs of this prosecution for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that the Sheriff do now take and retain in Custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3677 The State of Texas vs. L. Campbell } Betting at a gaming bank Tuesday April 13th 1886.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged, whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Ten dollars, It is therefore Coinsidered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant L Campbell said sum of Ten dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff do now take and retain in Custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid

3676 The State of Texas vs. Tom O'Rourke } Betting at a Gaming Bank Tuesday April 13. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, as well as the said

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Tuesday the 13 day of April A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Ten dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Tom O'Rourke said sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

1668 The State of Texas vs. Madam Porter } Keeping Disorderly House
Tuesday April 13. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant by her attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the said defendant now here enters her plea of guilty, whereupon it is considered and ordered by the Court that the defendants punishment be and is assessed at a fine of One hundred dollars, and it is adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Madam Porter said sum of One hundred dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that the Sheriff do take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Tuesday the 13. day of April A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3665. The State of Texas vs. Jessie Reeves } Keeping Disorderly House. Tuesday April 13th 1886.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the said defendant by her attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of One hundred dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jessie Reeves said sum of One hundred dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue.
Further ordered that the Sheriff do take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3661 The State of Texas vs. Charles Robinson } Theft under value of $20.00 Tuesday April 13. 1886

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person. and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at & imprisonment in the County jail for the period of ten days. It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take into Custody said defendant Chas. Robinson and imprison him in the County jail of said County for the full period of ten days, and thereafter to retain him in custody until all costs herein are paid.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Tuesday the 13 day of April A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3169 The State of Texas vs. Downey Hunt. } Motion for new trial Monday April 12. 1886

This day came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the Court having heard the said motion and being fully advised in the premises, it is considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and gives notice in open court of an appeal to the Court of Appeals of the state of Texas.

3215. The State of Texas vs. Eugene Lambert } Motion for new trial. Monday April 12th 1886.

This day came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the Court having heard the said motion, and being fully advised in the premises, it is considered and ordered by the Court that the said motion be and the same is hereby overruled to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and gives notice in open Court of an appeal to the Court of Appeals of the state of Texas.

3215 The State of Texas vs. Eugene Lambert } Motion in arrest of judgment Monday April 12th 1886.

This day came on to be heard the defendants motion in arrest of judgment herein and the Court having heard said motion and being fully advised in the premises. it is considered and ordered by the court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and gives notice in open Court of an appeal to the Court of Appeals of the state of Texas.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Tuesday the 13. day of April A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3567 The State of Texas vs. Fred Shivers. } Disturbing Religious worship. Tuesday April 13. 1886.

Now comes the County attorney. and files with the papers in this cause, reasons for a dismissal of this cause, and the Court being fully advised it is considered and ordered that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3500 The State of Texas vs. Bill Graves & Chris Rittleman } Selling Beer & Whiskey on Sunday Tuesday April 13th 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and says he will no further prosecute this cause against the defendant Bill Graves but will dismiss as to him it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed as to said defendant Bill Graves. and that said defendant go hence without day. And the defendant Chris Rittleman appearing in his own proper person, and by his attorney and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged. whereupon the court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Chris Rittleman said sum of Twenty dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs of this prosecution. Further ordered that execution may issue for said fine and costs. and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in his custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Tuesday the 13. day of April A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3280. The State of Texas vs. Bill Scribner } Disturbing Religious Worship. Tuesday April 13. 1886.

By Consent of the parties hereto. it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby continued

3250 The State of Texas vs. Wm. Hall. } Unlawfully carrying a pistol Tuesday April 13th. 1886.

This day came the County attorney and asks leave of the Court to dismiss this cause and files reasons therefor. it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3202 The State of Texas vs. Bill & Ike Cooper } Keeping Disorderly House Tuesday April 13. 1886.

By Consent of parties hereto. it is Considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby continued,

3191 The State of Texas vs. Jim Thomason } Aggravated Assault Tuesday April 13. 1886.

Continued by Consent of parties

3100. The State of Texas vs. Isaac Henderson } Aggravated Assault Tuesday April. 13. 1886.

Continued by consent of parties

3089. The State of Texas vs. Clint Parker } Disturbing the Peace. Tuesday April 13th. 1886.

Alias capias and continued.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Tuesday the 13th day of April A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Wednesday morning. 9 o'clock April 14th 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment, present as on yester-day.

2479 The State of Texas vs. Chas. Dixon. } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Wednesday April 14th 1886.

Continued by consent of parties.

2578 The State of Texas vs. Easter Smith. } Fornication. Wednesday April 14. 1886.

Continued by consent of parties

2580 The State of Texas vs. John Childress } Adultery. Wednesday April 14. 1886.

Continued by consent of parties.

2699 The State of Texas vs. J. T. Lear. } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Wednesday April 14. 1886.

Continued by consent of parties

2739 The State of Texas vs. Church Wilburn } Killing Dog of another Wednesday April 14. 1886

Continued by consent of parties

3311 The State of Texas vs. Press James. } Selling whiskey on Sunday Wednesday April 14. 1886.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: A. G. Shattuck and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the said defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Wednesday the 14th day of April A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Now here enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and arguments and receiving the charge of the court. retired to consider of their verdict. and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict. to wit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty.

(Signed) A. G. Shattuck. Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas take nothing of said defendant by reason of this prosecution and that said defendant go hence without day.

3292. The State of Texas vs. Bill Woods. } Selling whiskey on Sunday Wednesday April 14. 1886

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, as well as the said defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury to wit: A. G. Shattuck and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and arguments and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at ($20.00) Twenty dollars"

(Signed) A. G. Shattuck. Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Bill Woods said sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that the sheriff do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Wednesday the 14th day of April A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3004 The State of Texas vs. J B. Edens } Aggravated Assault
Wednesday April 14. 1886.
Continued by consent.

3134 The State of Texas vs. Jas & Katie McGinnis } Keeping Disorderly House
Wednesday April 14. 1886.
Continued by consent.

3242 The State of Texas vs. Charley Cooper } Injuring property of another
Wednesday April 14. 1886.
Continued by Consent of parties

3305 The State of Texas vs. Bill Woods. } Selling whiskey on Sunday
Wednesday April 14. 1886.
This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. and says he has not sufficient evidence with which to prosecute this cause. and asks leave of the court to dismiss said cause. and files reasons therefor. It is considered and ordered by the Court that this Cause be and the same is hereby dismissed. and that said defendant go hence without day.

3306 The State of Texas vs. Bill Woods } Selling whiskey on Sunday
Wednesday April 14. 1886.
This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. and says he has not sufficient evidence with which to prosecute this cause. and asks leave of the court to dismiss said cause and files reasons therefor. It is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed. and that said defendant go hence without day

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Wednesday the 14th day of April A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3307

The State of Texas
vs.
Bill Woods

} Selling whiskey on Sunday Wednesday April 14. 1886.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and says he will no further prosecute this cause, but will dismiss the same, and files with the papers in said cause reasons therefor. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that said defendant go hence without day.

3308

The State of Texas
vs.
Bill Woods

} Selling whiskey on Sunday Wednesday April 14. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J.C. Boydston and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the County attorney now here read to the jury the indictment herein and the said defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty; whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court that the following verdict, to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty as charged, and assess his punishment at a fine of $20.00"

(Signed) J.C. Boydston Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Bill Woods the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs ~~xxx paid~~ execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff of Tarrant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Wednesday the 14th day of April A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

County Texas do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid

3309 The State of Texas vs. Bill Woods } Selling whiskey on Sunday Wednesday April 14. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J.C. Boydston and five others who were duly elected, empanneled and sworn according to law. and the County attorney read to the jury the indictment herein, and the defendant entered his plea of not guilty whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the defendant not guilty"

(Signed) J.C. Boydston. Foreman.

It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant go hence without day.

3450 The State of Texas vs. J.B. Askins } Occupation without License. Wednesday April 14. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and asks leave of the Court to dismiss this cause and files his reasons therefor, it is therefore Considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that said defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Wednesday the 14th day of April A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3440 The State of Texas vs. M.P. Anderson } Occupation for License. Wednesday april 14th 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and asks leave of the Court to dismiss this prosecution, and files his reasons therefor, it is therefore considered and ordered by the court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3596 The State of Texas vs. John Peters } Selling whiskey on Sunday Wednesday April 14th 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the said defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, whereupon the court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of $20.00

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant John Peters said sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff do now take and retain in Custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning, 9 o'clock.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Wednesday the 14th day of April A. D. 1886.

Thursday morning, 9 o'clock, April 15th A.D. 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

3488 The State of Texas vs. "Mexican Antone" } Aggravated Assault Thursday April 15th 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state; also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. C. Boydston and five others. who were duly elected empanneled and sworn according to law. and the County attorney now here read to the jury the information herein. and the said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess the fine at thirty dollars" (Signed) J. C. Boydston Foreman. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant "Mexican Antone" the sum of thirty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid.

3319 The State of Texas vs. Graham & John } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Wednesday April 15. 1886.

Continued by consent of parties

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Thursday the 15 day of April A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3312 The State of Texas vs. Henry Nelson — Selling whiskey on Sunday Thursday April 15. 1886

This cause is Continued by Consent

3310 The State of Texas vs. Bill Woods — Selling whiskey on Sunday Thursday April 15. 1886.

Continued by State.

3302 The State of Texas vs. Graham & Bohn — Selling whiskey on Sunday Thursday April 15. 1886.

Continued by Consent.

3301. The State of Texas vs. Graham & Bohn — Selling whiskey on Sunday Thursday April 15. 1886.

Continued by Consent.

3299 3300. The State of Texas vs. H. Nelson — Selling whiskey on Sunday Thursday April 15. 1886.

Continued by Consent

3297 The State of Texas vs. Graham & Bohn — Selling whiskey on Sunday Thursday April 15. 1886.

Continued by Consent.

3294 3295. The State of Texas vs. Henry Nelson — Selling whiskey on Sunday Thursday April 15. 1886.

Continued by Consent

3146 The State of Texas vs. Frank Fogg. — Unlawfully carrying Pistol Thursday April 15. 1886

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state -

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Thursday the 15th day of April A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

said says he will no further prosecute this cause but asks leave of the Court to dismiss the same and files reasons therefor. It is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed. and that defendant go hence without day.

3158 The State of Texas vs. Frank Fogg. } Aggravated Assault. Thursday April 15. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant by attorney, and the said County attorney now here says that he will no further prosecute this cause but will dismiss the same and files his reasons therefor. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that said defendant go hence without day.

3666. The State of Texas vs. Bill Woods } Keeping Disorderly House. Thursday April 15th 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial thereupon came a jury to wit: Sam Vaughn and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, whereupon the County attorney read to the jury the indictment herein, and the said defendant entered his plea of not guilty whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to wit: "We the jury find the defendant Not guilty" (Signed) Sam Vaughn. Foreman. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing of

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

said defendant by reason of this prosecution and that said defendant go hence without day

3217 The State of Texas vs. Eugene Lambert } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Thursday April 15th 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. and says he will no further prosecute this ~~suit~~ cause but will dismiss the same, and files with the papers in said cause reasons for a dismissal of same It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3262 The State of Texas vs. Eugene Lambert } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Thursday April 15. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, ~~and says he will no further~~ also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: A. A. Stuart and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law. thereupon the County attorney read to the jury the indictment herein, and the said defendant entered his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and returned into Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty" (Signed) A. A. Stuart, Foreman. It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing of said defendant by reason of this prosecution and that said defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Thursday the 15th day of April A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3495. 3496. The State of Texas vs. Joe. Polk. — Exhibiting Gaming Bank Thursday April 15. 1886.

By consent of parties hereto it is considered by the Court that this cause be Continued until next term.

3258 The State of Texas vs. Henry Williams — Exhibiting Gaming Bank Thursday April 15. 1886.

Continued by consent.

3257. The State of Texas vs. S. W. Page. — Betting at Gaming Bank Thursday April 15. 1886.

Continued by consent.

3256 The State of Texas vs. Jim Rushing — Betting at a Gaming Bank Thursday April 15. 1886.

Continued by consent.

3255. The State of Texas vs. Andy Rushing. — Betting at Gaming Bank, Thursday April 15. 1886.

Continued by consent.

3236 The State of Texas. vs. Mitt Holmes — Aggravated Assault Thursday April 15. 1886.

Alias capias is hereby ordered for the defendant and cause continued.

3218 The State of Texas. vs. Chas. Reynolds — Exhibiting Faro Bank. Thursday April 15. 1886.

3205. The State of Texas vs. Bill Woods — Aggravated Assault Thursday April 15. 1886.

Continued by consent.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Thursday the 15th day of April A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3189. The State of Texas vs. Frank Glover — Betting at Gaming Bank Thursday April 15. 1886.

Continued by consent.

3187. 3188. The State of Texas vs. J. E. Calhoun — Exhibiting Gaming Bank Thursday April 15. 1886.

Continued by consent.

3183. 3184. The State of Texas vs. Charles Reynolds — Exhibiting Gaming Bank Thursday April 15. 1886.

Continued by consent.

3163. The State of Texas vs. Bill Cooper — Permitting game &c. Thursday April 15. 1886

Continued by consent.

3162 The State of Texas vs. Ike Cooper — Permitting game cards &c Thursday April 15. 1886.

Continued by consent.

3157. The State of Texas vs. Bill Perry. — Aggravated Assault Thursday April 15. 1886.

Continued by consent.

3153. The State of Texas vs. Tom Ditto. — Disturbing Peace Thursday April 15. 1886.

Continued by consent.

3135. The State of Texas vs. Geo. Davenport — Carrying a Pistol. Thursday April 15. 1886.

Continued by consent.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Thursday the 15th day of April A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3131 The State of Texas vs. Webb Ditto. — Aggravated Assault. Thursday April 15th 1886. Continued by consent.

3124 The State of Texas vs. Bud Burford — Aggravated Assault. Thursday April 15th 1886. Continued by Consent.

3128 The State of Texas vs. John Ditto. — Aggravated Assault Thursday April 15th 1886. Continued by consent.

3127 The State of Texas vs. Tom Ditto. — Aggravated Assault Thursday April 15. 1886. Continued by consent.

2993. The State of Texas vs. W. B. Tucker. Jr. — Aggravated Assault Thursday April 15. 1886.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State, and says he cannot further prosecute this cause, for want of sufficient testimony, and files with the papers in this cause, reasons for a dismissal, It is therefore Considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

2924 The State of Texas vs. Day & Maas — Selling whiskey on Sunday Thursday April 15. 1886. Continued by Consent

2922 The State of Texas vs. Jake Johnson — Selling whiskey on Sunday Thursday April 15. 1886. Continued by Consent.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Thursday the 15th day of April A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

2917 The State of Texas vs. Jake Johnson } Selling whiskey on Sunday Thursday April 15. 1886.

Continued by consent.

2912 The State of Texas vs. Day & Maas } Selling whiskey on Sunday Thursday April 15. 1886.

Continued by consent.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until 9 o'clock tomorrow morning.

The State of Texas.
Friday morning. April 16th. 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment. present as on yesterday

3633. The State of Texas vs. R. B. Zachery } Violating Local option Law Thursday April 16. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, as well as the said defendant in his own proper person, and by his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of $25.00, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said defendant R. B. Zachery, said sum of ($25 cts) Twenty five dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Friday the 16th day of April A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3583

The State of Texas vs. Billy Mayhan. } Unlawfully Carrying a Pistol Friday April 16th 1886.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged, whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore Considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Billy Mayhan said sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs of this prosecution for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in his custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3647

The State of Texas vs. Billy Mayhan. et al } Disturbing the Peace Friday April 16. 1886.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. and says he will no further prosecute the said Elly Mahan one of the defendants herein. but files his reasons for a dismissal of said cause as to said Elly Mayhan., it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this prosecution be dismissed as to said Elly Mayhan and that said defendant go hence without day.

And the said defendant Billy Mayhan. now appearing by attorney. and the parties announcing ready for trial. waive a jury and submit the matters of fact as well as of law to the Court and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

at a fine of One dollar. It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Billy Maghan said sum of One dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3219. The State of Texas vs. Charles Hudley } Exhibiting Faro Bank. Friday April 16. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the said defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury to wit: J.C. Boydston and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law, and the County attorney now here read to the jury the indictment herein and the said defendant ~~now~~ entered his plea of not guilty, and also interposes a special plea of former Conviction. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict. and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendants special plea to be true" (signed) J.C. Boydston Foreman It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing of said defendant by reason of this prosecution and that said defendant go hence without day.

2911 The State of Texas vs. Jake Johnson } Selling Liquor on Sunday Friday, April 16. 1886

Continued by Consent.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Saturday the 17th day of April A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Saturday morning, 9 o'clock, April 17th A.D. 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

3513 The State of Texas vs. Jim Abbott. } Aggravated Assault Saturday April 17. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury to wit: A.G. Shattuck and five others who were duly selected, empannuled and sworn according to law; and the County attorney now here read to the jury the information herein, and the said defendant entered his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty". (Signed) A.G. Shattuck. Foreman.

It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that said defendant Jim Abbott go hence without day.

3675 The State of Texas vs. George Gough } Theft of property under $20.00 Saturday April 17th 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of imprisonment in the County Jail for the period of 30 days. It is therefore considered

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Saturday the 17th day of April A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

and adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take into custody the said defendant and imprison him in the County jail of said County for the full period of 30 days. and that said sheriff, thereafter retain said defendant in custody until said fine and all costs of this prosecution are paid by said defendant.

3679 The State of Texas vs. B. F. Rogers. } Theft of property under $20.00 Saturday April 17th 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. also came the said defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged, whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at one days imprisonment in the County jail.
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take into custody said defendant B. F. Rogers. and confine and imprison him in the County jail of said County for the full period of one day and thereafter to retain said defendant in custody until all costs herein are paid.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Monday morning next.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Monday the 19th day of April A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Monday morning, 9 o'clock. April 19th 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on Saturday last.

Jury.

Now comes the Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into Court the venire facias heretofore issued for petit jurors to serve this the 8th week of this term of the Court, and upon call the following named answered to wit: R. W. Taye, J. D. McVean, and A. H. Copeland, who were duly sworn and tried

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Tuesday morning 9 o'clock. April 20th 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

364) The State of Texas vs. Billy Mayhan. et al } Disturbing the Peace. Tuesday April 20th 1886

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant Arch Cannon in his own proper person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of One dollar. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Arch Cannon said sum of One dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in Custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Tuesday the 20th day of April A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3248. The State of Texas vs. Malam Hurst } unlawfully carrying Pistol Tuesday April 20th 1886

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Malam Hurst said sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Jury.

Ordered by the Court that the sheriff (he being duly sworn and instructed) summon nine other qualified jurors to fill the panel. whereupon said Sheriff brought into Court the following named persons to wit: E. Kanier, W. M. Billings J. L. Thomas, G. W. Gillespie, J. H. Murphy, J. J. Reeves, C. S. Brogden, A. Wallace, and A. G. Shattuck, and said jurors were duly sworn tried and empanneled, together with the regular veniremen, as a petit jury for this week

2417 The State of Texas vs. Joe Cullen } Appeal from Recorders Court Tuesday April 20th 1886

Now comes the attorney for the City of Fort Worth, and says he will no further prosecute this cause because he has not sufficient evidence to convict

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Tuesday the 20th day of April A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

2550 The City of Fort Worth vs. J. W. Putman. } Appeal from Recorders Court Tuesday April 20th 1886.

Ordered that alias capias issue for the defendant herein and this cause is continued

2690 The State of Texas vs. W. C. Vann. } Appeal from Recorders Court Tuesday April 20th 1886.

Now comes the City attorney and suggests to the Court the loss of the papers in this cause and asks for a continuance of said cause and for leave to substitute said lost papers. It is ordered by the Court that said City attorney have leave to substitute all papers herein lost as aforesaid and this cause is continued

2465. The City of Fort Worth vs. J. Wm Routh. } Appeal from Recorders Court Tuesday April 20th 1886.

Now comes the City attorney and says he will no further prosecute this cause but will dismiss the same. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3098 The City of Fort Worth vs. Jim Dudley } Appeal from Recorders Court Tuesday April 20th 1886.

Now comes the City attorney prosecuting for the City and says he will no further prosecute this cause but will dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Tuesday the 20th day of April A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3107. The City of Fort Worth vs. S. M. Galloway } Appeal from Recorders Court Tuesday April 20. 1886

Now comes the city attorney prosecuting for the city and says he will no further prosecute this cause but will dismiss the same it is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that this Cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day

3120. The City of Fort Worth vs. Tom Holland } Affray - Appeal from Recorders Court Tuesday April 20. 1886

This day came the city attorney prosecuting for the city, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: — — A. Wallace and five dollars who were duly selected empanneled and sworn, and the city attorney now here read to the jury the Complaint herein, to the charge therein contained the defendant entered his plea of not guilty. and the jury, after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess his fine at One dollar" A. Wallace Foreman It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the defendant Tom Holland, and his sureties on appeal bond herein, to wit: Jos L. Wheat and R. M. Bowman, the sum of One dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred as well ~~as~~ in this Court as in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and Costs execution may issue Further ordered that said defendant stand Committed until said fine and costs are paid

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Tuesday the 20th day of April A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3251 The City of Fort Worth vs. Jim Dillon. } Vagrancy. — Appeal. Tuesday April 20th 1886

This day came the City attorney prosecuting for the city, also came the defendant by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial thereupon came a jury to wit: A. Wallace and five others who were duly selected empannuled and sworn, and the City attorney now here read to the jury the Complaint herein, to the charge therein the contained the defendant entered his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at Five Dollars,

Signed A. Wallace, Foreman,

It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the defendant Jim Dillon. and his sureties on appeal bond herein ~~sued~~ to wit; A O'Neill John Graham and Ike Cooper. said sum of Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below for all of which execution may issue, and it is further ordered that said defendant stand committed to prison until said fine and costs are paid.

3254 The City of Fort Worth. vs. Luke Short } Appeal from Recorders Court Tuesday April 20th 1886.

Continued by consent.

3258½ The City of Fort Worth vs. Lizzie Duvall. } Vagrancy- Appeal. Tuesday Apl. 20. 1886.

Now comes the City attorney prosecuting for the City, and says he will no further

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Tuesday the 20th day of April A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

prosecute this cause but will dismiss the same it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3483 The State of Texas vs. A. Boardman } Aggravated Assault Tuesday April 20th 1886.

Alias capias & continued.

3481 The City of Fort Worth vs. "Cat Fish." } Vagrancy - Appeal Tuesday April 20. 1886.

This day came the city attorney prosecuting for the city. also came the defendant by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men. to wit: A. Wallace and five others. who were duly selected. empannuled and sworn and the City Attorney now here read to the jury the Complaint herein and the said defendant entered his plea of not guilty whereupon the ~~Court~~ Jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the Jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at five dollars"

(Signed) A. Wallace Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the defendant "Cat Fish" and his sureties on appeal bond herein, to wit: J. M. Routh. W. J. Wood. J. M. Blackman and C. F. Kitchens, said sum of Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for ~~which~~ both in this Court and in the Court below for all of which execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed until said fine and Costs are paid

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Tuesday the 20th day of April A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3480 The City of Fort Worth vs "Cat Fish" } Appeal from Recorders Court Tuesday Apl 20th 1886.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the Complaint herein and the court having heard said motion and being fully advised in the premises. it is considered and ordered by the court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning 9 oclock.

Wednesday morning. 9 oclock. April 21st A.D. 1886 Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

3092 The City of Fort Worth vs. Jas Rushing. } Appeal from Recorders Court Wednesday April 21. 1886.

On application of the city, it is ordered that this cause be continued

3047 The City of Fort Worth vs. W. R. Sumner } Appeal from Recorders Court Wednesday April 21st 1886.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and then came on to be heard the defendants exceptions to any further proceedings in this cause. because of no Complaint being filed herein, and the Court being advised in the premises. it is considered by the Court that said exceptions are well taken, and it is now here ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that said defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Thursday the 21st day of April A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3520 The City of Fort Worth vs. Pink Higgins. } unlawfully carrying a pistol Appeal from Recorders Court Wednesday April 21st 1886

This day came the City attorney prosecuting for the City, also came the defendant by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged, whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars — It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the defendant J. P. (Pink) Higgins and his sureties on appeal bond herein to wit T. C. Andrews and A. A. Stedman, said sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below. for all of which execution may issue Further ordered that said defendant stand Committed to prison until said fine and Costs are paid in full

3504 The City of Fort Worth vs. Elsie Hawthorn. } appeal from Recorders Court Wednesday 21. Apl. 1886

Continued by consent.

3485. The City of Fort Worth vs. Cat Fish } Appeal from Recorders Court Wednesday Apl. 21. 1886.

Continued by defendant.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning 9 oclock

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Thursday the 22nd day of April A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Thursday morning, April 22nd 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

3619 The State of Texas vs. K. P. Brown } Fornication. Thursday April 22nd 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged. whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Fifty dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the state of Texas have and recover of and from the defendant K. P. Brown, said sum of Fifty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that the Sheriff do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full

Ordered That Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Friday morning, 9 o'clock. April 23rd 1886, Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

3510 The city of Fort Worth vs. T. J. Walsh } Disturbing the Peace. Friday April 23. 1886.

This day came the City attorney prosecuting for the City, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury to wit A. Wallace and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn. and the said City attorney now here read to the jury

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Friday the 23rd day of April A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

the Complaint herein. and the said defendant entered his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at Five dollars. (Signed) A. Wallace Foreman It is Therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the defendant T. J. Walsh, and his sureties on appeal bond herein to wit: W. F. Fanning, J. P. Hughes. T. J. Tirney. James Welch and Wm M. Pope. said sum of Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Recorders Court from which this cause was appealed, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant ~~be~~ stand Committed to prison until said fine and costs are paid in full

3674 The City of Fort Worth vs. T. J. Boone. } Appeal from Recorders Court Friday April 23. 1886.

On application of defendant it is ordered that this cause stand continued.

3673. The State of Texas vs. Wm Fahay } Aggravated Assault. Friday April 23. 1886.

Continued by Consent of parties

3484 The City of Fort Worth vs. Gid Hooper. } appeal from Recorders Court Friday April 23. 1886

Continued by consent of parties

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Friday the 23rd day of April A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3442. The State of Texas vs. N. Wallerich. } Occupation without License. Friday April 23rd 1886.

It being made to appear to the Court that the defendant herein has, since the filing of the indictment herein, paid the tax required by law, and has also paid all costs herein incurred, wherefore this cause ought to be dismissed under the statute in such cases made and provided, It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that said defendant go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Monday a. morning next.

Monday morning 9 o'clock April 26th A.D. 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment. present as at Commencement of term.

3594 The State of Texas vs. Bob Kinkle } Violating Local Option Law Monday April 26th 1886.

On application of the State, it is ordered that this cause be continued.

3574 The State of Texas vs. Dol Glover } Violating Local Option Law Monday April 26th 1886.

Continued by state.

3578 The State of Texas vs. Dol Glover, } Violating Local Option Law Monday April 26. 1886.

Continued by state.

3577 The State of Texas vs. Bob Kinkle } Violating Local Option Law Monday April 26. 1886

Continued by state.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Monday the 26th day of April A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3576	The State of Texas vs. Dol Glover	Violating Local Option Law Monday April 26th 1886. Continued by state.
3575.	The State of Texas vs. Bob Kinkle	Violating Local Option Law Monday April 26th 1886. Continued by state.
3574	The State of Texas vs. Dol Glover	Violating Local Option Law Monday April 26. 1886. Continued by state.
3573.	The State of Texas vs. Dol Glover	Violating Local Option Law Monday April 26. 1886. Continued by state.
3572	The State of Texas vs. E. G. Pate.	Violating Local Option Law Monday April 26. 1886. Continued by state. and ordered that attachment issue for W. A. Goodwin witness for state.
3571	The State of Texas vs. Dol Glover	Violating Local Option Law Monday april 26. 1886. Continued by state.
3569	The State of Texas vs. Bob Kinkle	Violating Local Option Law Monday april 26. 1886. Continued by state. and ordered that attachment issue for W. A. Lane and W. A. Thomas witness for state.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Monday the 26th day of April A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3570	The State of Texas vs. Bob Kinkle.	Violating Local Option Law. Monday April 26th 1886. Continued by state.
3568	The State of Texas vs. George Albright.	Violating Local Option Law. Monday April 26th 1886. Continued by state.
3556 3558.	The State of Texas vs. George Albright	Violating Local Option Law Monday April 26. 1886. Continued by state.
3551. 3552 3555.	The State of Texas vs. George Albright	Violating Local Option Law Monday April 26th 1886. Continued by state.
3550 3553.	The State of Texas vs. W. O. Middleton	Violating Local Option Law Monday April 26th 1886. Continued by state.
3554	The State of Texas vs. Fayett Tinkle.	Violating Local Option Law Monday April 26th 1886. Continued by state.
3536. 3537.	The State of Texas vs. W. O. Middleton	Violating Local Option Law Monday April 26th 1886. Continued by state.
3538.	The State of Texas vs. Dol Glover	Violating Local Option Law Monday April 26. 1886. Continued by state, and that attachment issue for W. A. Thomas. witness for defendant.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1886

Monday the 26th day of April A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3539. The State of Texas vs. George Albright } Violating Local Option Laws. Monday April 26th 1886.

Continued by state, and ordered that attachment issue for W. A. Thomas witness for State.

3529. 3534. 3535. The State of Texas vs. George Albright } Violating Local Option Laws Monday April 26th 1886.

Continued by state.

3460 The State of Texas vs. A. F. Truitt } Occupation without License Monday April 26th 1886.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas and says he will no further prosecute this cause but will dismiss the same and file reasons for same it is considered and ordered that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3419 The State of Texas vs. J. L. Hill. } Occupation without License Monday April 26. 1886

Continued by consent.

3410 The State of Texas vs. J. S. Davis. } Occupation without License Monday April 26. 1886.

Continued by Consent.

Saturday May 1st 1886. Court in regular Session all officers of Court present.
Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Court in Course

Jas Turman
Judge Tarrant Co Tex.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Monday the 3rd day of May A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Monday Morning 9 o'clock. May 3rd A.D. 1886.

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in May A.D. 1886. (it being the 3rd day of said month) there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court in and for said County and State at the Court house thereof in the City of Fort Worth. Present Hon. Sam Furman County Judge presiding, also present N. Q. Bowlin County Attorney, W. T. Maddox. Sheriff, and Jno. F. Swayne County Clerk in and for said County. when and where the following proceedings were had on the Criminal Docket of said Court to wit:

Friday May 14th A.D. 1886. Court in regular session, all officers of Court present

3702. The State of Texas vs. Benjamin Test. } Theft under value of $20.00 Friday May 14. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, as well as the said defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as Charged, whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment, and one days imprisonment in the County jail.
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take into custody said defendant Benjamin Test, and confine him in the County Jail of said County for the full period of one day, and thereafter to retain said defendant in custody said defendant until all Costs herein are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Friday the 14th day of May A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3684 The State of Texas vs. Reubin Rucker } Theft of property under Value $20.00 Friday May 14th 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the said defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged, whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at Confinement in the County jail for the full period of one day: It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County, do now take into custody said defendant ~~xxxtil said~~ and confine him in the County jail of said County for the full period of one day, and thereafter to retain him in custody until all Costs herein are paid

The State of Texas - County of Tarrant - S.S.

Wednesday May 19th 1886. Court in regular session all officers of Court present.

3653. The State of Texas vs. Jim Hoornkamps } Aggravated Assault Wednesday May 19. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the said defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial - a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged, whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore Considered, and adjudged by the Court that The State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jim Hoornkamp. said sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred. for which

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 188 6

the day of A. D. 188

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County, do take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3144 State of Texas vs Robt Donnal } Unlawfully carrying a Pistol Saturday May 22nd 1886.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, as well as the said defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas have and recover of and from the defendant Robt Donnall said sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff do now take and retain in Custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Monday morning. 9 o clock. May 31st A.D. 1886. Court in regular session, all officers of Court present.

(Jury)

Now comes W. T. Maddox. Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into Court the venire facias heretofore issued for petit jurors to serve this the 5th week of the May Term 1886. of this Court. and upon call the following named answered to wit: T. A. Dunlap, J. W. Roy. A. Blue, Frank Wilcox & L. B. Creswell and said parties were duly sworn and tried as to their qualification as jurors - whereupon the Sheriff was ordered to summon other qualified jurors to fill the

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

panel, to be in attendance upon this Court tomorrow morning. 9 oclock.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning 9 oclock.

Tuesday morning. 9 oclock June 1st A.D. 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday

(Jury.)

Now comes W. T. Maddox, Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into Court the following named persons summoned by him under instructions from the Court to complete the Jury panel for this week of the Court viz: Ben Matthews, Wm Mitchell. J. M. Doyle Wm Prewitt. T. E. Ferguson and W. W. McNatt. and all of said parties were sworn and tried according to law

3582 The State of Texas vs. Tom Daggett } Unlawfully carrying a Pistol Tuesday June 1st 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the ~~Court~~ said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged, whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore Considered and ordered by the Court that The State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Tom Daggett said sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Tuesday the 1st day of June A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3686 The State of Texas vs. Gus Rittleman } Selling whiskey & Beer on Sunday Tuesday June 1st 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged, whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Twenty dollars. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Gus Rittleman said sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered That Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Wednesday morning, 9 o'clock, June 2nd 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

2844 The State of Texas vs. Dock Harris } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Wednesday June 2nd 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged, whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

of twenty five dollars. It is considered and ordered by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Dock Harris said sum of twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that the sheriff take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full

3716. The State of Texas vs. Jim Dillon. } Fornication. Wednesday June 2nd 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. also came the said defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury to wit: Wm. Mitchell and five others who were selected, sworn and empannelled according to law, and the said jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess the fine at Fifty Dollars"

(signed). Wm. Mitchell. Foreman

It is considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jim Dillon. said sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs are paid in full.

3719 The State of Texas vs. Annie Davis. } Fornication. Wednesday June 2. 1886

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. as well as the defendant in her own proper person and by attorney. and then came on to be heard the defendants exceptions to the

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

indictment filed herein. and the Court having heard said exceptions and being fully advised in the premises it is considered and ordered by the Court that said exceptions be overruled. to which ruling of the court the defendant excepts

3719 The State of Texas vs. Annie Davis } Fornication. Wednesday June 2nd 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the said defendant Annie Davis in her own proper person and by her attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. W. Roy and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn, and after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel, and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court and informed the Court that they could not agree upon a verdict in this cause. and being interrogated by the Court say that they cannot agree upon a verdict herein. Wherefore it is Considered and ordered by the Court that said Jury be discharged from further trial of this cause and that a mistrial be entered herein.

3704. The State of Texas vs. W. R. Harper } Obstructing Public Road Wednesday June 2. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by attorney, and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the Indictment filed herein, and the Court having heard said motion and argument and being fully advised in the premises, it is Considered and ordered that said motion be overruled. to which defendant excepts.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Wednesday the 2nd day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

3709

The State of Texas vs. W. R. Harper } Obstructing Public Road.
Wednesday June 2. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the said defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. W. Roy and five others, who were duly selected and sworn according to law; and the said defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court, and informed the Court that they could not agree upon a verdict in this cause, and being interrogated by the Court say that they can not agree upon a verdict herein, wherefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that said Jury be discharged from further trial of this cause, and that a mistrial be entered herein

3689

The State of Texas vs. C. H. Rintleman } Selling whiskey & Beer on Sunday
Wednesday June 2. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state; also came the said defendant in his own proper person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court; and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of twenty dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant C. H. Rintleman said sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3690 The State of Texas vs. C. H. Rintleman } Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday Wednesday June 2nd 1886.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. and says he will no further prosecute this cause against the defendant herein. but will dismiss the same, and files reasons therefor. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3691 The State of Texas vs. C. H. Rintleman } Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday Wednesday June 2nd 1886.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. and says he will no further prosecute this cause against the defendant herein but will dismiss the same, and files reasons therefor. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that said defendant go hence without day.

3692 The State of Texas vs. C. H. Rintleman } Selling whiskey & Beer on Sunday Wednesday June 2. 1886.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announce ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of twenty dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas. do have and recover of

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

and from the defendant C. H. Rintleman said sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

2479 The State of Texas vs. Charles Dixon } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Wednesday June 2nd 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the said defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Charles Dixon said sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all Costs herein incurred for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full

2578 The State of Texas vs. Easter Smith. } Fornication Wednesday June 2nd 1886

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, as well as the said defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney - and the said County attorney now here enters a nol pros and files reasons for a dismissal of this cause - it is ordered that this cause be now dismissed

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Thursday the 3rd day of June A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Thursday morning, June 3rd 1886, Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

2580 The State of Texas vs. John Childress } Adultery. Thursday June 3. 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and says he will no further prosecute this cause against the defendant herein but will dismiss the same. and files reasons therefor. it is considered and ordered by the court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3505. The State of Texas vs. Charles Covington } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Thursday June. 3rd 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and says he will no further prosecute this cause against the defendant herein, but will dismiss the same, and files reasons therefor, it is considered and ordered by the court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed.

3490. The State of Texas vs. John Hodges } Aggravated Assault Thursday June 3. 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. and the defendant being duly called came not but wholly made default - and it appearing to the court that heretofore to wit: on the 16th day of January A.D. 1886. the said defendant J. D. Hodges charged with the offense of assault with a deadly weapon. to wit: a pistol after having been duly arrested by the sheriff of Tarrant County. on a capias issued from the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County. did as principal enter into an appearance bond with W. H. Ward as his surety, in the sum of One hundred

Dis. 8/16/86

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

dollars, jointly and severally payable to the state of Texas: Conditioned that the said J.D. Hodges should personally appear instanter before the County Court of said County and there remain from day to day and from term to term thereof, and answer said Charge and not depart thence unless discharged by due Course of law, wherefore it is Considered and ordered by the Court that the appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said J.D. Hodges as principal and W.H. Ward as surety the aforesaid sum of One hundred dollars jointly and severally, together with all the costs of the prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear: and it is further ordered by the court that citation issue commanding him the said W.H. Ward to appear at the next term of the County Court of Tarrant County to be holden at the Court house thereof on the first Monday in July A.D. 1886, then and there to show cause if any he can why this judgment should not be made final

3680. The State of Texas vs. Fred Roberts and Harry Hill. } Aggravated Assault
Thursday June 3rd 1886.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant Fred Roberts in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, (Harry Hill, the other defendant herein, not having been arrested) - thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J.W. Prewitt and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn and the said defendant Fred Roberts now here after the reading of the indictment to the jury herein, enters his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel, and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886
Thursday the 3rd day of June A. D. 1886
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:
"We the Jury find the defendant guilty of simple assault and assess his fine at Twenty five dollars $25.00" (Signed) J. W. Prewitt, Foreman"
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Fred Roberts said sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue —
Further ordered that the sheriff do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning. 9 o'clock.

Friday morning, 9 o'clock. June 4th 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

The State of Texas vs W. B. McKenzie } (Unlawfully permitting Gaming Bank to be bet at in house under his control.)

3506

Friday June 4th 1886.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the said defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful to wit: Frank Wilcox and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of Not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their Verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at ($25.00) Twenty five dollars (signed) Frank Wilcox. Foreman.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Friday the 4th day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that The State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant W. B. McKenzie said sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3587. The State of Texas vs. George Bates } Exhibiting Monte Bank. Friday June 4th 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney, and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to continue or postpone this cause for the reason that the names of State witnesses were not placed on indictment until case was called for trial, which said motion is by the Court overruled to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Frank Wilcox and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess a fine of $25.00 and ten days in the County Jail"

(Signed) Frank Wilcox, Foreman

It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant George Bates, said sum of $25.00 fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue: Further ordered that the sheriff of Tarrant County

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Friday the 4th day of June A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

do have and recover of and from the defendant do now take and retain in custody, and confine in the County Jail of said County. the said defendant George Bates for the full period of ten days, and thereafter to retain said defendant in his custody until said fine and costs are paid in full

3588

The State of Texas vs George Bates. } Exhibiting Monte Bank Friday June 4th 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the said defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: H. Hack. and five others who were duly selected empannled and sworn according to law. and the said defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. after the reading of the indictment herein to the jury, and further enters a plea of former conviction, and the jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: We the Jury find the defendant not guilty, and find that the plea of former Conviction has been established.

(Signed) N. Hack, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that The State of Texas take nothing of or from the defendant George Bates by reason of this prosecution, and that said defendant go hence without day

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until ~~Monday~~ tomorrow morning 9 o'clock. June 7. 1886

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Monday the 7th day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Monday morning, 9 oclock. June 7th A.D. 1886.
Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as at Commencement of term,

3680 The State of Texas vs. Fred Roberts } Motion for new trial Monday June 7th 1886.

Now comes on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the Court having now heard said motion and argument and being fully advised in the premises it is considered and ordered by the Court that said motion for new trial be sustained and that the verdict and judgment herein had and recorded at a former day of this term against the defendant herein be and the same is hereby set aside and for naught held, and said defendant is hereby granted a new trial herein.

3587 The State of Texas vs. George Bates } Motion for new trial Monday June 7. 1886.

Now comes on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the Court having now heards said motion and argument and being fully advised in the premises, it is Considered and ordered by the Court that said motion for new trial be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and gives notice in open Court of an appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

Jury

Now comes W. T. Maddox, Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into open Court the venire facias heretofore issued for petit jurors to serve this the 6th week of the May Term 1886. of this Court, and upon call the following named answered to wit:-
J. A. Winn, D. C. Pendery, A. C. Brandon and

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Charles Hardisty who were duly sworn and tried and the panel being incomplete, the sheriff was instructed by the Court to summon other qualified jurors to fill the panel. whereupon said sheriff returned into Court the following named persons summoned by him as such jurors to wit: R. L. Boaz. J. W. Sharp. D. B. Damond. W. A. Billingsly. C. C. Hustead. W. C. Prince. Jno Christopher. and J. H. Westbrook, and said jurors were duly sworn and tried.

3502 The State of Texas vs. J. C. Cooper. } Aggravated Assault. Monday June 7th 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. also came the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the County attorney now here says he will no further prosecute this Cause as to the Charge of aggravated assault, but only as to assault. and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty of the charge of simple assault, whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant J. C. Cooper said sum of Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all Costs herein incurred. For which said fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff do now take and retain in Custody said defendant until said fine and Costs are paid in full

3523. The State of Texas vs. George Andrews } Betting at a Gaming Bank Monday June 7th 1886.

Now comes the County attorney.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the said defendant in his own proper person ~~,~~ by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men. to wit: D. W. C. Pendery. and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law. and the said defendant now here, after the reading of the indictment herein to the jury, enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the ~~Court~~ jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict. and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at Ten Dollars.

(Signed) D. W. C. Pendery. Foreman

It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant George Andrews said sum of Ten dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant George Andrews until said fine and costs are paid in full

3525. The State of Texas vs. W. F. McLane } Betting at Gaming Bank. Monday June 7th 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, as well as the said defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. A. Billingsly and five others. who were duly selected empannelled & sworn according to law. and the said defendant presents to the Court his ~~Dem~~ motion to quash the indictment herein, and the Court having heard said motion

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886
Monday the 7th day of June A. D. 188 6.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

and argument and being fully advised in the premises it is considered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby ~~its~~ overruled. to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts, and Now the said defendant after the reading of the indictment herein to the jury, entered his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at ten dollars,

(Signed) W. A. Billingsly, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant M. F. McLane said sum of ten dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning. 9 oclock.

Tuesday morning 9 oclock. June 8th. 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

3667. The State of Texas vs. Josie Belmont } Disorderly House. June 8th A. D. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. also came the said defendant by her attorney. and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged whereupon

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of One hundred dollars. It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Josie Belmont said sum of One hundred dollars fine assessed as aforesaid - together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of ~~the~~ Tarrant County retain in Custody said defendant Josie Belmont, until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3727. The State of Texas vs. Jessie Reeves } Disorderly House Tuesday June 8th 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant by her attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of One hundred dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid together with costs. It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that The State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jessie Reeves said sum of One hundred dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue - Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full

3730 The State of Texas vs. Madam Porter } Disorderly House Tuesday June 8. 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state; also came the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Tuesday the 8th day of June A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

defendant by her attorney and both parties now here announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters her plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of One hundred dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that The State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Madam Porter said sum of One hundred dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and Costs execution may issue - Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in his custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3740 The State of Texas vs. Toney Glover } Selling whiskey and Beer on Sunday Tuesday June 8th 1886.

Now Comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the said defendant now here, after the reading of the indictment herein to the Court. enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument and being fully advised in the premises, enters a judgment of not guilty. It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the court that The State of Texas take nothing of said defendant by reason of this prosecution and that said defendant go hence without day.

3713 The State of Texas vs. F. W. Bradley & Wm Scott } Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday Tuesday June 8th 1886.

Now Comes the County attorney prosecu

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

ting the pleas of the state, and says he will no further prosecute this cause as to the defendant Wm Scott. but will dismiss the same as to said defendant It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that The State of Texas take nothing of said defendant Wm Scott by reason of this prosecution, and that said defendant go hence without day. And the said defendant F. W. Bradley came in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial; a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the said defendant Bradley now here enters his plea of guilty as charged, whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Twenty dollars. It is therefore Consicured and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant F. W. Bradley said sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that the sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3714 The State of Texas vs. F. W. Bradley & Wm Scott } Selling whiskey & Beer on Sunday Tuesday June 8th 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting for the state. also the defendants by attorney and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the indictment herein. and the Court having heard said motion and being fully advised in the premises; it is considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be sustained and said indictment is hereby quashed

3711 The State of Texas vs. A. Brandon } Playing Cards in public place Tuesday June 8th 1886.

Now Comes the County attorney

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886.

the day of A. D. 188

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

prosecuting the pleas of the state also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of ten dollars. It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant A. Brandon said sum of Ten dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3561 The State of Texas vs. Jim Brockman } Betting at Faro Bank. Tuesday June 8th 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the indictment herein, and the Court having heard said motion. and being fully advised in the premises; it is considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which defendant excepts. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: R. L. Boaz. and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the said defendant now here, after the reading of the indictment herein to the jury, entered his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict, and after

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at $10.00

(Signed) R. L. Boaz. Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jim Brockman said sum of Ten dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3563. The State of Texas vs. Smithy Kyle } Betting at Faro Bank Tuesday June 8th 1886

Now comes on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the indictment filed herein, and the court having heard said motion and argument and being advised in the premises it is considered and ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled to which ruling of the court the defendant excepts.

3527. The State of Texas vs. Luke Short. } Betting at Faro Bank Tuesday June 8th 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state: also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial thereupon came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the indictment herein, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, it is ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which the defendant excepts, and now comes a jury of good and lawful men to wit: R. L. Boaz and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Tuesday the 8th day of June A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

to law. and the said defendant now here. after the reading of the indictment herein to the jury, enters his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deleberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at $10.00 (Signed) R. L. Boaz. Foreman. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Luke Short. said sum of five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3517 The State of Texas vs. G. W. Wilderman } Forfeiture of Appeal Bond Tuesday June 8th. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and the said defendant. G. W. Wilderman. being called came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit: on the 18th day of March 1886. the said defendant after having been convicted, on the 17th day of March 1886. in the Justice Court precinct No. 1. Tarrant County, Texas. of the offense of assault. did as principal enter into an appeal Bond with Robert L. Morehous. L. C. Pitt. S. Seaton. A. W. Hutchins. A. J. Temple. G. W. Alexander Henry Evans. W. W. Dunn. F. L. King & J. N. Diehl. as his sureties in the sum of Fifty Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the state of Texas. Conditioned. that the said G. W. Wilderman defendant in said cause and appellant to the County Court shall prosecute his appeal with effect and shall pay such fine and costs as shall be

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

adjudged against him by the County Court as well as other costs that may have been adjudged against him in the Court below. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the appeal bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the state of Texas do have and recover of the said G. W. Wilderman as principal and Robert L. Morehous. L. C. Pitt S. Seaton. A. W. Hutchins. W. J. Temple. G. W. Alexander. Henry Evans. W. W. Dunn. F. L. King and J. N. Diehl. as sureties, the aforesaid sum of Fifty dollars. jointly and severally together with all the costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final, unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court (civil) ~~and that this~~ why said defendant did not appear, and it is further ordered that citation issue Commanding them the said Robert L. Morehous, L. C. Pitt. S. Seaton, A. W. Hutchins, A. J. Temple. G. W. Alexander. Henry Evans. W. W. Dunn F. L. King and J. N. Diehl to appear at the next term of this Court to be holden at the Court house in Fort Worth on the first Monday in July A. D. 1886. then and there to show cause. if any they can. why this judgment should not be made final.

3487 The State of Texas vs. Major Chowning. et al. } Theft under $20.00 Tuesday June 8th 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. and says that he will no further prosecute this cause against the defendants herein. but will dismiss the same and files reasons therefor. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendants go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Tuesday the 8th day of June A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3681 The State of Texas vs. J D F. Andrews. } Theft under value of $20.00 Tuesday June 8th A.D. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state; also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at one days confinement in the County jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant all costs herein incurred, and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant, and confine him in the County Jail of said County for the full period of One day. and thereafter to retain him in custody until all costs herein are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning. 9 oclock.

Wednesday morning, 9 oclock. June 9th 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

3511. The State of Texas vs. Bill Avery. } Betting at a Monte Bank Wednesday June 9th 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: D. W. C. Pendery and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn. and the said defendant now here. after the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Wednesday the 9th day of June A. D. 1886.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

reading of the Complaint herein to the jury. enters his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: We the Jury find the defendant not guilty.

(Signed) D. W. C. Pendery. Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that The State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that said defendant go hence without day.

3701. The State of Texas vs. Mary Heckman { Theft under value of $20.00 Wednesday June 9th 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in her own proper person and by her attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury to wit: D W. C. Pendery and five others who were duly selected empannelled and Sworn, and the said defendant now here after the reading of the complaint herein to the jury. enters her plea of guilty whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: We the jury with instructions as to guilt assess the fine at Ten dollars and ten days in County jail: D. W. C. Pendery Foreman

It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Mary Heckman said sum of Ten dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred. and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and Confine in the County jail of said County said defendant for the full period of ten days and thereafter to retain her in Custody until said fine and Costs are paid in full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Wednesday the 9th day of June A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3751 The State of Texas vs. Fred Haywood } Defacing Public Building. Wednesday June 9. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. also came the defendant in his own proper person. and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of five dollars It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Fred Haywood said sum of Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody until said fine and Costs are paid in full

3732 The State of Texas vs. E. Howard. } Selling Beer on Sunday. Wednesday June 9. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: D. W. C. Pendery and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law. and the said defendant now here, after the reading of the indictment herein to the jury enters his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict - and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at Twenty five dollars

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Wednesday the 9th day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

(Signed) O. W. C. Pendery, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that The State of Texas, do have and recover of and from the defendant Emmett Howard. said sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3242. The State of Texas vs. Charley Cooper } Injuring personal property of another Wednesday June 9th 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and says he cannot successfully prosecute this cause, and files reasons for a dismissal of said cause wherefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that said defendant go hence without day.

3392 The State of Texas vs. J. W. Blackman } Running Billiard & Pool Table without License. Wednesday June 9th 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and the defendant being called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that on the day of Decr. 1885. the defendant J. W. Blackman. charged with the offense of Unlawfully using for profit certain Billiard & Pool Table without first obtaining a License therefor, after having been duly arrested by the sheriff of Tarrant county on a capias issued from the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County, did as principal enter into an appearance bond with J. B. Bondurant and Thos. Witten as his sureties, in the sum of One hundred dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, Conditioned. that the said J. W.

Iss. 6/23/86.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Wednesday the 9th day of June A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Blackman shall make his personal appearance before the Hon. County Court of Tarrant County, on the first day of the next term to be holden at the Court house thereof in and for said County and State on the first Monday in January. the same being the 4th day of January 1886. and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court, and not depart until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge, then in this case, the above bond to be null and void, otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declard forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said J. W. Blackman as principal and J. B. Bondurant, and Thos. Witton as sureties, the aforesaid sum of One hundred dollars. jointly and severally together with all the costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final, unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. And it is further ordered by the Court that citation issue Commanding them the said J. B. Bondurant and Thos. Witton to appear at the next term of the County Court, to be holden at the Court house in Fort Worth. on the first Monday in July A. D. 1886. then and there to show cause if any they can. why this judgment should not be made final.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning. 9 oclock.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Thursday the 10th day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Thursday morning 9 oclock. June 10th A.D. 1886 Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

3729 The State of Texas vs. F. P. Brown } Unlawfully Keeping a house for the purpose of public prostitution Thursday June 10th 1886

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state: also came the defendant in her own proper person and by her attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Charles Hardisty and five others who were duly selected, empanneled and sworn according to law, whereupon the County attorney read to the jury the indictment herein, and the defendant entered her plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to Consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find defendant guilty and assess the fine at Five hundred dollars." (Signed.) Charles Hardisty, Foreman It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the verdict of the jury aforesaid be approved and that said defendant is guilty of the offense as found by the jury; and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant F. P. Brown said sum of Five hundred dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which fine and costs execution may issue - Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full

3733. The State of Texas vs. Emmett Howard } Selling Beer on Sunday

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Thursday the 10th day of June A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: R. L. Boaz and five others who were duly selected, empannuled and sworn, according to law, and the said County attorney now here read to the jury the indictment herein, whereupon the defendant entered his plea of not guilty and also entered a further plea of former Conviction and the jury after hearing the evidence and argument, and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court, the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendants special plea, and we find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty dollars"

(Signed) R. L. Boaz. Foreman.

It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the verdict of the jury be approved and that the defendant is guilty of the offense as charged, and that The State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Emmett Howard said sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs be Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in Custody said defendant until said fine and Costs are paid in full

3734 The State of Texas vs. E. Howard. } Knowingly selling Liquor to minor
Thursday June 10th 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the said defendant in his own proper person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Thursday the 10th day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of $25.00
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant E. Howard said sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.
Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full

3741 The State of Texas vs. Mike Bohan } Selling Beer on Sunday Thursday June 10th 1886

Now Comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Twenty dollars
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Mike Bohan said sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue -
Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning. 9 oclock

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Thursday the 10th day of June A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Friday morning, 9 o'clock June 11th 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday

3483 The State of Texas vs. S Boardman } Aggravated assault Friday June 11th 1886.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state: also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: D. W. C. Pendery and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the said defendant now here, after the reading of the Complaint herein to the jury, enters his plea of not guilty, and the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into Court the following verdict to wit: We the jury find the defendant not guilty. D. W. C. Pendery Foreman

It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the state of Texas do have nothing ~~and recover~~ of and from the defendant by reason of this prosecution and that said defendant go hence without day

3736 The State of Texas vs John Peters. } Selling Beer on Sunday Friday June 11th 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the said defendant ~~by attorney~~ and in his own proper person and by his attorney and both then came on to be heard the defendants application for a postponement of this cause and the Court after hearing said application and being fully advised in the premises. it is ordered by the Court that said application be overruled to which defendant excepts. then came on to be heard the defendants

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

motion to quash the indictment filed herein, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit A. C. Brandon and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law. and the said defendant now here after the reading of the indictment herein to the jury enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the ~~Court~~ jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict, after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty dollars. A. C. Brandon. Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant John Peters said sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full

Ordered by the Court that the jury for the present week be now discharged. and that they each be allowed the amount due them as shown by Clerks Jury Fine Book. and that this Court stand adjourned until Monday morning next

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Monday the 14th day of June A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Monday morning, 9 oclock, June 14th 1886.
Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as at commencement of term.

(Motion Docket.)

3683. The State of Texas vs. George Andrews } Motion in arrest of judgment Monday June 14th " 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. also came the defendant by his attorney and then came on to be heard the defendants motion in arrest of judgment, and the Court having now heard the same and being fully advised in the premises it is considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and gives notice in open Court of an appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

3525. The State of Texas vs. M. F. McLane } Motion for new trial. Monday June 14. 1886.

This day came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein and the Court having heard said motion and argument and being fully advised in the premises, it is considered and ordered by the Court that the said motion for new trial be and the same is hereby overruled. to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts. and gives notice in open Court of an Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

3527 The State of Texas vs. Luke Short } Motion in arrest of judgment Monday June 14. 1886.

This day came on to be heard the defendants motion in arrest of Judgment herein. and the Court having heard said motion and argument and being fully advised in

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Monday the 14th day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the premises, it is considered and ordered by the Court that said motion in arrest of Judgment be and the same is hereby overruled to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open court gives Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of Texas.

3527. The State of Texas vs. Luke Short. } Error - see above -

3729. The State of Texas vs. F. P. Brown } Defts. Motion for new trial Monday June 14th 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state; also came the defendant by her attorney, and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the Court having heard said motion and argument, and being fully advised in the premises. it is considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts. and gives notice in open Court of an appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas. and the recognizance of said defendant is fixed by the Court at $600.00

(Jury)

Now comes W. T. Maddox. Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into Court the venire facias heretofore issued for petit jurors to serve this the 7th week of this term of the Court. and upon call none answered whereupon the Court instructed said sheriff to summon twelve qualified jurors. to fill the panel thereupon said sheriff brought into Court the following named persons to wit: A. Scott. A. W. Chaney. O Pampaire. D. B. Damond. Dan Vaughn Fred Boulware. J. R. Darkins. J H. Parish. G. W. Wilkes J. F. Nash. L. M. Prince & P. J. Bowdoy. and all of said jurors were duly sworn tried and empaneled as a petit jury for this the 7th week of this Court

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Monday the 14th day of June A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3705. The State of Texas vs. Sam Benson } Selling Beer on Sunday. Monday June 14th 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury to wit: P. J. Bowdry and five others who were duly selected impaneled and sworn according to law, and the said defendant now here after the reading of the indictment herein to the jury, enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty dollars ($20.00) (Signed) P. J. Bowdry. Foreman" It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Sam Benson said sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and Costs are paid in full.

3269. The State of Texas vs. Bob. Price. } Aggravated Assault Monday June 14. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the said defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. the a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. ~~xx State~~ of simple assault (the

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

County attorney having dismissed as to the charge of aggravated assault. Whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of five dollars. It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Bob Price said sum of Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3220. The State of Texas vs. M. C. Harris } Playing cards in Public Place Monday June 14. 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the said defendant now here after the reading of the indictment herein to the Court enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and being fully advised in the premises, adjudges the defendant guilty as charged and assesses the defendants punishment at a fine of Ten dollars. It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant M C. Harris said sum of Ten dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that a Capias issue for the arrest and Commitment of said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Monday the 14th day of June A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3706 The State of Texas vs. H. P. Sheil. } Selling Beer on Sunday Monday June 14th 1886.

This day came on to be heard this cause, N. R. Bowlin County attorney representing the state, and the defendant appearing in his own proper person and by his attorneys, and both parties announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury to wit: P. J. Bowdry and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the said defendant now here, after the reading of the indictment herein to the jury, enters his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty." (Signed) P. J. Bowdry, Foreman. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the state of Texas do have & recover nothing of said defendant by reason of this prosecution, and that said defendant go hence without day.

3725. The State of Texas vs. Ed Taber & Yancy Wood } Selling Beer on Sunday Monday June 14th 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and says that he will no further prosecute this cause as to the defendant Ed Taber but will dismiss as to him and files reasons therefor. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed; And the defendant Yancy Wood appearing in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Monday the 14 day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged. whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Twenty dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Yancy Wood. said sum of Twenty dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff. do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning. 9 o'clock.

Tuesday morning, 9 o'clock, June 15th 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

3747. The State of Texas vs. Ed Rowe } Unlawfully Carrying Pistol Tuesday June 15. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state: also came the said defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. ~~there~~ a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Ed. Rowe said sum of $25.00 fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in Custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Tuesday the 15th day of June A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3750

The State of Texas
vs
Jimmy Thomason

Aggravated Assault
Tuesday June 15. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. also came the said defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury to wit: A. W. Chaney and five others who were selected empaneled and sworn according to law. and the said defendant now here enters his pleas of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict. and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty."

(Signed) A. W. Chaney Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and take nothing of said defendant by reason of this prosecution. and that said defendant go hence without day.

3562

The State of Texas
vs.
Tim Erwin.

Betting at a Faro Bank
Tuesday June 15. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. also came the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: O. Paimpare. and five others who were selected empaneled and sworn according to law. and the said defendant now here after the reading of the indictment herein to the jury. enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict. and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Tuesday the 15 day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at Ten dollars"

(Signed) O. Painpare. Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Tim Erwin said sum of Ten dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue - Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County. do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3314 The State of Texas vs. Sam Bollina } Betting at a Gaming Bank Tuesday June 15. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Ten dollars It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Sam Bollina said sum of Ten dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and Costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning 9 oclock

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Wednesday the 16 day of June A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers ers and Stationers, St. Louis

Wednesday morning, 9 oclock. June 16th 1886. the Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

3388 The State of Texas vs. W. B. McKenzie } Running Billiard & Pool Table without License, Wednesday June 16. 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney. and both parties announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: F. S. Boulware and five others who were duly selected. empannuled and sworn. and the said defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court. retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty"

(signed) F. S. Boulware. Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that The State of Texas. take nothing of said defendant by reason of this prosecution and that said defendant go hence without day

3517 The State of Texas vs. G. W. Wilderman } Forfeiture set aside Wednesday June 16. 1886.

Ordered by the Court that the forfeiture of Appeal Bond. and judgment nisi had and recorded at a former day of this term of the Court. be and the same is hereby set aside and for naught held. and by Consent of parties it is ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby Continued until next term of this Court.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Wednesday the 16 day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3735 The State of Texas vs. Chris Rittleman, et al. } Selling whiskey & Beer on Sunday Wednesday June 16. 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and says he will no further prosecute this cause against the defendant Bill Hendricks but will dismiss as to him. it is therefor considered and ordered by the Court that this prosecution be dismissed as to said defendant Bill Hendricks and that said defendant go hence without day.

And the said defendant Chris Rittleman now here appearing in his own proper person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Chris Rittleman said sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all Costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3728 The State of Texas vs. Josie Belmont } Disorderly House. Wednesday June 16. 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. also came the defendant in his own proper person and by her attorney. and both parties announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punish-ment at a fine of One hundred dollars. It is therefore

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Wednesday the 16 day of June A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Josie Belmont said sum of One hundred dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

2931 The State of Texas vs. Dn. McConnell } Aggravated Assault. Wednesday June 16. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and says he will no further prosecute this cause but will dismiss the same and files reasons therefor. It is Considered and ordered by the Court that this prosecution be now dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3135. The State of Texas vs. George Davenport } Carrying a Pistol. Wednesday June 16. 1886.

For satisfactory reasons filed by the County attorney in this Cause, it is ordered that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and that defendant go hence without day.

3180 The State of Texas vs. E. P. Thompson } Aggravated Assault. Wednesday June 16. 1886.

For satisfactory reasons filed by the County attorney in this cause, it is ordered that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Wednesday the 16 day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3205. The State of Texas vs Bill Woods } Aggravated Assault Wednesday June 16. 1886.

For good and sufficient reasons filed by the County attorney in this cause, it is ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

The State of Texas vs. John Graham & Wm Bohn. } Selling whiskey and Beer on Sunday Wednesday June 16. 1886.

For good and sufficient reasons filed by the County attorney in this cause, it is ordered that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed as to said defendant Wm Bohn and continued as to defendant John Graham.

3300. The State of Texas vs. H. Nelson } Selling whiskey and Beer on Sunday. Wednesday June 16. 1886.

For good and Sufficient reasons filed by the County Attorney in this cause: It is Considered and Ordered that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day

3310 The State of Texas vs Bill Woods } Selling whiskey & Beer on Sunday Wednesday June 16. 1886

For good and Sufficient reasons filed by the County attorney in this Cause, it is ordered that this prosecution be and the same is dismissed and that defendant go hence without day.

3319 The State of Texas vs. John Graham & Wm Bohn } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Wednesday June 16. 1886.

For good and sufficient reasons filed by the County attorney in this cause, it is ordered

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Wednesday the 16 day of June A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendants go hence without day.

3396. The State of Texas vs. J. M. Routh } Billiard & Pool Table without License Wednesday June 16. 1886.

For good and Sufficient reasons filed by the County attorney in this Cause. it is ordered that this prosecution be now dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3416 The State of Texas vs. C. C. Cummings } Occupation without License Wednesday June 16. 1886.

For good and Sufficient reasons filed by the County attorney in this Cause. it is ordered that this prosecution be now dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3418 The State of Texas vs. B. P. Ayres } Occupation without License. Wednesday June 16. 1886.

For good and Sufficient reasons filed by the County attorney in this Cause. it is ordered that this prosecution be now dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3419 The State of Texas vs. J. L. Hill. } Occupation without License Wednesday June 16. 1886.

For good and Sufficient reasons filed by the County attorney in this cause. it is ordered that this prosecution be now dismissed. and that said defendant go hence without day

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Wednesday the 16 day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3429 The State of Texas vs. Simon Gabert } Occupation without License Wednesday June 16. 1886

For good and sufficient reasons filed by the County attorney in this Cause, it is ordered that this prosecution be dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3463 The State of Texas vs. E. B. Daggett } Occupation without License Wednesday June 16. 1886

For good and Sufficient reasons filed by the County attorney in this cause it is ordered that this prosecution be now dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3465. The State of Texas vs. D. T. Copher } Occupation without License Wednesday June 16, 1886.

For good and sufficient reasons filed by the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day

3466 The State of Texas vs. A. Armentrout } Occupation without License Wednesday June 16. 1886.

For good and Sufficient reasons ~~reasons~~ filed by the County attorney in this cause it is ordered by the Court that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that said defendant go hence without day.

3704 The State of Texas vs. Bill & Frank Adams } Occupation without License Wednesday June 16. 1886.

For good and sufficient reasons filed by the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Wednesday the 17 day of June A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day

3712 The State of Texas vs. John P. Cole } Occupation without License Wednesday June 16. 1886.

For good and sufficient reasons filed by the County attorney in this Cause, it is ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3718 The State of Texas vs. Bill Graves } Running Theatre without License Wednesday June 16. 1886.

For good and sufficient reasons filed by the County attorney in this cause, it is considered and ordered that this cause be dismissed. and that the saide defendant go hence without day.

3720 The State of Texas vs. Jim Hovenkamp } Aggravated Assault Wednesday June 16. 1886.

For good and sufficient reasons filed by the County attorney in this Cause, it is Considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed. and that said defendant go hence without day.

3738 The State of Texas vs. John Peters } Selling Liquor to minor. Wednesday June 16. 1886.

For good and sufficient reasons filed by the County attorney in this cause, it is ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day

3739 The State of Texas vs. John Peters. } Selling Liquor to minor. Wednesday June 16. 1886. Continued by state.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Wednesday the 16th day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

(Causes Continued.)

It is ordered by the Court that the following causes be continued until the next term of this Court to wit:

3004 The State of Texas - vs - J. B. Edens
Aggravated Assault

3100 The State of Texas - vs - Isaac Henderson
Aggravated Assault

3134 The State of Texas - vs - Jas. McGinnis, et al
Keeping Disorderly House

3151 The State of Texas - vs - Reuse Arey.
Disturbing the Peace

3159 The State of Texas - vs - Houston Atchinson
Aggravated Assault

3160 The State of Texas - vs - Houston Atchinson
Aggravated Assault

3161 The State of Texas - vs - Houston Atchinson
Aggravated Assault

3162 The State of Texas - vs - Ike Cooper
Permitting Cards to be played in house under his Control

3163 The State of Texas - vs - Bill Cooper.
Permitting Cards to be played in house under his Control

3191 The State of Texas - vs - Jim Thomason
Aggravated Assault

3202 The State of Texas - vs - Bill & Ike Cooper
Keeping Disorderly House

3246 The State of Texas - vs - John Strickland
Theft under Value of $20.00

3255 The State of Texas - vs - Andy Rushing
Betting at Gaming Bank

3256 The State of Texas - vs - Jim Rushing.
Betting at Gaming Bank

3257 The State of Texas - vs - S. W. Page.
Betting at Gaming Bank

3282 The State of Texas - vs - Bob Lawson
Aggravated Assault

3283 The State of Texas - vs - J. R. Bosh
Aggravated Assault

3284 The State of Texas - vs - G. D. Monroe
Aggravated Assault.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Wednesday the 16 day of June A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3293. The State of Texas -vs- H. Nelson.
Selling whiskey & Beer on Sunday.

3294 The State of Texas -vs- H. Nelson
Selling whiskey & Beer on Sunday

3295 The State of Texas -vs- Henry Nelson.
Selling whiskey & Beer on Sunday

3297 The State of Texas -vs- John Graham, et al.
Selling whiskey & Beer on Sunday.

3299 The State of Texas -vs- Henry Nelson
Selling whiskey & Beer on Sunday

3301 The State of Texas -vs- John Graham, et al.
Selling whiskey & Beer on Sunday

3302 The State of Texas -vs- John Graham, et al.
Selling whiskey & Beer on Sunday.

3312 The State of Texas -vs- Henry Nelson
Selling whiskey & Beer on Sunday.

3409 The State of Texas -vs- John Harland
Wilfully maiming a horse

3479 The State of Texas -vs- Carl Hartwig.
Seriously threatening life of another

3482 The State of Texas -vs- Isaac Foster.
Removing sand &c from land of another

3497 The State of Texas -vs- Peter Greenwald
Theft of property under $20.00

3507 The State of Texas -vs- Bob. Bridges.
Embezzlement

3557 The State of Texas -vs- John Stewart et al.
Selling whiskey & Beer on Sunday

3559 The State of Texas -vs- George Pierce.
Carrying a Pistol.

3565 The State of Texas -vs- Bud Weatherly
Carrying a Pistol.

3610 The State of Texas -vs- F. M. Bradley & M. M. Scott
Selling Beer on Sunday

3611 The State of Texas -vs- F. M. Bradley & M. M. Scott
Selling Beer on Sunday.

3613 The State of Texas -vs- F. M. Bradley & M. M. Scott.
Selling Beer on Sunday

3663 The State of Texas -vs- Dick Birdwell et al
Disturbing Relig. Worship

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Wednesday the 16 day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Continuances.

3685 The State of Texas -vs- Robt. Darnell
Carrying a Pistol.

3722 The State of Texas -vs- Wallace & Ferrell
Violating Local Option Law.

3723 The State of Texas -vs- Wallace & Ferrell
Violating Local Option Law

3726 The State of Texas -vs- Lottie Reeves
Disorderly House.

3731 The State of Texas -vs- E. Howard
Selling Liquor to minor.

(Alias Capias' Ord. Continued.)

It appearing to the Court that in the following cases there have been no arrests of the defendants, it is considered and ordered by the Court that alias Capias issue for the defendant in the following cases respectively and said cases are continued to wit:

2949 The State of Texas -vs- Ben Griffin
Theft under value of $20.00

2950 The State of Texas -vs- Ben Griffin
Theft under value of $20.00

3038 The State of Texas -vs- John Turner
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

3039 The State of Texas -vs- John Turner
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3040 The State of Texas -vs- John Turner
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3044 The State of Texas -vs- Dave Runnells
Betting at Faro Bank

3077. The State of Texas -vs- Wm. Bemar.
Carrying a Pistol

3087 The State of Texas -vs- Clint Parker.
Aggravated Assault

3088 The State of Texas -vs- Clint Parker
Carrying a Pistol.

3089 The State of Texas -vs- Clint Parker.
Disturbing the Peace.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Wednesday the 16 day of June A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3094 The State of Texas – vs – Jerry Bolin.
Theft under value of $20.00

3130 The State of Texas – vs – Emma Johnson.
Aggravated Assault

3137 The State of Texas – vs – R. W. Massey
Carrying a Pistol.

3138 The State of Texas – vs – Bill Cornelius
Carrying a Pistol.

3143 The State of Texas – vs – Mitchell Gladden
Carrying a Pistol.

3148 The State of Texas – vs – Bill Cornelius
Disturbing the Peace

3155. The State of Texas – vs – Bill Cornelius
Aggravated Assault

3193 The State of Texas – vs – Mitchell Gladden
Carrying a Pistol.

3195 The State of Texas – vs – Joe Freeman.
Violating Local Option Law

3209 The State of Texas – vs – Joe Freeman
Violating Local Option Law

3211 The State of Texas – vs – John Blankenship
Assault.

3212 The State of Texas – vs – S. B. Russell
Carrying a Pistol.

3213 The State of Texas – vs – Tom Dawson.
Theft of a hog.

3224 The State of Texas – vs – Charley Fleming.
Playing cards in Public Peace

3236 The State of Texas – vs – Mitt Holmes
Aggravated Assault

3239 The State of Texas – vs – Jim Thurman
Theft of a hog.

3240 The State of Texas – vs – Jerry Keating.
Aggravated Assault

3259 The State of Texas – vs – S. W. Barber
Aggravated Assault

3260 The State of Texas – vs – S. W. Barber.
Carrying a Pistol.

3270 The State of Texas – vs – Will Miller.
Aggravated Assault

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Wednesday the 16 day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3281. The State of Texas -vs- Jack Evens
Disturbing Religious worship

3313 The State of Texas -vs- ~~~~ McCarty
Betting at a gaming Bank

3478 The State of Texas -vs- J.F. Hughes
Aggravated Assault.

3491 The State of Texas -vs- Ella White & Willie White
Theft under value of $20.00

3519 The State of Texas -vs- Charley Webb.
Assault.

3528 The State of Texas -vs- Joe Hymer
Betting at a gaming Bank.

3564 The State of Texas -vs- Troy Gunnels
Betting at a Faro Bank.

3566 The State of Texas -vs- Robt. Ditto.
Carrying a Pistol.

3580 The State of Texas -vs- Troy Gunnels. et al.
Occupation without License

3581 The State of Texas -vs- Dan Monroe
Carrying a Pistol.

3584 The State of Texas -vs- Troy Gunnels. et al
Exhibiting Gaming Bank & Table

3585 The State of Texas -vs- Joe Hymer.
Exhibiting Faro Bank.

3586 The State of Texas -vs- Joe Hymer
Exhibiting Faro Bank

3590 The State of Texas -vs- Church Wilburn
Carrying a Pistol.

3591 The State of Texas -vs- Jeff Davis
Carrying a Pistol

3592 The State of Texas -vs- Walter Brown
Carrying a Pistol.

3593 The State of Texas -vs- S. Lewis
Killing a Horse.

3600 The State of Texas -vs- Bill Sanford
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3612 The State of Texas -vs- Blacksmith Bob. et al
Betting at a Faro Bank

3650 The State of Texas -vs- G.A. Robinson
Assault

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Wednesday the 16th day of June A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3651 The State of Texas -vs- Chas Bingham
Occupation without License

3652 The State of Texas -vs- George Dwyer
Occupation without License.

3654 The State of Texas -vs- Bill Lep & Dick ——
Assault

3655 The State of Texas -vs- W. Pelle.
Assault

3656 The State of Texas -vs- J. C. Conner
Assault

3657 The State of Texas -vs- Jas. Van Slyke.
Assault

3660 The State of Texas -vs- J. Mc. Leach
Theft under value of $20.00

3670 The State of Texas -vs- Easter Smith
Keeping Disorderly House

3682 The State of Texas -vs- Ned Davis.
Aggravated Assault.

3687 The State of Texas -vs- G. A. Robinson.
Assault.

3688 The State of Texas -vs- James Van Slyke
Assault.

3710 The State of Texas -vs- Fred Shivers
Carrying a Pistol.

3715 The State of Texas -vs- Chas. Gay
Selling Beer on Sunday

3717 The State of Texas -vs- Blank Lot
Aggravated Assault

3721 The State of Texas -vs- J. F. Crane
Carrying a Pistol

3724 The State of Texas -vs- Geo. B. Hollands.
Running Theatre without License

3743 The State of Texas -vs- Alick Probs.
Disturbing Public Assembly

3748 The State of Texas -vs- E. K. Thompson.
Altering Corner of survey of land

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning. 9 o'clock

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Thursday the 17th day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Thursday morning. 9 o'clock. June 17th 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

Filed.

It appearing to the Court that the defendants in the following cases, cannot be found after diligent search. It is ordered that said Cases be retired from the Regular Docket and placed upon the File Docket until such time as the arrest of the defendants therein can be had. to wit:

2379 The State of Texas -vs- Joseph Laing. et al
Disturbing remains of human body

2790 The State of Texas -vs- Johnnie Murphy
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3019 The State of Texas -vs- Jim Atkins.
Betting at Faro Bank.

3020 The State of Texas -vs- Jim Atkins
Betting at Faro Bank

3021 The State of Texas -vs- Jim Atkins.
Betting at Faro Bank.

3034 The State of Texas -vs- Pat McGuire
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3035 The State of Texas -vs- Pat McGuire
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3036 The State of Texas -vs- Pat McGuire
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

3061 The State of Texas -vs- Pat McGuire.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3068 The State of Texas -vs- Emma Johnson.
Keping Disorderly House.

3102 The State of Texas -vs- Lee Smith.
Aggravated Assault

3103 The State of Texas -vs- Lee Smith
Aggravated Assault.

3116 The State of Texas -vs- W. H. Rittenhouse
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3117 The State of Texas -vs- W. H. Rittenhouse
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3118 The State of Texas -vs- W. H. Rittenhouse
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Thursday the 17th day of June A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3124 The State of Texas -vs- Dock Tanner
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3125 The State of Texas -vs- Dock Tanner
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3126 The State of Texas -vs- Dock Tanner
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

3406 The State of Texas -vs- John McCarlin
Occupation without License

3422 The State of Texas -vs- W. E. Kaye
Occupation without License

3438 The State of Texas -vs- W. H. Aldridge.
Occupation without License

3452 The State of Texas -vs- H. C. Wharton.
Occupation without License

3453 The State of Texas -vs- E. W. Morton.
Occupation without License

3673. The State of Texas vs. Wm Fahay } Forfeiture of Bond. Thursday June 17th 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and the defendant being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore, to wit: on the 12th day of April 1886. the defendant Wm Fahay after having been duly arrested by the sheriff of Tarrant County on a charge of Aggravated assault did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Pat Fahay and John Rogan as his sureties in the sum of Two hundred dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, Conditioned that should the said Wm Fahay make his personal appearance before the Hon. County Court of Tarrant on the 12th day of April 1886. and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court, and not depart until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described Charged, then in that case the said bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Thursday the 17th day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

in law, and said defendant having now failed to appear as aforesaid. wherefore it is Considered and ordered by the Court that said appearance bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Wm Fahay as principal and Pat Fahay and John Rogan as sureties. the aforesaid sum of Two hundred dollars jointly and severally, together with all the Costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. and it is further ordered that Citation issue Commanding them the said Pat Fahay and John Rogan to appear at the next term of the County Court of Tarrant County on the first Monday in July A.D. 1886 then and there to show cause if any they can why this judgment should not be made final. and it is ordered that alias Capias issue for the said defendant.

3669. The State of Texas vs. Lizzie Chapman } Forfeiture of Bonds Thursday June 17. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and the defendant Lizzie Chapman being duly called came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court that heretofore. on. to wit. the 12th day of April A.D 1886. the defendant after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a charge of unlawfully keeping a Disorderly House did as principal enter into an appearance bond with E. B. Haynes as her surety in the sum of One hundred dollars jointly and severally. payable to the State of Texas. Conditioned. that should the said Lizzie Chapman make her personal appearance before the Hon. County Court of Tarrant County. then in session - Instanter, and there remain from day to day to day and from term to term of said Court

Dis. 6/23/86.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886
Thursday the 17th day of June A. D. 1886
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

and not depart until discharged by due Course of law. then and there to answer said above described charge, then in this Case, the above bond to be null and void. otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law, and the said defendant having failed to appear as aforesaid wherefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that the appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is now here declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas. do have and recover of and from the said Lizzie Chapman as principal, and E. B. Haynes as sureties the aforesaid sum of One hundred dollars jointly and severally. together with all the Costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final unless good Cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear Further ordered that Citation issue in manner and form as required by law, and that alias Capias issue for the defendant herein.

3683. The State of Texas vs. Robert Brown } Forfeiture of Bond Thursday June 17. 1886.

Now Comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and the defendant being duly called Came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that heretofore to wit: on the 28th day of April 1886. the defendant Robert Brown. after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County. on a charge of aggravated assault, did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Joe Cullins. D. G. Granbery Bill Love. Steven Henderson. Henry Richardson. N. A. Stedman and Henry M. Furman as his sureties in the sum of One hundred and fifty dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. Conditioned. that should the said Robert Brown make his personal appearance before the Hon. County Court of Tarrant County on the first day of the next term to be holden at the Court house thereof

Jas. 6/23/86.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Thursday the 17 day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

in and for the County of Tarrant and State aforesaid on the first Monday in May the same being the 3rd day of May 1886. and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart until discharged by due Course of law. then and there to answer said above described charge then in that case said bond to be null and void Otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law: and said defendant having failed to appear as aforesaid. it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declard forfeited. and that the State of Texas have and recover of and from the defendant Robert Brown as principal and Joe Collins. B.G. Granberry. Bill Love. Steven Henderson. Henry Richardson. N.A. Stedman Henry Mc. Furman sureties aforesaid. said sum of One hundred and fifty dollars - jointly and severally. together with the costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Citation issue in manner and form as required by law. and that alias Capias issue for the defendant herein.

3742. The State of Texas vs. S. M. Wilson, et al. } Selling Liquor in Local Option precinct

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and says he will no further prosecute this cause, but will dismiss the same and files reasons therefor. it is Considered and ordered by the Court that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendants go hence without day.

Ordered that Court ~~Court~~ do now adjourn until Monday morning next at 9 oclock.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886.

Monday the 21st day of June A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Monday morning. June 21st 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as at Commence - ment of term.

(Jury.)

Now comes the Sheriff and returns into Court the Venire facias heretofore issued for petit jurors to serve this the 8th week of this term of the Court. and upon call the following named answered to wit. J. A. Roark, whereupon the sheriff was ordered to summon other qualified jurors to fill the panel, thereupon said sheriff brought into Court the follow - ing named parties as jurors. to wit: Chas Shamwerk E. M. Orrick. J. C. White. A. Gabert. W. J. Welburn. B. S. Wall. J. D. Alford and C. McBride. and all of said jurors were duly selected. empaneled and sworn according to law.

2440 The City of Fort Worth. vs. Jack McDonald } Appeal from Recorders Court Monday June 21st 1886.

Now comes the City attorney prosecu - ting for the City and says he will no further prosecute this cause but will dismiss the same. it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this prosecu - tion be and the same is hereby dismissed. and that said defendant go hence without day.

2551 The City of Fort Worth. vs. Bill Sanford. } Appeal from Recorders Court Monday June 21st 1886.

Now Comes the City attorney prosecuting for the City, and says he will no further prosecute this Cause but will dismiss the same. it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this prosecu - tion be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Monday the 21st day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

2690. The City of Fort Worth. vs. W. C. Wann. } Appeal from Recorders Court Monday June 21st 1886.

Now comes the City attorney prosecuting the pleas of the ~~sta~~ city, and says he will no further prosecute this cause but will dismiss the same. it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this prosecution be now dismissed and that defendant go hence without day.

3092. The City of Fort Worth vs. James Rushing. } Appeal from Recorders Court Monday June 21st 1886.

Now comes the city attorney prosecuting for the city, and says he will no further prosecute this cause. but will dismiss the same. it is ordered by the Court that this prosecution be now dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day

3109 The City of Fort Worth vs. Henry Cooper } Appeal from Recorders Court Monday June 21. 1886

Ordered that alias Capias issue for the defendant herein, and cause Continued.

3123. The City of Fort Worth. vs. Tomey Glover } Appeal from Recorders Court Monday June 21. 1886.

On application of defendant - it is Considered and ordered that this cause be ~~dismissed~~ Continued.

3254 The City of Fort Worth vs. Luke Short } Appeal from Recorders Court Monday June 21. 1886.

Now comes the City attorney prosecuting for the city and says he will no further prosecute this cause but will dismiss the same. it is considered and ordered that this prosecution be dismissed. and that this defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Monday the 21st day of June A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3480 The City of Fort Worth vs. "Cat Fish." } Appeal from Recorders Court Monday June 21st 1886. (Vagrancy.)

Now comes the city attorney prosecuting the pleas of the city. also came the defendant by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged. whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of One dollar It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the defendant Cat Fish, and his sureties on appeal bond herein to wit: J. M. Routh, Geo. W. Roddy Henry Gray and May Richen said sum of One dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Recorders Court. for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

3485 The City of Fort Worth vs. Cat Fish. } Appeal from Recorders Court Monday June 21st 1886.

Now comes the city attorney prosecuting for the City, and says he will no further prosecute this Cause but will dismiss the same. it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this prosecution be now dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3489 The City of Fort Worth vs. Tick Hooper. } Appeal from Recorders Court Monday June 21. 1886. Carrying a Pistol,

Now comes the defendant by his attorney. and the City attorney prosecuting for the city and both parties announced ready for trial thereupon came a jury to wit: E. N. Orrick and five other good and lawful men of Tarrant County. who were duly selected empannuled and sworn according to law

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Monday the 21st day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

and the said defendant now here, after the reading of the Complaint herein to the jury, enters his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess a fine of Twenty five dollars."

(Signed) E. M. Orrick Foreman

It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the defendant Gid Hooper and his sureties on appeal bond herein to wit: G. R. Isbell and Jesse Jones said sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Recorders Court, for which said fine and Costs let execution issue.

3673. The State of Texas vs. Wm Fahay. } Forfeiture set aside. Monday 21st June 1886.

Ordered by the Court that the forfeiture of appearance bond herein and judgment nisi thereon had and recorded at a former day of this term in this cause be and the same is hereby set aside and for naught held, and this cause is continued until next term.

3697 The City of Fort Worth vs. Frank Burns. } Appeal from Recorders Court Monday June 21st 1886.

Now comes the City attorney prosecution for the city, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here, after the reading of the Complaint herein to the Court, enters his plea of not guilty, and the Court having now heard the evidence, and being fully advised in the premises, finds the defendant not guilty.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Monday the 21st day of June A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing of said defendant sued by reason of this prosecution and that said defendant go hence without day.

3729 The State of Texas vs. F. P. Brown } Recognizance. Monday June 21st 1886.

This day came into open Court F. P. Brown, defendant in the above entitled cause who together with John P. Tierney and H. E. Maddox her sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Six hundred dollars, conditioned that the said F. P. Brown who stands charged in this Court with the offense of unlawfully keeping a disorderly house, the same being then and there kept by the said Brown for the purpose of public prostitution and as a common resort for prostitutes and vagabonds and who has been convicted of said offense in this court, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

3749 The City of Fort Worth. vs. James McGinnis } Appeal from Recorders Court Monday June 21. 1886.

By Consent of the parties hereto, it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be Continued until next term.

3757 The City of Fort Worth. vs. H. H. Thompson } Appeal. Monday June 21. 1886. Carrying a pistol.

This day came the city attorney prosecuting for the city. also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here, after the reading of the Complaint herein to the Court, enters his plea of not guilty, and also files and presents to his plea of former Conviction, and the Court having now heard the evidence and being fully advised in the premisis it is the opinion of the Court that defendants plea of former Conviction is untrue and that the defendant is guilty of the offense as charged whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Fifty dollars It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and and from the defendant H. H. Thompson, and his sureties on appeal bond herein to wit: Jake Johnson and Budd Fagg said sum of fifty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this court and in the Recorders Court for which said fine and costs lets execution issue.

The State of Texas vs. John Peters } Fine against states witnesses Monday June 21st 1886.

This cause being called for trial and the states witnesses Wallace Buchanan and Will Cartwright being duly called came not, and it appearing to the Court that said witnesses had been duly served with process herein. it is therefore Considered by the Court that said Wallace Buchanan and Will Cartwright are in Contempt of Court, and it is ordered that they be each fined the sum of fifty dollars. – that the State of Texas recover of said Wallace Buchanan and Will Cartwright each the sum of fifty dollars and costs of this proceeding and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said parties did not appear. Further ordered that Citation issue herein in manner and form as required by law – Cause Continued by state.

ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning 9 oclock.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Tuesday the 22nd day of June A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Tuesday morning. 9 o'clock. June 22nd 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

2942 The City of Fort Worth vs. A. O'Neill } Appeal from Recorders Court Tuesday June 22. 1886. "Fighting"

On this day came the attorney prosecuting for the City, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: C. McBride and five others. who were duly selected impanneled and sworn, and the said defendant now here after the reading of the Complaint herein to the jury enters his plea of not guilty. wherein the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at one dollar"

(Signed) C McBride. Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the defendant, A. O'Neill. and his sureties on appeal bond herein. to wit: L. A. Trimble and D. C. Kemper. said sum of One dollar the fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Recorders Court. for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

3122 The City of Fort Worth vs. Wm Woods. } Appealed from Recorders Court Tuesday June 21st 1886.

Now Comes the City attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City and says he will no further prosecute this cause but will dismiss the same. it is ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Tuesday the 22nd day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3204 The City of Fort Worth vs. A. Happy } appeal from Recorders Court Tuesday June 22nd 1886

Now comes the City attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and says he will no further prosecute this cause but will dismiss the same. it is therefore Considered and ordered by the Court that this Cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3504. The City of Fort Worth vs. Elsie Hawthorn. } appeal from Recorders Court Tuesday June 22. 1886

Continued by Consent

3698 The City of Fort Worth vs. Conrad Smith. } appeal from Recorders Court Tuesday June 22. 1886.

Continued by city on account of absence of witnesses for city.

3744 The City of Fort Worth vs. W. A. Kleinworth. } appeal from Recorders Court Tuesday June 22. 1886. "Nuisance".

Now Comes the city attorney prosecuting for the City. also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorneys and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: C. McBride and five others who were duly selected, empannled and sworn according to law. and the said defendant now here after the reading the Complaint herein to the jury enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the court retired to Consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty"

(signed) C McBride. Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

that the City of Fort Worth take nothing of said defendant by reason of this prosecution, and that said defendant go hence without day.

3746 The City of Fort Worth vs. W. Goldstein. } Appeal from Recorders Court Tuesday June 22nd 1886.

Continued by City.

3758 The City of Fort Worth vs. J. H. Hensley. } Appeal from Recorders Court Tuesday June 22. 1886.

Continued by consent

3752 The City of Fort Worth vs. John Graham } appeal from Recorders Court Tuesday June 22. 1886.

Continued by Consent

3754 The City of Fort Worth vs. M. B. McKenzie } Appeal from Recorders Court Tuesday June 22. 1886.

Continued generally.

3729 The State of Texas vs. F. P. Brown } Motion for 10 days &c. Tuesday June 22. 1886.

This day came on to be heard the defendants motion for 10 days time after the adjournment of this Court in which to file a statement of facts in this cause, it is ordered that said motion be sustained and said time is hereby granted

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Wednesday the 23. day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Wednesday morning. 9 oclock. June 23. 1886 - Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

The City of Fort Worth. } Forfeiture of Bond.
vs. } Wednesday June 23. 1886
T. G. Boone. }

3674

This day came the City attorney prosecuting for the City, and the defendant T. G. Boone being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit: on the 8th day of April A. D. 1886. the defendant T. G. Boone, charged with the offense of unlawfully carrying on his person a pistol, after having been tried and convicted of said offense in the Recorders Court of the City of Fort Worth on said 8th day of April 1886. did as principal enter an appeal bond, to remove said cause to the County Court of Tarrant County, with W. Miller as his surety in the sum of One hundred dollars jointly and severally payable to the City of Fort Worth. Conditioned that if the said defendant shall prosecute his appeal with effect &c. &c - And the said defendant having failed to appear as aforesaid, wherefore it is Considered and adjudged by the Court that the defendants appeal bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and That the City of Fort Worth have and recover of and from the defendant T. G. Boone, as principal and W. Miller as surety the aforesaid sum of One hundred dollars jointly and severally, together with all Costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue Commanding the said W. Miller to appear at the next term of this Court (Civil) then and there to show cause, if any they can why this judgment should not be made final.

Iss. 8/16/86.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Wednesday the 23rd day of June A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3694 The State of Texas vs. Chas. McGehee, et al. } Engaging in a Riot. Wednesday June 23rd 1886

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state: also came the defendants in his own by attorney, and said defendants ask a severance on the trial of this Cause. it is ordered that the same be granted and the defendant Chas. McGehee is here placed upon trial, came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: Wm. Prewitt and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn and the said defendant now here after the reading of the indictment herein to the jury, enters his plea of not guilty, whereupon this jury after hearing the evidence and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict. and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: We the jury find the defendant not guilty.

(Signed) Wm. Prewitt, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas, take nothing of defendant Chas. McGehee by reason of this prosecution and that said defendant go hence without day.

3759. The City of Fort Worth, vs. George Gear. } Selling Whiskey on Sunday Wednesday June 23. 1886.

Continued by consent.

3672 The State of Texas vs. L. Cohn, et al. } Engaging in a Riot Wednesday June 23. 1886.

Now come the defendants and ask for a severance in the trial of this Cause, ordered that the same be granted, and that defendants be placed on trial separately.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Thursday the 24th day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Thursday morning 9 oclock. June 24th 1886.
Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

3672. The State of Texas vs. L. Cohn. et al. } Engaging in a Riot. Thursday June 24th 1886

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant L. Cohn (who is first placed upon trial in this cause) in his own proper person and by his attorneys, and both parties announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: C. McBride and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn and the said defendant now here, after the reading of the indictment herein to the jury. enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and arguments and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at one hundred and Seventy five dollars".

(Signed) C. McBride Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the verdict of the jury aforesaid be approved, and that the defendant L. Cohn is guilty of the offense as charge found by the jury. and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant L. Cohn said sum of One hundred and seventy five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue - Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Thursday the 24th day of June A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3671 The State of Texas vs. T. L. Rowland. } Unlawfully carrying a Pistol Thursday June 24th 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. J. Welborn and five others, who were duly elected empannelled and sworn, and the said defendant now here after the reading of the indictment herein to the jury, entered his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: We the jury find the defendant not guilty

(Signed) W. J. Welborn. Foreman.

It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing of said defendant by reason of this prosecution and that said defendant go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning 9 oclock.

Friday morning, 9 oclock. June 25th A. D. 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

3672 The State of Texas vs. L. Cohn, et al. (C. McGehee) - } Friday June 25th 1886. "Riot"

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant Chas. McGehee in his own proper person and by his attorney (a severance of the defendants herein having been heretofore demanded and granted) and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

men to wit: E. L. Barron and five others, who were duly selected empanneled and sworn, and the said defendant Chas. McGehee, now here, after the reading of the indictment herein to the jury - enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess the penalty at a fine of One hundred and twenty five dollars". (Signed) E. L. Barron. Foreman. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the verdict of the jury aforesaid. be and the same is hereby approved. and that the defendant is guilty of the offense as found by the jury - It is therefore adjudged that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Chas. McGehee said sum of One hundred and twenty five dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said fine and Costs execution may issue - Further ordered that the sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in Custody said defendant until said fine and Costs are paid in full.

3672 The State of Texas vs L. Cohn. et al (McGehee) } Motion for new trial Friday June 25. 1886

Now Comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the Court having heard said motion and argument and being fully advised in the premises, it is Considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and gives notice

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Friday the 25. day of June A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

in open Court of an appeal to the Court of Appeals of the state of Texas. And the recognizance of said defendant is fixed by the Court at the sum of $300.00 Leave is hereby granted to file statement of facts in this case within ten days after adjournment of this term of the Court.

3672 The State of Texas vs. L. Cohn. et al (C. McGehee) } Recognizance. Friday June 25. 1886.

This day came into open Court Chas. McGehee, defendant in the above entitled Cause who together with J. R. Wright and J. Richards, his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Three hundred dollars, Conditioned, that the said Chas. McGehee who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "Riot" and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court. shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

3757 The City of Fort Worth vs. H. H. Thompson } Motion for new trial Friday June 25th 1886.

This day came on to be heard the defendants motion and amended motion for a new trial in arrest of judgment herein, and the Court having heard the same and argument it is Considered and ordered by the Court that said motion and amended motion be and the same are hereby overruled.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning, 9 o'clock.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1886

Saturday the 26th day of June A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Saturday the 26th day of June 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on Yesterday

2942. The City of Fort Worth. vs A. O'Neill. } Motion for new trial. Saturday June 26. 1886

This day came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein. And the Court having heard said motion and argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises it is considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained. and the verdict and judgment heretofore at this term had and recorded against the defendant in this cause. be and the same is hereby set aside and for naught held. and a new trial is hereby granted in this cause.

Thursday, July 1st A.D. 1886. Court in regular session. officers of court present.

3683. The State of Texas vs. Robert Brown } Forfeiture set aside Thursday July 1st 1886.

Ordered that the forfeiture of defendants appearance bond heretofore had and recorded in this cause be and the same is hereby set aside and for naught held. and this cause is continued until next term.

3705 The State of Texas vs. Sam Benson } Motion for new trial Thursday July 1st 1886.

The Court having now heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein. It is considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. to which defendant excepts and gives notice in open Court of an appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas

Friday July 2. 1886. Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Court in Course

Sam Freeman Co. Judge T.C.T.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ... Term, 188

Friday the July 2nd day of ——— A. D. 188 6

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3527

The State of Texas } Recognizance.
vs. } Friday July 2nd 1886.
Luke Short. }

This day came into open court Luke Short, defendant in the above entitled cause who together with John L. Wurd and C. J. Irrasey his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the state of Texas in the penal sum of One hundred dollars. Conditioned that the said Luke Short, who stands charged in this Court with the offence of unlawfully betting at a Faro Bank, and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Monday the 5th day of July A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Be it remembered that on the first Monday in July A.D. 1886. (it being the 5 day of said month) there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court in and for the County of Tarrant State of Texas at the Court house thereof in the City of Fort Worth. Present Hon Sam Furman County Judge. N R. Boulin County attorney Jno F. Swayne County Clerk and W. T. Maddox Sheriff of said County, when and where the following proceedings were had on the Criminal Docket of said Court, to wit:

3651 The State of Texas vs. Jim Brockman } Motion to retax Costs. Monday July 5. 1886.

This day came on to be heard the defendants motion excepting to certain witness fees taxed in the bill of costs in this cause against said defendant, and to retax said Costs. and the Court having now heard said motion and the evidence and fully advised in the premises. it is ordered that said motion to retax Costs herein. be and the same is hereby overruled.

The Criminal Docket of this term of the Court is set for Monday August 2nd 1886.

Monday morning 9 oclock August 2. 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment. all officers of Court present.

3813. The State of Texas vs. Bob Berry } Theft under value of $20.00 Monday August 2. 1886

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the said defendant now

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Monday the 2nd day of August A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

here enters his plea of guilty as charged. whereupon the court assessed the defendants punishment ab imprisonment in the County jail for the period of one day: it is therefore Considered and adjudged by the court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take into custody said defendant Bob Berry. and Confine him in the County Jail of said County for the full period of one day: and that the state of Texas have and recover of and from defendant all costs herein incurred for which execution may issue. and that the sheriff retain in custody said defendant until said costs are paid in full

3814 The State of Texas vs. W. H. Owens } Theft under value of $20.00 Monday August 2. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state; also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court; and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged whereupon the court assessed the defendants punishment at imprisonment in the County jail for the period of ten days; it is considered and adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take into custody said defendant Wm H. Owens and imprison him in the County jail of said County for the full period of ten days and thereafter to retain said defendant in his custody until all costs herein incurred are paid.

Jury.

And now comes W. T. Maddox. Sheriff of this County and returns into open Court the venire facias heretofore issued for petit jurors to serve this the 5th week of the present term of this Court and upon called the following named persons answered to wit: James Cannier. & W. A. McLane

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Monday the 2nd day of August A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

whereupon the Sheriff was instructed to summon other qualified jurors to fill the panel. thereupon said Sheriff returned into Court the following named persons to wit: Ella McPhee. Lem Reese. J. D. Gunnels. J. T. Castleberry. J. R. Dawkins James Easley. Wm Greeves. O. Pampare. L. M. Prince. James Cannier. W. A. McLane (the two last being the two summoned on the regular Venire) and R. B. Atwood. and all of said parties were sworn tried and empannelled according to law.

3557. The State of Texas vs. John Stewart and Frank Taylor } Monday August 2. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. also came the defendants in their own proper person and by attorney. and the said defendants now here demand a severance on the trial hereof: it is ordered that a severance be granted. and the defendant Frank Taylor is first put upon trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: O. Pampare. and five others who were duly selected. empanneled and sworn. who after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict (the indictment herein having been read to the jury and the said defendant having entered his plea of not guilty.) and after due deliberation the jury returned into open Court the following verdict. to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at Twenty dollars"

(Signed) O. Pampare Forman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Frank Taylor said sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff of

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County July Term, 1886

Monday the 2nd day of Aug. A. D. 1886

Tarrant County do now take and retain in Custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid.

3798 The State of Texas vs. John Peters. } Selling Beer on Sunday Monday August 2. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also came the said defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to wit O. Pampare and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn. who after the reading of the indictment herein to the jury. the defendant entered his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict. to wit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty" (signed) O. Pampare Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing of the defendant herein by reason of this prosecution and that said defendant go hence without day.

2699 The State of Texas vs. J. T. Leer } Exhibiting a Gaming Bank Monday August 2 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further proceed this cause but now here files reasons for a dismissal of same. and the court having now heard said reasons and being fully advised in the premises. it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Monday the 2nd day of August A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

2733. The State of Texas vs. Sebe Maddox. } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Monday August 2nd 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and says he will no further prosecute this cause but now here files reasons for a dismissal of said cause, and the Court having now heard said reasons, and being fully advised in the premises, it is considered and ordered by the court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3159 The State of Texas vs. Houston Atchinson } Aggravated Assault Monday August 2. 1886

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and says he will no further prosecute this cause, but now here files his reasons for a dismissal of same. and the court having now heard said reasons and being fully advised in the premises, it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that said defendant go hence without day.

3160 The State of Texas vs. Houston Atchinson } Aggravated Assault Monday August 2. 1886

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and says he will no further prosecute this cause but now here files reasons for a dismissal of said cause. and the Court having heard said reasons and being fully advised in the premises, it is considered and ordered by the court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that said defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886.

Monday the 2nd day of August A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3161. The State of Texas vs. Houston Stchinson } Aggravated Assault
Monday Aug. 2nd 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and says he will no further prosecute this cause, but now here files reasons for a dismissal of this cause, and the court having now heard said reasons and being fully advised in the premises it is considered and ordered by the court that this cause be dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day

3437 The State of Texas vs. A. O'Neal } Occupation without License
Monday August 2. 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and suggests to the court the death of the defendant herein. whereupon it is ordered by the court that this prosecution be now dismissed.

3610 The State of Texas vs. F. W. Bradley and M. W. Scott. } Selling Beer on Sunday
Monday August 2. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and says he will no further prosecute this cause, but now here files reasons for a dismissal of same, and the Court having now heard said reasons and being fully advised in the premises it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendants go hence without day.

3611 The State of Texas vs F. W. Bradley and M. W. Scott. } Selling Beer on Sunday
Monday August 2. 1886

This day came the County attorney.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Monday the 2nd day of August A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

prosecuting the pleas of the state; and says he will no further prosecuting this cause, but now here files reasons for a dismissal of said cause. wherefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed. and that said defendant go hence without day.

3613 The State of Texas vs. F. W. Bradley and M. W. Scott. } Selling Beer on Sunday Monday August 2. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and says he will no further prosecute this cause. but now here files reasons for a dismissal of said cause and the Court having heard said reasons and being fully advised in the premises, it is considered and ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed. and that said defendants go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning. 9 oclock.

Tuesday morning. 9 oclock August 3rd 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

3817 The State of Texas vs. H. Jackson } Aggravated Assault Tuesday August 3 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. it is therefore Considered and ordered by the Court that the state of Texas

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886.

Tuesday the 3rd day of August A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

do have and recover of and from the said defendant H. Jackson said sum of twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant county do now take and retain in his custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3764 The State of Texas vs. John Switzer } Embezzlement. Tuesday August 3. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and the defendant came in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Wm. Greaves and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn, and the County attorney now here read to the jury the indictment herein: and the said defendant entered his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the defendant not guilty as charged in the information"

(Signed) William Greaves, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that said defendant go hence without day

3780. The State of Texas vs. John Switzer. } Adultery. Tuesday August 3. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Tuesday the 3rd day of August A. D. 188 6

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: O. Paimpare and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn and the County attorney now here read to the jury the indictment herein, and the defendant entered his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict, to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty of Fornication and assess his fine at Fifty dollars"

(signed) O. Paimpare. Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jno. Switzer said sum of Fifty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

2739 The State of Texas vs. Church Wilburn Jr. } Killing Dog of another Tuesday August 3. 1886

Continued by consent.

3013. The State of Texas vs. W. D. Cameron } Adultery Tuesday August 3. 1886

Continued by Consent

3014 The State of Texas vs. Lou Morris } Adultery Tuesday August 3. 1886.

Continued by Consent

3004 The State of Texas vs. J. B. Edens } Aq. Assault

Continued for the term.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Tuesday the 3rd day of August A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

(Alias Capias & continued.)

It appearing to the Court that in the following cases the defendants have not been arrested. it is ordered by the Court that alias capias issue for the defendants and said causes are continued, viz:

3094 State - vs - Jerry Bolin. - Theft under value $20.00
3148 " " Bill Cornelius - Disturbing Peace.
3155 " " " " - Ag. Assault
3211 " " John Blankenship - Assault
3283 " " J. R Bosh - Aggravated Assault

(Continued by Consent.)

The following case are continued by consent of the parties. viz:

3127. State - vs - Tom Ditto - Aggravated Assault
3128 " " John Ditto - " "
3129 " " Bud Burford - " "
3131 " " Webb. Ditto " "
3153 " " Tom Ditto Disturbing the Peace
3157 " " Bill Perry Aggravated Assault
3162 " " Ike Cooper - Permitting cards to be played in house under his control.
3163 " " Bill Cooper " " " " " "
3191 " " Jim Thomason - Aggravated Assault
3202 " " Bill & Ike Cooper - Keeping Disorderly House
3246 " " John Strickland - Theft under value $20.00
3280 " " Wm Scribner - Dist. Relig. Worship
3512 " " Frank Cameron - Aggravated Assault
3770 " " T. C. Lewis - Selling Liquor to minor.
3771 " " H. A. Lewis - " " "

(Continued by State,

The following cases are continued on application of State. court:

3273. State - vs - T. C. Lewis - Selling Liquor in Local Option Precinct
3274 " " H. A. Lewis - " " " "
3275 " " T. C. Lewis - " " " "
3276 " " H. A & T. C. Lewis " " " "
3277 " " " " " " " " "

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Thursday morning next. 9 oclock

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Thursday morning. 9 oclock. August 5. 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as at Commencement of term.

3293. The State of Texas vs. H. Nelson } Selling whiskey and Beer on Sunday Thursday August 5. 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. and says he will no further prosecute this cause. and files reasons for a dismissal of the same. and the Court having heard said reasons and being fully advised in the premises it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed. and that said defendant go hence without day.

3294 The State of Texas vs. H. Nelson } Selling whiskey and Beer on Sunday Thursday August 5. 1886.

Now Comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. and files his reasons for a dismissal of this prosecution. and the Court having heard said reasons and being fully advised in the premises it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3295. The State of Texas vs. Henry Nelson } Selling whiskey and Beer on Sunday Thursday August 5. 1886

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. and files his reasons for a dismissal of said cause, and the Court having heard said reasons and being fully advised in the premises it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Thursday the 5th day of August A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3297 The State of Texas vs John Graham & Wm Bohn. } Selling Liquor on Sunday Thursday Aug. 5. 1886.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and files reasons for a dismissal of said cause, and the Court having heard said reasons and being fully advised in the premises, it is considered and ordered by the Court that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3299 The State of Texas vs. Henry Nelson } Selling Liquor on Sunday August 5. 1886.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and files his reasons for a dismissal of this cause, and the Court having heard said reasons and being fully advised in the premises it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3301 The State of Texas vs. John Graham & Wm Bohn. } Selling Liquor on Sunday August 5. 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and files his reasons for a dismissal of this cause, and the Court having heard said reasons and being fully advised in the premises, it is considered ordered by the Court that this cause be dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day

3302 The State of Texas vs John Graham & Wm Bohn } Selling Liquor on Sunday August 5. 1886.

Now comes the County attorney

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Thursday the 5th day of August A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

prosecuting the pleas of the state, and files his reasons for a dismissal of said cause. and the Court having heard said reasons and being fully advised in the premises. it is ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendants go hence without day.

3312 The State of Texas vs. Henry Nelson } Selling Liquor on Sunday Thursday August 5. 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and files reasons for a dismissal of said cause, and the Court having heards said reasons and being fully advised in the premises. it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be dismissed and that said defendants go hence without day.

3722 The State of Texas vs. J. S. Wallace and ___ Ferrell. } Violating Local Option Law Thursday August 5. 1886.

On application of the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state: it is considerid and ordered by the Court that this Cause be and the same is hereby Considered Continued

And on motion of defendant, the Court being fully advised in the premises, it is considered and ordered by the Court that the indictment herein. as far as the same relates to the defendant — Ferrell be and the same is hereby quashed. and that said defendant — Ferrell go hence without day.

3723. The State of Texas vs. J. S. Wallace and ___ Ferrell } Violating Local Option Law. Thursday Aug. 5. 1886.

On application of the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state; it is Con- sidered and ordered by the Court that this Cause

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Thursday the 5th day of August A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

be and the same is hereby continued.

And on motion of the defendant it is considered and ordered by the Court that the indictment herein as far as the same relates to defendant — Ferrell be and the same is hereby quashed, and that said defendant — Ferrell go hence without day.

3809 The State of Texas vs. A. W. Pierce & H. O. Hall } Aggravated Assault Thursday Aug. 5th 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendants in their own proper person and by their attorneys, and the said defendants now here demand a severance on the trial of this cause, ordered that a severance be granted, and the defendant H. O. Hall is now here first placed upon trial, and the said defendant parties announced ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: O. Pairpare, and five others who were duly elected, empannelled and sworn, and the County attorney now here read to the jury the information herein, and the said defendant entered his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to Consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty of aggravated assault and assess his punishment at Twenty five dollars. (signed) O. Pairpare. Foreman. It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant H. O. Hall said sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Friday the 6th day of August A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Friday morning, 9 oclock, August 6th 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning 9 oclock

Saturday morning, 9 oclock. August 7th 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

3809. The State of Texas vs. A. W. Pierce, et al. } Aggravated Assault Saturday August 7th 1886.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant A. W. Pierce, in his own proper person and by his attorney, (a severance having heretofore been granted in this cause) then came on to be heard the defendants application for a continuance of said cause, and the Court having heard said application and being fully advised in the premises, it is considered and ordered by the court that said application be and the same is hereby overruled to which ruling of the court the defendant excepts: and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the information herein it is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which the defendant excepts

And now come a jury to wit: C. S. Miller and five others who were duly selected, empanneled and sworn and the County attorney now here read to the jury the information herein, and the said defendant entered his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, and argument and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty of simple assault and assess his fine at $25.00"

(Signed) C. S. Miller, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant A. W. Pierce said sum of Twenty five dollars

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Saturday the 7th day of August A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3282 The State of Texas vs. Bob Lawson. } Forfeiture of Bond Saturday July 7. 1886.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and the defendant being duly called came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit: on the 4th day of November A.D. 1885. on an examining trial before T.B. Hurst Justice of the peace precinct No. 8. Tarrant County, the defendant charged with the offence of aggravated assault was by said Justice bound over to the County Court, and said defendant did then and there enter into an appearance bond with J. M. Wright and J. A. Graves as his sureties in the sum of One hundred dollars jointly and severally payable to the state of Texas, Conditioned that the said Bob Lawson shall make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County on the first day of the next term to be holden at the Court house thereof in and for the County of Tarrant State of Texas on the first Monday of the next term of County Court 1885. and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court, and not to depart until discharged by due Course of law then and there to answer said above described charge then in this case the above bonds to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law, and said defendant having failed to appear as aforesaid wherefore it is considered and adjudged by the Court that the aforesaid appearance bond be

Ins. 8/16/86

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Bob Lawson as principal and J H Wright and J. A. Graves as sureties the aforesaid sum of One hundred dollars jointly and severally together with all costs of this prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. and it is further ordered by the Court that citation issue Commanding them the said J. H. Wright and J. A. Graves to appear at the next term of the County Court Civil, in and for said County on the first Monday in September next then and there to show cause if any then can why this judgment should not be made final: and that alias capias issue for the defendant.

Ordered that court do now adjourn until Monday morning August 9th 1886.

Monday morning August 9th 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment. present as at Commencement of term.

Jury.

And now comes W. T. Maddox Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into open Court the venire facias heretofore issued for petit jurors to serve this the 6th week of the present term of the Court and upon call the following named answered to wit: Jo. Mcqueb E R. Boardman. M. T. Danner. J A. Burson. and Newt Stowell. and said parties were sworn and tried according to law. whereupon the said sheriff was instructed and directed to summon other qualified jurors to fill the panel. thereupon said Sheriff brought into Court the following named parties G. S. Wall. W. L. Hall. J. M. Burns. P. J. Bowdry H. H. Dixon and W. C. Prince + John Parish and said parties were sworn and tried, and all of said parties were constituted the jury for this the 6th week of this term of the Court

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County. July Term, 1886

Monday the 9th day of August A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3816 The State of Texas vs Oss Bates and Gid Rucker } Monday August 9. 1886.

This day this cause being called and the state by her attorney, and the defendants each in person and by attorney being present in court the said defendant Gid Rucker requests that he be allowed to sever from his Co-defendant Oss Bates in the trial of this cause, which request is here now by the court granted, and the said parties having agreed that the defendant Gid Rucker shall be first placed upon trial, it is ordered that the trial of this cause proceed as to the said defendant Gid Rucker alone.

3816 The State of Texas vs. Oss Bates and Gid Rucker. } Monday August 9. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant Gid Rucker in his own proper person and by his attorney (a severance having been granted herein) and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: P. J. Bowdry and five others who were duly elected empanneled and sworn, and the County attorney now here read to the jury the information herein and the said defendant entered his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and afterwards returned into Court in due form of law the following verdict which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the minutes, to wit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty" (Signed). P. J. Bowdry, Foreman. It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant Gid Rucker be

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Monday the 9 day of Aug. A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

immediately discharged from all further liability upon the charge for which he has herein been tried and that he go hence without day.

3816 The State of Texas vs. Oss Bates and Sid Ruckes } Monday August 9. 1886

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state: also came the defendant Oss Bates in his own proper person and by his attorney. (a severance having been granted herein) and both parties announced ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: Joseph Nugent and five others who were duly elected empanneled and sworn; and the County attorney now here read to the jury the information herein. and the said defendant entered his plea of not guilty whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and afterwards returned into court in due form of law the following verdict which was received by the Court and is now here entered upon the minutes to wit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty"

(Signed) Joseph Nugent Foreman

It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant Oss Bates be immediately discharged from all further liability upon the charge for which he has herein been tried. and that he go hence without day.

3801 The State of Texas vs. Mark Davis } Exhibiting Monte Bank Monday August 9. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Monday the 9th day of Aug. A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the indictment herein being read to the Court. the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. as charged. whereupon the court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, and at imprisonment in the County jail for ten days. It is therefore Considered, ordered and adjudged by the court that the state of Texas do have and recover of the defendant Mark Davis the said fine of twenty five dollars, and all costs of this prosecution, and execution may issue against the property of said defendant for the amount of such fine and costs: and the said defendant being now present in Court. ordered that he be committed to the custody of the sheriff. who shall forthwith Confine him in the jail of Tarrant County for the full period of ten days from this date. and thereafter to retain him in custody until said fine and costs are paid.

(Cases Dismissed)

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and files herein written statements and motions. asking permission of the Court to dismiss each of the following criminal actions for the reasons in said motions stated. and the Court being satisfied that the reasons so stated are good and sufficient to authorize such dismissals. It is therefore Considered. ordered and adjudged that the following criminal actions be and the same are dismissed. and that the defendants respectively be discharged and go hence without day, to wit:

3529 State. vs- George Albright.- Violating Local option Laws.
3534 " " " " " " " "
3535 " " " " " " " "
3536 " " W. O. Middleton " " " "
3537 " " " " " " " "
3538 " " Dol Glover " " " "
3539 " " George Albright " " " "
3550 " " W. O. Middleton " " " "

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Monday the 9th day of August A. D. 1886.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3551.	State	vs	George Albright	Violating Local Option Law			
3552	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3553	"	"	W. O. Middleton	"	"	"	"
3554	"	"	Fayette Tinkle	"	"	"	"
3555	"	"	George Albright	"	"	"	"
3556	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3558	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3568	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3569	"	"	Rob Kinkle	"	"	"	"
3570	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3571	"	"	Dol Glover	"	"	"	"
3572	"	"	G. G. Pate	"	"	"	"
3573	"	"	Dol Glover	"	"	"	"
3574	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3575	"	"	Bob. Kinkle	"	"	"	"
3576	"	"	Dol Glover	"	"	"	"
3577	"	"	Bob Kinkle	"	"	"	"
3578	"	"	Dol Glover	"	"	"	"
3579	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3594	"	"	Bob Kinkle	"	"	"	"
3632	"	"	G. G. Pate	"	"	"	"
3699	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3700	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

3100. The State of Texas vs. Isaac Henderson } Aggravated Assault Monday August 9. 1886.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be continued until next term of this Court

3134 The State of Texas vs. Jas. & Katie McGinnis } Keeping Disorderly House Monday August 9. 1886

Ordered by the court that this cause be continued until next term of this Court.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Tuesday the 10th day of August A. D. 188 6

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Tuesday morning. 9 oclock August 10th A.D. 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment. present as on yesterday.

3779 The State of Texas vs. Mollie Russell } Fornication Tuesday august 10. 1886.

On application of defendant herein it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be continued until next regular term.

3781 The State of Texas vs. Con Hines. } Fornication. Tuesday August 10 1886.

On application of the defendant herein, it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be continued until nxt regular term.

3782 The State of Texas vs. Fannie Ratican } Fornication Tuesday August 10. 1886.

By consent of parties hereto it is considered and ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby continued.

3783. The State of Texas vs Wm Dunn } Fornication. Tuesday August 10. 1886.

By consent of parties hereto it is considered and ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby Continued.

2822 The State of Texas vs. G. H. Day } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Tuesday August 10. 1886.

By consent of parties hereto it is considered and ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby Continued

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Tuesday the 10th day of August A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3825 The State of Texas vs. Ben Witherspoon } Theft under value of $20.00 Tuesday August 10. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state; also came the said defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the County attorney read to the Court the information herein, and the defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at imprisonment in the County jail for one day It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant for the full period of one day. and thereafter to retain said defendant in custody until all costs herein incurred are paid in full.

3557 The State of Texas vs. Frank Taylor (Stewart & Taylor) } Motion for new trial Tuesday August 10. 1886

This day came the parties by their attorneys, then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the Court having heard said motion and argument and being fully advised in the premises. it is considered and ordered by the court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. to which ruling of the Court the defendant Frank Taylor excepts. and gives notice in open court of an appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

The recognizance of said defendant is fixed by the Court at the sum of $75.00.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

the day of A. D. 188

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3812

The State of Texas vs. Sam Johnson & John Thompson. } Forfeiture of Bond

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and the defendants Sam Johnson & John Thompson being called came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit: on the 2nd day of August 1886 the said defendants each respectively, after having been arrested by the sheriff of Tarrant County on a charge of theft of property under value of $20.00 did as principals enter into appearance bonds with Maggie Johnson and Annie C. Thompson as sureties on each of said bonds in the sum of One hundred dollars, each bond, jointly and severally, payable to the state of Texas, Conditioned that the said Sam Johnson and John Thompson should each personally appear instanter before the County Court of said County and there remain from day to day and from term to term thereafter and answer said charge and not depart until discharged by due Course of law; then said bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law and said defendants having failed to appear as aforesaid. it is Considered and ordered by the Court that said bonds respectively be and are hereby declared forfeited, and that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Sam Johnson as principal and his sureties on appearance bond to wit: Maggie Johnson & Annie C Thompson and the said John Thompson and his sureties on appearance bond to wit Maggie Johnson & Annie C. Thompson said sums of One hundred dollars on each of said bonds jointly and severally, together with all costs herein incurred, and that this judgment be made final unless good Cause be shown at the next term of this Court, why said defendants did not appear; And it is further ordered that Citation issue Commanding them the said

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886.

the day of A. D. 188

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Maggie Johnson and appnc. C. Jakes Thompson to appear at the next term of this Court, to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant at the Court house thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in September 1886 then and there to show cause if any they can why this judgment should not be made final. Further ordered that alias capias issue for the said defendants returnable to the next term of this court

Monday morning. 9 oclock. August 23. 1886.
Court in regular session all officers of Court present.

Jury.

Now comes W. T. Maddox, Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, and returns into open Court the venire facias heretofore issued for petit jurors to serve this the 8th week day of the term of the Court. and upon call the following named answered to wit: J. P. Alford and F. V. Terry. whereupon the Court directed the sheriff to summon other qualified jurors to fill the panel. thereupon the sheriff brought into Court the following named persons to wit: Jack Reaser F. F. Atkins. Jesse Henderson. M. F. Daniel. J. M. Twomey. J. P. Alford A. J. Fletcher. J. T. Shive. S. S. Patterson. J. C. Riley and T. E. Ferguson. and all of said jurors were duly sworn tried and empanelled as a petit jury for this the 8th week of this term of the Court

2942 The State of Texas vs. A. O'Neal } Appeal from Recorders Court Monday August 23. 1886.

Now comes the City attorney prosecuting for the city and suggests to the Court the death of the defendant herein. it is, therefore considered and ordered by the court that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed from the Docket of this Court.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Monday the 23. day of August A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3499 The City of Fort Worth vs. A. O'Neal } Theft of property under $20.00 Monday August 23. 1886.

Now comes the City Attorney prosecuting for the City and suggests to the Court the death of the defendant A. O'Neal, whereupon it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed.

3504 The City of Fort Worth vs. Elsie Hawthorn } Appeal Monday August 23. 1886.

Continued on application of City.

3746 The City of Fort Worth vs. W. Goldstein. } Disturbing the Peace. Monday August 23. 1886.

By Consent of parties hereto. it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be continued until next term.

3749. The City of Fort Worth vs. James M. Ginnis. } Assault Monday August 23. 1886.

By Consent of parties hereto it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be continued until next regular term.

3752 The City of Fort Worth vs. John Graham } Selling whiskey on Sunday Monday August 23. 1886.

By Consent. it is ordered that this cause be continued.

3753 The City of Fort Worth vs. Bob Wagoner } Selling whiskey on Sunday Monday August 23. 1886.

By Consent. it is ordered that this cause be continued.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Monday the 23rd day of August A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3759 The City of Fort Worth vs. George Gear. } Selling whiskey on Sunday Monday August 23. 1886

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the Complaint herein, and the Court having heard said motion and argument and being fully advised in the premises. it is considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be sustained and that the Complaint filed herein be and the same is hereby quashed. That the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that said defendant go hence without day.

3786. The City of Fort Worth vs. John Bowlin. } Disturbing Peace Monday August 23. 1886

This day came the City Attorney prosecuting for the City. also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney. and both parties announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Complaint herein being read to the Court, the defendant entered his plea of guilty as charged whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of five dollars. It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the defendant John Bowlin (who signs his name to the appeal bond herein "J. H. Boland") and his sureties for appeal bond herein. to wit: H P. Shiel D. Burns and T. J. Walsh said sum of Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all Costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below for which said fine and Costs execution may issue.

3822 The City of Fort Worth vs. Edmond Allen } Playing Cards in a Public place Monday August 23. 1886

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 188.....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

quash the Complaint herein. and the Court having heard said motion and argument thereon and being fully advised in the premises because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the defendant said motion is by the Court sustained. : It is therefore ordered that the Complaint filed herein be and the same is hereby quashed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3824 The City of Fort Worth vs. Joe Cullen } Playing cards in Public place Monday Aug. 23. 1886.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the Complaint filed herein and the Court having heard said motion and argument and being fully advised in the premises because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the defendant said motion is by the Court sustained. It is therefore ordered that the Complaint filed herein be and the same is hereby quashed. and that said defendant go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning 9 oclock

Tuesday morning, 9 oclock. August 24th 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

3832 The State of Texas vs. Oscar Smith } Aggravated Assault Tuesday Aug. 24. 1886

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. also came the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the information herein being read to the Court, the defendant entered his plea of guilty as charged whereupon the Court assessed the defendants punishment

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Oscar Smith, said sum of twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue.
Further ordered that the sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3809. The State of Texas vs. A. W. Pierce, et al. (H. O. Hall) } Defendant Halls motion for new trial. Tuesday Aug. 24th 1886.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendant H. O. Halls motion for a new trial herein, and the Court having heard said motion and argument and the being fully satisfied in the premises, it is considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be sustained and that the verdict and judgment had and recorded against the defendant herein at a former day of this term of the Court be and the same is hereby set aside and for naught held, and a new trial is hereby granted said defendant in this cause

3828. The City of Fort Worth vs. E. W. Bakes } Violating Water Rules Tuesday August 24. 1886

This day came the City attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State City also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court; and the Complaint herein being read to Court the defendant entered his plea of not guilty, whereupon, the Court after hearing the evidence and argument is of the opinion that the defendant is guilty and assesses his fine at One dollar. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Tuesday the 24 day of August A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the defendant G. W. Baker, and his sureties on appeal bond herein, to wit:
said sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs (costs in this Court and in the Court below) execution may issue.

3755. The City of Fort Worth vs. J. F. Fogg. } Carrying a pistol. Tuesday Aug. 24. 1886.

Continued by consent.

3698 The City of Fort Worth vs. Conrad Smith. } Appeal Tuesday Aug. 24. 1886.

This day came the City attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City and says he will no further prosecute this cause but will dismiss the same. it is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that this Cause be dismissed and that this Cause defendant go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning, 9 oclock,

Wednesday morning, 9 oclock, August 25th 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday.

3807. The City of Fort Worth vs. Mollie McKeller } Vagrancy Wednesday Aug. 25th 1886.

This day came the city attorney prosecuting for the city, also came the defendant by her attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. C. Riley and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn, and the City attorney now here read ~~read~~ to the jury the Complaint herein

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Wednesday the 24th day of August A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

and the defendant entered her plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the Charge of the Court. retired to Consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess her fine at five dollars,"

(Signed) J. C. Riley, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the defendant Mollie McKeller and her sureties on appeal bond herein to wit: W. A. Adams and F. G. Bounds said sum of five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below. for which said fine and costs let execution issue

3831. The City of Fort Worth vs. John Woods } Selling Liquor on Sunday Wednesday August 25th 1886

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the Complaint filed herein. and the Court having heard said motion and being fully advised in the premises, it is Considered and ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained. said Complaint is hereby quashed and said defendant is hereby discharged

3829 The City of Fort Worth vs. Bill Oliver } Disturbing Peace Wednesday August 25. 1886

This day came the parties. the City attorney prosecuting for the City and the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney. and both parties announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. P. Alford and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn. and the City attorney now here read to the jury the Complaint herein. and the said defendant entered his plea of not guilty whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Wednesday the 24th day of August A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict. and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict towit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty".

(Signed) J.P. Alford, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant be immediately discharged from all further liability upon the Charge for which he has herein been tried, and that he go hence without day

3823 The City of Fort Worth. vs. J. E. Calhoun } Assault Wednesday Aug. 25. 1886.

This day came the city attorney prosecuting for the City and announced ready for trial. the defendant failed to appear either in person ~~and~~ or by attorney. thereupon came a jury of good & lawful men to wit: J. M. Twomey and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law. and the City attorney read to the jury the Complaint herein. and the Court entered a plea of not guilty for the defendant, and the jury after hearing the evidence and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict. and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict. towit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at twenty five dollars".

(Signed) J. M. Twomey. Foreman.

It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the defendant J. E. Calhoun, and his sureties on appeal bond herein, to wit: Louis Maas, and Bud Fagg. said sum of twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Wednesday the 25 day of August A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

3820. The City of Fort Worth, vs Tom Payne } Selling beer on Sunday Wednesday Aug. 25. 1886

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the Complaint herein and the Court having heard said motion and being fully advised in the premises it is considered and ordered that said motion be sustained. and said Complaint is hereby quashed and said defendant is discharged.

3808 The City of Fort Worth, vs. Frank Burgess } Selling Liquor on Sunday Wednesday Aug. 25. 1886

This day came the City attorney prosecuting for the City also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney. and both parties announced ready for trial. then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the Complaint herein which said motion is by the Court overruled. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J.P. Alford and five others who were selected, empannelled and sworn according to law. and the City attorney now here read to the jury herein the complaint. and the said defendant entered his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at twenty dollars"

(signed) J.P. Alford. Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth. do have and recover of and from the defendant Frank Burgess. and his sureties on appeal bond herein to wit: M.C. Bohan & S.M. Galloway. said sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred in this Court and in the Court below. for which said fine and Costs execution may issue.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1886

Monday the 30 day of August A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Monday August 30th A.D. 1886. Court in regular session, all officers of Court present.

3823 The City of Fort Worth. vs. J. E. Calhoun. } Monday August 30th 1886.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein. And the Court having heard said motion and arguments and being fully advised in the premises, it is considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts

(Sept 4. 1886.)

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Court in course. Sam H Lyon

This 4th day of Sept A.D. 1886 Co Judge T. C.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1886

Monday the 6th day of September A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

The State of Texas
County of Tarrant } Be it remembered that on the first monday in September A.D. 1886. (it being the 6th day of said month) there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court, for transaction of Civil, Criminal and Probate business, in and for the County of Tarrant State aforesaid at the Court house thereof in the city of Fort Worth; Present and presiding Hon. Sam Furman, County Judge presiding: also present. Jno. F. Swayne, County clerk - N. R. Bowlin County Attorney and W. T. Maddox Sheriff of said County when the following proceedings were had, to wit:

The "Criminal Docket" of this term of the Court is set for the 1st day of the 5th week, - October 4. 1886.

Monday morning, 9 oclock. October 4th A.D. 1886 Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as at commencement of term.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning 9 oclock.

Tuesday morning. 9 oclock. October 5th 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as on yesterday,

3770 The State of Texas vs. T. C. Lewis } Selling Liquor to minor. Tuesday Oct. 5. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the said defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the indictment herein. and the Court having heard said motion and being fully advised in the premises. it is, considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be sustained and said indictment is hereby quashed, and that said defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1886

Tuesday the 5 day of October A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3771 The State of Texas vs. H. A. Lewis } Selling Liquor to minor. Tuesday October 5th 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state; also came the defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the indictment herein, and the Court having heard said motion and being fully advised in the premises, it is considered and ordered that said motion be sustained and said indictment is hereby quashed, and that said defendant go hence without day.

3709 The State of Texas vs. W. R. Harper } Obstructing Public Road. Tuesday October 5. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also the said defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney, and the County attorney now here says he cannot further prosecute this Cause at this time and asks leave to dismiss the same, it is therefor Considered and ordered by the Court that this Cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3277 The State of Texas vs. H. A. & T. C. Lewis } Selling Liquor in Local Option precinct. Tuesday Oct. 5. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and also came the said defendants in their own proper persons and by attorney and the County attorney says he cannot further prosecute this cause at this time. It is therefore Considered and ordered by the Court that this Cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendants go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1886

Tuesday the 5th day of October A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

3273. The State of Texas vs. T. C. Lewis } Selling Liquor in Local Option precinct. Tuesday October 5. 1886.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State; also came the defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and the said County attorney now here says that he cannot further prosecute this cause at this time it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3274 The State of Texas vs. H. A. Lewis } Selling Liquor in Local Option precinct Tuesday Oct 5. 1886.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state: also came the said defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and said defendant demands a trial of this cause and the county attorney now here says he cannot further prosecute this cause at this time: it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3275 The State of Texas vs. T. C. Lewis } Selling Liquor in Local Option precinct Tuesday October 5. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state: also came the said defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and said defendant demands a trial of this cause and the county attorney now here says he cannot now further prosecute this cause. it is therefore considered and ordered by this Court that this cause be now dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1886

Tuesday the 5 day of October A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3276 The State of Texas vs. H. A. & T. C. Lewis } Selling Liquor in Local Option precinct. Tuesday, October 5. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state; also came the defendants in their own proper persons and by attorneys, and said defendants now here demand a trial of this cause, and the County attorney now here says he can't no further prosecute this cause at this term, it is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that said defendants go hence without day.

3258 The State of Texas vs Henry Williams } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Tuesday October 5. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and says he will no further prosecute this cause but will dismiss the same and files his reasons therefor, and the court having heard said reasons and being fully advised in the premises. it is considered and ordered by the court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3257 The State of Texas vs. S. W. Page } Betting at a Gaming Bank Tuesday October 5. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and says he will no further prosecute this cause but will dismiss the same and files his reasons therefor. and the court being fully advised in the premises, it is considered and ordered by the court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1886

Tuesday the 5th day of October A. D. 1886.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

3255. The State of Texas vs. Andy Rushing } Betting at a Gaming Bank Tuesday October 5. 1886:

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and says he will no further prosecute this cause but will dismiss the same and files his reasons therefor. and the Court being fully advised in the premises it is Considered and ordered by the court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

3256. The State of Texas vs. Jim Rushing } Betting at a Gaming Bank. Tuesday October 5. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and says he will no further prosecute this cause, but will dismiss the same and files his reasons therefor. and the Court being fully advised in the premises, it is Considered and ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that said defendant go hence without day.

3151. The State of Texas vs. Reese Arey. } Disturbing the Peace Tuesday Oct. 5. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and says he will no further prosecute this cause but will dismiss the same, and files his reasons therefor. it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this Cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said defendant go hence without day.

2739 The State of Texas vs. Church Willburn Jr. } Killing dog of another. Tuesday Oct. 5. 1886.

Continued generally.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1886

Tuesday the 5th day of October A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3100 The State of Texas vs. Isaac Henderson } Aggravated Assault
Tuesday Oct. 5. 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the said defendant in his own proper person and by his attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the county attorney now here says he will no further prosecute the defendant as to the charge of aggravated assault, but only as to the charge of simple assault and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as to said charge of simple assault. whereupon the court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Isaac Henderson said sum of Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Cases Filed.

It appearing to the court that the defendants in the following cases cannot be found after diligent search. It is ordered that said cases be retired from the regular Docket and placed upon the file Docket until such time as the arrest of the defendants therein can be had to wit:

2949 State -vs- Ben Griffen - Theft under value of $20 00
2950 " " " " - " " " " "
3038 " " John Turner - Exhibiting Gaming Bank
3039 " " " " - " " "
3040 " " " " - " " "
3044 " " Dave Rimmells - Betting at Faro Bank
3130 " " Emma Johnson - aggravated assault

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1886

Tuesday the 5. day of October A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

(Alias and Continued.)

Ordered by the Court that alias capias issue for the defendants in the following cases returnable to the next term of this court, and said cases are continued for service. to wit:

3004	State	vs- J. B. Edens	aggravated assault.
3077	"	" Wm Bemar	Carrying a Pistol.
3087	"	" Clint Parker	aggravated assault
3088	"	" "	" Carrying a Pistol.
3089	"	" "	" Disturbing the Peace.
3094	"	" Jerry Bolin	Theft under $20.00
3137	"	" R. W. Massey	Carrying a Pistol.
3138	"	" Bill Cornelius	" " "
3143	"	" Mitchell Gladden	" " "
3148	"	" Bill Cornelius	Disturbing the Peace
3173	"	" Will Brooks	Exhibiting Faro Bank
3175	"	" Ben Oliver	" " "
3176	"	" Sam McConnell	" " "
3185	"	" Fred Brown,	" " "
3186	"	" " "	" " "
3187	"	" J. E. Calhoun	" Monte Bank.
3188	"	" "	" " "
3193	"	" Mitchell Gladden	Carrying a Pistol
3195	"	" John Freeman	Violating Local Option Law
3209	"	" Joe Freeman	" " " "
3211	"	" John Blankenship	assault
3212	"	" S. B. Russell	Carrying a Pistol
3213	"	" Tom Dawson	Theft of a hog.
3224	"	" Charley Fleming	Playing cards in Pub. place
3236	"	" Mitt Holmes	aggravated assault
3239	"	" Jim Thurman	Theft of a hog.
3240	"	" Jerry Keating	Theft under value $20.00
3259	"	" S. N. Barber	Aggravated assault
3260	"	" "	Carrying a Pistol
3266	"	" Fred Bronson	Exhibiting Faro Bank
3267	"	" "	" " "
3268	"	" "	" " "
3270	"	" Will Miller	" " "
3155	"	" Bill Cornelius	aggravated assault

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1886

Tuesday the 5th day of October A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3162 The State of Texas vs. Ike Cooper } Permitting cards to be played in house under his control. Tuesday October 5th 1886.

Ordered by the court that this cause be continued generally.

3163 The State of Texas vs. Bill Cooper } Permitting cards to be played in house under his control Tuesday October 5th 1886.

Ordered by the court that this cause be continued generally.

3191 The State of Texas vs. Jim Thomason } Aggravated assault. Tuesday Oct 5. 1886.

Ordered by the court that this cause be continued generally.

3202 The State of Texas vs. Bill Cooper & Ike Cooper } Keeping Disorderly House Tuesday Oct. 5. 1886.

Ordered by the court that this cause be continued generally.

Ordered that court do now adjourn until next Monday morning October 11th 1886.

Monday morning, 9 oclock, October 11th A.D. 1886. Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as at commencement of term.

3851. The State of Texas vs. Sul Granberry } Aggravated Assault. Monday Oct. 11th 1886.

This day came the attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. also came the defendant in his own proper person, and announced ready for trial, thereupon the parties waived a jury and submitted the matters of fact as well as of

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1886

Monday the 11th day of Oct. A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

law were submitted to the court. and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged whereupon the court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars- It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Sul. Granberry said sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff of Tarrant county do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3282 The State of Texas vs. Bob Lawson } Aggravated Assault. Monday October 11th 1886.

This day this cause being regularly called for trial, came the defendant in his own proper person and by attorney. and demanded a trial of this cause. and the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State failed to appear and prosecute this cause. wherefore it is considered and adjudged by the court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed for want of prosecution, and that said defendant go hence without day.

3843. The State of Texas vs. Dave Rogers. et. al. } Aggravated Assault. Monday Oct. 11th 1886.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. also came the defendant Dave Rogers in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court- and the County attorney now here declines to prosecute as to the charge of aggravated assault, but only as to simple assault and the said defendant Dave Rogers now here enters his plea of guilty as to the charge of simple assault

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1886

Monday the 11 day of Oct. A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Whereupon the court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Dave Rogers said sum of Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3843. The State of Texas vs Dave Rogers, et. al. } Aggravated Assault
Monday Oct 11. 1886.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant Monroe Scott in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court. and the county attorney now here says he will no further prosecute this defendant as to the charge of aggravated assault. but only as to the charge of simple assault and the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as to the charge of simple assault. Whereupon the court assessed the defendants punishment at a fine of Five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas. do have and recover of and from the defendant Monroe Scott said sum of Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff retain in custody said defendant until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that court do now adjourn until Wednesday morning. 9 oclock.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1886

Wednesday the 13th day of October A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Wednesday morning, 9 oclock, October 13th 1886.
Court met pursuant to adjournment - present as at commencement of term.

3722 The State of Texas vs. J. S. Wallace, et al. } Violating Local Option Law. Wednesday Oct. 13. 1886.

This cause having been set for trial this day, and being now regularly called for trial, came the defendant J. S. Wallace (the defendant Ferrell having heretofore been discharged) and said defendant Wallace now here demands a trial, and the County attorney fails to appear and prosecute this cause, wherefore it is considered and adjudged by the court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed for want of prosecution, and that said defendant go hence without day.

3723. The State of Texas vs. J. S. Wallace, et al } Violating Local Option Law Wednesday Oct. 13. 1886.

This cause having been set for trial this day, and being now regularly called for trial came the defendant J. S. Wallace in his own proper person and by his attorney, and demands a trial of this cause, and the County attorney now here fails to appear and prosecute this cause. wherefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed for want of prosecution. and that said defendant go hence without day.

Saturday, October 30th 1886.
Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Court in Course

Sam Tillman Co. Judge
Tarrant Co. Texas

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 1st day of November A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Be it remembered that on the first Monday in November A.D. 1886 (the same being the 1st day of said month) there was begun and held a regular term of the County Court within and for the County of Tarrant in the State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, Present the Hon. John Herman County Judge, John F. Swayne County Clerk and W. T. Maddox Sheriff of said County when and where the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court, to wit:

Court in Session November 29 1886 Present as on first day of term with the exception of B. H. Shipp the duly elected and qualified Sheriff of said County.

3895 The State of Texas —vs— Wm Johnson and J. J. Putnam } Disturbing the Peace

Monday November 29, 1886

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial and the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State says he will no further prosecute this suit as to defendant J. J. Putnam but will dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing of said defendant Putnam by reason of this prosecution and that said defendant go hence without day. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and defendant Wm Johnson withdrew his plea of "not guilty" whereupon the court assesses his punishment at a fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Wm Johnson said sum of One Dollar fine as assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, & that execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Tuesday the 30th day of November A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

3286 The State of Texas vs Bill Atterberry } Ex. Gaming Bank. Tuesday November 30. 1886

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here enters his "Plea of Guilty" whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Bill Atterberry said sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

3360 The State of Texas vs Bill Atterberry } Ex. Gaming Bank. Friday Dec. 3. 1886.

This day came the parties by their attys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and the defendant now here enters his "plea of Guilty" whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Bill Atterberry said sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Friday the 3rd day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3359
3361
3362
3363
3364
3365
3367
3378
3379
3380
3381

The State of Texas
—vs—
Bill Atterberry

Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
Friday December 3rd 1886.

This day came the County Atty. prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute these cases but will dismiss same, and files his reasons therefor with the papers herein. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that above styled cause be and the same are hereby dismissed and that defendant Bill Atterberry go hence without day.

3802 The State of Texas
—vs—
Lee Ditto

Unlawfully Carrying a Pistol
Thursday Oct 14 1886.
(Nunc Protunc)

This day came the County Attorney W. R. Bowlin prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the defendant in his own proper person and announce ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact & law as well as of law were submitted to the court and the defendant now here enters his "plea of Guilty" whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Lee Ditto the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

The above judgment was rendered at the September term of this court and by mistake of the clerk was left off the minutes. It is therefore ordered that the same be entered Nunc Protunc this 3rd day of December A.D. 1886.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 6th day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Monday Morning 9 o'clock December 6th 1886.
Court met pursuant to adjournment Present,
the Hon. Sam Furman County Judge, Jno. D. Wayne
County Clerk and D. H. Shipp Sheriff of said County.

2748 State of Texas — vs — R. L. Turner et al } Aggravated Assault. Monday Dec. 6. 1886.

Now comes the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the state and says he will no further prosecute this suit but will dismiss same. It is therefore ordered by the court that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that defendants be Turner go hence without day

3127 State of Texas — v. — Tom Ditto } Aggravated Assault. Monday Dec. 6th. 1886.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this suit but will dismiss same. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and that the Defendant Tom Ditto. go hence without day.

3128 State of Texas — v. — John Ditto. } Aggravated Assault Monday Dec 6th. 1886.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this suit but will dismiss same. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and that the Defendant herein John Ditto. go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 6th, day of December, A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3129 State of Texas -v- Bud Bowford } Aggravated Assault
Monday Dec 6th, 1886.
(Nol Pros.)

For good and sufficient reasons filed herein by the County Attorney. It is adjudged and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that the Defendant Bud Bowford go hence without day.

3131 State of Texas -v- Webb Ditto. } Aggravated Assault
Monday December 6th 1886.
Dismissal

Now comes the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this suit but will dismiss same. Ordered by the Court that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and that the Defendants. Webb Ditto go hence without day.

3134 The State of Texas -v- Jas and Katie McGinnis } Keeping Disorderly House
Monday December 6th 1886
Dismissal.

Now comes the County atty. prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this suit but will dismiss same. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court. that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that the Defendants Jas and Katie McGinnis go hence without day.

3153 State of Texas -v- Tom Ditto } Disturbing the peace
Monday December 6th. 1886.
(Plea of Guilty).

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. whereupon a jury being called the matters of fact as well as of law were

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of "Guilty" to the offense charged in the Indictment herein against him whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a Fine of One 1/7 Dollar.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Ditto the said sum of One Dollar, so assessed as fine as aforesaid, together with all costs of suit herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant Tom Ditto, be taken by the Sheriff of Tarrant County and confined in the County jail until said costs and fine are paid in full

3195 State of Texas -v- Jor Freeman } Violating Local Option law. Monday December 6th 1886. "Nol Pros"

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this case but will dismiss same, and files his reasons therefor with the papers in this suit. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and that the Defendant Jor Freeman go hence without day.

State of Texas -v- Jor Freeman } Violating Local Option law Monday December 6th 1886. Dismissed.

Now comes the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this suit, but will dismiss same. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and that the said Defendant Jor Freeman go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 6th day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3283 State of Texas / -v- / J. R. Bosh. } Aggravated Assault. Monday December 6th 1886. Dismissed.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this suit, but will dismiss same, for reasons filed. Wherefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that said prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and the Defendant J. R. Bosh go hence without day.

3284 State of Texas / -v- / G. D. Monroe } Aggravated Assault. Monday December 6th 1886. Dismissed.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this suit, but will dismiss same, for reasons filed. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and the Defendant G. D. Monroe go hence without day.

3316. State of Texas / -v- / "One Charley." } Exhibiting Gaming Bank. Monday December 6th, 1886. Indictment Quashed.

Now comes the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State, and moves the Court to quash the Indictment filed herein against the Defendant, for reasons filed among the papers herein, And the Court having heard said motion, and finding that the law is for said motion. Wherefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said Indictment be and the same is hereby quashed, and said Defendant "One Charley" go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 6th. day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3387 State of Texas -v- N. T. Grigsby and J. B. Bondurant. } Unlawfully pursuing occupation without License. Monday December 6th. 1886. Dismissed.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no longer prosecute this suit but will dismiss same. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and that the Defendants N. T. Grigsby and J. B. Bondurant go hence without day.

3427 State of Texas -v- J. L. Palmer. } Pursuing occupation without license. Monday December 6th. 1886. Dismissed.

Now comes the County Attorney. prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no longer prosecute this suit but will dismiss same. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court. that said prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and that the Defendant. J. L. Palmer go hence without day.

3436. State of Texas -v- N. T. Grigsby and J. B. Bondurant. } Pursuing Occupation without License. Monday December 6th 1886. Dismissed.

Now comes the County atty prosecuting the pleas of the said State and says he will no longer prosecute this suit but will dismiss same. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed. and that the said Defendants. N. T. Grigsby and J. B. Bondurant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 6th day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3447 State of Texas -v- N. Bondies et al | Pursuing occupation without License. Monday December 6th 1886. Dismissed.

For good and sufficient reasons filed herein by the County Attorney. It is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said Defendants N. Bondies et al go hence without day.

3448. State of Texas -v- H. C & J. E. Mosley | Pursuing occupation without License. Monday December 6th 1886. Dismissed.

For good and sufficient reasons filed herein by the County attorney. It is considered and ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said Defendants H. C and J. E Mosley go hence without day.

3451. State of Texas -v- W. A. Frank et al | Pursuing occupation without License. Monday December 6th 1886. Dismissed.

Now comes the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this cause but will dismiss same. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and that the Defendants W. A. Frank et al go hence without day.

3672 State of Texas -v- L. Cohn et al. | Riot. Monday December 6th 1886. Continued.

This cause being regularly called for trial on the docket is hereby continued by consent of both parties until the next term of Court.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 6th day of December A. D. 1886,

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3721 State of Texas -v- J. F. Cane. } Carrying a Pistol. Monday December 6th 1886. Dismissed.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this cause but will dismiss same. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said Defendant J. F. Cane go hence without day.

3743 State of Texas -v- Aleck Probs. } Disturbing religious worship. Monday December 6th 1886. Dismissed.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no longer prosecute this cause but will dismiss same. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said Defendant Aleck Probs go hence without day.

3766 State of Texas -v- Low Halsell } Keeping a Disorderly House Monday December 6th 1886. Dismissed

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no longer prosecute this cause but will dismiss same for good and sufficient reasons filed among the papers in this cause. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and said Defendant Low Halsell go hence without day.

3773 State of Texas -v- Eli Miller } Carrying a Pistol Monday December 6th 1886. Dismissed

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 6th. day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

he will no longer continue the prosecution of this cause but will dismiss same. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and that the Defendant herein Eli Miller go hence without day.

3775 State of Texas -v- Amos Harris } Carrying a Pistol. Monday December 6th 1886. "Fined"

This day. this cause being called for trial. Came the parties by their respective Attorneys and announced ready for trial. whereupon a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the Defendant Amos Harris now here entering his plea of "Guilty" to the offence. charged in the Indictment herein against him. and his punishment. therefor is assessed by the Court at a fine of Twenty Five (25) Dollars.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court. that. the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant herein the sum of Twenty Five Dollars. so assessed as fine as aforesaid together with all costs of suit, herein incurred for which let execution issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take and confine the Defendant Amos Harris in the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3776. State of Texas -v- Amos Harris } Carrying a Pistol. Monday December 6th 1886. Dismissed.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein says he will no longer prosecute this cause.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 6th. day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

but will dismiss same. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that the Defendant Amos Harris go hence without day.

3777 State of Texas -v- Geo Miller } Carrying a Pistol. Monday December 6th 1886. Dismissed.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no longer continue the prosecution of this cause but will dismiss same. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed & that the Defendant Geo Miller go hence without day

3869 State of Texas -v- Wm Edwards } Theft under Twenty Dollars Monday December 6th 1886. "Plea of Guilty"

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. whereupon a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the defendant now here entering his plea of "Guilty." to the offense charged in the Indictment herein against him. therefore the Court assesses his punishment at imprisonment in the County Jail for one day

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the Defendant Wm Edwards be confined in the County Jail for one day and he is now here remanded to the Sheriff of Tarrant County. to be by him safely and securely kept and confined in the County Jail for One day in compliance with the judgment rendered herein against him. and It is further ordered by the Court that all costs of suit be taxed against Defendant. for which let execution issue and that he be continued by the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. in the County Jail. after his term of imprisonment expires. until said costs are paid in full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 6th. day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3873

State of Texas
-v-
Chris Rittleman

Selling goods on Sunday
Monday December 6th. 1886.
"Plea of Guilty"

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial whereupon a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entering his plea of "Guilty" to the Offense charged against him in the Indictment herein and the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chris Rittleman the sum of Twenty Dollars fine as assessed by the Court together with all costs of suit herein incurred for which let execution issue, and it is further ordered by the Court that the Defendant Chris Rittleman be by the Sheriff of Tarrant County taken and confined in the County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3878

State of Texas
-v-
Tom Price alias
Keno. Tom.

Exhibiting a Keno Bank
Monday December 6th 1886
"Plea of Guilty"

This cause being called for trial came the parties plaintiff and Defendant by their respective attorneys and announced ready for trial, whereupon a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now entering his plea of "Guilty" to the offense charged against him in the inductment herein, and the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 6th day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Brin alias Keno Tom the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine as assessed by the Court and all costs of suit herein incurred for which let execution issue, and it is further ordered that the Defendant Tom Brin alias Keno Tom be by the Sheriff of Tarrant County taken and confined in the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3879 State of Texas -v- Geo Andrews } Exhibiting a Keno Bank Monday December 6th 1886. "Plea of Guilty"

This day, came the parties and announced ready for trial. whereupon a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of "Guilty" to the offense charged in the Indictment herein against him, the Court assesses his penalty at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

Therefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Andrews, the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine as hereinbefore by the Court imposed. together with all costs by each party herein incurred. for which execution may issue Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take the Defendant Geo. Andrews and confine him in the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3880. State of Texas -v- Geo. Andrews } Exhibiting a Keno Bank Monday December 6th 1886. Dis.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. whereupon a Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 6th day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

and the Defendant now here entering his plea of "Guilty" to the Offense charged in the Indictment herein against him. the Court assesses his penalty at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

Therefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Andrews the sum of Twenty Five Dollars. fine as hereinbefore imposed by the Court, together with all costs herein incurred. for which execution may issue. Further ordered by the Court that the Defendant Geo Andrews be by the Sheriff of Tarrant County taken and confined in the County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

State of Texas
v
Tom Brin alias Kind Tom

Exhibiting Kind Banks
Monday December 6th 1886.
Plea of Guilty.

This day came the parties. by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. whereupon a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the Defendant now here enters his plea of "Guilty" to the Offense charged in the Indictment aganst him herein and the Court assesses his penalty at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars,

Therefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Brin alias Kind Tom. the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine hereinbefore imposed by the Court. and all costs of suit. for which let execution issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take and confine in the County jail. the Defendant Tom Brin alias Kind Tom. until said costs and fine are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 6th day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3885 State of Texas -v- Josie Belmont } Keeping a disorderly House
Monday December 6th 1886.
Plea of Guilty.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial whereupon a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering her plea of "Guilty" of the Offence charged in the Indictment herein against her, and the Court assesses her penalty at a fine of One Hundred Dollars.

Therefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Josie Belmont the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine by the Court imposed herein as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which let execution issue. Further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take and confine the Defendant Josie Belmont in the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3886. State of Texas -v- Madame Porter } Keeping Disorderly House
Monday December 6. 1886.
"Guilty"

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial, thereupon a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters her plea of Guilty to the charge herein against her and the Court assessed her penalty at a fine of One Hundred Dollars. Ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine and all costs of suit for which execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take and confine the Defendant Madam Porter in the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 6th day of December A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

2887 State of Texas v. Jessie Rivers. } Keeping Disorderly House
Monday December 6th 1886.
"Guilty"

This day came the parties by their respective attorneys and announced ready for trial. thereupon a jury being waived. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the Defendant now here enters her plea of "Guilty" to the Offense charged in the Indictment herein against her. and the Court assessed the penalty therefor at a fine of One Hundred Dollars.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant. the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine as hereinbefore assessed by the Court. together with all costs herein incurred for which execution may issue. and it is further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take and confine the Defendant Jessie Rivers in the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

2891 State of Texas v. J. M. Heffner } Swindling
Monday December 6th 1886.
"Plea"

This day. this cause coming on for trial came the parties by their respective attorneys and announced ready for trial, and a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of Guilty. to the Offense charged in the Indictment herein against him and the Court assessed the penalty at imprisonment in the County jail for Ten days.

Therefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that the Defendant herein J. M. Heffner be by the Sheriff of Tarrant County

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 6th day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

taken and conveyed to the County Jail and therein kept and confined securely. for the full period and term of Ten days in compliance with the penalty hereinbefore assessed. and that Defendant pay all costs herein incurred. for which let execution issue. and that said Defendant J. M. Heffner or by said Sheriff. confined in the County Jail until said costs are paid in full.

3894 State of Texas -v- James Mann } Betting at Craps. Monday December 6th 1886. "Guilty"

This day came on for trial this cause and the parties by their respective attys announcing ready for trial. whereupon a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of Guilty to the Offense charged in the Indictment herein against him, and the Court assessed his penalty at a fine of Ten Dollars.

Therefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant James Mann the sum of Ten Dollars. fine hereinbefore adjudged by the Court against him together with all costs of suit herein incurred for which let execution issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take and confine the Defendant James Mann in the County Jail until said Costs and fine are both paid in full

3896 State of Texas -v- James Mann } Carrying a Pistol Monday December 6th 1886. Dismissed

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no longer continue the prosecution of this cause but will dismiss same. Therefore it.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 6th. day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

is considered and ordered by the Court that this prosecution be and the same is hereby dismissed and that the Defendant James Mann go hence without day.

3162 State of Texas -v- Ike Cooper } Permitting cards to be played at a House under his control Monday December 6th 1886. This cause continued by State and alias capias ordered instanter.

Cases Filed.

It appearing to the Court that the Defendants in the following cases, cannot be found after diligent search. It is ordered that said cases be retired from the regular docket and placed on the file docket, until such time when the arrest of the Defendants can be had to wit:-

3138 State vs. Bill Cornelius. Disturbing Peace
3143 " " Mitchell Gladden Carrying Pistol
3148 " " Bill Cornelius. " "
3155 " " " " Aggrivated Assault
3213 " " Tom Dawson. Theft of a Hog.
3281 " " Jack Goines. Disturbing religious worship.
3288 " " — Abbott Exhibiting gaming Banks
3289 " " Nancy Hewey " " "
3290 " " " " " "
3291 " " " " " "
3313 " " — McCurdy " " "
3315 " " Sam Woods. " " "
3382 " " L. Hutchison Betting at Faro Bank
3383 " " Jon Hyneer. " " " "
3431 " " E. L. Morris Pursuing occupation without License.
3458 " " T. N. Cornell " " " "
3469 " " E. Blue. " " " "

Alias and Continued

Ordered by the Court that alias capias' issue for the Defendants in the following cases returnable to the next term of the Court and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 6th day of December A. D. 1886.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

said cases are hereby continued for service towit

3211 State vs. John Blankenship assault
3236 " " Mitt Holmes. Aggrivated assault
3239 " " Jim Herman Theft of a Log
3240 " " Jerry Keating Theft.
3404 " " E. Morris Pursuing occupation without licnse
3407 " " L. O. Payne. " " " " "

3687 State of Texas vs Ned Davis } Simple Assault. Monday December 6th 1886. Guilty.

This day came the parties by their respective Attorneys and announced ready for trial. whereupon a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of "guilty" to the offense charged in the Indictment herein against him and the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars.

Therefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that in said cause. the State of Texas have and recover of and from the Defendant Ned Davis. the sum of Five Dollars. fine herein imposed. together with all costs of suit herein incurred. for which execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take and confine the Defendant herein Ned Davis in the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

{ Jury }

Now comes. B. H. Shipp. Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into Court the Venire Facias heretofore issued for petit jurors to serve this the {6th} Sixth week of the November term A. D. 1886. of this Court and upon call the following named answered. towit:- I. J. Mayfield. W. W. Trespatt and Frank Booth and said parties were duly sworn and tried as to their qualifica-

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 6th. day of December. A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

liers as jurors. whereupon the Venire Facias ordering incomplete the Sheriff was ordered to summon other qualified jurors to fill the panel to be in attendance upon the Court instanter.

1 Jury

Now comes B. H. Shipp Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into Court the following names. to complete the jury panel for the present week summoned under the instructions of the Court by him as Sheriff to wit. P. McElhaney. W. T. Grant. P. J. Powdry. Jeff Kilborn. L. Stearns. Tom Castleberry. W. F. Kinsworth. J. C. Cooper and G. L. Harris, and all said above named parties were sworn and tried according to law.

3280

State of Texas
—v—
Wm Scribner.

Disturbing Religious Worship
Monday December 6th 1886.
Guilty.

This day this cause being regularly reached on the Docket and called for trial came the parties. plaintiff and Defendant. and by their respective attorneys and announced ready for trial and a jury being demanded. whereupon came a good and lawful jury of men of Tarrant County to wit. W. T. Grant and five others. who were duly elected tried and Sworn according to law. and the Indictment being now read to the jury by the County attorney. and the Defendant entering his plea of "Not Guilty" thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel thereon and receiving the charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict. and after mature deliberation returned into Court the following verdict to wit. "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at Twenty Five Dollars.

L. Stearns Foreman"

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 6th. day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and ordered by the Court that said Defendant Wm Scribner, is guilty of the Offense of Disturbing the peace as charged in the Indictment. and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Wm Scribner. the sum of Twenty Five Dollars. fine assessed by said jury. together with all costs incurred herein for which execution may issue and it is further ordered by the Court that the said Defendant Wm Scribner be by the Sheriff of Tarrant County taken and confined in the County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3087 State of Texas -v- Clint Parker } Aggravated Assault
Monday December 6th 1886.
Bond Forfeited.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant. being duly called came not but wholly made default. and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that heretofore to wit: On the 9th day of January 1886, the Defendant Clint Parker. having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County. on a charge of Aggravated assault. did as principal enter into an appearance bond. with. S. M. Parker and M. E. St John. as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned. that should the Defendant Clint Parker make his personal appearance. before the County Court of Tarrant County on the first monday of the next term. to be holden at the Court House thereof in and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas. on the first Monday in November. the same being the First day of November A. D. and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886
Monday the 6th day of December A. D. 1886
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

not depart until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge, then in that case said bond to become Null and void, otherwise to remain in full force and virtue in law. and said Defendant having failed to appear and answer said charge as aforesaid. It is. therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said appearance bond. be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas. do have and recover of and from the Defendant Clint Parker as principal and S. M. Parker and M. E. St. John as sureties on said bond. in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. this judgment to be good and valid unless sufficient cause is shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant failed to appear. Further ordered that citation issue to said sureties on said bond returnable at next term of this Court in due form of law and that alias capias issue next term for defendant.

3191 State of Texas -v- Jim Thomason } Aggravated Assault
Monday December 6th 1886.
Bond Forfeited.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant. although duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that heretofore towit on the 29th day of September 1886. the Defendant Jim Thomason after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County. on a charge of aggravated assault did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Geo. B. Holland and C. H. Pittman. as sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned that should the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 6th day of December A. D. 1886.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Defendant James Thomason make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County on the forth monday of its next term, to be holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth Tarrant County Texas, on the First monday in November, the same being the the 2nd day of November A. D. 1885. and there remain in attendance from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge then and in that court said bond to become null and void otherwise to remain in full force and virtue in law. And the said Defendant James Thomason having failed to appear and answer said charge. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said Appearance Bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the Defendant be by the Sheriff again arrested and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Thomason as principal and Geo. B. Holland and C. R. Rittiman as sureties on said appearance bond the sum of One Hundred Dollars together with all costs incurred in this prosecution. This judgment to be made final and valid unless sufficient cause is shown at next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. Further ordered by the Court that Citation issue returnable at the next term of this Court to said sureties on said appearance bond and that alias Capias issue for Defendant instanter.

3392 State of Texas -v- J. N. Blackman } Occupation without license
Monday December 6th 1886.
Forfeits Bond.

Now comes the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and the.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 6th day of December, A. D. 1886,

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Defendant J. N. Blackman although being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that heretofore to-wit on December 15th 1885 the Defendant J. N. Blackman after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas on a charge of using for profit a certain billiard and pool table without first obtaining a license therefor, did as principal enter into an appearance bond with J. P. Bondurant and Thos Witten as sureties, in the sum of One Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally, payable to the State of Texas, conditioned, that should the said Defendant J. N. Blackman make his personal appearance before the Hon. County Court on the first monday of its next term to be holden at the Court House, in the City of Ft Worth Tarrant County Texas on the first Monday in January 1886, the same being the 4th day of January 1886, and there remain in attendance from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law, then and in that event said bond to become null and void otherwise to remain in full force and virtue in law as the said Defendant J. N. Blackman having failed to appear and answer said above described charge, It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said appearance bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. N. Blackman as principal and J. P. Bondurant and Thos Witten sureties on said appearance bond the sum of One Hundred Dollars together with all costs of this prosecution. This judgment to be and remain good and valid unless sufficient cause is shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further,

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

ordered that Citation issue according to law to said sureties on said appearance bond returnable at next term of this Court and that alias capias issue instanter for said Defendant.

3394 State of Texas — v — John Murrin } Occupation without License
Monday December 6th 1886.
Bond Forfeited.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant although being duly called come not but wholly make default. and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that heretofore to wit on the 12th day of December 1885. the Defendant John Murrin after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County. on a charge of unlawfully using a billiard table for profit without first obtaining a license therefor, did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Chas Scheuber as surety in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned. that the Defendant John Murrin. make his personal appearance. before the Hon. County Court of Tarrant County on the first monday of its next term to be holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Ft Worth Tarrant County Texas. on the First monday in January. the same being the 4th monday in January A. D. 1886. and there to remain in attendance from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged in due course of law. then and in that event said bond to become null and void otherwise to remain in full force and virtue. in law. and said Defendant J. N. Blackman having failed to appear and answer the charge herein against him. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said appearance bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 6th day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

recover of and from the Defendant John Mooring as principal and Chas Schubon as surety on said appearance bond, the sum of One Hundred Dollars together with all costs of this prosecution. This judgment to be and remain in force and said unless sufficient reason is shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant John Mooring did not appear. Further ordered that Citation issue according to law to said surety (Chas. Schubon) on said appearance bond returnable at next term of this Court and that alias capias issue instanter for said Defendant."

3464 State of Texas -v- John Morgan | Occupation without license. Monday December 6th 1886. Bond Forfeited

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant although being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that heretofore to-wit. on December 16th 1885. the Defendant John Morgan after having been duly cautioned by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a charge of Unlawfully pursuing a taxable occupation without first obtaining license therefor, did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Edward Hoornkamp and F. P. Pendry as sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. Conditioned: that the Defendant John Morgan make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County on the first monday of its next term to be holden in and for the County of Tarrant at the Court House thereof in the City of Ft Worth on the first monday in January. the same being the 4th day of January A. D 1886. and there to remain in attendance from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 6th day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law, then in that event said bond to become null and void. in law otherwise to remain in full force and virtue, and said Defendant John Morgan having failed to appear and answer the Indictment herein against him. Therefore it is considered and ordered by the Court. that said appearance bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Morgan as principal and Edward Hornkamp and T. P Pendry as sureties on said appearance bond, in the sum of One Hundred Dollars together with all costs of this prosecution This judgment to be made final. and valid unless sufficient reason is shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant John Morgan fails to appear. Further ordered. that Citation issue to said sureties on said appearance bond, in due form of law. returnable at next term of Court. and that alias capias issue instanter for said Defendant.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9. O'Clock.

Tuesday Morning December 7th A. D. 1886. 9 O'Clock A. M
Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

3388 State of Texas / T. H. Evans — v — T. H. Evans / Theft under Twenty Dollars. Tuesday December 7th 1886. Forfeited Bond.

This day came the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant although being duly called came not but wholly made default. and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that heretofore towit on the 8th day of January A. D. 1886. the Defendant T. H. Evans. having been duly arrested

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Tuesday the 7th day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a charge of theft under twenty dollars. did as principal enter into an appearance bond with A Stert and Fred J. E. Gething as sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned. that the said Defendant D. H. Evans. make his personal appearance before the Hon. County Court of Tarrant County. to be holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First monday in January A.D. 1886. and there remain in attendance from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law. then in that event said bond to become null and void otherwise to remain in full force and virtue in law. And said Defendant D. H. Evans having failed to appear, It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said appearance bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant D. H. Evans as principal and A. Stert and F. J. E Gething as sureties on said appearance bond. in the sum of One Hundred Dollars together with all costs of this prosecution. This Judgment will or made final and in full force and good & valid. unless sufficient reason is shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant D. H. Evans failed to appear. Further ordered that Citation issue to said A. Stert and F. J. E. Gething sureties on said appearance bond. in due form as the law requires returnable at the next term of this Court & that alias capias issue instanter for the Defendant

3507 State of Texas —vs— Bob Bridges } Embezzlement under $20. Tuesday December 7th 1886. Forfeited Bond.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Tuesday the 9th day of December A. D. 1886.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant although being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that heretofore towit on the 16th day of February A.D. 1886. the Defendant J. R. (Bob) Bridges having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a charge of Embezzlement under #20. did as principal enter into an appearance bond with N. Fitzhugh and Jack Gilmore, as sureties in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned. that the said Bob Bridges. Defendant make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court to be holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, on the first Monday in March. the same being the 1st day of March A. D. 1886, and there to remain in attendance from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due Course of law. then and in that event said bond to become null and void. otherwise to remain in full force and virtue in law and the said Defendant Bob Bridges. having failed to appear and answer said Charge. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said appearance bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Bridges as principal and N. Fitzhugh and Jack Gilmore. sureties on said bond the sum of Two Hundred Dollars together with all costs incurred in this prosecution. This judgment to be made final and good and valid unless sufficient cause is shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant failed to appear, Further ordered that said Defendant and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Tuesday the 7th. day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

said sureties N. Fitzpatrick and Jack Gilmore, be cited by service of Citation as the law directs returnable at next term of this Court and that alias capias issue instanter for the Defendant herein.

3616. State of Texas -v- R. Gordon } Aggravated assault
Tuesday Dec 7th. 1886.
Forfit Bond

This day came the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant although being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that heretofore to-wit On the 1st day of April A. D 1886. the Defendant R. Gordon having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. Texas on a charge of Aggravated assault did as principal enter into an appearance bond with John H. Kehn and J. C. Martin as sureties in the sum of Two Hundred dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned, that the said Defendant R. Gordon make his personal appearance before the Hon. County Court of Tarrant County at its next term. to be holden in and for said County at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in May. the same being the 3rd day of May A. D. 1886. and then to remain in attendance upon said Court from day to day and from term to term. and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law, then in that event said bond to become null and void otherwise to remain in full force and virtue in law: and the said Defendant R. Gordon having failed to appear and answer said charge. It is therefore con-

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Tuesday the 7th day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

ricved and ordered by the Court that said appearance bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant R. Gordon as principal and John. H. Kehn and J. C. Martin, as sureties on said bond the sum of Two Hundred Dollars together with all costs incurred by reason of this prosecution. This judgment to be made final and good and valid unless sufficient cause is shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant failed to appear. It further ordered that Citation issue to John. H. Kehn and J. C. Martin, returnable at next term of this Court and that alias capias issue instanter for the Defendant.

3663 State of Texas -vs- Dick Bordwell & Wm Feddars } Unlawfully & wilfully Disturbing public worship Tuesday December 7th 1886. For ft Bond.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant Dick Bordwell although being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that heretofore towit Dick Bordwell On the 29th day of May A. D. 1886, said Defendant having been by the Sheriff of Tarrant County duly arrested on a charge of ~~Unlawfully~~ Disturbing religious worship" did as principal enter into an appearance bond with W. H. White and D. M. Stradon as sureties in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. Conditioned that the said Dick Bordwell make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. to be holden at the Court House thereof

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886
Tuesday, the 7th day of December A. D. 1886
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

in the City of Fort Worth, instanter, and there to remain in attendance upon said Court from day to day and from term to term and not to depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law, then in that event said bond to be void and void otherwise to remain in full force and virtue in law; and the Defendant Dick Bordwell having failed to appear and answer said charge. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said appearance bond be and the same is hereby declared forfited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Dick Bordwell as principal and N. H. White and D. M. Stradon as sureties on said bond, the sum of Two Hundred Dollars together with all Costs incurred by reason of this prosecution. This judgment to be made final and good and valid unless sufficient cause is shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant failed to appear. Further ordered that citation issue to said Defendant and said sureties on said bond returnable at next term of Court as the law requires. and that alias capias issue to said Defendant instanter,

3908 State of Texas vs R. L. Turner. } Occupation without license
Tuesday December 7th 1886.
Forf't Bond

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant although being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that heretofore to wit, on the 21st day of May A. D. 1886. the Defendant R. L. Turner as principal and did enter into an appear-

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

ance bond with B. G. Johnson as surety in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned that the said Defendant R. L Turner. make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the 5th monday in May the same being the 31st day of May A. D. 1886. and there to remain in attendance from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law. then and in that event said bond to become null and void and otherwise to remain in full force and virtue in law. and it appearing to the Court that said Defendant R. L. Turner failed to appear and answer said charge. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said appearance bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited. and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant R. L. Turner as principal and B. G. Johnson as surety on said bond the sum of One Hundred Dollars together with all costs incurred in this prosecution. This judgment to be and remain final. good and valid in law. unless sufficient cause is shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant failed to appear. and it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue as is prescribed by law to said B. G. Johnson surety on said bond. returnable at the next term of this Court and that alias capias issue instanter for said Defendant.

3815. State of Texas -v- J. R. Spurr. } Adultery. Tuesday December 7th 1886. Forfit Bond.

This day came the County atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and the.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Tuesday the 7th day of December A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Defendant although being duly called came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that heretofore to-wit on the 27th day of August A. D. 1886, the Defendant J. H. Spear Charged with the offense of adultery as principal did enter into an appearance bond with D. H. Neely and W. P. Taylor as sureties in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned: that the said J. H. Spear, the Defendant make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas, at the next term thereof to be holden in and for said County at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first monday in September, the same being the 1st day of September A. D. 1886, and then to remain in attendance from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law, and said Defendant having failed to appear. It is therefore Considered and ordered by the Court that said appearance bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. H. Spear as principal and D. H. Neely and W. P. Taylor as sureties on said bond the sum of Two Hundred Dollars together with all costs of this prosecution. This judgment to be made final and valid unless sufficient cause is shown at next term of this Court why said Defendant J. H. Spear failed to appear, and answer said charge Further ordered that Citation issue as prescribed by law to said D. H. Neely and W. P. Taylor, sureties on said bond, returnable at the next term of this Court and that Alias Capias issue instanter for the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Tuesday the 7th day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3865

State of Texas
-vs-
Harry Walker. } Aggravated Assault.
Tuesday December 7th 1886.
Forfit Bond.

This day came the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant although being duly called came not but wholly made default. and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that heretofore to-wit on the 6th day of November 1886. the Defendant did as principal enter into an appearance bond. with Michael Cassidy and John Peters as sureties. in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditioned that the said Defendant Harry Walker. make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. at the Court House thereof in the City of Ft Worth on the 4th monday in November. the same being the 29th day of November A. D. 1886. and there remain in attendance from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law. then in that event said bond to become null and void otherwise to remain in full force and virtue. in law. and the said Defendant Harry Walker having failed to appear. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said appearance bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited. and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Harry Walker as principal and Michael Cassidy and John Peters as sureties on said bond the sum of One Hundred Dollars together with all costs of prosecution. This judgment to be and remain final and good and valid unless sufficient cause is shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Tuesday the 7th day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

not appear. and answer said charge, and it is further ordered that Citation issue as prescribed by law to said Michael Cassidy and John Peters sureties on said bond. returnable at the next term of Court. and that alias capias issue instanter to said Defendant.

3731 State of Texas. v. E. Howard. } Selling Liquor to minor. Tuesday December 7th 1886. Bond forfeited.

Now comes the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant although being duly called. came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that heretofore towit on the 30 day of June 1886. the Defendant having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a charge of selling Undue Judy whiskey to a minor. did as principal enter into an appearance bond with H Steinfeldt as surety in the sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned. that the said E. Howard. the Defendant make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County. at the Court House thereof instanter and there to remain in attendance from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law. then. in that event. said bond to become null and void. otherwise to remain in full force and virtue in law. and said Defendant E. Howard having failed to appear. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said appearance bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited. and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Tuesday the 7th day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

E. Howard as principal and H. Steinfeldt as surety the said sum of One Hundred Dollars together with all costs of this prosecution. This judgment to be and remain final and good and valid unless sufficient cause is shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant failed to appear. It further ordered that Citation issue as prescribed by law to said surety on said bond, H. Steinfeldt. returnable at next term of Court and that alias capias issue instanter for Defendant.

3772

State of Texas
-v-
L. Baer.

Carrying on business injurious to health of persons

Tuesday December 7th 1886.

This day came the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant although duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that heretofore towit. On the 26th day of July. 1886. the Defendant L. Baer. having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a charge of Unlawfully pursuing an occupation injurious to the health of persons residing in the vicinity thereof. did as principal enter into an appearance bond with J. M. Lyles and H. Hardcastle as sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditioned that the said Defendant L. Baer make his personal appearance before the Hon County Court. to be holden at the Court House thereof on the first monday in August the same being the 2nd day of August A.D. 1886. and there to remain in attendance from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law. then and in that event said bond to be

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886
Tuesday the 7th day of December, A. D. 1886
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

null and void otherwise to remain in full force and virtue in law, and said Defendant L. Baer having failed to appear and answer said charge. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said appearance bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant L. Baer as principal and said J M. Lyles and H. Hardcastle as sureties, on said bond the sum of One Hundred Dollars together with all costs incurred by this prosecution. This judgment to be final and remain good and valid unless sufficient cause is shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant L. Baer failed to appear. It is further ordered that Citation issue to said J M. Lyles and A. Hardcastle. sureties as aforesaid returnable at the next term of this Court. and that alias capias issue instanter for said Defendant.

3286. State of Texas
-v-
Bill Atterberry } Tuesday December 7. 1886.

This day came the parties and announcing ready for trial. whereupon a jury being impaneled. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty to the charge set forth in the indictment herein against him. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the Defendant Bill Atterberry is guilty of the Offense as charged. and his punishment is assessed by the Court at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

It is further ordered that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Tuesday the 7th day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Bill Atterberry. the sum of Twenty Five Dollars. fine as assessed by the Court together with all costs herein incurred. and that said Defendant be by the Sheriff of Tarrant County taken and conveyed and confined in the County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3696 State of Texas -v- John Commrs. } Assault
Tuesday December 7th 1886.
Bond Quashed.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and moves the Court to quash the Appearance bond of Defendant herein. and it appearing to the Court that said bond is defective. Ordered that said bond be and the same is hereby quashed and that alias capias issue instanter for Defendant.

3890. State of Texas -v- Geo. Wallace } Aggravated Assault
Tuesday December 7th 1886.
(Not Guilty)

This day came the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant Geo Wallace. both in proper and by attorney. and both parties announcing ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of six good and lawful men of Tarrant County to-wit. N. W. Truppett and five others. who were duly selected. tried empanelled and sworn as the law directs. Whereupon the County Attorney now here read to the jury the information herein and the Defendant entering his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the Charge of the Court. retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court a lawful verdict which verdict was by the Court received and is now here

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Tuesday the 7th day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

entered of record as follows towit. "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty.

[Signed] W. W. Truppett Foreman"

It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Defendant Geo. Wallace be and he is hereby discharged from further liability upon the charge for which he has herein been tried and that he go hence without day.

3903 State of Texas v Richard Brandon | Theft under Twenty Dollars. Tuesday December 7th 1886. Plea of Guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and each party announcing ready for trial, thereupon a jury being empaneled and the matters of fact as well as of law therein submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty to the Offense charged against him herein, the Court assesses his punishment at confinement in the County jail for a period of Ten days.

Wherefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that said Defendant Richard Brandon be by the Sheriff of Tarrant County taken and conveyed and by him confined in the County jail for the full period of Ten days, and that Defendant pay all costs herein incurred,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 O' clock.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886.

Wednesday the 8th. day of December A. D. 1886.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Wednesday morning. December 8th 1886 9 o'clock A. M. Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

3859 State of Texas vs J. C. Bennett. } Assault. Wednesday December 8th, 1886. Plea of Guilty.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. whereupon a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty to the offense charged against him in the indictment herein, his punishment is assessed by the Court at a fine of Five Dollars.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. C. Bennett, the sum of Five Dollars fine as hereinbefore assessed together with all costs herein incurred. Further ordered that the Defendant J. C. Bennett be by the Sheriff of Tarrant County taken and confined in the County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3897 State of Texas vs George Bates } Exhibiting Monte Bank. Wednesday Dec. 8th 1886. Verdict and Judgment.

This day came the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant George Bates in his own proper person as well as by Atty and both parties announcing ready for trial. whereupon came a jury of six good and lawful men of Tarrant County to-wit. P. J. Bowdery and five others, who were duly selected tried impannelled and sworn, and the County Attorney having read the indictment to the jury and said Defendant entering his plea of not

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886
Wednesday the 8th. day of December A. D. 1886.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument thereon and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Court a legal verdict. which verdict was received by the Court, and is now here entered of record on the minutes as follows. "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Twenty Five Dollars.

[signed] P.J. Bowdry Forman"

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the Defendant Geo. Bates is guilty of the Offense as charged. and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo. Bates the sum of Twenty Five Dollars. fine assessed by said jury. together with all costs. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take and confine said Defendant in the County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full."

3911

State of Texas
-v-
Geo. Bates

Exhibiting gaming bunk
Wednesday Dec 8. 1886.
Not Guilty."

This day came the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant in his own proper person and by Atty and both parties announcing ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to wit: P.J. Bowdry and five others. who were duly selected tried empanelled and sworn: and the County Atty having read the Indictment to the jury and the Defendant entering his plea of Not Guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict. and after mature deliberation

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

returned into open Court with the following verdict towit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty"

Signed P. J. Dowdy. Forman"

It is therefore considered and ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Defendant Geo. Bates be and he is hereby immediately discharged from further liability upon the charge for which he is herein tried and that he go hence without day.

3917 State of Texas vs John Dougherty — Fifth Under: County Dollars. Tuesday Dec 8th. 1886. Verdict and Judgment

This day came the parties and announcing ready for trial. and a jury having been waived the matters of fact as well as of of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of Guilty. to the Offense charged herein against him. his punishment is assessed by the Court at Confinement in the County Jail for the term of ten days.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take the Defendant John Dougherty and confine him in the County Jail for the full period of Ten days. and that Defendant pay all costs of said suit.

2837 State of Texas vs Jake Johnson — Ex. Gaming Bank. Wednesday December 8th 1886. Plea of Guilty.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. whereupon a jury being waived. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty to the Offense charged in the indictment herein against him. his punishment is assessed at a fine of Twenty five dollars.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886
Wednesday the 8th day of December A. D. 1886
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Therefore it is considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jake Johnson the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine as herein assessed together with all cost of suit. Further ordered that said Defendant be by the Sheriff of Tarrant County taken and conveyed to the County Jail and confined therein until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9. O'Clock A.M.

Thursday morning December 9th 1886.

Court met at 9. a. m. pursuant to adjournment pursued as on yesterday.

2838. State of Texas -v- Jake Johnson. Dec 9. 1886.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. whereupon a jury being impaired the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Deft now here entering his plea of guilty to the Offense of Exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming as herein charged in the indictment against him and the Court assesses his punish at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

Ordered that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the said Jake Johnson the said sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine as assessed together with all costs of suit. and that said Defendant be by the Sheriff of Tarrant County taken and conveyed to the County Jail and therein Confined until said fine and costs are paid in full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Thursday the 9th day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

2852. State of Texas v Luke Short } Exhibiting a Gaming Bank

Thursday December 9th 1886.

Plea of Guilty.

This day came the parties and announcing ready for trial, whereupon a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the Offense charged in the Indictment herein against him and the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

Therefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Luke Short the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine as hereinbefore assessed together with all costs incurred in this prosecution and that said Defendant be by the Sheriff of Tarrant County taken and confined in the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

The following named Defendants now here desiring to enter their said plea of guilty to the several Offenses charged in their respective indictments herein against them. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the same order as above in the case of The State of Texas -vs- Luke Short No 2852. be and the same is hereby entered in each and every one of the following named causes, to wit

2853	State -v-	Luke Short.	{Exhibiting gaming Bank}
2894	" "	M. F. McLane.	" " "
3285	" "	Downey Hunt.	" " "
3172	" "	Chas Hadley	" Faro. "
3182	" "	Lou Lestafette	" " "
3278	" "	Downey Hunt	" Gaming "
3279	" "	"	" " "

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Thursday the 9th day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3320	State	- v -	Bob Cummins	<	Ex Gaming	Bank	>
3323	"	"	"	<	"	"	>
3324	"	"	"	<	"	"	>
3325	"	"	"	<	"	"	>
3338	"	"	"	<	"	"	>
3339	"	"	"	<	"	"	>
3589	"	"	Geo. Bates	<	"	Monte	>

And it is considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from each of the above named Defendants the sum of Twenty Five Dollars together with all costs of prosecution. And the Court orders that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take and confine each of said Defendants in the County jail until said fines and costs by each respectively are paid in full.

3137

State of Texas
-v-
R. N. Massey

Exhibiting a gaming Bank.
Thursday December 9th 1886.
Verdict and Judgment

This day came the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and each party announcing ready for trial. whereupon came a jury of six good and lawful men of Tarrant County and said jury was sworn the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant here entering his plea of not guilty. thereupon the Court after hearing the indictment herein read by the County Atty and hearing the evidence and argument. because it is the opinion of the Court that the Defendant R. N. Massey, is guilty of the Offense as charged herein against him the Court assesses a penalty against said Defendant at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Thursday the 9th day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

and recover of and from the Defendant R. H. Massey the sum of Twenty Five Dollars. together with all costs of suit. and the Sheriff of Tarrant County is hereby ordered to take and confine the said Defendant in the County Jail until said costs and fine are paid in full.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein. moves the Court to dismiss the following named cases and says he will no longer continue the prosecution of same. but will not pros same.

2739	Chas Wilburn	Killing the Dog of another.
2868	Jake Johnson	Exhibiting gaming bank
2869	"	" " "
2872	Luke Short	" " "
2873	"	" " "
2878	Jake Johnson	" " "
2879	"	" " "
2884	Luke Short	" " "
2891	"	" " "
2892	Geo. Andrews	" " "
2895	M. F. McLane	" " "
3013	W. D. Cameron	Adultery.
3014	Lou Morris.	"
3163	Bill Cooper	permit cards to be played in his public house
3164	Downey Hunt	Exhibiting Faro Bank
3170	Chas Hadley	" " "
3181	Lou Lestajette.	" " "
3185	Fred Brown	" " "
3186	" "	" " "
3202	Bill Cooper	Keeping Disorderly House
3263	Frank Anderson	Exhibiting a Faro Bank
3264	" "	" " "
3287	Lou Lestajette	" Gaming "
3320	Frank Anderson	" " "
3321	" "	" " "
3326	" "	" " "
3331	Jean Lambert	" a Faro. "

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Tuesday the 9th day of December A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3332	State	v-	Frank Anderson	Exhibiting	Faro	Bank
3333	"	"	"	"	"	"
3334	"	"	Jean Lambert	"	"	"
3340	"	"	Frank Anderson	"	"	"
3341	"	"	"	"	"	"
3342	"	"	Jean Lambert	"	"	"
3343	"	"	"	"	"	"
3344	"	"	Downey Hunt	"	"	"
3348	"	"	Bob Cummins	"	"	"
3349	"	"	Jean Lambert	"	"	"
3350	"	"	"	"	"	"
3351	"	"	Frank Anderson	"	"	"
3352	"	"	"	"	"	"
3353	"	"	Jean Lambert	"	"	"
3355	"	"	Downey Hunt	"	"	"
3356	"	"	"	"	"	"
3359	"	"	Bill Atterberry	"	Gaming	"
3361	"	"	"	"	"	"
3362	"	"	"	"	"	"
3363	"	"	"	"	"	"
3364	"	"	"	"	"	"
3365	"	"	"	"	"	"
3366	"	"	"	"	"	"
3367	"	"	Jean Lambert	"	Faro	"
3368	"	"	Frank Anderson	"	"	"
3369	"	"	"	"	"	"
3370	"	"	"	"	"	"
3371	"	"	Bob Cummins	"	"	"
3372	"	"	"	"	"	"
3373	"	"	"	"	"	"
3374	"	"	"	"	"	"
3375	"	"	Downey Hunt	"	Gaming	"
3377	"	"	Bill Atterberry	"	"	"
3378	"	"	"	"	Faro	"
3379	"	"	"	"	"	"
3380	"	"	"	"	"	"
3381	"	"	"	"	"	"
3385	"	"	W. J. Davis	Occupation without license		
3387	"	"	R. L. Galloway	Running billiard table without license		
3412	"	"	J. M. Brantley	Occupation without license		

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Thursday the 9th day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

3414	State -v-	Jas. N. Swayne.	Occupation without License
3415	" "	H. M. Chapman	" " "
3433	" "	D. F. Murphy	" " "
3468	" "	Wm Cooper	" " "
3474	" "	N. H. Catts.	" " "
3495	" "	Jon Polk	Ex. Gaming Bank
3496	" "	" "	" " "
3517	" "	G. N. Wilderman	" Assault "
3539	" "	Frank Anderson	" Fare "
3531	" "	Robt Cummins	" " "
3533	" "	Frank Anderson	" " "
3540	" "	" "	" " "
3541	" "	" "	" " "
3545	" "	Downey Hurst	" " "
3547	" "	Frank Anderson	" " "
3548	" "	" "	" " "
3563	" "	Smithey Kyle	" " "
3598	" "	Geo Bates	" Monte "
3599	" "	" "	" " "
3600	" "	Bill Sanford	" Gaming "
3601	" "	Chas Dixon.	" Fare "
3607	" "	Bob Cummins	" " "
3603	" "	" "	" " "
3604	" "	" "	" " "
3607	" "	" "	" " "
3609	" "	" "	" " "
3620	" "	" "	" " "
3623	" "	Luke Short	" " "
3626	" "	Chas Dixon	" " "
3635	" "	Bob Cummins	" " "
3637	" "	Frank Anderson	" " "
3638	" "	Bob Cummins	" " "
3639	" "	" "	" " "
3658	" "	Ike Cooper	Occupation without license
3659	" "	Wm Cooper	" " "
3683	" "	Robt Brown	Aggrivated assault
3719	" "	Annie Davis	Fornication
3743	" "	Aleck Probs.	Unlawfully did Opening match
3799	" "	John Peters	Selling Beer on Sunday
3809	" "	A. W. Price & H. O. Hall	Aggrivated assault.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Thursday the 9th day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3829	State-vs-	Seibe Madden.	Aggravated assault
3834	" "	Tobe Freeman	" "
3858	" "	Bill Roach	playing cards in public place
3863	" "	W. D. Krieg	Assault
3870	" "	J. M. Galloway	Selling goods on Sunday
3871	" "		" " " "
3877	" "	Wm R. Gobbins	" " " "
3873	" "	Bill Wood	" " " "
3888	" "	John Watson	Disturbing Religious worship
3893	" "	Frank Southern	Selling goods on Sunday
3914	" "	G. A. Ward	Theft
3915	" "	Walter Smithey	Disturbing Peace.

Therefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that said causes each be and the same are hereby dismissed and that the said Defendants go hence without day.

Cases Filed

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and it appearing that the Defendants in the following causes have never been arrested and their whereabouts being unknown, moves the Court to file said causes from the Docket and file same. wherefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that said causes be and the same are hereby declared filed to wit:-

3094	State-vs-	Jerry Bolin	Theft.
3173	" "	Will Brooks	Ex Faro Bank.
3175	" "	Ben Oliver	" " "
3176	" "	Sam McConnell	" " "
3189	" "	Frank Glover	Betting at Faro Bank
3239	" "	Jim Thurman	Theft of a Hog
3265	" "	Fred Bronson	Ex Faro Bank
3266	" "	" "	" " "
3267	" "	" "	" " "
3268	" "	" "	" " "
3270	" "	Will Miller	" " "
3394	" "	J. N. Blackman	Running Bil. & pool Table etc
3395	" "	Dock Melton.	" " " " "

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Thursday the 9th day of December A. D. 188 6

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3404	State -v- E. Morris	Running Billiard Table without License
3407	" " L. C. Payne	Occupation without license
3428	" " J. G. Nassett	" " "
3464	" " John Morgan	" " "
3479	" " Carl Hartwig	Seriously Tmatening life of another.
3490	" " John Hodges	Aggrivated assault
3494	" " Ella White & Willie White	Theft
3519	" " Barney Webb	Assault
3521	" " S. S. Smith	Betting at Gaming Bank
3522	" " John Favour	" " " "
3524	" " C. C. Catton	" " " "
3528	" " Jon Hymer	" " " "
3543	" " J. Thrasher	Exhibiting gaming Bank
3560	" " J. Thrasher	" " "
3566	" " Robt Ditto	Carrying a pistol
3581	" " Dan Morror	" " "
3585	" " Jon Hymer	Exhibiting gaming Bank
3586	" " Jon Hymer	" " "
3591	" " Jeff Davis	Carrying a Pistol
3592	" " Walter Brown	" " "
3593	" " S. Lewis	Killing a Horse
3604	" " Jon Hymer	Ex. Faro Bank
3605	" " " "	" " "
3608	" " " "	" " "
3617	" " Blacksmith Bob (Bob Wilson)	Betting at Faro Bank
3624	" " J. Thrasher	Exhibiting Faro Bank
3625	" " Jon Hymer	" " "
3627	" " " "	" " "
3628	" " " "	" " "
3629	" " " "	" " "
3630	" " Maj Rice	" " "
3631	" " Geo. Dennis	" " "
3634	" " C. Catton	" " "
3640	" " Geo. Dennis	" " "
3641	" " C. Catton	" " "
3642	" " " "	" " "
3643	" " J. Thrasher	" " "
3644	" " " "	" " "
3645	" " C. Catton	" " "
3646	" " J. Thrasher	" " "

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Tuesday, the 9th, day of December A. D. 188 6

3648	State -v-	Geo Roady	Occupation without License
3650	" .	G. A. Robinson	Assault
3651	" .	Chas Bingham	"
3654	" .	Geo Dwyer	"
3654	" .	Bill Lee (Dick)	"
3655	" .	N. Pelle	"
3657	" .	Jas Van Slyke	"
3662	" .	Hugh Chisholm	Theft.
3670	" .	Easter Smith	Keeping Disorderly House
3675	" .	G. McGinnis	Ex. Gaming Bank
3687	" .	G. A. Robinson	Assault.
3688	" .	Jas Van Slyke	"
3715	" .	Chas Gay	Selling Beer on Sunday
3717	" .	Blank Lot	Aggravated Assault
3748	" .	E. K. Thompson	Dist Established Corner of Land maliciously
3762	" .	Dock Harris	Exhibiting Gaming Bank
3764	" .	E. Howard	Selling Beer on Sunday
3774	" .	Jeff Davis	Carrying a Pistol,
3778	" .	E. Howard	Keeping Disorderly House
3784	" .	Liza Thomas	Adultery.
3788	" .	E. Howard	Selling Beer on Sunday
3789	" "	"	" " " " "
3790	" "	"	" " " " "
3791	" "	"	" " " " "
3792	" "	"	" " " " "
3793	" "	"	" " " " "
3794	" "	"	" " " " "
3795	" "	"	" " " " "
3796	" "	"	" " " " "
3797	" "	"	" " " " "
3800	" "	Chas Nixon	Carrying a pistol
3803	" .	Laura Harvey	Assault
3818	" .	Alex Smith	Theft.
3835	" .	Tom Miller	Aggravated Assault
3847	" .	Jack Goins	Carrying a pistol
3848	" "	"	Assault
3853	" .	Tom Talbott	playing Cards in public place
3857	" .	T. B. Barton	" " " " "
3861	" .	Bob Copeland	Carrying a Pistol.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Thursday the 9th. day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3317.

State of Texas
v
Downey Hunt

Exhibiting Gaming Bank
Thursday December 9th 1886.
Plea of Guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant in his own proper person and by Atty. and both parties announcing ready for trial. whereupon a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the Offense charged in the indictment herein. therefore the Court assesses his penalty at a fine of. Twenty Five Dollars.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Downey Hunt the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine as before assessed together with all cost in this suit incurred and the Sheriff of Tarrant County is hereby ordered to take said defendant and confine him in the County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3559

State of Texas
-v-
George Pierce

Carrying a pistol
Thursday December 9th 1886.
Bond Forfeited.

This day came the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant although being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that heretofore to wit on the 10th day of April 1886. the Defendant having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a charge of Unlawfully Carrying a pistol. did as principal enter into an appearance bond with N. V. Brown and J. R. Pearce as sureties in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars with jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned that the said George Pierce make his personal appearance

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Thursday the 9th day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth instanter, and there remain in attendance from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until finally discharged by due course of law. then and in that event said bond to become null and void otherwise to remain in full force and virtue in law. and said Defendant George Pierce having failed to appear. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said appearance bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Pierce, as principal. the sum and said N. V. Brown and J. R. Pierce the sum of Two Hundred Dollars. together with all costs of this prosecution. This judgment to be final and remain in full force and good and valid unless sufficient cause is shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant failed to appear and answer said charge. It further ordered that Citation issue according to law to said N. V. Brown and J. R. Pierce sureties on said bond, returnable at the next term of this Court and that alias capias issue instanter to the Defendant.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 O'clock.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Friday the 10th day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Friday morning Dec 10, 1886. 9 o'clock A. M. Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

3919 State of Texas —v— Jno. McDonough } Theft. Friday December 10. 1886. Verdict.

This day came the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State, and also the Defendant in person and each party announcing ready for trial whereupon a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty to the charge in the Indictment herein against him, and the Court assesses his punishment at imprisonment in the County Jail for the period of One day.

It is therefore considered and Ordered by the Court that said Defendant Jno. McDonough be by the Sheriff of Tarrant County taken and conveyed to the County Jail of Tarrant Co. and therein confined for the term period of One day and that said Defendant pay all costs in this cause incurred. for which let said Defendant be confined in the County Jail by the Sheriff of Tarrant County until said costs are paid in full.

3917 State of Texas —v— Mike Cassidy } Selling Beer on Sunday Friday December 10. 1886. Plea of Guilty.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. whereupon a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty to the Offense charged in the indictment herein against him. the Court assesses his penalty at a fine of Twenty Dollars.

Therefore it is considered and ordered

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mike Cassidy the sum of Twenty Dollars and all costs of suit and the Sheriff of Tarrant County is hereby ordered to take said Defendant and confine him in the County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3636 State of Texas — v — Frank Anderson } Exhibiting a gaming bank
Friday December 10. 1886.
Plea of Guilty.

This day came the parties and announcing ready for trial; a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Court having heard said Defendant's plea of guilty to the Offense charged in the Indictment, assesses his penalty therefor at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Anderson the sum of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of this prosecution and said Sheriff of Tarrant County is hereby ordered to take said Defendant Frank Anderson and him confine in the County jail for the full period until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3622 State of Texas — v — Frank Anderson } Exhibiting a Faro Bank
Friday December 10. 1886.
Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial: a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant here entering his plea of guilty to the Offense charged in the Indict-

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Friday the 10. day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

must herein against him. the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Anderson the sum of Twenty Five Dollars together with all costs of suit Further ordered that said Defendant be by the Sheriff of Tarrant County taken and confined in the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3621 State of Texas —v— Frank Anderson } Exhibiting a Faro Bank

Friday December 10. 1886.

Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. and a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant Frank Anderson now here enters his plea of guilty to the Offense charged in the indictment herein against him. whereupon the Court assesses his penalty at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Anderson. the sum of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs of suit and the Sheriff of Tarrant County is hereby ordered to take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail until said cost and fine are paid in full.

3420 State of Texas —v— F Granger. } Occupation without License

Friday December 10. 1886.

Plea of Guilty.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. and a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886
Friday the 10th day of December A. D. 1886.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

were submitted to the Court and the Defendant was here entering his plea of guilty to the Offense charged in the indictment herein against him. Whereupon the Court assesses his penalty at a fine of Seven and One Half dollars.

Therefore, it is considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant F. Granger the sum of Seven and One Half Dollars together with all costs of suit and the Sheriff of Tarrant County is hereby ordered to take said defendant F. Granger and confine him in the County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3360 State of Texas —v— Bill Atterberry | Exhibiting Gaming Bank
Friday December 10. 1886.
Plea of Guilty.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial and a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant Bill Atterberry now here enters his plea of guilty to the Offense charged in the indictment herein against him whereupon the Court assesses his penalty at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bill Atterberry the sum of Twenty Five Dollars and all costs. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take and convey said Defendant Bill Atterberry and confine him in the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Friday the 10th day of December A. D. 1886.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3346. State of Texas -vs- Downey Hunt } Exhibiting a gaming Bank Friday, December 10. 1886. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial and a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty to the Offense charged against him in the indictment herein, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Downey Hunt, the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine and all costs of suit and the Sheriff of Tarrant County is hereby ordered to confine said Defendant Downey Hunt in the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3337 State of Texas -vs- Chas. Dixon. } Exhibiting a gaming Bank Friday December 10. 1886. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial and a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the Offense charged herein against him in the indictment, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine and all costs of suit and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail until said fine assessed as aforesaid and costs in full are paid.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Friday the 10th day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3327

State of Texas
-vs-
Jean Lambert

Exhibiting gaming Bank
Friday December 10. 1886.
Plea of Guilty.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial and a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty to the Offense charged in the indictment herein against him whereupon the Court assesses his penalty at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

Therefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jean Lambert the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine and all costs of suit, and the Sheriff of Tarrant County is hereby ordered to take and confine the said Defendant Jean Lambert in the County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3328

State of Texas
-vs-
Jean Lambert.

Exhibiting gaming bank
Friday December 10. 1886.
Plea of Guilty.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial and a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the Offense charged in the indictment herein against him whereupon the Court assesses his penalty at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

Therefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jean Lambert the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine and all costs of suit and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant Jean Lambert and confine him in the County jail until said fine so assessed as aforesaid and said costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Friday the 10th. day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3329 State of Texas -vs- Jean Lambert — Exhibiting Gaming Bank. Friday December 10. 1886. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial and a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the Offense charged in the indictment herein against him whereupon the Court assesses his penalty at a fine of Twenty Five dollars.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jean Lambert the sum of Twenty Five dollars and all costs of suit and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County confine said Defendant in the County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3330 State of Texas -vs- Jean Lambert — Exhibiting a gaming bank. Friday December 10. 1886. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial and a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the Offense charged in the indictment herein against him whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jean Lambert the sum of Twenty Five dollars fine and all costs of this prosecution. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County confine said Defendant in the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered by the Court that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 O'Clock.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Saturday the 11th day of December A. D. 1886

Saturday morning Decr 11. 1886. 9 O'clock A. M. Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

3590. State of Texas vs Church Willburn } Carrying a Pistol. Saturday December 11. 1886. Plea.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial and a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant Church Willburn now here entering his plea of guilty to the offense of Carrying a Pistol unlawfully as charged in the indictment herein against him. whereupon the Court assesses his penalty therefor at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

Therefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Church Willburn the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine as before assessed by the Court together with all costs of suit. and that said defendant Church Willburn be by the Sheriff of Tarrant County taken and conveyed in the County jail and therein confined until said fine as before assessed and all costs are paid in full

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Monday morning at 9 O'clock.

Monday morning December 13th 1886. Court met at 9 oclock A. M. present as on yesterday pursuant to adjournment.

3901 State of Texas vs E L. Hillman et al } Theft under $20. Monday December 13. 1886. Judgment.

This day came the parties

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant E. L. Thilman in person and by Attorney (a severance being granted) and announced ready for trial. whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to-wit. J. P. Tucker and five others who were duly tried selected empanelled and sworn as the law directs and the County attorney having read the Information herein to the jury and the Defendant entering his plea of "Not Guilty" to the Offense charged against him. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict. & after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to wit "We. the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty.

J. P. Tucker Forman"

which verdict was received by the Court and ordered of record on the minutes.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the Defendant E. L. Thilman be and he is hereby discharged from further liability upon the charges for which he is herein tried for. and that he go hence without day.

3901

State of Texas
v
E. L. Thilman
and Hormann Thilman

September Term County Dollars
Monday Dec 13. 1886
Nol Pros

Now comes the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein moves the Court to dismiss this suit as to Hormann Thilman. and says he will no longer prosecute same.

Therefore it is ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 13th day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

dismissed and that the Defendant Hermann Dielmann go hence without day.

3737 State of Texas vs. John Peters

Selling beer on Sunday Monday December 13, 1886. Verdict.

Now comes the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant in person and by Attorney and both parties announcing ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to-wit:- J. P. Tucker and five others, who were duly tried selected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the County Atty having read the Indictment herein to the jury, and the Defendant entering his plea of not guilty to the Offense charged against him, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Court with the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars.

J. P. Tucker Foreman"

which verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded on the minutes.

It is therefore considered ordered and by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Peters the sum of Twenty Dollars fine as assessed together with all Costs of suit, and the Sheriff of Tarrant County is hereby ordered to take said Defendant John Peters and confine him in the County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 13th day of December A. D. 1886.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3286. State of Texas — Exhibiting a gaming Bank
— v —
Bill Atterberry — Monday December 13. 1886.
Plea of guilty.

Exd

This day came the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant Bill Atterberry in person and by Atty and both parties announced ready for trial whereupon a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty to the Offense charged in the Indictment herein against him. the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

Therefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bill Atterberry the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine and all costs of suit and the Sheriff of Tarrant County is hereby ordered to take said defendant Bill Atterberry and confine him in the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Exd

3916 State of Texas — Disturbing the
— v —
Ernest Day and — Peace.
Walter Smithry — Monday December 13. 1886.
Verdict.

This day came the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant Walter Smithry in person and by Atty and both parties announcing ready for trial. came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to wit: H. H. Trippett and five others who were duly tried selected empannelled and sworn as the law directs. and the County Attorney having read the Information to the jury (a recognizance having been heretofore granted) and the Defendant Walter Smithry having entered his plea of.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 13th day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Not guilty to the Offuse charged in the indictment herein against him. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict towit:- "We, the Jury find the Defendant was not tried for this Offuse in former trial and we find him guilty and assess his fine at One dollar.

N. N. Trippett Forman."

which verdict was received by the Court and ordered to record on the minutes.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Walter Smithey, the sum of One Dollar fine together with all costs of suit, and the Sheriff of Tarrant County is hereby commanded to take said Defendant Walter Smithey and confine him in the County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3557 State of Texas -v- John Stewart and Frank Taylor — Selling whiskey and Beer on Sunday. Monday Dec 13th 1886. Dismissed

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no longer continue this prosecution (for good and sufficient reasons filed herein) but will dismiss same, and asks the Court to Nol pros the same. Ordered, that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that the Defendant John Stewart go hence without day

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Tuesday the 14th day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Tuesday morning December 14th 1886. Court met at 9 O'clock A.M. pursuant to adjournment. present as on yesterday.

There being no business before the Court. Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock A. M.

Wednesday morning. December 15th 1886 Court met at 9 o'clock. A.M. pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

There being no business before the Court. Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock a. m.

Thursday morning December 16th 1886. Court met at 9 oclock A. M. pursuant to adjournment. present as on yesterday.

There being no business before the Court Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning a 9 O'clock. A. M.

Friday morning December 17th 1886. Court met. at 9 oclock A. M. pursuant to adjournment. present as on yesterday.

3795. State of Texas
-v-
Madam Powdry

Keeping a Disorderly House
Friday Decr 17. 1886.
Verdict.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also the Defendant Madam Powdry in person and by attorney and this cause coming on to be heard and both parties announcing ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County towit. O. Collard and five others. who were duly tried. selected. empanelled and sworn

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886
Friday the 17th day of December A. D. 1886
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

according to law, and the County Attorney having read the indictment herein to the jury and the Defendant Madam Powdry entering her plea of "Not guilty" to the Offense charged therein against her, whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence and argument thereon and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following legal verdict towit:- "We. the jury find the Defendant guilty. as charged in the indictment. and assess her punishment at One Hundred Dollars fine

O. Callord Forman"

which verdict was received by the Court and ordered placed of record on the minutes.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Madam Powdry. the sum of One Hundred Dollars. fine as assessed together with all costs of this prosecution. It further ordered, that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant Madam Powdry and confine her in the County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3739

State of Texas
-v-
John Peters

Selling whiskey to a minor
Friday December 17th 1886.
Verdict.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County towit. A. J. Wilson and four others. who were duly, tried selected empanelled and sworn according to law and the County Attorney having read the indictment to the jury and the Defendant entering his plea of "not guilty"

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Friday the 17th day of December A. D. 1886.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

to the Offense charged in the indictment herein against him. whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into the open Court with the following verdict towit:- We the jury find for defendant- Not guilty.

A. J. Wilson Forman"

which verdict was received by the Court and ordered placed of record on the minutes.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the Defendant John Peters be and he is hereby immediately discharged from any further liability as to the Offense for which he is herein tried and that he go hence without day.

3703

State of Texas } Occupation without license
-vs- } Friday December 17, 1886.
John. S. Lyon. } Writ Bond.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant John. S. Lyon although being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that heretofore towit: on the 14th day of Dec 1886. the Defendant John. S. Lyon having been by the Sheriff of Tarrant County. duly arrested on the charge of Unlawfully pursuing a taxable occupation without first obtaining a license therefor. did as principal enter into an appearance bond. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. with W. T. Sands and Jon Tiffs as sureties. in the sum of One Hundred dollars. conditioned that the said Defendant John S. Lyon make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth. instanter and there remain in attendance upon said Court

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Friday the 17th day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

from day to day and from term to term thereof and not depart therefrom until finally discharged by due course of law. then. in that event said bond to become null and void otherwise to remain in full force and virtue in law. and this judgment to be and remain final and good and valid unless sufficient reason is shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant failed to appear. Further ordered by the Court that since said Defendant John T. Lear having failed to appear and answer said charge. that said appearance Bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John T. Lear as principal and said N. D. Sands and Jor Tiffer as sureties. the sum of One Hundred Dollars and all costs of this prosecution and that Citation in due course of law issue to said sureties N. D. Sands and Jor Tiffer summoning them to appear at the next regular term of this Court, and that alias Capias issue instanter for Defendant.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9. O'clock.

Saturday morning December 18th A.D. 1886. Court met at 9. O'clock A. M. pursuant to adjournment pursuit as on yesterday.

3410. State of Texas -v- J. T. Davis | Occupation without license. Saturday December 18. 1886. Verdict

This day came the parties and announcing ready for trial whereupon a jury being duly sworn the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

guilty to the Offense charged in the indictment herein against him. and the Court assesses his penalty at a fine of Seven-and One Half Dollars.

Therefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. S. Davis the sum of Seven and One Half Dollars together with all costs of this prosecution. It is further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant J. S. Davis and confine him in the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3826. State of Texas -vs- L. L. Pitman. } Aggravated Assault. Saturday December 18. 1886. Verdict.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial and a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty to the Offense charged in the indictment herein against him. whereupon the Court assesses his penalty at a fine of Five Dollars.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant L. L. Pitman the sum of Five Dollars fine as herinbefore assessed together with all costs of suit. It is further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant L. L. Pitman and confine him in the County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3783. State of Texas -v- Wm Dunn. } Fornication Saturday Dec 18. 1886. Verdict.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. whereupon came a jury of six good and lawful men of Tarrant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886
Saturday the 18th day of December A. D. 1886
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

County to wit. J. P. Tucker and four others, who were duly tried empannelled, selected and sworn according to law, and the County Attorney having read the indictment herein to the jury and the Defendant entering his plea of not guilty to the Offense charged in the indictment herein against him, thereupon the Jury after hearing all the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to-wit:- "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

J. P. Tucker Foreman"

which verdict was received by the Court and ordered placed of record on the minutes,

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said Defendant Wm Dunn be and he is hereby immediately discharged from any further liability on the charge for which he is herein held and that he go hence without day.

Cases Dismissed

Now comes the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed in each of the following cases says he will no longer continue the prosecution of same but will dismiss same to-wit:-

3680 The State of Texas - vs - Fred Roberts & Harry Hill
Aggravated Assault.

3779 The State of Texas - vs - Mollie Russell
Fornication

3781 The State of Texas - vs - Con Sims
Fornication.

3787 The State of Texas - vs - Tammie Raticam
Fornication.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that each and all of the above

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

mentioned causes be and the same are hereby dismissed and that each of said Defendants go hence without day.

{ Cases Continued }

Ordered by the Court that each and all of the following cases be and the same are hereby continued by agreement until the next term of this Court in course, towit:-

3453 The State of Texas vs. A. G. Shattuck.
Pursuing Occupation without license

3559 The State of Texas vs. Geo. Pierce
Unlawfully Carrying a Pistol

3649 The State of Texas, vs. Bill Routh.
Pursuing Occupation without license

3669 The State of Texas, vs Lizzie Chapman.
Keeping a Disorderly House.

3673 The State of Texas, vs. Wm Fahey.
Aggravated Assault.

3703 The State of Texas, vs. John T. Lyon.
Pursuing Occupation without license

3710 The State of Texas, vs. Fred Shivers.
Unlawfully Carrying a Pistol.

3875 The State of Texas, vs. Tom Stegall.
Exhibiting a Monte Bank.

3897 The State of Texas vs. Mike Cassidy.
Kuping a Disorderly House,

3904 The State of Texas, vs. Tom Cavanaugh.
Pursuing Occupation without license

3905 The State of Texas, vs. Mike Cassidy
Pursuing Occupation without license.

3918 The State of Texas, vs. C. McGee.
Pursuing Occupation without license

3907 The State of Texas vs. S. F. Mabon
Pursuing Occupation without license.

3909 The State of Texas. vs- Moseley & Doggett.
Pursuing Occupation without license,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Monday morning at 9 o'clock A. M.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 20th day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Monday morning December 20th A. D. 1886. Court met at 9. oclock A. M. pursuant to adjournment present as on Saturday.

3916. State of Texas. —vs— Walter Smithy and Ernest Day. Monday December 20. 1886. Motion for a new trial

This day came the parties and by their Attorneys and coming on to be heard the Defendant Walter Smithys motion for a new trial herein and the Court after hearing said motion and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the Opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion.

It is therefor considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled to which ruling of the Court the Defendant Walter Smithey excepts and gives notice of Appeal, in open Court to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

3907 State of Texas v. Wm King. Aggravated Assault Monday December 20. 1886. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial, and a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty to the Offense charged in the indictment herein against him whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars.

It is therefor considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Wm King said fine of Five Dollars together with all costs of suit and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant Wm King and confine him in the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Monday the 20th day of December A. D. 1886.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3746. City of Fort Worth. vs. W. Goldstein } Disturbing the Peace.
Monday December 20: 1886
Verdict.

This day came the City atty. prosecuting the pleas of the City and also the Defendant W. Goldstein in person and by Attorney and both parties announcing ready for trial: thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County towit:- A. Earle and five others who were duly tried selected empanelled and sworn as the law directs. and the City Attorney having read the Complaint herein to the jury, and the Defendant W. Goldstein entering his plea of Not Guilty to the Offense charged therein against him. thereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence and argument and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court, with the following verdict towit:- "We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at $5.00 five Dollars.

A. Earl Forman"

Which verdict was by the Court received and ordered filed and placed of record on the minutes.

It is therefor considered and ordered by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. Goldstein and W. S. Takes. and C. A. Shook as sureties on his Appeal Bond. in sum of Five Dollars and all costs of suit for which let Execution issue against said Sureties on said bond and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant W. Goldstein and confine him in the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3837. City of Fort Worth. vs. Jim Liston } Selling whiskey on Sunday
Monday Dec 20. 1886.
Verdict.

This day came the City atty. prosecuting the pleas of the City and also the De-

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886
Monday the 20th day of December A. D. 1886.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Defendant Jim Liston in person and by Attorney and both parties announcing ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to-wit J. T. Castlebovy and five others who were duly tried, selected, empanelled and sworn as the law directs, and the City Attorney having read the Complaint herein to the jury and the Defendant entering his plea of Not Guilty to the Offense therein charged against him, whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence and argument and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following legal verdict, viz: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty."

J. T. Castlebovy Foreman"

Which verdict was received by the Court and ordered placed of record on the minutes."

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the Defendant Jim Liston be and he is hereby discharged from any further liability on the Offense for which he is herein tried and that he go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9. O'clock.

Tuesday Morning December 21st A. D. 1886.
Court met at 9. O'clock pursuant to adjournment pursuit as on yesterday.

3951 City of Fort Worth vs. W. B. McKenzie | Selling liquor without license
Tuesday December 21. 1886.
Complaint quashed.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys, and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the Complaint herein against him, and the Court after hearing said motion and

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

argument of Counsel thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said Complaint be and the same is hereby quashed and that said cause be finally dismissed and the Defendant W. B McKenzie is hereby discharged from any further liability on the Offense charged herein against him and that he go hence without day.

3758

City of Fort Worth. vs. J. H. Huseley } Disturbing the Peace.

Tuesday Dec 21. 1886.

Verdict.

On this day came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City and also the Defendant J. H. Huseley in person and by Attorney and both parties announcing ready for trial. whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County Tovit:- O. Sampore and for others who were duly tried selected empanelled and sworn according to law and the City Attorney having read the Complaint to the jury and the Defendant entering his plea of Not guilty to the Offense charged therein against him. thereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict viz:- "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at $5.00 five Dollars.

O Sampore. Forman"

which verdict was received by the Court and ordered placed of record on the minutes.

It is therfore considered and ordered by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendants J. H Huseley and J. T. Word and J. B. Brockman as sureties on his appeal bond the sum

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886
Tuesday the 21st day of December A. D. 1886
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

of fifty dollars fine and all costs of suit, for which execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full..

3811 City of Ft Worth -vs- Geo. McCoy } Assault: Decr 21. 1886.

This day came the City Atty prosecuting the pleas of the City and also the Defendant Geo McCoy. in person and by Atty. and both parties announcing ready for trial. Came a jury of six good and lawful men of Tarrant County. viz:- A. Earl and five others who were duly tried selected empanelled and sworn according to law. and the City Attorney having read the Complaint herein to the jury and the Defendant entering his plea of not guilty to the Offense charged therein against him. whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following legal verdict towit:- "We. the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at five dollars.

A. Earl. Foreman"

which verdict of said jury was by the Court received and ordered placed of record on the minutes.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo. McCoy and N. B. Ford and S. J. Armstrong. the sureties on his appeal bond. the sum of Five Dollars fine and all costs of suit for which execution may issue Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant Geo McCoy and him confine in the County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Tuesday the 21st day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3838 City of Fort Worth -vs- Tom Merchant } Unlawfully bathing a cow. Tuesday. December 21. 1886. Dismissed.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the motion of the Defendant. Tom Merchant to quash the Complaint filed herein against him. and the Court having heard said motion and argument of Counsel thereon. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion.

It is therefor considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and said Complaint is hereby quashed and that said cause be dismissed and that the Defendant Tom Merchant be and he is hereby discharged from further liability upon the Offuse charged against him herein. and that he go hence without day.

3839 City of Fort Worth -vs- Ed Armentrout } Affray. Tuesday December 21st 1886. Verdict.

This day came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City and also the Defendant Ed Armentrout in person and by Atty then came on to be heard the motion of the Defendant to quash the Complaint herein which motion was by the Court overruled) and both parties announcing ready for trial. whereupon came a jury of six good and lawful men of Tarrant County viz:- J. T. Castleberry and five others. who were duly tried selected empanelled and sworn according to law. and the City Attorney having read the Complaint to the jury and the Defendant entering his plea of not guilty to the Offuse charged therein against him. whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court. retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict towit:- We the jury find

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886
Tuesday the 21st day of December A. D. 1886.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

the Defendant not guilty

J. T. Castleberry Foreman

which verdict was received by the Court and Ordered placed of record on the minutes.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the Defendant Ed Arm- trout be and he is hereby immediately discharged from any further liability upon the Offense for which he is herein tried, and that he go hence without day.

3849 City of Ft Worth -vs- J. M. Isenhower

Violating Water rules.
Tuesday December 21. 1886.
Verdict.

This day came the City Atty prosecuting the pleas of the City and also the Defendant in person and by Attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to-wit:- A. Earl and five others who were duly tried selected impanelled and sworn according to law. and the City Atty having read the Complaint herein to the jury and the Defendant entering his plea of not guilty to the Offense charged against him therein. whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following ver- dict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at $2.50 Two and fifty Hundredth dollars.

A. Earl. Foreman

which verdict was received by the Court and ordered placed of record on the minutes.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. M. Isenhower and J. M. Isenhower and E. J.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

King as surties on his Appeal bond. the sum of Two and One Half Dollars. together with all costs of prosecution. for which execution may issue. and it is further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take and confine said Defendant J. M. Isenhower in the County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3850 City of Fort Worth -vs- N. R. Booth. Violating water regulations. Tuesday December 21. 1886. Mistrial

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County towit:- Arch Earl and five others who were duly tried selected empanelled and sworn according to law and the City Atty having read the Complaint herein to the jury and the Defendant entering his plea of not guilty to the Offense charged therein against him. thereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court and informed the Court that it was impossible for them to arrive at a verdict in this cause whereupon the Court discharged said jury from any further consideration of the case.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that a mistrial be and the same is hereby entered herein and that this cause be and the same is hereby continued until the next regular term of this Court in course.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 O'clock.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Wednesday the 22nd day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Wednesday morning December 22nd. 1886.
Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment pursuit as on yesterday

There being no business before the Court Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 O'clock.

Now comes the City attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed in each of the following causes. says he will no longer continue the prosecution of same but will dismiss same towit:-

2512 The City of Ft Worth vs- Bill Cooper.
Unlawfully carrying a Pistol.

2608 The City of Ft Worth vs- Sam Boardman
Unlawfully carrying a Pistol.

3109 The City of Ft Worth vs- Henry Cooper
Aggravated Assault.

3123 The City of Ft Worth vs- Toney Glover
Aggrivated Assault

3509 The City of Ft Worth vs- Elsie Hawthorne
Embezzlement under #20.

3752 The City of Ft Worth vs- John Graham
Selling liquor on Sunday

3755 The City of Ft Worth vs- J. F. Fogg.
Unlawfully Carrying a pistol.

3760 The City of Ft Worth vs- Bill Woods
Assault.

3761 The City of Ft Worth. vs- Lewis Segner
Assault

3787 The City of Ft Worth vs- J. L. Bowland.
Drunk.

3830 The City of Ft Worth vs- J. L. Capell
Assault

3840 The City of Ft Worth vs- Dan De Nyre
Unlawfully carrying a Pistol

3844 The City of Ft Worth vs- Pearl Jones
Disturbing the peace.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Thursday the 23rd day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3833 City of Ft Worth
-vs-
Don Adams

Unlawfully carrying a Pistol
Thursday December 23. 1886.
Verdict

This day came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City and also the Defendant Don Adams in person and by Attorney and both parties announcing ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of six good and lawful men of Tarrant County to wit:- A. Earl and five others who were duly tried selected, empanelled and sworn according to law: and the City Attorney having read the Complaint herein to the jury and the Defendant entering his plea of not guilty to the Offense charged therein against him, whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict viz:- "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at Twenty Five Dollars.

A. Earl Foreman"

which verdict was by the Court received and ordered placed of record on the Minutes.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the City of Ft Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Don Adams and Jno. D. Nichols. O. J. Goode and F. S. Boulware sureties on his Appeal Bond the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine as assessed together with all costs of this prosecution for which let Execution issue. and it is further Ordered by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant Don Adams and confine him in the County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3866 City of Ft Worth
-vs-
Peter Lowry.

Disturbing the peace
Thursday December 23. 1886.
Verdict.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Thursday the 23rd day of December A. D. 1886.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

This day came the City Atty prosecuting the pleas of the City, and also the Defendant Peter Lowry, both in person and by Attorney and announced ready for trial whereupon came a jury of Six good and lawful men of Tarrant County Texas, viz:- N. T. Terry and five others who were duly tried selected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the City Atty having read the Complaint herein to the jury and the Defendant entering his plea of not guilty to the Offense charged therein against him, thereupon the Jury having heard all the evidence and argument and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict towit:- "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty.

N. T. Terry. Foreman"

which verdict was by the Court received and ordered placed of record on the minutes.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the Defendant Peter Lowry be and he is hereby immediately discharged from further liability upon the Offense for which he is herein tried and that he go hence without day.

3923. City of Ft Worth vs. Ben C. Evans. — Stitching to Awning Post. Thursday Dec 23, 1886. Verdict.

This day came the City Atty and prosecuting the pleas of the City also the Defendant Ben C. Evans both in person and by Atty and both parties announcing ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to wit: N. T. Terry and five others who were duly tried impanelled selected and sworn according to law, and the City Atty having read the Complaint herein to

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Thursday the 23rd day of December A. D. 1886.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the jury and the Defendant entering his plea of not guilty to the Offense charged therein against him. thereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict towit "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Five Dollars

N. S. Terry Foreman"

which verdict was received by the Court and Ordered placed of record on the Minutes.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Sam. C. Evans and O. P. Lester. C. O. Cooper and J. M. Provine. sureties on his Appeal bond. the sum of Five Dollars and all costs of prosecution for which let execution issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant Sam. C. Evans and confine him in the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3925. City of Ft Worth -vs- H. O. Shields — Aggravated Assault. Thursday December 23. 1886 Verdict.

This day came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City and also the Defendant H. O. Shields. and both parties announcing ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men. viz O. Pamperin and five others who were duly tried selected empanelled and sworn according to law. and the City Atty having read the Complaint herein to the jury and the Defendant entering his plea of Not guilty thereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence and argument and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court and informed the Court that it was impossible for them to arrive at a conclusion in this cause

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Thursday the 2, 3rd day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

whereupon the Court, by consent of Counsel discharged said jury from any further consideration of this case.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that a Mistrial be and the same is hereby entered herein and that this cause be and the same is hereby continued until the next regular term of this Court in course.

<Cases Continued>

Ordered by the Court that the following cases be and the same are each are hereby Continued by the respective parties until the next regular term of this Court in course.

3749 City of Fort Worth. vs- Jas McGinnis
Assault. by Defendant.

3753 City of Fort Worth. vs- Bob Waggoner
Selling whiskey on Sunday. by City.

3763 City of Ft Worth. vs- A. A. Straube.
Assault: by City.

3806. City of Fort Worth vs- Mrs Wiley
Assault: by Defendant.

3821 City of Fort Worth vs- Chas Cooper.
Assault: by Defendant.

3841 City of Fort Worth vs- Chas Matteson.
Assault: by City.

3847. City of Fort Worth, vs- Harvey Mills.
Carrying Pistol. by City.

3845. City of Fort Worth. vs- Edmond Allen
Play. cds in public place. by Defts

3846. City of Fort Worth. vs- Tom Payne.
Selling liquor on Sunday: by Defts

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 O'clock

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Friday the 24th day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Friday morning. December 24th A.D. 1886.
Court met at 9 o'clock. pursuant to adjournment. present as on yesterday.

There being no business before the Court: Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Monday morning Dec 27. 1886 at 9 O'clock.

Monday morning December 27th A.D. 1886.
Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjournment. present as on yesterday.

There being no business before the Court: Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9. Oclock.

Tuesday morning December 28. 1886.
Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

3928 State of Texas —vs— Antonio Estrado — Aggravated Assault. Tuesday Dec 28. 1886. Judgment.

This day came the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant Antonio Estrado in person and by Atty and both parties announcing ready for trial: thereupon a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty to the Offence charged against him in the Information herein. whereupon the Court assesses his penalty at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Friday the 24th day of December A. D. 188 6.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

and recover of and from the Defendant Antonio Estrado. the sum of Twenty five dollars fine and all costs of this prosecution and the Sheriff of Tarrant County is hereby ordered to take said Defendant Antonio Estrado and confine him in the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3765 State of Texas
-vs-
Madam Bowdry } Decr 28. 1886.

Ordered by the Court that Defendant have leave to amend her motion for New trial

3765 State of Texas
-vs-
Madam Bowdry } Decr 28. 1886.

This day came the parties by their attorneys. then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the Court after hearing said motion and argument of Counsel thereon. and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. to which ruling of the Court the Defendants excepts and gives notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas

3925 State of Texas
-vs-
Ben. C. Evans. } December 28. 1886.

This day came the parties by their attorneys. then came on to be heard the defendants motion in arrest of Judgment

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Tuesday the 28th day of December A. D. 1886

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

and the Court having heard said motion and argument of Counsel thereon. because it is the Opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion.

It is therefor considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

3923. City of Ft Worth. -vs- Sen. C Evans. December 28. 1886.

This day came the parties by their attorneys. then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein. and the Court having heard said motion. and argument of Counsel thereon. and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion.

It is therefor considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

3849 City of Ft Worth -vs- J. M. Isenhower. December. 28. 1886.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the Court having heard said motion and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion

It is therefor considered and ordered ~~as~~ by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that the Defendant J. M. Isenhower be and he is hereby granted a new trial herein

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Tuesday the 28th day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3849 City of Ft Worth
-vs-
J. M. Dunhower. December 28. 1886.

This day came the parties by their attorneys then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to arrest the Judgment rendered in this cause, and the Court having heard said motion and argument of Counsel thereon, and being fully advised in the premises because it is the Opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and said judgment so rendered be arrested and this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that the Defendant J. M. Dunhower go hence without day.

3746. City of Fort Worth.
-vs-
W. Goldstein December 28. 1886.

This day came the parties and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the Court having heard said motion and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and said Defendant W. Goldstein is hereby granted a new trial herein.

State of Texas
-vs-
Geo. Cassell and Charlie Moon. } Theft of property under the value of Twenty Dollars. Tuesday. December 28. 1886 <Postponed>

This cause is hereby postponed by agreement until tomorrow.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Tuesday the 28 day of December A. D. 1886.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9. oclock.

Wednesday morning December 29. A.D. 1886. Court met at 9 O'clock pursuant to adjournment pursuit as on yesterday.

3909 State of Texas —vs— Geo. Caswell and Charlie Moore. } Theft under the value of Twenty Dollars. Wednesday Dec 29, 1886 Verdict

This day came the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant Charlie Moore (a recognizance being granted) in person, and both parties announcing ready for trial. thereupon the jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant entering his plea of not guilty to the Offense charged against him in the Information read to the Court by the County Attorney, whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the Opinion of the Court that the said Defendant is Guilty of the Offense charged in the Information herein against him.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the Defendant Charlie Moore is guilty as charged and that he be fined One Dollar and confined in the County Jail One day therefor. It is then ordered that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charlie Moore. the sum of One Dollar fine and all costs of suit. and that he be by the Sheriff of Tarrant County after his term of One days confinement expires. taken and confined in the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1886

Thursday the 30th day of December A. D. 1886

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Thursday morning December 30th 1886.
Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to ad
fourn ment present as on yesterday.

There being no business before the Court.
Ordered that Court do now adjourn
until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Friday morning December 31st 1886.
Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to ad-
fourn ment present as on yesterday

There being no business befor the Court
Ordered that Court do now adjourn
until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock

Saturday morning January 1st 1887.
Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to ad-
fourn ment present as on yesterday.

Saturday January 1st 1887.
Ordered that Court do now adjourn until
Court in course. Sam Yeyman C Judge

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887.

Monday the 3rd day of January A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Be it remembered. that on the 1st monday in January 1887. the same being the 3rd day of said month. there was begun and holden a regular term of the Honorable County Court within and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas. at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth. Present. the Honorable Sam Furman County Judge. John T. Simpson County Clerk and B. H. Shipp Sheriff of said County. when and where the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court towit:-

Wednesday February 2nd 1887 Court in session present as at first of term.

3995 The State of Texas vs. Tom Brin. Exhibiting a Keno Bank. Wednesday Febry 2nd 1887. Plea of Guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant Tom Brin in his own proper person and both parties announcing ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the charge herein against him. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Brin. the sum of Twenty Five Dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do now take and retain said Defendant in custody until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until to-morrow morning at 9 O'Clock.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887.

Monday the 7th. day of February, A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Monday morning February 7. 1887. 9. oclock Court met pursuant to adjournment. present as at first of term and on adjournment.

3537 State of Texas vs. Jean Lambert. — Exhibiting Gaming Bank. Monday February 7. 1887. Plea of Guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant Jean Lambert in his own proper person and by attorney. and both parties announcing ready for trial. thereupon a jury was waived and the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant Jean Lambert now here enters his plea of guilty to the charge herein against him. whereupon the Court assesses his punishy at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court. that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jean Lambert the said sum of Twenty Five Dollars. fine assessed. as aforesaid. together with all costs in this behalf by each party incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take and retain said Defendant Jean Lambert in custody until said fine and costs are paid in full.

3935. State of Texas vs. Wm Thompson. — Theft. Monday Febry 7. 1887. "Plea of guilty"

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant in his own proper person and by attorney. and both parties announcing ready for trial. thereupon a jury was waived and the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887.

Monday the 7th day of February A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

now here enters his plea of guilty to the Offense charged herein against him. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and One hours imprisonment in the County jail, it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Wm Thompson, the said sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs of suit. for which said fine and costs execution may issue, and that said Defendant Wm Thompson be by the Sheriff of Tarrant County taken and confined in the Tarrant County jail for the full period of one hour, as assessed by the Court. Further ordered by the Court that said Defendant. after his term of imprisonment in the County jail has expired, be taken and retained in the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County until said costs and fine are paid in full.

3996. State of Texas vs. Budd Fagg. Exhibiting Keno Bank. Monday February 7. 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and both parties announcing ready for trial thereupon a jury was impaneled and the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the Offense charged in the indictment herein against him, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Four Dollars, it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Budd Fagg, the said sum of Twenty Four Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January, Term, 1887.
Monday, the 7th, day of Febuary, A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

the costs in this behalf expended. for which said fine and costs, execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take and retain said Defendant Budd Fagg in custody until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4022 The State of Texas vs. Morris Fitzpatrick. Selling whiskey on Sunday
Monday Febry 7. 1887.
Plea of Guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also came the Defendant in person and by attorney. and both parties announcing ready for trial. thereupon a jury was elected and the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant Morris Fitzpatrick now here enters his plea of guilty to the Offense charged in the indictment herein, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court. that the State of Texas. do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Morris Fitzpatrick. the sum of Twenty Dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs. by each party herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take and retain said Defendant Morris Fitzpatrick in custody until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4026. The State of Texas -vs- Pat Carroll. Aggravated assault.
Monday Febry 7. 1887.
Plea of guilty of Simple assault.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the defendant in person and by attorney and both parties announcing ready for trial

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887.

Monday the 7th day of January A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

thereupon a jury being impaneled the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant Pat Carroll by consent of the County Attorney, now here enters his plea of guilty to the Offense of simple assault. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas, do have and recover of and from the Defendant Pat Carroll, the said sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs by each party herein incurred. for which execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County, take and retain the said Defendant in custody until said fine and costs are paid in full.

2866	State of Texas	vs. Louis Maas & Ex.	Gaming Bank.
3004	" "	" " J. B. Edens &	Aggravated Assault
3542	" "	" " Eugene Lambert.	Ex. Gaming Bank.
3544	" "	" " "	" " "
3546	" "	" " "	" " "
3549	" "	" " "	" " "
3673	" "	" " Wm Fahey.	Aggravated Assault.
3680	" "	" " Robt. Daniel	Carrying a Pistol
3708	" "	" " R. L. Turner.	Occupation Tax.
3746	" "	" " Joe Pope	Ex. Gaming Bank.
3916	" "	" " Bruce Day.	Disturbing the Peace

On this 7th day of January 1887. comes R. L. Carlock County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the state and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute the above cases but will dismiss same It is therefore therefore considered and ordered that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of these prosecutions, and that said defendants go hence herefrom day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Monday the 7th day of February A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3904	State of Texas	W. Tom Cavanaugh.	Non payment	Occupation tax.
3910	" "	Jas. Liston	" "	"
3939	" "	Murphy Hat & Furnishing Co.	" "	"
3945	" "	J. G. Reily	" "	"
3948	" "	R. S. Blair	" "	"
3949	" "	G. W. Hill	" "	"
3956	" "	G. P. Meade	" "	"
3955	" "	J. E. Blair	" "	"
3962	" "	W. R. Branch	" "	"
3963	" "	J. S. Carruthers	" "	"
3977	" "	Wallace Hendricks	" "	"
3978	" "	Henry Finch	" "	"
3979	" "	Sam Fassulla	" "	"
3980	" "	Tom Cavanaugh	" "	"
3981	" "	L. Higby	" "	"
3982	" "	S. S. Floyd & Co.	" "	"
3983	" "	W. R. Sawyers	" "	"
3984	" "	J. Washer & Leo. August	" "	"
3985	" "	M. Sonnenberg.	" "	"
3989	" "	B. K. Coffman et al	" "	"
3998	" "	J. H. Woods	" "	"
4002	" "	W. R. Booth	" "	"
4003	" "	J. Allen & Isaac Massbaum	" "	"
4008	" "	J. M. Whatley	" "	"
4011	" "	John Sullivan	" "	"
4017	" "	W. D. Harris	" "	"
4014	" "	Miss E. A. Pollock	" "	"
4018	" "	Rollins & Perry	" "	"
4019	" "	Jas. Branch	" "	"
4024	" "	Norman & Pope	" "	"

Now comes R. L. Carlock County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute the above cases because the defendants therein have paid their tax and otherwise complied with the law. It is therefore considered and ordered by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that said defendants go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Monday the 7th day of February A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Now comes B.H. Shipp Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into open court the Venire Facias for this the sixth week of this term of the court. for petit jurors, and upon call of same the following named persons answered, to wit: W.O.B. Wilburn D.V. Godwin and Jacob Duringer.

the panel being incomplete, the sheriff after being duly sworn and instructed by the court was instructed to summon other qualified jurors to fill the panel. whereupon the said Sheriff returned into court the following named talesmen to wit: G.N. Chapman John Hargrove W.A. Sutton, C. Shamwerk W.M. Plummer James Shivers A.T. Tucker, J.M. Berry and W.T. Jones. and all of said jurors were tried impanelled and sworn according to law.

3710 The State of Texas vs. Fred Shivers } Unlawfully Carrying a Pistol
Monday February 7. 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W.P.B. Wilburn and five others who were duly elected impanelled tried and sworn according to law. The defendant now here enters his plea of Not Guilty Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars.

(Signed) W.B. Wilburn Foreman."

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Fred Shivers the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It further ordered that the sheriff take said defendant and confine him in jail till said fine and costs are paid.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Monday the 7th day of February A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

2846 State of Texas vs Alex Reddick } Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
Alias Capias & Continued.

2855 State of Texas vs Alex Reddick } Exhibiting Gaming Bank
Alias & Continued.

2865 State of Texas —vs— Alex Reddick } Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
Alias & Continued.

Ordered that the Clerk of this court issue Alias Capiases in the following cases and that same stand continued till next term of this court viz:

2887 State of Texas-vs-Alex Reddick. Ex. Gaming Bank.
2888 " " " " " " " "
3177 " " " " Max Kramer " Faro "
3211 " " " " John Blankenship Assault
3212 " " " " S. B. Russell Carrying a Pistol
3240 " " " " Jerry Keating Theft.
3347 " " " " Billy Hunt Ex. Faro Bank.
3354 " " " " " " " " "
3357 " " " " " " " " "
3358 " " " " " " " " "
3376 " " " " " " " " "
3391 " " " " J. J. Wheat Occupation Tax
3394 " " " " John Murrin " " "
3478 " " " " J. F. Hughes Aggravated Assault
3497 " " " " Peter Greenwald Theft
3507 " " " " Bob Bridges Embezzlement
3663 " " " " Dick Birdwell et al. Disturbing Religious Worship
3664 " " " " Willis Adams Disorderly House.
3669 " " " " Lizzie Chapman " "

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Monday the 7th day of February A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Ordered by the court that the following cases stand continued till the next term of this court viz:

3409 State of Texas vs John Harland, Willfully maiming a horse.
3707 " " " " Jno. T. Wilkes, Occupation Tax.
3942 " " " " William Jackson " "
3987 " " " " J. R. Wright " "

It appearing to the court that the defendants in the following cases cannot be found. It is ordered that said cases be retired from the Regular Docket and placed upon the file docket until such time as the defendants therein can be arrested, to wit;

3141 State of Texas vs Jim Thomason
Aggravated Assault
3246 State of Texas —vs— John Strickland
Aggravated Assault
3259 State of Texas —vs— S. N. Barber
Aggravated Assault
3260 State of Texas —vs— S. N. Barber
Carrying a Pistol
3482 State of Texas —vs— Isade Foster
Removing sand from lands of another
3576 State of Texas —vs— John Strickland
Betting at a Gaming Bank
3564 State of Texas —vs— Troy Gunnells
Biding at a Faro Bank
3580 State of Texas vs Troy Gunnells & Caz Kemp.
Non payment of Occupation Tax.
3584 State of Texas —vs— Troy Gunnells & Caz Kemp
Exhibiting a Gaming Bank.
3819 State of Texas —vs— W. Conley
Aggravated Assault
3236 State of Texas vs Milt Hobbs Agg. Assault.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Tuesday the 8th day of February A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Tuesday Morning February 8. 1887 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

Now comes R. L. Carlock County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons, says he will not further prosecute the following Cases Towit

2822 The State of Texas -vs- 156 Day Ex. Gaming Bank
2824 " " " " " " " " "
2849 " " " " " " " " "
2870 " " " " " " " " "
2871 " " " " " " " " "
2876 " " " " " " " " "
2877 " " " " " " " " "
2880 " " " " " Louis Maas " " "
2911 " " " " " Jake Johnson Selling Liquor on Sunday
2917 " " " " " " " " " "
3832 " " " " " Oscar Smith Aggravated Assault
3836 " " " " " Boney Tucker Assault
3940 " " " " " Old Keen Occupation Tax
3953 " " " " " J. B. Atkinson " "
3962 " " " " " J. A. Cooper and John W. Clark " "
3965 " " " " " M. J. Dorsey " "
3967 " " " " " Wm. Richmond " "
3968 " " " " " G. W. Gillespie " "
3974 " " " " " W. L. Robinson " "
3975 " " " " " J. F. Hale " "
3991 " " " " " Joseph W. Cook et al. " "
4016 " " " " " G. C. Rhine " "

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of the above prosecutions, and that said defendants go hence without day.

3956 The State of Texas vs W. B. McKenzie } Using Pool Table for Profit without License.

Tuesday Feby 8th 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Tuesday the 8th day of February A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

the defendants motion to quash information herein and the argument of counsel thereon being heard, it is the opinion of the court that the law is for the State, it is therefore considered and ordered by the court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the court the defendant excepts.

3862 State of Texas vs Ed Graham } Malicious Mischief. Tuesday February 8th 1887

This day came R. L. Carlock County Atty. prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the defendant by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being selected, the matters of fact as well as of law, were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Ed Graham said sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that ~~xxxxxxxxxx xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

3926 State of Texas vs David Cowan } Disturbing the Peace Tuesday February 8th 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash information herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the court that the law is for the state, said motion is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the court the defendant excepts.

Continued by consent.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Tuesday the 8th day of February A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3927 State of Texas vs Bill Heffner } Aggravated Assault.

Tuesday, February 8th 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the indictment herein and the argument of counsel thereon being heard because it is the opinion of the court that the law is in favor of the defendant said motion is hereby sustained and said cause is hereby dismissed. It is therefore ordered that the State of Texas take nothing by reason this prosecution and that said defendant go hence without day.

3932 State of Texas vs Oscar Smith } Aggravated Assault

Tuesday February 8th 1887

This day came R.L. Carlock County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the defendant Oscar Smith in his own proper person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and said defendant now here enters his plea of "guilty" whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do recover of and from the defendant Oscar Smith said sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Tuesday the 8th day of February A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3952 The State of Texas } Aggravated Assault.
— vs —
Wm Heffron alias "Canada Bill" } Tuesday February 8th 1887.

This day came R. L. Paddock County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also came the defendant in his own proper person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now hereenters his plea of "not guilty" also his plea of former conviction in Recorder's Court of the City of Fort Worth. and the court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel, finds the defendant's plea of former conviction untrue as to Aggravated Assault, and also finds the defendant guilty of the offense of Aggravated Assault and assesses his punishment at a fine of ($25.00) Twenty five dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Wm Heffron alias "Canada Bill" said sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the county jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

State of Texas } Nonpayment of occupation tax
vs
3951 E. M. Schwartz & L. A. Schwartz } Tuesday February 8th 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendants now hereenter their plea of not guilty, whereupon the court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel, finds the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Tuesday the 8th day of February A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

defendant Guilty as charged and assesses their punishment at a fine of $37.50
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendants, E. M. Schwartz and L. A. Schwartz the said sum of Thirty Seven 50/100 Dollars together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County, take said defendants and confine them in the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4017

State of Texas vs A. Sterk } Non payment of Occupation Tax. Tuesday February 8. 1887

This day came R. E. Carlock County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the defendant also by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here enters his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the defendant guilty as charged and assesses his punishment at a fine of $2.25
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant A. Sterk the said sum of Two 25/100 Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Tuesday the 8th day of February A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Ordered by the Court that the Clerk do issue alias capiases for the defendants in the following cases returnable at the next term of this court and that said cases stand continued till said term hereof:

3767 The State of Texas vs Willis Adams et al Disorderly House
3816 " " " " " J. H. Speer — Lottery —
3854 " " " " " Chas. King - Malicious Mischief —
3855 " " " " " " " " "
3856 " " " " " John Hoover Agg. Assault.
3859 " " " " " J. E. Calhoun " "
3860 " " " " " " " " Carrying Pistol.
3864 " " " " " Joe McMullen Agg. Assault.
3865 " " " " " Harry Walker " "
3874 " " " " " A. P. Thompson " "
3876 " " " " " Brosier Smith Ex. Monte Bank
3898 " " " " " Frank Stone Carrying a Pistol
3899 " " " " " Wm Houser — Theft.
3900 " " " " " Wm Rogers — Agg. Assault.
3933 " " " " " John Baze " "
3921 " " " " " J. M. McElhenny — Theft
3936 " " " " " Geo. New — Assault.
3937 " " " " " " " "
3938 " " " " " Billy Oliver "
3943 " " " " " J. T. Deer — Occupation Tax
3977 " " " " " C. H. Peterson " "
3973 " " " " " John Riley " "
3993 " " " " " Martin, Wise & Fitzhugh " "
3994 " " " " " — Edwards Permitting Gaming &c.
4001 " " " " " J. H. Saulsbury Occupation Tax.
4004 " " " " " D. L. Abrill " "
4013 " " " " " J. T. Deer " "

Continued by consent.

3897 The State of Texas vs - Mike Cassidy Disorderly House
3906 " " " " " " " Occupation Tax

3892 The State of Texas vs E. N. Wood } Selling Liquor on Sunday

Tuesday February 8, 1887.

This day came the parties by their

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Wednesday the 9th day of February A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

attorneys, and then came on to be heard, the defendant's motion to quash the indictment herein, and the argument of counsel thereon being heard because it is the opinion of the court that the law is for the defendant. Said motion is hereby sustained. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant E. N. Wood go hence without day.

Ordered that court do now adjourn till tomorrow morrow morning 9 o'clock.

Wednesday Morning 9 o'clock February 9th 1887 court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday

2922 The State of Texas vs Jake Johnson } Selling Beer on Sunday Wednesday February 9th 1887

Continued by Consent

3768 The State of Texas vs F. W. Bradley } Selling Liquor on Sunday Wednesday February 9th 1887.

This day came R. L. Averlock, County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: W. A. Sexton and 11 others who were duly elected, tried, empanelled and sworn according to law, and the defendant now here enters his plea of "Not Guilty" Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict, to wit: "We the jurors find the defendant guilty and fixed his penalty at fifty dollars. (Signed) W. A. Sexton, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Wednesday the 9th day of February A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

of and from the defendant G. W. Bradley said sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

3964 State of Texas } Non payment of occupation tax.
vs.
E. M. Schwartz & L. A. Schwartz. } Wednesday February 9. 1887.

Now come the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for defendants said motion is hereby sustained.

And it appearing to the court that defendants have paid their tax and the costs accrued in this cause It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that this cause be and is hereby dismissed

4005 State of Texas } Occupation Tax.
—vs—
J. Peter Smith } Wednesday February 9, 1887
Continued

4006 State of Texas } Occupation Tax:
—vs—
W. E. Simmes } Wednesday Feby. 9. 1887

This cause being called for trial and it appearing to the court that the defendant herein has paid his occupation tax and paid all costs accrued herein. It is therefore ordered by the court that this cause be and is hereby dismissed.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 188 7

Wednesday the 9th day of February A. D. 188 7

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4015 State of Texas } Non Payment of Occupation Tax.
-vs- } Wednesday February 9. 1887.
R. H. Griffin

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants exceptions to the Judgment herein, and the argument of counsel thereon being heard, & because it is the opinion of the court that the law is for the State said exceptions are hereby overruled. To which ruling of the court the defendant excepts.

4015 State of Texas } Non Payment of Occupation Tax.
-vs- } Wednesday Febry. 9. 1887.
R. H. Griffin

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the state and the defendant in his own proper person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, and a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now hereunto his plea of not Guilty, whereupon the court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the defendant guilty as charged and assessed his punishment at a fine of $6.50

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant R. H. Griffin the said sum of Six & 50/100 Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full. To which judgment of the court the defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the court of appeals of Texas.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Wednesday the 9th day of February A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4025 State of Texas } Non Payment of Occupation Tax,
vs
J. D. Addison } Wednesday February 9. 1887.

This day the above cause being called for trial and it appearing to the court that the defendant herein had complied with the law in that he had paid his tax and the costs of this proceeding.

It is therefore considered, and ordered that this prosecution be and is hereby dismissed.

4027 State of Texas } Burning a Box Car
-vs- } Wednesday February 9. 1887.
Morris McCarty

Now comes R. L. Carlock County Atty. prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this suit because the evidence is insufficient to secure a conviction.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this suit and that defendant Morris McCarty go hence without day.

2885 State of Texas } Forfeiture of Bonds.
vs } Monday February 7. 1887.
Jose Wilson

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the defendant Jose Wilson being duly called, came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the court that heretofore on towit: the 20th day of April 1886 the defendant Jose Wilson after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a charge of unlawfully Exhibiting for the purpose of gaining a certain gaming Bank, did as principal enter into an appearance Bond with Wm Meyers and J. S. Reed as his sureties in the sum of One hundred Dollars, jointly and severally, payable to the State of Texas, Conditioned that should the said ~~Jose~~ Jose Wilson make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County on the first day of the next Term

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 188 7
the day of February A. D. 188 7
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

to be holden at the Court House thereof in and for the County of Tarrant and State aforesaid on the first Monday in May 1886 the same being the 4th day of May 1886 and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart until discharged by due course of law then and there to answer said above described charge then in that case said bond to be null and void, otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law, and said defendant having failed to appear as aforesaid, it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas have and recover of and from the defendant Josie Wilson as principal and Wm Meyers and J. D. Reed sureties aforesaid the said sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally, together with the costs of the prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. It further ordered that Citation issue in manner and form as required by law, and that alias Capias issue for the defendant herein.

/

State of Texas
vs
Josie Wilson

Forfeiture of Bond.
Monday February 7, 1887

2886

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the defendant Josie Wilson being duly called came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit: the 20th day of April 1885 the defendant after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a charge of unlawfully exhibiting for the purpose of gaming, a certain gaming Bank, did as principal enter into an appearance Bond with Wm Meyers and J. D. Reed as his sureties, in the sum of One Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, Conditioned that

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

should the said Jose Wilson make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County on the first day of the next term to be holden at the court House thereof in and for the County of Tarrant and state aforesaid. on the first Monday in May A.D 1887 the same being the 4th day of May A.D. 1887 and there remain from day to day and from term to term, of said court and not depart until discharge by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge, then in that case said bond to be null and void, otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law, and said defendant having failed to appear as aforesaid: it is therefore considered and ordered by the court that the appearance bond aforesaid, be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas have and recover of and from the defendant Jose Wilson as principal and Wm Myers and J. D. Reed as sureties aforesaid, said sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally together with the costs of the prosecution, and this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this court why said defendant did not appear. Further ordered that citation issue in manner and form as required by law, and that alias Capias issue for the defendant herein.

State of Texas
vs
Chas. Reynolds
3183

Forfeiture of Bond.
Monday February 7. 1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the defendant Chas. Reynolds being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the court that heretofore on to wit: the 19th day of December A.D. 1885 the defendant after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of McLennan County upon a charge by indictment of unlawfully Exhibiting a Faro Bank for the purpose of gaming, did as principal enter into and acknowledge Bond with Wm Davis

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 188 7
the day of February A. D. 188 7
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

as his surety in the sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, Conditioned that should the said Chas. Reynolds make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County on the first day of the next term thereof to be holden at the court house thereof, in and for the County of Tarrant and State aforesaid on the first Monday in January A.D. 1888 and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said court and not depart until discharged by due course of law, and there to answer said above described Charge, then in that case said bond to be null and void otherwise to remain in full force and effect, and said defendant having failed to appear as aforesaid; it is therefore considered and ordered by the court that the appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas have and recover of and from the defendant Chas. Reynolds as principal and Wm Davis as surety aforesaid said sum of One hundred Dollars jointly and severally together with the costs of the prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this court why said defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Citation issue in manner and form as required by law, and that alias capias issue for the defendant herein.

3184 State of Texas vs Chas. Reynolds } Forfeiture of Bond
Monday February 7 1887

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the defendant Chas. Reynolds being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the court that heretofore on to wit: the 19th day of December 1887 the defendant after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of McLennan County upon a charge by indictment of unlawfully exhibiting a Faro Bank for

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the purpose of gaming did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Wm Davis as his surety in the sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. Conditioned that should the said Chas. Reynolds make his personal appearance before the honorable county court of Tarrant County on the first day of the next term to be holden at the Court House thereof in and for the County of Tarrant and State aforesaid on the first Monday in January A.D. 1886, and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge then in that case said bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and effect. And said defendant having failed to appear as aforesaid: it is therefore ordered by the Court that the appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas have and recover of and from the defendant Chas Reynolds as principal and Wm Davis, as surety aforesaid, said sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally together with the costs of the prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. Further ordered that citation issue in manner and form as required by law, and that alias capias issue for the defendant herein.

3218 State of Texas —vs— Chas Reynolds } Forfeiture of Bond. Monday February 7. 1887

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and the defendant Chas. Reynolds being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the court that heretofore on to wit: the 19th day of December 1885 the defendant after having been duly arrested by the sheriff of McLennan County upon a charge by indictment of unlawfully Exhibiting a Faro Bank, did as principal enter into and appearance Bond with Wm Davis as his surety, in the sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

severally payable to the State of Texas, Conditioned that should the said defendant make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas, at the Court House thereof in Fort Worth on the first Monday in January A.D. 1886. and there remain from day to day and term to term until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer the State of Texas upon the above described Charge, then in that case said bond to be null and void otherwise to remain in full force and effect. And said defendant having failed to appear as aforesaid It is therefore considered and ordered by the court that the appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas have and recover of and from the defendant Chas. Reynolds as principal and Wm Davis as surety aforesaid, said sum of One hundred Dollars together with the costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this court why said defendant did not appear.

It further ordered that Citation issue in manner and form as required by law, and that alias capias issue for the defendant herein.

3398 State of Texas vrs A. Rogers } Forfeiture of Bond. Monday February 7. 1887

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and the defendant A. Rogers being duly called came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the court that heretofore on to wit; the 7th day of April 1886 the defendant after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, on a charge pursuing [illegible] occupation without License did as principal enter into an appearance Bond with Chas Schenbeck and Thomas Clark as his sureties in the sum of One hundred dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. Conditioned that should the said A Rogers make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

on the fourth Monday in April 1886 the same
being the 26th day of said month and there remain
from day to day and from term to term of said court
and was departmental discharged by due course of law
then and there to answer said above described
charge, then in this case the said bond to be null
and void, otherwise to be and remain in full force
and virtue in law, and said defendant having failed
to appear as aforesaid it is therefore considered and
ordered by the court that the appearance bond aforesaid
be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the
State of Texas have and recover of and from the defendant
A. Rogers as principal and Chas Scheuber and Thomas
Clark as sureties aforesaid, said sum of One Hundred Dollars
jointly and severally together with the costs of the prosecution
and that this judgment be made final unless good cause
be shown at the next term of this court why said
defendant did not appear. And further ordered that
Citation issue in due manner and form as required by law
and that alias Capias issue for the defendant herein,

State of Texas
vs
W. B. McKenzie
} Forfeiture of Bond.
Tuesday February 8th 1887.

5756

This day came the County Attorney for the State
pleas of the State and the defendant W. B. McKenzie being duly
called, came not but wholly made default, and it appearing
to the court that heretofore on to wit: the 16th day of June
1886 the defendant after having been duly arrested by the
Sheriff of Tarrant County, upon a charge of Unlawfully
Keeping and using for profit a certain Pool Table
without first obtaining a license therefor, did as
principal enter into an appearance bond with Bob
Heyward and W. Means as his sureties in the sum of
One Hundred dollars jointly and severally payable to
the state of Texas, Conditioned that should the said
W B McKenzie make his personal appearance before
the Honorable County ~~Court~~ Court of Tarrant County Texas
at the present term thereof, and there remain from
day to day and from term to term of said Court, and

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

not departmitt discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge then in this case, the above bond to be null and void otherwise to brand remain in full force and virtue in law, and said defendant having failed to appear as aforesaid: it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas have and recover of and from the defendant W. B. McKenzie as principal and Bob Hayward and W Menusey sureties as aforesaid, said sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally together with all costs of the prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court said defendant did not appear. It is ordered that Citation issue in manner and form as required by law, and that Alias Capias issue for the defendant.

3766 State of Texas vs Lou Hessell } Forfeiture of Bond
Tuesday February 8, 1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the defendant Lou Hessell being duly called came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court that the defendant after having heretofore on to wit: Dec 1st 1886 been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a charge of "Unlawfully Keeping a Disorderly House" did as principal enter into an appearance Bond with James Granger and Wm Capps as her sureties in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas Conditioned that should the said Lou Hessell make her personal appearance at the term of this court then in session "instanter" and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said court and not depart without said discharged by due course of law then and there to answer said above described charge, then in this case said bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law, and said defendant having failed to appear as aforesaid: it is

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

the day of February A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Therefore Considered and ordered by the court that said appearance bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas have and recover of and from the defendant Lou Hassell as principal and Francis Granger and Wm Capps sureties as aforesaid, said sum of Five hundred Dollars jointly and severally, together with the costs of the prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Citation issue in manner and form as required by law, and Alias Capias issue for the defendant Herein.

State of Texas
vs
3417 B.F. Capps

Forfeiture of Bond
Tuesday February 8. 1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the defendant B.F. Capps duly called came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the court that heretofore on to-wit: the 3rd day of December 1886 the defendant after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a charge of Unlawfully pursuing a taxable occupation without a License, did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Casey & Swasey and H.P. Shiel as his sureties, in the sum of Six Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, Conditioned that should the said B.F. Capps make his personal appearance before the term of the Honorable County Court then in session "instanter" and there remain from day to day and from term to term thereof, and not depart until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge, then in that case said bond to be null and void, otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law, and said defendant having failed to appear as aforesaid, it is therefore considered and ordered by the court that the appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

the day of February A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

and that the State of Texas have and recover of and from the defendant G.H. Morgan as principal and Casey & Surley and H.P. Shiel sureties aforesaid, said sum of Six Hundred Dollars jointly and severally, together with the costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this court why said defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Citation issue in manner and form as required by law, and that alias Capias issue for the defendant herein

3914 State of Texas vs G.H. Morgan } Forfeiture of Bond
Tuesday February 8th 1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and the defendant G.H. Morgan being duly called came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the court that heretofore on to wit: the 9th day of December 1886 the defendant after being duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County, charged in the County Court of Tarrant County with the offense of "adultery", did as principal enter into an Appearance Bond with J.H. Robinson and J.L. Robinson as his sureties in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, Conditioned that should the said G.H. Morgan make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County at the term thereof then in session instanter, and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court, and not depart until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge, then in that case said bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law, and said defendant having failed to appear as aforesaid, it is therefore considered and ordered by the court that the appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

the day of February A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

have and recover of and from the defendant W. H. Morgan as principal and J. H. Robinson and J. L. Robinson sureties aforesaid, said sum of Two Hundred Dollars jointly and severally together with the costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. And further ordered that Citation issue in due manner and form as required by law, and that Alias Capias issue for the defendant herein.

3920 State of Texas —vs— Rilla A. Perry } Forfeiture of Bond

Tuesday February 8. 1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the defendant Rilla A. Perry being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore on to wit: the 6th day of December 1886, the defendant after being duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County, upon a charge of "Adultery" did as principal enter into an appearance bond with J. L. Robinson and J. H. Robinson, as her sureties, in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. Conditioned that should the said Rilla A. Perry make her personal appearance before the Term of the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County then in session. "In Tauler" and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart until discharged by due course of law, then in that case said bond to be null and void otherwise to remain in full force and virtue in law and the said defendant having failed to appear and answer as aforesaid; it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas have and recover of and from the defendant Rilla A. Perry as principal and J. L. Robinson and J. H. Robinson sureties aforesaid, said sum of Two Hundred dollars jointly and severally

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

the day of February A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

together with the costs of this prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this court why said defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Citation issue in manner and form as prescribed by law, and that alias capias issue for the defendant herein.

3929 State of Texas vs Geo. Caswell et al } Forfeiture of Bonds
Tuesday February 8th 1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and the defendant George Caswell being duly called, came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the court that the defendant heretofore to wit: on the 22nd day of December 1886, after being duly arrested by the sheriff of Tarrant County on a charge of Theft under $20.00 did as principal enter into an appearance bond with M.A. Walden and Jno. D. Nichols as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally, payable to the state of Texas, conditioned that should the said George Caswell make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court on the first day of the next term thereof, on the first Monday in January 1887 the same being the 3rd day of said month to be holden at the Court House thereof in and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas, and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said court and not depart until discharged by due course of law then and there to answer said above described charge then in that case said bond to be null and void, otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law and the said defendant having failed to appear as aforesaid: it is therefore considered and ordered by the court that the appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas have and recover of and from the defendant George Caswell as principal and M.A. Walden and Jno. D. Nichols as sureties aforesaid, said sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally together with the costs of this prosecution, and that this judgment be made final

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 188 7

the day of February A. D. 188 7

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Citation issue in manner and form as prescribed by law, and that alias Capias issue for the defendant herein.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Thursday Morning February 10, 1887 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday

3559 State of Texas -vs- Geo. Pearce } Carrying a Pistol
Thursday February 10 1887

Continued by Consent.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Friday Morning February 11, 1887 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday

3486 State of Texas -vs- T. H. Evans } Theft.
Friday February 11, 1887

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this Case. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant T. H. Evans go hence without day,

3785 State of Texas -vs- John Christy } Disorderly House
Friday February 11th 1887

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this case.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Friday the 11th day of February A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant John Christy go hence without day

3889 State of Texas vs Bud Weatherly } Disturbing Religious Worship. Friday February 11, 1887

Continued by consent.

3931 State of Texas vs Lee Taylor } Permitting Unhealthy Substance to remain on his premises. Friday February 11, 1887

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant Lee Taylor in person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. xx xxxxx xxxxxx xxxxxxxx thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: G.W. Chapman and five others who were duly selected empanneled tried and sworn according to law, and said defendant now here, entered his plea of not Guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at ten dollars.

(Signed) G.W. Chapman, Forman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the said verdict of the jury aforesaid be in all things affirmed and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Lee Taylor said sum of Ten dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that the sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Saturday the 12 day of February A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to morrow morning 9 o'clock.

Saturday Morning February 12. 1887 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

3946 State of Texas vs. Mrs E. S. Prentiss } Occupation Tax. Saturday February 12. 1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas, also came the defendant Mrs E. S. Prentiss in her own proper person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here enters her plea of not guilty. Thereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel adjudged said defendant not guilty, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing of said defendant by reason of this prosecution and that said defendant go hence without day

3961 State of Texas vs. R. J. Boykin } Non payment of Occupation Tax. Saturday February 12, 1887

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and because the defendant R. J. Boykin has paid his occupation tax and otherwise complied with the law in such cases made and provided, says he will no further prosecute this cause, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the state of Texas take nothing of said defendant by reason of this prosecution and that he go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Saturday the 12th day of February A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4009 State of Texas vs Sam Bowdman } Occupation Tax.
Saturday February 12, 1887

This day the above cause being called for trial and it appearing to the court that defendant Sam Bowdman has paid his occupation tax and otherwise complied with the law in such cases made and provided. It is therefore ordered that this cause be and is hereby dismissed.

31460 State of Texas vs J. Thrasher } Exhibiting Gaming Bank
Saturday February 12. 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and the defendant now here enters his plea of "guilty" whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Four dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant J. Thrasher said sum of Twenty Four Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that execution issue from this office to the sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County till said fine and costs are paid in full

3543 State of Texas vs J. Thrasher } Exhibiting Gaming Bank
3624 " " " " " "
3643 " " " " " "
Saturday Febry 12, 1887

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and because the evidence in above cases is insufficient to secure convictions, says he will no further prosecute the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 188 7

Monday the 14th day of February A. D. 188 7

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas take of said defendant by reason of these premises and that he go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday morning 9 o'clock.

Monday morning February 14. 1887. Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on first of term.

3616	State of Texas vs R. Gordon	Aggravated Assault	Monday Febry 14. 1887 Continued by consent.
3617	State of Texas -vs- C. McGehee	Riot	Monday February 14. 1887 Continued by consent.
3672	State of Texas vs L Cohuexal. (B.P. Blakely)	Riot	Monday February 14. 1887

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant B.P. Blakely in his own proper person. and asks for a severance therein which was according granted, both parties announced ready for trial, waived a jury and submitted the matters of fact as well as of law to the Court. and said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty of engaging in an unlawful assembly whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant B. P. Blakely the said Sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that the sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County jail of said County till said fine and costs are paid in full.

3649 State of Texas vs Chas McGeehee } Riot. Monday February 14, 1887

Continued by Consent

3672 State of Texas vs L. Cohnreal } Forfeiture of Bond of T. L. Rowland Monday February 14th 1887

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the defendant T. L. Rowland being duly called in the usual forms of law, failed to appear but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court that heretofore, on to wit: the 10th day of April 1886 said defendant, after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a capias issued from the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County Texas, on the charge of unlawfully engaging in a riot, did as principal enter into an appearance bond with John Graham, Joseph Jamieson and F. Daily as his sureties in the sum of Four Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. Conditioned that the said T. L. Rowland should personally appear at the next term thereafter of the County Court of said County, to be holden at the Court House thereof in and for said County on the first Monday in April 1886, and thereafter from day to day and from term to term of said Court, and not depart said Court discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer the above described charge. Then in that case said bond to be void otherwise to remain in full force and virtue in law, and said defendant having failed to appear as aforesaid; It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Appearance Bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Monday the 14 day of February A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the State of Texas do have and recover of the said T.L. Rowland, as principal and John Graham, Joseph Jamison and T. Daily, as sureties the aforesaid sum of Four Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally, together with all costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final, unless good cause be shown at the next Term of this court, why said defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Citation issue in manner and form as required by law, and that Alias Capias issue for said defendant.

3677 State of Texas vs L. Conrad } Forfeiture of Bond of T.J. Walsh. Monday February 14 1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State, and the defendant T.J. Walsh being called in due form of law, failed to appear, but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court that said defendant heretofore on to wit: the 3rd day of April 1886, after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a Capias issued from the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County, on a charge of "unlawfully engaging in a riot", did as principal enter into an appearance Bond with Louis Maas and J.D. Lakin as his sureties in the sum of Four Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally, payable to the State of Texas. Conditioned that the said T.J. Walsh should personally appear at the next term of the County Court of said thereafter, then and there and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and answer said charge, and not depart until it is charged by due course of law, then in that case said bond to be null and void, otherwise to remain in full force and virtue in law, and said defendant having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the appearance Bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the state of Texas do have and recover of the said T.J. Walsh as principal and Louis Maas and J.D. Lakin as sureties the aforesaid

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Monday the 14th day of February A. D. 1887

sum of Four Hundred Dollars together with all Costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Citation issue in manner and form as required by law and that alias Capias issue for said defendant herein.

3677 — State of Texas vs J. F. Coppage et al — Forfeiture of Bond.

Monday February 14, 1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and defendant J. F. Coppage being called under the form of law failed to appear but wholly made default, and it appearing to the court that heretofore on to wit: the 12th day of April 1887 said defendant after having been duly served by the Sheriff of Tarrant County, on a capias issued from the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County, on a charge of misdemeanor pending in a Justice's Court entered into an appearance Bond with Jake English Wm Richmond G. W. Alexander and Joseph Jamison as his sureties in the sum of Four Hundred Dollars jointly and severally, payable to the State of Texas, Condition that the said J. F. Coppage should personally at the next term thereafter of the County Court of said County, and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said court, and answer said charge and not depart until discharged by due course of law, then in that case said bond to be void otherwise to remain in full force and virtue in law, and said defendant having made default as aforesaid: It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of said J. F. Coppage as principal and Jake English, Wm Richmond, G. W. Alexander and Joseph Jamison as sureties, the aforesaid sum of Four Hundred Dollars, together with the costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. It further ordered that Citation issue in manner and form as required by law and that alias Capias issue for the defendant herein.

3672

State of Texas
vs
N. Reynolds
(L Com. & al)

Forfeiture of Bonds

Monday February 14, 1887

Whereas on the 10th day of April A.D. 1886 N Reynolds Charged with the offense of unlawfully engaging in a Riot, after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County, on a capias issued from the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County, did as Principal enter into an appearance bond with Wm J. Fanning & E B Daggett and H O Woods as his sureties in the sum of Four Hundred Dollars jointly and severally, payable to the State of Texas. Conditioned that the said N Reynolds should personally appear at the next term thereafter of the County Court of said County, and there remain from day to day thereof and from term to term thereafter, and answer said charge, and not depart thence unless discharged by due course of law. And whereas afterwards, to wit: At a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the city of Fort Worth, on the first Monday in January 1887, the said N. Reynolds after being called under due forms of law, to appear and answer to the charge aforesaid, wholly made default. It was therefor considered and adjudged by the ~~the~~ order said County Court on the 14th day of February 1887 that the bond aforesaid be declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of the said N. Reynolds as principal and Wm J. Fanning E B. Daggett and H. O. Woods as sureties the aforesaid sum of Four Hundred Dollars jointly and severally, together with all the costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear, and it is further ordered by the court that Citation issue Commanding them the said Wm J. Fanning E B Daggett

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 188 7

Monday the 14 day of February A. D. 188 7

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

and H. Q. Wood to appear at the next term of the County Court, to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant, at the Court House thereof, in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in March 1887 then and there to show cause if any they can, why this judgment should not be made final, and that Alias Capias issue for the defendant herein.

3677 State of Texas vs Chas Dalton & L. Cohn et al } Forfeiture of Bond.

Monday February 14. 1887.

Whereas on the 20th day of April A.D. 1887 Chas Dalton charged with the offense of unlawfully engaging in a Riot, after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County, on a capias issued from the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County, did, as principal enter into an appearance Bond with Wm Pollard and Wm Jackson as his sureties, in the sum of Four Hundred dollars, jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas: Conditioned, that the said Chas Dalton, should personally appear at the next Term thereafter of the County Court of said County and there remain from day to day thereof and from term to term thereafter, and answer said charge, and not depart thence unless discharged by due course of law; And whereas afterwards to wit; At a regular Term of the County Court of Tarrant County, begun and holden at the Court House thereof, in the City of Fort Worth, on the first Monday in January A.D. 1887 the said Chas Dalton after being called, under the due forms of law to appear and answer to the charge aforesaid wholly made default, It was therefore considered and adjudged by the said County Court, on the 14th day of February A.D. 1887, that the appearance Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of the said Chas Dalton as principal and Wm Pollard and Wm Jackson as sureties, the aforesaid sum of Four Hundred Dollars together

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Monday the 14 day of February A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

with the costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final, unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. And it is further ordered by the Court that citation issue commanding them the said Wm Pollard and Wm Jackson to appear at the next term of the County Court, to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant, at the Court House thereof, in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in March 1887, then and there to show cause, if any they can why this judgment should not be made final, and that Alias Capias issue for the defendant herein

xxxxxxxx xxxx Court do now adjourn till

3931 State of Texas vs Lee Taylor } Motion for new trial
Monday February 14th 1887,

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the State. it is therefore considered and adjuged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of appeals of the State of Texas.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning 9 o'clock February 15. 1887
Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Tuesday the 15th day of February A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3087 State of Texas vs. Clint Parker } Aggravated Assault
3088 " " " " " " } Carrying Pistol
3089 " " " " " " } Disturbing the Peace

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will not further prosecute the above styled cases because the evidence is insufficient to secure a conviction. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas take nothing of defendant Clint Parker by reason of these prosecutions and that said defendant go hence without day.

3675 State of Texas } Riot
vs
L. Ohmeal } Tuesday February 15. 1887
(J. Comers)

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court. And the defendant now here enters his plea of guilty whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of J. Comers defendant said sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

3672 State of Texas } Riot.
vs.
L. Ohmeal et al } Monday February 15. 1887.
(B. Brooks)

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. defendant B. Brooks asked for a severance herein which is accordingly granted

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Tuesday the 15 day of February A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

a jury bring in a verdict the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and said defendant now here enters his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefor considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas have and recover of the defendant B. Brooks said sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred. For which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

3696 State of Texas —vs— John Comers } Assault. Tuesday February 15. 1887

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the defendant in his own proper person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury duly elected the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and the defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. It is therfore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant John Comers the said sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County jail of said County till said fine and costs are paid in full.

3930 State of Texas —vs— Greene Burke } Aggravated Assault. 2nd day February 15 1887,

Continued by consent.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Tuesday the 15 day of February A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4021 State of Texas vs M. P. Whitesides } Selling Whisky on Sunday — Tuesday February 15-1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the defendant by attorney, and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the Indictment herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, it is the opinion of the court that the law is for the State. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts.

4021 State of Texas vs M. P. Whitesides } Selling Whisky on Sunday. Tuesday February 15-1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state also came the defendant M. P. Whitesides in person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. M. McConnell and five others who were duly elected, empanelled, tried and sworn according to law, and said defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the said Jury, after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the defendant Guilty as charged in the indictment and assess his fine at twenty five dollars.

Signed W. M. McConnell Foreman."

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the said verdict be in all things approved and that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant M. P. Whitesides the said sum of Twenty Five dollars fine as assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Tuesday the 15th day of February A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4023 State of Texas vs W. T. Whitesides } Selling Whisky on Sunday
Tuesday February 15. 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the Indictment herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the state. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts.

4023 State of Texas vs W. T. Whitesides } Selling Whiskey on Sunday,
Tuesday Feby 15, 1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state also came the defendant W. T. Whitesides in person and by Attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: J. P. Alexander and five others who were duly selected, empanelled, tried and sworn according to law, and said defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at $20.00

(Signed) J. P. Alexander Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the said verdict be and the same is hereby approved, and that the state of Texas do have and recover of the defendant W. T. Whitesides said sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred. For which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Wednesday the 16th day of February A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Wednesday Morning 9 o'clock February 16, 1887 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

4021 State of Texas vs W. T. Whitesides } Motion for New Trial
Wednesday February 16. 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants Motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the State said motion is hereby overruled to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of appeals of the State of Texas.

4022 State of Texas vs W. T. Whitesides } Motion for a New Trial.
Wednesday February 16-1887.

This day came the defendant by attorney and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of counsel thereon being heard, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the State said motion is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of Texas.

4023 State of Texas vs W. T. Whitesides } Recognizance
Wednesday February 16. 1887

This day came into open court W. T. Whitesides defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with H. P. Shiel and Wm Richmond his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Seventy Five Dollars, Conditioned, that the said W. T. Whitesides, who stands

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Wednesday the 16th day of February A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

charged in this court with the offense of unlawfully selling Whisky on Sunday, and who has been convicted of said offense in this court, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

4023 State of Texas —vs— M.T. Whitesides } Recognizance
Wednesday February 16. 1887.

This day came into open court M.T. Whitesides, defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with H.P. Shiel and Wm Richmond his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Seventy Five Dollars, conditioned that the said M.T. Whitesides who stands charged in this court with the offense of unlawfully selling Whiskey on Sunday, and who has been convicted of said offense in this court, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

3649 State of Texas —vs— Bill Routh } Occupation Tax
Wednesday February 16, 1887.

Now comes the County attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this suit. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant, Bill Routh go hence without day.

3868 State of Texas —vs— Addie Johnson } Assault
Wednesday February 16. 1887

This day came the parties by their

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Wednesday the 16th day of February A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J.P. Alexander and five others who were duly selected, empanelled, tried and sworn, according to law, and said defendant Addie Johnson, now here, entered her plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find defendant Not Guilty

(Signed) J.P. Alexander, Forman."

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing of the defendant Addie Johnson by reason of this prosecution and that she go hence without day,

3913

State of Texas } Injuring Fence of Another
vrs.
John Boydston } Wednesday February 16. 1887

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the defendant in person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Jeff Earle and five others who were duly selected empanelled tried and sworn according to law, and said defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess the fine Forty Dollars.

(Signed) Jeff. Earle Forman".

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant John Boydston the said sum of Forty Dollars together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

—Jury—

Monday Morning February 14, 1887.

This day came B. H. Shipp Sheriff of Tarrant County under instructions of the Court, and brings into Court the following named parties to wit; Jeff. Ecard, E. L. Barron J. Alexander, W. B. Gurley, R. R. Keith, L. C. Vaughn, J. A. Sanders, Wm Mitchell, Lan Booth, T. J. Mabry D. H. McAnnally & Wm M. McConnell, to serve as petit jurors for the present week of this Court. And said parties were duly sworn, tried and empanelled and declared the jury for the week ending February 19 1887.

3499 State of Texas vs J. H. Pemberton } Occupation Tax.
Wednesday January 16, 1887
Continued by consent.

4023 State of Texas vs John Reagan et al } Theft.
Wednesday, February 16, 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Wm Truman and five others who were duly elected empanelled, tried and sworn according to law, and said defendants now here enter their plea of "not guilty" whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, and announced that they could not agree. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said jury be and the same is hereby discharged, and that this case stand for trial in regular order on the docket of this Court.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Wednesday the 16th day of February A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4032 State of Texas vs Link Briscoe } Aggravated Assault

Wednesday February 16 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and defendant Link Briscoe now here enters his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars & is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the state of Texas do have and recover of the defendant Link Briscoe the said sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff of Parker County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Thursday Morning February 17. 1887 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

3960 State of Texas vs- J W Routh } Occupation Tax. Thursday February 17. 1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this suit because the defendant has paid his occupation tax and has otherwise complied with the law in such cases made and provided. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the state of Texas take nothing of said defendant J W Routh by reason of this prosecution and that said defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Thursay the 17th day of February A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3672 State of Texas —vs— L Comeral (Ed Donahor) } Riot

Thursday February 17, 1887

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the defendant Ed Donahor in person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact & law were submitted to the court, & the said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of Unlawful Assembly, whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant Ed Donahor said sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Friday Morning February 18th 1887 court met pursuant to adjournment, present as on yesterday.

3660 State of Texas —vs— J. M. Leach } Theft. Friday February 18, 1887

Continued by defendant.

3695 State of Texas —vs— Wm Keenan et al } Riot Friday February 18, 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and defendants ask for a severance herein, which is accordingly granted, and said cause being continued as to defendant Wm Keenan, Now comes defendant Wm

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Friday the 18 day of February A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Richmond in person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men who were duly selected empanelled tried and sworn according to law and said defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. And after hearing the evidence the County Attorney asks leave of the Court to withdraw this case from the jury, and says he will no further prosecute this case because the evidence is insufficient to secure a conviction. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution

3774 State of Texas vs. L. Baer } Carrying on business injurious to health of others
Friday February 18. 1887

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant L. Baer in person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, A jury being waived, the matters of fact and law, as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant L. Baer said sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

Saturday the 19th day of February A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Saturday Morning February 19. 1887 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday

3695 State of Texas } Riot
— vs —
Wm Keenan and } Saturday February 19, 1887.
(W.H. Stewart)

A severance having been granted herein, defendant Stewart was placed upon trial, then came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state also the defendant in person and by attorneys, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: R. R. Keith, and five others who were duly elected, empanelled tried and sworn according to law, and said defendant now hereupon his plea of "not guilty" whereupon the said jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the defendant guilty of unlawful assembly and assess his fine at Forty five Dollars.

(Signed) R. R. Keith, Foreman."

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant W.H. Stewart said sum of Forty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the county jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

3934 State of Texas } Theft.
vs } Saturday February 19, 1887
John A. Dougherty }

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this cause because the evidence

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1887

(January the 19th day of February A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

is insufficient to secure a conviction, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant John A. Dougherty go hence without day.

1033 State of Texas —vs— John Reagan and Joe Verney } Theft—

Saturday February 19, 1887,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendants John Reagan and Joe Verney in person and by attorney and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J.P. Alexander and five others who, were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law, and said defendants now here enter their plea of not guilty, whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendants guilty and assess their punishment at Sixty days in County Jail.

(Signed) J.P. Alexander, Foreman."

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendants John Reagan and Joe Verney and them in the County jail of said County for the full period of Sixty days and till the costs incurred herein are fully paid.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday morning 9 o'clock

Monday Morning 9 o'clock February 21st 1887 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on first of term.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 188 7

Monday the 21st day of February A. D. 188 7

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4031 City of Ft Worth vs T. Thomas } Appeal
Monday February 21 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Plaintiff's motion for a writ of certiorari to dismiss the appeal herein. Because it is the opinion of the Court and the law is for the defendant said motion is hereby overruled.

Further ordered that this cause be continued on application of the City.

3997 City of Ft Worth vs D. Kline } Appeal
Monday Febry 21 1887

Now comes the City Attorney and moves the Court to dismiss this cause for reasons good and sufficient filed herein. It is therefore considered adjudged by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed

3841 City of Ft Worth vs Chas. Mallon } (Appeal)
Monday February 21, 1887

Now comes the City Attorney and moves the Court to dismiss the above styled cause for reasons good and sufficient filed herein. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed.

3695 State of Texas vs Wm Kenan et al (W. H. Stewart) } Motion for New Trial
Monday February 21st 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and then came on to be heard the motion of defendant Stewart, for a new trial herein, and the evidence of counsel being heard thereon

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 188 7

the day of A. D. 188

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

it is the opinion of the court that the law is for the defendant. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and the judgment heretofore rendered in this cause is hereby set aside and for naught held, and that this cause stand for trial in regular order upon the Docket of this court

Court in Session Saturday February 26-1886
Present as at first of term.

1015 State of Texas vs R. H. Griffin } Recognizance
Saturday February 26. 1886

This day came into open court R H Griffin defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with W. J. Bailey and S. M. Furman his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the state of Texas in the penal sum of Seventy Five Dollars conditioned, that the said R. H. Griffin who stands charged in this court with the offense of "unlawfully pursuing a taxable occupation without License" and who has been convicted of said offense in this court, shall appear before this court from day to day, and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the state of Texas in this case.

3672 State of Texas. vs. S. Colmetal } Forfeiture of bonds set aside.
Saturday Febry 26. 1887.

On motion of the Defendant the forfeiture of the bonds of Defendant Reynolds heretofore forfeited is by the Court hereby set aside, for good and sufficient reasons shown [illegible]

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 188 7

Saturday the 26th. day of February A. D. 188 7

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3672 State of Texas. v. L. Cohn et al } Plea of guilty. Saturday Feby 26. 1887 Riot.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial and a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant N. Raynolds now here enters his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant N. Raynolds, the sum of Ten dollars, fine as assessed by the Court together with all costs herein incurred for which execution may issue. It is further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Saturday February 26. 1887.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Court in Course.

Jam Freeman C Judge

Daniel C Lee

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Monday the 7th day of March A. D. 1887.

Be it remembered that on this 7th day of March A.D. 1887. (the same being the first Monday in said month) there was begun and holden a regular term of the Honorable County Court within and for the County of Tarrant in the State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth for the transaction of business on the Criminal Docket of said Court. Present John F. Swayne Clerk. B. H. Shipp Sheriff. and R. L. Carlock County Attorney of said County, The Hon. Sam Thurman County Judge of said County, being absent the said Sheriff. adjourned Court over till Tuesday Morning March 8th 1887. at 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning March 8, 1887 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present the Hon. Sam Thurman County Judge presiding. Jno. F. Swayne Clerk B. H. Shipp Sheriff. and R. L. Carlock County Attorney when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court, to wit:

Ordered that court do now adjourn till to morrow morning - 9. o'clock

Wednesday Morning March 9. 1887. Court met pursuant to adjournment Present as on yesterday.

2886 State of Texas vs Jose Wilson } Exhibiting a Gaming Bank. Wednesday March 9. 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant Jose Wilson, now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the court assessed his punishment

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 188 7

Thursday the 8th day of March A. D. 188 7

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

ax a Fine of Twenty Five Dollars ($25.00)
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jos Wilson the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Thursday Morning March 10. 1887 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

8490 State of Texas vs John Hodges } Aggravated Assault. Thursday March 10. 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the defendant now here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00.
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant John Hodges the sum of Twenty Five Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred. For which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Saturday the 12 day of March A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3919 State of Texas } Adultery
vs } Saturday March 12 1887.
G. H. Morgan }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the defendant in person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact & law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty." Whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars ($100.00). It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant G. H. Morgan the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and deliver him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

3920 State of Texas } Adultery.
vs } Saturday March 12. 1887.
Rilla A. Berry }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this suit. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant Rilla A. Berry go hence without day.

3392 State of Texas } Wednesday March 16. 1887.
vs } Pursuing Taxable Occupation without
J. W. Blackman } License.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 188/

the day of March A. D. 188/

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

as of law were submitted to the court and the defendant, now here, entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of Fifteen Dollars ($15.00) It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant J. W. Blackman the sum of Fifteen Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue. Further Ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

3677 State of Texas vs L. Comstock (T. J. Walsh) } Riot.

Thursday March 31st 1887

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and the defendant T. J. Walsh in person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and said defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty to the offense of "Unlawful Assembly" Whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars ($10.00) It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant T. J. Walsh said sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County jail of said County till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Monday the 4th day of April A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Monday April 4th 1887 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present the Hon. Sam Furman County Judge presiding. R.L. Carlock County Attorney Jno. T. Lindsey County Clerk, and B.H. Shipp Sheriff of said County.

It appearing to the court that the defendants have not been arrested, it ordered that Alias Capias issue and that the cases stand continued, to the following Causes, to wit:

2846 The State of Texas -vs- Alex. Reddick, Ex. Gaming Bank.
2855 " " " " -vs- Alex Reddick " " "
2865 " " " " -vs- Alex Reddick " " "
2887 " " " " -vs- Alex Reddick " " "
2888 " " " " -vs- Alex Reddick " " "
3347 " " " " -vs- Billy Hewit " Faro. "
3211 " " " " -vs- John Blankenship Assault
3354 " " " " -vs- Billy Hewit — Ex Faro Bank
3357 " " " " -vs- Billy Hewit — " " "
3358 " " " " -vs- Billy Hewit — " " "
3376 " " " " -vs- J.J. Wheat — Occupation Tax.
3394 " " " " -vs- John Murrin — " "
3398 " " " " -vs- A. Rogers — " "
3507 " " " " -vs- Bob Bridges — Embezzlement
3669 " " " " -vs- Lizzie Chapman — Disorderly House
3664 " " " " -vs- Willis Adams " "
3663 " " " " -vs- Nick Birdwell & Wm Foster Disturbing Relig. Worship
3766 " " " " -vs- Low Hazell — Disorderly House
3767 " " " " -vs- Willis Adams et al. — " "
3815 " " " " -vs- J.H. Speer — Adultery
3864 " " " " -vs- Jos McMullen — Aggravated Assault
3874 " " " " -vs- G.P. Thompson — " "
3876 " " " " -vs- Brosier Smith — Ex. Monte Bank
3882 " " " " -vs- Sam McConnell — " Keno "
3898 " " " " -vs- Frank Stone — Carrying a Pistol
3929 " " " " -vs- Geo. Caswell — Theft.
3938 " " " " -vs- Billy Oliver — Assault
3933 " " " " -vs- John Bage — Agg. Assault.
3951 " " " " -vs- Wm Foster Theft.
3972 " " " " -vs- C.H. Peterson Occupation Tax.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Monday the 4th day of April A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3973 The State of Texas -vs- John Riley — Occupation Tax.
3993 " " " " -vs- Mardi Wise Fitzhugh — " "
3994 " " " " -vs- — Edwards — Permitting Unlawful Game &c.
4001 " " " " -vs- J. H. Saulsbury — Occupation Tax
4004 " " " " -vs- D. L. Abdill — " "
4020 " " " " -vs- — Davis — Theft. —
4034 " " " " -vs- Emma McVane — Agg. Assault

Now comes R. L. Carlock County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute the following cases to wit:

2829 The State of Texas vs. Max Kramer — Ex. Gaming Bank
2912 " " " " -vs- G. H. Day & Louis Maas. Selling on Sunday.
2922 " " " " -vs- Jake Johnson — " " "
2924 " " " " -vs- G. H. Day & Louis Maas. — " " "
3177 " " " " -vs- Max Kramer — Ex. Faro Bank
3183 " " " " -vs- Chas. Reynolds — " " "
3184 " " " " -vs- Chas. Reynolds — " " "
3218 " " " " -vs- Chas. Reynolds — " " "
3409 " " " " -vs- John Norland — Running a Horse.
3616 " " " " -vs- R. Gordon — Agg. Assault.
3617 " " " " -vs- C. McGehee et al — Riot.
3656 " " " " -vs- J. C. Comer — Assault.
3677 " " " " -vs- W. M. Lovin — Riot
3694 " " " " -vs- Chas McGehee et al — "
3805 " " " " -vs- A. F. Trudt — Agg. Assault.
3884 " " " " -vs- Bud Weatherly — Disturbing Religious Worship
3908 " " " " -vs- C. McGee — Occupation Tax.
3937 " " " " -vs- Geo. News — Assault
3958 " " " " -vs- O. Brewster — Occupation Tax.
3986 " " " " -vs- John Williams — " "
4006 " " " " -vs- J. Peter Smith — " "
4010 " " " " -vs- O. M. Templeton — " "
4028 " " " " -vs- Mrs J. B. Norton et al — Assault.
4036 " " " " -vs- Jobs Hruby & Ella Mark — Fornication
4039 " " " " -vs- Max Richen — Disturbing the Peace
4040 " " " " -vs- Jack Reser — " " "
4041 " " " " -vs- Jack Reser — Carrying Pistol
4048 " " " " -vs- W. R. L. Jones — Occupation Tax

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Monday the 4th day of April A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4056 The State of Texas -vs- W. A. Brandon Dix. Sunday School.
4071 " " " " -vs- H. Lyons - Selling on Sunday -
4072 " " " " -vs- H. Lyons - " "

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the defendants in said cases go hence without day, and that said cause be and the same are hereby dismissed

3936 The State of Texas -vs- Geo. Nees } Assault. Monday April 4th 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of "guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Geo. Nees the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and commit him to the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4038 The State of Texas -vs- E. Kuhn } Disturbing the Peace Monday April 4th 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here enters his plea of "guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant E. Kuhn the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Monday the 4th day of April A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4044 State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol
— vs — } Monday April 4. 1887.
J. L. Hill

This day came the parties by their attys and announced ready for trial. A jury brought in the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant J. L. Hill the sum of ($25.00) Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4055 State of Texas } Disturbing Religious Worship —
— vs —
J. A. Brandon

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury brought in the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant J. A. Brandon the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff retain said defendant till said fine & costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Monday the 4th day of April A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4057 State of Texas } Disturbing Sunday School
vs
Tom Allen } Monday April 4th 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact & as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here, entered his plea of Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Tom Allen the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed, as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County jail till the said fine and costs are paid in full.

4058 State of Texas } Disorderly House.
—vs—
Madam Porter } Monday April 4th 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here, enters her plea of Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $100.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Madam Porter the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Monday the 4th day of April A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

4060 State of Texas } Disorderly House.
vs
Josie Belmont. } Monday April 4th 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here, entered her plea of "guilty" whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $100.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Josie Belmont the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine, assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine her in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

4061 State of Texas } Disorderly House.
vs
Jessie Reeves. } Monday April 4th 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered her plea of "guilty": whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $100.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jessie Reeves the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine her in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Monday the 4th day of April A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4064 State of Texas vs Morris Fitzpatrick } Selling Liquor on Sunday.

Monday April 4. 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars ($20.00) It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Morris Fitzpatrick the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all the costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further Ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4067 State of Texas vs Buck Smith } Selling Liquor on Sunday

Monday April 4th 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Buck Smith the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Monday the 4th day of April A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

4069 State of Texas -vs- Buck Smith } Selling Liquor on Sunday.
Monday April 4th 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and announced ready for trial. A jury being duly elected, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant, nowhere, entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Buck Smith the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue and further ordered that the sheriff take said defendant and retain him in custody till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4070 State of Texas, -vs- Ike Lyons } Selling Liquor on Sunday.
Monday April 4th 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being duly elected, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and defendant, nowhere, entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Ike Lyons the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. For which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and retain him in custody till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Monday the 4th day of April A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4073 State of Texas vs Buck Smith } Selling Liquor on Sunday, Monday April 4th 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and the defendant now here, entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the state of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Buck Smith the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff retain said defendant in custody till said fine and costs are paid in full -

4077 State of Texas vs Charles Steingell } Selling Liquor on Sunday, Monday April 4. 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here, entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Charles Steingell the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and retain him in custody till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Monday the 4th day of April A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4081 State of Texas vs John Ramsey } Selling Liquor on Sunday

Monday April 4. 1887.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant herein entered his plea of "guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars ($20.00) It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant John Ramsey the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and Cost execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff retain said defendant in custody till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4090 State of Texas vs Nattie Ray. } Vagrancy

Monday April 4th 1887.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the defendant in person and both parties announce ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here enters her plea of "guilty" whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Nattie Ray the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine her in the County Jail till said fine and Costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Monday the 4th day of April A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4091 State of Texas } Vagrancy
vs.
Jessie Digney } Monday April 4th 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here, entered her plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of One Dollar ($1.00) It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jessie Digney the sum of One Dollar fine aforesaid as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff retain said defendant in custody till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4093 State of Texas } Vagrancy.
vs.
Lou Collins } Monday April 4th 1887.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the defendant in person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here enters her plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Lou Collins the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine her in the County Jail of said County till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Monday the 4th day of April A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

4013 The State of Texas -vs- J. T. Leer } Pursuing Taxable Occupation without License

Monday April 4. 1887.

Now comes R. L. Carlock County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the defendant in person and both parties announce ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here enters his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $75.00 It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant J. T. Leer the sum of Seventy five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. For which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and retain him in custody till said fine and costs are paid in full.

It appearing to the Court that the defendants in the following cases cannot be found, it is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that said cases be taken from the Docket and placed upon file till such time as the said defendants may be found, said cases are as follows to wit:

3240 The State of Texas - vs - Jerry Keading - Theft
3497 " " " " - vs - Peter Grundula - Theft.
3756 " " " " - vs. W. B. McKenzie. - Occupation Tax.
3854 " " " " - vs - Chas. King - Malicious Mischief
3855 " " " " - vs - Chas. King " "
3856 " " " " - vs - John Glover - Agg. Assault.
3865 " " " " - vs - Harry Walker - " "
3849 " " " " - vs - Wm Houser - Theft.
3900 " " " " - vs - Wm Rogers - Agg. Assault
3921 " " " " - vs - J. M. McIlheny - Theft.
3464 " " " " - vs - John Morgan - Occupation Tax -

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Monday the 4th day of April A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3693 The State of Texas vs Chas McGeehan } Riot
Monday April 4. 1887.
Continued generally.

3846 City of Fort Worth vs Tom Payne } Monday April 4th 1887.
Now comes the City Attorney and says he will no further prosecute this Case. It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant Tom Payne go hence without day.

3897 State of Texas vs Mike Cassidy } Disorderly House
Monday April 4th 1887
Continued by Consent.

3905 State of Texas vs Mike Cassidy } Occupation Tax.
Monday April 4th 1887.
Continued by Consent.

3926 City of Fort Worth vs H. P. Hill } Monday April 4th 1887.
This day came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City, and says he will no further prosecute this Case. It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant H. P. Hill go hence without day.

3926 State of Texas vs David Cowart } Disturbing the Peace
Monday April 4. 1887.
Continued by defendant on account of absence of Albert Richmond

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Wednesday the 6th day of April A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Wednesday morning 9 o'clock.

Wednesday Morning 9 o'clock, April 6th 1887. Court met pursuant to adjournment Present as on Monday last.

4068 The State of Texas vs Patsy Walker } Selling on Sunday. Wednesday April 6. 1887.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant being here, entered his plea of "Guilty" Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Patsy Walker the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant Co. take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4074 State of Texas vs John Mallory } Selling Liquor on Sunday - Wednesday April 6, 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant being here entered his plea of "Guilty" Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant John Mallory the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, April Term, 1887

Wednesday the 6th day of April A. D. 1887.

said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Thursday Morning 9 o'clock. April 7, 1887. Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday -

3494 State of Texas vs J. H. Pemberton } Occupation Tax. Thursday April 7th 1887

This day came the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will not further prosecute this suit. It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant J. H. Pemberton go hence without day.

4014 State of Texas —vs— James E. Quinn } Disturbing the Peace. Thursday April 7th 1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, waived a jury and submitted the matters of fact as well as of law to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel, adjudged said defendant "not guilty" It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing of said defendant by reason of this suit and that defendant James E. Quinn go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Thursday the 7th day of April A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4084 State of Texas vs Minerva McConnell } Vagrancy

Thursday April 7, 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant now here entered her plea of guilty, whereupon the court assessed her punishment at a fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Minerva McConnell the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine her in the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4086 State of Texas vs Betty Baily } Vagrancy

Thursday April 7. 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant, now here, entered her plea of guilty whereupon the court assessed her punishment at a fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Betty Baily the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforsaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine her in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Thursday the 7th day of April A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4087 State of Texas } Vagrancy
—vs— } Thursday April 7. 1887.
Emeline Goodin }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered her plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $1.00
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Emeline Goodin the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine her in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

4088 State of Texas } Vagrancy
—vs— } Thursday April 7. 1887.
Easter Smith }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and the defendant Easter Smith in person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered her plea of Not Guilty and of "Former Conviction" whereupon the court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds said plea of former conviction to be untrue and adjudges the defendant Guilty and assesses her punishment at a fine of $1.00
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Easter Smith the sum of One dollar fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff retain said defendant in custody till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Thursday the 7th day of April A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4089 The State of Texas } Vagrancy
vs }
Lou Bailey } Thursday 7th April 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered her plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do and recover of and from the defendant Lou Bailey the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine her in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4096 State of Texas } Vagrancy
vs }
Mary Jenkins } Thursday April 7, 1887

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the defendant Mary Jenkins in person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered her plea of "not Guilty" and the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant not guilty. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant Mary Jenkins go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Friday the 8th day of April A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Friday Morning 9 o'clock April 8th 1887.
Court met pursuant to adjournment
Present as on yesterday.

3660 State of Texas vs J. M. Leach } Friday April 8th 1887
Continued by Consent.

3860 State of Texas vs J. E. Calhoun } Friday April 9th 1887.
Continued by the State.

3859 State of Texas, vs J. E. Calhoun } Friday April 9th 1887.
Continued by the State.

3930 State of Texas vs Greene Burk } Aggravated Assault
Friday April 9th 1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in his own proper person and by attorney, and both parties announce ready for trial, waive a jury and submit the matter of fact as well as of law to the court, and the defendant now hereenters his plea of guilty to the offense of Simple Assault, Whereupon the court finds him guilty of said offense and assesses his punishment at a fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Green Burk the sum of five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Friday the 8th day of April A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3969 State of Texas vs J. S. Lewis } Occupation Tax. Friday the 8th day of April 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and then came on to be heard the defendant's motion to quash indictment herein and the arguments of counsel thereon being heard, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the state, it is ordered that said motion be overruled.

4078 State of Texas vs John Stewart } Selling on Sunday. Friday April 8th 1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the defendant John Stewart in his own proper person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. Waived a jury and submitted the matters of fact as well as of law to the court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty". Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel finds the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assesses his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant John Stewart the sum of Twenty Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4092 State of Texas vs Madam Switzer } Vagrancy Friday April 8. 1887

Continued by defendant.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Friday the 8th day of April A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

11156 State of Texas —Vs— Ike Mayfield } Betting at Keno. Friday April 8th 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Ike Mayfield the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

3287 State of Texas —Vs— J. E. Calhoun } Friday April 8th 1887.

This day came the County Attorney representing the pleas of the State, and moved the Court to enter a fine against each of the following States witnesses in the above entitled cause, to-wit: J. D. Reed, Nat Kramer and John Burford, for disobedience of a subpoena, And it being made to appear to the satisfaction of the Court that each of the said witnesses J. D. Reed, Nat Kramer and John Burford had been duly and legally subpoenaed to appear and testify in this cause on behalf of the State, and that the said witnesses had each disobeyed said subpoena, And it further satisfactorily appearing to the Court that the testimony of each and all of said witnesses is material to the prosecution in said case it is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court conditionally that the State of Texas do have and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Friday the 12th day of April A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

recover of each of the said witnesses J. D. Reed Nat. Kramer and John Burford seperately and severally, a fine of ($100) One hundred Dollars because of their said failure to appear and testify as witnesses in this case. Unless they show cause at the next term of this court why this judgment should not be made final: and the Clerk of this court issue Citation to each of said witnesses J. D. Reed Nat Kramer and John Burford to show cause why this judgment should not be made final at the next term of this court. Further ordered that attachments issue for each of said witness, in behalf of the State, returnable the 30th day of May 1887.

3288 The State of Texas
-vs-
J. E. Calhoun } Friday April 8th 1887.

This day came the County Attorney representing the pleas of the State and moved the court to enter a fine against each of the following states witnesses in above entitled cause to wit: J. D. Reed, Nat Kramer and John Burford for disobedience of a subpoena. And it being made to appear to the satisfaction of the court that each of the said states witnesses J. D. Reed, Nat Kramer and John Burford had been duly and legally subpoenaed to appear and testify in this cause, on behalf of the State, and that the said witnesses had each disobeyed said subpoena, and it further satisfactorily to the court that the testimony of each and all of said witnesses is material to the prosecution in said cause, it is therefore ordered and adjudged by the court, additionally that the State of Texas do have and recover of each of said witnesses J. D. Reed, Nat. Kramer and John Burford seperately and severally a fine of ($100) One hundred Dollars, because of their said failure to appear and testify as witnesses in this cause, unless they show cause at the next term of this court, why this judgment should not be made final. And the clerk of this court will issue citations to each of said witnesses J. D. Reed, Nat Kramer

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887
Saturday the 9th day of April A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

and John Burford to show cause why this judgment should not be made final at the next term of this court. Further ordered that attachment issue for each of said witnesses in behalf of the state, returnable the 30th day of May 1887.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrows morning 9 o'clock

Saturday Morning April 9th 1887 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday

3909 State of Texas vs Mosley & Bygart } Occupation Tax Saturday April 9th 1887.

Now comes the County Attorney and moves the court to dismiss the above cause, because the defendants have paid their taxes and costs in this cause. It is therefore ordered by the court that this be and the same is hereby dismissed.

3966 State of Texas -vs- F. M. Brantley } Occupation Tax. Saturday April 9th 1887.

Now comes the County Attorney and moves the court to dismiss this cause for the reason that the defendant has complied with the laws. it is therefore considered and ordered by the court that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed.

4158 State of Texas -vs- O. S. Brown } Betting at Keno. Saturday April 9th 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Saturday the 9th day of April A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

were submitted to the Court, and the defendant Now here, entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant D.S. Brown the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County, take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Mondays morning 9 o'clock

Monday Morning April 11th 1887. Court met pursuant to adjournment. All officers of Court present.

3212 State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol.
— vs — } Monday April 11th 1887.
S.B. Russell }

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and announced ready of trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel and being fully advised in the premises, finds the defendant Not Guilty. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant S.B. Russell go hence without day.

3423 State of Texas } Occupation Tax.
vs } Monday April 11th 1887
A.G. Shattuck }

Continued by Consent.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Monday the 11th day of April A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3572 State of Texas —vs— Frank Cameron } Aggravated Assault
Monday April 11th 1887
Continued by Consent.

3559 State of Texas —vs— George Pierce } Unlawfully Carrying a Pistol
Monday April 11. 1887.
This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and announced ready for trial, Also came the defendant George Pierce in his own proper person and by attorney, and then came on to be heard the defendants application for a continuance herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the state, it is ordered that said application be overruled, to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts. Said defendant now here delivered his plea of non Guilty, Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the defendant guilty as charged and assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant George Pierce the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, For which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

3565 State of Texas —vs— Bud Weatherly } Carrying a Pistol
Monday April 11, 1887
This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and announced ready for trial, and the defendants application for a continuance being

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

overruled defendant's Bud Weatherly entered his plea of Not Guilty, waived a jury and submitted the matters of fact as well as of law to the Court, and the Court, after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the defendant not guilty. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant Bud Weatherly go hence without day.

3707 State of Texas —vs— Jno. T. Wilkes } Occupation Tax, Monday April 11, 1887 Continued by Consent.

3726 State of Texas —vs— Lottie Rivers } Disorderly House, Monday April 11th 1887, Continued by Consent.

3801 State of Texas —vs— Tom Tegall } Exhibiting a Monte Bank Monday April 11, 1887.

This day came the parties by attorney and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Tom Tegall the sum of Twenty Five dollars fine assessed, as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Parker County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Monday the 11th day of April A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3947 State of Texas vs M. D. Kerr } Occupation Tax. Continued by Consent.

3942 State of Texas vs William Jackson } Occupation Tax. Monday April 11, 1887

Now comes the County Attorney and moves the Court to dismiss this case because the defendant has complied with the law in this case. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that this case be dismissed.

3944 State of Texas vs J. E. Martin } Occupation Tax. Monday April 11. 1887

Now comes the County Attorney and moves the Court to dismiss the above styled cause for good and sufficient reasons. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that this cause be dismissed and that defendant go hence without day.

3957 State of Texas vs W. J. Fanning } Occupation Tax. Monday April 11th 1887

Now comes the County Attorney and moves the Court to dismiss the above styled cause because the defendant has complied with the law herein. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that defendant go hence without day.

4036 State of Texas vs Jerry O'Conner } Aggravated Assault. Monday April 11th 1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and announced

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Monday the 11th day of April A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

ready for trial also came the defendant Jerry O'Comer in person and by attorney, and then came on to be heard the defendants application for a continuance, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the state it is ordered that said application be overruled, and the defendant in open here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of Simple Assault, whereupon the court finds said defendant guilty of assault and dismisses as to the offense of Aggravated Assault and assesses his punishment at a fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jerry O'Comer the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4101 State of Texas vs Masie Lee } Vagrancy

Monday April 11th 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant, now here, enters her plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Masie Lee the sum of One dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine her in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Monday the 11th day of March A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4157 State of Texas } Billing & Keno.
-vs- } Monday April 11th 1887.
Tom Keek }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being duly impaneled the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here, entered his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Tom Keek the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4217 State of Texas } Selling Liquor in Local Option Precinct.
-vs- } Monday April 11th 1887.
E. L. Bill }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, waived a jury and submitted the matters of fact as well as of law to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant E. L. Bill the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. For which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Monday the 11th day of April A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4018 State of Texas } Selling Liquor in Local Option Precinct
vs
E. L. Bill } Monday April 11, 1887.

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons moves the Court to dismiss the above styled cause. It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant E. L. Bill go hence without day.

4037 The State of Texas } Unlawfully Carrying a Pistol.
vs
Ed Graham } Monday April 11th 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the motion to dismiss defendants appeal herein and the argument of counsel thereon being heard, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the state, it is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be sustained and that writ of procedendo issue to the Court below from the Court below commanding him to proceed with the execution of the judgment by him rendered in said cause. It is further ordered that defendant Ed Graham pay all costs of said appeal, and that execution issue therefor. It is further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrows morning 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning 9 o'clock April 11th 1887
Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Tuesday the 12th day of April A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3970 State of Texas vs M. D. Priest } Occupation Tax.

Tuesday April 12 1887

Now comes the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons, moves the Court to dismiss the above styled cause. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that Defendant M. D. Priest go hence without day.

4120 State of Texas vs E. F. Lambert } Exhibiting a Faro Bank

Tuesday April 12, 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. Thereupon the defendant E. F. Lambert entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant E. F. Lambert the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4132 State of Texas vs E. F. Lambert } Exhibiting a Faro Bank

Monday April 12. 1887.

Continued by Consent.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Tuesday the 13th day of April A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4136 State of Texas vs Charles Dixon } Exhibiting a Faro Bank

Tuesday 12. day of April 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court. Thereupon the defendant entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Charles Dixon the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that the Sheriff of Dawson County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4220 State of Texas vs James Yates } Selling Liquor in Local Option Precinct

Tuesday April 12. 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court. Thereupon the defendant entered his plea of guilty whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant James Yates the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein expended, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Tuesday the 12th day of April A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

1784 State of Texas } Forfeiture of Recognizance
—vs— } Tuesday April 12. 1887.
Abr Woody }

This day the above numbered and entitled cause was regularly called for trial, and thereupon came the State of Texas by her County Attorney, but the defendant failed to appear and answer in this behalf and thereupon his name was called distinctly at the door of the Court House, and a reasonable time given him after such call was made in which to appear yet the said defendant came not, but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Abr Woody as principal, together with G.H. Day, Jake Johnson and E.W. Morton as sureties, did on to wit: the 16th day of June A.D. 1883 enter a recognizance payable to the State of Texas in the penal sum of $1000.00, conditioned that the said Abr Woody who stood charged in this court with the offense of Circulating Libel and who had been convicted of said offense in this Court, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the the judgment of the Court of appeals of the State of Texas in this case; And it further appearing to the Court that said case against said defendant was on said appeal duly affirmed by the said Court of Appeals of the State of Texas, and that the mandate in said case has been duly filed in this Court, and that the said judgment of conviction in said case has in no way been discharged, but remains in full force and vigor. It is therefore considered by the Court that the State of Texas is entitled to a forfeiture of said recognizance, and it is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said defendant Abr Woody as principal and G.H. Day Jake Johnson and E.W. Morton as sureties the aforesaid

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Wednesday the 13th day of April A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

sum of Four hundred dollars, jointly and severally, and that this judgment will be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. It is further ordered that citation issue to each of said sureties G.H. Day, Jake Johnson and E.H. Morton to show cause if any they can, why said defendant did not appear herein returnable to the next term of this Court.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Wednesday Morning April 13. 1887 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

4098 State of Texas vs Lottie Freeman } Vagrancy
Wednesday April 13. 1887
Continued by Consent.

4113 State of Texas vs John Blythe alias Long John } Unlawfully Exhibiting a Keno Table.
Wednesday April 13. 1887.
This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, thereupon the defendant entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant John Blythe alias Long John the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.
Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Wednesday the 13th day of April A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

#171

State of Texas } Exhibiting a Keno Table.
-vs-
Tom Brin alias } Wednesday April 13th, 1887.
Keno Tom

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. Thereupon the defendant entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Tom Brin alias "Keno Tom" the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred; for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

#176

State of Texas } Exhibiting a Keno Table.
-vs-
George Andrews } Wednesday April 13. 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant in open here, entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant George Andrews the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Wednesday the 13th day of April A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4211 State of Texas vs G. L. McConnell alias High Ball Mac. } Exhibiting a Keno Table.

Wednesday April 13th 1883.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here, entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 & it is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant G. L. McConnell alias High Ball Mac. the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4224 State of Texas vs Bob Mosely } Selling Liquor on Sunday
Wednesday April 13 1887.

Continued by Consent.

Ordered that this Court do now adjourn till tomorrows morning, 9 o'clock.

Thursday Morning 9 o'clock April 14th 1887 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

4050 State of Texas vs Joe Wheat } Playing Cards in Public Place
Thursday April 14 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 & it is therefore considered and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Thursday the 14th day of April A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Joe Wheat the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further Ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4053 State of Texas vs Wilson Milligan } Carrying a Pistol
Thursday April 14 1887
Continued by Consent.

4054 State of Texas vs Harry Williams } Carrying a Pistol
Thursday April 14 1887.
Alias Capias 2nd Continued.

4066 State of Texas vs Quada Bill } Selling on Sunday
Thursday April 14, 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant, ~~now~~ here entered his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Quada Bill, the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and cost execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Thursday the 14th day of April A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4079 State of Texas } Selling on Sunday
vs
Mickey Mike } Thursday April 14th 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact & law arising were submitted to the Court, and the defendant, in open court, entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Mickey Mike (Mike Cassidy) the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County jail till said fine and costs aforesaid in full.

4080 State of Texas } Selling on Sunday.
vs
J. L. Ward }

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, and for good and sufficient reasons moves the Court to dismiss the above cause. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant J. L. Ward go hence without day.

4084 State of Texas } Selling at Keno.
vs
Will Cartwright } Thursday April 14, 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, waived a jury and submitted the matters of fact as well as of law to the Court, and the Court having heard the evidence and arguments of counsel finds the defendant not guilty. He having entered his plea of not guilty. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant Will Cartwright go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Thursday the 14th day of April A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

1219 State of Texas } Selling Intoxicating Liquor in Local Option Precinct
— vs — } Thursday April 14, 1887
Dr B.A. Gresham

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being empaneled the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Dr. B.A. Gresham the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

1220 State of Texas } Selling on Sunday
— vs — } Thursday April 14, 1887
Amanda Bill

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons moves the Court to dismiss this case. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant Amanda Bill go hence without day.

1221 State of Texas } Selling on Sunday
— vs — } Thursday April 14, 1887.
Mike Cassidy

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons, moves the Court to dismiss this case, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant Mike Cassidy go hence without day

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Friday Morning 9 o'clock April 15th 1887 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

Now comes the City Attorney and moves the Court to dismiss the following cases, because the evidence in each of said Cases is insufficient to convict said defendants, to wit:

2550 City of Fort Worth vs- J.W. Putman. —Appeal—
3/814 " " " —vs- Tom Darmody— "
3753 " " " —vs- Bob Wagoner— "
3763 " " " —vs- N.A. Straub — "
3806 " " " —vs- Mrs. Riley — "
3810 " " " —vs- Willis Adams et al — "
3821 " " " —vs- Chas. Cooper — "
3842 " " " —vs- Harry Mills — "
3850 " " " —vs- W.R. Booth — "
3924 " " " —vs- Wm Richmond— "
4030 " " " —vs- J.H. Housley — "
4031 " " " —vs- S. Thorne — "
4046 " " " —vs- J.B. Andrews — "
4229 " " " —vs- John Yonk — "

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of these prosecutions, & that said defendants go hence forthwith day—

4029 City of Fort Worth —vs— L. Baer } Appeal from Recorder's Court, Friday April 15. 1887

Now come the parties by their attorneys and suggest the diminution of the record in this case. It is therefore ordered that Certiorari issue to the Recorder of the City of Fort Worth commanding him to send up a more perfect record in this case.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Friday the 15th day of April A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4052 State of Texas vs Luke Short } Carrying a Pistol
Friday April 15 1887
Continued by defendant.

4058 State of Texas vs Jamie Roush } Disorderly House
Alias Capias and Continued.

4065 State of Texas vs Geo. Milligan } Selling on Sunday
Friday April 15, 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: L. M. Prince and five others who were duly elected, empanelled tried and sworn according to law. And said defendant now here enters his plea of Not Guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their Verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following Verdict towit: "We the jury find the defendant Not Guilty as charged in the Indictment. (Signed) L. M. Prince, Foreman." It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant George Milligan go hence without day.

4076 State of Texas vs George Milligan } Selling on Sunday
Friddy April 15, 1887,

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Friday the 15th day of April A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

and the defendant in open Court here, entered his plea of not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of Counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit: "We the jury find the defendant not Guilty (Signed) Foreman" It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant George Milligan go hence without day.

4076 State of Texas vs George Milligan } Selling on Sunday
Friday April 15th 1887.

Now comes the County Attorney and moves the Court to dismiss the above styled cause, because the evidence is insufficient to secure a conviction. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas, take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant George Milligan go hence without day.

4145 State of Texas vs Bob Smith } Unlawfully Carrying a Pistol
Friday April 15th 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, and a jury and submitted the matter of fact as well as of law to the Court and the defendant in open, entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Bob Smith the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Friday the 15th day of April A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

and confine him in the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4225 State of Texas vs Fred Bergin } Selling on Sunday. Friday April 15th 1887.

Now comes the County Attorney and moves the Court to dismiss the above styled case, because the evidence is insufficient to secure a conviction. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant Fred Bergin go hence hereby.

1230 City of Fort Worth vs F. J. E. Gething } Appeal. Continued by City.

3557 State of Texas vs Geo. Pearce } Motion for a new Trial

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the defendant, it is therefore ordered that said motion be granted and that the judgment heretofore rendered in this cause be set aside and for naught held and that this case stand for trial in regular order upon the Docket.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to morrow morning 9 o'clock

Saturday morning April 16th 1887 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Saturday the 16th day of April A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

3749 City of Fort Worth } Assault
vs
Jas. McGinnis } Saturday April 16. 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant Now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel finds the defendant "Not Guilty" It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant Jas. McGinnis go hence without day.

3831 City of Fort Worth } Selling on Sunday (Appeal)
vs
John Woods } Friday April 16. 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the complaint herein, and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is in favor of said motion. It is therefore ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and this cause dismissed

3845 City of Fort Worth } Playing Cards in Pub. Place (Appeal)
vs
Edmond Allen } Saturday April 16, 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men To wit: [illegible] and five others who were duly selected empanelled tried and sworn according to law, and the jury after having heard the evidence and arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1887

Saturday the 16th day of April A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant not Guilty.

(Signed) Foreman

It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant Edmond Allen go hence without day.

City of Fort Worth } Appeal.
—vs—
A. J. Graham } Saturday April 16th 1887.

1231

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: John Dawson and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the defendant nowhere entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant Not Guilty. (Signed) John Dawson Foreman. It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant A. J. Graham go hence without day.

Saturday April 20 1887
Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in Course. Sam Freeman
County Judge Tarrant Co. Texas

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887

Monday the 2nd day of May A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

State of Texas }
County of Tarrant }

Be it remembered that on the first Monday in May A.D. 1887 (it being the 2nd day of said month,) there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court in and for said County and State at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, Present Hon. Sam Furman County Judge presiding, Also present R. L. Carroll County Attorney Jno. F. Swayne County Clerk and B.H. Shipp Sheriff of said County, when and where the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court, To wit:

4235 State of Texas —vs— Ed Hart (Col) } Adultery
Tuesday May 3. 1887

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the defendant Ed Hart in his own proper person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty to the charge of "Adultery" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars ($100.) It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Ed Hart the sum of $100 fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887

the day of May A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4230 State of Texas vs Joe Cullens — Exhibiting Gaming Bank

Wednesday May 11 1887

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the defendant Joe Cullens in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Joe Cullens the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine, assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4236 Ex Parte John Shehan — Habeas Corpus.

Saturday May 14. 1887

This day came on to be heard the above cause on the writ of Heabas Corpus heretofore issued herein, and the Court having heard the evidence and argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said applicant. It is therefore considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the relief prayed for be and the same is hereby refused, and that the applicant John Shehan be remanded to the Custody of Sam Farmer Marshal of the City of Fort Worth, and that

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887
the day of May A. D. 1887
Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

said applicant pay all costs of this proceeding for which execution may issue.
To all of which the applicant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday morning 9 o'clock

Monday Morning May 16. 1887. Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on first of term —

4236 Ex Parte John Sheehan — Recognizance

Monday May 16 1887.
This day came into open court John Sheehan who together with W. H. Nanny and B. G. Johnson his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Hundred Dollars. Conditioned that John Sheehan who has been before this court on writ of Habeas Corpus and who has been by this court remanded to the custody of the Marshal of the City of Fort Worth, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals in this case

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887

Monday the 30 day of May A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Monday Morning May 30 1887 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as at first of the term -

This being a legal Holiday, Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning, May 31, 1887 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday -

(Jury)

Now comes B. H. Shipp, Shipp, Sheriff of Tarrant County, after being duly sworn and instructed by the court, and brings into Court the following named qualified jurors for the present week of this term of court, to wit: J. H. Murphy for Mayer A. W. Chaney, E. B. Brown, W. J. Anderson J. M. Richardson, O. Flemming, J. L. Pardue A. J. Wheeler and W. P. Goff. and all of said parties were duly sworn, tried and impanelled as the jury for this the 5th week of the May term of this Court,

(Filed)

It appearing to the Court that the defendants in the following cases cannot be found after diligent search. It is therefore ordered that said cases be retired from the regular Docket and placed upon the file Docket until such time as the arrest of said defendants can be had, to wit:

2846 State of Texas -vs- Alex Reddick. - Ex. Gaming Bank
2855 " " " -vs- Alex Reddick - (Same)
2865 " " " -vs- Alex Reddick - (Same)
2887 " " " -vs- Alex Reddick - (Same)
2888 " " " -vs- Alex Reddick - (Same)
3478 " " " -vs- J. F. Hughes - Ag. Assault.
3898 " " " -vs- Hank Stone - Carrying Pistol -

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887

Tuesday the 31st day of May A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3933 State of Texas vs~ John Baze. Ag. Assault
3934 " " " ~ vs ~ Edwards Permitting Gaming &c

Alias Capias Continued

It appearing to the Court that there have been no arrests of the defendants in the following cases It is therefore ordered that the clerk of this Court do issue alias Capias for each of said defendants respectively and that said Cases be continued to wit:—

3211 State of Texas -vs- John Blackmon Ship.
Assault.

3347. State of Texas -vs- Billy Hunt.
Exhibiting Faro Bank.

3354 State of Texas -vs- Billy Hunt.
Exhibiting Faro Bank.

3357 State of Texas -vs- Billy Hunt.
Exhibiting Faro Bank.

3358 State of Texas -vs- Billy Hunt
Exhibiting Faro Bank.

3376 State of Texas -vs- Billy Hunt.
Exhibiting Faro Bank.

3390 State of Texas -vs- J. J. Wheat.
Occupation without license

3507 State of Texas. -vs- Bob Bridges.
Embezzlement.

3663 State of Texas -vs- Wm Fodders & Dick Bidwell
Disturbing Religious worship.

3664 State of Texas. -vs- Willis Adams.
Keeping Disorderly House.

3669 State of Texas -vs- Lizzie Chapman.
Keeping Disorderly House.

3724 State of Texas -vs- Ed. P. Holland
Occupation without license.

3767. State of Texas. -vs- Willis Adams.
Keeping Disorderly House.

3815 State of Texas -vs- J. H Speer.
Adultery.

3864 State of Texas -vs- Jos McWillen
Aggravated assault

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887

Tuesday, the 31st, day of May, A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3872	State of Texas - vs -	G. P. Thompson. Aggravated assault.
3876	State of Texas. -vs-	Briscoe Smith. Exhorting Monte Bank.
3882	State of Texas -vs-	Sam McConnell. Exhibiting Keno Bank.
3929	State of Texas -vs-	Geo Cassell. et al Theft under Twenty Dollars.
3951	State of Texas -vs-	Wm Foster Theft under Twenty Dollars.
3972	State of Texas -vs-	C. M. Peterson. Occupation without Tax.
3973	State of Texas. -vs-	John Riley Occupation without Tax.
3976	State of Texas -vs-	Francis Granger. Occupation without Tax.
3987	State of Texas. -vs-	J. R. Wright. Occupation without Tax.
3993	State of Texas. -vs-	Martin Wise & Fitzhugh. Occupation without Tax.
4001.	State of Texas -vs-	J. M. Saulsbury, Occupation without tax.
4020	State of Texas -vs-	- Davis. Theft under Twenty Dollars.
4034	State of Texas. -vs-	Emma McVane alias McSain. Aggravated Assault
4041	State of Texas. -vs-	George McArthur. Disturbing the peace
4048	State of Texas -vs-	Dick Bullock. Playing cards in a public place.
4051	State of Texas. -vs-	Jon Adkinson. Playing cards in a public place
4054	State of Texas -vs-	Harry Williams Carrying a pistol
4058	State of Texas -vs-	Fannie Rousch Keeping a Disorderly House
4062	State of Texas -vs-	Martin Lowe. Carrying a pistol.
4082	State of Texas -vs-	Blanche Bailey. Vagrancy.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887

Tuesday the 31st day of May A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

4083	State of Texas.	-vs- Kitty Rino. Vagrancy.
4085	State of Texas.	-vs- Fernando Mexico. Vagrancy.
4091	State of Texas.	-vs- Mattie Johnson. Vagrancy.
4095	State of Texas	-vs- Pawny Lee. Vagrancy.
4097	State of Texas	-vs- Sadie Mayfield Vagrancy.
4099	State of Texas.	-vs- Frowny Onsp. Vagrancy.
4102	State of Texas.	-vs- Lizzie Chapman. Vagrancy.
4103	State of Texas.	-vs- Sallie Williams. Vagrancy.
4116	State of Texas	-vs- Fred Bronson Exhibiting Faro Bank.
4117	State of Texas	-vs- Bob Cummings. Exhibiting Faro Bank.
4118	State of Texas.	-vs- George Canary. Exhibiting Faro Bank.
4119	State of Texas.	-vs- Smithen Kyle. Exhibiting Faro Bank.
4120	State of Texas	-vs- Sattle George. Exhibiting Faro Bank.
4121	State of Texas	-vs- Smithen Kyle Exhibiting Faro Bank.
4122	State of Texas.	-vs- Smithen Kyle. Exhibiting Faro Bank.
4124	State of Texas	-vs- Bat Masterson. Exhibiting a Faro Bank.
4133	State of Texas	-vs- Bob Cummings. Exhibiting a Faro Bank.
4134	State of Texas	-vs- Bob Cummings. Exhibiting a Faro Bank.
4135	State of Texas	-vs- Smithen Kyle. Exhibiting a Faro Bank.
4137	State of Texas	-vs- Smithen Kyle Exhibiting a Faro Bank.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May, Term, 1887

Tuesday the 31st day of May, A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4138	State of Texas	-vs- Bob Cummings Exhibiting a Faro Bank
4139	State of Texas	-vs- Bob Cummings Exhibiting a Faro Bank.
4141	State of Texas	-vs- Smithie Kyle. Exhibiting a Faro Bank.
4142	State of Texas	-vs- Smithie Kyle Exhibiting a Faro Bank.
4145	State of Texas	-vs- Sallie Williams Vagrancy.
4147	State of Texas	-vs- Pete Fagg. Exhibiting gaming bank.
4153	State of Texas	-vs- Budd Fagg Exhibiting gaming Bank
4154	State of Texas	-vs- Joss Shutter Betting at Keno.
4160	State of Texas	-vs- Bill Smith. Betting at gaming table
4182	State of Texas	-vs- Chas Bullock. Exhibiting a Keno Table.
4184	State of Texas	-vs- Bob Cummings. Exhibiting a Keno Table
4186	State of Texas	-vs- Pete Fagg. Exhibiting gaming Bank.
4188	State of Texas	-vs- Arnold Wilson. Betting at Keno
4193	State of Texas	-vs- Chas Bullock. Betting at Faro.
4196	State of Texas	-vs- Graff Baker Carrying a pistol.
4213	State of Texas	-vs- Geo Ward (Col). Carrying a pistol.
4216	State of Texas	-vs- — Steinman Disorderly House.
4227	State of Texas	-vs- Bill Smith playing cards in public place.
4258	State of Texas	-vs- Jno Turner aggravated assault.
4259	State of Texas	-vs- John Turner Disturbing the peace.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 188 7.

Tuesday, the 31st day of May, A. D. 188 7.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4260 State of Texas. vs- Lewis Mann et al
Disfiguring Houses.

4261 State of Texas -vs- George Gaitor
Carrying a pistol,

4262 State of Texas -vs- John Turner
Carrying a pistol,

4263 State of Texas -vs- Isaac Turner
Carrying a pistol,

4273 State of Texas -vs- J. M. McCormick
playing cards in a public place.

4277. State of Texas -vs- Bob Woodward.
Selling without license.

4288. State of Texas -vs- Ward Bros.
Selling on Sunday,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed in each of the following cases. Says he will no longer prosecute the same and asks the Court that they be stricken from the Docket. It is therefor considered and ordered by the Court that said causes be and the same are hereby dismissed and that said Defendants go hence without day = to wit:-

2885 The State of Texas. -vs- Jose Wilson
Exhorting gaming Bank.

3348 The State of Texas. -vs- A. Rodgers.
Occupation without license

3559 The State of Texas -vs- George Pierce
Carrying a Pistol

3660 The State of Texas -vs- J. M. Leach
Theft under $20.00

3672 The State of Texas -vs- N. M. Lovin (L. Cohn et al)
Riot

3693 The State of Texas -vs- Chas McGee et al
Riot

3695. The State of Texas -vs- Wm Kruman et al
Riot.

3726 The State of Texas -vs- Lottie Rivers.
Disorderly House.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887.

Tuesday the 31st day of May A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3884	The State of Texas	-vs- W. M. Bowdre. Disorderly House.
3907	The State of Texas	-vs- B. F. Capin Occupation without License
3943	The State of Texas.	-vs- John T. Leer. Occupation without License.
3940	The State of Texas	-vs- B. F. McBow. Occupation without License
4004	The State of Texas	-vs- D. L. Abdill Occupation without License.
4224	The State of Texas	-vs- Bob Moseley. Selling liquor on Sunday.
4253	The State of Texas	-vs- Jos Cullen Exhibiting monte Bank
4270	The State of Texas	-vs- Bill Sanford. Exhibiting monte Bank.
4271	The State of Texas	-vs- Jim Tootsy and James Bose. Exhibiting monte Bank.
4294	The State of Texas	-vs- G. W. Wallace Selling without License.

The following cases being regularly called for trial and both parties appearing and announcing not ready for trial. It is ordered by the Court that said causes stand continued for the term by consent:-

3287	State of Texas	-vs- J. E. Calhoun. Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
3288	State of Texas	-vs- J. E. Calhoun. Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
3703	State of Texas.	-vs- John T. Lear Occupation without license
3707	State of Texas	-vs- John T. Wilkes, Occupation without License
3766	State of Texas	-vs- Lou Hassell Disorderly House.
3859	State of Texas	-vs- J. E. Calhoun Disorderly House
3860	State of Texas	-vs- J. E. Calhoun Keeping Disorderly House

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887.

Tuesday the 31st day of May A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3897 State of Texas -vs- Mike Cassidy
Disorderly House.

3905 State of Texas -vs- Mike Cassidy.
Occupation without License.

3947 State of Texas -vs- M. O. Hunt
Occupation without License.

4047 State of Texas -vs- Dennis Purvis,
Aggravated Assault

4092 State of Texas -vs- Madam Switzer,
Vagrancy.

4190 State of Texas -vs- Bud Brown.
Betting at Keno.

4194 State of Texas -vs- Henry Loyde (Col)
Carrying Brass Knucks.

4214 State of Texas -vs- Henry Loyde (Col)
Aggravated assault

4237 State of Texas -vs- W. G. Finley et al
Obstructing public highway.

4250 State of Texas -vs- Os Bates.
Carrying pistol.

4251 State of Texas -vs- Dick Clayton.
Carrying a pistol.

4297 State of Texas -vs- Ike Lyons.
Selling on Sunday.

4221 The State of Texas vs. Jim Crow (Col) } Carrying a Pistol
Tuesday May 31st 1887.
Plea of Guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant Jim Crow in person, and both parties announcing ready for trial, A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of "Unlawfully Carrying a pistol" as charged in the indictment herein against him. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars It is therefore considered adjudged and or

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887.

Tuesday the 31st day of May A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

ered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said defendant the said Jim Crow Twenty five ($25) fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant Jim Crow and confine him in the County Jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4233 The State of Texas vs. Frank Miller } Theft under Twenty Dollars. Tuesday May. 31st 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant Frank Miller in person and both parties announcing ready for trial: a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters a plea of guilty to the Offense of "Theft of property under the value of Twenty Dollars" as charged in the Indictment herein against him. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of One Dollar, and confinement in the County jail for a term of Ten Days. Therefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Miller. One dollar. ($1.00) fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue and that said defendant Frank Miller. be by the Sheriff of Tarrant County taken and conveyed to the County jail of said County and there kept and closely confined for the full period of Ten days. Further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant after said term of ten days.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

imprisonment assessed by the Court has been fully served and him confined in the County Jail of Tarrant County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

#1254 The State of Texas. -vs- George Bates. } Exhibiting monte Bank. Tuesday May 31st 1887 Plea guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also the Defendant George Bates in person and both parties announcing ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty to the offense of "Unlawfully exhibiting a monte bank for the purpose of gaming" as charged in the indictment herein against him, whereupon the Court assesses his penalty at a fine of Twenty Five <#25> Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Bates, the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs, execution may issue, Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant George Bates and confine him in the County Jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

#1255 The State of Texas. -vs- Bill Sanford. } Exhibiting Gaming Bank. Tuesday May 31st 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Bill Sanford in person and both parties announcing ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 188 7.
Tuesday the 31st day of May A. D. 188 7.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of "Unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming" as charged in the indictment herein against him whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bill Sanford, the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4256. The State of Texas -vs- Lewis Martin | Betting at Monte. Tuesday May 31st 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Lewis Martin in person and both parties announcing ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of "Unlawfully betting at monte" as charged against him in the indictment herein, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lewis Martin, the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this cause incurred for which execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887

Tuesday the 31st day of May, A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

The State of Texas
-vs-
Bob Warner.

Renting House for gaming purposes.
Tuesday May 31st 1887.
Judgment

1257

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant Bob Warner in person and by attorney and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the Indictment filed herein against him and the Court having heard said motion and argument of Counsel thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts, and both parties announcing ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County towit:- W. P. Goff and five others. who were duly elected tried empanelled and sworn according to. law. and the Defendant here enters his plea of "Not Guilty" and the Indictment herein being read to the jury by the County attorney, and the jury after hearing all the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict. and after mature deliberation returned into open Court. the following verdict towit:-

"We the jury find the Defendant not. guilty as charged in this indictment"

W. P. Goff Foreman"

which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered placed of record on the minutes.

It is therefore considered adjudged and ordered by the Court that the Defendant Bob Warner be and he is hereby immediately dis-

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

charged from any further liability on the offence for which he is herein tried and that he go hence without day.

4266 The State of Texas. } Keeping a Disorderly House
-vs- } Tuesday May 31st 1887.
Jessie Reeves. } Plea of guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also the Defendant in person, and both parties announcing ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant here enters her plea of "guilty" to the offense of "Wilfully keeping a Disorderly House" as charged in the indictment herein against her, whereupon the Court assesses her punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars. It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant, Jessie Reeves, the sum of One Hundred Dollars, fine as assessed together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs, execution may issue. Further ordered, that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine her in the County Jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4267 The State of Texas. } Keeping Disorderly House
-vs- } Tuesday May 31st 1887.
Madam Porter. } Plea of guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Madam Porter in person and both parties announcing ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters her plea

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

of guilty to the offense of "unlawfully keeping a disorderly House" as charged in the indictment herein against her. Whereupon the Court assesses her punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars: It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Madam Porter the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine her in the County Jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

The State of Texas. (Keeping Disorderly House.
-vs- Tuesday May 31. 1887.
4269 Josie Belmont. Plea of guilty

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also the Defendant Josie Belmont in person, and both parties announcing ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here enters her plea of guilty to the offense of "unlawfully keeping a disorderly house." as charged in the indictment herein against her. Whereupon the Court assesses her punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars: It is therefore considered adjudged and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Josie Belmont the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine her in the County Jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887.

Tuesday the 31st day of May A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4275 The State of Texas. / Playing cards in public place.
-vs- / Tuesday May 31st 1887.
Tuck Hughes. / Plea of guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Tuck Hughes in person and both parties announcing ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the Offense of Unlawfully playing cards in a public place as charged in the indictment herein against him, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tuck Hughes the sum of Ten Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4285 The State of Texas. / Selling liquor on Sunday
-vs- / Tuesday May 31st 1887.
Ike Lyons. / Plea of guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Ike Lyons in person and both parties announcing ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the Offense of "unlawfully selling liquor on Sunday" as charged in the indictment herein against him, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887

Tuesday the 31st day of May, A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ike Lyons, the sum of Twenty Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this cause incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant, and confine him in the County Jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4286. The State of Texas -vs- Billy Hendrix } Selling Liquor on Sunday. Tuesday May 31st 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Billy Hendrix in person and both parties announcing ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of "Unlawfully Selling liquor on Sunday" as charged in the indictment herein against him, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Billy Heidrix the sum of Twenty Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County, take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County, until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4287. The State of Texas -vs- Chas Steinfeldt. } Selling whiskey on Sunday Tuesday May 31st 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also the,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887

Tuesday the 31st day of May A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Defendant Chas Steinfeldt in person and both parties announcing ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of "Unlawfully selling whisky on Sunday" as charged in the indictment herein against him. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas Steinfeldt the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4290 The State of Texas. — vs — Madam Porter | Keeping Disorderly House Tuesday May 31st 1887. Motion to Quash Indictment

This day came the parties by their attorneys. then came on to be heard the Defendants. motion to Quash the indictment found herein against. her. and the Court having heard said motion and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. & Defendant excepts.

4291 The State of Texas. — vs — Buck Smith | Selling on Sunday. Tuesday May 31st 1887. Plea of guilty.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887.

Tuesday the 31st. day of May. A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Buck Smith in person and both parties announcing ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty to the offense of "Unlawfully Selling goods on Sunday." as charged in the indictment herein against him whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Buck Smith the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said fine and costs. execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County. take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9. oclock.

Wednesday morning June 1st 1887. Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment. present as on yesterday.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed therein says that he will no longer prosecute the following causes but will dismiss the same. wherefore he prays the Court that they be stricken from the docket. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the following causes be and the same are hereby dismissed and for naught held and that the Defendants therein charged go hence without day.

3391 The State of Texas -vs- J. J. Wheat.
Occupation without License.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887

Wednesday the 1st day of June, A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3688 The State of Texas -vs- James Van Slyke.
Assault.

3847. The State of Texas -vs- Jack Goins.
Carrying a pistol.

3848 The State of Texas -vs- Jack Goins.
Assault.

Come now the parties in the following causes and agree that the same may be passed for the term. Wherefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that the following causes be and the same are hereby continued for the term by the Court.

3971 The State of Texas -vs- C. Heuchel
Occupation without License

4231 The State of Texas -vs- Willie Coleman.
Theft under Twenty Dollars.

4240 The State of Texas -vs- Madam Porter
Selling on Sunday.

4052 The State of Texas -vs- Luke Short.
Carrying a pistol

4228 The State of Texas -vs- John Martin.
Playing cards in public place

3657. The State of Texas. / Assault
-vs- / Wednesday June 1st 1887.
James Vanslyke. / Verdict.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also the Defendant in person and by attorney, and both parties announcing ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County towit:- W. P. Goff and five others who were duly tried empannelled and sworn according to law: and the County Attorney now reading the indictment found herein to the jury. the Defendant enters his plea of "not guilty" to the offense charged therein against him. whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 188 7.

Wednesday the 1st day of June A. D. 188 7.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:-

"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. as charged in the Indictment:

N. P. Goff Foreman"

which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded on the minutes.

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said Defendant. James VanSlyke be and he is hereby immediately discharged from any further liability on the Offense for which he is herein tried and that he go hence without day.

3956. The State of Texas -vs- J. T. Trogg. } Occupation without License Wednesday June 1st 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant J. T. Trogg in person and both parties announcing ready for trial, a jury being waived. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of "Unlawfully pursuing occupation without license" as charged in the indictment herein against him. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Seven Dollars and Twenty cents ($7 20/100). It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. T. Trogg the sum of Seven and 20/100 Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887

Wednesday the 1st day of June A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4293

The State of Texas. } Selling on Sunday.
-vs- } Wednesday June 1st 1887.
Charley Eastman } Verdict

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also the Defendant Charley Eastman in person and by attorney and both parties announcing ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to-wit: A. W. Chaney and five others, who were duly selected, tried empanelled and sworn according to law and the indictment herein being now read to the jury by the County attorney, and the Defendant entering his plea of not guilty to the offense charged therein against him, whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to-wit:- "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty ($20) Dollars.

A. W. Chaney Foreman.

which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded on the minutes;

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said verdict be in all things approved and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charley Eastman, the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this cause incurred. Further ordered, that the Sheriff of Tarrant County and confine him in the County Jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887

Thursday the 2nd day of June. A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Thursday June 2nd 1887. Court met at 9 oclock A. M. pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

11098 The State of Texas. —vs— Lottie Freeman. } Vagrancy. Thursday June 2nd 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Lottie Freeman in person and both parties announcing ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the Defendant now here enters her plea of guilty to the offense of "Vagrancy" as charged in the indictment herein against her. whereupon the Court assesses her punishment at a fine of One Dollar. It is therefore considered. adjudged and ordered by the Court. that the State of Texas. do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lottie Freeman. the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine her in the County jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

11104 The State of Texas. —vs— John Blythe alias Long John. } Exhibiting Keno Table for gaming purposes. Thursday June 2nd 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant John Blythe alias Long John. in person and both parties announcing ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of "Unlawfully.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887.
Thursday the 2nd day of June A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

in hibiting a Faro table for the purpose of gaming" as charged in the indictment herein against him. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant. John Blythe alias Tong John. the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforsaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County until said fine and costs be paid in full.

4125 The State of Texas. — vs — Charles Wright. } Exhibiting Faro Table. Thursday June 2. 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Charles Wright in person and both parties announcing ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of "Unlawfully exhibiting a Faro Table for the purpose of gaming" as charged in the indictment herein against him. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charles Wright the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforsaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887.

Thursday the 2nd day of June A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4161 The State of Texas. vs. Tom Bonn } Exhibiting Keno Table. Thursday June 2nd 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also the Defendant Tom Bonn in person. and both parties announcing ready for trial. thereupon a jury duly impaneled and sworn was submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of "Unlawfully exhibiting a Keno Table for the purpose of gaming" as charged in the indictment herein against him. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered. ordered and adjudged by the Court. that the State of Texas. do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Bonn. the sum of Twenty Five Dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs in this behalf incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County, until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4203 The State of Texas. vs. George Andrews. } Exhibiting Keno Table. Thursday June 2nd 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant George Andrews in person and both parties announcing ready for trial. a jury duly impaneled. the matters of fact now submitted to the Court and the Defendant now

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887
Thursday the 2nd day of June A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

here enters his plea of guilty to the Offense of "Unlawfully shooting a Roulette for the purpose of gaming," as charged in the indictment herein against him, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court, that the State of Texas, do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Andrews, the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs, execution may issue. It further ordered, that the Sheriff of Tarrant County, take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock

Friday June 3rd 1887. Court met at 9 oclock A.M. pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

4063. The State of Texas —vs— John Moon. | Selling Liquor to a minor
Friday June 3rd 1887.
Motion to quash indictment

This day came the parties by their attorneys. then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the indictment filed herein against him, and the Court after hearing said motion and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion: It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled: to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887

Friday the 3rd day of June A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

4137. The State of Texas. / E. F. Lambert. — vs — / Exhibiting Faro Bank. Friday June 3rd 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant E. F. Lambert in person and both parties announcing ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of "Unlawfully exhibiting a Faro bank for the purpose of gaming" as charged in the indictment herein against him whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant E. F. Lambert the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue: Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4215 The State of Texas. / John Casey — vs — / Selling liquor to a minor Friday June 3rd 1887. Motion to quash indictment.

This day came the parties by their attorneys this came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the indictment filed herein against him and the Court having heard said motion and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and said indictment is quashed and for naught held and that Defendant go hence without day

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887

Friday the 3rd day of June A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4226. The State of Texas / Selling liquor on Sunday.
-vs- / Friday June 3rd 1887.
Billy Bowm. / Continuance

This cause is hereby continued for the term by consent

4264 The State of Texas. / Assault.
-vs- / Friday June 3rd 1887.
Frank Gillespie / Continuance

This day this cause is hereby continued for the term by consent.

4265 The State of Texas. / Assault.
-vs- / Friday June 3rd 1887.
Lizzie Stoker / Continuance

This cause is hereby continued for the term by consent.

4278 The State of Texas. / Selling liquor to a minor.
-vs- / Friday June 3rd 1887.
Hump Mansfield. / Continuance.

This cause is hereby continued for the term by the State:

4279 The State of Texas. / Selling liquor to a minor
-vs- / Friday June 3rd 1887.
Thomas Hurley. / Continuance.

This cause is hereby continued for the term by the State.

4281 The State of Texas. / Selling liquor to a minor
-vs- / Friday June 3rd 1887.
Hump Mansfield / Continuance

This cause is hereby continued by the State for the term.

4283 The State of Texas. / Selling liquor on Sunday
-vs- / Friday June 3rd 1887.
Thomas Hurley. / Continuance

This cause is hereby continued for the term by the State.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887

Friday the 3rd day of June A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

4284. The State of Texas. / Selling liquor on Sunday.
—vs— / Friday June 3rd 1887.
M. T. Whitesides / Continuance.

This cause is hereby continued for the term by the State.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Saturday June 4th 1887. Court met at 9 oclock A. M. pursuant to adjournment. present as on yesterday.

4257 The State of Texas. / Unlawfully Carrying a pistol
—vs— / Saturday June 4th 1887.
Bill Richards. / Plea of guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also the Defendant Bill Richards in person and both parties announcing ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of "Unlawfully Carrying a pistol" as charged in the indictment herein against him, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the State of Texas, do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bill Richards, the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all the costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs, execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County, until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4155 The State of Texas. / Betting at Keno.
—vs— / Saturday June 4th 1887.
Dan Vaughn / Verdict.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887

Saturday the 11th day of June A. D. 1887.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Dan Vaughn in person and both parties announcing ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the indictment filed herein being now read to the Court and the Defendant entering his plea of "not guilty" to the offense therein charged against him. whereupon the Court after hearing all the evidence and argument of counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the Defendant is not guilty as charged herein. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Defendant Dan Vaughn be and he is hereby released and discharged from any further liability on the charge for which he is herein tried. and that he go hence without day.

4244 The State of Texas / Disturbing the peace.
—vs— / Saturday June 4th 1887.
Bill Richards / Dismissal.

Now comes the County attorney in this cause and says he will no longer prosecute but will dismiss the same for good and sufficient reasons filed herein. wherefore he moves the Court to strike said cause from the Docket. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that Defendant go hence without day.

4100 The State of Texas / Vagrancy
—vs— / Saturday June 4th 1887.
May White / Continuance

This cause is hereby continued for the term by consent

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887.

Saturday the 4th day of June. A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4159 The State of Texas. / Sitting at Law.
—vs— / Saturday June 4th 1887.
J. C. Cooper. / Verdict.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the indictment herein being now read to the Court by the County Attorney. the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty, to the offense therein charged against. whereupon the Court after hearing all the evidence and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the Defendant is not guilty as charged herein against him. It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Defendant J. C. Cooper be and he is hereby discharged from any further liability on the charge for which he is herein tried and that he go hence without day.

4274 The State of Texas. / Playing cards in public place.
—vs— / Saturday June 4 1887.
Louis Mann. / Plea of guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Louis Mann in person and both parties announcing ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of "Unlawfully playing cards in a public place" as charged in the indictment herein against him. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Louis Mann the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred. for which

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887
Saturday the 11th day of June. A. D. 188 7.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4276. The State of Texas. —vs— Henry Stevenson } Playing cards in public place. Saturday June 4th 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also the Defendant Henry Stevenson in person and both parties announcing ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of "Unlawfully playing cards in a public place" as charged in the indictment herein against him. thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant, said sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs, execution may issue. Further ordered. that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County. until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4284 The State of Texas. —vs— Jack Dygert } Selling liquor on Sunday. Saturday June 4th 1887. Verdict.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also the Defendant Jack Dygert in person and by attorney, and both parties announcing ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887.

Saturday the 4th day of June. A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the indictment filed herein being now read to the jury by the County attorney. the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty to the offense charged therein against him. whereupon the Court after hearing all the evidence and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the Defendant is guilty as charged in said indictment. therefore the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered. ordered. and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jack Dygert. the sum of Twenty Dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full. to which judgment of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of appeals of the State of Texas.

4272 The State of Texas. —vs— Walter Stevenson. } Playing cards in a public place. Saturday June 4th 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also the Defendant Walter Stevenson in person and both parties. announcing ready for trial. a jury being waived. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the Offense of "Unlawfully playing cards in a public place" as charged in the indictment herein against him. whereupon the Court

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887

Saturday the 4th day of June A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Walter Stevenson, the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4297 The State of Texas / Carrying a Pistol
—vs— / Saturday June 4th 1887.
H. B. Pollard. / Plea of guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also the Defendant H. B. Pollard in person, and both parties announcing ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of "Unlawfully carrying a pistol" as charged herein against him whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore Considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. B. Pollard, the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs, execution may issue. Further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas take said Defendant H. B. Pollard and confine him in the County Jail of said Tarrant County Texas until said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887.

Saturday the 4th. day of June. A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3781 The State of Texas. (Occupation without License
—vs— Saturday June 4th 1887.
Geo. B. Holland. (Forfeited Bond.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant Geo B. Holland being duly called. came not but wholly made default. and it appearing to the Court that heretofor towit: on the 14th day of January 1887. the Defendant Geo B. Holland after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of El Paso County Texas upon a charge of "Unlawfully pursuing the occupation of running a theater without first obtaining license therefor" did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Thomas Westbrook and J. M. Watts. as his Sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned that should the said Geo B. Holland make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas, at the March Term A. D. 1887 thereof and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law. then and there to answer said above ascribed charge, then. in this case the above bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law. and said Defendant having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court. that the appearance bond. aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited. and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo B. Holland as principal and Thos Westbrook and J. M. Watts. Sureties as aforesaid. said sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally together with all costs of this prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court. why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that citation issue in manner and form

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887
Saturday the 4th day of June A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

as by law required and that alias capias issue for the Defendant

3976. The State of Texas. / Occupation without license
-vs- / Saturday. June 4th 1887.
Francis Granger. / Forfeited Bond.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant Francis Granger being duly called, came not but wholly made default. and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to-wit on the 13th day of January 1887. the Defendant having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas. upon a charge of "Unlawfully pursuing a taxable occupation without license" did as principal enter into an appearance bond with W. R. McLaury and F. A. Capps as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned that should the said Francis Granger make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas on the first monday in February the same being the 7th day of February A. D. 1887. and then remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge. then in this case. the above bond to be null and void, otherwise to be and remain in full force. and virtue in law. and said Defendant having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court. that the appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Francis Granger as principal and W. R. McLaury. and F. A. Capps sureties as aforesaid. said sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887.

Saturday the 4th day of June, A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

severally together with all costs of this prosecution. and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court. why said Defendant did not appear further ordered that citation issue in manner and form as required by law and that alias Capias issue for the Defendant.

3987 The State of Texas. / Occupation without License
—vs— / Saturday June 4th 1887.
J. R. Wright. / Bond Forfeited.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant, J. R. Wright being duly called came not but wholly made default. and it appearing to the Court that heretofore on towit the 2nd day of Febry 1887. the Defendant after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas. upon a charge of "Unlawfully pursuing a taxable occupation without license" did as principal enter into an appearance bond with J. M. Isenhower and D. M. Giles. as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that should the said J. R. Wright make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas on the 1st monday in Febmary the same being the 7th day of February 1887. and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law. then and there to answer said above described charge, then in this case. the above bond to be null and void. otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law. and said Defendant having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887.
Saturday the 4th day of June, A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. R. Wright as principal and J. M. Isenhower and S. M. Giles sureties as aforesaid, said sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally together with all costs of this prosecution, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court, why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that citation issue in manner and form as required by law and that alias capias issue for the Defendant.

4226. The State of Texas. — vs — Billy Bowen. } Saturday June 4th 1887. Witness R. Matkin fined.

This day came the County Attorney representing the pleas of the State and moved the Court to enter a fine against the States witness R. Matkin in the above entitled cause, for the disobedience of a subpoena, and it being made to appear to the satisfaction of the Court, that the said witness R. Matkin had been duly and legally subpoenaed to appear and testify in this cause in behalf of the State and that the said witness had disobeyed said subpoena, and it further satisfactorily appearing to the Court, that the testimony of said witness is material to the prosecution of said cause. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court conditionally, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said witness R. Matkin a fine of ($5.00) Five Dollars, because of his failure to appear and testify as a witness in said case, after having been duly subpoenaed so to do, unless he show cause at the next term of this Court why said cause should not be made final, and the Clerk of this Court will issue citation to said witness R. Matkin, to show cause why this

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

judgment should not be made final at the next term of this Court. Further ordered that attachment issue for said witness. in behalf of the State returnable on the forist monday in July A. D. 1887.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Monday morning at 9 oclock.

Monday June 6th 1887. Court met at 9 oclock A.M. pursuant to adjournment. present as on Saturday.

4293. The State of Texas. / Monday June 6th 1887.
—vs— / Motion for new trial.
Charley Eastman /

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thin came on to be heard by the Court the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the Court having heard said motion and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is in favor of said motion. It is therfore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that the Defendant Charley Eastman be granted a new trial herein.

4230 The City of Ft Worth. / Betting at a Faro Bank.
—vs— / Monday June 6th 1887.
T. J. E. Getting / motion to Quash.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thin came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the complaint filed herein against him and the Court having heard said motion argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therfore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887

Monday the 6th day of June A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4238. City of Ft Worth —vs— Mary Morgan | Disturbing the peace. Monday June 6th 1887. Complaint quashed.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the complaint filed herein against him. and the Court having heard said motion. and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is in favor of said motion. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be sustained and that said complaint be and the same is hereby quashed and that the Defendant Mary Morgan go hence without day.

4241 City of Ft Worth. —vs— O. T. Eskew. | Trespass. Monday June 6th 1887. Complaint quashed.

This day came the parties by their attorneys. then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the complaint filed herein against him. and the Court having heard said motion. and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is in favor of said motion. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court. that said motion be sustained and that said complaint be and the same is hereby quashed and that the Defendant O. T. Eskew go hence without day.

4244 City of Fort Worth. —vs— Charles Deitz. | Creating nuisance Monday June 6th 1887. Verdict.

This day came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City also the Defendant Charles Deitz in person and by attorney and

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

both parties announcing ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County towit! S. M. Fry and five others who were duly elected empanelled tried and sworn according to law. and said jury after hearing the Defendant now here entering his plea of not guilty to the charge herein against him whereupon. all the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict towit!- "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at five dollars."

S. M. Fry. Foreman"

which verdict was received by the Court and ordered placed of record on the minutes.

It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charles Deitz and W. V. Miller and J. W. Burford, sureties on his appeal bond herein, the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4230 City of Fort Worth / Betting at Faro Bank.
-vs- / Monday June 6th 1887.
T. J. E. Getting / Motion to Dismiss appeal.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thus came on to be heard the motion of the City to dismiss the appeal taken by the Defendant herein and the Court having heard said motion and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion by the City to dismiss the Defendants appeal herein be and the same is hereby overruled.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887.

Monday the 6th day of June, A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Now comes the City Attorney representing the pleas of the City in each of the following cases and asks for good and sufficient reasons filed in each that he will no longer prosecute. but will dismiss the same. wherefore he prays the Court that they be stricken from the Docket. It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the following cases be and the same are hereby dismissed.

3867. The City of Fort Worth vs. Morris Day.
Disturbing the peace.

4029 The City of Fort Worth vs. T. Baer.
Driving own water Hose.

4242 The City of Fort Worth vs. E. Pope.
Simple assault.

Ordered by the Court that the following causes be continued for the term and the continuance charged to the City.

4245 The City of Fort Worth, vs- Jack McDonald.
Simple assault

4301 The City of Fort Worth vs. Louis Hartman.
Selling on Sunday.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Tuesday morning June 7th A. D. 1887.
Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjournment. present as on yesterday.

Ordered by the Court that the following cases be continued for the term by consent.

4246. The City of Fort Worth vs. Tom Smith,
Carrying a pistol.

4237 The City of Fort Worth vs. William Combs
Disturbing the peace.

4243 The City of Fort Worth vs. A. Reader
Soliciting custom for Hotel.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887.

Tuesday the 7th day of June A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

4248 The City of Fort Worth -vs- George Gaters,
Carrying a pistol.

4249 The City of Fort Worth -vs- Madam Porter,
Vagrancy

4240 City of Fort Worth -vs- D. Ryan. | Selling liquor on Sunday. Tuesday June 7th 1887. Dismissed

This day came the parties by their attorneys, then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the complaint filed herein against him, and the Court having heard said motion and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is in favor of said motion, It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court, that said motion be sustained and that said complaint be and the same is hereby quashed and that the Defendant go hence without day.

4300 City of Fort Worth -vs- W. B. Buchanan. | Disturbing the peace. Tuesday June 7th 1887. Dismissed.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the complaint filed herein against him, and the Court having heard said motion and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises, because, in the opinion of the Court the law is in favor of said motion: It is ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, that said complaint be quashed and that said cause be dismissed and that the Defendant go hence without day.

4247 City of Fort Worth vs. Bill McConnell. | Drunk, Tuesday June 7th 1887. Verdict.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887

Tuesday the 7th day of June A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

This day came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City. also the Defendant Will McConnell in person and by attorney and both parties announcing ready for trial thereupon came a jury of six good and lawful men of Tarrant County towit: S. M. Fry and five others who were duly selected tried empanelled and sworn according to law. and the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. to the charge herein against him. whereupon the Court after hearing all the evidence and argument of Counsel thereon and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict towit: "We the jury find the Defendant Will McConnell guilty and assess his fine at one Dollar.

S. M. Fry Foreman"

which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered placed of record on the minutes.

It is therefor considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will McConnell and Thos Wetter and Adam Hardey. the sureties on his appeal bond herein the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs of suit. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Wednesday morning June 8th A. D. 1887.

Court met at 9oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887.

Wednesday the 8th day of June A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Being no business: Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Thursday morning June 9th A. D. 1887.
Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment. present as on yesterday.

Being no business: Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Friday morning June 10th A. D. 1887.
Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjournment. present as on yesterday.

Being no business: Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Saturday morning June 11th A. D. 1887.
Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjournment. present as on yesterday:

Being no business: Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Monday morning at 9. oclock.

Monday morning June 13th A. D. 1887.
Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjournment: present as on Monday.

11244 City of Fort Worth — vs — Charles Deitz. } Defts motion for new trial. Monday June 13th 1887.

This day came the parties. by their Attorneys and thereupon came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein. and the Court having heard said motion and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887

Monday the 13th. day of June A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4284. The State of Texas. } Defts motion for new trial
— vs — } Monday June. 13th 1887.
Jack Dygert.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein. and the Court having heard said motion and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore considered. ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts. and gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Tuesday morning June 14th A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Wednesday morning June 15th A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Thursday morning June 16th A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887.

Friday the 17th day of June. A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Friday morning June. 17th 1887. Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjournment pursuant. present as on yesterday.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Saturday morning June 18th A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment. present as on yesterday.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until. Monday morning at 9 oclock.

Monday morning June 20th A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

Ordred that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Tuesday morning June 21st A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjournment. present as on yesterday.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock

Wednesday morning June 22nd A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjournment. present as on yesterday.

Ordred that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Thursday morning June 23rd A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjournment. present as on yesterday.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1887

Thursday the 23rd day of June, A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Friday morning June 24th A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock

Saturday morning June 25th A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjournment. present as on yesterday.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Monday morning at 9 oclock.

Monday morning June 27th A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Tuesday morning June 28th A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Wednesday morning June 29th A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

11284 The State of Texas — vs — Jack. Dysart } Unlawfully selling whiskey on Sunday. Wednesday June 29th 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys. thus came on to be heard the Defen-

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

dants motion for an order of Court granting him ten days time after adjournment in which to prepare and file a statement of facts herein. and the Court having heard said motion. and argument thereon. It is considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby granted and said Defendant allowed ten days after adjournment. in which to file statement of facts.

" "

Wednesday June 29th A. D. 1887. Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Court in course. Minutes examined and approved

Sam Finnin
County Judge Tarrant County Texas.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1887

Monday the 4th day of July A. D. 1887

Be it remembered that on this first Monday in July A.D. 1887 (the same being the 4th day of said month) there was begun and holden within and for the County of Tarrant in the State of Texas a regular term of the County Court for the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth Present Jno. F. Swayne County Clerk and B. F. Phipps Sheriff of said County when the County Judge being absent said Court was by the Sheriff adjourned from day to day till Wednesday July 6th 1887 Present the Hon. Sam Furman as County Judge Presiding. when and where the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court to wit:

4308 State of Texas vs Bill Goff. } Theft of Property under $20.00 Monday July 18. 1887

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the defendant in his own proper person as well as by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at Confinement in the County Jail for one day and a fine of One Dollar. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant Bill Goff the sum one dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff do take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full and for the further full period of one day.

Monday July 18 1887. Ordered that court do now adjourn till court in course.

Sam Furman
Co. Judge

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887

Monday the 5th day of September A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Be it remembred that on this first Monday in September 1887, (the same being the 5th day of said month,) there was begun and holden a regular term of the Honorable County Court, within and for the County of Tarrant in the State of Texas at the Court house thereof in the City of Fort Worth for the transaction of business upon the Criminal Dockets of said Court. Present: Hon. Sam Furman County Judge presiding, John J. Smythe County Clerk, and B.B. Shipp Sheriff of said County when and where the following proceedings were had, to wit:—

3976 State of Texas vs Francis Granger } Monday September 5. 1887

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the state, and moves the Court to dismiss this suit because the defendant has paid all costs of this proceedings and has exhibited his tax receipt. It is therefore ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that defendant Francis Granger go hence without day.

Habras Corpus.

4347 Ex parte T.J. Mabry } Monday September 5. 1887

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the relator T.J. Mabry in person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, and then came on to be heard this cause on the writ of Habras Corpus heretofore issued herein and the Court having heard the evidence and arguments of counsel and being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that the law is against said relator. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that T.J. Mabry be and he is hereby remanded to the custody of

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887

Thursday the 8th day of September A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

J. C. Thompson, Constable of Precinct No. 1 of Tarrant County, to be held under the Commitment issued by the Justice of the peace of said precinct and that said relator D. J. Mabry pay all costs of this proceeding for which execution may issue.

Court in Session Thursday Sept. 8th 1887, all officers of Court present.

4320 State of Texas vs Jos-Riley } Aggravated Assault.
Thursday September 8, 1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence finds said defendant guilty of aggravated Assault, and assesses his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and thirty days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant Jos Riley the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and Cost execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County for the full period of Thirty days and till the said fine and Cost are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887

Thursday the 8th day of September A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4331 State of Texas vs Willie Drew } Aggravated Assault.

Thursday September 8. 1887.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant Willie Drew in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and said defendant now here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25. Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Willie Drew the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said county till said fine and costs are paid in full

4338 State of Texas vs W. P. Kelly } Carrying a Pistol

Thursday September 8. 1887.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came the defendant W. P. Kelly in his own proper person and both parties ~~now~~ announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his "Plea of Guilty" whereupon the court finds said defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and Twenty days in jail.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant W. P. Kelly the sum of

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred & for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant & duly confine him in the County Jail of said County for the full period of Twenty days and till said fine and cost are paid in full.

4134.1 State of Texas — vs — Thurley Schwartz } Aggravated Assault. Thursday September 8th 1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant Thurley Schwartz in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. Said defendant nowhere entered his plea of of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Thurley Schwartz the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant & duly confine him in the County Jail of said County till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4344 State of Texas — vs — Henry Morrison } Carrying a Pistol. Thursday September 8. 1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the defendant Henry Morrison, came also, in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887

Thursday the 8th day of September A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and said defendant, now here, entered his plea of guilty whereupon the court finds said defendant guilty of "Unlawfully Carrying a Pistol" and assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars ~~fine~~ and thirty days in Jail.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant Henry Morrison the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail for the full period of one hour and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Court in Session Saturday September 10, 1887
All officers of court present.

State of Texas } Theft under Twenty Dollars.
— vs —
4327 John Billous } Saturday September 10. 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of Forty Five dollars, and one hour in jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant John Billous (J. H. Bellis) the sum of Forty Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. For which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County jail for the full period of one hour and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887

Wednesday the 14th day of September A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Court in session Wednesday September 14, 1887. All officers of court present.

1422 State of Texas vs Smithee Kyle } Plea of Guilty Ex. Gam. Bike
Wednesday September 14, 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Smithee Kyle the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County till said fine and costs are paid in full.

1437 State of Texas vs Smithee Kyle } Exhibiting Forg Bank C.
Wednesday September 14, 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and said defendant now here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Smithee Kyle the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Sheriff of Tarrant County retain said defendant in custody till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Tuesday morning September 27th A.D. 1887. Court met at 9 oclock a.m. pursuant to adjournment all officers present as on adjournment.

4356. State of Texas. vs. Joe Hutcheson } Unlawfully carrying a pistol
September 27th A.D. 1887.
Plea guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Joe Hutcheson in person. and by Attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial. thereupon a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the Offense of Unlawfully Carrying a pistol (having been by the Court. carefully examined the Consequences of such a plea). It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court. that the Defendant Joe Hutchinson is guilty of the offense of Unlawfully carrying a pistol as charged in the indictment herein against him. And the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. and 20 days in jail. It is therefor considered. ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court. that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Joe Hutchison the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. and that said Defendant Joe Hutcheson. be by the Sheriff of Tarrant County. taken and confined in the County Jail of Tarrant County Texas. at close confinement for the full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887
Tuesday the 27th day of September A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

period of twenty days. Further ordered by the Court that said Sheriff take the Defendant Joe Hutcheson after said term of twenty days imprisonment has expired and convey him to the County Jail of Tarrant County and confine him therein until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4353 The State of Texas. vs. M. D. Shipe. | Theft under twenty dollars. September 27th A. D. 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant M. D. Shipe in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial thereupon a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law was submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of Theft of property under the value of Twenty Dollars, as charged in the indictment herein against him, and the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of One Dollar and Twenty days in jail. It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant M. D. Shipe the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs, execution may issue, and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant, M. D. Shipe, and convey him to the County Jail of Tarrant County and there in Keep him in close confinement for the full period of twenty days. Further ordered that said Sheriff take said defendant M. D. Shipe after said term of twenty days imprisonment has expired and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 188 7.

Thursday, the 27th day of September A. D. 188 7.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4354 State of Texas } Theft under Twenty Dollars.
-vs- } September 27th A. D. 1887.
M. D. Shipe } Plea guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also came the Defendant M. D. Shipe in person and by Attorney and both parties having announced ready. for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offence of "Theft of property under the value of Twenty Dollars. as charged in the indictment herein against him. and the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of One Dollar and Thirty days in jail. It is therefore considered. ordered and adjudged. by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant M. D. Shipe. the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred. and that he be by the Sheriff of Tarrant County taken and conveyed to the County jail of said County and therein confined for the full period of Thirty days. Further ordered. that said Sheriff. take said Defendant M. D. Shipe and confine him him in the County jail of said Tarrant County until said fine and costs are paid in full after said term of Thirty days imprisonment has fully expired.

4410. State of Texas. } Unlawfully Carrying a pistol
-vs- } September 27th A. D. 1887.
William Creed. } Plea guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant William Creed. in person and by Attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of Unlawfully Carrying a.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

pistol. as charged in the indictment herein a-gainst him. and the Court assesses his punish-ment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and twen-ty days in jail. It is therefor ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant William Creed the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs in this behalf incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant William Creed and convey him to the County jail of Tarrant County and therein confine him for the full period of twenty days. Further ordered that after said term of twenty days imprison-ment has fully expired. that the Sheriff of Tar-rant County take said Defendant William Creed and confine him in the County Jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomor-row morning at 9 oclock.

Friday morning September 30th A. D. 1887.
Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjourn-ment. present as on adjournment.

4051. State of Texas. -vs- Joe Ackerson } Playing cards in a public place
September 30th A. D. 1887.
Plea guilty.

This day came the County attorney pros-ecuting the pleas of the State. also the Defendant Joe Ackerson in person and by attorney. and both parties having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of unlawfully play-ing cards in a public place. as charged in the indictment herein against him

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

thereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefor considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant, Joe Adkerson, the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs in this cause incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4411. State of Texas / -vs- / John Miller) Drunk in a public place. September 30th A. D. 1887. Plea guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant John Miller in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of unlawfully being drunk in a public place, as charged in the indictment herein aquinst him, thereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of One Dollar. It is therefor considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Miller the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County jail of Tarrant County, until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4397. State of Texas / -vs- / H. P. Shields.) Unlawfully selling whiskey on Sunday September 30th A. D. 1887. Plea guilty

This day came the County Attorney pros-

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.
Friday the 30th day of September A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

ecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant W. P. Shields in person and by Attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of unlawfully selling whisky on Sunday as charged in the indictment herein against him thereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said Tarrant County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4363. State of Texas. / Selling whisky on Sunday
-vs- / September 30th A. D. 1887.
Thomas Payne. / Plea guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Thomas Payne in person and by Attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. And the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of "Unlawfully selling liquor on Sunday." as charged in the indictment herein against him. thereupon the Court assesses his penalty at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Thomas Payne the sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all Costs herein incurred

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

for which said fine and costs execution may issue
Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County
take said Defendant and confine him in the County
Jail of Tarrant County Texas until said fine and
costs were paid in full.

4365 State of Texas. (Selling liquor to a minor
-vs- September 30th A. D. 1887.
H. P. Shields.) Plea of guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting
the pleas of the State also the Defendant H. P. Shields
in person and by attorney and both parties having
announced ready for trial, a jury being waived,
the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted
to the Court, and the Defendant now here
enters his plea of guilty to the offense of Un-
lawfully selling liquor to a minor, as charged
in the indictment herein acquitted him, there-
upon the Court assesses his punishment at a
fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore con-
sidered ordered and adjudged by the Court that
the State of Texas do have and recover of and
from the Defendant H. P. Shield the sum of
Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid
together with all costs herein incurred, for which
said fine and costs execution may issue. Further
ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take
said Defendant and confine him in the County
Jail of Tarrant County until said fine and
costs are paid in full.

On this day came the County Attorney prose-
cuting the pleas of the State and for good and
sufficient reasons filed, says he will no longer
prosecute the following causes but will dismiss
the same. Court

4364 State of Texas. vs. H. P. Shields
Selling liquor on Sunday.

4369. State of Texas vs. H. P. Shields.
Selling goods on Sunday.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887

Friday the 30th day of September A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4370 State of Texas. -vs- H. P. Shieds.
Selling liquor on Sunday.

4396 State of Texas. -vs- H. P. Shieds.
Selling whisky on Sunday.

4399 State of Texas. -vs- H. P. Shieds.
Selling liquor on Sunday.

4398 State of Texas. -vs- H. P. Shieds
Selling liquor on Sunday

4409 State of Texas. -vs- Bennett Patterson
Disturbing the peace.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until to-morrow morning at 9 oclock.

Saturday morning October 1st A. D. 1887.
Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

4105 State of Texas -vs- John Blythe alias Long John. Exhibiting Keno Table. October 1st A. D. 1887. Plea guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant John Blythe alias Long John in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of unlawfully exhibiting a Keno Table. as charged in the indictment herein against him. thereupon the Court assesses his penalty at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Blythe alias Long John. the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein in-

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Saturday the 1st. day of October. A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

cured for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County. until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4106. State of Texas. -vs- John Blythe alias Long John. } Exhibiting Kino Table. October 1st A. D. 1887. Plea guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also the Defendant John Blythe alias Long John. in person and by Attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of Unlawfully exhibiting a Kino Table. as charged in the indictment herein against him. thereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore. considered. ordered and. adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Blythe alias Long John. the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County. until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4151 State of Texas. -vs- George Andrews. } Exhibiting a gaming bank. October 1st A. D. 1887. Plea guilty

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant George Andrews in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887

Saturday the 1st day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

a jury being waived. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of Unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming, as charged in the indictment herein against whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore Considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Andrabs, the sum of Twenty Five Dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County Texas, until said fine and costs are paid in full.

41170

State of Texas
-vs-
Tom Brin alias
Kno Tom.

Exhibiting Keno Table.
October 1st A. D. 1887.
Plea guilty

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Tom Brin alias Kno Tom in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of Unlawfully exhibiting a Keno Table for the purpose of gaming. as charged in the indictment herein against him. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Brin alias Kno Tom. the sum of Twenty Five Dollars.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887

Saturday the 1st day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County Texas until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4169. State of Texas -vs- Tom Brin alias Kno Tom — Exhibiting a Kno Table. October 1st A. D. 1887. Plea guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Tom Brin "alias" Kno Tom. in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the Offense of "Unlawfully Exhibiting a Kno Table for the purpose of gaming" as charged in the indictment herein against him. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Brin alias Kno Tom. the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County. take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4174. State of Texas. -vs- George Andrews. — Exhibiting Kno Table October 1st A. D. 1887. Plea guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Saturday the 1st day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

George Andrews in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial a jury being waived. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of Unlawfully exhibiting a Keno Table for the purpose of gaming. as charged in the indictment herein against him. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Andrews. the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County Texas until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4393 State of Texas. —vs— Bennett Patterson } Carrying a Pistol October 1st A. D. 1887. Plea guilty

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also the Defendant Bennett Patterson in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of unlawfully carrying a pistol. as charged in the indictment herein against him. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and Twenty days in Jail. It is therefor considered. ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bennett Patterson the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforsaid. together with all costs herein.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue and that said Defendant Bennett Patterson be by the Sheriff of Tarrant County taken and conveyed to the County Jail of said County and therein kept at close confinement for the full period of Twenty Days. and Further ordered. by the Court that after said twenty days imprisonment aforesaid has expired. that said Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Saturday morning October 8th A. D. 1887 Court met pursuant to adjournment. present as on adjournment.

4016. State of Texas. (Carrying a pistol
—vs— October 8th A. D. 1887.
Tom Givins.) Plea guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also came the Defendant Tom Givins. in person and by attorney. and both parties having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of "Unlawfully carrying a pistol" as charged in the indictment herein against him. thereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Thirty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court. that the State of Texas. do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Givins. the sum of Thirty Five Dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Saturday the 8th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

the Sheriff of Tarrant County, take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County Texas, until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4313 State of Texas. —vs— Tom Givens. } Aggravated assault. Saturday Oct'r 8th A. D. 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant, Tom Givens, in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of an "aggravated assault" as charged in the indictment herein against him, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Four Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Givens, the sum of Four Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs, execution may issue, It is further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Monday morning at 9 o'clock.

Monday morning October 10th A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on Saturday.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Monday the 10th day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Ordered by the Court that the following cases be continued for the term for service and that alias capias issue instanter for the respective Defendants. thereon.

4213 State of Texas. -vs- Lew Ward (col).
Unlawfully carrying a pistol.

4227. State of Texas. -vs- Bill Smith.
Playing cards in a public place.

4228. State of Texas. -vs- John Martin.
Playing cards in a public place.

4260 State of Texas. -vs- Louis Mann et al
Unlawfully Disfiguring a Horse.

4261. State of Texas. -vs- George Gaiter.
Unlawfully carrying a pistol.

4268. State of Texas. -vs- Madam Brown.
Unlawfully keeping Disorderly House.

4273 State of Texas. -vs- J. M. McCormick.
Playing cards in a public place.

4277. State of Texas. -vs- Bob Woodward.
Unlawfully selling on Sunday.

4280 State of Texas. -vs- Arthur Woodward.
Unlawfully selling on Sunday.

4280r. State of Texas. -vs- Bob Woodward.
Unlawfully selling liquor to a minor.

3211. State of Texas. -vs- John Blankenship.
Assault

3347. State of Texas. -vs- Billy Hewit
Unlawfully exhibiting Faro Bank.

3354 State of Texas. -vs- Billy Hewit.
Unlawfully exhibiting Faro Bank.

3357. State of Texas. -vs- Billy Hewit.
Unlawfully exhibiting Faro Bank.

3663. State of Texas. -vs- Wm Rodgers aka Dick Bridwell.
Disturbing religious worship.

3664 State of Texas. -vs- Willis Adams et al
Keeping a Disorderly House.

3665 State of Texas. -vs- Lizzie Chapman
Keeping a Disorderly House.

3724 State of Texas. -vs- Lew B. Newcomb.
Occupation without license.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Monday the 10th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3767. State of Texas. -vs- Wills Adams et al
Keeping a Disorderly House.

3815 State of Texas. -vs- J. H. Spear
Adultery.

3864 State of Texas. -vs- Jos McMullen.
Aggravated Assault

3874 State of Texas. -vs- G. P. Thompson.
Aggravated assault

3876. State of Texas. -vs- Brosia Smith.
Exhibiting Monte Bank.

3884 State of Texas. -vs- Sam McConnell.
Exhibiting Kino Table.

3929. State of Texas. -vs- Geo Bassett et al.
Theft under Twenty Dollars.

3951 State of Texas. -vs- Wm Foster
Theft under Twenty Dollars.

3977 State of Texas -vs- C. H. Peterson
Occupation without license.

3973 State of Texas. -vs- John Riley
Occupation without license.

4001 State of Texas. -vs- J. H. Saulsbury.
Occupation without license

4007 State of Texas. -vs- F. L. Trombly.
Occupation without license.

4020 State of Texas. -vs- — — Davis.
Theft under Twenty Dollars.

4034 State of Texas. -vs- Emma McVaus alias Emma McBain
An aggravated assault

4041 State of Texas. -vs- Geo McArthur.
Disturbing the peace.

4047 State of Texas. -vs- Dennis Purvis.
An aggravated assault

4048 State of Texas. -vs- Dick Bullock.
Playing cards in a public place.

4054 State of Texas. -vs- Harry Williams.
Unlawfully carrying a pistol.

4058 State of Texas. -vs- Hannie Roesch.
Keeping Disorderly House.

4062 State of Texas. -vs- Martin Lowe.
Unlawfully carrying a pistol

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Monday the 10th day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4094 State of Texas. -vs- Mattie Johnson.
Vagrancy.

4095 State of Texas. -vs- Pavvy Lee.
Vagrancy.

4097 State of Texas. -vs- Sadie Mayfield
Vagrancy.

4099. State of Texas. -vs- Tronny Crisp.
Vagrancy.

4101 State of Texas. -vs- Lizzie Chapman.
Vagrancy.

4103 State of Texas -vs- Sallie Williams
Vagrancy.

4116. State of Texas -vs- Fred Bronson.
Exhibiting a Kino Table.

4117. State of Texas. -vs- Bob Cummings
Exhibiting a Kino. Table.

4118 State of Texas. -vs- Geo Canary.
Exhibiting a Faro Bank.

4133 State of Texas. -vs- Bob Cummings.
Exhibiting a Faro Bank.

4139 State of Texas. -vs- Bob Cummings.
Exhibiting a Faro Bank.

4143 State of Texas. -vs- California Kid.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4140 State of Texas. -vs- Sallie Williams.
Vagrancy.

4147 State of Texas. -vs- Pete Fagg.
Exhibiting a gaming Bank

4153 State of Texas. -vs- Budd Fagg.
Exhibiting a gaming Bank.

4154 State of Texas. -vs- Foss Hewitt.
Betting at Kino-

4160. State of Texas. -vs- Brosier Smith
Betting at a gaming table.

4187. State of Texas. -vs- Chas Bullock
Exhibiting a Kino Table.

4183 State of Texas. -vs- G. L. McConnell Alias High Ball Mac.
Exhibiting a Kino Table.

4184 State of Texas. -vs- Bob Cummings.
Exhibiting a Kino Table.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Monday the 10th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4186. State of Texas. -vs- Pete Hagg.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

4188. State of Texas. -vs- Arnold Wilson
Betting at Keno.

4196. State of Texas. -vs- Graff Baker.
Carrying a pistol.

4203 State of Texas. -vs- Charley Eastman
Selling liquor on Sunday.

Ordered that the following cases be continued for the term by consent.

3897. State of Texas. -vs- Mike Cassidy.
Keeping a Disorderly House.

3903 State of Texas. -vs- Mike Cassidy.
Occupation without license.

4107. State of Texas. -vs- John Blythe alias Long John.
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4108 State of Texas. -vs- John Blythe alias Long John.
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4109 State of Texas. -vs- John Blythe alias Long John
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4110 State of Texas. -vs- John Blythe alias Long John.
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4111. State of Texas. -vs- John Blythe alias Long John.
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4112. State of Texas. -vs- John Blythe alias Long John.
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4114. State of Texas. -vs- John Blythe alias Long John
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4120 State of Texas. -vs- Little George.
Exhibiting a Faro Bank.

4124 State of Texas. -vs- Bat. Masterson.
Exhibiting a Faro Bank.

4126. State of Texas. -vs- Charles Wright
Exhibiting a Faro Bank.

4127 State of Texas. -vs- Charles Wright
Exhibiting a Faro Bank.

4128 State of Texas. -vs- Charles Wright
Exhibiting a Faro Bank.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Monday the 10th day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4129 State of Texas. -vs- Charles Wright
Exhibiting a Faro Bank.

4131 State of Texas. -vs- Charles Wright
Exhibiting a Faro Bank.

4140 State of Texas. -vs- Bob Hamilton
Exhibiting a Faro Bank.

4144 State of Texas. -vs- Warm Orrick
Exhibiting a Roulette Table.

4148 State of Texas. -vs- Geo Andrews.
Exhibiting a gaming Bank.

4149 State of Texas. -vs- Geo Andrews.
Exhibiting a gaming Bank.

4150 State of Texas. -vs- Geo Andrews.
Exhibiting a gaming Bank.

4152 State of Texas. -vs- California Kid,
Exhibiting a gaming Bank.

4162 State of Texas. -vs- Tom Brin alias Kno Tom.
Exhibiting a Kno Table-

4163 State of Texas. -vs- Tom Brin alias Kno Tom.
Exhibiting a Kno. Table.

4164. State of Texas. -vs- Tom Brin alias Kno Tom.
Exhibiting a Kno Table.

4165 State of Texas. -vs- Tom Brin alias Kno Tom.
Exhibiting a Kno Table.

4167. State of Texas. -vs- Tom Brin alias Kno Tom
Exhibiting a Kno Table.

4168 State of Texas. -vs- Tom Brin alias Kno Tom.
Exhibiting a Kno Table.

4166. State of Texas. -vs- Tom Brin alias Kno Tom
Exhibiting a Kno Table.

4172 State of Texas. -vs- Tom Brin alias Kno Tom.
Exhibiting a Kno Table.

4173 State of Texas. -vs- Tom Brin alias Kno Tom.
Exhibiting a Kno Table.

4175 State of Texas. -vs- Geo Andrews.
Exhibiting a Kno Table

4177 State of Texas. -vs- Geo Andrews.
Exhibiting a Kno Table.

4178 State of Texas. -vs- Geo Andrews.
Exhibiting a Kno Table.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Monday the 10th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4179 State of Texas. -vs- Geo Andrews.
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4180 State of Texas. -vs- Geo Andrews.
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4181. State of Texas. -vs- Geo Andrews.
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4185 State of Texas. -vs- Chas Headley.
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4187 State of Texas. -vs- Warren Orrick
Exhibiting a gaming Bank

4197 State of Texas. -vs- G. L. McConnell alias High Ball Mac.
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4198. State of Texas. -vs- G. L. McConnell alias High Ball Mac.
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4199 State of Texas. -vs- Geo Andrews.
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4200 State of Texas. -vs- John Blythe
Exhibiting a Keno Table

4201 State of Texas. -vs- Geo Andrews.
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4202 State of Texas. -vs- G. L. McConnell.
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4204 State of Texas. -vs- G. L. McConnell.
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4205 State of Texas. -vs- G. L. McConnell.
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4206. State of Texas. -vs- G. L. McConnell.
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4207. State of Texas. -vs- G. L. McConnell
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4208 State of Texas. -vs- G. L. McConnell.
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4209 State of Texas. -vs- G. L. McConnell.
Exhibiting a Keno Table

4210. State of Texas. -vs- G. L. McConnell.
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4212 State of Texas. -vs- G. L. McConnell.
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4295 State of Texas. -vs- Charles Wright
Exhibiting a Faro Bank.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Monday the 10th day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

It appearing to the Court that in the following causes the Sheriff has failed to make any arrest because the respective Defendants therein cannot be found in Tarrant County, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the same be filed.

3391 State of Texas. -vs- John Murrin
Occupation without license.

3766. State of Texas. -vs- Lou Halsell.
Occupation without license.

3943 State of Texas. -vs- Martin Mise & Fitzhugh
Occupation without license

4053 State of Texas. -vs- Wilson Milligan
Unlawfully carrying a pistol.

4087 State of Texas -vs- Blanche Baily
Vagrancy

4083 State of Texas. -vs- Kitty Reno.
Vagrancy.

4251 State of Texas. -vs- Dick Clayton.
Unlawfully carrying a pistol

4258 State of Texas. -vs- Ike Turner.
Aggravated assault

4259 State of Texas -vs- John Turner
Disturbing the peace.

4267 State of Texas -vs- John Turner
Unlawfully carrying a pistol

4263 State of Texas. -vs- Isaac Turner
Unlawfully carrying a pistol.

Now comes the County attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed in each of the following causes says he will no prosecute. but will dismiss the same. and asks the Court to enter an order nol pros-sing the same to wit:-

4357 State of Texas. vs- Thos. J. Gibbons.
Unlawfully carrying a pistol

3512 State of Texas. -vs- Frank Cameron.
Aggravated assault

3707 State of Texas. -vs- Jno. T. Vickers.
Occupation without license.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Monday the 10th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

3947 State of Texas. -vs- M. D. Keith
Occupation without license.

3987 State of Texas -vs- J. R. Wright
Occupation without license

3988 State of Texas. -vs- Ed. H. Warren.
Occupation without license.

4085 State of Texas. -vs- Hernando Mexico.
Vagrancy.

4119. State of Texas. -vs- Smithy Kyle.
Exhibiting a Faro Bank.

4121 State of Texas. -vs- Smithey Kyle.
Exhibiting a Faro Bank.

4135 State of Texas. -vs- Smithee Kyle.
Exhibiting a Faro Bank.

4141 State of Texas. -vs- Smithee Kyle.
Exhibiting a Faro Bank.

4142 State of Texas. -vs- Smithee Kyle.
Exhibiting a Faro Bank.

4250 State of Texas. -vs- Os Bates.
Unlawfully carrying a pistol

4313 State of Texas. -vs- Sam Lung.
Keeping an Opium Den.

and it appearing to the Court that the reasons therein assigned for a nolle prosequi are good and sufficient. It therefor ordered and adjudged by the Court that each and all of the forgoing causes be and they are hereby dismissed. and that the respective Defendants therein be discharged from any further liability upon said charges and that they go hence without day.

4322 State of Texas. -vs- Jeff Brooks. (Maiming a Horse. October 10th A. D. 1887. Motion to dismiss.

This day came on to be heard the motion of the State of Texas to dismiss the appeal taken in this cause. and the Court having heard said motion and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court. that the

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

law is against said motion. Ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled and Defendant granted leave to file amended appeal bond.

4063 State of Texas. (Selling liquor to a minor
-vs- (Monday Oct 10. 1887.
John Moon.) Information quashed.

This day came both parties and then came on to be heard the motion of the Defendant John Moon to quash the Information and complaint filed herein against him, and the Court having heard said motion and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained. and said information and complaint quashed and that Defendant be discharged from any further liability herein and that he go hence without day and recover his costs.

4307 State of Texas. (Maiming a Cow.
-vs- (Monday October 10th 1887.
Jeff Brooks.) Complaint Quashed

This day came both parties and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the Complaint filed herein against him, and the Court having heard said motion and argument and being fully advised in the premises, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. Ordered, that said motion be sustained and that said Complaint be and the same is hereby quashed and for naught held. and the Defendant Jeff Brooks discharged from any further liability on the charge for which he is herein held. and that he go hence without day with his costs.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Monday the 10th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Organization of Jury.

Now comes B. W. Shipp. Sheriff of Tarrant County, after being duly instructed and sworn by the Court, and brings into Court the following named qualified jurors of Tarrant County, for the present week of this term of Court to-wit. Frank Wilcox, John W. Basden, Jos Nugent, J. M. Trigg, W. A. Organ, S. B. Hamilton, A. C. Brandon, Ike Schwartz, J. A. Beasley, J. M. Adams, D. T. Eskew, John Purvis, L. F. O'Dunnigg, C. D. Gulley, J. W. Murphy, J. C. Cooper and J. M. Jackins, and all of said jurymen were duly tried empaneled and sworn as the jury of this the 6th week of the September Term, A. D. 1887, of this Court.

3926. State of Texas. (Disturbing the peace.
-vs-
David Conart) Monday Oct'r 10th 1887.
Verdict.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant David Conart in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to-wit W. A. Organ and five others, who were duly selected tried empaneled and sworn, according to law, and the Defendant now here enters his plea of "not guilty" to the offense charged herein against him, and the indictment herein being now read to the jury by the County Attorney, and the jury after hearing all the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We, the jury find the Defendant not guilty as charged in the indictment

(signed). W. A. Organ. Foreman.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887

Monday the 10th day of Octr A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded on the minutes.

It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court. that the Defendant David Corrart be and he is hereby immediately discharged from any further liability on the charge for which he is herein tried and that he go hence without day.

4310. State of Texas. (Selling liquor on Sunday.
—vs— Monday Octr 10-1887.
James Yeates) Cont.

Ordered that this cause be continued for the term by consent.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Tuesday Morning 9 oclock October 11 1887 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

4387 State of Texas } Exhibiting a Gaming Bank
—vs— } Tuesday October 11 1887
Joe Purvis }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being received the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and said defendant now here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Joe Purvis the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid & aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. & for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887

Tuesday the 11 day of October A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4123 State of Texas vs Jim Thrasher } Exhibiting w Faro Bank.
Tuesday October 11 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and defendant Jim Thrasher now here entered his plea of guilty, whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jim Thrasher the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4288 State of Texas vs Ward Bros. } Selling on Sunday
Tuesday October 11. 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and defendants W. H. and J. L. Ward now here entered their plea of "guilty" whereupon the court assessed their punishment at a fine of $20. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that plaintiff The State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendants W. H. Ward and J. L. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendants and retain them in custody till said fine and costs are paid in full—

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887

Tuesday the 11 day of October A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

11292 The State of Texas vs Ike Lyons } Selling on Sunday
Tuesday October 11, 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of "guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Ike Lyons the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4331 The State of Texas vs Rudolph Cimmerly } Aggravated Assault
Tuesday October 11 1887

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the defendant in person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. A. Organ and five others who were duly elected empanelled tried and sworn according to law and said jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty of a simple assault and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars (5.00) (Signed) W. A Organ Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Rudolf Cimmerly the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, and that execution issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and retain him in custody till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887

Tuesday the 11 day of October A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4335 The State of Texas vs Rudolf Cimmerly } Unlawfully Carrying a Pistol
Tuesday October 11, 1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also came the defendant in person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. L. Purvis and four others who were duly selected, tried empanelled and sworn according to law, and said jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:
"We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five dollars. (Signed) J. L. Purvis, Foreman"
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff The State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant Rudolf Cimmerly the sum of Twenty five ($25.) Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and retain him in custody till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4366 The State of Texas vs Gus Fuhlman } Unlawfully Selling on Sunday
Tuesday October 11. 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of defendant the Gus Fuhlman the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue.
Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887

Tuesday the 11 day of October A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4440

The State of Texas vs W. H. Ward } Unlawfully Selling on Sunday Tuesday October 11, 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and announced ready for trial, A jury being empaneled the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and plea defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars ($20.) It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant W. H. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and retain him in custody till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4380

The State of Texas vs Louis Martin } Unlawfully Exhibiting a Gaming Bank. October 11 1887.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: J. M. Adams and five others who were duly selected empanelled tried and sworn according to law, and said jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant Guilty and assess his fine at $50.00 (Fifty Dollars) and thirty days in jail. <Signed> J. M. Adams Foreman" It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the verdict aforesaid be in all things confirmed and approved and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Louis Martin the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days punishment assessed by the jury, and till this fine and costs are paid in full.

4384 The State of Texas vs George Bates } Exhibiting Gaming Bank
Tuesday October 11, 1887

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W.A. Bryan and four others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law, and said jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess the penalty at $25.00 fine. Signed W.A. Bryan Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant George Bates the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

4311 The State of Texas vs Elgin Billington } Aggravated Assault
Tuesday October 11, 1887

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in person and by attorney.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887

Tuesday the 11 day of October A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: A.C. Brandon and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law and said defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty of Simple Assault and assess his punishment at a fine of five dollars" (Signed) A.C. Brandon Foreman" It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant Elgid Billington the sum of five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff retain said defendant in custody till said fine and costs are paid in full.

43?3 The State of Texas vs Bill Burton } Unlawfully Carrying a Pistol Tuesday October 11, 1887

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the court to dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant Bill Burton go hence without day.

4324 The State of Texas vs Flora Williams } Aggravated Assault Tuesday Oct. 11. 1887

Ordered that Alias Capias issue for deft; and that this cause stand continued.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887

Tuesday the 11 day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4328 State of Texas vs Geo. Rupe } Aggravated Assault — Tuesday Oct. 11. 1887. Alias Capias and Continued

4367 The State of Texas vs Bob Wagoner } Selling on Sunday Tuesday Oct. 11, 1887

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and for good and sufficient reasons, says he will no further prosecute this suit, and moves the court to dismiss same. It is therefore ordered that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant Bob Wagoner go hence without day.

4411 The State of Texas vs W. H. Ward } Selling on Sunday Tuesday Oct. 11, 1887

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons moves the court to dismiss this cause. It is therefore ordered that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this suit and that defendant W. H. Ward go hence without day —

4402 The State of Texas vs W. H. Ward. } Selling on Sunday Tuesday Oct. 11, 1887

Now comes the County Attorney and moves the court to dismiss this cause for good and sufficient reasons, and it is therefore ordered that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant W. H. Ward go hence without day

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887

Tuesday the 11 day of October A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4446 The State of Texas vs James Joseph } Unlawfully Carrying a Pistol
Tuesday October 11. 1887.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the defendant James Joseph in person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and Twenty days in the County Jail.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant James Joseph the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County for the full period of Twenty Days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4447 The State of Texas vs. J. H. Davis. } Theft of property under $20. in value
Tuesday October 11. 1887.

This day came the county attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the defendant J. H. Davis in person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and said defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 and 30 days in jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant J. H. Davis the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which execution issue.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887

Tuesday the 11 day of October A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County jail for the full period of thirty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4490 The State of Texas vs W. C. Cunningham } Aggravated Assault. Tuesday Oct: 11. 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty to the offense of Simple Assault. Whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant W. C. Cunningham the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Wednesday morning October 12th A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 o'clock. pursuant to adjournment. present as on yesterday.

4303. State of Texas vs. Jim Dobson & Jon Crain } Theft under Twenty Dollars. October 12th A. D. 1887. Severance granted and. Verdict.

This day came the County Attorney

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Wednesday the 12th day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

prosecuting the pleas of the State, also the Defendant Joe Crain (a recognizance having been granted by the Court), in person and by Attorney, and both parties having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County town:- J. W. Jackins, and four others who were duly elected, tried empanelled and sworn according to law, and the Defendant Joe Crain now here enters his plea of "not guilty" to the charge herein against him and the indictment being now read to the jury by the County Attorney, whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict-town: "We, the jury, find the Defendant Joe Crain" not guilty as charged in the indictment"

(Signed) J. W. Jackins, Foreman,

which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded on the minutes,

It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court, that the Defendant Joe Crain be and he is hereby immediately discharged from any further liability on the charge for which he is herein tried and that he go hence without day.

1353. State of Texas. / Theft under Twenty Dollars.
—vs— / Wednesday Oct'r 12th 1887.
Jim Dobson / Recognizance granted and.
and Joe Crain. / Plea guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Jim Dobson (a recognizance having been granted), in person and by Attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887,

Wednesday the 12th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of "Theft of property under the value of Twenty Dollars" as charged in the indictment herein against him. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of One Dollar. and Six (6) months, imprisonment in the Tarrant County jail. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court. that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Dobson. the sum of One Dollar. fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant Jim Dobson and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County Texas. at Close imprisonment for the full period of Six (6) months. Further ordered by the Court. that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant Jim Dobson after said term of Six months imprisonment has expired. and confine him in the County jail of Tarrant County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4358. State of Texas. —vs— Wallace Buchanan. } Carrying a pistol. Wednesday Oct. 12. 1887. Verdict.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Wallace Buchanan. in person and by attorney and then came on to be heard by the Court the Defendants motion for a continuance. which said motion being heard by the Court. and argument of Counsel. and the Court being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. Ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. and both parties having announced ready for

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to-wit: John Purvis. and four others. who were duly elected tried empanelled and sworn according to law and the indictment herein being now read to the jury by the County attorney. and the Defendant enters his plea of "not guilty" to the offense charged therein against him. whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence. arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to-wit, "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty as charged in the indictment. (Signed) John Purvis Forman" which verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded on the minutes,

It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Defendant Wallace Buchannan be and he is hereby discharged from any further liability on the charge for which he is herein tried and that he go hence without day.

4358. State of Texas. —vs— Wallace Buchannan } Carrying a pistol October 12th A. D. 1887. Dismissed

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons herein filed says he will no longer prosecute said cause but will enter and order. nolle prosequi in same. and asks the Court to enter said order.

Whereupon it is considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that Deft Wallace Buchannan go hence without day.

4368. State of Texas. —vs— Joe Gerard. } Selling liquor on Sunday. October 12th A. D. 1887. verdict

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Wednesday the 12th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Joe Gerard in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to-wit. "J. H. Murphy and for others who were duly selected. tried empaneled and sworn according to law and the indictment found herein being now read to the jury by the County Attorney. the Defendant enters his plea of "Not guilty" whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court. retired to consider of their verdict and after due and mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Thirty Five Dollars.

(Signed) J. H. Murphy. Forman.

which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded on the minutes.

It is therefore considered. ordered and adjudged by the Court. that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Joe Gerard. the sum of Thirty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant Joe Gerard and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that the following causes be continued for service for the term and that alias capias issue instanter.

4336. State of Texas. —vs— Willis Grimm.
Aggravated assault.

4339 State of Texas. —vs— Mollie Reigdon
Carrying fruit from orchard of another.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Wednesday the 12th day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4341) State of Texas. —vs— Saml Hagdon
carrying fruit from anothers Orchard.

4343 State of Texas. —vs— Geo Gutter.
aggravated assault

4345 State of Texas. —vs— G. N. Wilderman.) Theft under Twenty Dollars. Wednesday October 12" 1887. N.P.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein says he will no longer prosecute this suit but will dismiss same. Ordered by the Court that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said Defendant go hence without day.

4346. State of Texas. —vs— Ernest King.) Carrying a pistol Wednesday Oct 12" 1887. Information quashed.

This day came both parties and then came on to be heard by the Court the Defendants motion to quash the complaint filed herein, and the Court having heard said motion argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. It is therefor considered and ordered by the Court that the Complaint filed herein be and the same is hereby quashed and that the Defendant Ernest King go hence without day.

4348. State of Texas. —vs— Jim White Alias English Jim) Carrying a pistol Wednesday Oct 12th 1887. Verdict

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the State, also the Defendant Jim White alias English Jim, in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Wednesday the 12th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Tarrant County to-wit Frank Wilcox and four others who were duly elected tried empanelled and sworn according to law and the information filed herein being now read to the jury. by the County Attorney. the Defendant enters his plea of "not guilty" whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence. and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict-to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25. and 20 days in the County Jail.

(signed). Frank Wilcox. Foreman.

which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded on the minutes

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim White alias English Jim. the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County for the full period of twenty days at close confinement. further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant after said term of twenty days imprisonment has expired and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

State of Texas. (Aggravated assault

4350. -vs- (Wednesday Oct 12th 1887.

J. F. Flagg.) Plea guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Wednesday the 12th day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Defendant J. F. Fogg in person and by Attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial a jury being heard. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court & the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of "Aggravated assault" as charged in the information herein against him. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. F. Fogg the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs. execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4351 State of Texas. / Assault and Battery
—vs— / Wednesday Oct 12th 1887.
John Childress / Dismissed

Now comes the County attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed says he will no longer prosecute this cause but will dismiss same. Wherefore it is ordered and adjudged by the Court the said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that Defendant go hence without day.

4352. State of Texas. / Aggravated assault
—vs— / Wednesday Oct 12th 1887.
John Fields. / Dismissed

Error

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed says he will no longer prosecute this cause but will dismiss same. and asks the Court to enter an order to that effect. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that Defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Thursday the 13th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Thursday morning. October 13th A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 o'clock, pursuant to adjournment, present as on yesterday.

1094. State of Texas. —vs— Madam Switzer } Vagrancy. Thursday Oct'r 13th 1887. Dismissed

Now comes the County attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed asks the Court to enter an order "Nolle prosequi" in this cause. Therefore it is ordered by the Court that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said Defendant go hence without day.

1290 State of Texas. —vs— Madam Porter } Selling on Sunday. Thursday Oct'r 13th A. D. 1887. Continuance

Ordered by the Court that this cause be continued for the term by consent.

1318. State of Texas. —vs— Addie Johnson and Minerva McConnell. } Aggravated assault Thursday October 13th 1887. Nol Pros. as to Defendant McConnell.

Now comes the County attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein says he will no longer prosecute this cause as to Defendant Minerva McConnell. Wherefore it is ordered by the Court that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed as concerns said Defendant Minerva McConnell and that said Defendant go hence without day.

1325. State of Texas. —vs— Frank Lawrence } Aggravated assault Thursday Oct'r 13th 1887. Dismissed.

Now comes the County attorney pros-

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

ecting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed says he will no longer prosecute said cause. but will dismiss same. Ordered by the Court. that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed. and that said Defendant go hence without day.

4318. State of Texas. / Aggravated assault
-vs- / Monday October 13th 1887.
Addie Johnson and / Plea guilty.
Minnie McConnell. / as to Defendant Johnson.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also came the Defendant Addie Johnson. in person and by Attorney. This cause having been heretofore dismissed as to Defendant Minerva McConnell. and both parties having announced ready for trial. a jury duly waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the Defendant Addie Johnson now here enters her plea of guilty to the offense of "Aggravated assault as charged in the Complaint herein. whereupon the Court assesses her punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas. do have and recover of and from the Defendant. Addie Johnson. the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine her in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4337. State of Texas. / Carrying a Dirk.
-vs- / Thursday Octr 13th 1887.
Fannie Quinn. / Dismissed.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. and for good and sufficient reasons filed. says he will

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Thursday the 13th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

no longer prosecute said cause. but will dismiss same. Therefore it is ordered that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said Defendant go hence without day.

4314. State of Texas. —vs— J. F. Fogg. } Carrying a Pistol. Thursday Oct. 13th 1887. Verdict.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also came the Defendant J. F. Fogg in person and by attorney. and both parties having announced ready. for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County Texas. J. W. Adams. and five others. who were duly selected. tried empaneled and sworn according to law. and the information herein being now read to the jury by the County attorney. the Defendant enters his plea of "not guilty" to the offense therein charged. whereupon the jury. after hearing all the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict towit. "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at $25.00 and twenty days in County Jail.

(Signed) J. W. Adams. Forman"

which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded on the minutes.

It is therefore considered. ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. F. Fogg. the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County Texas. for

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Thursday the 13th day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the full period of twenty days. Further ordered by the Court, that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant J. F. Dogg. after said term of twenty days imprisonment has expired, and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4360. State of Texas. —vs— Press Durrell. } Carrying a pistol. Thursday Oct 13th 1887. Continuance.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be continued for the term for service. and that alias capias issue instanter.

4377. State of Texas. —vs— Manala Manning } Betting at a game played with Dice. Thursday October 13, 1887. Plea guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also the Defendant Manala Manning. in person and by attorney. and both parties having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the Defendant now here enters his plea of "guilty" to the offense of "unlawfully betting at a game played with Dice" as charged in the indictment herein against her. whereupon the Court assesses her penalty at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is. therefore. considered. ordered and adjudged. by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Manala Manning. the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. Together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs. execution may issue. Further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine her in the County jail of Tarrant County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Thursday the 13th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Ordered by the Court that the following causes be continued for service. and that alias capias issue instanter

4378 State of Texas. -vs- Warren Orrick
Exhibiting Roulette Table.

4386. State of Texas. -vs- Henry Gray.
Exhibiting gaming Bank.

4391. State of Texas. -vs- "Jimmie"
Exhibiting gaming Bank.

4394 State of Texas -vs- J. R. Shannon.
Removing fence of another.

4403 State of Texas. -vs- Wm Routh.
Selling liquor on Sunday.

Ordered by the Court that the following causes be continued for the term by consent.

4379 State of Texas. -vs- Bill Sanford.
Exhibiting a monte Bank.

4380 State of Texas. -vs- Bill Sanford
Exhibiting a monte Bank.

4385 State of Texas. -vs- Lemman Gibert.
Exhibiting a gaming Bank.

4389. State of Texas. -vs- California Kid
Exhibiting a gaming Bank.

4392 State of Texas. -vs- Bill Sanford
Exhibiting a gaming Bank.

4445 State of Texas. -vs- Geo Ruggstaff.
Disturbing the peace.

4388. State of Texas. -vs- George Bates. Exhibiting gaming Bank Thursday October 13. 1887. Dismissed.

Now comes the County attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed says he will no longer prosecute this cause. but will enter an order nolle prosequi in said cause. It is therefor considered ordered and adjudged by the Court. that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that Defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Septmbr Term, 1887.

Thursday the 13th day of Octobr A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Ordered that the following cases be continued for the term and that alias capias issue instanter.

4321. State of Texas. -vs- Landy Ary.
Assault and Battery.

4447 State of Texas. -vs- Walter Grawrs.
Aggravated assault

4448. State of Texas. -vs- Jack Davis.
Adultery.

4449 State of Texas. -vs- Annie Hargraves.
Adultery.

4465 State of Texas. -vs- Bill Curton } Carrying a pistol.
Thursday October 13th 1887.
Plea of guilty.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also the Defendant Bill Curton, in person and by Attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of unlawfully carrying a pistol, as charged in the indictment herein against whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and twenty days imprisonment in the County jail. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bill Curton, the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail ~~for the fine~~ period of twenty days, and that after said term of Twenty days imprisonment has expired, that said Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Friday the 14th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Friday morning October 14th A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 o'clock. pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

3938. State of Texas. -vs- Billy Ellison } Assault
Friday Oct'r. 14th 1887.
Continued

Ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby continued for the term for service and that alias capias issue instanter

Ordered by the Court that the following causes be continued for the term by the State

4264 State of Texas. -vs- Frank Gillespie
Adultery.

4265 State of Texas. -vs- Lizzie Stokes.
Adultery.

4371. State of Texas. -vs- Easter Smith } Keeping a Disorderly House.
Friday October 14th 1887.
Non Sereick-

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Easter Smith in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County Towit: Jos Nugent and five others who were duly selected tried empaneled and sworn according to law. and the indictment filed herein being now read to the jury by the County Attorney. the Defendant enters her plea of not guilty whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence and argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Friday the 14th day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

after mature deliberation returned into open Court and being interrogated by the Court concerning their verdict responded. that they could not possibly come to any agreement. Therefore it is ordered by the Court, that a mistrial be entered herein and that this cause be and the same is hereby continued for the Term.

4372. State of Texas. vs. Joe Pope. } Keeping a Disorderly House. Friday October 14th 1887. Mistrial.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Joe Pope in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to-wit: A. C. Brandon and five others who were duly elected tried empanelled and sworn according to law and the indictment filed herein being now read to the jury by the County Attorney. the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the Jury after hearing all the evidence. and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court and informed the Court that they could not arrive at a verdict It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that a mistrial be and the same is hereby entered herein and this cause continued for the term.

4373. State of Texas. vs. Sam Young. } Carrying a pistol. Friday October 14th 1887. Verdict.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Sam Young in person and by Attorney. and both parties having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 188 7.

Friday the 14th day of October A. D. 188 7.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to-wit: W. A. Organ and four others who were duly elected tried empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information filed herein being now read to the jury by the County Attorney, the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation, returned into open Court with the following verdict-Towit-: "We, the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and thirty days in jail.

(Signed) W. A. Organ Foreman"

which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded on the minutes.

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Sam Young, the sum of Forty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County jail of Tarrant County for the full period of thirty days and further ordered that after said term of thirty days imprisonment he be released, that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant Sam Young and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Saturday morning October 15th A. D. 1887.
Court met at 9 o'clock, pursuant to ad-

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Septmon Term, 1887.

Saturday the 15th day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Government present as on yesterday.

3702. State of Texas. -vs- Jno. J. Lynn. } Occupation without license
Saturday October 15th A.D. 1887.
Continued

Ordered by the Court that this cause be continued for the term by consent and that alias capias issue instanter.

4055. State of Texas. -vs- Luke Short. } Carrying a pistol.
Saturday October 15th 1887.
Dismissed.

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed says he will no longer prosecute same. Ordered by the Court that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that Defendant go hence without day.

4194. State of Texas. -vs- Henry Loyd (Col). } Carrying Brass Knucks.
Saturday October 15th 1887.
Continuance.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be continued for the term by consent.

4214. State of Texas. -vs- Henry Loyd (Col). } Aggravated assault.
Saturday October 15th 1887.
Continuance.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be continued for the term by consent.

4228. State of Texas. -vs- John Martin. } Playing cards in public place.
Saturday Oct 15th 1887.
Pleas ready.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant John Martin in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Septmon Term, 1887.

Saturday the 15th day of Octoon A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

his plea of guilty to the offense of "unlawfully playing cards in a public place" as charged in the indictment herein against him. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Martin the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County jail of Tarrant County Texas until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4216. State of Texas. —vs— — Heilman. (Keeping a Disorderly House. Saturday Octoon 15. 1887. Continuance.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby continued for the term and that alias issue instanter.

4261. State of Texas. —vs— Luke Shorts (Carrying a pistol. Saturday Octoon 15. 1887. Pleaguilty.

This day came the County attorney. prosecuting the pleas of the State. also the Defendant Luke Shorts in person and by attorney. and both parties having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here. enters his plea of guilty to the offense of unlawfully carrying a pistol. as charged in the Indictment herein against him. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered. ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Saturday the 10th day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

of and from the Defendant Luke Short, the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4395 State of Texas. vs. E. F. Niemeyer } Selling whiskey in local option precinct. Saturday October 15th 1887. Dismissed

Now comes both parties, and this came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the information filed herein and it appearing to the Court that the law is for said motion. Ordered by the Court that said motion be sustained. Said information be quashed. Said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that the Defendant go hence without day.

4403. State of Texas. vs. Wm Routh } Selling liquor on Sunday. Saturday October 15th 1887. Nol Pros.

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed says he will no longer prosecute this cause. ordered that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that Defendant go hence without day.

4412. State of Texas. vs. Luke Short } Carrying a pistol. Saturday October 15th 1887. Dismissed

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed says he will no longer prosecute this cause. ordered that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said Defendant Luke Short go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Saturday the 15th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4413 State of Texas. —vs— James Rushing } False Imprisonment
Saturday Oct 15th 1887.
Verdict

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant James Rushing in person and by Attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to wit W. A. Organ and five others who were duly selected tried empanelled and sworn according to law and the information filed herein being now read to the jury by the County Attorney, the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty. thereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict-to wit " We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of ($25.00) Twenty Five Dollars.

(signed). W. A. Organ Foreman"

It is therefor considered ordered and adjuged by the Court. that the State of Texas. do have and recover of and from the Defendant-James Rushing the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4418 State of Texas. —vs— Earnest-King } Carrying a pistol.
Saturday Oct 15. 1887.
Continued

Ordered that this cause be continued for the term on the application of the Defendant-

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Saturday the 15th day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

1373. State of Texas. / Keeping a Disorderly House
-vs- / Saturday October 15th 1887.
Carrie Anderson. / Forfeited Bond.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant Carrie Anderson being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to-wit: on the 27th day of September, A.D. 1887. the Defendant Carrie Anderson after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, upon a charge of "Unlawfully keeping a disorderly House" did as principal, enter into an appearance bond with George Walm and W. T. Nichols, as her sureties, in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that should the said Carrie Anderson make her personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas, then in session at the Court House thereof "instanter" and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge, then, in this case the above bond to be null and void, otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law, and said Defendant Carrie Anderson having failed to appear as aforesaid, It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court, that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Carrie Anderson, as principal and George Walm and W. T. Nichols, sureties as aforesaid said sum of Two Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally, together with all costs of this proceeding, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown, at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required, and that alias Capias issue instanter for the Defendant.

4226. State of Texas. / Selling liquor on Sunday,
-vs- / Saturday Oct. 15th 1887.
Billy Bowm / Bond forfeited.

This day came the County Attorney pros-

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887

Saturday the 15th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

citing the pleas of the State, and the Defendant Billy Bowen, being duly called came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to-wit: on the 6th day of May 1887 the Defendant Billy Bowen having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of "unlawfully selling liquor on Sunday" did as principal enter into an appearance bond with John Graham and James Liston as his sureties, in the sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that should the said Defendant Billy Bowen, make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas, (at the Court House thereof) "then and" at a regular session" and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court, and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge, then and in this case, the above bond to be null and void, otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law; and said Defendant Billy Bowen, having failed to appear as aforesaid, It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court, that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Billy Bowen as principal and John Graham and James Liston, sureties as aforesaid, said sum of One Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally, together with all costs of this proceeding, — and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that citation issue in manner and form as by law required and that alias capias issue instanter for the Defendant.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Saturday the 15th day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

4454. State of Texas. (Selling whiskey in local option precinct.
-vs-
E. F. Niemeyer (Saturday. October 15th 1887.
Verdict

This day came, the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant E. F. Niemeyer, in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County towit L. F. O'Sullivan and four others, who were duly selected tried empaneled and sworn according to law, and the information filed herein being now read to the jury by the County Attorney, the Defendant enters his plea of "not guilty" to the offense therein charged, whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict, to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty & assess his fine at $25.00 & 20 days in the Co jail of Tarrant Co.
(Signed) L. F. O'Sullivan. Foreman"
which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded on the minutes.

It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant E. F. Niemeyer, the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County, take said Defendant and confine him in the County jail of Tarrant County Texas, for the full period of Twenty days. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County, take said Defendant E. F. Niemeyer, after said term of twenty days imprisonment has expired and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Saturday the 15th day of October A. D. 188 7.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

said fine and costs are paid in full.

State of Texas.) Selling in local option
-vs-) precinct. Oct 15th 1887.
11454 E. F. Niemeyer.) Motion for a new trial.

This day came the parties. and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion in arrest of judgment and for a new trial. and the Court having heard said motion. and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. to which ruling of the Court Defendant excepts and gives notice of appeal in open Court to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

State of Texas.) Selling in local option precinct
-vs-) Saturday Oct 15. 1887.
11454. E. F. Niemeyer.) Recognizance.

This day came into open Court E. F. Niemeyer. defendant in the above entitled cause. who together with C. A. Parker and Edward Long his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas. in the penal sum of One Hundred. Dollars. conditioned that the said E. F. Niemeyer who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "Unlawfully selling liquor in a local option precinct" and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same. and not depart without leave of this Court. in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case."

State of Texas.) Aggravated assault
-vs-) Saturday Oct 15, 1887
4456. Will McCart) Verdict-

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Saturday the 15th day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Will McCart in person and by Attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County Texas. J. W. Adams and fifteen others who were duly elected tried and sworn and empaneled according to law and the information filed herein being now read to the jury by the County attorney. the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the Jury after hearing all the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court. the following verdict Towit "We the jury find the defendant guilty of simple assault and assess his fine at $25.00

(Signed) J. W. Adams Forman"

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will McCart the sum of Twenty Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs. are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Monday morning at 9 oclock.

Monday morning October 17th A. D. 1887.
Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjournment present as on Saturday.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.
Saturday the 17th day of October A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

11318. State of Texas. -vs- Jim White } Monday Oct 17th 1887.

This day came the parties. thereto came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial and the Court having heard said motion. argument and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion It is therefor ordered by the Court that said motion be sustained. and that said Defendant be and he is hereby granted a new trial herein.

1383. State of Texas. -vs- Louis Martin } Monday Oct 17." 1887.

This day came the parties. thereto came on to be heard by the Court the Defendants motion for a new trial. and the Court having heard said motion. argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal.

1368. State of Texas. -vs- Joe Girard. } Monday Oct 17. 1887.

This day came the parties. thereto came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial. herein and the Court having heard said motion. and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefor considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Monday the 17th day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

11214. State of Texas. —vs— J. H. Hogg. } Monday October 17th 1887.

This day came the parties. then came on to be heard by the Court, the Defendants motion for a new trial, and the Court having heard said motion and argument of Counsel, and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefor considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

11453. State of Texas. —vs— James Rushing. } Monday Oct 17th 1887.

This day came the parties, then came on to be heard by the Court the Defendants motion in arrest of judgment, and the Court having heard said motion, argument and being fully advised in the premisis. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. Ordered that said motion be sustained, that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that Defendant go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Tuesday morning October 18th A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment, present as on yesterday.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and for good and sufficient reasons filed says he will no longer prosecute the following cases to wit:-

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Monday the 17th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4110 State of Texas. -vs- Mary White.
Vagrancy.

4278 State of Texas, -vs- Henry Mansfield
Selling liquor on Sunday.

4281 State of Texas, -vs- Henry Mansfield
Selling liquor on Sunday.

4283 State of Texas. -vs- Thomas Hurley.
Selling liquor on Sunday.

4287 State of Texas, -vs- M. T. Whitesides.
Selling liquor on Sunday.

4303 State of Texas. -vs- Wm Hellecamp
Rmv. fence from premise of another.

4304 State of Texas. -vs- Wm McCluck Percy.
Remov fence from premise of another

4381. State of Texas. -vs- Tom McConnell.
Exhibiting a route Table.

Organization of Jury.

Now comes B. H. Shipp. Sheriff of Tarrant County, after being duly instructed and sworn by the Court, and brings into open Court the following named qualified jurors of Tarrant Co. for the present week of this Term of Court to-wit J. A. Cope. E. P. Lingenfelder. R. M. Wood. S. Robertson. Sterling Clark. Jeff Souder. Jos Mayer. J. P. Ayres. A. S. Gorman. S. W. Fry. W. H. Nanny. J. B. Moore. and all of said jurymen were duly Tried empaneled and sworn. as the jury of this the Seventh week of the September Term A. D. 1887. of this Court.

4309. State of Texas. -vs- Louis Morris. | Carrying a pistol. Tuesday. Oct 18th 1887. Indictment quashed.

This day came the parties. then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the complaint herein. and the Court having heard said motion. argument and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is in favor

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

of said motion. Ordered by the Court that said motion be sustained. said Inductment quashed. and that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed. and that Defendant go hence without day.

3507. State of Texas. -vs- Bob Bridges. } Embezzlement. Tuesday. October 18th 1887. Verdict

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant Bob Bridges in person and by attorney, and both parties having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County Tovit. W. H. Nanny, and six others who were duly selected. tried. empaneled and sworn according to law and ~~the indictment herein being~~ were read to the jury by the County attorney the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty. thereupon the Court. after hearing all the evidence. and argument of Counsel thereon and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict Tovit "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at confinement in the County Jail for twenty four hours"

(Signed). W. H. Nanny. Forman"

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court. that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Bridges. all costs herein incurred for which said costs execution may issue. and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail for the full period of twenty four hours. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant Bob Bridges. after said term.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.
Tuesday the 18th day of October A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

of twenty four hours imprisonment in jail each - said, and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said costs are paid in full.

11276. State of Texas, -vs- Thomas Hurley. } Selling liquor to a minor
Tuesday. Oct'r 18th 1887.
Verdict.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Thomas Hurley in person and by attorney, and both parties having announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to-wit Jeff Souder and five others who were duly selected tried empanelled and sworn, and the indictment herein being now read to the jury by the County attorney, the Defendant enters his plea of "not guilty" whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence, and argument of Counsel thereon and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine twenty five Dollars. #25.00

(Signed) Jeff Souder"

which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded on the minutes.

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Thomas Hurley, the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant Thomas Hurley and confine him in the County jail of Tarrant County Texas until said fine and costs are paid in full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Tuesday, the 18th day of October, A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4307. State of Texas. -vs- N. J. Johnson. } Resisting an Officer
Tuesday Octr 18th 1887.
Continuance

Ordered that this cause be continued for the term by consent.

Ordered by the Court, that the following cases be continued for the term by the State.

4317. State of Texas. -vs- Al Hicks.
Aggravated assault

4319 State of Texas. -vs- Jim Dunn.
Assault.

4326. State of Texas. -vs- Bill Woods.
Aggravated assault

4347. State of Texas. -vs- Tom Worley. } Carrying a pistol.
Tuesday Octr 18th 1887.
Plea guilty.

This day came the County Attorney in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial, a jury having waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of "unlawfully carrying a pistol" as charged in the indictment herein against him whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five and twenty days imprisonment in the County jail. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant Tom Worley and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County for the full period of twenty days. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County. take

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

said Defendant after said term of twenty days imprisonment has expired. and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County Texas until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4374. State of Texas. -vs- Josie Belmont } Keeping a Disorderly House. Tuesday October 18th 1887. Plea guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also came the Defendant Josie Belmont in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial. a jury being empaneled. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the Defendant now here enters her plea of "guilty" to the offense of "Unlawfully Keeping a Disorderly House" as charged in the indictment herein against her. whereupon the Court assesses her punishment at a fine of One Hundred ($100^{00}/_{100}$) Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Josie Belmont said sum of One Hundred Dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered. that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine her in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4375. State of Texas. -vs- Madam Porter } Keeping a Disorderly House. Tuesday October 18th 1887. Plea guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Madam Porter. in person and by attorney and both parties having announced

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Septmbr Term, 1887.

Tuesday the 18th day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters her plea of guilty to the offense of "Unlawfully Keeping a Disorderly House" as charged herein against her. whereupon the Court assesses her punishment at a fine of One Hundred ($100.00) Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant. Madam Porter the sum of One Hundred Dollars fines assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine her in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

State of Texas. (Keeping a Disorderly House.
-vs- Tuesday October 18th 1887.
1876. Jessie Reevs.) Plea guilty.

This day came the County attorney. prosecuting the pleas of the State. also the Defendant Jessie Reevs in person and by Attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters her plea of guilty to the offense of "Unlawfully Keeping a Disorderly house" as charged in the indictment herein against her. whereupon the Court assesses her punishment at a fine of One Hundred ($100.00) Dollars. It is therefore considered. ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jessie Reevs. the sum of One Hundred dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Tuesday the 18th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine her in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4387. State of Texas. / -vs- / Isom McConnell. } Exhibiting a monte table. Tuesday October 18th 1887. Plea guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also the Defendant in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here enters his plea of "guilty" to the offense of "unlawfully exhibiting a monte table" as charged herein against him. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Isom McConnell the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. Together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs, execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4298. State of Texas. / -vs- / A. Reader } Aggravated assault Tuesday Oct 18th 1887. Forfeited Bond.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the Defendant A. Reader being duly called came not but wholly made default, and it,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Tuesday, the 18th day of October, A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

appearing to the Court that heretofor to-wit: on the 17th day of June 1887, the Defendant A. Reader, after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, upon a charge of "Aggravated assault" did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Alex Morely and J. W. Turner as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally, payable to the State of Texas, conditioned, that should ~~the~~ said the said A. Reader make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas, at the Court House thereof, ~~then~~ in session" and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge, then, in that case, the above bond to be null and void, otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law, and said Defendant A. Reader having failed to appear as aforesaid, It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant A. Reader as principal and Alex Morely and J. W. Turner sureties as aforesaid, the sum of One Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally, together with all costs of this proceeding, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required and that alias capias issue instanter for Defendant.

4333. State of Texas. -vs- John Damon. | Pursuing Occupation without license Tuesday October 18th 1887. Forfeited Bond.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Tuesday the 18th. day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant John Danner after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore towit: on the 13th day of August A. D. 1887. the Defendant John Danner after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas. upon a charge of "unlawfully pursuing a taxable occupation without license" did as principal enter into an appearance bond with James Liston and John Graham as his sureties in the sum of Four Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned that should the said John Danner make his personal appearance. before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Tarrant County Texas. at the Court House thereof on the first Monday in September. the same being the 5th day of September, 1887. and there remain from day to day and from term to term. of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law. then and there to answer said above described charge. then in this case. the above bond to be null and void. otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law. and said Defendant John Danner having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered. ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid. be and the same is hereby declared forfeited. and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Danner. as principal and James Liston. and John Graham. sureties as aforesaid. the sum of Four Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally. together with all costs of this proceeding. and that this judgment be made final. unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Tuesday the 18th day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

defendant did not appear. It is therefor ordered that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required. and that alias capias issue instanter for the Defendant.

4332 State of Texas. -vs- Geo Wallace. | Selling liquor without license Tuesday October 18th 1887. Continued

Ordered that this cause be continued for the term by Defendant.

4349. State of Texas. -vs- J. F. Fogg. | Carrying a pistol Tuesday Oct 18. 1887. Recognizance.

This day came into open Court J. F. Fogg. defendant in the above entitled cause. who together with. W. P. Thomas. W. F. Luke, and Luke Short. his sureties. acknowledge themselves. severally indebted to the State of Texas. in the penal sum of Two Hundred Dollars conditioned. that the said J. F. Fogg. who stands charged in this Court with the offense. of "Unlawfully carrying a pistol" and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court. shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same. and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals. of the State of Texas in this case.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Wednesday morning. October 19th 1887. Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment pursuant as on yesterday.

4301 State of Texas. -vs- W. R. McLaury | Aggravated assault Wednesday Oct 19th 1887. Mistrial.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Wednesday the 19th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant W. R. McLaury in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial. thereupon came jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to-wit. W. H. Manny and five others who were duly selected Tried empaneled and sworn according to law and said Defendant after hearing all the evidence, argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court and informed the Court that they could not agree upon a verdict. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said Jury be discharged from further consideration of this case and that a mistrial be and the same is hereby entered herein.

Ordered that J. M. Thomason. be adjudged guilty of contempt of Court. while appearing in the Court room while Court is in session smoking a cigar and that he be confined in the County Jail of Tarrant County for the period of One Hour.

Ordered that W. L. Rushing. who has this day come into Court smoking a cigar after having been warned. that smoking was not allowed in the Court room. be adjudged guilty of a contempt of Court and that as a punishment for such contempt. he be confined in the County Jail of Tarrant County for one hour.

3860. State of Texas. vs. J. E. Calhoun. } Carrying a pistol. Wednesday Oct'r 19th 1887. Bond forfeited.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. and the Defendant J. E. Calhoun afm. being duly called came.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

not out wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore Towit. on the 8th day of February 1887. the Defendant J. E. Calhoun. after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Dallas County upon a charge of "unlawfully carrying a pistol" did as principal enter into an appearance bond. with J. C. Kearband T. J. Donnelly. as his sureties. in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditioned that should the said J. E. Calhoun. make his personal appearance. before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. (If the Court - Term thereof then in session,) "Instanter" and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law. then and there to answer said above described charge. then. in this case. the above bond to be null and void. otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law. and the said Defendant J. E. Calhoun having failed to appear as aforesaid, It is therefore considered. ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited. and that the State of Texas do have and recover. of and from the Defendant J. E. Calhoun as principal and J. C. Kearband T. J. Donnelly sureties as aforesaid. the sum of Two Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally. together with all costs of this proceeding, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next Term of this Court. why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required and that alias capias issue instanter for Defts.

3859. State of Texas. / -vs- / J. E. Calhoun. } Aggravated assault. Wednesday Oct. 19th 1887. Forfeited Bond.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Wednesday the 19th day of October, A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the Defendant J. E. Calhoun after being duly called came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court, that heretofore to-wit, on the 8th day of February, 1887. the Defendant J. E. Calhoun, after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Dallas County Texas, upon a charge of "Aggravated assault" did as principal, enter into an appearance bond with, J. C. Kearby and E. S. Randell as his Surties, in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that should the said J. E. Calhoun, make his personal appearance, before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, at the Court House thereof, then in session, and there remain from day to day and from term to term, of said Court and not depart therefrom until, discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge, then in this case, the above bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law, and the said Defendant J. E. Calhoun having failed to appear, as aforesaid. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court, that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. E. Calhoun, as principal and J. C. Kearby and E. S. Randell, Surties as aforesaid the sum of Two Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally, together with all costs of this proceeding, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown, at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered, that Citation, issue in manner and form as by law required and that alias Capias issue instanter for Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Wednesday the 19th day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3188. State of Texas. / Exhibiting a gaming bank.
—vs— / Wednesday. October 19. 1887.
J. E. Calhoun. / Forfeited Bond.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. and the Defendant J. E. Calhoun after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court. that heretofore to wit. on the 8th day of February 1887. the Defendant J. E. Calhoun after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Dallas County Texas. upon a charge. of "Unlawfully exhibiting a gaming Bank for the purpose of gaming" did as principal enter into an appearance bond with J. C. Kearby. and E. S. Randall as his sureties. in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally. payable to the State of Texas. conditioned that should the said J. E. Calhoun make his personal appearance. before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. at the Court House thereof (then in session) "first cause" and there remain from day to day and from Term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law and there to answer said above described charge. then in this case. the above bond to be null and void. otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law. and the said Defendant J. E. Calhoun having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court. that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. E. Calhoun as principal and. J. C. Kearby and E. S. Randall. sureties as aforesaid. the sum of Two Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally. together with all costs of this proceeding. and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Wednesday the 19th day of October A. D. 188 7.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

did not appear. Further ordered that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required and that alias Capias issue in-stanter for the Defendant."

3187. State of Texas. (Exhibiting a gaming Bank.
—vs— Wednesday Oct 19th 1887.
J. E. Calhoun.) Bond forfeited.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting, the pleas of the State, and the Defendant J. E. Calhoun after being duly called came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to-wit, on the 8th day of February, 1887, the Defendant J. E. Calhoun after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Dallas County Texas, upon a charge of "unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for gaming purposes" did as principal enter into an appearance bond with J. C. Kearby and T. J. Donnelly as his sureties, in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that should the said J. E. Calhoun, make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas, at the Court House thereof, in the City of Fort Worth, on the first day of the next term thereof, and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge then, in this case, the above bond to be null and void, otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law, and the said Defendant J. E. Calhoun having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court, that said appearance bond aforesaid, be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. E. Calhoun, as principal and J. C. Kearby and T. J. Donnelly sureties as aforesaid, the sum of Two

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Wednesday the 19th day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally. together with all costs of this proceeding. and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. It is further ordered that Citation issue to said surties in manner and form as by law required. and that alias capias issue instanter for the Defendant.

3971. State of Texas -vs- C. Heuchell. | Occupation without license. Wednesday Oct 19th 1887. Bond forfeited.

This day came the County Attorney. prosecuting the pleas of the State. and the Defendant C. Heuchell. after being duly called came not but wholly made default. and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to-wit: on the 7th day of April. 1887. the Defendant C. Heuchell. after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas. upon a charge. of "unlawfully pursuing a taxable occupation without license" did as principal enter into an appearance bond with M. Matkin and C. M. Templeton as his surties. in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned that should the said C. Heuchell. make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas at the Court House thereof at the next regular session" and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged therefrom by due course of law. then and there to answer said above described charge. then. in this case. the above bond to be null and. void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law. and the said Defendant C. Heuchell having failed to appear as. aforesaid. It is therefore Considered ordered and adjudged by the Court. that the said appearance bond aforesaid be and the.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Wednesday the 19th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

sum is hereby declared forfeited. and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant C. Hunchell. as principal and M. Matkin and C. M. Templeton. sureties as aforesaid. the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally. together with all costs of this proceeding = and that this judgment be made final unless. good cause be shown at the next term of said Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Citation in manner and form as by law required. and that alias capias issue instanter for the Defendants

4293. State of Texas. / Selling liquor on Sunday.
-vs- / Wednesday Oct 19th 1887.
Charley Eastman) Forfeited Bond

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. and the Defendant Charley Eastman. attorney duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court. that heretofore on towit: the 25th day of May 1887. the Defendant Charley Eastman after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas. upon a charge. of "unlawfully selling liquor on Sunday." did as principal enter into an appearance bond with R. H King and D. M. Lyles as his sureties. in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned. that should the said Charley Eastman. make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. on the 5th Monday in May. the same being the 30th day of May 1887. and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom. until discharged by due course of law. then and there to answer said above described charge. then. in this case. the above bond to be null and void otherwise to be and.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Wednesday the 19th day of October. A. D. 188 7.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

remain in full force and virtue in law and the said Charley Eastman having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Charley Eastman as principal and R. H. King and J. M. Lyles. sureties as aforesaid. the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally. together with all costs of this proceeding. and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required and that alias capias issue in-stanter for the Defendant

4234. State of Texas. -vs- Willie Coleman. } Theft under Twenty Dollars. Wednesday Oct 19th 1887. Dismissed

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed says he will no longer prosecute said cause. but will dismiss same. Ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that said Defendant herein go hence without day.

4306 State of Texas. -vs- J. B. Herron. } Appeal. Wednesday Oct 19th 1887. Continuance

Ordered that this cause be continued for the term by consent.

4362 State of Texas. -vs- Jerry Bolin } Carrying a pistol. Wednesday Oct 19th 1887. Continued

Ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby continued for the term by the State.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept'r Term, 1887.

Thursday the 20th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Thursday morning October 20th A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday —

It appearing to the Court that in the following cases there have been no arrests and that the whereabouts of the Defendants there in is unknown. Ordered that the same be and they are hereby filed.

3347 State of Texas. -vs- Billy Hewitt
Exhibiting a Faro Bank.

3354 State of Texas. -vs- Billy Hewitt
Exhibiting a Faro Bank.

3357 State of Texas. -vs- Billy Hewitt
Exhibiting a Faro Bank.

3394 State of Texas. -vs- John Murrin
Occupation without license.

3663. State of Texas. -vs- Wm Hodges & Dick Bridwell
Disturbing religious worship.

3664. State of Texas. -vs- Willis Adams et al
Keeping a Disorderly House.

3669. State of Texas. -vs- Lizzie Chapman.
Keeping a Disorderly House.

3767. State of Texas. -vs- Willis Adams.
Keeping a Disorderly House.

3864 State of Texas. -vs- Jos McMullen.
Aggravated assault

3874 State of Texas. -vs- G. P. Thompson.
Aggravated assault

3876. State of Texas. -vs- Brosin Smith.
Exhibiting monte Bank.

3882. State of Texas. -vs- Sam McConnell,
Exhibiting a Keno Bank.

3929 State of Texas. -vs- Geo Caswell et al.
Theft under Twenty Dollars.

3931 State of Texas. -vs- Wm Foster.
Theft under Twenty Dollars.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Thursday the 20th day of October A. D. 188 7.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

3971 State of Texas -vs- C. H. Peterson.
Occupation without license.

3973 State of Texas. -vs- John Riley.
Occupation without license.

4001 State of Texas. -vs- J. H. Saulsbury.
Occupation without license.

4044 State of Texas. -vs- George McArthur.
Disturbing the peace.

4064 State of Texas. -vs- Mattie Johnson.
Vagrancy.

4102 State of Texas. -vs- Lizzie Chapman.
Vagrancy.

4103 State of Texas. -vs- Sallie Williams
Vagrancy

4116. State of Texas. -vs- Fred Bronson.
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4147 State of Texas. -vs- Pete Flagg.
Exhibiting a gaming bank.

4154 State of Texas. -vs- Foss Hunter
Betting at Keno

4186. State of Texas. -vs- Pete Flagg.
Exhibiting a gaming Bank.

4273 State of Texas. -vs- J. M. McCormick.
Playing cards in public place.

4277 State of Texas. -vs- Bob Woodward.
Selling liquor on Sunday.

4287. State of Texas. -vs- Bob Woodward
Selling liquor to a minor

4312 State of Texas. -vs- Walter Woodward } Assault and Battery. Thursday Oct 20th 1887. Dismissed.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed says he will no longer prosecute this cause but will dismiss same. It is therefor considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Thursday the 20th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4311. State of Texas. -vs- Walter Woodward. } Carrying a pistol. Thursday Oct 20. 1887. Verdict.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also the Defendant Walter Woodward in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to-wit Jos Mayer and five others who were duly elected, tried empaneled and sworn, according to law, and said jury after hearing all the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court, with the following verdict to-wit, "We the jury in the within cause find the Defendant not guilty" (signed). Jos "Mayer Foreman" It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the Defd Walter Woodward go and he is hereby immediately discharged from any further liability on the charge for which he is herein Tried and that he go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Tomorrow morning at 9 oclock

Friday morning October 21st 1887. Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

4329. State of Texas. -vs- William Thomas. } Aggravated assault Friday Oct 21st 1887. Continuance

Continued for the term by consent.

4330 State of Texas. -vs- William Thomas } Aggravated assault Friday Oct 21st 1887. Continuance

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Friday the 21st day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Continued for the term by consent.

4305 State of Texas. -vs- Walter King. } Aggravated assault

Friday October 21st 1887.

Verdict

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also the Defendant Walter King. in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to wit J. B. Moore and five others who were duly selected tried empanneled and sworn according to law, and said jury after hearing all the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to wit:- We the jury find the Defendant guilty of a simple assault and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars.

(Signed) J. B. Moore Foreman"

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Walter King. the sum of Twenty Dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County jail of Tarrant County Texas. until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Saturday morning October 22nd 1887.

Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment pursuant as on yesterday

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887

Monday the 24th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Monday morning at 9 o'clock

Monday morning October 24th 1887. Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment at present as on Saturday—

4334. State of Texas. —vs— Rudolph Grimmly } October 24th 1887.

This day came the parties. then came on to be heard by the Court the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the the Court having heard said motion argument and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is with said motion Ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and Defendant granted a new trial herein

4335 State of Texas. —vs— Rudolph Grimmly } October 24th 1887.

This day came the parties then came on to be heard by the Court the Defendants, motion for a new trial herein and the Court having heard said motion argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. Ordered therefore that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of Texas.

4336 City of Fort Worth, —vs— Louis Hartman } October 24th 1887.

This day came the parties. then.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

came on to be heard by the Court the motion of the City to dismiss the Defendants appeal taken herein and the Court having heard said motion, argument and being fully advised in the premises, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. Ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

4423 City of Fort Worth vs- Jim Mann.
Selling liquor on Sunday.

4427 City of Fort Worth -vs- Tom Payne.
Selling liquor on Sunday.

Ordered that these causes be continued for the term by the City.

3674. City of Fort Worth, -vs- T. G. Boone } October 24. 1887.
Continued for the term generally.

4361. Ex Parte James Rushing } False imprisonment
October 24th 1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant James Rushing in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial. this cause coming on to be heard the above cause on the writ of Habeas Corpus heretofore issued herein and the Court having heard all the evidence and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said applicant It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that Relator James Rushing be and he is hereby discharged from any further liability on the charge for which he is herein tried and that B. H. Shipp immediately release defendant from custody and that said Deft go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Monday the 24th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4419

City of Fort Worth } Selling liquor on Sunday.
-vs- } Monday October 24 1887.
James Liston } Verdict

This day came the City attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City. also came the Defendant James Liston in person and by attorney. then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the Complaint filed herein and the Court having heard said motion argument and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. Ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. and both parties having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County towit: R. H. Standley and five others who were duly elected, tried empaneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint herein being now read to the jury by the City attorney. the Defendant enters his plea of "not guilty" whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence. argument of Counsel thereon and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict towit "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

(Signed) R. H. Standley Foreman"

It is therefor considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant James Liston and N. Lacroix. H. P. Shiel. John Behrns and S. M. Galloway. the sureties on his appeal bond, the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant James Liston and confine him in the County

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Monday the 24th day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

fail of Tarrant County Texas until said fine and costs are paid in full.

44422 City of Fort Worth. } Selling liquor on Sunday.
—vs— } October 24th 1887.
Bob Waggoner } Verdict.

This day came the City attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City also the defendant Bob Waggoner in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty, whereupon the Court after hearing all the evidence, argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises, finds the Defendant Bob Waggoner guilty as charged in the Complaint herein and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Waggoner and A. G. Rittleman surety on his appeal bond herein the sum of Twenty Dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all Costs herein incurred for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full.

44424 City of Fort Worth. } Selling liquor on Sunday.
—vs— } October 24th 1887.
John Dawson } Verdict.

This day came the City attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City also the Defendant John Dawson in person and by attorney, then came on to be heard the Defendants application for Continuance and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.
Monday the 24th day of October A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

the Court having heard said application, argument and being fully advised in the premises orders that said application be and the same is hereby overruled, and both parties having announced ready for trial a jury being heard the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty whereupon the Court after hearing all the evidence argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court, that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Dumas and E. H. Knig. E. M. Orrick and A. D. Mayo, sureties on his appeal bond herein, the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Tuesday morning. October 25th A. D. 1887.
Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment. present as on yesterday.

4301 City of Fort Worth -vs- Louis Hartman,
4428 City of Fort Worth -vs- John Moran,
Now comes the City Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed says he will no longer prosecute said above causes. but will dismiss the same. It is therefore Considered and ordered by the Court that said causes be and the same are hereby dismissed

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Monday the 25th day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4246. City of Fort Worth vs Tom Smith. } October 25th 1887.

This day came the parties, then came on to be heard by the Court the Defendants motion to quash the Complaint filed herein and the Court having heard said motion, argument and being fully advised in the premises, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. Ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

4248 City of Fort Worth vs George Gaster } October 25th 1887.

This day came the parties, then came on to be heard by the Court the Defendants motion to quash the Complaint filed herein and the Court having heard said motion argument and being fully advised in the premises, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. Ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

4438 City of Ft Worth vs George Bates } October 25th 1887.

This day came the parties then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the Complaint filed herein, and the Court having heard said motion, argument and being fully advised in the premises, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion, Ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

4465 City of Fort Worth vs Mrs Nannie Drum } October 25th 1887.

Continued by the Defendant.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Monday the 25th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4442. City of Fort Worth -vs- C. E. Burgess. } October 25th A. D. 1887.

This day came the parties, item came on to be heard by the Court the Defendants motion to quash the Complaint herein against him. and the Court having heard said motion. argument and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. Ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

4414 City of Fort Worth —vs— Henry Coy.
4417 City of Fort Worth —vs— Tom Payne.
4444 City of Fort Worth —vs— T. Thoma.

Ordered that each of the above causes be continued for the term by the City.

4439. City of Fort Worth —vs— Sidney Graham. } Disturbing the peace. Tuesday Oct 25th 1887. Verdict

This day came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City also the Defendant Sidney Graham in person and by Attorney. then come on to be heard by the Court the Defendants motion to quash the complaint filed herein which said motion the Court after due consideration overruled and both parties having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County town: H. L. Mitchell and five others who were duly selected tried empaneled and sworn according to law and the complaint filed herein being now read to the jury by the City attorney. the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence argument of Counsel thereon and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Tuesday the 25th day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

with the following verdict-towit, " We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Ten ($10.00) Dollars.

(Signed) H. L. Mitchell. Foreman "

It is therefor considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Sidney Graham, and E. Nevins. Perry Hargrave and J. C. Brooks Sureties on his appeal bond herein said sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

City of Fort Worth
—vs—
4245 Jack McDonald. } Assault
October 25th 1887.
Verdict

This day came the City attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City also the Defendant Jack McDonald in person and by Attorney. then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the Complaint filed herein which said motion the Court after due consideration overruled. and both parties having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of six good and lawful men of Tarrant County towit: C. P. Cooper and five others who were duly selected tried empaneled and sworn according to law, and said jury after hearing all the evidence, argument of Counsel thereon and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict towit " We the jury in the within case. City of Fort Worth vs- Jack McDonald find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at fifteen (15) Dollars.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Tuesday the 25th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

(Signed) C. P. Cooper. Foreman"

It is therefor considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant- Jack McDonald and Martin Casey and John P. Long sureties on his appeal bond herein. said sum of Fifteen Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant Jack McDonald and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County Texas until said fine and costs are paid in full—.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Wednesday October 26th A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 o'clock a. m. pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday —

4243 City of Fort Worth - vs - A. Reader
4416. City of Fort Worth - vs - Martin Goodin
4443 City of Fort Worth - vs - Bob Waggoner

This day came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City and also the Defendants in the above causes in person and by Attorney. and the City Attorney. for good and sufficient reasons filed says he will no longer prosecute said causes but will dismiss same. Ordered that said Causes be and the same is hereby dismissed.

4436. City of Fort Worth - vs - B. J. Lucas.
4429 City of Fort Worth - vs - Jim H. Moore.
4413 City of Fort Worth - vs - Dan Garvey.

Ordered by the Court that each of the following causes be and the same are hereby continued by consent

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887

Wednesday the 26th day of October A. D. 188 7.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

4415 City of Ft Worth —vs— J. Byrne. } "Assault" Wednesday Oct 26. 1887. "Verdict"

This day came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City. also the Defendant J. Byrne in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial a jury came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to wit: C. P. Cooper and five others who were duly selected tried empaneled and sworn according to law and said jury. after hearing all the evidence. argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

(Signed) C. P. Cooper Foreman"

It is therefor considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Ft Worth take nothing of the Defendant by reason of this prosecution and that said defendant go hence without day.

4421 City of Ft Worth —vs— Tom Payne. } Selling liquor on Sunday. Oct 26—1887. "Plea guilty"

This day came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City also the Defendant Tom Payne in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial a jury duly elected the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty as charged herein whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefor considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Ft Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Payne as principal and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887.

Wednesday the 26th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

John Behrens. A. G. Rutleman and W. P. Shiel as Sureties on his appeal bond herein. the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4430 City of Fort Worth / vs / James Drum } October 26th 1887.

This day came the parties this cause on to be heard the motion of the City attorney to dismiss the appeal in this case and quash the appeal bond. and the same being duly considered. It is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that said motion be sustained and that the appeal herein be dismissed. It is further ordered that the Defendant James Drum. as principal and A. Navarro and Chas Scheuber as Surties on his appeal bond herein. pay all costs in this behalf expended and that this decision be certified below for observance. to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of appeals.

4435 City of Fort Worth / vs / Wm Crum. } October 26th 1887.

This day came the parties. then came on to be heard by the Court the motion of the City attorney to quash the appeal bond herein and dismiss the appeal in this cause and the same being duly considered. It is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that said motion be granted and that the appeal herein be dismissed. It is further

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1887

Wednesday the 26. day of Octr, A. D. 1887

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

ordered that the Defendant William Emmitt as principal and Mike Chambliss and H P. Shill as sureties on his appeal bond herein pay all costs in this behalf expended and that this decision be certified below for affirmance. to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and gives notice in open Court of appeal to the Court of Appeals.

4437 City of Fort Worth —vs— Ben Wood. } October 26th 1887.

This day came the parties, then came on to be heard the motion of the City attorney to dismiss the appeal in this cause and the same being duly considered. It is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that said motion be granted and that the appeal herein be dismissed. It is further ordered that the Defendant Ben Wood as principal and H. Steinfeldt and J. W. Walker as sureties on his appeal bond herein pay all costs in this behalf expended and that this decision be certified below for affirmance. to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of appeals.

4425 City of Fort Worth —vs— J. G. Mansfield } October 26. 1887.

This day came the parties then came on to be heard the motion of the City attorney to dismiss the appeal in this cause and the same being duly considered It is ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be granted and that the appeal herein be dismissed. It is further ordered that the Defendant J. G. Mansfield as principal and. H. Mansfield J. H Harmon O. M. Waterhouse. S. M. Galloway. James Liston W. F. Whitlow. John Graham. Casey & Swasey.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Septr Term, 1887

Wednesday the 26. day of Octr A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

as sureties on his appeal bond herein — J. F. Moore and E. M. Brown, pay all costs in this behalf expended and that this decision be certified by law for afformance. to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open Court gave notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals.

4431 City of Fort Worth
— vs —
Thos Cavanaugh } October 26. 1887.

This day came the parties thereto and the motion of the City Attorney to dismiss the appeal herein, and the same being duly considered. It is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that said motion be granted and that the appeal herein be dismissed. It is further ordered that the Defendant Thos Cavanaugh as principal and Jas Liston and A. Rogers as sureties on his appeal bond herein, pay all costs in this behalf expended and that this decision be certified below for afformance. to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gave notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals.

4432 City of Fort Worth
— vs —
J. M. Galloway. } October 26" 1887.

This day came the parties thereto and the motion of the City Attorney to dismiss the appeal in this cause. and the same being duly considered. It is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that said motion be granted and that the appeal herein be dismissed. It is further ordered that the Defendant J. M. Galloway as principal and James Liston and C. J. Swafford as sureties on his appeal bond herein pay all costs in this behalf expended and that this decision be certified below for afformance. to which ruling of the Court the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept Term, 1887,
Wednesday the 26. day of Oct A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals.

4426 City of Fort Worth
—vs—
Geo. H. Woodliff } October 26. 1887.

This day came the parties thru came on to be heard the motion of the City Attorney to dismiss the appeal in this cause. and the same being duly considered, It is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that said motion be granted and that the appeal herein be dismissed. It is further ordered. that the Defendant Geo. H. Woodliff as principal. and W. C. H. Parr and E. H. Perry as surties on his appeal bond herein pay all costs in this behalf expended and that this decision be certified below for affirmance to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals.

4464 City of Fort Worth.
—vs—
Jack Coleman } October 26, 1887.

This day came the parties. thru came on to be heard the motion of the City Attorney to dismiss the appeal in this cause. and the same being duly considered, It is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that said motion be granted. and the appeal herein be dismissed. It is further ordered that the Defendant Jack Coleman. as principal and Martin Casey and John Graham. as surties on his appeal bond herein pay all costs in this behalf expended and that this decision be certified below for affirmance. to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept Tr Term, 1887.
Wednesday the 26th day of Oct Tr A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4418. City of Fort Worth
-vs-
Mimie Lee } Oct Tr 26. 1887.

This day came the parties. thereto came on to be heard the motion of the City attorney to dismiss the appeal in this cause and the same being duly considered. It is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that said motion be granted and the appeal herein be dismissed. It is further ordered that the Defendant Mimie Lee as principal and. W. E. Groves and C. C. Lawson as sureties on his appeal bond herein. pay all costs in this behalf expended and that this decision be certified below for affirmance. to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals.

4299. City of Fort Worth
-vs-
Madame Porter } October 26. 1887.

This day came the Parties. thereto came on to be heard the motion of the City Attorney to dismiss the appeal in this cause. and the same being duly considered. It is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that said motion be granted and that the appeal herein be dismissed. It is further ordered that the Defendant Madame Porter as principal and E. B. Daggett and W. H. Ward as sureties on her appeal bond herein pay all costs in this behalf expended. and that this decision be certified below for affirmance. to which ruling of the Court the Defendant except and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals.

4463 City of Fort Worth
-vs-
James Drum. } October 26th 1887.

This day came the parties. thereto came

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept Term, 1887,

Wednesday the 26. day of Oct A. D. 1887,

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

ou to be heard the Motion of the City Attorney to dismiss the appeal in this Cause. and the same being duly considered It is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that said motion be granted and that the appeal herein be dismissed It is further ordered that the Defendant Jas Drum as principal and Martin Casey and H. L. Hatchell as sureties on his appeal bond herein pay all costs in this behalf expended. and that this decision be certified below for appearance, to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals.

4420 City of Fort Worth —vs— J. D. Andrews. } Selling liquor on Sunday. Wednesday Oct 26. 1887. Plea guilty.

This day came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City also the Defendant J. D. Andrews in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged herein whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. D. Andrews as principal and C. W. Hurley. H. Steinfeldt and Mrs Blanche Hurley as sureties on his appeal bond herein the sum of twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant J. D. Andrews. and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County Texas until said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept Term, 1887.

Wednesday the 26. day of Octr A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4237 City of Fort Worth —vs— William Comm } Disturbing the peace Wednesday Oct 26. 1887. Plea guilty

This day came the City attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City also the Defendant William Comm in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the Court after hearing all the evidence and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment herein and assesses his punishment at a fine of Four Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant William Comm as principal and Mike Chambliss and C. E. Price as sureties on his appeal bond herein. the sum of Four Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full—

4238 City of Fort Worth —vs— F. J. E. Getting } October 26. 1887.

Now comes the City attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed says he will no longer prosecute this Cause but will dismiss same. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that Defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Sept Term, 1887

Wednesday the 26. day of Oct A. D. 1887,

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4239 City of Fort Worth
-vs-
W. H. Neus. } October 26. 1887.

This day came the parties thru came on to be heard the motion of the City Attorney to dismiss the appeal in this cause. and the same being duly considered. It is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that said motion be granted and that the appeal herein be dismissed. It is further ordered that the Defendant W. H. Neus as principal and S. Faulkner and Andrew Ryan as sureties on his appeal bond herein, pay all costs in this behalf expended and that this decision be certified below for appearance.

4462 City of Fort Worth
-vs-
Fred Smith } October 26th 1887.

Continued for the term by the City

4430 City of Fort Worth
-vs-
James Drumm. } "Fighting"
October 26th 1887.
Recognizance.

This day came into open Court James Drumm. defendant in the above entitled cause. who together with Martin Casey and H. L. Hatchell, his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the City of Fort Worth in the penal sum of Fifty Dollars conditioned that the said James Drumm. who stands charged in this Court with the Offense of "Unlawfully fighting with another in a public place" and whose appeal has been dismissed. shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Septr Term, 1887.

Wednesday the 24th day of October A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4431. City of Fort Worth —vs— Thos Cavanaugh } Disturbing the peace
Wednesday Octr 26. 1887.
Recognizance.

This day came into open Court Thos. Cavanaugh defendant in the above entitled cause. who together with W. B. Tucker Jr. and W. H. Hendricks his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the City of Fort Worth in the penal sum of Fifty Dollars. conditioned that the said Thos Cavanaugh. who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "Unlawfully disturbing the peace" and whose appeal has been dismissed. shall appear upon this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of appeals. of the State of Texas in this case.

4463 City of Fort Worth —vs— Jim Drumm. } Selling liquor on Sunday.
Wednesday Oct. 26. 1887.
Recognizance.

This day came into open Court Jim Drumm. defendant in the above entitled cause. who together with Martin Casey and H. L. Hatchell his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the City of Fort Worth in the penal sum. of Fifty dollars. conditioned that the said Jim Drumm who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "Unlawfully ~~alchoholic vinous or malt~~ selling liquor on Sunday" and whose appeal has been dismissed. shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case

4464 City of Fort Worth —vs— Jack Coleman } Selling liquor on Sunday.
Wednesday Octr 26. 1887.
Recognizance.

Indexed Term.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Upon Term, 1887

Wednesday the 26. day of October A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

This day came into open Court Jack Coleman defendant in the above entitled cause who together with John Graham and N. L. Hutchell his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the City of Fort Worth in the penal sum of Fifty dollars. conditioned that the said Jack Coleman. who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "Unlawfully selling alcoholic liquor on Sunday" and whose appeal has been dismissed shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term. of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

City of Fort Worth
— vs —
Jack McDonald. } October 26, 1887.

This day came the parties thereto into Court to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial and the Court having heard said motion argument and being fully advised in the premises because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. Ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained

4349 State of Texas
— vs —
J. H. Hogg. } Wednesday Oct 26 1887.

Ordered that the Defendant J. H. Hogg have ten days after the adjournment of this term of this Court in which to prepare and file a statement of the facts herein,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Court in course. Sam Furman Co. Judge
Tarrant Co. Texas

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Monday the 7th day of November A. D. 1887

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

The State of Texas }
County of Tarrant }

Be it remembered that on the first monday in November A D 1887. & same being the 7th day of said month, & there was orgn and holden within and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, a regular term of the Honorable County Court of said County and State, Present Jno F. Swayne County Clerk and B. H. Shipp Sheriff of said County and the Honorable Sam Furman County Judge being absent. said Sheriff adjourned Court until Wednesday morning Nov 9. 1887.

Wednesday morning November 9th A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment Present. Hon. Sam Furman. County Judge. Jno. F. Swayne. County Clerk and B. H. Shipp, Sheriff of said County.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Friday morning November 18th A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on adjournment.

State of Texas. } Betting at a game played
-vs- } with dice. Plea of guilty.
4525 Joe Purvis } November 18th A. D. 1887.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas and also the Defendant Joe Purvis in person and by attorney, and both parties having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty to the offense of "Unlawfully betting at a game played with

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

dict" a charged in the indictment herein against him. whereupon the Court assesses his penalty at a fine of Ten Dollars.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant for Purvis the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforsaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant for Purvis and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County Texas. until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4528 State of Texas. —vs— Henry Terry. } Betting at a game played with Dice. Plea guilty November 18th A. D. 1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Henry Terry in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty as charged herein whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars.

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Henry Terry the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforsaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant Henry Terry and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County Texas until said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887
Friday the 18" day of November A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Saturday morning November 19th A. D. 1887.
Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

4593 State of Texas. } Disturbing the Peace.
—vs— } November 19th A. D. 1887.
J. H. Ragon. } Plea of guilty.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant in person and by attorney. and both parties having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars.

It is therefor considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. H. Ragon the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Monday morning November 21st 1887.
Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment pursuit as on Saturday

4596. State of Texas. } Theft under Twenty Dollars.
—vs— } November 21st A. D. 1887.
John Roberts } Plea guilty.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887

Monday the 21st day of November A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

This day came both parties in person and by attorney and having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant John Roberts now here enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and Confinement in the County jail for six months.

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Roberts the sum of One Hundred dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County, take said defendant and Confine him in the County jail of said County for the full period of six months. It further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant after the expiration of said term of six months imprisonment and Confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full.

4578. State of Texas. -vs- George Raukin } Unlawfully Carrying a Pistol. November 21st A. D. 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties in person & by attorney and both having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and twenty days in jail.

It is therefore Considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Raukin. the sum of Twenty Five Dollars

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant & confine him in the County jail of said County for the full period of Twenty days. Further ordered that after the expiration of said term of twenty days imprisonment. the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4938. State of Texas. / -vs- / Della Evans. } Vagrancy. November 21. A. D. 1887. Plea guilty.

This day came the parties by attorney and in person and both having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters her plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses her punishment at a fine of One Dollar.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant Della Evans. the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine her in the Tarrant County jail until said fine & costs are paid in full

4966. State of Texas / -vs- / John Carter } Theft under twenty dollars. November 21st A. D. 1887. Verdict.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of good and

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

lawful men of Tarrant County to-wit J. M. Hartsfield and five others, who were duly selected, tried empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Defendant now here enters his plea of "Not-guilty," whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to-wit. "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty" It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Defendant John Carter be and he is immediately discharged from further liability herein and that he go hence without day.

4386. State of Texas. —vs— Henry Gray. } Exhibiting a gaming bank November 21st A. D. 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and both parties having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Henry Gray, the sum of Twenty Five Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine & costs are paid in full,

3187. State of Texas. —vs— J. E. Calhoun } Exhibiting a gaming bank November 21st A. D. 1887 verdict

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887
Monday the 21st day of November A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also the Defendant J. E. Calhoun in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to-wit: J. M. Hartsfield and five others who were duly selected tried empaneled and sworn according to law and the indictment herein being received to the jury by the County Attorney. the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty to the charge therein against him. whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant J. E. Calhoun guilty, as charged herein, and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

& Signed J. M. Hartsfield Foreman."

which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded.

It is therefor considered ordered & adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. E. Calhoun. the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine & costs are paid in full.

3187. State of Texas. -vs- J. E. Calhoun. } November 21. 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Monday the 21st day of November A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the Defendants motion for a new trial and the Court having heard said motion. argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

3187. State of Texas. -vs- J. E. Calhoun. } Recognizance November 21. A. D. 1887.

This day came into open Court J. E. Calhoun. defendant in the above entitled cause, who. together with Byron G. Johnson. and Jake Johnson his sureties. acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Hundred Dollars. conditioned that the said J. E. Calhoun who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "Unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming and who has been convicted of said Offense in this Court. shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this Case.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

&

Tuesday morning November 22nd 1887. Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887

Monday the 21st day of November A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4352. State of Texas. —vs— John Fields. } Aggravated Assault. November 22nd A. D. 1887. Plea of Guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys. then came on to be heard by the Court the Defendants motion to quash the information herein. which motion the Court having heard and considered, orders that the same be and it is hereby overruled and both parties having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Fields the sum of Twenty Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4450 State of Texas. —vs— Henry White } November 22. A. D. 1887.

This day came the County attorney and moves the Court to permit him to attach jurat to the Complaint herein and the Court having heard his reasons therefor and being advised in the premises orders that said motion be and the same is hereby granted.

4469 State of Texas —vs— Charley Eastman } November 22. A. D. 1887.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Monday the 22nd day of November A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

This day came the parties. then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the information filed herein and the Court having heard said motion. argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts.

42467 State of Texas. — vs — Charley Eastman } Selling liquor on Sunday. November 22nd A. D. 1887. Verdict.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Charley Eastman in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to wit. W. J. Lake and five others. who were duly elected tried empaneled and sworn. according to law and the indictment herein being now read to the jury by the County attorney. the Defendants entered his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence. argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty ~~Five~~ Dollars (Signed) W. J. Lake Foreman" which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded.

It is therefor considered ordered and adjudged by the Court. that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charley Eastman the sum of Twenty

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887
Monday the 21 day of November A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs Execution may issue. It is further ordered the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4024 State of Texas. —vs— "Long Annie" } Vagrancy. November 21st A. D. 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant entered her plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assesses her fine at One Dollar.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Long Annie. the sum of One Dollar. fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine her in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4030. State of Texas. —vs— Jim Burris alias Jim Tootsey. } Betting at a game played with Dice. November 21st 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys. and both having announced. ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his fine at Ten Dollars.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1887,
Tuesday the 22, day of November A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Burris alias Jim Tootsey, the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforsaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs, execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full.

4538. State of Texas. —vs— W. H. Ward. } Selling liquor on Sunday. November 22. 1887. Plea Guilty.

This day came the parties in person and by Attorneys and both having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assesses his fine at Twenty Dollars.

It is therfore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. H. Ward. the sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforsaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full.

4548. State of Texas. —vs— Old Lacy Kelso } Vagrancy. November 22. 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys. and both having announced

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.
Tuesday the 22. day of November A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters her plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses her punishment at a fine of One Dollar.

It is therefor Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant "Old Lady Kelso" the sum of One Dollar fine as assessed as aforsaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine her in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4543. State of Texas -vs- James Harris } Vagrancy
November 22 A. D. 1887.
Verdict

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant James Harris in person and by Attorney, and both parties having announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County Texas to-wit. J. M. Hartsfield and five others who were duly elected tried, empaneled and sworn according to law and the indictment herein being now read to the jury by the County and the Defendant entering his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Tuesday the 22. day of November A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

(Signed) J. M. Hartsfield, Foreman"
It is therefor ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant James Harris the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4553. The State of Texas. vs. Archie Drew. } Exhibiting Monte Carle November 22. A. D. 1887. Verdict

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also the Defendant Archie Drew in person and by attorney. and both parties having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County Court. J. M. Hartsfield and five others who were duly selected tried empanelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment herein being read to the jury by the County attorney. the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury. after hearing all the evidence. argument of Counsel. and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty five Dollars. and ten days. imprisonment in the County jail.

(Signed) J. M. Hartsfield Foreman"
It is therefor Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Archie.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.
Tuesday the 22 day of November A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Drew the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. And that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant Archie Drew and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County Texas. for the full period of ten days. Further ordered by the Court, that the Sheriff take after said term of ten days unless sooner bail has expired. said Defendant Archie Drew, and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Wednesday morning Nov 23rd A.D. 1887.
Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Thursday morning November 24." A.D. 1887.
Court met at 9 o'clock. pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

4543. State of Texas. -vs- James Harris. } Nov 24th A.D. 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard. by the Court the Defendants motion for a new trial and the Court having heard said motion and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and the Deft granted a new trial herein

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, Nov Term, 1887.

Thursday the 24th day of November A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

4083. State of Texas -vs- Sam Benson } November 24th A. D. 1887.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys, then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the Indictment filed herein, and the Court having heard said motion, argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefor considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts.

4079. State of Texas. -vs- J. P. Rhoads. } Exhibiting a Gaming Bank November 24th A. D. 1887. Verdict.

This day came the County attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State, also the Defendant J. P. Rhoads, in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to-wit, W. H. Lake and five others who were duly selected, tried, empaneled and sworn according to law, and the indictment herein being now read to the jury by the County attorney, the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty, whereupon the said jury after hearing all the evidence, argument of Counsel thereon and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict Towit. "We the jury find Defendant guilty and assess his fine 25 # twenty five dollars, and ten days in County jail.

(Signed) W. H. Lake Foreman"

which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded on the minutes.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Thursday the 24" day of November. A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

It is therefore Considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. P. Rhoads the sum of Twenty Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all Costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas. take said Defendant J. P. Rhoads and Confine him in the County Jail of said County for the full period of Ten Days. Further ordered that the said Sheriff take said Defendant and Confine him in the Tarrant County Jail after said term of Ten days imprisonment has expired. until said fine and Costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock

Friday morning November 25" A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

45 16. State of Texas. -vs- Emalin Goodin } Vagrancy. November 25" A. D. 1887. Verdict

This day Came the parties by their attorneys and both parties having announced ready for trial. thereupon Came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to-wit. J. M. Hartsfield and five others. who were duly selected tried empaneled and sworn according to law. and the Defendant enters her plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence. argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. (signed) J. M. Hartsfield Foreman"

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887,

Friday the 25th day of November A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the Defendant Emelia Goodin. be and she is hereby discharged immediately from any further liability on the charge for which she is herein tried. and that she go hence without day.

4517. State of Texas. -vs- Parolee Steel. } Vagrancy. November 25th 1887. Verdict.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also the Defendant Parolee Steel. in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County. to-wit. J. M. Hartsfield and five others who were duly selected tried empanneled and sworn according to law and the Defendant now here enters her plea of not guilty whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel thereon and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess her fine at One Dollar

Signed. J. M. Hartsfield. Forman."

It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Parolee Steel the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas. take said Defendant Parolee Steel and confine her in the County Jail of Tarrant County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.
Saturday the 26th day of November A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Saturday morning November 26th A. D. 1887.
Court met at 9 oclock, pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

4379. State of Texas -vs- Bill Sanford } Exhibiting monte Table. November 26. A. D. 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties and by their attorneys, and both having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant here enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his fine at Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefor ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bill Sanford the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforsaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full

4380. State of Texas. -vs- Bill Sanford. } Exhibiting a monte Table November 26th A. D. 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant here enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and 10 days imprisonment in the County Jail. It is therefor considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887

Saturday the 26th day of November A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

of and from the Defendant Bill Sanford the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, and that the Sheriff take said defendant, after his other terms of imprisonment have been fully served, and confine him in the Tarrant County jail for the full period of ten days. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail after said term of ten days confinement has expired, until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4392. State of Texas. -vs- Bill Sanford } Exhibiting Gaming Bank November 26th 1887. Plea guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and both having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and imprisonment for ten days. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bill Sanford, the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, and that the Sheriff take said Defendant after his other terms of imprisonment have been fully served and confine him in the Tarrant County jail for ten days. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail after said term of ten days confinement has ex-

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

paid until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4526. State of Texas. vs. Lemmon Gilbert } Betting at game played with Dice. November 26th A. D. 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial a jury being impaneled. the matters of fact as well as law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered. and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lemmon Gilbert the sum of Ten dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4213. State of Texas. vs. George Word. } Carrying a pistol. November 26. A. D. 1887. Verdict

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of lawful men to wit: J. M. Hartsfield and five others who were duly empaneled according to law and the Defendant having entered his plea of not guilty. thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence. argument and receiving the Court's charge retired and after due deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty (signed) J. M. Hartsfield. Foreman"

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Saturday the 26" day of November A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Defendant be immediately discharged for this case and that he go hence without day.

4360 State of Texas. vs. Jerry Bolin } Carrying a Pistol. November 26" A. D. 1887. Verdict

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of lawful men to-wit: J. M. Hartsfield and five others who were empaneled according to law, and the Defendant having entered his plea of not guilty, thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument and receiving the Court's charge retired and after deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to-wit: We the jury find the defendant not guilty" (Signed) J. M. Hartsfield Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant be and he is hereby immediately discharged from any further liability herein and that he go hence without day.

4384. State of Texas. -vs- Lee Levi } Unlawfully Carrying a Pistol November 26th A. D. 1887. Verdict

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Lee Levi in person and by attorney, and both parties having announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County Texas to-wit, Sam Seaton, and five others, who were duly selected tried, empaneled and sworn according to law and the indictment filed herein being now read to the jury by the County attorney, the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.
Saturday the 26th day of November A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence. argument of Counsel thereon and receiving the charge of the Court. retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict towit: We the jury find the defendant guilty as charged and assess the punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars. and fifty days Confinement in the County jail.

(signed) Jesse Seaton. Foreman"

which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded on the minutes.

It is therefore considered. ordered and adjudged by the Court. that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lee Levi. the sum of Twenty Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas take said Defendant Lee Levi and confine him in the Tarrant County jail for the full period of Fifty days. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant Lee Levi. after said term of fifty days imprisonment has expired, and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4529 "State of Texas.
-vs-
Lottie Freeman } November 26. A. D. 1887.

This day came the parties. then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the Indictment herein and the Court having heard said motion. argument

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion It is therefore, ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the Court defendant excepts.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until monday morning at 9 o'clock.

Monday morning November 28th A.D. 1887. Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on Saturday.

4517. State of Texas. vs. Para Lee Steel } November 28th 1887.

This day came the parties, thereupon came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial and the Court having heard said motion, argument and being fully advised in the premises, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Defendant be and she is hereby granted a new trial herein.

4517. State of Texas -vs- J. P. Rhoads } November 28th A. D. 1887.

This day came the parties, thereupon came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the Court having heard said motion, argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

4107. State of Texas. vs. John Blythe alias Long John. } Unlawfully exhibiting a Keno Table. November 28th 1887. Verdict.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, on this day also came the Defendant John Blythe alias Long John, in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County Texas to-wit: D. S. Brown and five others who were duly selected, tried, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment filed herein, charging the Defendant John Blythe alias Long John with the offense of unlawfully exhibiting a Keno Table, being now read to the jury by the County Attorney, the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence argument of Counsel thereon and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

(Signed) D. S. Brown Foreman."

which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded on the minutes.

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Monday the 28th day of November A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the Defendant John Blythe alias Long John the sum of Twenty Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4108. State of Texas. vs. John Blythe alias Long John } Unlawfully exciting a Keno Table for the purpose of gaming November 28th A. D. 1887.

Verdict.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant John Blythe alias Long John in person and by attorney, and both parties having announced ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to wit. P. E. Sargeant and five others, who were duly selected tried empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Indictment herein filed being now read to the jury by the County attorney, the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty and plea of former conviction, whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence, argument of counsel thereon and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the Defendants plea of former conviction not true and we the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment by a fine of Twenty Five Dollars.

(Signed) P. E. Sargeant Foreman."

which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded on the minutes.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.
Monday the 28th day of November A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Blythe alias Long John the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas take said Defendant. after the requirements in the Judgment in Case No 4107. have been fully complied with according to law and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4148. State of Texas. vs- Geo Andrews. } Exhibiting gaming Bank November 28th A.D. 1887. Plea guilty

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law and the Defendant having entered his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Andrews. the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4149. State of Texas. -vs- Geo Andrews. } Exhibiting a gaming bank. November 28th A. D. 1887. Plea of guilty

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887,
Monday the 28" day of November A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

This day came the parties, by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of ~~guilty~~ guilty, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Andrews the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant after he has fully complied with the requirments of the judgment in Case No 4148. and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4600. State of Texas. vs- Horace Loyd. } Carrying a pistol
November 28" 1887.
Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and ~~Twenty~~ days imprisonment in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Horace Loyd, the sum of Twenty Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, and that the Sheriff take

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Monday the 28th day of November A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail for the full period of twenty days. It further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant Horace Loyd and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4474. State of Texas. vs. Chas Hadley. } Unlawfully Exhibiting a gaming bank November 28th A. D. 1887. Verdict

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Chas Hadley in person and by attorney, and both parties having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County Texas to-wit. John Shankle and six others who were duly selected tried, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment filed herein being now read to the jury by the County attorney the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence, argument of Counsel thereon and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to-wit! "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the indictment under the new law and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, and imprisonment in the County jail for ten days.

Signed. John Shankle, Foreman. which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded on the minutes.

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the Defendant Charles Hadley the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue, and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas take said Defendant Chas Hadley and confine him in the Tarrant County jail for the full period of ten days. Further ordered that the said Sheriff take said Defendant Chas Hadley and confine him in the Tarrant County jail, after said term of ten days imprisonment has expired, until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4109. State of Texas vs. John Blythe alias Long John. } Unlawfully exhibiting a Knife, November 28" A. D. 1887. Verdict

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant John Blythe alias Long John in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County Texas, to-wit: J. P. Boyd and five others who were duly selected tried empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment filed herein being now read to the jury by the County attorney, the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty and of former conviction, whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence, argument of Counsel thereon and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to-wit. "We the jury find the defendants plea of former conviction untrue and find the defendant guilty as charged and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.
Monday the 28" day of November A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five dollars.

(Signed) W. P. Boyd Foreman"

which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded on the minutes.

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Blythe alias Long John the sum of Twenty Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas take said Defendant John Blythe alias Long John after the judgments in cases no 4107 and 4108 have been fully satisfied and confine him in the Tarrant County jail, until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Tuesday morning November 29" 1887.
Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

4118. State of Texas. -vs- George Canary } Exhibiting a Tarro Bank November 28th A. D. 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Canary, the sum of Twenty

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Tuesday the 29 day of November A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4126. State of Texas. (Exhibiting a Town Bank.
-vs- November 29th A. D. 1887.
Charles Wright.) Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties, by their attorneys, and both having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five dollars. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4153. State of Texas. (Exhibiting a gaming Bank.
-vs- November 29" A. D. 1887.
Budd Jagg.) Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Four dollars. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

sum of Twenty Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4185 State of Texas (Exhibiting a gaming Bank.
-vs- November 29th A. D. 1887.
Lucas Hadley Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefor considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4474 State of Texas (
-vs-) November 29th A. D. 1887,
Charles Hadley (

This day came the parties. then came on to be heard by the Court. the Defendants motion for a new trial. and the Court having heard said motion. argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefor considered and ordered by the Court that said

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

motion be and the same is hereby overruled to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of Texas.

4474 State of Texas. -vs- Charles Hadley. } November 29." A. D. 1887. Recognizance.

This day came into open Court Charles Hadley, defendant in the above entitled cause who together with Jake Johnson and W. F. Lake, his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas, in the penal sum of Two Hundred Dollars. conditioned that the said, Charles Hadley who stands charged in this Court with the offense of Unlawfully exhibiting a gaming table and bank for the purpose of gaming and who has been convicted of said Offense in this Court, shall appear before this Court from day to day, and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

4584. State of Texas. -vs- Lee Levi } November 29th A. D. 1887.

This day came the parties, then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial, and the Court having heard said motion, argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.
Tuesday the 29th day of November A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

gurs notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of Texas.

4584 State of Texas. vs. Lee Levi. } November 29th A. D. 1887. Recognizance

This day came into open Court Lee Levi defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with T. C. Andrews and J. M. Kuhn his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars. conditioned that the said Lee Levi. who stands charged in this Court with the Offense of "Unlawfully carrying a pistol" and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Wednesday morning November 30th 1887. Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

4127. State of Texas. vs. Charles Wright. } Exhibiting Fire Arms. November 30th A. D. 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Wednesday the 30 day of November A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine & costs are paid in full.

4128. State of Texas. vs. Charles Wright } Exhibiting a Jury Bank November 30 A. D. 1887, Plea of guilty

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial, a jury being empaneled the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five dollars. It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail after the judgment in Case No 4127 has been fully satisfied until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4129. State of Texas. vs. Charles Wright } Exhibiting Jury Bank. November 30 A. D. 1887. Plea of guilty

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Wednesday the 30 day of November A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five dollars. It is therefor considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail after the judgments in cases No 4127 and 4128. have been fully satisfied. until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4131 State of Texas. vs Charles Wright } Exhibiting Faro Bank November 30 A. D. 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court whereupon the Court. upon the Defendant entering his plea of guilty. assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five dollars. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty Five dollars fine assessed as aforsaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail after the judgments in cases No 4127. 4128. and 4129. have all been paid off and fully satisfied in Strict accordance with law until said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Wednesday the 30 day of November A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4143 State of Texas (Exhibiting Faro Bank.
vs- November 30th 1887.
California Kid, (Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both naming announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforsaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4151 State of Texas (Exhibiting Gaming Bank
vs. November 30th A. D. 1887.
California Kid (Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both naming announced ready for trial a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty Five dollars fine assessed as aforsaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas take said Defendant California Kid, after the judgment in case No 4143. has been satisfied in full and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs have been paid in full and satisfied

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Wednesday the 30 day of November A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4490 State of Texas vs Charles Wright } Exhibiting Faro Bank. November 30th A. D. 1887. Plea of Guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said fine and Cost execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail after the judgments in Cases No 4127. 4128. 4129. and 4131 have been satisfied in full until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4487. State of Texas vs Charles Hadley. } Exhibiting gaming Bank. November 30 A. D. 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charles Hadley the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Wednesday the 30 day of November A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4569. State of Texas vs. Nath Brooks. } Exhibiting gaming bank
November 30th A. D. 1887.
Verdict.

This day came the parties to wit. State & Defendant and both having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a lawful jury to wit: J. T. Allen and five others. who were duly empaneled and the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence. argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired and after due deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to wit: We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

(Signed) J. T. Allen. Foreman"

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Defendant be and he is hereby discharged herein and that he go hence without day.

4574 State of Texas. -vs- J. P. Rhoads. } Exhibiting gaming bank
November 30th A. D. 1887.
Verdict.

This day came the ~~parties~~ by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial thereupon came a lawful jury to wit. P. E. Sargeant and five others. who were duly empaneled and the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence. argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendants plea of former conviction true and the Defendants not guilty.

(Signed) P. E. Sargeant Foreman"

It is therefor considered and ordered by the Court that said Defendant J. P. Rhoads be and he is hereby discharged from any further liability on the charge for which he is herein tried. and that said defendant go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.
Wednesday the 30 day of November A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4575 State of Texas. vs. Granville Dorbett } Exhibiting a gaming bank
November 30th A. D. 1887.
Verdict

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and both having announced ready for trial, thereupon came a lawful jury to-wit: J. B. Maddox and five others who were duly empaneled and the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty and of former conviction whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument, and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to-wit: We the jury find the Defendants plea of former conviction true and the Defendant not guilty

(Signed) J. B. Maddox, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Defendant be and he is hereby immediately discharged from any further liability herein and that he go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Thursday morning December 1st A. D. 1887.
Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

4184 State of Texas. -vs- Charles Bullock } Exhibiting Keno Table.
November 1st A. D. 1887.
Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Thirty Five dollars. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Thursday the 1st day of December A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charles Bullock the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4193. State of Texas vs- Charles Bullock. } Betting at Keno. December 1st A. D. 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendants enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefor considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforsaid together with all costs herein incured for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail. after the judgment in Case No 4187 has been satisfied. until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4471. State of Texas. vs- Thos. Mitchell } Exhibiting gaming bank. December 1st A. D. 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties. by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefor considered and ordered by the Court that the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.
Monday the 1st day of December A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforsaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4473. State of Texas. vs- James Thrasher. } Exhibiting gaming bank. December 1st A. D. 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforsaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4489. State of Texas. vs- Charles Dixon } Exhibiting gaming bank. December 1st A. D. 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars. It is therefor considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Thursday the 1st day of December A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4499. State of Texas. vs. George Canary. } Exhibiting gaming bank. December 1st A. D. 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted by the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Thirty Five Dollars. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Thirty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4065 State of Texas. vs. John Martin } Playing Cards in public place. December 1st A. D. 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assesses his fine at ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Martin. the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.
Monday the 1st day of November A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4573 State of Texas vs. Granville Corbitt } December 1st A. D. 1887.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the Indictment herein and the Court having heard said motion, argument of Counsel and ~~being duly advised~~ in the premises, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts.

4573 State of Texas vs- Granville Corbitt } December 1st A. D. 1887.

It appearing to the Court, that in the Information filed herein the name of the Defendant appears as unknown. upon Consent of the parties. Ordered that the Clerk insert the real name of the Defendant in said Information filed herein.

4573 State of Texas vs- Granville Corbitt } Exhibiting a gaming bank December 1st A. D. 1887 Verdict

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Granville Corbitt in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887

Monday the 1st day of November A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

The State of Texas vs P. Arnold and five others who were duly elected tried empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information filed herein being now read to the jury by the County Attorney, the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence, argument of Counsel thereon and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess his punishment at twenty five dollars and ten days in the County Jail.

Signed P. Arnold. Foreman"

which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded on the minutes.

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Granville Torbitt the sum of Twenty Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs, execution may issue, and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant Granville Torbitt and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County Texas for the space of ten days. Further ordered that said Sheriff take said Defendant Granville Torbitt and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Friday morning December 2nd A. D. 1887.

Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Friday the 2nd day of November A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

4598 State of Texas vs Harry Edgecomb } Theft under Twenty Dollars. December 2nd A. D. 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises. assesses his punishment at a fine of Two Hundred and Fifty dollars and Six months imprisonment in the County jail. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Harry Edgecomb the sum of Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue and that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail for the full period of six months. It further ordered that the Sheriff take said Defendant after his sentence of Six months imprisonment has expired and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4601 State of Texas vs John Ferrell. } Theft under Twenty Dollars. December 1st A. D. 1887. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of lawful men to wit: J. B. Madox and five others who were duly empaneled according to law and the defendant pleads guilty. whereupon the jury after receiving the charge of the Court retired and after due deliberation returned

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887

Friday the 2nd day of December A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

into open Court with the following verdict towit "Upon the Defendants plea of guilty we the jury assess his punishment at imprisonment in the County jail for one day.

(Signed) J. B. Maddox Foreman"

It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff take said Defendant confine him in the Tarrant County jail for the full period of One day. Further ordered that Execution issue against Defendant for all costs herein incurred and that he be by the Sheriff confined in the Tarrant County jail until the same is paid in full.

4602 State of Texas. vs- John Terrell } Theft. December 2nd A. D. 1887

Verdict

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a lawful jury towit J. B. Maddox and six others, who were duly empaneled and the Defendant pleads guilty whereupon the jury after hearing the arguments and receiving the Charge of the Court retired and after due deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict towit "Upon the defendants plea of guilty we the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at one day imprisonment in the County jail

(Signed) J. B. Maddox. Foreman"

It is therefor ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff take said Defendant after the judgment in Case No 4602 has been satisfied and confine him in the Tarrant County jail for the full term of One day. Further ordered that execution issue against said Defendant for all costs herein incurred and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887

Saturday the 3rd day of December A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Saturday morning December 3rd A. D. 1887.
Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

4949 State of Texas vs. James McGinnis } Aggravated assault
December 3rd A. D. 1887.
Mistrial.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial, thereupon came a lawful jury, to-wit Thomas. B. Maddox, and five others, who were duly empanneled according to law and the Defendant pleads not guilty whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired and after due deliberation returned into open Court and announced to the Court that they could not agree whereupon the Court discharged them and ordered a mistrial entered herein which was accordingly done.

4967. State of Texas -vs- Mayor McCarty } Unlawfully exhibiting gaming bank for the purpose of gaming.
December 3rd A. D. 1887.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the Defendant Mayor McCarty, after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to-wit: on the 4th day of November 1887. the Defendant Mayor McCarty after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of "unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming." did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Luke Short and C. M. Wright. as his sureties in the

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. Conditioned, that should the said Major McCarty make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas, on the first-monday in Novmbr the same being the 7th day of Novmbr A. D. 1887. and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge, then in this case, the above bond to be null and void, otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law, and the said defendant Major McCarty having failed to appear as aforesaid, it is therefore considered ordered and adjudged, by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby (declared) forfeited and that the State of Texas, do have and recover of and from the Defendant Major McCarty, as principal and Luke Short, and C. M. Wright sureties as aforesaid the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding, and that this judgment be made final, unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. It is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required commanding said Luke Short and C. M. Wright to appear before said Court at the next regular term thereof and show cause if any they can, why said judgment should not be made final, and that alias Capias issue instanter for the Defendant

4563. State of Texas. -vs- Major McCarty } Unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming. December 3rd A. D. 1887.

This day came the County Attorney

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887
Saturday the 3rd day of December A. D. 1887
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the Defendant Major McCarty after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to-wit on the 4th day of November A. D. 1887 the Defendant Major McCarty after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, upon a charge of "unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming. did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Luke Short and C. M. Wright as his sureties. in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned that should the said Major McCarty make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas on the first monday in November the same being the 7th day of November A. D. 1887. and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law then and there to answer said above described charge, then in this case the above bond to be null and void, otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law, and the said Defendant Major McCarty having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Major McCarty as principal and Luke Short and C. M. Wright. sureties as aforesaid. the sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding. and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. It is further ordered by the Court

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Saturday the 3rd. day of December A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required commanding said Luke Short and E. M. Wright to appear at the next regular term of said Court and show Cause if any they can why said Defendant did not appear and why said judgment should not be made final. and that alias Capias issue instanter for the Defendant.

4069. State of Texas vs- Major McCarty } Unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming.

December 3rd A. D. 1887.

This day came the County attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant Major McCarty after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court, that heretofore to wit: on the 4th day of November A. D. 1887. the Defendant Major McCarty after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of "Unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming" did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Luke Short and E. M. Wright as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that should the said Major McCarty make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas on the first Monday in November. the same being the 7th day of November A. D. 1887. and there remain from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart therefrom, until discharged by due Course of law, then and ~~there to answer~~ said above described charge. then in this case the above bond to be null and void, otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law and the said defendant Major McCarty having failed to appear as aforesaid It is

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.
Saturday the 3rd day of December, A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

therefor considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bonds aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Major McCarty as principal and Luke Short and C. M. Wright sureties as aforesaid, the sum of One Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required commanding said Luke Short and C. M. Wright to appear at the next regular of said Court and show cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final and that alias Capias issue instanter for the Defendant.

4507. State of Texas. } Exhibiting a gaming bank
vs. } Forfeited bonds.
Major McCarty } December 3rd, A. D. 1887.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant Major McCarty after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofor to-wit: on the 4th day of November A. D. 1887, the Defendant Major McCarty after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of "unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming" did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Luke Short and C. M. Wright as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Should the said Major McCarty make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas, on the first monday in November, the same being the 7th day of November A. D. 1887. and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due Course of Law, then and there to answer said above described charge, then in this Case, the above bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and effect in law, and the said Defendant Major McCarty having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore Considered ordered and adjudged by the Court, that the said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Major McCarty as principal and Luke Short and C. M. Wright sureties as aforesaid, the sum of Two Hundred Dollars jointly and severally together with all Costs of this proceeding, and that this judgment be made final unless good Cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. It is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required Commanding said Luke Short and C. M. Wright to appear before said Court at the next regular term thereof and show Cause, if any they can why said judgment should not be made final, and that alias Capias issue instanter for the Defendant

4496. State of Texas, -vs- G. L. McConnell } Exhibiting a gaming bank, December 3rd A. D. 1887, Forfeited bond.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant G. L. McConnell after being duly.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887,
Saturday the 3rd day of December A. D. 1887,
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Called came not but wholly made default
and it appearing to the Court that hereto-
fore to-wit. on the 14th day of November A. D
1887. the Defendant G. L. McConnell. after hav-
ing been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tar-
rant County Texas upon a charge of "unlaw-
fully entering a gaming bank for the pur-
pose of gaming." did as principal enter into
an appearance bond with C. M. Wright and
C. E. Graham. as his sureties in the sum of
Two Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally
payable to the State of Texas. conditioned
that should the said G. L. McConnell. make
his personal appearance before the Honorable
County Court of Tarrant County Texas. then
in session at the Court House thereof and
there remain from day to day and from term
to term of the same. and not depart there-
from until discharged by due course of law
then and there to answer said above described
charge. then in this case the above bond to
be null and void otherwise to be and remain
in full force and virtue in law; and the
said Defendant G. L. McConnell. having failed
to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore con-
sidered ordered and adjudged by the Court
that the said appearance bond aforesaid
be and the same is hereby declared forfeited
and that the State of Texas. do have and
recover of and from the Defendant G. L.
McConnell. as principal and C. M. Wright
and C. E. Graham. sureties as aforesaid. the
sum of Two Hundred dollars jointly and
severally. together with all costs of this pro-
ceeding and that this judgment be made final
unless good cause be shown. at the next
regular term of this Court why said De-
fendant did not appear. It is further
ordered by the Court that citation issue
in manner and form as by law required

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Saturday the 3rd. day of December A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

commanding said C. M. Wright and C. E. Graham to appear before said Court at the next regular term thereof. and show cause if any they can. why said judgment should not be made final. And that alias. Capias issue instanter for the Defendant.

4493 State of Texas -vs- G. L. McConnell } Exhibiting a gaming bank December 3rd A. D. 1887 Forfeited bond.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant G. L. McConnell after being duly called came not but wholly made default. and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit: on the 14th day of November A. D. 1887. the Defendant G. L. McConnell after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of "unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming" did as principal enter into an appearance bond with C. M. Wright and C. E. Graham as his sureties in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned that should the said G. L. McConnell make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. then in session at the Court House thereof and there remain from day to day and from Term to term thereof and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law. then and there to answer said above described charge. then in this case the above bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law. and the said defendant G. L. McConnell. having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.
Saturday the 3rd day of December A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant L. L. McDonnell as principal and C. M. Wright and C. E. Graham. sureties as aforesaid, the sum of Two Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. It is further ordered by the Court that citation issue in manner and form as by law required, commanding the said C. M. Wright and C. E. Graham. to appear at the next regular term of this Court, and show cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final, and that alias capias issue instanter for the Defendant

4472 State of Texas. vs. Jeff Davis. } Exhibiting gaming table. December 3rd A. D. 1887. Forfeiture bond.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant Jeff Davis, after being duly called came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit: on the 4th day of November A. D. 1887. the Defendant Jeff Davis after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas. did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Luke Short and C. M. Wright as his sureties in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned that should the defendant Jeff Davis make his personal

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. on the first monday in November. the same being the 7th day of November A. D. 1887. and there remain from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law. then and there to answer said above described charge. then in this case the above bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law and the said defendant Jeff Davis having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered ordered. and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jeff Davis as principal and Luke Short and C. M. Wright sureties as aforesaid ~~the sum~~ of Two Hundred dollars. jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. Further ordered by the Court that Alation issue in manner and form as by law required commanding the said Luke Short and C. M. Wright to appear at the next regular term of this Court and show cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final and that alias capias issue instanter for the Defendant.

4490 State of Texas. vs. Farris Cox. } Exhibiting gaming bank. December 3rd A. D. 1887. Forfeited Bonds.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant Farris Cox. after being duly called

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Saturday the 3rd day of December A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to-wit, on the 18th day of November A. D. 1887. the Defendant Farris Cox after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of "unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming" did as principal enter into an appearance bond with C. M. Wright and Taylor Andrews. as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned that should the said Farris Cox make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. then in session at the Court House thereof and there remain from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law. then and there to answer said above described charge. then in this case. the above bond to be null and void. otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law. and the said Defendant. Farris Cox having failed to appear. as aforesaid. It is therefore considered and ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited. and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Farris Cox as principal and C. M. Wright and Taylor Andrews sureties as aforesaid. the sum of One Hundred dollars. jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding and that this judgment be made final unless. good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. Further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

law required, commanding said C. M. Wright and Taylor Andrews to appear at the next regular, of this Court, and show cause if any they can, why said judgment should not be made final, and that alias capias issue instanter for the Defendant.

State of Texas.) Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
-vs-) December 3rd A. D. 1887.
11494 Farris Cox.) Bond forfeited.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the Defendant Farris Cox after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to-wit on the 18th day of November A. D. 1887, the Defendant Farris Cox, after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of "unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming" did as principal enter into an appearance bond with C. M. Wright and Taylor Andrews as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. Conditioned that should the said Farris Cox make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas, then in session at the Court House thereof and do there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law then and there to answer said above described charge, then in this case the above bond to be null and void, otherwise to remain, in full force and virtue in law, and said defendant Farris Cox having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court, that said appearance bond aforesaid

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.
Saturday the 3rd. day of December A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Davis Cox as Principal and C. M. Wright and Taylor Andrews sureties as aforesaid. the sum of One Hundred dollars jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding. and that this judgment be made final. unless good cause or show at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered by the Court that Citation issue, in manner and form as by law required commanding said C. M. Wright and Taylor Andrews. to appear before this Court at the next regular term thereof and show cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final. and that alias capias issue instanter for the Defendant

4501 State of Texas. vs. Maj. McCarty } Exhibiting gaming bank. December 3rd A. D. 1887. Bond Forfeited.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant Maj McCarty after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit on the 4th day of November A. D. 1887. the Defendant Maj McCarty. after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of Unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming" did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Luke Short and C. M. Wright as his sureties in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned that should the said Maj McCarty make his personal appearance before the Hon-

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

orable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. then in Session at the Court House thereof and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due Course of Law. then and there to answer said above described charge then and in this Case the above bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in Law. and said defendant Maj. McCarty having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore Considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Maj. McCarty as principal and Luke Short and C. M. Wright sureties as aforesaid. the sum of Two Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally. Together with all Costs of this proceeding. and that this judgment be made final unless good Cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required Commanding said Luke Short and C. M. Wright to appear before this Court at the next regular term thereof and show Cause if any they can. why said judgment should not be made final and that alias capias issue thereafter for the Defendant

4520 State of Texas. -vs- Major McCarty } Exhibiting gaming bank. December 3rd A. D. 1887. Bond forfeited.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant Major McCarty after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Saturday the 3rd. day of December A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

appearing to the Court that heretofore term on the 4th day of November A. D. 1887. the Defendant Major McCarty after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming," did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Luke Short and C. M. Wright as his sureties, in the sum of Two Hundred dollars, jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that Should the said Major McCarty make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas, on the first monday in November. the same being the 7th day of November A. D. 1887. and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due Course of law then and there to answer said above described charge, then in this case. the above bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law, and said defendant Major McCarty having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore Considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Major McCarty as principal and Luke Short and C. M. Wright sureties as aforesaid the sum of Two Hundred dollars jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. It is further ordered that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required. Commun-

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Saturday the 3rd day of December A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

ing said Luke Short and C. M. Wright to appear before this Court at the next regular term thereof, and show cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final and that alias capias issue instanter for the Defendant.

4481. State of Texas. vs. Harris Cox. } Exhibiting Gaming Bank December 3rd A. D. 1887. Bond forfeited.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant Harris Cox. After being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit on the 18th day of November A. D. 1887. the Defendant Harris Cox after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of "unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming." did as principal enter into an appearance bond with C. M. Wright and Taylor Andrews. as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditioned that should the said Harris Cox make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas then and there from day to day and from term to term of this Court and not depart thereform until discharged by due course of law. session at the Court House thereof then and there to answer said above described charge. then in this case. the above bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law. and the said Defendant Harris Cox having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore Considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited. and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Harris Cox as principal

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.
Saturday the 3rd day of December A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

and C. M. Wright and Taylor Andrews. sureties as aforesaid the sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally. together with all costs of this proceeding. and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. Further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required Commanding said C. M. Wright and Taylor Andrews to appear before this Court at the next regular term thereof and show cause if any they can, why said judgment should not be made final. and that alias Capias issue instanter for the Defendant

4483 State of Texas. } Exhibiting gaming bank.
-vs- } December 3rd A. D. 1887
Farris Cox. } Bond forfeited.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant Farris Cox after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court heretofore to-wit: on the 18th day of November A. D. 1887. the Defendant Farris Cox. after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of "unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming." did as principal enter into an appearance bond with C. M. Wright and Taylor Andrews as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned that should the said Farris Cox. make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Court. then in session, at the Court House thereof. and there remain from day to day

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Saturday the 3rd day of December A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law, and the said defendant Jarris Cox having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore Considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jarris Cox as principal and C. M. Wright and Saylor Andrews sureties as aforesaid. the sum of One Hundred dollars, jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding. and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. Further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required Commanding said C. M. Wright and Saylor Andrews to appear before this Court at the next regular term thereof and show Cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final and that alias Capias issue instanter for the Defendant

4477. State of Texas. —vs— Taylor Andrews. } Exhibiting a gaming Bank. December 3rd, A. D. 1887. Bond forfeited.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant Saylor Andrews after being duly called came not but wholly made default. and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit: on the 18th day of November A. D. 1887. the Defendant Taylor Andrews after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming" did as principal enter into an appearance bond with

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Saturday the 3rd day of December A. D. 1887.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

C. M. Wright and Pharris Cox as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. Conditioned that should the said Taylor Andrews make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas, then in session at the Court House thereof and there remain from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law then and there to answer said above described charge, then in this case, the above bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law and said Defendant Taylor Andrews having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Taylor Andrews as principal and C. M. Wright and Pharris Cox sureties as aforesaid, the sum of One Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Citation issue in manner and form as is by law required. Commanding said C. M. Wright and Pharris Cox to appear before this Court at the next regular term thereof and show cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final and that alias capias issue instanter for the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Saturday the 3rd day of December A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4484 State of Texas. } Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
-vs- } December 3rd A. D. 1887.
Taylor Andrews. } Bond forfeited.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant Taylor Andrews after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to-wit: on the 18th day of November A. D. 1887, the Defendant Taylor Andrews after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, (who is charged with unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming.) did as principal enter into an appearance bond, with C. M. Wright and Pharris Cox as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that should the said Taylor Andrews make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas, then in session at the Court House thereof and there remain from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law then and there to answer said above described charge. then in this case the above bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law and the said Defendant Taylor Andrews having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Taylor Andrews as principal and C. M. Wright and Pharris Cox sureties as aforesaid the sum of One Hundred dollars jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding. and that this judgment be made final unless good cause.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.
Saturday the 3rd. day of December A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

or shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear, & further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required commanding said C. M. Wright and Pharis Cox. to appear before this Court at the next regular term thereof and show cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final and that alias capias issue instanter for the Defendant.

4484. State of Texas. -vs- Frank Anderson } Exhibiting Gaming Bank. December 3rd A. D. 1887. Bond forfeited.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant Frank Anderson after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit on the 3rd day of November A. D. 1887 the Defendant Frank Anderson after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming did as principal enter into an appearance bond with C. M. Hurley and C. M. Wright as his sureties in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned that should the said Frank Anderson make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas on the first monday in November. the same being the 7th day of November. A. D. 1887. and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law then and there to answer said above described charge

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

thereon in this case, the above bond to be null and void. Otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law, and the said Defendant Frank Anderson having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited. and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Anderson, as principal and C. M. Hurley and C. M. Wright-Sureties as aforesaid. the sum of Two Hundred dollars. jointly and Severally together with all costs of this proceeding, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. It further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required. & Commanding said C. M. Hurley and C. M. Wright-to appear before this Court at the next regular term hereof and show cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final and that alias Capias is-sue instanter for the Defendant

4495 State of Texas. (Exhibiting gaming bank
-vs- December 3rd A. D. 1887.
Taylor Andrews.) Bond forfeited.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant Taylor Andrews. after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit on the 18th day of November A. D. 1887. the Defendant Taylor Andrews after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.
Saturday the 3rd. day of December A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

the purpose of gaming. and as principal
enter into an appearance bond with C.
M. Wright and Pharris Cox as his sureties
in the sum of One Hundred dollars jointly
and severally payable to the State of Texas.
conditioned that should the said Taylor
Andrews make his personal appearance
before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant
County Texas. then in session at the Court House
thereof and there remain from day to day
and from term to term of the same and do
not depart therefrom until discharged
by due course of law then and there to
answer said above described charge.
then in this case. the above bond to be
null and void. otherwise to be and remain
in full force and virtue in law. and
the said defendant Taylor Andrews hav-
ing failed to appear as aforesaid. It is
therefore considered ordered and adjudged
by the Court that said appearance bond
aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared
forfeited and that the State of Texas do
have and recover of and from the Defen-
dant Taylor Andrews as principal and
C. M. Wright and Pharris Cox sureties as
aforesaid the sum of One Hundred Dollars.
jointly and severally. together with all costs of
this proceeding and that this judgment be
made final unless good cause be shown. at
the next term of this Court why said defen-
dant did not appear. Further ordered by the
Court that citation issue in manner and
form as by law required. commanding said
C. M. Wright and Pharris Cox to appear
before this Court at the next regular term
thereof. and show cause if any they can
why said Defendant did not appear and
that alias capias issue instanter for the
Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Saturday the 3rd. day of December A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

4519

State of Texas. (Exhibiting Gaming bank.
vs- (December 3rd. A.D. 1887
Taylor Andrews.) Bond forfeited.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the defendant Taylor Andrews, after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit on the 18th day of November A. D. 1887. the Defendant Taylor Andrews. after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of Unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming. did as principal enter into an appearance bond with C. M. Wright and Pharris Cox as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned that should the said Taylor Andrews make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. then in session at the Court House thereof. and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law. then and there to answer said above described charge. then in this case the above bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law. and said defendant Taylor Andrews having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Taylor Andrews. as principal and C. M. Wright and Pharris Cox sureties as aforesaid. the sum of One Hundred dollars. jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding. and that this judgment be made

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.
Saturday the 3rd day of December A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

filed unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required Commanding said C. M. Wright and Pharris Bot to appear before this Court at the next regular term thereof and show cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final and that Alias Capias issue instanter for the Defendant

4491. State of Texas. (Exhibiting a gaming bank
-vs- December 3rd. A. D. 1887.
Taylor Andrews.) Forfeited bond

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant Taylor Andrews. after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it further appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit on the 18th day of November A. D. 1887. the Defendant Taylor Andrews after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of "unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming" did as principal enter into an appearance bond with C. M. Wright and Pharris Bot as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned. that should the said Taylor Andrews make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. then in session at the Court House thereof. and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law then and there to answer said above described charge. then in this case. the above bond to be null and void. otherwise to be

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Saturday the 3rd. day of December A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

and remain in full force and virtue in law and said Defendant Taylor Andrews having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefor considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited. and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Taylor Andrews as principal and C. M. Wright and Pharris Cox. sureties as aforesaid. the sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. It is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required commanding said C. M. Wright and and Pharris Cox to appear before said Court at the next regular term thereof and show cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final and that alias Capias issue instanter for the Defendant

4208 State of Texas. — vs — G. L. McConnell. } Exhibiting a Keno Table December 3rd. A. D. 1887. Bond Forfeited.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant G. L. McConnell after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore Towit. on the 8th day of April A. D. 1887. after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of unlawfully exhibiting a Keno table for the purpose of gaming. did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Luke Short and C. E. Graham. as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars and severally payable to the State of

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887
Saturday the 3rd day of December A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Texas. conditioned that should the said G. L. McConnell make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. then in session at the Court House thereof and then remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law. then and there to answer said above described charge. then in this case the above bond to be null and void. otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law. and the said Defendant G. L. McConnell having failed to appear as aforesaid It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant G. L. McConnell as principal and Luke Short and C. E. Graham. sureties as aforesaid the sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding. and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. Further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required Commanding said Luke Short and C. E. Graham. to appear before this Court at the next regular term thereof and show cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final and that alias capias issue instanter for the Defendant.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Saturday the 3rd day of December A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

1209 State of Texas. —vs— G. L. McConnell } Exhibiting Keno Table December 3rd A. D. 1887. Bond forfeited.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant G. L. McConnell. after being duly called came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to-wit. on the 8th day of April 1887. after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of unlawfully exhibiting a Keno table for the purpose of gaming. did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Luke Short and C. E. Graham as his sureties. in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned that should the said G. L. McConnell. make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. then in session, at the Court House thereof "Instanter" and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due Course of law. then and there to answer said above described Charge. then in this case the above bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law. and the said defendant G. L. McConnell. having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid, be and the same is hereby declared forfeited. and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant G. L. McConnell as principal and Luke Short and C. E. Graham sureties as aforesaid. the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally together with all Costs of this proceeding and that this judgment

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.
Saturday the 3rd day of December A. D. 1887.
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required Commanding said Luke Short and C. E. Graham to be and appear before this Court at the next regular term thereof and show cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final. and that alias Capias issue instanter for the Defendant.

4202 State of Texas. vs- G. L. McConnell. } Exhibiting Forma Scale. December 3rd A. D. 1887. Bond forfeited.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant G. L. McConnell. after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit on the 8th day of April A. D. 1887. the Defendant G. L. McConnell. after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, upon a charge of unlawfully exhibiting a false sign for the purpose of gaming. and as principal enter into an appearance bond with Luke Short and C. E. Graham as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned that should the said G. L. McConnell. make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. then in session at the Court House thereof. "Instanter". and then remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court, and do not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law then and there to answer said above described charge. then in this case. the above bond to be null and void. otherwise to be and remain

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

in full force and virtue in law, and the said Defendant G. L. McDonnell having failed to appear as aforsaid. It is therefor considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearence bond aforsaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant. G. L. McDonell as principal and. Luke Short and C. E. Graham sureties, as aforsaid. the sum of One Hundred. Dollars, jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding, and that this judgment be made final. unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. It is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required. commanding said Luke Short and C. E. Graham to appear before this Court at the next regular term thereof and show cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final and that alias Capias issue instanter for the Defendant

4204. State of Texas. vs. G. L. McDonell. } Exhibiting Keno Table. December 3rd A. D. 1887. Bond forfeited.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant G. L. McDonell after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore Towit on the 8th day of April A. D. 1887. the Defendant G. L. McDonell after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas. upon a charge of "unlawfully exhibiting a Keno Table for the purpose of gaming"

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Luke Short and C. E. Graham in the sum of One Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. Conditioned, that should the said L. L. McConnell make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas, then in session, at the Court House thereof instanter, and there remain from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart therefrom until discharged by due Course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge, then in this case the above bond to be null and void, otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law, and the said Defendant L. L. McConnell having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore Considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant L. L. McConnell as principal and Luke Short and C. E. Graham sureties as aforesaid the sum of One Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally together with all Costs of this proceeding and that this judgment be made final unless good Cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required. Commanding said Luke Short and C. E. Graham to appear before this Court at the next regular term thereof and show Cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final and that alias Capias issue instanter for the Defendant.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1887.

Saturday the 3rd day of December A. D. 1887.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

The foregoing minutes of this term of the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas examined & and approved

County Judge of Tarrant County Texas.

attest:-
W. D. Wayne County Clerk Tarrant County Texas.
By Geo. E. Holland Deputy.

END

CRIMINAL MINUTES COUNTY COURT

VOL. 7

TARRANT COUNTY

THE 153
CRIMINAL MINUTES
COUNTY COURT
1897-89

Criminal Minutes Cty Court 7
Tarrant Cty Tx FRL1

Tarrant County Court Norman Term 1887.

4/11/87

State of Texas
-vs-
G.L. McConnell.

Exhibiting Keno Table.
Decmon 3rd A.D. 1887.
Bond forfeited.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant G.L. McConnell after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court, that heretofore to wit, on the 8th day of April, A.D. 1887 the Defendant G.L. McConnell after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of unlawfully exhibiting a Keno Table for the purpose of gaming" did as principal enter into an appearance bond, with Luke Short and C.E. Graham as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned that should the said G.L. McConnell make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas, then in session at the Court House thereof. Instanter, and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law, then in this case, the above bond to be null and void, otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law, and the said defendant G.L. McConnell, having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court, that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant G.L. McConnell as principal and Luke Short and C.E. Graham sureties as aforesaid the sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally together with all costs

then and there to answer said above and aforesaid charge

Tarrant County Court, November Term 1887.

of this proceeding, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. It is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required. Commanding said Luke Short and C. E. Graham to appear before this Court at the next regular term thereof, and show cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final and that alias Capias issue instanter for the Defendant

4198. State of Texas. vs. G. L. McConnell } Exhibiting K Mo Table December 3rd 1887. Bond forfeited

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant G. L. McConnell after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit. on the 8th day of April A. D. 1887. the Defendant G. L. McConnell after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas. upon a charge of unlawfully exhibiting a K no Table for the purpose of gaming" did as principal. enter into an appearance bond with Luke Short and C. E. Graham as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. Conditioned that should the said G. L. McConnell make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. then in session at the Court House thereof instanter. and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due Course of Law. then and there to answer said above described charge. then in this

Case the above bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law. and the said Defendant, G. L. McConnell having failed to appear as aforesaid It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant G. L. McConnell as principal and Luke Short and C. E. Graham sureties as aforesaid. the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required commanding said Luke Short and C. E. Graham to appear before this Court at the next regular term thereof and show cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final and that alias capias issue instanter for the Defendant.

4210 State of Texas vs- G. L. McConnell } Exhibiting Keno Table. December 3rd A. D. 1887. Bond forfeited.

This day came the County attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant G. L. McConnell after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore towit: on the 8th day of April A. D. 1887. the Defendant G. L. McConnell after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas. upon a charge of unlawfully exhibiting a Keno Table for the purpose of gaming. did as principal

enter into an appearance bonds. with Luke Short and C. E. Graham as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned that should the said G. L. McConnell make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. then in session at the Court House thereof instanter and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due Course of Law then and there to answer said above described charge. then in this case. the above bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law. and the said Defendant G. L. McConnell having failed to appear as aforsaid. It is therefore Considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforsaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited. and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant G. L. McConnell as principal and Luke Short and C. E. Graham sureties as aforsaid. the sum of One Hundred dollars jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding. and that this judgment be made final unless good Cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required commanding said Luke Short and C. E. Graham. to appear before this Court at the next regular term thereof. and show Cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final and that alias Capias issue instanter for the Defendant

Tarrant County Court November Term A. D. 1887.

4389. State of Texas. Exhibiting Gaming Bank
-vs- December 3rd A. D. 1887.
California Kid Bond forfeited

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the Defendant California Kid after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore on the 23rd day of September A. D. 1887. the Defendant California Kid, after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas, upon a charge of unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming did as principal, enter into an appearance bond with W. H. Hendricks, C. M. Wright and Luke Short, as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditioned that should the said California Kid make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas then in session at the Court House thereof "Instanter" and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge, then in this case the above bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law, and the said Defendant California Kid, having failed to appear as aforesaid It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant, California Kid as principal, and W. H. Hendricks, C. M. Wright and Luke Short sureties as aforesaid, the sum of One Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding and,

that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required Commanding said W. C. Hunnicutt C. M. Wright and Luke Short to appear before this Court at the next regular term thereof and show cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final and that alias Capias issue instanter for the Defendant

4311. State of Texas. -vs- California Kid } Exhibiting gaming bank December 3rd A. D. 1887. Bond forfeited

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. and the Defendant California Kid after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit. on the 4th day of November A. D. 1887. the Defendant California Kid after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming." did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Luke Short and C. M. Wright as his sureties in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditioned that should the said California Kid make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas on the first monday in November. the same being the 7th day of November A. D. 1887. and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law. then and there to answer said above described charge. then in

Tarrant County Court November Term A. D. 1887.

this case the above bond to be null and void, otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law and the said defendant California Kid having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant California Kid as principal and Luke Short and C. M. Wright sureties as aforesaid. the sum of Two Hundred dollars. jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding. and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required commanding said Luke Short and C. M. Wright to appear before this Court at the next regular term thereof and show cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final and that alias Capias issue instanter for the Defendant

11478. State of Texas. -vs- Taylor Andrews. } Exhibiting gaming bank. December A. D. 1887. Bond forfeited.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant Taylor Andrews. after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit. on the 18th day of November A. D. 1887. the Defendant Taylor Andrews. after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas. upon a charge of "Unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming." did as principal enter into an appearance bond with

Tarrant County Court November Term 1887.

C. M. Wright and Pharris Cox as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. Jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditioned that should the said Taylor Andrews make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. then in session. at the Court House thereof. Instanter. and there remain from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart therefrom. until discharged by due Course of law. then and there to answer said above described Charge. then in this Case the above bond to be and remain void. otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law and the said defendant Taylor Andrews having failed to appear as aforesaid It is therefore Considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Taylor Andrews as principal. and C. M. Wright and Pharris Cox sureties as aforesaid. the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally together with all Costs of this proceeding and that this judgment be made final unless good Cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. Further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required Commanding said C. M. Wright and Pharris Cox to be and appear before this Court. at the next regular term thereof and show Cause if any they Can why said judgment should not be made final and that alias Capias issue instanter for the Defendant

Tarrant County Court November Term 1887.

4479. State of Texas. } Exhibiting Gaming Bank
—vs— } December 3rd A. D. 1887
California Kid } Bond forfeited.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant California Kid after being duly called by the Sheriff came not but wholly made default. and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit. on the 4th day of November A. D. 1887. the Defendant California Kid after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming" did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Lucy Short and O. M. Wright as his sureties in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. Conditioned that should the said California Kid make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. on the first monday in November. same being the 7th day of November A. D. 1887. and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court. and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law. then and there to answer said above described charge. then in this case the above bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law and the said Defendant California Kid having failed to appear as aforesaid It is therefore Considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bonds aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited. and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant California Kid as prin-

Tarrant County Court. November Term 1887.

Ojea and Luke Short and C. M. Wright sure-
ties as aforesaid the sum of Two Hundred
Dollars jointly and severally together with
all costs of this proceeding and that this
judgment be made final unless good Cause
be shown at the next term of this Court why
said Defendant did not appear. Further
ordered by the Court that Citation issue in
manner and form as by law required and
commanding said Luke Short and C. M.
Wright to appear before this Court at the
next regular term thereof and show Cause
if any they can why said judgment should
not be made final and that alias Capias
issue instanter for the Defendant

4140

State of Texas. (Exhibiting Dare Bank
-vs- December 3rd A. D. 1887.
Bob Hamilton.) Bond forfeited.

This day came the County Attorney
prosecuting the pleas of the State and the
Defendant Bob Hamilton after being duly
called came not but wholly made default
and it appearing to the Court that here-
tofore to wit. on the 29th day of April A. D.
1887. the Defendant Bob Hamilton after hav-
ing been duly arrested by the Sheriff of
Tarrant County Texas upon a charge
of unlawfully exhibiting a Dare Bank
for the purpose of gaming did as prin-
cipal enter into an appearance bond with
C. M. Wright and G. L. Andrews. as his
sureties in the sum of One Hundred
Dollars. jointly and severally payable
to the State of Texas. conditioned that
should the said Bob Hamilton make
his personal appearance. before the Hon-
orable County Court of Tarrant County
Texas. on the 5th monday in May suc-
ceeding the 30th day of May A. D. 1887.
and there remain from day to day and

from term to term of said Court and not de-
part therefrom until discharged by due
Course of Law. then and there to answer
said above described Charge. then in this
case the above bond to be null and void
otherwise to be and remain in full force
and virtue in law and the said Defendant
Bob Hamilton having failed to appear as
aforesaid. It is therefore Considered ordered
and adjudged by the Court that said ap-
pearance bond aforesaid be and the same
is hereby declared forfeited and that the
State of Texas do have and recover of and
from the Defendant Bob Hamilton as Prin-
cipal and O. M. Wright and G. D. Andrews sure-
ties as aforesaid the sum of One Hundred
dollars jointly and severally together with
all costs of this proceeding and that this Judg-
ment be made final unless good cause be shown
at the next term of this Court why said Defen-
dant did not appear. Further ordered by the
Court that Citation issue in manner and form
as by law required, commanding said O. M.
Wright and G. D. Andrews to appear before this
Court at the next regular term thereof
and show cause if any they can why said
judgment should not be made final. and
that alias Capias issue instanter for Defendant

4187. State of Texas —vs— Warren Orrick } Exhibiting gaming Bank
December 3rd A. D. 1887.
Bond forfeited.

This day came the County attorney
prosecuting the pleas of the State and the De
fendant Warren Orrick after being duly
called came not but wholly made default
and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to-wit on the 8th day of April A. D. 1887.
the Defendant Warren Orrick after hav
ing been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tar-
rant County Texas. upon a charge of

Tarrant County Court November Term 1887.

unlawfully exhibiting a gaming bank for the purpose of gaming. and as principal enter into an appearance bond with Luke Short as his surety in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. Conditioned that should the said Warren Orrick make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. then in session at the Court House thereof Instanter and there remain from day to day. and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law. then and there to answer said above described charge. then in this case. the above bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law and said Defendant Warren Orrick having failed to appear as aforesaid It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Warren Orrick as principal and Luke Short surety as aforesaid the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required. Commanding said Luke Short to appear before this Court at the next regular term thereof and show cause if any he can why said judgment should not be made final and that this capias issue instanter for the Defendant

4183. State of Texas. -vs- G. L. McDonell } Exhibiting Keno Table December 3rd A. D. 1887. Bond forfeited.

This day came the County At-

Tarrant County Court Norrmon Term 1887.

torney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant G. L. McConnell after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore on towit the 8th day of April A. D. 1887. the Defendant G. L. McConnell after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of unlawfully exhibiting a Faro Table for the purpose of gaming" did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Lake Short and C. E. Graham as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. Conditioned that should the said G. L. McConnell make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas then in session at the Court House thereof. Instanter, and there remain from day to day and from term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law. then and there to answer said above described charge. then in this case the above bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law. And the said Defendant G. L. McConnell having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant G. L. McConnell as principal and Lake Short and C. E. Graham, sureties as aforesaid the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required commanding said Lake Short and C. E. Graham to appear at the next regular term of this Court and show cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final and that alias capias issue instanter for the Defendant

Tarrant County Court November Term 1887.

11197 State of Texas -vs- G. L. McConnell. } Exhibiting Keno Table. December 3rd A. D. 1887. Forfeited Bonds.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant G. L. McConnell after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to-wit on the 8th day of April A. D. 1887. the Defendant G. L. McConnell after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of unlawfully exhibiting a Keno Table for the purpose of gaming. and as principal, enter into an appearance bond with Luke Short and C. E. Graham. as his sureties. in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned that should the said G. L. McConnell. make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. then in session. at the Court House thereof. in Fort Worth, and then remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law then and there to answer said above described charge. then in this case the above bond to be null and void. otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law. and the said Defendant G. L. McConnell having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant G. L. McConnell. as principal and Luke Short and C. E. Graham. sureties as aforesaid the sum of One Hundred dollars jointly

and severally together with all costs of this proceeding. and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required, commanding said Luke Short and C. E. Graham. to appear before this Court at the next regular term thereof and show cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final and that alias Capias issue instanter for the Defendant.

4205 State of Texas. vs. G. L. McConnell } Exhibiting a Kno Table December 3rd A. D. 1887. Bond forfeited.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant G. L. McConnell after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit. on the 8th day of April A. D. 1887, the Defendant G. L. McConnell after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas. upon a charge of unlawfully exhibiting a Kno Table for the purpose of gaming. did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Luke Short and C. E. Graham. as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. conditioned that should the said G. L. McConnell make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. then in session at the Court House thereof. Instanter and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law then and there to answer said

Tarrant County Court. Norember Term 1887.

above described charge, then in this case the above bond to be null and void. otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law. and the said Defendant G. L. McConnell having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant G. L. McConnell as principal and Luke Short and C. E. Graham. sureties as aforesaid the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding. and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required Commanding said Luke Short and C. E. Graham to appear before this Court at the next regular term thereof and show cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final. and that alias capias issue instanter for the Defendant

4206 State of Texas. —vs— G. L. McConnell } Exhibiting Kuno Table December 3rd A. D. 1887. Bond forfeited.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant G. L. McConnell after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore to wit on the 8th day of April A. D. 1887. the Defendant G. L. McConnell after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas. upon a charge of Unlawfully exhibiting a Kuno Table for.

the purpose of gaining, did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Luke Short and C. E. Graham as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. Conditioned that should the said G. L. McConnell make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas, then in session at the Court House thereof. Instanter, and there remain from day to day and term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom until discharged by due course of law then and there to answer said above described charge, then in this case the above bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law and said Defendant G. L. McConnell having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bonds aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant G. L. McConnell as principal and Luke Short and C. E. Graham sureties as aforesaid. the sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally. together with all costs of this proceeding. and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required commanding said Luke Short and C. E. Graham to appear before this Court at the next regular term thereof and show cause. if any they can why said judgment should not be made final and that Alias Capias issue instanter for the Defendant.

Tarrant County Court November Term 1887

4207. State of Texas. -vs- G. L. McConnell } Exhibiting Km o Table. December 3rd A. D. 1887. Bond forfeited.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant G. L. McConnell after being duly called came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that heretofore towit. on the 8th day of April A. D. 1887. the Defendant G. L. McConnell after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a charge of Unlawfully exhibiting a Km o Table for the purpose of gaming" did as principal enter into an appearance bond with Luke Short and C. B. Graham as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars. jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that should the said G. L. McConnell make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County Texas. then in session at the Court House thereof Instanter and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court and not depart therefrom. until discharged by due course of law and there to answer said above described charge. then in this case the above bond to be null and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law and the said defendant G. L. McConnell having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said appearance bond aforesaid be and the same is hereby declared forfeited. and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant G. L. McConnell as principal and Luke Short and C. B. Graham sureties as aforesaid. jointly and severally. together with all costs of this

proceeding and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. It further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required commanding said Luke Short and A.E. Graham to appear before this Court at the next regular term thereof and show cause if any they can why said judgment should not be made final and that alias capias issue in stanter for the Defendant.

Ordered by the Court that the arrests in the following cases be withdrawn and that the same be filed, to-wit:-

3811 State of Texas. -vs- John Blankenship
Assault.

3703. State of Texas -vs- John T. Lee.
Occupation without License.

4145 State of Texas. -vs- Sallie Williams.
Vagrancy.

4280 State of Texas. -vs- Archer Woodward
Selling liquor to a minor.

4328. State of Texas. -vs- George Rupe.
Aggravated assault.

4339 State of Texas. -vs- Mollie Higdon.
Carrying fruit from Orchard of another.

4340 State of Texas -vs- Sam'l Higdon.
Killing Cattle of another.

4003 State of Texas. -vs- John Martin.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

4004 State of Texas. -vs- John Martin
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

4005 State of Texas -vs- John Martin
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4007 State of Texas. -vs- Chas Bullock.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4008 State of Texas. -vs- Chas Dixon
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

Tarrant County Court November Term 1887.

4010 State of Texas. -vs- Chas Bullock.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

4012 State of Texas. -vs- James Thrasher.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

4013 State of Texas. -vs- Billie Pierce.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4066. State of Texas. -vs- George Canary.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

4068 State of Texas -vs- George Canary.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

This day came the County attorney representing the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed in each of the following causes, says he will no longer prosecute the same and asks that they be dismissed. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said causes be and the same are hereby dismissed.

3423 State of Texas. -vs- A. G. Shattuck
Occupation without License.

3815 State of Texas. -vs- J. H. Spurr.
Adultery.

3859 State of Texas. -vs- J. E. Calhoun
Aggravated assault

3860 State of Texas. -vs- J. E. Calhoun
Carrying a Pistol

4047 State of Texas. -vs- Dennis Purvis
Aggravated assault

4099 State of Texas -vs- Jhoney Crisp.
Vagrancy.

4110 State of Texas. -vs- John Blythe alias Long John
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4111. State of Texas. -vs- John Blythe alias Long John
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4112 State of Texas. -vs- John Blythe alias Long John
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

4114 State of Texas. -vs- John Blythe alias Long John
Exhibiting a Keno Table

4115 State of Texas. -vs- John Blythe alias Long John
Exhibiting a Keno Table.

Tarrant County Court. November Term. 1887

4150	State of Texas. -vs- Geo Andrews. Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4175	State of Texas. -vs- Geo Andrews. Exhibiting Gaming Bank
4177	State of Texas. -vs- Geo Andrews. Exhibiting a Keno Table.
4178	State of Texas. -vs- Geo Andrews. Exhibiting a Keno Table
4179	State of Texas. -vs- Geo Andrews. Exhibiting a Keno Table.
4180	State of Texas. -vs- Geo Andrews. Exhibiting a Keno Table.
4181	State of Texas. -vs- Geo Andrews. Exhibiting a Keno Table.
4190	State of Texas. -vs- Bud Brown. Betting at Keno.
4194.	State of Texas. -vs- Geo Andrews. Exhibiting a Keno Table.
4200	State of Texas. -vs- John Blythe Exhibiting a Keno Table.
4201	State of Texas. -vs- Geo Andrews. Exhibiting a Keno Table.
4264	State of Texas. -vs- Frank Gillespie Adultery.
4265	State of Texas. -vs- Lizzie Stokes Adultery.
4268.	State of Texas. -vs- Madam Brown. Keeping a Disorderly House.
4293	State of Texas. -vs- Charley Eastman Selling liquor on Sunday.
4317	State of Texas. -vs- M. R. McLaury. Aggravated assault-
4306.	State of Texas. -vs- J. B. Herron. Appeal. F. H. Smith.
4307.	State of Texas. -vs- R. J. Johnson. Resisting an Officer.
4334	State of Texas. -vs- Rudolph Cimmerly. Keeping a Disorderly House.
4371	State of Texas. -vs- Easter Smith Keeping a Disorderly House.

Tarrant County Court November Term 1887.

4380 State of Texas. -vs- Lemman Gilbert
Exhibiting monte Table

4390 State of Texas. -vs- Budd Fagg.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

4394 State of Texas. -vs- J. R. Shannon.
Running faro a quarter.

4476. State of Texas. -vs- Charley Wright
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

4480 State of Texas. -vs- Charley Wright
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

4506. State of Texas -vs- Chas Hadley.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

4517 State of Texas. -vs- Parry Lem Stal.
Vagrancy

4529. State of Texas. -vs- Lottie Herman
Betting at a game played with Dice.

4541 State of Texas. -vs- Jennie Dickins.
Vagrancy

4544 State of Texas. -vs- James Dillon
vagrancy.

4543 State of Texas. -vs- James Harris.
Vagrancy

4549 State of Texas. -vs- Russie Richardson.
Vagrancy

4550 State of Texas. -vs- Mary Jenkins.
Vagrancy.

4551 State of Texas. -vs- Maggie Franklin
Vagrancy.

4557 State of Texas. -vs- Lemmon Gilbert
Exhibiting a Monte Table

4557 State of Texas. -vs- Lemmon Gilbert
Exhibiting a Gaming Bank.

4591 State of Texas. -vs- John Davis
Carrying a Pistol

4594 State of Texas. -vs- J. H. Ragon.
Carrying a Pistol.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock

Monday morning December 5th 1887. Court met

city o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

4107. State of Texas -vs- John Blythe } December 5th 1887.

This day came the parties, then came on to be heard by the Court the Defendants motion for a new trial and the Court having heard said motion, argument and being fully advised in the premises, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of Texas.

4108. State of Texas -vs- John Blythe } December 5th 1887.

This day came the parties, then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial, and the Court having heard said motion, argument and being fully advised in the premises because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, it is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of Texas.

4109 State of Texas -vs- John Blythe } December 5th A. D. 1887.

This day came the parties, then came

Tarrant County Court November Term 1887

out to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial and the Court having heard said motion, argument, and being fully advised in the premises, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, it is therefor considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of Texas.

4573. State of Texas —vs— Granville Forbes } December 5th 1887.

This day came the parties thereto came on to be heard by the Court the Defendants motion for a new trial, and the Court now being heard said motion, argument and being fully advised in the premises because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion It is therefor Considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of Texas.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Tuesday morning, December 6th A. D. 1887. Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

4573. State of Texas —vs— Granville Forbes } December 6th A. D. 1887.

Ordered by the Court that the Defendant herein be and he is hereby pr-

mitted to withdraw his notice of appeal herein which is accordingly done.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Friday morning December 9th A. D. 1887 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on Tuesday.

4107. State of Texas vs. John Blythe } December 9. 1887.

This day came the parties. Came on to be heard the Defendants motion for the Court to allow him to withdraw his notice of appeal, which motion is accordingly granted.

4107. State of Texas vs. John Blythe } December 9. 1887

Ordered by the Court that the Defendant now leave to withdraw his notice of appeal herein which is accordingly done.

4108 State of Texas. -vs- John Blythe } December 9, 1887

Ordered by the Court that the Defendant have leave of Court to withdraw his notice of appeal herein which is accordingly done.

4109 State of Texas. -vs- John Blythe } December 9. 1887.

Ordered that the Defendant now leave to withdraw his notice of appeal herein which is accordingly done.

Tarrant County Court November Term 1887

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Saturday morning December 10. A. D. 1887 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

4605 State of Texas. vs- Harry Tibbits } Fining Dollars fine & Costs of another December 10th A. D. 1887. Plea guilty.

This day came the parties and both having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court: and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Harry Tibbits the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4615 State of Texas. vs- M. Griffin } Carrying a pistol December 10. 1887. plea guilty.

This day came the parties. then came on to be heard the above cause. & both parties having announced ready for trial: a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five

Tarrant County Court. November Term 1887.

Dollars and twenty days imprisonment in the County jail. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant M. Griffin. the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this cause incurred for which said fine and and costs execution may issue, and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County jail of Tarrant County Texas for the full period of Twenty days. and that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail after said term of twenty days imprisonment has expired. until said fine and Costs are paid in full

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Monday morning December 19. 1887
Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on adjournment.

4616. State of Texas. (Carrying a pistol
-vs-) December 19th 1887.
Fiber Newton) Plea guilty.

This day came the parties thereto both having announced ready for trial a jury being waived. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and twenty days imprisonment in the County jail It is therefore Considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and

from the Defendant Piper Newton. the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said fine and Costs execution may issue and that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail for the full period of twenty days. Further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail of Tarrant County Texas after said term of twenty days imprisonment has expired. until said fine and Costs are paid in full

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9. oclock.

This day morning December 20th A.D. 1887. Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

4613. State of Texas -vs- Sam Wiley } Carrying a pistol December 20. th 1887. plea guilty.

This day came the parties and both having announced ready for trial a jury being waived. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and twenty days imprisonment in jail. It is therefor Considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. and that the Sheriff take said defendant and

Tarrant County Court. November Term 1887.

confine him in the Tarrant County jail for the full period of twenty days. Further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail after said term of twenty days imprisonment has expired, until said fine and costs are paid in full.

11619. State of Texas. vs- Mac Hadley } Carrying a pistol. December 20. 1887. plea guilty.

This day came the parties, then both parties having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars and twenty days imprisonment in the County jail. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue and that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail for the full period of twenty days. Further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff take said defendant after said term of twenty days has expired and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full

11614 State of Texas. vs- J. W. Mills } Carrying a pistol. December 20. 1887. plea guilty.

This day came the parties and

Tarrant County Court. Norman Term 1887.

both having announced ready for trial a jury being impaneled the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. and twenty days imprisonment in the County jail It is therefore Considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. and that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail for the full period of twenty days. Further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff take said defendant after said term of twenty days imprisonment has expired and confine him in the Tarrant County jail. until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Friday morning December 23rd A.D. 1887
Court met at 9 o'clock. pursuant to adjournment present as on Tuesday.

4597. State of Texas. } December 23rd 1887.
-vs-
John Liddell }

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein says he will no longer prosecute this case but will dismiss. the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed

Tarrant County Court. November Term 1887.

Thursday December 29th A. D. 1887.
Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Court in course.

J. S. Davis
Special Co Judge. Tarrant Co Tex.

Attest: Jno F. Ragan. Co Clk By Ed. E Holland Deputy

Tarrant County Court January Term 1888.

The State of Texas. }
County of Tarrant }.

Be it remembered. that on the first monday in January A.D. 1888. same being the 2nd day of said month there was begun and holden a regular Term of the Honorable County Court within and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas. at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth. Present the Honorable Sam Furman. County Judge presiding. Jno. J. Shaw. County Clerk and B. H. Shipp Sheriff of said County when the following proceedings were had on the Criminal docket towit:

Jury.

Now comes B. H. Shipp Sheriff of Tarrant County and presents to the Court the following named jurors summoned by him on the writs issued out of this Court towit:- Newt Stephenson. and it appearing to the Court that the panel is not complete. whereupon the court ordered the Sheriff to go out under the instructions heretofore given him and immediately summon eleven competent jurors of Tarrant County and the said Sheriff returned into open Court with the following named jurors towit: A. Wallace. M. E. Hodge. T. N. Grimm. E. P. Lugenbeater, W. K. Byrom. H. L. Alston. L. M. Moore. N. A. McLean. M. H. Dade. Ben Hackney. N. K. Ferguson. which said jurors were questioned by the Court and after having qualified themselves as competent jurors. were sworn and enrolled as the jurors for the first week of the January term 1888. of this Court.

4611.	State of Texas. vs. Bob Johnson	}	Theft. January 2nd A.D. 1888 Plea of guilty.

Tarrant County Court January Term 1888.

This day came the parties and both having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of One Hundred dollars and thirty days imprisonment in the County jail. It is therefor ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Johnson the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs of this prosecution. for which said fine and costs execution may issue and that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail for the full period of thirty days. Further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff take said defendant after said term of thirty days imprisonment has expired and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4618. State of Texas. vs- Billy Sowers. } Carrying a Slung shot
Monday Jany 2nd 1888.
Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties and both having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant here enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and twenty days imprisonment in the County jail. It is therefor Considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs. of this prosecution. and that the

Tarrant County Court January Term 1888.

Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail for the full period of twenty days. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail after said term of twenty days imprisonment has expired, until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4023. State of Texas. vs- W. H. Boswell } Carrying a pistol. Monday Jany 2nd 1888. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties and both having announced ready for trial a jury being impaired the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars and twenty days imprisonment in the County jail It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue and that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail for the full period of twenty days Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant after said twenty days imprisonment has expired. and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4044 State of Texas. vs- George McArthur } Disturbing the peace. Monday Jany 2. 1888. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties, and both having announced ready for trial a jury

Tarrant County Court January Term 1888.

being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant here enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of One Dollar. It is therefor ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of One dollar fine assessed as aforsaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Tuesday morning January 3rd. A.D. 1888. Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

4227 State of Texas vs Bill Smith | Playing cards in public place Tuesday January 3. 1888. plea of guilty.

This day came the parties and both having announced ready for trial a jury duly waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of ten dollars. It is therefor ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of ten Dollars fine assessed as aforsaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine & costs are paid in full

Tarrant County Court January Term. 1888.

4232 State of Texas vs. W. G. Smiley et al } Obstructing public highway
Thursday January 3rd 1888.
Verdict.

This day came the parties and both having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendants now here enter their plea of not guilty. whereupon the Court after hearing all the evidence argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises. finds the Defendants not guilty. It is therefore ordered & adjudged by the Court that the Defendants be and they are hereby discharged from any further liability herein and that they go hence without day. this judgment rendered Nov Term and entered nunc pro tunc.

4402. State of Texas. vs. Sebe Maddox } Carrying a pistol
Thursday January 3. 1888.
Verdict

This day came the parties and both having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence argument and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Defendant be and he is hereby immediately discharged from any further liability herein and that he go hence without day.

4405 State of Texas. vs. Sebe Maddox } Carrying a pistol
Thursday January 3. 1888.
Verdict

This day came the parties and both having announced ready for trial a jury

Tarrant County Court January Term 1888.

being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty, whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument and being fully advised in the premises, finds the Defendant not guilty It is therefor ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Defendant be and he is hereby immediately discharged from any further liability herein and that he go hence without day.

4406. State of Texas vs. W. B. Robinson. } Carrying a pistol. Tuesday January 3rd 1888. Verdict

This day came the parties and both having announced ready for trial a jury being waived. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence. argument and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant not guilty. It is therefor ordered and adjudged by the Court that said defendant be and he is hereby immediately discharged from any further liability herein and that he go hence without day.

4407 State of Texas. vs. T. J. Maben } Carrying a pistol. Tuesday January 3. 1888. Verdict.

This day came the parties and both having announced ready for trial a jury being waived. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the Court after hearing all the evidence. argument and being fully advised in the premises. finds the Defendant not guilty. It is therefor ordered and adjudged by the Court that said defendant be and he is hereby

Tarrant County Court January Term A. D. 1888

discharged from any further liability herein and that he go hence without day.

4408. State of Texas. vs. J. D. Keller. } Carrying a pistol. Tuesday January 3rd 1888. Verdict

This day came the parties and both having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as well as of law were submitted to the Court & the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing all the evidence, argument and being fully advised in the premises, finds the Defendant not guilty. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said defendant be and he is hereby discharged from any further liability herein and that he go hence without day.

4535 State of Texas. vs. Nick Stroube } Selling liquor on Sunday. Tuesday January 3rd 1888. Verdict.

This day came the parties and both having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of twenty dollars. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full

Tarrant County Court. January Term. A.D. 1888.

4607. State of Texas vs. Miles Rector } Theft. Tuesday January 3rd 1888. plea of guilty.

This day came the parties and both having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars and one day in jail. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. And that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail after said term of one day imprisonment has expired until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4608 State of Texas vs. Miles Rector } Theft. Tuesday January 3rd 1888. plea of guilty

This day came the parties and both having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant here enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars and one day in jail. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. And that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail after the judgment in 4607 is satisfied, until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Tarrant County Court January Term A. D. 1888.

4609 — State of Texas vs. Miles Rector } Theft. Tuesday January 3. 1888. plea of guilty.

This day came the parties and both having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and one day in jail. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. And that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail for one day. It is further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff take said defendant after the judgments in No 4607 and 4608 are satisfied, and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4610. — State of Texas vs. Miles Rector } Theft. Tuesday January 3rd 1888. plea of guilty.

This day came the parties and both having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty. whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of twenty five Dollars and one day in jail. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail for one day. and that the Sheriff take said defendant

Tarrant County Court January Term A. D. 1888.

after the judgments in causes No 4607-4608 & 4609 have been fully satisfied, and ~~said time of my clerks imprisonment has expired~~ and said defendant is in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4614 State of Texas vs. Daisy Thompson } Aggravated assault
Tuesday January 3rd 1888
plea of guilty

This day came the parties and both having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Wednesday morning January 4th 1888.
Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

4498 State of Texas vs. Bell Calhoun } Exhibiting Gaming Bank
Wednesday Janu. 4, 1888.
Verdict

Navarro County Court January Term 1888

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also the Defendant Bell Calhoun in person and both having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Navarro County. to-wit: W. A. McLean and five others who were duly selected tried empaneled and sworn according to law and the indictment filed herein charging the Defendant Bell Calhoun with the offense of "unlawfully exhibiting a gaming table and bank" being now read to the jury by the County Attorney, the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the said jury after hearing all the evidence. argument of Counsel thereon and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty. as charged in the indictment and assess his punishment at Twenty Five dollars fine and ten days imprisonment.

<Signed> W. A. McLean. Foreman.

which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded.

It is therefor Considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bell Calhoun. the sum of Twenty Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. and that the Sheriff of Navarro County Texas. take said Defendant Bell Calhoun. and confine him in the Navarro County jail. for the full period of ten days. Further ordered by the

Tarrant County Court January term 1888.

Court that said Sheriff take said defendant Bell Calhoun and Confine him in the Tarrant County jail. after said term of ten days imprisonment has expired. until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4582. State of Texas. vs. N. J. Doherty } January 4th A. D. 1888.

Now comes the Defendant and moves the Court to quash the indictment herein. and the Court having heard said motion. argument and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

4582 State of Texas. vs. N. J. Doherty } Failing to sup. sec. license posted Wednesday January 4. 1888. Verdict

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to-wit N. Stephenson and five others. who were duly empanneled according to law. and the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty whereupon said jury after hearing the evidence argument and receiving the Court's charge. retired and after due deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to-wit: We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at twelve & 50/100 dollars. (Signed) N. Stephenson Foreman."

It is therefore Considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas. do have and recover of and from the Defendant

Tarrant County Court January Term 1888

the sum of twelve and 50/100 dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full

4583. State of Texas. vs. Sam Benson. } Failing to post license. Wednesday Jany 4. 1888. Verdict.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also the Defendant Sam Benson in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County Texas to wit! M. E. Hodge and five others who were duly elected tried empaneled and sworn according to law and the indictment filed herein charging the Defendant Sam Benson with the offense of "unlawfully failing to post license as retail liquor dealer. in his place of business" being now read to the jury by the County attorney. the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty whereupon said jury after hearing all the evidence argument of Counsel thereon and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars.

<signed> M. E. Hodge Forman.

which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded.

It is therefor considered or-

Tarrant County Court January term 1888.

dered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Sam Benson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforsaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas take said defendant Sam Bnson and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

11217. State of Texas vs. D Vatter Graves } Aggravated Assault
Wednesday January 4. 1888.
Verdict

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County Court. W. K. Byrom and five others, who were duly selected tried empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the said jury after hearing the evidence argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to wit: We the jury find the Defendant not guilty;
(Signed) W. K. Byrom. Foreman"
It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Defendant be and he is hereby immediately discharged from further liability herein. and that he go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Tomorrow morning at 9 oclock

Tarrant County Court January Term 1888.

Thursday morning January 5th A.D. 1888. Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

4006. State of Texas. vs Luke Short } Carrying a pistol. Thursday January 5. 1888. Verdict.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also the Defendant Luke Short in person and by attorney and both parties having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County Texas. to-wit: H. L. Alston. and five others who were duly selected tried. empaneled and sworn according to law and the indictment filed herein charging the Defendant Luke Short with the offense of "Unlawfully carrying a pistol on his person" being now read to the jury by the County attorney. the defendant enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the said jury after hearing all the evidence. argument of Counsel thereon and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to-wit "We. the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at twenty five dollars fine and twenty days in jail

Signed H. L. Alston Foreman."

which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded.

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas. do have and recover of and from the Defendant Luke Short the sum of twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all

Tarrant County Court January term 1888.

Costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas. take said defendant Luke Short and confine him in the Tarrant County jail ~~for the full~~ period of twenty day. Further ordered by the Court that after the expiration of said twenty days imprisonment. that said Sheriff take said defendant Luke Short and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4606. State of Texas vs. Luke Short } January 5th 1888.

This day came the parties this came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial. and the Court having heard said motion. argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of appeals of the State of Texas.

4606. State of Texas vs- Luke Short } Recognizance. Thursday Janiy 5th 1888.

This day came into open Court Luke Short defendant in the above entitled cause. who together with Jake Johnson. his surety, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas. in the penal sum of Two Hundred Dollars. conditioned

Tarrant County Court January Term 1888.

that the said Luke Short who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "unlawfully carrying a pistol on his person" and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this court in order to to abide the judgment of the Court of appeals of the State of Texas in this case

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Friday morning January 6th A. D. 1888, Court met at 9 oclock. pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

4498. State of Texas vs. Bell Calhorn. } Friday Jany 6th 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard by the Court the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the Court having heard said motion, argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is in favor of said motion. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the Defendant be and he is hereby granted a new trial herein.

4495 State of Texas. vs. Gussie Richardson } aggravated assault Friday Jany 6th 1888. Verdict.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and both having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant

Tarrant County Court January term 1888

County to wit: H. L. Alston and five others who were duly selected tried impanneled and Sworn according to law and the Defendant enters her plea of not guilty whereupon said jury after hearing all the evidence, argument and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court with the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty"

(Signed) H. L. Alston Foreman"

It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Defendant be and she is hereby immediately discharged from any further liability herein and that she go hence without day.

4626. State of Texas. vs- Charley Harris. } Carrying a pistol. Friday January 6. 1888. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both parties having announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and twenty days imprisonment in County jail. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, and that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail for the full term of twenty days. Further ordered by

Tarrant County Court January Term 1888

the Court that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Saturday morning January 7. 1888
Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

4619. State of Texas vs. John Lyons. } Theft. Saturday Jany 7. 1888, plea of guilty.

This day came the parties and both having announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County Texas. towit: N. Stephenson and five others who were duly selected tried empaneled and sworn according to law and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty whereupon the said jury after hearing the information read and receiving the charge of the Court retired and after due deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict towit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at one days confinement in the County jail.

(Signed) N. Stephenson. Foreman"

It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said Term of one days imprisonment has fully expired and that the Defendant

Tarrant County Court January Term 1888

pay all costs herein incurred for which said costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4621 State of Texas vs J. J. Fulford } Assault Saturday Jany 7th 1888. Verdict.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty and the Court having heard all the evidence argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises. finds the Defendant not guilty. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Court Defendant be and he is hereby discharged from any further liability herein and that he go hence without day.

4628. State of Texas vs Wm Spurs } Carrying a pistol Saturday January 7, 1888. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial. a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars and twenty days in jail. It is therefor considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have

Tarrant County Court January Term 1888.

and recover of and from the defendant the sum of twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue and that the Sheriff take said defendant & confine him in the Tarrant County jail for the full period of twenty days. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said Defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Monday morning January 9th A. D. 1888 Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment. present as on yesterday.

4469 State of Texas vs. M. A. Skidmore } acting as an insurance agent unlawfully. Verdict Monday January 9" 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to-wit E. L. Alford who were duly elected and qualified. and the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the jury after hearing all the evidence. argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to-wit: " We. the jury find the defendant not guilty.

(signed) E. L. Alford. Foreman"

It is therefore considered ordered and

Tarrant County Court January Term 1888

adjudged by the Court that said defendant be and he is hereby discharged from any further liability herein and that he go hence without day.

11458 State of Texas. vs- Earnest King } Carrying a Pistol. Monday January. 9. 1888. Verdict.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial. and the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County town: E. L. Alford and five others. who were duly selected tried empaneled and sworn according to law and said jury after hearing the evidence. argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to wit: We the jury find the defendant not guilty.

Signed. E. L. Alford. Foreman"

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said defendant be and he is hereby immediately discharged herein and that he go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Tuesday morning January 10th 1888. Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

Tarrant County Court January Term. A.D 1888

4587. City of Fort Worth / Polluting water course.
vs. / January 10th 1888.
John Laueri / Plea guilty.
The above is Error.

4588. State of Texas. / Polluting water course.
-vs- / Tuesday Jany 10. 1888.
John Laueri / Plea of guilty.
This day came the parties. by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of One dollar. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of One dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4589. State of Texas. / Theft.
vs. / Tuesday January 10. 1888.
J. W. Johnson / Verdict.
This day came the parties and both having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to wit E. L. Alford and five others who were duly elected tried & sworn according to law and the defendant here enters,

Tarrant County Court. January Term 1888

his plea of not guilty. and the said jury after hearing all the evidence argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict towit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty.

[Signed] E. L. Alford Foreman"

It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Defendant be and he is hereby discharged herein and that he go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Wednesday morning January 11th A. D. 1888. Court met at 9. oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

46.97. State of Texas. vs- Mitton Pettie } aggravated assault Wednesday Jan. 11. 1888. Verdict

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also the Defendant Mitton Pettie in person and by attorney and both having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County towit W. T. Courtwright and five others who were duly selected tried empanelled and sworn according to law and the information filed herein being now read to the jury by the County attorney. the Defendant Mitton Pettie enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the said jury after hearing all the evidence. argument of Counsel thereon and receiving the charge of the Court re-

tired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict towit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty of an aggravated assault and assess his punishment at One Thousand Dollars fine and 2 years in the County jail.

(Signed) W. T. Cartwright Foreman"

which said verdict was received by the Court and ordered recorded on the minutes.

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mitton Pettie. the sum of One Thousand dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas. take said defendant Mitton Pettie. and confine him in the County jail of said County. for the full period of Two years. Further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff take said defendant Mitton Pettie. after said term of two years imprisonment has expired fully and confine him in the County jail of said Tarrant County Texas. until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Thursday morning January 12 A. D. 1888.
Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

1636. City of Fort Worth vs W. B. Taylor } January 12. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys then came on to be heard the motion of the City attorney to dismiss the Defendants appeal herein. and the Court having heard said motion. argument and being fully advised in the premises. Ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled

4636 City of Ft. Worth, vs- W. B. Taylor } January 12th A. D. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the Complaint filed herein. and the Court having heard said motion. argument and being fully advised in the premises. Ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained said Cause dismissed, and discharged the Defendant from any further liability herein.

4417 City of Ft. Worth, vs. Tom Payne. } Selling liquor on Sunday. Thursday January. 12. 1888. Plea guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial a jury being empaneled the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant here enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered by the Court that the

Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Tarrant County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4630 City of Ft Worth vs- Emeline Gordon } Thursday January 19. 1888

It appearing to the Court that the record as transfered from the Recorders Court is incomplete. Ordered that Certiorari issue commanding a complete record and that this case be continued for the term.

4633. City of Ft Worth vs. Dan Drum. } Thursday Janiy 12. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready a jury being impaneled the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence argument and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said Defendant be and he is hereby discharged herein and that he go hence without day.

4636. City of Ft Worth. vs- Lottie Foreman } Thursday Jan. 19. 1888.

This day came the parties. then came on to be heard the motion of the City Attorney to dismiss the appeal herein and. the Court having heard said motion argument and being fully advised in the premises. Ordered that motion

be and the same is hereby overruled. It further ordered for good and sufficient reasons filed that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that the defendant herein go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Friday morning January. 13.th A. D. 1888. Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

4413. City of Ft Worth vs- Dan Garvey: } Selling liquor on Sunday. Friday January 13 1888. Verdict.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready a jury being impaired the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence. argument (as) being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not guilty. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said Defendant be and he is hereby discharged herein and that he go hence without day.

4638 City of Ft Worth vs- Frank Shields } Selling liquor on Sunday. Friday Jany 13th 1888. Verdict.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to wit: H. L. Alston and five others

who were duly selected according to law and the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty whereupon said jury after hearing the evidence, argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to wit: We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

Signed H. L. Alston Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Defendant be and he is hereby discharged from any further liability herein and that he go hence without day.

4637. City of Ft. Worth vs- Luther Trique. } Affray. Friday January 13. 1888. Verdict.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and both having announced ready for trial. a jury being impaneled the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty. whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, argument & being fully advised in the premises. finds the Defendant not guilty. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the Defendant herein be and he is hereby discharged from any further liability and that he go hence without day.

4641. State of Texas vs. Jno. Williams } Carrying a pistol. Friday January. 13. 1888. Plea of guilty.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready. a jury being impaneled. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted

to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars and twenty days Confinement in the County jail. It is therefore Considered and Ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. and that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and Confine him in the County jail of said County for the full period of twenty days. It is further Ordered by the Court that the said Sheriff take said Defendant after said term of twenty days imprisonment has expired. and Confine him in the County jail of said County until said fine and Costs are paid in full

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock

Saturday morning January 14. A. D. 1888.
Court met 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

40554 State of Texas. vs. Harry Williams } Carrying a pistol, Saturday January. 14. 1888. Verdict.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County towit: H. L. Alston and five others" who were duly selected tried and sworn according to law. and the defendant

enters his plea of not guilty, whereupon said jury after hearing all the evidence argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

(Signed) W. L. Alston Foreman"

It is therefore Considered and ordered by the Court that said Defendant be and he is hereby discharged from any further liability herein and that he go hence without day.

4624O. State of Texas. vs. Willie Jones. } Carrying a pistol Saturday January 14. 1888.

Judgment

This day came the parties and both having announced ready for trial a jury being empanneled the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant enters his plea of not guilty whereupon the Court after hearing all the evidence, argument and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and twenty days imprisonment in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein in curred for which said fine and costs execution may issue and that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the Parrent County jail for the full period of twenty days. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant after said twenty days has expired and confine him in the jail of said County until said fine and costs are paid in full.

4640 State of Texas. vs. Willie Jones. } Saturday January 14. 1888.

This day came the parties then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the Court having heard said motion. argument and being fully advised in the premises. Ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

4642 State of Texas vs. Geo Farrell. } Carrying a pistol. Saturday January 14. 1888. Verdict

This day came the parties by their attorneys and both having announced ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men of Tarrant County to-wit: W. T. Cartwright and five others who were duly selected tried and sworn according to law and the Defendant now enters his plea of not guilty whereupon the said jury after hearing all the evidence argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court with the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

(Signed) W. T. Cartwright Foreman"

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said Defendant be and he is hereby discharged from any further liability herein. and that he go hence without day.

4627. State of Texas. vs. Milton Pettie } January 14. 1888.

This day came the parties, then came on to be heard the Defendants motion in arrest of judgment and the Court having heard said motion, argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion It is therefore Considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts.

4627. State of Texas vs. Mitton Pettie } Saturday January 14. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the Court having heard said motion argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said It is therefore Considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of Texas.

By consent of the parties hereto, it is ordered by the Court that the following cases stand Continued till the next term of this Court, viz:

3188 State of Texas vs J. E. Calhoun
Unlawfully Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

4290 State of Texas vs Madam Porter.
Unlawfully Selling Liquor on Sunday.

4329 State of Texas vs William Thomas
Aggravated Assault.

4330 State of Texas vs John Danner.
Aggravated Assault.

11463	City of Fort Worth	-vs- Jim Dunn (Appeal) Selling Liquor on Sunday
11475	State of Texas	-vs- Luke Short Ex. Gaming Table & Bank.
4523	State of Texas	-vs- James Rushing False Imprisonment
4581	State of Texas	-vs- Joe McMillan Vagrancy
4631	City of Fort Worth	-vs- J.J. Mulhoil (Appeal) Disturbing the Peace.
4634	City of Fort Worth	-vs- J.P. Cross. (Appeal) Disturbing the Peace.
4639	State of Texas	-vs- John W. Adams Nuisance.

It appearing to the Court that the defendants in the following described and numbered causes have not been arrested It is therefore ordered said causes be taken from the trial docket and remain on file till such time as said defendants can be arrested, to wit:-

3724	State of Texas	-vs- Geo. B. Holland. Occupation Tax.
4020	State of Texas	-vs- — Davis Theft-
4097	State of Texas	-vs- Sadie Mayfield Vagrancy
4117	State of Texas	-vs- Bob Cummings Ex. Gaming Bank
11124	State of Texas	-vs- Bat Masterson Ex. Faro Bank.
11133	State of Texas	-vs- Bob Cummings Ex. Faro Bank.
11314	State of Texas	-vs. Bob Cummings Ex Faro Bank
4138	State of Texas	-vs- Bob Cummings Ex- Faro Bank.
4139	State of Texas	-vs Bob Cummings Ex Faro Bank
4140	State of Texas	-vs Bob Hamilton Ex. Faro Bank.

41444	State of Texas -vs- Warren Orrick Ex Roulette Table
4184	State of Texas vs Bob Cummings Ex Gaming Bank.
4187	State of Texas -vs- Warren Orrick Ex Gaming Bank
4191	State of Texas -vs- Bob Hamilton Selling at Retail.
4378	State of Texas -vs- Warren Orrick Ex-Roulette Table.
4389	State of Texas vs California Kid Ex Gaming Bank.
4479	State of Texas -vs- California Kid Ex-Gaming Bank.
4511	State of Texas -vs- California Kid Ex-Gaming Banks.
4559	State of Texas -vs- California Kid. Ex Gaming Banks.
4570	State of Texas -vs- John Martin Ex-Gaming Banks.

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing of the defendants in the following cases, and that said defendants go hence without day, viz:-

3897	State of Texas -vs- Mike Cassidy Disorderly House
3905	State of Texas -vs- Mike Cassidy Occupation Tax-
4049	State of Texas -vs- Bill Smith Playing Cards in Public Place.
4095	State of Texas -vs- Bobby Lee Vagrancy
4160	State of Texas -vs- Bill Smith Ex Gaming Bank
4188	State of Texas -vs- Arnold Wilson Selling at Retail.

4317 The State of Texas -vs- Al Meeks.
Aggravated Assault

4319 State of Texas -vs- Jim Drum.
Assault

4348 State of Texas -vs- Jim White aka English Jim
Carrying Pistol.

4360 State of Texas -vs- Prax Oswal
Carrying a Pistol.

4445 State of Texas -vs- Geo. Ringstaff
Disturbing the Peace.

4460 State of Texas -vs- Henry White
Aggravated Assault,

4534 State of Texas -vs- Hugh Mansfield
Selling Liquor on Sunday.

4531 State of Texas -vs- Wm Hefron
Selling Liquor on Sunday

4537 State of Texas -vs- Sanfrancisco
Vagrancy

4547 State of Texas -vs- Bell Kelso
Vagrancy

1576 State of Texas -vs- Jennie King
Adultery

4577 State of Texas -vs- J. A Chitwood
Adultery

4624 State of Texas -vs- Ellie White
Assault & Battery

It appearing to the Court that the defendants in the following cases have not been arrested. It is therefore ordered that the Clerk issue alias capias for each of said defendants and that all of said causes stand continued till the next term of this court. Viz:—

4031 State of Texas -vs- Emma McVane aka McBane.
Aggravated Assault.

4048 State of Texas -vs- Dick Bullock
Playing Cards in Pub. Place.

4058 State of Texas -vs- Jamie Porsch
Disorderly House.

4062 State of Texas -vs- Martin Lowe
Carrying a Pistol.

4183 State of Texas -vs- G.L. McConnell Alias High Ball Mac. Ex. Keno Bank

4192 State of Texas -vs- G.L. McConnell Alias High Ball Mac Setting at Keno.

4196 State of Texas -vs- Graff Baker Carrying a Pistol

4197 State of Texas -vs- G.L. McConnell Alias High Ball Mac. Ex Keno Table

4198 State of Texas -vs- G.L. McConnell Alias High Ball Mac. Exhibiting Keno Table

4202 State of Texas -vs- G.L. McConnell Alias High Ball Mac. Exhibiting Keno Table

4204 State of Texas -vs- G.L. McConnell Alias High Ball Mac. Ex. Keno Table

4205 State of Texas -vs- G.L. McConnell Alias High Ball Mac. Ex. Keno Table

4206 State of Texas -vs- G.L. McConnell Alias High Ball Mac. Ex. Keno Table.

4207 State of Texas -vs- G.L. McConnell Ex Keno Table.

4208 State of Texas vs. G.L. McConnell Ex. Keno Table.

4209 State of Texas vs. G.L. McConnell Ex Keno Table

4210 State of Texas vs G.L. McConnell Ex. Keno Table.

4212 State of Texas -vs- G.L. McConnell Ex Keno Table

Ordered that Alias Capias issue for each of the defendants in the following cases, returnable instanter to wit:

4477 State of Texas -vs- Taylor Andrews Ex. Gaming Bank

4478 State of Texas -vs- Taylor Andrews Ex. Gaming Bank

4481 State of Texas -vs- Farris Cox Ex Gaming Bank

4482 State of Texas -vs- Frank Anderson Ex. Gaming Bank.

4483 State of Texas -vs- Farris Cox. Ex Gaming Bank.

4484	State of Texas	vs- Taylor Andrews Ex. Gaming Bank
4490	State of Texas	vs- Farris Cox. Ex. Gaming Bank.
4491	State of Texas	vs- Taylor Andrews Ex. Gaming Bank
4492	State of Texas	-vs- L. L. McConnell Ex. Gaming Bank.
4494	State of Texas	-vs- Farris Cox. Ex. Gaming Bank.
4495	State of Texas	-vs- Taylor Andrews Ex. Gaming Bank.
4501	State of Texas	-vs- Maj. McCarty Ex Gaming Bank
4502	State of Texas	-vs- Maj. McCarty Ex. Gaming Bank
4514	State of Texas	-vs- Dom McConnell Ex. Monte Table
4519	State of Texas	-vs- Taylor Andrews Ex. Gaming Bank.
4520	State of Texas	-vs- Maj. McCarty Ex. Gaming Bank.
4521	State of Texas	-vs- H. C. Hall Ex. Gaming Bank.
4527	State of Texas	-vs- George Davlin Dist. Relig. Worship.
4529	State of Texas	-vs- Lee Brown Vagrancy
4537	State of Texas	-vs- Jos Gebard D Selling Liquor on Sunday
4554	State of Texas	-vs- Lee Brown (Col) Ex. Monte Table
4561	State of Texas	-vs- Farris Cox Ex Gaming Bank.
4562	State of Texas	-vs- Taylor Andrews Ex. Gaming Bank
4563	State of Texas	-vs- Maj. McCarty Ex. Gaming Bank
4564	State of Texas	-vs- Farris Cox, Ex. Gaming Bank
4567	State of Texas	-vs- Maj. McCarty, Ex Gam. Bank.

4569	State of Texas -vs-	Maj. McCarty Ex. Gaming Bank
4571	The State of Texas vs	Farris Cox Ex. Gaming Bank
4580	State of Texas -vs-	Tom Jett Vagrancy
4585	State of Texas -vs-	Clark Holman Aggravated Assault
4586	State of Texas vs	Jeff Davis. Aggravated Assault.
4587	State of Texas -vs-	Frank Anderson Ag. Assault.
4588	State of Texas vs -	Alf. Collier Ag. Assault.
4590	State of Texas -vs-	D. B. Withers Vagrancy.
4602	State of Texas -vs-	D. R. Hawkins Carrying a Pistol
4396	State of Texas -vs-	Bill Woods. Ag. Assault. Cont by State

Now comes the City Attorney representing the City of Fort Worth and says he will no further prosecute the following cases, and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing of the defendants in said cases by reason of these prosecutions, and that the following defendants go hence without day, viz:

4414	City of Fort Worth	vs. Harry Cox. Selling on Sunday
4423	City of Fort Worth	vs Jim Mann Selling on Sunday
4427	City of Fort Worth	-vs- Tom Payne Selling on Sunday
4433	City of Fort Worth	-vs- Ed. Dawson Disturbing the Peace
4434	City of Fort Worth	-vs- Pat Sully, -Vagrancy
4436	City of Fort Worth	-vs- B. J. Lucore Permitting horse to run at large on Street

4444 City of Fort Worth vs. J. Thoma Selling on Sunday

4465 City of Fort Worth —vs— Mrs. Nannie Drum Nuisance

4479 City of Fort Worth —vs— Jim F. Moore } Continued by the city

4617 State of Texas —vs— James Liston } Disturbing the Peace. Continued by the State

4745 City of Fort Worth —vs— Jack McDonald } (Appeal) Assault. Continued by City

11638 City of Fort Worth —vs— Jim Drum } (Appeal) Assault. Continued by City on account of absence of witness Frank Wall and Will Ashmore

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday morning 9 o'clock

Monday Morning 9 o'clock January 16, 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment.
Present as on Saturday last.

County Session Monday January 23 1888
all officers of Court present.

4660 State of Texas —vs— Speck Saubury } Exhibiting Gaming Bank. Monday January 23. 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announce ready for trial. A jury being waived the matter

of fact, as well as of law, were submitted to the Court, and the defendant Nowhere entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 20 days in the County Jail. It is therefor Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do recover of and from the defendant Speck Stanbury the sum of Twenty Four Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant be committed to jail for the full period of thirty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4671 State of Texas vs Speck Graubury } Exhibiting Gaming Bank. Monday January 23 1888

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant Speck Graubury and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the said defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 30 days in Jail. It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Speck Graubury the sum of Twenty Four Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail of Tarrant County for the full period of thirty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full and this judgment be not to operate barr the satisfaction of the judgment in Case No 4660.

4702 State of Texas } Theft.
vs } Monday January 22, 1888
John Bruno

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State of Texas, Also came the defendant John Bruno in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant upon here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 and 6 months in County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant John Bruno the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full and for the full period of Six Months.

4703 State of Texas } Theft.
vs } Monday January 22, 1888.
John Bruno

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the defendant John Bruno in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant upon here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 and 6 months in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant John Bruno the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of six months and till this fine and costs are paid in full. Further ordered that this judgment begin to operate after the satisfaction of the judgment in Cause No 4702.

Court in Session Wednesday January 25, 1888
all officers of Court being present;

4691 State of Texas } Selling Liquor on Sunday
vs- } Wednesday January 25. 1888.
W. H. Ward }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State Also came the defendant W. H. Ward in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial A jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant W. H. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4692 State of Texas } Selling Liquor on Sunday
vs- } Wednesday January 25. 1888.
W. H. Ward }

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant W. H. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4693 State of Texas vs. Jor Gerard } Selling Liquor on Sunday
Wednesday January 25, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jor Gerard the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and cost execution may issue. It is further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Thursday Morning January 26, 1888. Court met pursuant to adjournment. All officers of court present.

4674 State of Texas vs. Jeff Daggett } Betting at a game with Dice
Thursday January 26, 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas have and recover of the defendant Jeff Daggett the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which fine and cost execution may issue. It is further ordered that said defendant stand committed to jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4583 State of Texas vs Sam Benson } Motion for New Trial
Monday January 30 1888,

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and then came on to be heard the defendant's motion for a new trial herein filed January 6 1888 and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the State, it is ordered that said motion be overruled. To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of appeals of Texas.

4583 State of Texas vs Sam Benson } Motion in arrest of Judgment,
Monday January 30. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion in arrest of Judgment herein filed January 6. 1888, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the court that the law is for the State, it is therefore ordered that said motion be overruled, To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of appeals of the the State of Texas.

Court in Session Wednesday February 1, 1888
all officers of Court present.

4644 State of Texas vs Charles Schwartz } Ex Gam. Table and Bank.
Wednesday February 1st 1888

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the defendant Charles Schwartz in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court.

said said defendant after being duly admonished by the Court now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 10 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Charley Schwartz the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County for the full period of ten days and till said fine and costs are paid in full, and that this judgment become operative after the satisfaction of the judgment and sentence in Cause No. 4644.

46445 State of Texas —vs— Charley Schwartz } Ex. Gaming Bank and Table. Wednesday February 1st 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant after being duly admonished by the Court, now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 10 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas have and recover of the defendant Charley Schwartz the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County for the full period of ten days and till said fine and costs are paid in full, and that this judgment become operative after the satisfaction of the judgment and sentence in Cause No. 4644.

4651

State of Texas
—vs—
Charley Swartz

Ex. Gaming Bank & Table.
Wednesday February 1st 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant Charley Schwartz in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant after being duly admonished by the Court, now here, entered his plea of Guilty — Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 10 days in jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas have and recover of and from the defendant Charley Schwartz the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that said defendant stand committed to jail for the full period of ten days and till said fine and costs are paid in full. and that this judgment begin to operate after the satisfaction of Judgments in Causes #4644 & 4645

4653

State of Texas
—vs—
Charley Schwartz

Ex-Gaming Bank & Table.
~~February~~ February 1st 1888

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant Charley Schwartz in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant upon being entered his plea of guilty — whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 10 days in jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Charley Schwartz the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that said defendant stand committed to jail for the full period of ten days and that this judgment begin to operate after the satisfaction of judgments in Causes #4644-4645 & 4651

4723 State of Texas —vs— Charley Schwartz } Ex. Gaming Bank.
Feby 1st 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the defendant Charley Schwartz in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and said defendant duly entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 10 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Charley Schwartz the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and till said fine and costs are paid in full. And that this judgment begin to operate after the satisfaction of judgments in Cause Nos. 4644, 4645, 4651 and 4653.

4668 State of Texas —vs— Jim Hutchins. } Ex. Gaming Bank.
Wednesday Feby 1st 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and said defendant duly entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25 and 10 days in jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of defendant Jim Hutchins the sum of Twenty Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. For which execution issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to jail for the full period of ten days and till this judgment is satisfied in full.

4676 State of Texas —vs— Jim Hitchins } Betting at Game with Dice
Wednesday February 1st 1888

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant Jim Hitchins in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact & law as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of defendant Jim Hitchins the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred. & for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full, and this judgment begin to operate after the satisfaction of the judgment in cause #4668

4679 State of Texas —vs— Jim Hitchins } Betting at Game played with Dice.
Wednesday February 1st 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant Jim Hitchins in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact & law as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas have and recover of the defendant Jim Hitchins the sum of $10.00 fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is ordered that the Sheriff of Terrell County retain said defendant in custody till said fine and costs are paid in full and that this judgment begin to operate after the satisfaction of the judgments in Causes Nos. 4668 and 4676

4708 State of Texas } Playing Cards in Public Place.
vs } Wednesday February 1st 1888
Jim Hitchins }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant Jim Hitchins in his own proper person and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and said defendant now here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of defendant Jim Hitchins the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred and for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the defendant Jim Hitchins remain in custody till said fine and costs are paid in full. and this judgment to operate after the satisfaction of the judgments in Cause Nos 4668. 4676. 4679

4707 State of Texas } Theft.
vs } Wednesday February 1st 1888.
Frank Miller }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the defendant Frank Miller in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of guilty - Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 and 30 days in Jail.
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas have and recover of the defendant Frank Miller the sum of one dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to Jail for the full period of thirty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4738 State of Texas vs Frank Miller } Theft
Wednesday February 1st 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant Frank Miller in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty - Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 and 30 days in jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas have and recover of the defendant Frank Miller the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that said defendant be and committed to jail for the full period of Thirty days and till the said fine and costs aforesaid in full, and that this judgment be quo to operate after the satisfaction of the judgment in Cause No 4737

4710 State of Texas vs H. Chism } Failing to Post Liquor License
Friday January 27, 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty - Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant H. Chism the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred till said fine and costs are paid in full. It is further ordered that said defendant be and committed to jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

4658 State of Texas —vs— C. E. Graham } Keeping Place of business open, on Sunday Friday February 3, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact & law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant, nowhere, entered his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 & it is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas have and recover of the defendant C. E. Graham the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant be committed to jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4659 State of Texas —vs— C. E. Graham } Keeping Place of Business open on Sunday. Friday February 3. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact & law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty — whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 — It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant C. E. Graham the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed, as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail of Tarrant County till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4750 State of Texas vs C.G. Mitchell } Assault: Tuesday February 14. 1888

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the defendant in his own person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas have and recover of the defendant C.G. Mitchell the sum of Five Dollars fine so assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4675 State of Texas —vs— Frank Garrett } Betting at Game Played with Dice Friday February 17. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. And said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Frank Garrett the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4900

State of Texas
- vs -
Frank Garrett

Betting at Game with Dice.
Friday February 17, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and said defendant - now here entered his plea of Guilty — whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, & it is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of defendant Frank Garrett the sum of Ten ($10.00) Dollars so by assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs, execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the county Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Monday Morning February 20 1888. Court in session. Present Hon Sam Furman County Judge presiding. R.L. Carlock County Attorney John F. Sharpe County Clerk and B.H. Shipp Sheriff of said County.

State of Texas
vs.
Tom Brin
alias "Keno Tom"

Exhibiting Keno Table
Monday February 20, 1888.

4162, 4163, 4164, 4165, 4166, 4167, 4168, 4172, 4173, 4485, 4486, 4488, 4492, 4545, 4533, 4536, 4558, 4560.

Now comes the defendants attorney and suggests to the court that the defendant in the above numbered and styled causes has died since the last term of this court, and asks that said causes be dismissed - and because it appears to the court that defendant Tom Brin is dead, it is therefore ordered that said causes be dismissed.

4533 The State of Texas vs J L Wyatt } Selling Liquor on Sunday
Monday February 20th 1888
Tuesday

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and announced ready for trial ~~& a jury~~ Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: C.T. Scott and five others who were duly elected empanelled tried and sworn according to law, and the indictment being read to the jury, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, and argument of counsel and being charged by the court in the premises, received the charge of the court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:
"We the jury find the defendant Guilty and assess his ~~punishment~~ fine at Twenty Dollars."
Signed C.T. Scott, Foreman"
"It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have, and recover of the defendant J L Wyatt the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further, ordered that said defendant ~~be~~ committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4498 The State of Texas vs Calhoun Bell } Ex Sitting Gaming Bank
Monday February 20. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, and defendant now here suggests to the court that his name is Calhoun Bell and not Bell Calhoun as his name appears in the Indictment and on the Docket herein. It is therefore ordered by the court that said

number so changed as to conform to said suggestion. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J.A. Thornton and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law. And said defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty - Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: -

"We the jury find the defendant Not Guilty."

(Signed) J A Thornton Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing of defendant Calhoun Bell by reason of this prosecution and that said defendant go hence without day.

4579 State of Texas vs Nath Brooks } Jagrancy
Monday February 20 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Nath Brooks the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail of Navarro County till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4666 The State of Texas vs Math Brooks } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Monday February 20. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty". Whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Math Brooks the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4684 State of Texas vs James Liston } Selling Liquor on Sunday Monday February 20. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas have and recover of the defendant James Liston the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4686 | State of Texas vs John Ashmore } Selling Liquor on Sunday Monday February 20. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty - Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that The State of Texas recover of and from the defendant John Ashmore the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. Further, ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4696 | State of Texas vs G. H. Day } Selling Liquor on Sunday Monday February 20. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty - Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of ~~Plaintiff~~ defendant G.H. Day the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

1699 — State of Texas vs A. J. Riddleman } Keeping Place of Business on Sunday. Monday February 20. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas have and recover of the defendant A. J. Riddleman the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

1702 — State of Texas vs G. H. Day } Failing to Post Liquor License. Monday February 20, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas have and recover of and from the defendant G. H. Day the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4751 — State of Texas vs Henry Wilkes } Carrying a Pistol. Friday February 17, 1888

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact & law were submitted to the Court and said defendant upon plea entered his plea of Guilty - Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 20 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do recover of and from the defendant Henry Wilkes the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail of said County for the full period of Twenty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4752 — State of Texas vs Ben Banks (Col) } Theft. Monday February 20. 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: J. A. Thornton and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law, and said defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" Whereupon the jury after receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at $50.00 fine & thirty days imprisonment. — J A Thornton Foreman" It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the

defendant Ben Banks the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed against said together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Thirty and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4753 State of Texas } Theft.
vs
James Opossom } Monday February 20, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at 30 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that defendant pay all costs of this prosecution, and that defendant James Opossom stand committed to the County Jail of said County for the full period of Thirty days and till said costs are paid in full.

4754 State of Texas } Theft—
vs
Wm V. White } Monday February 20. 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: C. Scott and four others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law, and said deft, now here entered his plea of "Guilty." Whereupon the Jury upon receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:—

"Verdict of the Jury that the said Wm V. White the defendant be assessed a punishment of Thirty days in Jail. (Signed) O. F. Scott Foreman"
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that defendant Wm V. White pay all costs of this prosecution for which execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail of Tarrant County for the full period of Thirty days and the said costs are paid in full

4759 State of Texas vs Jimmie Brown } Theft. Monday February 20, 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the information herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the State. It is therefore ordered that said motion be overruled, to which ruling of the Court defendant excepts. And both parties having announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: D. C. Farnsworth and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:
"We the Jury find the defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at Fifteen (15) days imprisonment.
(Signed) D. C. Farnsworth Foreman"
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that defendant Jimmie Brown pay all costs of this prosecution for which execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail for the full period of Fifteen days and the said costs are paid in full

4246 State of Texas vs John Moore } Theft-
Monday February 20, 1888,

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the information herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is in favor of said motion, it is therefore ordered that said motion be sustained and that this cause be dismissed, and that defendant John Moore go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Tuesday Morning 9 o'clock February 21, 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment
Present as on yesterday.

4622 State of Texas —vs— Bill Smith } Carrying a Pistol
Tuesday February 21, 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, as well as the defendant in person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: A. J. Willis and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law. And said defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to-wit:-

"We the jury find the defendant Guilty as charged in the indictment and assess the penalty at Fifty Five Dollars and Thirty Days in the county Jail. A. J. Willis Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the

defendant Bill Smith the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Twenty Days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4709 State of Texas vs George Andrews } Playing Cards in Public Place
Tuesday February 21st 1888.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury trial waived, the matter of fact as well as law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00
It is therefore considered, and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas have and recover of and from the defendant Geo. Andrews the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4748 State of Texas — vs — Will Thomas } Carrying a Pistol
Tuesday February 21, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the Information and Complaint herein, and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the defendant. It is ordered that said motion be sustained.

It is therefore ordered that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant Will Thomas go hence without day.

4721 State of Texas —vs— George Andrews } Playing Cards in Public Place. Tuesday February 21. 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant George Andrews in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty - Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Geo. Andrews the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4760 State of Texas vs John Moore } Theft. Tuesday February 21st 1888

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: A.J. Leith and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law. Thereupon said jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to wit:-

"We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at 30 days imprisonment.
(Signed) A. Leigh Gorman"
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that defendant John Moore pay all costs of this prosecution for which execution may issue. And that said defendant be committed to the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days and till said costs are paid in full.

4762 State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol.
vs } Tuesday February 21, 1888.
Will Thomas }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant Will Thomas in person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. A. Thornton and four others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law. Whereupon said jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and being ~~duly~~ charged by the court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at $25.00 fine and twenty days in County Jail
(Signed) J. A. Thornton Jur.
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Will Thomas the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further, ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail for the full period of Twenty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4759 State of Texas vs Jimmie Brown } Motion for New Trial. Tuesday February 21, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, it is the opinion of the court that the law is for the state & it is therefore ordered that said motion be overruled.

4425. City of Fort Worth vs J. G. Mansfield } Appeal— Tuesday Febry 21. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to reform the judgment heretofore entered in this case, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, it is the opinion of the court that the law is in favor of said motion & it is therefore ordered that said motion be sustained.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Wednesday Morning 9 o'clock February 22 1888 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

11578 State of Texas vs A. J. Robinett } Giving Prescription in Local Option Precinct. Wednesday February 22. 1888,

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury duly impaneled the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court & said defendant upon his arraignment entered his plea of "Not Guilty" and the court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel, doth find the defendant not Guilty, & it is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the

State of Texas take nothing of defendant A. J. Robinett by reason of this prosecution, and that said defendant go hence without day.

1677 — The State of Texas vs Os Bates } Betting at Game with Dice. Wednesday February 22. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit:- J. L. Cabaniss and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law. Whereupon said jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict, to wit: "We the jury find the defendant Guilty and assess" "his fine at $10.00 (Signed) J. L. Cabaniss Foreman" It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Os Bates the sum of Fifteen Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand Committed to the county jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4680 — State of Texas vs Madam Porter } Disorderly House. Wednesday February 22. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and said defendant now here entered her plea of Guilty - Whereupon the court assessed her punishment at a fine of $100.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover

of the defendant Madam Porter the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4681 — State of Texas — vs — Jessie Reeves } Disorderly House. Wednesday February 22. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered her plea of "Guilty" — Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $100. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Jessie Reeves the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4682 — State of Texas — vs — Josie Belmont } Disorderly House — Wednesday February 22, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and announced ready for trial — A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered her plea of Guilty — Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $100.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Josie Belmont the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred,

for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the county jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4711 State of Texas —vs— E.A. Maury } Failing to post Liquor License, Wednesday February 22, 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and said defendant was here entered his plea of "Guilty" Whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant E.A. Maury the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid, Together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

✓

4712 State of Texas —vs— G.H. Day } Failing to Post Liquor License Wednesday February 22, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and said defendant was here entered his plea of "Guilty" Whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant G.H. Day the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the county jail till said fine and cost are paid in full.

Error see page 90

4720 The State of Texas } Exhibiting Gaming Table.
vs } Wednesday February 22, 1888.
Tim Erwin }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, Also came the defendant in person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; J.A. Thornton and five others who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law, The Indictment being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of "not Guilty" Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and ~~receiving~~ receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned ~~returned~~ into open Court the following verdict, to wit:- "We the jury find the defendant Guilty as charged in the indictment and assess his punishment a fine of Twenty Five Dollars and ten days in the County Jail." (Signed) J.A. Thornton Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Tim Erwin the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days, and till said fine and costs are paid in full-

4726 The State of Texas } Exhibiting a Gaming Bank.
vs } Wednesday February 22, 1888.
Tim Erwin }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, Also came the defendant in person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; A.J. Leigh and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law,

The indictment being read to the Jury the defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" and also submitted his special plea of "Former Conviction" whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to wit:- "We the Jury find Defendants Special plea of Former Conviction not true. We the Jury find Defendant Guilty & assess his punishment at $25.00 fine & 0 days imprisonment- (Signed) A. Leigh Brunaut."

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Tim Erwin the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail of said County for the full period of 1 day and till said fine and costs are paid in full. And that this judgment begin to operate after the judgment against this defendant in Cause No 4722 is satisfied in full.

4722 State of Texas — vs — Tim Erwin } Motion for New Trial — Wednesday February 29 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and this cause on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial. and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon It is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the State. It is therefore ordered that said motion be overruled. To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas—

4727 State of Texas } Recognizance
— vs — } Wednesday February 22. 1888.
Tim Erwin

This day came into open court Tim Erwin defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with Jake Johnson and D.E. Baines his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Hundred Dollars; Conditioned, that the said Tim Erwin, who stands charged in this court with the offense of "Unlawfully Exhibiting a Gaming Table for the purpose of gaming", and who has been convicted of said offense in this court, shall appear before this Court from day to day, and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Thursday Morning 9 o'clock. February 23. 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment Present as on yesterday.

4680 State of Texas } Disorderly House
vs } Thursday February 23. 1888
Gracie Lane }

Comes now the parties by their attorneys and announce ready for trial. A jury being impaneled the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered her plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $100.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Gracie Lane the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. And that said defendant stand committed to Jail till said fine, and costs are paid in full —

Cases Filed

It appearing to the Court that the defendants in the following cases cannot be found. It is therefore ordered that said cases be taken from the trial Docket of this court and remain on the file Docket till such time as said defendants can be found. Said Cases are numbered and styled as follows to wit:-

4310 State of Texas - vs - James Yeates,
4335 " " " - vs - John Danner
4448 " " " - vs - Jack Davis
4449 " " " - vs - Annie Hargraves -
4183 " " " - vs - G L McConnell
4192 " " " - vs - G L McConnell
4197 " " " - vs - G L McConnell
4198 " " " - vs - G L McConnell
4202 " " " - vs - G L McConnell
4204 " " " - vs G L McConnell
4205 " " " - vs - G L McConnell
4206 " " " - vs - G L McConnell
4207 " " " - vs - G L McConnell
4208 " " " - vs - G L McConnell
4209 " " " - vs - G L McConnell
4210 " " " - vs - G L McConnell
4212 " " " - vs - G L McConnell
4226 " " " - vs - Billy Bowen
4260 " " " - vs - Louis Mauretal
4321 " " " - vs - Landy Ary -
4324 " " " - vs Flora Williams
4477, 4478, 4484, 4491, 4495, 4519, 4562, 4479, 4559 " " " - vs Taylor Andrews
" " " - vs - California Kid,
4481, 4483, 4490, 4561, 4564, 4571 " " " - vs - Jakis Cox,
4413, 4416 " " " - vs - G L McConnell,
4501, 4502, 4520, 4563, 4567, 4569 " " " - vs - Maj. McCarty

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says, he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore ordered by the Court-

that said cases be dismissed and that the defendants thereir go hence arthour day.
Said cases are numbered and styled as follows, to wit:-

~~4464~~ 4474 State of Texas vs. Henry Loyd.
4515
4470 4463 " " " -vs- M.A. Skidmore
4540 " " " -vs- Lizzie Harris
4544 " " " -vs- Ora Starr
4546 " " " -vs- Lizzie Parsons
4620 " " " -vs- Walter Daugherty
4670. 4673 " " " -vs- Frank Garrett
4672 " " " -vs- Jeff Daggett
4687 " " " -vs- Bob Waggoner
4688 " " " -vs- G.H. Day-
4695 " " " -vs- Mike Bohan
4697 " " " -vs- Clinton Rollison
4706 " " " -vs- G.H. Day.
4706 " " " -vs- John Ashmore
4728 " " " -vs- E. F. Minnger
4729 " " " -vs- Jack McDonald-
4749 " " " -vs- Wm Titus

4718 State of Texas -vs- John Stewart- } Failing to Post Liquor License.
Thursday February 23, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here, entered his plea of Guilty- Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant John Stewart the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.
Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till all said fine and costs are paid in full-

4719

State of Texas
—vs—
Pete Michero &
Jonny Lauri

Selling Liquor on Sunday
Thursday February 24. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial A jury being waived the matters of fact & law were submitted to the Court, and the County Attorney now here entered the dismiss as to defendant Pete Michero, and says he will no further prosecute this suit as to said defendant, It is therefor ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing of defendant Pete Michero by reason of this prosecution, and that said defendant go hence without day. Thereupon defendant Jonny Lauri entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00

✓ It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Jonny Lauri the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4533

State of Texas
—vs—
J. L. Wyatt

Motion for New Trial —
Thursday February 23. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the State. It is therefore ordered that said motion be overruled, To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of Texas.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Friday Morning 9 o'clock February 24th 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

4533 State of Texas —vs— J. L. Wyatt. } Recognizance
Friday February 24, 1888.

This day came into open court, J. L. Wyatt, defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with E. L. Taber and H. L. Hatchell his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Hundred Dollars; Conditioned that the said J. L. Wyatt who stands charged in this court with the offense of Unlawfully Selling Liquor on Sunday, and who has been convicted of said offense in this court, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of appeals of the State of Texas in this cause.

Ordered by the court that the following numbered and styled cases stand continued till the next term of this Court in the manner stated therein, as follows to wit:—

4226 State —vs— Billy Bowen, Alias } continued
4298 " —vs— A. Reader. " "
4321 " —vs— Landy Arey, " "
4324 " vs Flora Williams " "
4336 " —vs— Willis Green " "
4343 " —vs— Geo. Suiter " "
4372 " —vs— Carrie Anderson " "
4459 " —vs— Tom Talbott " "
4536 " —vs— Dock Ramus " "
4643 " vs Joe Barton " "

4646-4647.4648 4649.4650 4652 4654, 4655, 4656 4657	State -vs- Louis Martin Alias & Continued.
4667	State vs Jeff Davis " "
4669	" -vs- Pete Jagg " "
4678	" -vs- Dave Walker " "
4684.4685	" -vs- W.H. Ward Continued by Consent
4701	" -vs- Joe Purvis " " "
4707	" -vs- Mose Coffee Alias & Continued
4714	" -vs- H Mashlud " " "
4715	" -vs- W.J. Reed Continued by Consent
4716	" -vs- J.M. Grimsley, Alias & Continued
4717	" -vs- Jim Drum -Continued by Consent.
4720	" -vs- Geo. Wallace " " " State,
4724	" -vs- Dick Bullock, " with Alias Capias
4725	" -vs- Pete Jagg " " " "
4727	" -vs Will Perkins " " " "
4729	" -vs- Jack McDonald " " " "
4730	" -vs- Geo. Canary " " " "
4731	" -vs- John Grimsley - " " " "
4733	" -vs- Bill Johnson " by Consent
4391	" -vs- "Jimmie" " with Alias Capias-
4661.4662 4663 4664	" -vs- C.E. Graham " by Consent
4665	" -vs- Burch " with Alias Capias
4689.4698	" -vs- E L Bill, " by State
4734	" -vs- W.L. Rushing et al, Continued by Consent
4735	" -vs- W.L. Rushing, " " "
4755	" -vs- Dave Allison " " defendant,

4756 State of Texas -vs- Harry Perry } Theft. Friday February 24, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: A.J. Willis and five others who were duly elected and sworn according to law and the defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and and receiving the Charge of the Court returned into open court the following

Verdict Xowit: We the jury find the defendant Not Guilty (Signed) A.J. Willis Foreman. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, That defendant Hunt Berry go hence without day.

4761 State of Texas vs S.W. Woodward } Aggravated Assault- Friday February 24, 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $100.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant S.W. Woodward the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs thereby incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail of Tarrant County till said fine and costs be paid in full.

4737 State of Texas vs C.B. Gordon } Selling Without License Friday February 24, 1888

Ordered by the Court that this case stand continued and that an Alias attachment issue for the State's Witnesses

4599

State of Texas
vs
James McGinnis
} Aggravated Assault.
Friday February 24, 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, Also came the defendant James McGinnis in person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W.M. Bressley and five others who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law, and the indictment being read to the jury, the said defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:
"We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Forty Five Dollars."
(Signed) W.M. Bressley Foreman"
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant James McGinnis the sum of (45) Forty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs ~~execution may issue~~, are paid in full—

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Saturday Morning 9 o'clock February 25, 1888 Court met pursuant to adjournment Present as on yesterday.

4599 State of Texas — vs — James McGinnis } Motion for New Trial Saturday February 25, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the State it is therefore ordered that said motion be overruled, To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas. Further ordered that the parties hereto be allowed ten days after the adjournment of court in which to prepare and file a Statement of the Facts herein —

4599 State of Texas — vs — James McGinnis } Recognizance — Saturday February 25, 1888.

This day came into open Court James McGinnis defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with Charles Schenbrand and George Fuller his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Hundred Dollars: Conditioned that the said James McGinnis, who stands charged in this court with the offense of Aggravated Assault, and who has been convicted of said offense in this court, shall appear before this court from day to day, and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

4726 State of Texas vs Tim Erwin } Motion for New Trial Saturday February 25, 1888

This day came the parties by

their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the state, it is ordered that said motion be overruled, To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas. And further ordered that the parties have ten days after the adjournment of this term of the Court in which to prepare and file a statement of the facts.

1627 State of Texas } Motion for New Trial.
vs } Saturday February 25, 1888.
Bill Smith }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the State, it is ordered that said motion be overruled, To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

1582 State of Texas } Motion for ten days etc.
vs } Saturday, February 25, 1888.
Sam Benson }

On motion of defendant it is ordered by the Court that he have ten days after the adjournment of this term of Court in which to prepare and file his statement of facts herein.

4739 — State of Texas vs Charley Chase } Theft — Saturday February 25, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: L.D. Farnsworth and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury, the defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant Guilty & assess his punishment at $50.00 fine and 90 days imprisonment — (Signed) L.D. Farnsworth Foreman" It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Charles Chase the sum of fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of 90 days and till said fine and costs are paid in full —

4740 — State of Texas vs Charles Chase } Theft. Saturday February 25, 1888

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: M.M. Borrukamp and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law and the defendant now here entered his plea

of Not Guilty - Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the Jury find defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at Six months imprisonment and $100.00 fine. (Signed) M.N. Hornkamp Foreman". It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Charles Chase the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand Committed to the County Jail for the full period of Six months and till said fine and costs are paid in full. Further ordered that this judgment & writ to operate after the judgment against this defendant in Cause No. 4736 is satisfied in full—

4726 State of Texas vs Tim Erwin } Recognizance — Saturday — February 2d. 1888.

This day came into open court, Tim Erwin defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with Jake Johnson and N.A. Eidman, his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Hundred Dollars, Conditioned that the said Tim Erwin, who stands charged in this court with the offense of "unlawfully exhibiting a Gaming Bank for the purpose of Gaming" and who has been convicted of said offense in this court, shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of appeals of the State of Texas in this Cause—

4583 State of Texas vs Sam Benson } Recognizance Saturday February 25. 1888.

This day came into open Court, Sam Benson the defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with J. M. Lyles and O. A. Sandegard his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Hundred Dollars Conditioned that the said Sam Benson who stands charged in this court with the offense of "Unlawfully pursuing occupation of Retail Liquor Dealer without posting and keeping posted his occupation license as such Retail Liquor Dealer" and who has been convicted of said offense in this court shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

4757 State of Texas vs Jack Cook } Carrying Pistol Saturday February 25, 1888

Continued by the defendant

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in course.

Sam Freeman
County Judge Parker Co. Texas.

March Term County Court 1888

Be it remembered that on the first Monday in March 1888 (the same being the 5th day of said month) there was begun and holden a regular term of the Honorable County Court within and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, Present the Honorable Sam Furman County Judge, R.L. Carlock County Attorney John H. Sweyne County Clerk and B.F. Shipp Sheriff of said County, when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court, to wit:—

4764 State of Texas —vs— J.A. Dawson } Carrying Pistol on his person. Saturday March 10 1888

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the defendant J.A. Dawson in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and Twenty (20) days in jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant J.A. Dawson the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue — Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail for the full period of Twenty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4848 The State of Texas vs Willie Field } Theft—
Wednesday March 14 1888

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. A jury in waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court; and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at 20 days imprisonment in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff of Parker County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail of said County for the full period of thirty days and till all costs are paid in full.

4849 State of Texas vs Charlie Davis } Carrying a Pistol
Wednesday March 14th 1888

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury in waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court; and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 & 20 days in jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Charlie Davis the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Parker County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail for the full period of Twenty days, and further till said fine and costs are paid in full—

Court in Session Monday April 16. 1888
Present all officers of court —

4763 The State of Texas vs Wm McCord & H J Putman } Assault
Monday April 16. 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and says he will no further prosecute this case as to defendant McCord and moves the Court to dismiss as to said defendant: It is therefore ordered that the State of Texas take nothing of said defendant by reason of this prosecution and that said defendant go hence without day, and thereupon came the defendant H J Putman, and both parties announced ready for trial, A jury was selected the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas have and recover of and from the defendant H J Putman the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and Cost, are paid in full —

4770 State of Texas vs Jos Whelan } Betting at a Gaming Bank
Monday April 16. 1888

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: — S. S. Patterson and five others who were duly sworn

and empanelled according to law and said jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:- "We the jury find the Def:- Guilty and place his fine at Twenty Dollars -

(Signed) S. S. Patterson Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant for Meat the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4774 State of Texas vs- W. H. Ward } Selling Liquor on Sunday

Monday April 16, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial - A jury being duly empanelled the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant in open court entered his plea of guilty whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant W. H. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all cost therein expended for which said fine and cost execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full -

4780 State of Texas vs- W. H. Ward } Selling Liquor on Sunday.

Monday April 16 1888.

This day came the parties by

their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant herein entered his plea of guilty - Whereupon the court assessed his punishment & a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant W. H. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full -

4782 State of Texas vs G. H. Day } Selling Liquor on Sunday - Monday April 16, 1888

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. Also came the defendant G. H. Day in person and both parties announced ready for trial, waived a jury submitted the matters of fact as well as of law to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty - Whereupon the Court - assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court - that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant - G. H. Day the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full -

4782

The State of Texas vs W.H. Ward } Selling Liquor on Sunday-
Monday April 16 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. waived a jury and submitted the matters of fact as well as of law ~~submitted for the decree~~ to the Court, and the defendant in open court entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant W.H. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

4806

State of Texas vs G.H. Day & Louis Maas } Selling Liquor on Sunday
Monday April 16. 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and says he will no further prosecute this case as to defendant Louis Maas. It is therefore ordered that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that said defendant go hence without day. Thereupon the defendant G.H. Day in person and and both parties announced ready for trial, waived a jury and submitted the matters of fact as well as of law to the court, and said defendant in open court entered his plea of Guilty. whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant G.H. Day the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed

to the county jail till said fine and costs are paid in full -

4807 | State of Texas } Selling Liquor on Sunday
~Vs~ } Monday April 16, 1888.
W. H. Ward }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant upon his plea of Guilty - Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant W. H. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollars fine as assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue - Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the county jail till said fine and costs are paid in full -

4851 | State of Texas } Aggravated Assault -
~Vs~ } Monday April 16, 1888
Frank Allen }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel doth find the defendant Guilty of an aggravated Assault and assesses his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Frank Allen the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further Ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

4850 The State of Texas } —Theft—
—Vs— } Monday April 16 1888
Jim Pearce }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant Jim Pearce in person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the court assessed his punishment at 30 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant Jim Pearce and confine him in the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days and till all costs incurred herein are paid in full.

4855 State of Texas } Assault
—Vs— } Monday April 16. 1888.
Geo. Worrel }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant Geo. Worrel in person and both parties announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $2.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Geo. Worrel the sum of Two Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

4858 State of Texas } Failing to Work on Public Road
— vs — } Monday April 16. 1888.
Jeff Stevens }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial & jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One Dollar, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Jeff Stevens the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further Ordered that said defendant stand Committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full—

Jury Empanneled—

Now comes B. H. Shipp Sheriff of Taney County and returns into Court the White Facias for this the 1st Week of this term of the Court, and upon call the following named qualified jurors answered to wit:- E. S. Evans, H. H. Minor, R. A. Keith, Frank McKnight and E. Allard; and said persons were duly sworn and examined and placed upon the panel for the week, And it appearing to the Court that the panel is incomplete it is therefore ordered that the sheriff summon qualified jurors sufficient to fill the panel; and the said sheriff after being duly sworn and instructed brought into Court the following named qualified jurors, to wit: J. M. Prince, D. H. Chapman, F. O. Smyth, Jr. Rea Eugene Perry, Frank Elliston and S. S. Patterson and said persons were duly sworn and tried and together with the other five persons were declared the jury for the week—

4773 State of Texas —vs— Frank Burns } Sitting at Gaming Bank. Monday April 16, 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Frank Burns the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

4799 State of Texas —vs— Bayless Peace } Aggravated Assault. Monday April 16, 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the defendant Bayless Peace, after being duly called came not but wholly made default; and it appearing to the Court that heretofore on to wit: the 28th day of March 1888 the defendant Bayless Peace after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County Texas upon a Charge of "Aggravated Assault" did as principal enter into due Appearance Bond with Mrs Eda Guernsey and Mrs C.E. Peace as his sureties in the sum of Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars, jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, Conditioned that the said (J.B.) Bayless Peace should make his personal appearance before the Honorable County Court of Tarrant County at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the 16th day of April 1888, and there remain from day to day and from term to term of said Court, and not depart until discharged by due

course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge, then in this case, the above bond to be null and void, otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law and the said Bayless Peace having failed to appear as aforesaid. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the said Appearance Bond be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant Bayless Peace as principal and Mrs Eda Guernsey and Mrs A.E. Peace sureties as aforesaid, the sum of Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars jointly and severally together with all costs of this proceeding, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this court why said defendant did not appear. Further ordered by the Court that Citation issue in manner and form as by law required commanding said Mrs Eda Guernsey and Mrs A.E. Peace to appear at the next regular term of said Court and show cause if any they can, why said judgment should not be made final, and that Alias Capias issue for the Defendant.

3/29 State of Texas vs F. P. Brown } Disorderly House
Monday April 16, 1888.—

This day the above cause being regularly called for trial, and thereupon came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State but the defendant F.P. Brown failed to appear and answer in this behalf though duly called as required by law but wholly made default. And it appearing to the court that heretofore on to wit: the 12th day of June 1886 the defendant F.P. Brown did as principal, together with John F. Turney and R.E. Maddox as sureties

enter into a Recognizance payable to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Six Hundred Dollars, Conditioned that the said S. P. Brown who stands Charged in this Court with the offense of Unlawfully Keeping a Disorderly House, the same being then and there Kept by the said Brown for the purpose of public prostitution and as a common resort for prostitutes and vagabonds, and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case. And it further appearing to the Court that said case against said defendant was on said appeal duly affirmed by the said Court of Appeals of the State of Texas, and that the Mandate in said case has been duly filed in this Court, and that said judgment of conviction in said case has in no way been discharged but remains in full force and vigor. It is therefore ordered that said recognizance be and the same is hereby declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas recover of the defendant S. P. Brown as principal and John F. Tierney and R. E. Maddox as sureties, jointly the said sum of Six Hundred Dollars jointly and severally together with all Costs of this proceeding, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this court why said defendant did not appear. It is further ordered that Citation issue to each of said Sureties John F. Tierney and R. E. Maddox to show cause if any they can, why said defendant did not appear herein - Returnable at the next term of this Court -

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning 9 o'clock April 17, 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment
Present as on yesterday—

4514 State of Texas } Exhibiting Monte Table—
—vs— Tuesday April 17, 1888
Isom McConnell

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in person and both parties announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty prior to July 4th 1887— Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Isom McConnell the sum of Twenty Five Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail of Tarrant County till said fine and costs are paid in full

4776 State of Texas } Exhibiting Gaming Bank
—vs— Tuesday April 17, 1888
Jim Collins

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant Jim Collins in person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 & 10 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Jim Collins the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which

said fine and costs execution may issue. Further Ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail of Tarrant County for the full period of ten days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4844 State of Texas } Exhibiting Gaming Bank
— vs — } Tuesday April 17, 1888.
Jim Collins

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant Jim Collins in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 10 days in jail —

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Jim Collins the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten days and till said fine and costs are paid in full and that this judgment begin to operate when the judgment in case No 4776 against this defendant is satisfied.

4690 State of Texas } Selling Liquor on Sunday
— vs — } Tuesday April 17. 1888.
Robert Druse

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: John Reed and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury, said

entered his Plea of Not Guilty, and submitted his Plea of Former Conviction, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendants Special plea untrue, and find him Guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess his fine at twenty five Dollars.

(Signed) John Rea Foreman"

It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Robert Bruce the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It further ordered that said defendant stand Committed to the County Jail of Parker County till said fine and costs are paid in full

4789 State of Texas —vs— Jeff Daggett } Betting at Dice
Tuesday April 17, 1888

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the defendant Jeff Daggett in person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to wit: S. S. Patterson and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the indictment being read to the jury, said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant Guilty and assess his fine at $20.00 Twenty Dollars

(Signed) S. S. Patterson Foreman"

It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the

the Defendant Jeff Daggett the sum of Twenty Dollars with ~~ridxyl~~ ~~Hayes~~ ~~rate~~ ~~rate~~ ~~of~~ fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs hereinincurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue further ordered that ~~said~~ defendant ~~stand~~ committed to the County Jail of Tarrant County till said fine and costs are paid in full—

4827 State of Texas } Exhibiting Gaming Banke.
—vs— } Tuesday April 17 1888.
Jeff Daggett }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the defendant Jeff Daggett in person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Frank Elliston and five others who were duly selected impannelled and sworn according to law and the indictment being read to the jury, said defendant entered his plea of Not-Guilty. Whereupon said jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict, to-wit:—

"We the jury find the defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $75.00 and ten days in the County Jail.

(Signed) Frank Elliston Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Jeff Daggett the sum of Seventy Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs hereincurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue—

Further ordered that said defendant Stand Committed to the County Jail of Tarrant County for the full period of Ten days, and till said fine and costs are paid in full, and this judgment begin to operate as soon as the judgment against this defendant in Case No 4789 is satisfied

4793

The State of Texas vs W. F. Sudduth } Carrying a Pistol
Tuesday April 17, 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 20 days in Jail — It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant W. F. Sudduth the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail of Tarrant County for the full period of Twenty Days and till said fine and costs are paid in full —

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Wednesday Morning 9 o'clock April 18, 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment Present as on yesterday —

4769

State of Texas vs Luke Short } Betting at Faro
Wednesday April 18, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, waived a jury and submitted the matters of fact as well as of law to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $50. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Luke Short the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid —

together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further order that said defendant Stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and Costs are paid in full.

4798 The State of Texas vs Andrew Fourner } Aggravated Assault. Wednesday April 18. 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit:- Frank Ellston and five others who were duly empanelled and sworn according to law, the indictment being read to the jury said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty - Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of Counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:- "We the jury find the defendant not guilty -

(Signed) Frank Ellston Forman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant Andrew Fourner go hence without day -

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow Morning 9 o'clock -

Thursday Morning 9 o'clock April 19th 1888 Court met pursuant to adjournment Present as on yesterday

4713 State of Texas vs Madam Porter } Failing to Post Liquor License Thursday April 19. 1888.

This day came the parties by their

attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the Indictment herein, And the argument of counsel brought heard thereon it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. It is therefore Ordered that said motion be sustained, and that this Cause be dismissed, and that defendant Madam Porter go hence without day.

4735 State of Texas — vs — W. L. Rushing } Disturbing the Peace. Thursday April 19, 1888

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, Also came the defendant W. L. Rushing in person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. And the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel doth find the defendant Not Guilty.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this suit, and that defendant W. L. Rushing go hence without day.

4757 State of Texas — vs — Jack Cook } Carrying a Pistol — Thursday April 19, 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, Also came the defendant Jack Cook in person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel doth find the Defendant Not Guilty.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court

that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that Defendant Jack Cook go hence without day.

4778 State of Texas —vs— John Ashmore } Selling Liquor on Sunday
Thursday April 19, 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the defendant John Ashmore in person and both parties announced ready for trial, waived a jury and submitted the matters of fact as well as of Law to the Court, and said defendant now here, entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant John Ashmore the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4869 State of Texas —vs— James Smith } Carrying a Pistol
Thursday April 19, 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the defendant James Smith in person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here, entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment as a fine of $25.00 and 20 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant James Smith the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together-

with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.
Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail of Tarrant County for the full period of Twenty days and till paid fine and costs are paid in full -

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to morrow morning 9 o'clock

Friday Morning 9 o'clock April 20. 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment Present as on yesterday -

4717 State of Texas vs Jim Drum } Selling Liquor on Sunday
Friday April 20, 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, Also came the defendant Jim Drum in his own proper person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty Whereupon the Court, after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel, doth find the defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $200 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Jim Drum the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs, execution may issue, Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full. To which judgment of the Court the defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas -

4720 State of Texas } Selling Liquor on Sunday
—vs— } Friday April 20, 1888.
George Wallace }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00, It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant George Wallace the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4784 State of Texas } Exhibiting Gaming Bank
vs } Friday April 20. 1888.
John Farrow }

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 10 days in the County Jail— It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant John Farrow the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein expended for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and till said fine and costs are paid in full —

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to morrow morning 9 o'clock

Saturday Morning 9 o'clock April 21st 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment
Present as on yesterday.

4778 State of Texas vs Harry Colp } Betting at a Gaming Bank
Saturday April 21, 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant Harry Colp in his own proper person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant, now here, entered his plea of "Not Guilty" Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel, doth find the defendant Guilty, and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Harry Colp the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail of Tarrant County till said fine and costs are paid in full —

4794 State of Texas vs Math Brooks } Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
Saturday April 21, 1888

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant Math Brooks in his own proper person as well as by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit:- Frank Ellison and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the indictment-

having been read to the jury, said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury, after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict. And after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to wit: "We the jury find the defendant Guilty & assess the punishment at a fine of $50.00 & ten days con=finement in the County Jail.

(Signed) Frank Elliston Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Nath Brooks the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue.

Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten days and till said fine and cost are paid in full.

4787 State of Texas vs A.B. Jacobs } Exhibiting a Gaming Bank. Saturday April 21. 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. Also came the defendant A.B. Jacobs in his own proper person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: S.H. Chapman and five others who were duly elected empanneled and sworn according to law. The indictment having been read to the jury, said defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict. And after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict, to wit: "We the Jury find the Deft. guilty and assess his fine at Twenty Five Dollars $25.00 and Ten days imprisonment in County Jail.

(Signed) S.H. Chapman Foreman"

It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant A.B. Jacobs the sum of Forty Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforsaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Sudther ordered that said defendant stand Committed to the County Jail of Tarrant County, till said fine and costs are paid in full and for the full period of Ten Days -

4787 State of Texas vs Abe, a one armed Jew } Exhibiting a Gaming Bank Saturday April 21st 1888

This day came the defendant herein and suggests to the Court that his name is A.B. Jacobs. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the indictment herein be corrected

4777 State of Texas vs Harry Colp } Betting at Gaming Bank. Saturday April 21. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants Motion for a New Trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the State. It is therefore ordered that said motion be overruled. To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

4777 State of Texas vs Harry Colp } Recognizance Saturday April 21. 1888

This day came into open Court Harry Colp defendant in the above entitled cause who, together with W. F. Lake and Nath Brooks, his sureties, acknowledge themselves

severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Hundred Dollars; Conditioned, that the said Harry Colp, who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "Unlawfully Betting at a Gaming Bank exhibited for purpose of gaming" and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court, shall appear before this Court from day to day, and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

4774 State of Texas — vs — Math Brooks } Exhibiting Gaming Table. Saturday April 21st 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the State. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be overruled. To which ruling of the court the defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

4774 State of Texas — vs — Math Brooks } Recognizance Saturday April 21st 1888.

This day came into open Court Math Brooks defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with W. F. Lake and Harry Colp his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Two Hundred Dollars; Conditioned that the said Math Brooks who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "Unlawfully Exhibiting a Gaming Bank for the purpose of Gaming" and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court, shall appear before this Court from day to

day, and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of appeals of the State of Texas in this case

State of Texas } Exhibiting Gaming Bank
4787 vs. } Saturday April 21st 1888
A.B. Jacobs }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, It is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the State. It is therefore ordered that said motion be overruled — To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

State of Texas } Recognizance
4787 — vs — } Saturday April 21st 1888,
A.B. Jacobs }

This day came into open court A.B. Jacobs defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with W.J. Lake and Nath Brooks his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Two Hundred Dollars, Conditioned that the said A.B. Jacobs who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "Unlawfully Exhibiting a Gaming Bank for the purpose of Gaming" and who has been arrested of said offense in this Court shall appear before this Court from day to day, and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this Case —

4717 State of Texas } Recognizance
vs } Saturday April 21, 1888
Jim Drum }

This day came into open Court Jim Drum defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with Charles Schember and J. Kelly his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Hundred Dollars; Conditioned that the said Jim Drum who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "Unlawfully Selling Liquor on Sunday" and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court - shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday Morning 9 o'clock

Monday Morning April 23 1888, Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as for Saturday last.

By Consent of the parties it is ordered by the Court that the following Cases stand continued to the next term of this Court to wit:

4240 State of Texas vs Madam Porter.
Selling Liquor on Sunday.
4329 State of Texas vs William Thomas
Aggravated Assault.
4330 State of Texas vs William Thomas
Aggravated Assault
4527 State of Texas vs George Gavlin
Dist. Religious Worship
4542 State of Texas vs W. E. Graves
Carrying a Pistol.
4684 State of Texas vs E. L. Bill
Selling Liquor in Local Option Precinct
4698 State of Texas vs E. L. Bill. Same

4701 State of Texas -Vs- Jor Purvis
Betting at Dice

4715 State of Texas - vs W. J. Reed.
Aggravated Assault

4733 State of Texas - vs - Bill Johnson
Pulling down fence of another.

4781 State of Texas -vs- John Ashmore
Selling Liquor on Sunday -

4790 State of Texas -vs - Geo. Andrews
Betting at Gaming Banks

4797 State of Texas -vs- John G. Davis
Selling Liquor without License

4800 State of Texas vs Charley Purvis and Dave Chapman
Disturbing Religious Worship

4807 State of Texas vs John Ashmore
Selling Liquor on Sunday.

4823 - 4824
4823 4877 State of Texas vs Jor Purvis
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

4837 State of Texas vs Wade Thomas
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4846, 4845 State of Texas vs Jim Erwin
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4508 State of Texas vs Chas. Dixon
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4863 State of Texas -vs- A. Canto
Disturbing the Peace

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons, moves the Court to dismiss to dismiss the following Cases, to wit:-

3188 State of Texas vs - J. E. Calhoun
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

4326 State of Texas vs Bill Woods
Aggravated Assault.

4332 State of Texas -vs- Geo. Wallace
Selling Without License —

4523 State of Texas -vs- James Rushing, False Imprisonment,

4580 State of Texas - vs - Tom Jett
Vagrancy

4581 State of Texas -vs.- Jor McMillan
Nagramey

4617 State of Texas -vs- James Liston
Disturbing the Peace.

4661. 4662
4663. 4664 State of Texas -vs- C E Graham -
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4684 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward
Selling on Sunday -

4704 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward
Keeping Business Open on Sunday.

4734 State of Texas -vs- W L Rushing & R. H. Kitts
Aggravated Assault,

4741 State of Texas -vs- Chas. Boyd
Failing to Work on Public Road,

4747 State of Texas, -vs- Levi Bruce
Failing To Work on Public Road,

4745 State of Texas -vs- O L Henson
Affray -

4795. 4796
4797 State of Texas. -vs- W. H. Ward
Keeping place of business open on Sunday -

4800 State of Texas -vs- Louis Mack
Selling Liquor on Sunday -

4829 State of Texas -vs- Jeff Doggett
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

4843 State of Texas -vs- John Sarrow
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4857 State of Texas -vs- Ed Chambers
Aggravated Assault.

4861 State of Texas -vs- L C Babcock
Adultery -.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the said above numbered and styled cases be and they are hereby dismissed, and that the defendants thereon go hence without day -

It appearing to the Court that the defendants in the following cases have not been arrested, It is therefore ordered that Alias Capias issue for the defendants therein and

that said Cases be continued to the next term of this Court - to wit -

4034	State vs	Emma McVane alias McBane.	Aggravated Assault -
4048	" vs	Dick Bullock -	Playing Cards in Pub. Place.
4058	" vs	Fannie Rausch. -	Disorderly House
4062	" vs	Martin Lowe. -	Carrying a Pistol -
4261	" vs	George Gaiter	- Do -
4298	" vs	A Reader	- Aggravated Assault -
4336	" vs	Willis Greene	- Do -
4343	" vs	Geo. Gaiter	- Do -
4372	" vs	Carrie Anderson	- Disorderly House -
4391	" vs	"Jimmie"	- Exhibiting Gaming Banks.
4477	" vs	Jeff Davis -	- Do -
4487	" vs	Frank Anderson	- Do -
4521	" vs	H.O. Hall	- Do -
4527	" vs	Lee Brown,	- Vagrancy
4536	" vs	Dock Raines	- Selling on Sunday
4554	" vs	Lee Brown (Col)	- Exhibiting Monte Table.
4580	" vs	Clark Holman	- Aggravated Assault;
4586	" vs	Jeff Davis	- Do.
4587	" vs	Frank Anderson	- Do -
4588	" vs	Alf Collier	- Do -
4590	" vs	W.B. Mithers	- Vagrancy.
4602	" vs	D.R. Hawkins	- Carrying a Pistol -
4648	" vs	Jos Barton.	- Theft -
4646	" vs	Louis Martin,	Exhibiting Gaming Table.
4665	" vs	"Butch"	- Exhibiting Gaming Banks.
4667	" vs	Jeff Davis	- Do -
4669	" vs	Pete Jagg	- Do -
4678	" vs	Pete Walker.	- Betting at Dice
4707	" vs	Mose Coffee	- Playing Cards in Public Place.
4714	" vs.	J.M. Friend	- Failing to post Liquor Licence -
4716	" vs	Jno. Grimsley	- Disturbing the Peace.
4724	" vs	Dick Bullock	- Exhibiting Gaming Bank
4725	" vs	Pete Jagg.	- Do -
4727	" vs	Will Perkins	- Betting at Dice.
4729	" vs	Jack McDonald	- Selling Liquor without Licence.
4730	" vs	George Canary	- Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4731	" vs	John Grimsley	- Carrying Pistol in Ball Room.
4732	" vs	C.B. Jordan	- Failing to Post Liquor Licence
4736	" vs	Frank Robinson	- Theft -

4743 State vs- Mack Manigan — Aggravated Assault.
4744 " — vs- J. Burk — Do-
4758 " — vs- Jimmie Carter — Theft
4771 " — vs- John Metcalfe — Carrying a Pistol
4785 " — vs- Charles Bullock - Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4777 4779 4812, 4818, 4819 4813 4812, 4788 " — vs- Red Farmer — Do-
4794 " — vs- Jim Hall — Carrying a Pistol
4801 " — vs- James Baker — Disturbing Religious Worship -
4804 " — vs- Dave Mitchell - Selling Liquor on Sunday -
4809 4821 4819 4808 " — vs- Mose Coffee - Exhibiting Gaming Bank
4820 " — vs- Ed Mahoney. — Do-
4826 " — vs- Longfellow — Do —
4828 " — vs- Henry Carter — Do —
4847 " — vs- George Canary - Do —
4856 " — vs- Jim Sullivan - Aggravated Assault -
4859 " - vs Mike Brown — Do -
4860 " — vs - Bob Waggoner - Assault -

4786 State of Texas vs Charles Dixon } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Saturday April 21. 1888

4805 State of Texas vs Harry Hatchell } Continued by the State selling Liquor on Sunday Friday April 20. 1888

Continued by the State

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to morrow morning 9 o'clock.

Tuesday Morning April 24 1888 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday

4850 State of Texas vs Charley Burk } Aggravated Assault. Tuesday April 24. 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant Charley Burk in his own proper person as well as by attorney and both parties announced

ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit:- S.H. Mulkey, and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law, and said defendant now here, entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the jury after receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict, to wit. —

"We, the jury find the Defendant Gilty and assess his fine at Twenty Five Dollars —

(Signed). S.H. Mulkey, Foreman."

✓ It is therefor Considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Charley Burk the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforsaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail of Tarrant County till said fine and costs are paid in full —

4852 State of Texas — vs — Tom Berry } Theft — Tuesday April 24, 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the complaint herein, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, it is the opinion of the court that the law is for the defendant. It is therefore ordered that said motion be sustained, and that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that the defendant Tom Berry go hence without day —

4864 State of Texas — vs — Tom Berry } Theft — Tuesday April 24, 1888

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the

defendant Tom Berry in his own proper person as well as by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law, were submitted to the Court and the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel, finds the defendant Guilty, and assesses his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 1 day in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Tom Berry the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail of Tarrant County, for the full period of 1 day, and till said fine and costs are paid in full -

4865 — State of Texas — vs — Jim Hassell } Assault -

Tuesday April 24, 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the defendant Jim Hassell in his own proper person as well as by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court - and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Jim Hassell the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further Ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail of Tarrant County, ~~for the full period of~~ till said fine and costs are paid in full -

R. L. Carlock's Fine.

Saturday April 21st 1888.

R. L. Carlock an Attorney of this Court in replying to an argument made by R. H. Johnson another Attorney after being warned by the Court not to use any offensive language, proceeded to characterize certain remarks of Johnson as untrue, wherefore said R. L. Carlock is adjudged guilty of contempt of this Court, and a fine of Five Dollars is assessed against him.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Monday Morning 9 o'clock April 23rd 1888 Court met pursuant to adjournment Present as on yesterday.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Thursday Morning 9 o'clock April 26th 1888 Court met pursuant to adjournment Present as on yesterday

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Friday Morning 9 o'clock April 27, 1888 Court met pursuant to adjournment, Present as on yesterday.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Saturday Morning 9 o'clock April 28 1888 Court met pursuant to adjournment, Present as on yesterday

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday morning 9 o'clock

Monday Morning 9 o'clock April 30 1888, Court met pursuant to adjournment, Present as on first of term

3674 City of Fort Worth vs T. J. Boone } Carrying a Pistol Monday April 30, 1888.

Ordered that this Case be taken off the Trial Docket and placed on file till said defendant can be found.

2804 City of Fort Worth vs Bill Graves } Selling Liquor on Sunday (Appeal) Monday April 30, 1888,

This day came the City Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will not further prosecute this Case, and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this suit and that defendant Bill Graves go hence without day.

490 4440 4441 City of Fort Worth vs J. L. Wyatt } Fighting and Nuisance Monday April 30 1888.

Now comes the City Attorney and says he will not further prosecute this case, and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefor ordered that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the defendant J. L. Wyatt go hence without day.

4429 City of Fort Worth vs Jim F. Moore } Fighting (Appeal) Monday April 30, 1888 Continued by Consent

4621 | City of Fort Worth vs J. J. Millhorit | Dist. the Peace. (Appeal) Monday April 30. 1888 Continued by Defendant.

4634 | City of Fort Worth vs J. P. Cross | Dist. the Peace. (Appeal) Monday April 30. 1888 Continued by Consent.

4766 | City of Fort Worth vs H. P. Shiel | Selling Liquor on Sunday Monday April 30 1888 Continued by City.

4866 | City of Fort Worth vs John Vaughn | Assault. (Appeal) Monday April 30. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the complaint herein, and because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the City, it is ordered that said motion be overruled.

4867 | City of Fort Worth vs Pat Devine | Disturbing the Peace. Monday April 30. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the defendant suggests to the Court that the transcript from the Recorder's Court is incorrect. It is therefore ordered by the Court that a writ of Certiorari issue to the Recorders Court of the City of Fort Worth commanding them to send up a corrected transcript in this case and that this cause stand continued

4870 City of Fort Worth vs M. Goldberg } Docket (Appeal) Monday April 30 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel finds the defendant M. Goldberg is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant M. Goldberg go hence without day.

4872 City of Fort Worth vs S. M. West } Disturbing the Peace (Appeal) Monday April 30. 1888,

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the complaint herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion it is ordered that the cause be sustained, and that this cause be dismissed, and that the defendant S. M. West go hence without day.

4877 City of Fort Worth vs A. W. Craig } Fast Driving Monday April 30, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the complaint herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the defendant, it is ordered that said motion be sustained and that this case be dismissed and the defendant A. W. Craig go hence without day.

4878 | City of Fort Worth vs. Wm York | Nuisance. Monday April 30/1888. Continued by Defendant.

4880 | City of Fort Worth vs. H. Geuss | Nuisance. Monday April 30/1888. This day came the parties by their attorneys, and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the complaint, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, Because it is the opinion of the Court, that the law is for the defendant, it is ordered that said motion be sustained, and that said cause be dismissed and defendant H. Geuss go hence without day. Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Tuesday Morning 9 o'clock, May 1st 1888. Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

This day came the Sheriff of Tarrant County, after being duly sworn and instructed by the Court, and brings into Court the following named parties to wit:— H. Eichenbaum, W.R. Woodson, Sam Kaiser, W.L. Hildebrand, W.E. McBride, A.J. Shattuck, J.B. Askins, J.H. Lyttle, A.S. Norman, and P.M. McElhaney who were duly sworn and tried by the Court and declared the panel for the week.

4467 | City of Fort Worth vs. Fred Smith | Selling Liquor on Sunday — Tuesday May 1st 1888. This day came the parties by

their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit:- A. L. Shattuck and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law, and said jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:-

"We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty.

(Signed) A. L. Shattuck, Foreman"

It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant Ida Smith go hence without day.

4630 City of Fort Worth — By — Emeline Gooden } Fighting (Appeal) Tuesday April 1st 1888.

This day came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City and says he will not further prosecute this case, and moves the Court to dismiss same it is therefore ordered that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant Emeline Gooden go hence without day,

4638 City of Fort Worth — By — Jim Drum } Assault (Appeal) Tuesday May 1st 1888

This day came the defendant and suggested to the Court that the transcript is incomplete herein, it is therefore ordered by the Court that a writ of Certiorari issue to the Recorders Court Commanding them to send up a complete transcript in this case on or before the first Monday in June 1888

4639 City of Fort Worth vs John W. Adams } Nuisance (Appeal) Tuesday May 1st 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit- W.E. McBride and four others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law and said jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and ~~bring~~ receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the defendant not Guilty.

(Signed) W.E. McBride Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant J.W. Adams go hence without day.

4768 City of Fort Worth vs Emeline Gooden } Disturbing the Peace (Appeal) Tuesday May 1, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered her plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of One Dollar. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth have and recover of the defendant Emeline Gooden ~~the principal~~, and J.B. Brown, J.H. White and T.E. Ridgeway as sureties on her appeal Bond, the sum of one Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein

incurred both in this court and in the court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4767 City of Fort Worth vs. P. C. Cable } Fighting in Public Place
Tuesday May 1st 1888.
Continued by Cite.

4765 City of Fort Worth vs. Dan Whitesides } Fighting in Public Place.
Tuesday May 1st 1888
Continued by Consent.

4866 City of Fort Worth vs. John Vaughn } Assault. (Appeal)
Tuesday May 1st 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. E. McBride and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the complaint was read to the jury. Said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and arguments of Counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:- "We the jury find the defendant Guilty and assess his fine at five Dollars.

(Signed) W. E. McBride Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth recover of the defendant John Vaughn as principal and John Behrns, Jas Liston and H. P. Thiel sureties on his appeal Bond the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue.

Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full -

4868 City of Fort Worth vs J.W. Adams } Appeal. Tuesday May 1st 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and then came on to be heard the defendant's motion motion to quash the complaint herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon it is the opinion of the court that the law is for the defendant, it is therefore ordered that said motion be sustained, and that this cause be dismissed and the defendant J.W. Adams go hence without day

4869 City of Fort Worth vs O.N. Ellis } Drunk (Appeal) Tuesday May 1st 1888.

Now comes the defendant and suggests to the court that the transcript herein is incomplete. It is therefore ordered that a writ of Certiorari issue to Recorders Court commanding to send up a complete transcript on or before the first Monday in June A.D. 1888,

4873 City of Fort Worth vs E.W. Provine } Nuisance (Appeal) Tuesday May 1st 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the plaintiffs motion to dismiss the defendants appeal herein, and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the court that the law is for the defendant it is ordered that said motion be overruled.

4875 City of Fort Worth } Disturbing the Peace
vs } Tuesday May 1st 1888.
Emeline Gooden }

This day came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City, and says he will no further prosecute this suit, and moves the Court to dismiss same, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant Emeline Gooden go hence without day.

4882 State of Texas } Assault.
vs } Tuesday May 1st 1888.
Charles Perkins }

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the defendant Charles Perkins in person and both parties announced ready for trial, waived a jury and submitted the matters of fact as well as of law to the Court, and said defendant hereupon entered his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas have and recover of the defendant Charles Perkins the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full -

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Wednesday Morning 9 o'clock May 2, 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment
Present as on yesterday

4845 City of Fort Worth vs Jack McDonald } Assault. Appeal
Wednesday May 2. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: A. Gorman and four others who were duly elected, empannelled and sworn according to law, and the complaint being read to the jury said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon said jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and having received the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:—

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and fix his punishment at five dollars fine.—

(Signed) A. S. Gorman Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the defendant Jack McDonald as principal and Martin Casey and John P. Long as sureties on his appeal Bond the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below for which this cause was appealed, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail of Tarrant County till said fine and costs are paid in full.—

4871 City of Fort Worth vs Sam Benson } Selling Liquor on Sunday
Wednesday May 2. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon a jury being waived the matters of fact

as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel, finds the defendant Not Guilty. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant Sallie Brown go hence without day.

4874 — City of Fort Worth vs Dora Maurice — Nuisance. (Appeal) Wednesday May 2, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. E. McBride and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and said defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and arguments of Counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the defendant Not Guilty

(Signed) W. E. McBride Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant Dora Maurice go hence without day

4876 — City of Fort Worth vs N. H. Randall — Nuisance. (Appeal) Wednesday May 2, 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his

Jury of not Guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel adjudges the defendant Not Guilty. It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant W. M. Randall go hence without day

4879 City of Fort Worth } Affray (Appeal)
vs. } Wednesday May 2. 1888.
O. C. Babcock
Continued by Defendant

4881 City of Fort Worth } Assault. (Appeal)
vs. } Wednesday May 2, 1888.
Lottie Freeman
Continued by Consent.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Thursday Morning 9 o'clock May 3rd 1888 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Friday Morning 9 o'clock May 4 1888 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Saturday Morning 9 o'clock May 5 1888 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday

May 5, 1888 Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in Course. Jno Freeman County Judge
Tarrant County Texas.

County Court Tarrant County May

Be it remembered that on this first Monday in May A.D. 1888 (the same being the 7th day of said month) there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court for the transaction of business in the Criminal Docket within and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth. Present the Hon. Sam Burman County Judge presiding R.L. Carlock County Attorney Jno. F. Swayne County Clerk and B.H. Shipp Sheriff of said County, when and where the following proceedings were had, to wit:

4799 State of Texas vrs. Bayless Peace } Monday May 7, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Bayless Peace the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

4891 State of Texas vs John Alexander } Sheft. Thursday May 10, 1888,

This day came the County Attorney

Perm A.D. 1888

prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant John Alexander in person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, whereupon the defendant entered his plea of guilty, and the court assessed his punishment at a fine of ~~at a fine~~ imprisonment in the county jail for 2 months. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the Sheriff of Parker County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail for the full period of three months, and till all costs herein incurred are paid in full.

489 B

State of Texas
vs
Wm Herrington

Aggravated Assault
Monday May 14, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, thereupon said defendant entered his plea of guilty whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Five ($25.00) Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Wm Herrington the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4577

State of Texas
vs
George Davelin

Disturbing Religious Worship
Monday May 14, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury

bring waivrd the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant were there intered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant George Carlin the sum of Twenty Five Dollars together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4940 State of Texas vs Jessie Reeves } Disorderly House. Monday May 28. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury bring waivrd the matters of fact as wrd as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant were thereupon entered her plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $100.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Jesse Reeves the sum of One hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4941 State of Texas vs Madam Potter } Disorderly House. Monday May 28. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial A jury bring waivrd the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant were thereupon entered her plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $100.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Madam Porter the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4949 State of Texas } Disorderly House.
vs
Gracie Lane } Monday May 28. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant upon her entered her plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $100.00

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Gracie Lane, the sum of $100. fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4961 Ex parte } Habeas Corpus.
P.C. Massingale } Tuesday May 29. 1888.

This day came C.R. Scott Deputy City Marshal and returns into Court the writ of Habeas Corpus served upon him herein and also produces the relator P.C. Massingale into Court. and the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises, it is ordered and decreed by the Court that the Relator P.C. Massingale be and he is hereby remanded to the custody of the City Marshal

4062 The State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol
— vs — } Thursday May 31, 1888
Martin Low }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being duly impaneled the evidence of facts as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Martin Low the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Monday Morning June 4 1888 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on first of term.

Now comes B. H. Shipp Sheriff and returns into Court the venire Facias for this the 5th Week of this term, and upon call the following named persons answered to wit: G. W. Hollingsworth, A. W. Scoble, J. L. Tinsley and J. O. Vogel, and said parties were duly sworn tried and placed upon the panel for the week

And it appearing to the Court that the panel is incomplete, the said sheriff was sworn and instructed by the Court to summon qualified jurors sufficient to fill the panel, Whereupon the said Sheriff brought into Court Dan Barker, A. Walker, J. S. Call, R. J. Cope, J. F. Rodgers Bob Bailey, Geo. Jackson and Sam Hatcher, who were duly sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week.

4884 State of Texas vs James Dillon } Vagrancy
Monday June 4. 1888

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant in this own proper person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial, and the defendant James Dillon pleaded not Guilty to the indictment, and waived a trial by jury and submitted the decision of the cause to the court. And the court, having heard the indictment read and the defendants plea of Not Guilty thereto, and having heard the evidence and argument of counsel thereon, finds the defendant guilty of the offense charged and assesses his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the court that the state of Texas do have and recover of the defendant James Dillon the said fine of Ten Dollars and all costs of this prosecution, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4887 State of Texas vs Will Young & Ed Stewart } Disturbing the Peace.
Monday June 4, 1888

This day the above cause was called for trial, and both parties appeared and announced ready for trial, And the County Attorney now here says he will no further prosecute this suit as to defendant Stewart, and moves the court to dismiss same it is therefore ordered that said defendant Ed Stewart go hence without day, and that the state take nothing by reason of this suit.

and the defendant Will Young waived a jury submitted the matters of fact as well as of law to the Court and entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Will Young said fine of $1.00 together with all costs of this prosecution for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4896 | State of Texas vs Wm May | Theft. Monday June 4, 1888

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine in the County Jail for 30 days. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that defendant Wm May stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days and till all Costs of this prosecution are paid in full.

4902 | State of Texas vs A. G. Kinkeman | Keeping Saloon open on Sunday. Monday June 4, 1888.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant, now here, entered his plea of Guilty

Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant A.G. Rittelman the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together all costs of this prosecution. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full. For which said fine and costs execution may issue.

4903 State of Texas vs Billy Hendrix } Keeping Saloon Open on Sunday Monday June 4. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. And said defendant pleads not Guilty, whereupon the Court after hearing the indictment read and the evidence and arguments of counsel and being fully advised in the premises, finds the defendant guilty on both counts and assesses his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Billy Hendrix said fine of Twenty Dollars together with all costs of this prosecution for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4918 State of Texas vs Buck Smith } Selling Liquor on Sunday Monday June 4. 1888

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court

assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00
This therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Buck Smith the said fine of Twenty Dollars together with all costs of this prosecution for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4921 State of Texas vs A. J. Kuttleman } Selling Liquor on Sunday.
Monday June 4. 1888

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and said defendant now here entered his plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant A. J. Kuttleman said fine of $20.00 together with all costs of this prosecution further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid, for which execution may issue.

4922 State of Texas vs Tom Paine } Selling Liquor on Sunday.
Monday June 4. 1888.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Tom Paine the said fine of $20.00 together all costs of this prosecution, for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said defendant stand committed

to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4949 State of Texas } Selling Liquor on Sunday
vs } Monday June 4. 1888.
J. P. Sheehan }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant in open Court entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant J. P. Sheehan the said fine of Twenty Dollars together with all costs of this prosecution, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning 9 o'clock June 5. 1888. Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

~~4~~ 4689. 3/4698 State of Texas vs Selling Intoxicating Liquor in Local Option Precinct.
E. L. Bill }

Tuesday June 5. 1888

Now Court is to be heard the motion of defendant to quash the indictments in the above numbered and styled cases and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the defendant it is therefore ordered that said motion be sustained and said causes dismissed, and that defendant E. L. Bill go hence without day.

4790 State of Texas vs George Andrews } Betting at Gaming Bank
Tuesday June 5. 1888.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here, entered his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Geo. Andrews the said fine of Ten Dollars together with all Costs of this prosecution, for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and Costs are paid in full.

4916 State of Texas vs W. H. Ward } Selling Liquor on Sunday.
Tuesday June 5. 1888.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here, entered his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant W. H. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4924 State of Texas — vs — W. H. Ward } Selling Liquor on Sunday,
Tuesday June 5, 1888.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court,

and said defendant was here entered his plea of Guilty - whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant W. H. Ward the said fine of Twenty Dollars together with all costs of this prosecution, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It further ordered that said defendant after the satisfaction of the judgment in cause #4938 - said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4939 State of Texas — vs — Josie Belmont } Disorderly House. Tuesday June 5. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury in waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant was here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $100.00, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Josie Belmont the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4940 State of Texas vs Thos McConnell } Betting at Monte. Tuesday June 5. 1888.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. A jury in waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and said defendant was here entered his plea of guilty, whereupon the court assessed her punishment at a fine of $10.00

It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Thom McConnell the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4948 State of Texas vs W. H. Ward. } Selling Liquor on Sunday. Tuesday June 5. 1888.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant W. H. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant after the satisfaction of the judgments against this defendant in Cases Nos. 4918 & 4924. Stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Wednesday Morning 9 o'clock June 6. 1888 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday

4883 State of Texas vs Mike Riley & Mike Bohan } Aggravated Assault Wednesday June 6. 1888

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to

the Court said defendants entered their plea of not Guilty, whereupon the Court after hearing the information read and defendants plea of not Guilty thereto and the argument of Counsel, finds said defendants not guilty. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendants Mike Riley & Mike Behan go hence without day -

14904 State of Texas vs Mike Reiley et al } Affray
Wednesday June 6. 1888.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial and defendants demanding a severance the same was granted and Mike Reiley placed upon trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: G W Hollingsworth and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the indictment being read to the jury said defendant entered his plea of not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;
"We the jury find the defendant Guilty and assess his fine at Ten ($10.00) Dollars.
G W. Hollingsworth Foreman"
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Mike Reiley the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed against said together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs defendant may issue. Further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4904 State of Texas vs Mike Reiley et al } Affray.
Wednesday June 6. 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant Tom Cavanaugh and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Robt Bailey and five others, who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law. And the indictment being read to the jury said defendant entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict, to wit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty as charged.

"Robert Bailey Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant Tom Cavanaugh go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning 9 o'clock

Thursday Morning June 7, 1888. Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

4859 State of Texas vs Mike Bowen } Aggravated Assault
Thursday June 7, 1888.
Continued by the State.

4897 State of Texas vs Jim Driggers & Daniel Garvey } Running Occupation Without License,
Thursday June 7, 1888.
This day came the parties, and

announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit:- A.W. Scofield and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law, and the indictment being read to the jury, said defendant entered their plea of not guilty, whereupon the ~~Court~~ jury after hearing the evidence, and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of court, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to wit: "We ~~the~~ Guilty" A.W. Scofield Foreman. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendants Jim Drumkand Daniel Garvey go hence without day ~

4898 — State of Texas vs. John Murrin } Running Occupation without License. Thursday June 7, 1888.

This day came the parties, and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the indictment herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the state it is ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the court the defendant excepts.

4899 — The State of Texas vs. James McGinnis } Running Occupation without License. Thursday June 7, 1888.

This day came the parties and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the indictment herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, & it is the opinion of the court that the law is against said motion it is therefore ordered by the court that said motion be overruled.

To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts. Further ordered that this cause be continued by the State.

1901 State of Texas } Affray.
vs.
Mike Reily et al. } Thursday June 7, 1888
(Dan Callahan)

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also came the Defendant Callahan, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Dan Callahan the said sum of One Dollars together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and cost are paid.

1905 State of Texas } Affray
vs. } Thursday June 7, 1888.
Dan Callahan
(Mike Morney et al)

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this suit as to defendant Dan Callahan and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing of said defendant Dan Callahan by reason of this prosecution, and that he go hence without day.

4908 The State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol
vs } Thursday June 7, 1888.
Mike Bohan }

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact & law were submitted to the Court, and the indictment being read to the Court said defendant Mike Bohan entered his plea of "Not Guilty." Whereupon the Court, after hearing the indictment read and the plea of not guilty thereon and the evidence and arguments of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant Guilty as charged in the indictment and assesses his punishment at a fine of $20.00 and 20 days imprisonment in the County Jail.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Mike Bohan the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs of this prosecution, for which said fine and cost execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Twenty days and till said fine and cost are paid in full. To which judgment of the Court the defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

4908 State of Texas } Recognizance
vs } Thursday June 7, 1888.
Mike Bohan }

This day came into open Court Mike Bohan, ~~he~~ defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with J. J. Kelly, John Murrin and S. M. Galloway, his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Hundred Dollars, Conditioned, that

the said Mike Bohan who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "Unlawfully carrying a pistol on his person" and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court shall appear before this court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

4912 | State of Texas vs G. H. Day | Selling Liquor on Sunday. Thursday June 7. 1888.

This day came the parties, and announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant G. H. Day said fine of Twenty Dollars together with all costs of this prosecution, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4920 | State of Texas vs Hubert Brouse | Selling Liquor on Sunday. Thursday June 7. 1888 Continued by the State.

4957 | The State of Texas vs J. E. Walker | Embezzlement Thursday June 7. 1888.

This day came the parties, and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit- Sam Parker and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law,

and the information being read to the jury said defendant entered his plea of not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsle and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their Verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict, to wit: "We the jury find the deft. J.E. Walker guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $18.00 and 30 days imprisonment in the County Jail.

Dan Parker, Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant J.E. Walker said fine of Eighteen Dollars together with all costs of this prosecution for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full, and for the full period of thirty days.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Friday Morning 9 o'clock June 8. 1888 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

4791 State of Texas — vs — C.D. Dixon } Permitting Gaming Bank To be played in House under his control

Friday June 8 1888

This day came the parties, and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant C.D. Dixon said fine of Ten Dollars together with all costs of this prosecution,

for which said fine and costs execution may issue
Further ordered that said defendant stand
committed to the County Jail till said fine and
costs are paid in full

4886 State of Texas vs Lizzie Cotta. (Carter) } Aggravated Assault.
Friday June 8. 1888.

This day came the parties and announced
ready for trial, and the defendant, herein, suggests
that her name is carter it is therefore ordered
that the information herein be changed in accordance
with said suggestion. Thereupon came a jury
of good and lawful men, to-wit: Theo. O. Vogel
and five others who were duly elected, empanneled
and sworn according to law, and the information
being read to the jury, said defendant submitted her
plea of Not Guilty, and also her plea of former conviction,
whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and
arguments of counsel and receiving the charge
of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict,
and after due deliberation returned into open
Court the following verdict- to-wit:—
"We the jury find the defendant not Guilty.
June 8 88 Theo. O. Vogel Forman"
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court
that the State of Texas take nothing by
reason of this prosecution and that the
defendant Lizzie Carter go hence without day.

4898 State of Texas vs John Murrin } Occupation Without License.
Friday June 8. 1888.

This day came the parties and the case on
to be heard the defendants motion to quash the indictment
herein, and the arguments of counsel being heard
thereon, because it is the opinion of the court
that the law is for the state it is ordered
that said motion be overruled to which deft. excepts.
Cause continued by the state.

4905 State of Texas vs Mike Mooney et al } Affray Friday June 8. 1888

Continued by Consent as to defendant Dom Cavanaugh.

4917 State of Texas vs Dan Whitesides } Selling Liquor on Sunday Friday June 8. 1888.

This day came the parties, and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant entered his plea of former conviction, and the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel, sustains said plea. It is therefore ordered by the Court that this case be dismissed and that defendant Dan Whitesides go hence without day.

4922 4914 State of Texas vs Dan Andrews } Selling Liquor on Sunday. Friday June 8. 1888.

Continued by the State.

4944 State of Texas vs Katie Watts } Aggravated Assault. Friday June 8. 1888.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant in her, entered her plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $25.00

It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Katie Watts the said fine of $25.00 together with all costs of this prosecution, for which execution is issue. It is further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to morrow morning 9 o'clock.

Saturday Morning 9 o'clock June 9. 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment.
Present as on yesterday

By Consent of the parties it is ordered by the Court that the following Cases be continued, to wit:

4329, 4330 State of Texas vs William Thomas.
Aggravated Assault.

4475 State of Texas vs Luke Short.
Exhibiting a Gaming Bank.

4824, 4825, 4823, 4822, 4781 State of Texas vs Joe Purvice
Betting at Dice.

4715 State of Texas vs W. J. Reed.
Aggravated Assault.

4726, 4845, 4722 State of Texas vs Tim Erwin
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

4703 State of Texas vs Bill Johnson
Pulling down fence of another.

4747 State of Texas vs John Eldridge
Nuisance 2.

4797 State of Texas vs John S. Davis
Selling Liquor without License.

4815, 4814, 4811 State of Texas vs Sid Johnson
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

4837 State of Texas vs Wade Thomas
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

4885 State of Texas vs Geo. Young
Disturbing the Peace.

4890 State of Texas vs. Wilson Alexander & Annie Johnson
Adultery.

4894 State of Texas vs John Carlton
Carrying a Pistol

4911 State of Texas vs Henry Schwartz.
Unlawfully Pursuing Occupation of Pawn Broker

4927 State of Texas vs A. P. Sheehan
Selling Liquor to minor.

4936 State vs Ed Muller Keeping Saloon open on Election day

4927 State of Texas vs Daniel Savery & James Drum
Saloon Open Election Day.

4928 State of Texas vs. James McGinnis
Saloon Open Election Day.

4929 State of Texas vs G.H. Day & Louis Mauk
Saloon Open Election Day.

4930 State of Texas vs. J. E. Ridgeway
Saloon Open Election Day.

4931 State of Texas vs. James Liston
Saloon Open Election Day.

4932 State of Texas vs. James Kelley
Saloon Open Election Day.

4933 State of Texas vs. Dan Whitesides
Saloon Open Election Day.

4935 State of Texas vs. Tom Cavanaugh
Saloon Open Election Day

4936 State of Texas vs W.H. Ward
Saloon Open Election Day.

4937 State of Texas vs Con Hines
Saloon Open Election Day.

4938 State of Texas vs A.J. Buttleman
Saloon Open Election Day.

4946 State of Texas vs Sam Garner et al
False Imprisonment.

4947 State of Texas vs Sam Glover
Wounding a Cow.

4951 State of Texas vs W.H. Ward
Selling Liquor on Sunday

4952 State of Texas vs Nath Brooks
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

4953, 4954, 4955 State of Texas vs. Bud Fagg
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

It appearing to the Court that the defendants in the following cases have not been arrested, It is therefore ordered that Alias Capias issue for each of said defendants and that each of said Cases be Continued to wit:-

4024 State of Texas vs Emma McVane Alias Emma McBane
Aggravated Assault.

4048	State of Texas	vs - Dick Bullock Playing Cards in Public Place.
4058	State of Texas.	vs Jennie Ronsch Disorderly House
4261	State of Texas	vs - Geo. Gaiter Carrying a Pistol
4298	State of Texas	vs - A. Reader Aggravated Assault
4336	State of Texas	vs - Willis Greene Aggravated Assault
4342	State of Texas	vs - Geo. Gaiter Aggravated Assault.
4372	State of Texas	vs - Carrie Andrews. Disorderly House.
4376	State of Texas	- vs - Joe Pope Disorderly House
4391	State of Texas	- vs - "Jimmie" Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4487	State of Texas	vs - Frank Anderson Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4471	State of Texas	- vs - Jeff Davis Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4521	State of Texas	- vs. H. O. Hall Exhibiting Gaming Bank
4527	State of Texas.	vs Lee Brown Vagrancy
4536	State of Texas	- vs - Dock Raines Selling on Sunday
4537	State of Texas	- vs - Joe Gerard. Selling on Sunday —
4554	State of Texas.	vs - Lee Brown (Col) Exhibiting Monte Table.
4585	State of Texas	vs Clark Holman Aggravated Assault.
4586	State of Texas	- vs Jeff Davis Aggravated Assault.
4587	State of Texas	- vs - Frank Anderson Aggravated Assault.
4588	State of Texas,	vs Alf. Collier Aggravated Assault.
4590	State.	vs - D. B. Withers — Vagrancy.

4605	State of Texas vs	D. R. Hawkins Carrying a Pistol
4625	State of Texas vs	Charley Davis Theft.
4643	State of Texas vs	Joe Barton Theft.
4646	State of Texas vs	Louis Martin Exhibiting Gaming Bank
4707	State of Texas vs	Mose Coffee Playing Cards in Public Place
4714	State of Texas vs	H. M. Friend Failing to Post Liquor License.
4716	State of Texas vs.	John Grimsley Disturbing the Peace
4724	State of Texas vs	Dick Bullock Exhibiting Gaming Bank
4725	State of Texas vs	Pete Hugg Exhibiting Gaming Bank
4727	State of Texas vs	Will Perkins Betting at Dice.
4729	State of Texas vs	Jack McDonald Selling Liquor Without License.
4730	State of Texas vs	Geo. Canary Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4731	State of Texas vs	John Grimsley Carrying Pistol in Ball Room.
4732	State of Texas vs	C. O. Jordan Failing to Post Liquor License.
4740	State of Texas vs.	Jack Madigan Aggravated Assault.
4744	State of Texas. vs	J. Burk. Aggravated Assault.
4755	State of Texas vs	Love Allison Theft.
4758	State of Texas vs	Jimmie Carter Theft.
4771	State of Texas vs.	John Medlfe. Carrying a Pistol.
4777. 4785	State of Texas vs	Chas. Bullock Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4788	State of Texas vs	Red Darden Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4794	State of Texas	vs.	Jim Hall Carrying a Pistol
4801	State of Texas.	vs-	James Baker Dist. Religious Worship
4804	State of Texas.	vs	Dave Mitchell Selling Liquor on Sunday.
4808, 4809, 4810 4821	State of Texas-	vs	Moss Coffee Exhibiting Gaming Bank
4817, 4819, 4818, 4817, 4813	State of Texas	-vs-	Red Farmer Exhibiting Gaming Bank
4820	State of Texas.	vs-	Ed. Mahoney Exhibiting Gaming Bank
4826	State of Texas	-vs-	Long fellow Exhibiting Gaming Bank
4828	State of Texas	vs.	Jerry Gaiter Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4830	State of Texas-	vs-	Jim Brasher Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4847	State of Texas.	vs-	Geo. Canary Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4854	State of Texas.	vs-	"Horace" Shepherd
4856	State of Texas	-vs-	Jim Sullivan Aggravated Assault.
4888	State of Texas	-vs-	John Gibbons (Col) Aggravated Assault.
4889	State of Texas	-vs-	M. Goldstein Theft under $20.00
4892	State of Texas.	vs	Celia Mae & Will Murphy Adultery -
4906	State of Texas	-vs-	Wm. Perry Carrying a Pistol.
4907	State of Texas	-vs-	Hugh Wells. Carrying a Pistol
4909	State of Texas	-vs-	Link Forrest Carrying a Pistol.
4934	State of Texas	-vs-	John Graham Keeping Saloon Open Election Day.
4943	State of Texas	-vs-	Billy Moore Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4956	State of Texas	-vs-	Luther Drake Carrying a Pistol

4959 State of Texas vrs Mills Washington & Mary Ann McConnell.
Formation.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute the following cases, and for good and sufficient reasons moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of said prosecutions, and that the defendants thereim go hence without day. Said cases are numbered and styled as follows, to wit:

3958 State of Texas vrs- Billy Oliver
Assault.

4454 State of Texas, vrs, E. F. Nininger.
Violating Local Option Law

4508 State of Texas. vs- Chas. Dugan
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4706 State of Texas- vs- Frank Robinson
Theft

4786 State of Texas- vs - Chas Dixon
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

4805 State of Texas vs- Harry Hatchell
Selling Liquor on Sunday-

4900 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward
Keeping Saloon open Sunday

4901 State of Texas- vs- James Liston
Keeping Saloon open Sunday

4950 4913 State of Texas- vs. W. H. Ward
Selling Liquor on Sunday

4916 State of Texas- vrs- G. H. Day & Louis Maas
Selling Liquor on Sunday

4958 State of Texas vs- James Kelley.
Occupation without License

4459 State of Texas -vrs- Dom Talbott } Selling Liquor on Sunday
Monday June 4, 1888.

upon the file Ordered that this case be placed docket to await the arrest of defendt.

4781 | The State of Texas } Selling Liquor on Sunday
— vs — } Monday June 4. 1888
John Ashmore

This day the above cause being called for trial came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the defendant being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that defendant John Ashmore on the 17th day of March 1888 Charged ~~by indictment~~ with the offense of "Unlawfully Selling Liquor on Sunday" after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County, on a capias issued from the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County, did, as principal enter into Bond with G.W. Alexander and J.D. Robinson as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally, payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that the said John Ashmore should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County, on the 16th day of April 1888, and there remain from day to day, and from term to term thereafter, and answer said charge, and not depart thence unless discharged by due course of law. And Whereas, afterwards to wit: at a regular Term of the County Court of Tarrant County, begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, on the first Monday in Monday in May A.D. 1888, the said John Ashmore after being called, under due forms of law, to appear and answer to the charge aforesaid, wholly made default. It was therefore considered and adjudged by our said County Court, on the 4th day of June A.D. 1888 that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of the said John Ashmore as Principal and G.W. Alexander and J.D. Robinson as Sureties, the aforesaid sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally, together with all costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be

made final, unless good cause be shown, at the next term of this Court, why said defendant did not appear, And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue commanding sheriff the said G.W. Alexander and J.H. Robinson to appear at the next Term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant, at the Court House thereof, in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in July 1888 then and there to show cause, if any they can, why this judgment should not be made final.

4802 The State of Texas } Selling Liquor on Sunday
vs } Monday June 4, 1888.
John Ashmore }

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the defendant John Ashmore being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that defendant John Ashmore, on the 17th day of March 1888, charged with the offense of unlawfully selling Liquor on Sunday, after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a capias issued from the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County, did as principal enter into a Bond with G.W. Alexander and J.H. Robinson as his sureties in the sum of $100.00 jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, Conditioned that the said John Ashmore should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the 16 day of April 1888 and there remain from day to day and from term to term thereafter and answer said charge, and not depart thence unless discharged by due course of law. And Whereas afterwards to wit: at a regular Term of the County Court of Tarrant County begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, on the first Monday

in May A.D. 1888, the said John Ashmore being called under due forms of law to appear and answer to the charge aforesaid wholly made default. It is therefore considered and adjudged by our said County Court, on the 4th day of June A.D. 1888 that Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of the said John Ashmore as principal, and W. Alexander and J. H. Robinson as Sureties, the aforesaid sum of Two Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally, together with all the costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final, unless good cause be shown at the next Term of this Court why said defendant did not appear.

And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue commanding them the said W. Alexander and J. H. Robinson to appear at the next term of the County Court, to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in July 1888 then and there to show cause if any they can, why this judgment should not be made final. And that Alias Capias issue for defendant.

4895

State of Texas
— vs —
J. J. Milledge &
Angelina Ward

Formication
Friday June 8 1888

Continued by the State.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday morning 9 o'clock

Monday Morning 9 o'clock June 11, 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment
Present as on first of term.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning 9 o'clock June 19, 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment.
Present as on yesterday.

4957 The State of Texas vs J. E. Walker } Embezzlement
Tuesday June 19. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard by the Court the defendants motion for a new trial herein and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the defendant it is ordered that said motion be sustained and that the verdict and judgment heretofore rendered in this cause be set aside and that this cause stand for trial in regular order on the Docket of this Court.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Thursday Morning 9 o'clock

Thursday Morning 9 o'clock June 14. 1888.
Court met pursuant to adjournment
Present as on yesterday.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday Morning next 9 o'clock.

Monday Morning 9 o'clock June 18. 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment
Present as at first of term.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Tuesday Morning 9 o'clock June 19. 1888.
Court met pursuant to adjournment Present as on yesterday

Ord

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday Morning next.

Monday Morning 9o'clock June 25, 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on Tuesday last.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till 9 o'clock Morning

Tuesday Morning 9o'clock June 26, 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Friday Morning next.

Friday Morning 9o'clock June 29. 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment
Present as at first of the term.

4403 The State of Texas } Recognizance.
vs } Friday June 29. 1888
Billy Hendrix }

This day came into open Court Billy Hendrix defendant in the above entitled cause who together with M.H. Ward and M.A. Stedman his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Hundred Dollars. Conditioned that the said Billy Hendrix who stands charged in this Court with the offense Unlawfully Selling Liquor on Sunday and Unlawfully Keeping Open Saloon on Sunday for purpose of Raffle and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court, shall appear before this Court from day to day, and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

490 3 The State of Texas } Notice of Appeal.
vs } Friday June 29. 1888.
Billy Hendrix }

This day came the defendant by attorney and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

Friday June 29, 1888.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in course.

Sam Lummus Co Judge
Tarrant Co Tex

Criminal Minutes Co. Court July Term 1888

Be it remembered that on this First Monday in July A.D. 1888 the same being the 2nd day of said month there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court within and for the County of Bryan and State of Texas. At the Court House thereof in the City of Bryan North Present the Hon. Sam Swindell County Judge presiding R. L. Carlock County Attorney W. T. Swayne County Clerk and B. H. Shipp Sheriff of said County, when and where the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court, to wit: —

4914 State of Texas } Selling Liquor on Sunday
vs } Monday July 2. 1888
J. O. Sheehan }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. And said defendant now here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant J. O. Sheehan the sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

4925 State of Texas } Selling Liquor on Sunday
vs } Monday July 2 1888
John Sheehan }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court.

and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court pronounced his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant J. O. Sheehan the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand Committed to the County Jail of said County till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Thursday Morning 9 o'clock.

Thursday Morning 9 o'clock July 6. 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment.
Present as on monday

4981 State of Texas } Assault
vs } Thursday July 6. 1888.
R. M. Page

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being duly impanelled of good and lawful men of this County to-wit: W. H. Hall, J. M. Hall, J. W. Hall, J. M. Hall, J. W. Hall, J. M. Hall, J. W

4888 State of Texas vs John Gibbons (Col) } Aggravated Assault Monday July 9, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant John Gibbons the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue and further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4984 The State of Texas vs John Shoemaker } Aggravated Assault Monday July 9. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant John Shoemaker the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4989 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
~ Vs ~ } Monday July 9. 1888
Emil Becker }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty! Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Emil Becker the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4962 The State of Texas } Setting at Saro.
— Vs — } Monday July 9. 1888
Nath Brooks }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Nath Brooks the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs hereinincurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4962 The State of Texas } Betting at Faro.
— vs — } Monday July 9. 1888
Nath Brooks

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial A jury being selected the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $100 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Nath Brooks the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4964 The State of Texas } Betting at Gaming Bank
— vs — } Monday July 9. 1888.
Bud. Fagg.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being selected the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1000 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Bud Fagg the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4965 State of Texas } Betting at Gaming Bank C.
— vs — } Monday July 9. 1888
Bud Fagg }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant in open Court entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Bud Fagg the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4966 The State of Texas } Betting at Gaming Bank C.
County of Tarrant } Monday July 9. 1888
vs
Bud Fagg.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant in open Court entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Bud Fagg the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Wednesday July 11 1888
Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in Course

Sam Swindon
County Judge Tarrant Co. Texas.

Criminal Minutes County Court Sept. Term 1888.

Be it remembered that on this First Monday in September A.D. 1888, (the same being the 3rd day of said month) there was begun and holden a regular term of County Court within and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth. Present the Hon. Sam Furman County Judge presiding, R.L. Carlock County Attorney, John A. Sawyer County Clerk and B.H. Shipp Sheriff of said County, when and where the following proceedings were had on the Criminal Docket of said Court, to wit:—

Venire Facias

Now comes B.H. Shipp Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into Court the Venire Facias issued for this first week for this term of the Court. And upon call the following named jurors were present, and being sworn and tried were placed upon the panel for the week to wit:— W.A. McLean, T.P. Day, E.H. Carter, Ferd Sloan, A. Alexander and H.E. Valentine.

And it appearing to the Court that the panel is incomplete it was therefore ordered that the Sheriff summon qualified jurors sufficient to fill the panel. Whereupon the said Sheriff being duly sworn and instructed by the Court, brought into Court the following named persons, to wit: Lebe Maddox, L.M. Prince, Sam Palmer, J.W. Harlan, M.B. Jones and John Christopher and said parties being duly sworn and tried, were placed upon the panel and together with the other parties named above, declared the panel for the week,

1960 State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol
vs. }
Frank Wall } Monday September 3. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court.

said defendant now herein entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 20 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Frank Wall the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Twenty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4985 State of Texas vs Chas. H. Love } Disturbing the Peace
Monday September 3. 1888

This day came the parties by attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Chas. H. Love the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs execution may issue.

4991 State of Texas vs A. P. Lee } Carrying a Pistol.
Monday September 3. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys as well as the defendant in person and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well

as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 20 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant A.B. Lee the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and cost execution may issue, Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Twenty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4992 State of Texas —vs— John Christopher Jr. } Aggravated Assault. Monday September 3. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty to Simple Assault, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant John Christopher the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

5027 State of Texas —vs— Frank Lally } Assault Monday September 3. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here, entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon

the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Frank Lakey the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant Stand committed to the County Jail of Navarro County, till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5037 State of Texas vs Chas Fitzpatrick } Navarro Monday September 3. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty, whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel, adjudges said defendant Not Guilty - It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant Chas Fitzpatrick go hence without day.

5039 State of Texas vs Mary M. Christy } Aggravated Assault. Monday September 3. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. And said defendant now here, entered her plea of Guilty to the charge of Simple Assault. Whereupon the court assessed her punishment at a fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas

recover of the defendant Mary M. Christy the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue. It further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

5042 State of Texas vs S. McCarthy } Betting at Faro. Monday September 3. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant in open court entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10 Cts. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant S. McCarthy the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs thereinincurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue. It further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5043 State of Texas vs S. McCarthy } Betting at Faro. Monday September 3rd 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant in open court entered his plea of Guilty - Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10 Cts. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant S. McCarthy the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with

all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the judgment in Cause No. 5057 this defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5057 State of Texas } Assault.
vs.
H.R. Early } Monday September 5. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant H.R. Early the sum of five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4993 State of Texas } Selling Beer on Sunday
vs.
John Whatley } Monday September 5. 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came the defendant in person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: J.A. Coy and five others who were duly elected empanneled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict, to wit:

"We the jury find the defendant Guilty and assess the fine at Twenty Dollars.
J. L. Day. Forman"
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant John Whatley the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5085 State of Texas } Theft of Property under Value of $20.00
vs. } Monday September 3rd 1888
Jor T. Ross.

This day came the County Attorney representing the pleas of the State also came the defendant in person and by his attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. A. McLean and five others who were duly elected empanneled and sworn according to law. The indictment was read to the Jury, said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty whereupon the Jury retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict to wit:
"We the Jury find the defendant guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at Thirty days in the County Jail.
W. A. McLean Foreman"
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that defendant Jor T. Ross stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days and till all costs of this prosecution are paid in full.

5030 & 5031 State of Texas } Disturbing the Peace and Aggravated Assault.
vs.
Math. Allegra } On this 3rd day of September 1888 came on to be heard by the Court the defendants motion to quash

the Informations in above cases, and the arguments of counsel bring heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the defendant, it is therefore ordered that said motions be sustained, and that the defendant go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning 9 o'clock September 4th 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment, Present as on yesterday.

4710 State of Texas vs Jor Purvis } Betting at Game played with Dice.
Tuesday September 4, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Jor Purvis the sum of Ten dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4969 State of Texas vs Wm Howe } Aggravated Assault
Tuesday September 4, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty to Simple Assault whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00

It is Therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas go have and recover of the defendant Wm Howe the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred, for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and Costs are paid in full.

4941 — State of Texas } Selling Beer on Sunday.
vs. } Tuesday September 4th 1888.
John Whatley

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants application for a continuance herein and the argument thereupon heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said application it is ordered that said application be overruled. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: W.A. McLean and six others who upon their oaths selected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the information having been read to the jury, said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict, to wit:-

"We the jury find defendant Guilty and assess his fine at Twenty Five Dollars

W.A. McLean, Foreman"

It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant John Whatley the sum of Twenty Five (25.) Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred, for which said fine and Costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said defendant stand Committed to the County Jail of Tarrant County till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5036 State of Texas vs. W. L. Robinson } Aggravated Assault
Tuesday September 4. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants application for a Continuance (post poneme) and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that said application is insufficient it is therefore ordered that the same be overruled.
Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; E. N. Dart and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law, and the information having been read to jury said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty, whereupon the Court jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation returned into open Court and announced that they could not agree. And it appearing to the Court that the said jury had been kept together for sufficient time, and that they cannot agree. It is therefore ordered that said jury be discharged, and this case stand for trial in regular order upon the Docket of this Court.

5084 State of Texas vs. Joe Norris } Theft of Property under $20 in value.
Tuesday September 4. 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting, the pleas of the State also came the defendant in person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: M. B. Jones and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law, and the indictment having been read to the

jury, said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty
Whereupon the Jury retired to consider of their
verdict after hearing the evidence and argument
Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court
and after due deliberation returned into Open
Court the following Verdict to wit:
"We the jury find the defendant Guilty and assess
his penalty at Sixty days in the County Jail.
M. B Jones. Foreman"
It is therefore Considered and Adjudged by the
Court that defendant for Costs Stand
Committed to the County Jail for the full
period of Sixty days and further till
all costs of this prosecution is paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow
Morning 9 o'clock.

Wednesday Morning 9 o'clock September 5th 1888. Court
met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on
yesterday.

4911 The State of Texas } Unlawfully pursuing Occupation of
vs. } Pawnbrooker.
Henry Schwartz } Wednesday September 5th 1888
This day came the parties by their
attorneys and then came on to be heard
the defendant's motion to quash the indictment
herein, and the argument of of Counsel being
heard thereon, it is the opinion of the Court that
the law is for said motion, it is therefore
ordered that said motion be sustained and
that this cause and the same is hereby
dismissed, and that defendant Henry Schwartz
go hence without day.

4951 State of Texas } Selling Liquor on Sunday
vs. } Wednesday September 7. 1888.
W. H Ward
This day came the parties by their attorneys

and announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here, entered his plea of Guilty, thereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $20cc It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas have and recover of the defendant M. H. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that said defendant Stand Committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4987 State of Texas vs Henry Brockman } Theft of property under $20cc in value, Wednesday September 5. 1888

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant Henry Brockman in person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here, entered his plea of Guilty, thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at Six months in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant Henry Brockman Stand Committed to the County Jail of Tarrant County for the full period of Six Months and till all Costs of this Proceeding are paid in full.

5038 State of Texas. vs B. S. Bean } Theft of property under $20 in value Wednesday September 5, 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant B. S. Bean in person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial ~~A jury~~

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: S.P. Day and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the information having been read to the jury said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following Verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Deft. Guilty and assess the penalty "
"at Forty Five Days in the County Jail. "

S.P. Day Forman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the defendant J.S. Bear Stand committed to the County Jail of Garaut County for the full period of Forty Five days and till the costs of this proceeding are paid in full

5049 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault.
—vs— } Wednesday September 5. 1888.
Jim Pooch }

This day came the parties by their attorneys also came the defendant in his own proper person, and then came on to be heard the defendants application for a continuance herein, and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said application, it is therefore ordered that said application be overruled. Deft. excepts. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: S.W. Palmer and five others who were duly selected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the

following verdict to wit:—
"We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his penalty at confinement in the County jail Thirty days. J.W. Palmer Foreman."
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant Jim Tooch stand committed to the County Jail of Tarrant County for the full period of Thirty days and till all Costs of this proceeding are paid in full

5086 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault.
vs. } Wednesday September 5th, 1888.
Horace Mary

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty to Simple Assault whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Horace Mary the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail of Tarrant County till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5087 State of Texas } Carrying Pistol
vs. } Wednesday September 5th 1888.
Walter Harris

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 20 days in Jail.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Walter Harris the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Twenty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full. To which judgment of the Court the defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

5089 State of Texas } Recognizance
vrs } Wednesday September 5th 1888
Walter Harris }

This day came into open court Walter Harris defendant in the above entitled cause who, together with J. C. Carlin and M. Dillon his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Two Hundred Dollars; conditioned that the said Walter Harris who stands charged in this court with the offense of "Unlawfully Carrying a Pistol on his Person", and who has been convicted of said offense in this court, shall appear before this court from day to day, and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this court in order to abide the judgment of the court of appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

5090 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault.
vrs } Wednesday September 5th 1888.
Walter Harris }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel adjudges the defendant Not Guilty. It is therefore considered

and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas ~~take nothing~~ of the defendant Walter Harris by reason of this suit, and that said defendant go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Thursday Morning 9 o'clock September 6th 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

State of Texas vs John Maguire } Exhibiting Saving Bank
4825 Thursday September 6. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant in open court entered his plea of Guilty and it appearing to the court that the offense was committed prior to July 1887, said Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant John Maguire the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine as assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

State of Texas vs Nathan Harvey } Disturbing the Peace
5087 Thursday September 6th 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the defendant Nathan Harvey in person and by attorney and then came on to be heard the defendants application for a continuance and the argument being heard thereon it-

is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said application it is therefore ordered that the same be overruled.
Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J.O. Day and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the information having been read to the jury said defendant entered his plea of not Guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict, to wit: "We the jury find the Deft. Guilty and assess the penalty at a fine of $25.00 — J.P. Kay Foreman. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Nathan Harvey the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the county jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5088 — State of Texas vs Nathan Harvey } Aggravated Assault. Thursday September 6. 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the defendant in person and by attorney, and then came to be heard the defendants Application for a continuance herein, and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said application, it is therefore ordered that the same be overruled.
Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: M.D. Jones and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the information having been read to the jury, said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of

their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict towit;
"We the jury find the defendant Guilty and assess his penalty at Sixty days in the County Jail.
M.B.Jones. Foreman."
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant Nathad Hoover after the judgment in case No 508 is satisfied stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Sixty days and till said fine and Costs are paid in full.

5090 State of Texas vs. Henry Thomas } Carrying Pistol
Thursday September 6. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, A jury being empaneled the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and said defendant upon hearing entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 20 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Twenty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Friday Morning 9 o'clock September 7. 1888 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday

4927 State of Texas vs James Quinn and Daniel Garvey } Keeping Saloon Open Election Day. Friday September 7. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the indictment herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the court that the law is for the defendant it is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that said motion be sustained and that defendants James Quinn and Daniel Garvey go hence without day.

4928 State of Texas vs James McGinnis } Keeping Saloon Open on Sunday Friday September 7. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the indictment herein and the arguments being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the court that the law is for the defendant, it is therefore ordered thy said motion be sustained and that the defendant James McGinnis go hence without day.

4929 State of Texas vs Hay and Louis Maas } Keeping Saloon Open Election Day. Friday September 7th 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the indictment herein and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the court that the law is for the defendants, it is therefore ordered that said motion be sustained and that defendants Hay & Maas go hence without day.

4930 State of Texas vs. T. E. Ridgeway } Keeping Saloon Open Election Day Friday September 7. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard, the defendants motion to quash the indictment herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the defendant it is therefore ordered that said motion be sustained and that defendant T. E. Ridgeway go hence without day.

4931 State of Texas vs. James Liston } Keeping Saloon Open Election Day Friday September 7. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and there coming to be heard the defendants motion to quash the indictment herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the defendant it is therefore ordered that said motion be sustained and that defendant go hence without day.

4932 State of Texas vs. James Kelley } Keeping Saloon Open Election Day Friday September 7. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came to be heard the defendants motion to quash the indictment herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the court that the law is for the defendant it is therefore ordered that said motion be sustained and that the defendant James Kelley go hence without day

4932 State of Texas vs. Aaron Whitesides } Keeping Saloon Open Sunday
Friday September 7. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the indictment herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the defendant it is therefore ordered that said motion be sustained and that defendant Aaron Whitesides go hence without day.

4935 State of Texas vs. A. H. Rittleman } Keeping Saloon Open Election Day
Friday September 7. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial and thereupon came on to be heard the defendant's motion to quash the indictment herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the court that the law is for the defendant it is therefore ordered that said motion be sustained and that defendant A. H. Rittleman go hence without day

4973 State of Texas vs. W. H. Ward } Selling Liquor on Sunday.
Friday September 7. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant thereupon entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant W. H. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for

which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4894 State of Texas vs. Tom Cavanaugh } Selling Whisky on Sunday
Friday September 7th 1888

This day came the State by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. And having waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. And said defendant was heretofore his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Tom Cavanaugh the sum of twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. Together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Saturday Morning 9 o'clock September 8th 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

4894 State of Texas } Unlawfully Carrying a Pistol
— vs — } Saturday September 8th 1888.
John Carleton

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the defendant John Carleton being called came not but

wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that on the 21st day of May 1888. the defendant charged by information with the offense of "Unlawfully Carrying a Pistol on his person" after having been arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a capias issued by the County Clerk of Tarrant County did, as principal, enter into a Bond with W.J. Boaz and K.D. Bateman as his sureties, in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas. Conditioned that the said John Carleton should personally appear at the term of the County Court of Tarrant County then in session on the 1st Monday in June 1888. the same being the 4th day of June 1888 and there remain from day to day thereof and from term to term of said Court, and not depart until discharged by due course of law. then and there to answer said above described Charge then in that case the said bond to be void and void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law.

And said defendant having made default as aforesaid. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court on this 8th day of September A.D. 1888. that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of the said John Carleton as Principal, and W.J. Boaz and K.D. Bateman as sureties, jointly and severally, the aforesaid sum of Two Hundred Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution, and this judgment be made final, unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court, why said defendant did not appear. And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue commanding them the said W.J. Boaz and K.D. Bateman to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first

Monday in November A.D 1888 thereand there to show cause, if any they can why this judgment should not be made final. Further ordered that Alias Capias issue for the defendant returnable to the next term of this Court.

4971 State of Texas vs John Wilkes } Aggravated Assault
Saturday September 8, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant entered his plea of guilty to Simple Assault whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant John Wilkes the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and cost are paid in full.

5053 State of Texas vs J. D. Wilkes } Disturbing the Peace
Saturday Sept. 8, 1888

This day came the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this case, and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant J. D. Wilkes go hence without day

5045 State of Texas vs Con Hines } Selling Whisky on Sunday
Saturday September 8. 1888.

This day came the partys and announced ready for trial, A jury being waivd, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant in open court entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Con Hines the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant Con Hines be committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday morning 9 o'clock

Monday Morning 9 o'clock September 10th 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment.
Present as on first day term

5040 State of Texas vs. M. Cunningham et al } Theft.
Monday September 10. 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the defendant M. Cunningham in person and by attorney and a severance having been demanded said M. Cunningham was placed upon trial, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: E. N. Provine and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information having been read to the jury said defendant

entered his plea of Not Guilty whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to wit: "We the Jury find the defendant Guilty as charged and assess his punishment at Twenty days in jail & Twenty Five Dollars fine. Signed E. A. Burnett Foreman." It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant M. Cunningham the sum of Twenty Five ($25.) Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Twenty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5051 State of Texas vs. Jannie Taylor } Disturbing the Peace
Monday September 10. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury trial waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant entered her plea of Not Guilty - whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the defendant Guilty and assesses her punishment at a fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Jannie Taylor the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to morrow morning 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning 9 o'clock September 11 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment Present as on yesterday.

4947 State of Texas } Willfully Worrying a Cow
vs } Tuesday September 11th 1888.
Sam Glover }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. F. Price and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the indictment having been read to the jury said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the defendant not Guilty as charged in the indictment. W. F. Price Foreman."
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this suit and that defendant Sam Glover go thence without day.

5040 State of Texas } Theft.
vs } Tuesday September 11, 1888
M. Cunningham et al

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the defendant Luke Glover in person the defendant M. Cunningham having been previously tried and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed

his punishment at 30 days confinement in jail.
It is therefore ordered by the court that
the defendant Luke Glood stand committed
to the County Jail for the full period of
Thirty days and till all costs of this proceeding
are paid in full.

5041 State of Texas } Theft.
vs. } Tuesday September 11, 1888
M. Cunningham

This day came the County Attorney
prosecuting the pleas of the State also came
the defendant in person and both parties
announced ready for trial. A jury being
waived the matters of fact as well as of
law were submitted to the court, and
said defendant now here entered his plea
of guilty, whereupon the court assessed
his punishment at confinement in the
County Jail for one day—
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the
court that after the satisfaction of the judgment
and expiration of the sentence against this
defendant in Cause No 5040, the defendant
M Cunningham stand committed to the
County Jail for the full period of one day
and till all costs of this proceeding are
paid in full

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till
to morrow morning 9 o'clock

Wednesday Morning 9 o'clock September 12 1888.
Court met pursuant to adjournment.
Present as on yesterday

4980 State of Texas vs Louis Hall } Theft.
Wednesday September 12. 1888

This day came the parties by
their attorneys and announced ready for trial

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J.E.W.P. Provine and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Indictment having been read to the jury said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty, whereupon the ~~Court~~ the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:

"We, the jury find the Defendant not Guilty as charged.

E.W. Provine Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant Louis Hall go hence without day.

Monday September 10 1888 this day came the Sheriff and returned into to court the Venire Facias issued for this 2nd week of this term of the Court and upon calling the names the following named persons to wit: Ed Farmer and H. F. Price being present, were duly sworn, tried and placed upon the panel for the week.

And it appearing to the court that the panel was incomplete it was ordered that the Sheriff empanel qualified Jurors sufficient to fill the panel. And the said Sheriff being duly sworn and instructed by the court, brought into court the following named jurors to wit: John Purvis, Chappard, H. L. Bartels, J. S. Easley, E. W. Provine, W. K. Byrom, L. C. Vaughn, R. M. Davis, J. P. Cole and E. B. Brown, who were duly sworn, tried and placed upon the panel for the week.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to morrow morning 9 o'clock

Thursday Morning 9 o'clock September 13, 1888. Court met pursuant to adjournment Present as on yesterday.

4429 City of Fort Worth vs Jim F. Moore } Fighting Thursday September 13. 1886

This day came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City and says he will no further prosecute this case and for good and sufficient reasons moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Ft. Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant Jim F. Moore go hence without day.

4430 City of Fort Worth —vs— James Drum } Fighting Thursday September 13 1888 Continued by City

4431 City of Fort Worth —vs— Tom Cavenaugh } Disturbing the Peace Thursday Sept. 13. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial ~~A jury being~~ Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. S. Price and five others who were duly elected, impanelled and sworn according to law, and said defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after

duy deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:
"We the jury find defendant not guilty.
A. F. Price Foreman
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant Tom Cavenaugh go hence without day.

4464 City of Fort Worth vs Jack Coleman } Thursday Sept 13 1888
Continued by the City

4634 City of Fort Worth vs J. P. Cross } Disturbing the Peace
Thursday Sept. 13, 1888

This day the above cause being called for trial. Came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City of Fort Worth, and the defendant being called in due form of law came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that defendant J. P. Cross on the 21st day of November 1887 after having been duly convicted in the Recorders Court of the City of Fort Worth of the offense of unlawfully cursing and abusing and using profanely abusing language to, concerning and in the hearing and presence of Lige Hallman in a manner reasonably calculated to provoke a breach of the peace and fined in the sum of $5.00 and principal entered into an appeal Bond with C. J. Swasey and L. T. Hall as sureties in the penal sum of Forty Dollars conditioned that the said J. P. Cross defendant who had taken an appeal to the County Court of Tarrant County, shall prosecute his appeal with effect and shall pay such fine and costs as shall be adjudged against him in the County Court, as well as ~~the~~ such other costs as may have

Com adjudged against him in the Court below from which he has taken an appeal. And the Defendant J.P. Cross having made default as aforesaid, it was therefore ordered by the Court that said appeal Bond be declared forfeited and that the City of Fort Worth recover of defendant J.P. Cross as principal and C.J. Swasey and L.T. Hall sureties the sum of Forty Dollars jointly and severally, together with all costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final, unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court, why said defendant did not appear. And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue commanding them the said C.J. Swasey and L.T. Hall to appear at the next term of this Court then and there to show cause if any they can why this judgment should not be made final.

4631 City of Fort Worth vs J.J. Millhoin } Disturbing the Peace Thursday September 13. 1888 Continued by Consent.

4765 City of Fort Worth vs Dan Whitsides } Fighting Sept. 13. 1888 Continued by Consent.

4766 City of Fort Worth vs H.P. Shiel } Selling Liquor on Sunday. Sept. 13, 1888

This day came the City Attorney pro= secuting the pleas of the City and says he will no further prosecute this suit, and prays the Court to dismiss same It is therefore ordered that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant H.P. Shiel go hence without day.

4767 City of Fort Worth vs P. C. Cagle } Fighting in a Public Place. Thursday September 13, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial — Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. K. Byrom and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Complaint having been read to the Jury. said defendant entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:

"We the Jury find the Defendant Guilty Guilty as charged in Complaint and assess his fine at One Dollar

W. K. Byrom Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the defendant P. C. Cagle as principal and T. Leach and W. J. Tackaberry sureties on appeal Bond, the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this case was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4869 City of Fort Worth — vs — M. Ellis } Drunk Thursday Sept. 13, 1888

This day came the City Attorney and said he would no further prosecute this case It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this suit and that defendant M. Ellis go hence without day

4881 City of Fort Worth —vs— Lottie Freeman } Assault— Sept. 12, 1888

Continued by Consent.

5014 City of Fort Worth —vs— E. E. Sargent } Disturbing the Peace, Thursday September 13. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of ~~guilty~~ guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth recover of the defendant E. E. Sargent as principal and F. R. Abell and C. H. Hardcastle sureties on appeal Bond the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5017 City of Fort Worth —vs— E. E. Sargent } Assault Sept. 13. 1888

This day came the City attorney and says he will no further prosecute this suit. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant E. E. Sargent go hence without day.

5096 State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol
vs
John Mallory } Thursday September 13. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. H. Priday & five others who were duly selected and sworn according to law and the information having been read to the Jury said defendant entered his plea of not Guilty - whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court and announced that they could not agree. and it appearing to the Court that said jury had been kept together for a sufficient length of time and that they cannot agree It is therefore ordered that said jury be discharged and that this case stand for trial in regular order on the Docket of this Court.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to morrow morning 9 o'clock

Friday Morning 9 o'clock September 14, 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment.
Present as on yesterday

4867 City of Fort Worth } Disturbing the Peace.
vs
R. L. Devine } Friday September 14, 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendant's motion to quash the complaint and the argument of counsel being heard thereon it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore ordered that said motion be overruled

wherefore Wilson Gregg Esq. defendants attorney withdraws from the case.

4867 City of Fort Worth } Disturbing the Peace
vs. } Friday September 14, 1888
Pat Devine

This day the above cause being called for trial Came the plaintiff by attorney and the defendant Pat Devine being called under due forms of law, came not but wholly made default, And it appearing to the Court that said defendant, after having been duly convicted in the Recorders Court of the City of Fort Worth of the offense of "Unlawfully Disturbing the Peace" on the 20 day of February A.D. 1888 did as principal enter into an appeal Bond with C.C. Lawson and Adam Harder as sureties in the penal sum of Forty Dollars, jointly and severally payable to the City of Fort Worth, Conditioned that said defendant Pat Devine who has taken an appeal to the County Court of Tarrant County, shall prosecute his said appeal with effect - and shall pay such fine and costs as shall be adjudged against him by the said County Court, as well as other costs that may have been adjudged against him in the Court below, and said defendant having made default as aforesaid, it was therefore ordered by the Court that said Bond be declared forfeited and that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of the said Pat Devine as Principal and C.C. Lawson and Adam Harder as Sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Forty Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. It further ordered that Citation issue commanding them the said C.C. Lawson and Adam Harder to appear at the next term of this court, and then and there show cause, if any

they can why said defendant did not appear.
Further ordered that alias capias issue
for the defendant: and that cause be continued

4878 | City of Fort Worth vs Wm York | Nuisance
Thursday Sept. 14. 1888 —

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of law as well as of fact were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here, entered his plea of not Guilty, whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel adjudges said defendant not guilty — Whereupon It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant Wm York go hence without day.

4879 | City of Fort Worth vs E. C. Babcock | Affray —
Friday September 14. 1888
Continued by City.

5001 | City of Fort Worth vs Drum & Garvey | Saloon Open Sunday
Friday Sept. 14, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial — Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: E B Brodie and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law, and said defendants entered their plea of not guilty, whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court ~~the following~~ and announced that they could not agree

It is therefore ordered that said Jury be discharged and that this case stand for trial in regular order upon the Docket of this Court.

5004 City of Fort Worth } Assault
vs } Friday September 14 1888.
John Wilkes }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came on to be heard the defendants motion to dismiss this cause for want of jurisdiction and it appearing to the Court from the evidence that this Court has no jurisdiction, it is therefore ordered that this case be dismissed and that defendant John Wilkes go hence without day.

5005 City of Fort Worth } Walking with Prostitute
vs } Friday Sept. 14, 1888
Jacob Hines }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: R.M. Davis and five others who were duly elected empanneled and sworn according to law, and said defendant upon his arraignment entered his plea of not guilty whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant not guilty. R.M. Davis Foreman."

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant Jacob Hines go hence without day.

5006 City of Fort Worth } Nuisance
vs } Friday September 14th. 1888.
Mela Thompson }

Continued by Defendant.

5007 City of Fort Worth } Fighting
vs } Friday September 14th. 1888.
Louis Millen }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the plaintiffs motion to dismiss the defendants appeal therein and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the defendant it is ordered that said motion be overruled

Then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the complaint herein and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the court that the law is against said motion, it is therefore ordered that said motion be overruled. Further ordered that this cause stand continued on behalf of defendant.

#5008 City of Fort Worth } Nuisance
vs } Friday September 14, 1888.
Ella Blackman }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: H. L. Barker and four others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law, and said defendant upon her arraignment her plea of Not Guilty - whereupon the jury, after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel, and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation, returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant Not guilty — H. L. Barker, Foreman." It is therefore considered and adjudged by the

Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant Mrs Ella Blackwell go hence without day.

5009 City of Fort Worth } Nuisance
vs } Friday September 14. 1888
C.A. Saudegard

This day came the City Attorney and says he will not further prosecute this case. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant C.A. Saudegard go hence without day.

5011 City of Fort Worth } Nuisance
vs } Friday September 14. 1888
W.J. Harding

Continued by consent.

5013 City of Fort Worth } Disturbing the Peace
vs } Friday September 14. 1888
Bell Wilson

Continued by Consent

5015 City of Fort Worth } Nuisance
vs } Friday September 14. 1888.
Johnson & Wilson

Continued by Consent—

5016 City of Fort Worth } Nuisance
— vs — } Friday September 14. 1888.
Dan Whitesides

Continued by Consent.

5018 City of Fort Worth } Disturbing the Peace.
— vs — } Friday September 14. 1888
James McGinnis

Continued by Defendant.

5071 City of Fort Worth vs A.W. Craig } Assault - Driving
Friday September 14. 1888,

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: O. Paidpare and five others who were duly elected, empannelled and sworn according to law, and said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict, to wit: "We the jury find the defendant not Guilty O. Paidpare Foreman"
It is therefore ordered by the court that the City of Fort Worth, take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant A.W. Craig go hence without day

5072 City of Fort Worth vs Tony Reeder } Disturbing the Peace
Friday September 14. 1888
Continued by Consent

5073 City of Fort Worth vs James Orum } Nuisance
Friday September 14. 1888
Continued by City -

5074 City of Fort Worth vs W.T.B. Taylor } Nuisance
Friday September 14. 1888,
Continued by Consent

5075 City of Fort Worth vs J.W. Adams } Leaving team Unhitched
Friday September 14. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial.

thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: John Lewis and five others who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law, and defendant now entered his plea of not Guilty whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the and announced that they were unable to agree. It is therefore ordered that said jury be discharged and that this cause stand for trial in regular order upon the Docket of this Court.

5055 City of Fort Worth vs Fred Elliott } Fighting in Public Place. Friday September 14, 1888, Continued by Consent

5059 City of Fort Worth vs J Coleman } Fighting in Public Place Friday September 14, 1888 Continued by Consent.

5060 City vs D W Massingale } Assault Sept. 14, 1888 Continued by Consent.

5061 City vs A H Rittleman } Assault Sept. 14, 1888 Continued by Consent

5062 City vs Mary Dicks } Abusive Language Sept. 14, 1888 Continued by Consent

5064 City vs James Kelly } Assault
Sept. 14. 1888
Continued by City

5066 City of Ft. North vs S. M. Galloway } Fighting
Friday Sept. 14. 1888
This day came the City Attorney and says he will no further prosecute this suit It is therefore ordered by the Court that the City of Fort North take nothing by reason of this suit and that the defendant S. M. Galloway go hence without day.

5071 City of Fort North vs Laura White } Vagrancy
Sept. 14, 1888.
Continued by Consent

#5073 City of Ft. North vs L. Baer } Fighting
September 14. 1888.
This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the complaint herein, and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon it is the opinion of the Court that the law is in favor of said motion It is therefore ordered that same be sustained and that the cause be dismissed and that the defendant L. Baer go hence without day.

5074 City vs Diana Johnson } Disturbing the Peace
Friday September 14, 1888
Continued by the City.

5077 City vs Wm. E. J. Cartwright } Assault
Sept. 14, 1888
Continued by Consent

5076 City of Ft. Worth vrs Rush Loyd } Assault
Friday September 14. 1888

This day came the City Attorney and says he will no further prosecute this suit. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this suit and that the defendant Rush Loyd go hence without day.

5080 City of Ft. Worth vrs May Atwood } Vagrancy
Friday September 14. 1888

This day came the City Attorney and says he will no further prosecute this case. It is therefore ordered that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this suit and that the defendant May Atwood go hence without day.

5082 City of Ft. Worth vrs M. Sheemblum } Disturbing the Peace
Friday September 14. 1888.

Continued by the City.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Saturday Morning 9 o'clock September 15 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

4873 City of Fort Worth vrs E. N. Provine } Nuisance
Saturday September 14. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. T. Cardwright and five others who were duly elected impannelled and sworn according to law, and said

defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the Jury find the defendant not Guilty

W. T. Cartwright Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant O. M. Briscoe go hence without day

5002 City vs Dan Garvey } Saturday September 15, 1888 Continued by Consent

5003 City vs Wm. Fischbeck } Saturday September 15, 1888 Continued by Consent

5012 City of Fort Worth vs A. Hinson } Nuisance Saturday September 15th 1888

This day the above cause being called for trial. Came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the defendant being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that on the 29th day of May 1888, defendant A. Hinson after having been duly convicted in the Recorders Court of the City of Fort Worth of the offense of "Unlawfully allowing and permitting a certain privy under his control to become nauseous foul and offensive to the use, and having given notice of appeal to the County Court, did as principal enter into an appeal Bond with John P. Long and John Donahue as sureties

in the penal sum of Forty Dollars jointly and severally payable to the City of Fort Worth, Conditioned that the said Addison should prosecute his appeal with effect and shall pay such fine and costs as shall be adjudged against him by the County Court as well as other costs that may have been adjudged against him in the Court below." And the said defendant having made default as aforesaid It is therefore ordered by the court the said Appeal Bond be declared forfeited and that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of the said A. Hinson as principal and John P. Long and John Donahue as sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Forty Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution, and this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said defendant did not appear. And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue commanding them the said John P. Long and John Donahue to appear at the next Term of the County Court ~~to be held~~ of Tarrant County then and there to show cause, if any they can, why this judgment should not be made final.

Further ordered that alias Capias issue for the defendant returnable to the next Term of this Court.

5019 — City vs G. S. Miller } Nuisance
Saturday September 15. 1888
Continued by Consent.

5020 — City of Fort Worth vs Mrs Jas. Holland } Disturbing the Peace.
Saturday September 1st. 1888
This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and

lawful men to wit: O. Baimpare and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law, and said defendant was hereupon arraigned for his plea of Not Guilty whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:-

"We the Jury find the defendant Not Guilty

O. Baimpare Foreman."

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant Mr. Jas. Holland go hence without day.

5065 City vs. George Wallace } Selling Liquor on Sunday Saturday Sept. 14, 1888

Continued by Consent.

5067 City vs. George Wallace } Assault Saturday September 15, 1888

Continued by Consent.

5068 City of Fort Worth vs. W. P. Eaton } Depositing Filth in Gutter Saturday Sept. 15. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and ~~announced ready~~ when came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the complaint herein and the argument of counsel having been heard thereon, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the defendant it is therefore ordered that said motion be sustained and that this cause be dismissed and that the defendant W. P. Eaton go hence without day

5069 City — vs — S.R. White } Nuisance
Saturday September 15, 1888
Continued by consent

5070 City of Fort Worth vs H.P. Thiel } Fighting
Saturday September 15, 1888
This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: O'Pailpare and six others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law, and said defendant then entered his plea of Not Guilty - whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant Not Guilty O'Pailpare Foreman" It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant H.P. Thiel go hence without day.

5071 City of Fort Worth vs E. Kannien } Leaving team unhitched
Saturday Sept. 15. 1888
This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon to be heard the plaintiff's motion to dismiss defendants appeal because the bond is for no specified amount, and the argument of counsel having been heard thereon because it is the opinion of the court that the law is in favor of said motion, it is therefore ordered that the same be sustained and

a writ of Procedudo issue to the Court-
below Commanding them to proceed
with the execution of the judgment by
~~the~~ rendered in said Cause. Further
Ordered that defendant E. Karrigen pay
all costs of this appeal for which execution
may issue.
To which judgment of the court the
defendant excepts and in open court
gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals
of the State of Texas.

5062 City — vs J. C. Crowdus } Nuisance Saturday September 15th 1888 Continued by Consent.

5074 City. vs Bob McGill } Disturbing the Peace. Saturday September 15. 1888. Continued by Consent.

5078 City — vs Jim Drum } Nuisance. Saturday September 15. 1888. Continued by Consent.

5079 City — vs James Drum } Disturbing the Peace Saturday September 15. 1888. Continued by Consent.

5081 City — vs Gus Johnson } Assault Saturday September 15. 1888 Continued by ~~Consent~~, City

5087 City — vs M Rheenblum } Disturbing the Peace Continued by the City

Cases Filed-

It appearing to the Court that the following Cases have been on the Docket for a number of terms, and that the Sheriff has been unable to arrest the defendants therein. It is therefore ordered that the following Cases be taken from the Trial Docket and placed upon file till such time as the defendats or either of them can be arrested. to wit:

4024 State of Texas -vs- Emma McVaie Alias McBane.
Aggravated Assault.

4048 State of Texas -vs- Dick Bullock
Playing Cards in Public Place.

4058 State of Texas -vs- Hannie Rousch
Disorderly House

4298 State of Texas -vs- A Reader
Aggravated Assault

4336 State of Texas -vs- Willis Greene
Aggravated Assault.

4372 State of Texas -vs- Carrie Andrews.
Disorderly House.

4373 State of Texas -vs- Joe Pope
Disorderly House

4391 State of Texas -vs- "Junnie"
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4472 State of Texas -vs- Jeff Davis
Exhibing Gaming Bank

4482 State of Texas -vs- Spank Anderson
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

4521 State of Texas -vs. H. C. Hall.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4529 State of Texas -vs- Lee Brown
Vagrancy

4554 State of Texas -vs- Lee Brown (Col.)
Exhibiting Monte Bank

4585 State of Texas -vs- Clark Holman
Aggravated Assault.

4586 State of Texas -vs- Jeff. Davis
Aggravated Assault.

4587	State of Texas	vs Frank Anderson Aggravated Assault
4588	State of Texas	vs Alf Collier Aggravated Assault.
4590	State of Texas	vs D. B. Withers Nagruney.
4642	State of Texas	vs Joe Barton Theft.
4646	State of Texas	vs Louis Martin Exhibiting Gaming Bank
4647	State of Texas	vs Louis Martin Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4648	State of Texas	vs Louis Martin Exhibiting Gaming Bank
4649	State of Texas	vs Louis Martin Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4651, 4652, 4654, 4655, 4656, 4663	State of Texas	vs Louis Martin Exhibiting Gaming Bank
4665	State of Texas	vs "Butch" Exhibiting Gaming Bank
4667	State of Texas	vs Jeff Davis Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4678	State of Texas	vs Pate Walker Betting at Dice
4707	State of Texas	vs Mose Coffee Playing Cards in Public Place.
4714	State of Texas	vs H. M. Friend Failing to Post Liquor License
4727	State of Texas	vs Will Perkins Betting at Dice.
4729	State of Texas	vs Jack McDonald Selling Liquor without License.
4730	State of Texas	vs Geo. Canary Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4737	State of Texas.	vs C. B. Jordan Failing to Post Liquor License
4743	State of Texas	vs Mack Manigan Aggravated Assault.
4744	State of Texas	vs J. Burk - Aggravated Assault
4755	State of Texas.	vs Dair Allison Theft.

4758	State of Texas	vs. Jimmie Carter Theft
4778&4775	State of Texas.	vs- Chas. Bullock Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4802, 4781	State of Texas.	vs- John Ashmore Selling Liquor on Sunday.
4785	State of Texas.	vs- Chas. Bullock Exhibiting a Gaming Bank.
4804	State of Texas	vs- Davy Mitchell Selling Liquor on Sunday.
4876	State of Texas	vs- Longfellow Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4878	State of Texas	vs. Henry Gaiter Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4830. 4831 4832. 4833 4834. 4836 4840	State of Texas	vs- Jim Thrasher Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
	State of Texas	vs- Chas Bullock Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4838	State of Texas	vs- Geo. Canary Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4841&4839	State of Texas	vs- Jim Thrasher Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4842	State of Texas	vs.- Smith, Unknown Exhibiting Gaming Bank
4847	State of Texas	vs- Geo. Canary Exhibiting Gaming Bank
4856	State of Texas	vs- Jim Sullivan Aggravated Assault.
4885	State of Texas	vs- George Young Disturbing the Peace.
4889	State of Texas	vs- W. Goldstein Theft.
4904	State of Texas	vs- Mike Reilly et al Affray
4934	State of Texas	vs- John Strahan Keeping Saloon Open on Election Day
4943	State of Texas	vs- Billy Moore Exhibiting Gaming Bank
4976	State of Texas	vs- Minnie Milton et al Keeping Disorderly House.
4977	State of Texas	vs Mrs Wilmoth. Disorderly House.

Cases Dismissed

Now comes the County Attorney pro= ecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute the following cases, to wit:

4240 State of Texas -vs- Madam Porter
Selling Liquor on Sunday

4329 & 4330. State of Texas -vs- William Thomas
Aggravated Assault

4536 State of Texas -vs- D C K Raines
Selling on Sunday.

4422 & 4426 State of Texas -vs. Jim Erwin
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

4733 State of Texas -vs- Bill Johnson
Pulling Down Fence of Another.

4747 State of Texas -vs- John Eldridge
Nuisance.

4797 State of Texas -vs- John G Davis
Selling Liquor without License

4800 State of Texas -vs- Charley Purvis & Dave Chapman
Disturbing Religious Worship.

4810, 4814, 4811 State of Texas -vs- Sid Johnson
Exhibiting a Gaming Bank.

4822, 4823, 4824, 4825. State of Texas -vs- Joe Purvis
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4837 State of Texas -vs- Wade Thomas
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4845 & 4846 State of Texas -vs- Jim Erwin
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4854 State of Texas -vs- Horace
Theft.

4859 State of Texas -vs- Mike Bowen
Aggravated Assault

4860 State of Texas -vs- Bob Waggoner
Assault

4863 State of Texas -vs- A. Canto
Disturbing the Peace.

4890 State of Texas -vs- Wilson Alexander & Annie Johnson
Adultery.

4895 State of Texas -vs- J. H. Milledge & Angelina Ward
Fornication

4905	State of Texas vs.	Mike Mooney et al Affray
4922 4919	State of Texas vs	Dan Andrews Selling Liquor on Sunday.
4920	State of Texas vs	Hubert Crouse Selling Liquor on Sunday
4926	State of Texas vs	Ed Muller Saloon Open Election Day.
4935	State of Texas vs	Tom Cavanaugh Saloon Open Election Day.
4936	State of Texas vs	W. H. Ward Saloon Open Election Day.
4937	State of Texas vs	Con Hines Saloon Open Election Day.
4952	State of Texas vs	Math Brooks Exhibiting Gaming Bank
4954 4955	State of Texas vs	Lyd Fagg Exhibiting Gaming Bank
4959	State of Texas vs	Willis Washington & Maylum Mcamell Fornication
4967	State of Texas vs	Hebe Kennedy Aggravated Assault
4970	State of Texas vs	Ed Kissinger & Ellen Wright Adultery
4977	State of Texas vs	R. M. Page Aggravated Assault
4990	State of Texas vs	John Stewart Selling Liquor Without License
4995	State of Texas vs	John Whatley Selling Beer on Sunday.
4996	State of Texas vs	~~John Whatley~~ W. McElyea Failing to Work Public Road
4997	State of Texas vs	J. J. Ditto Failing to Work Public Road
4998	State of Texas vs	Willie Pisley Failing to work on Public Road.
4999	State of Texas vs	W. W. Logsdon Failing to work on Public Road.
5000	State of Texas vs	W. A. Wade Failing to work on Public Road.
5033	State of Texas vs	Chas. H. Love Disturbing the Peace

5050 The State of Texas vs Jim Tooch
Aggravated Assault.
5054 The State of Texas, vs, W.P. Williams
Theft.
5091 The State of Texas vs - Jim Rushing
Aggravated Assault.
And for good and sufficient reasons, said County Attorney moves the Court to dismiss same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of said prosecutions and that the defendants thereof go hence without day.

Alias Capias Awarded
It appearing to the Court that the defendants in the following cases have not been arrested. It is therefore ordered that Alias Capias issue for each of the defendants therein returnable to the next Term of this Court, to wit:

4056 State of Texas - vs Geo. Gaiter
Carrying a Pistol
4343 State of Texas vs George Gaiter
Aggravated Assault.
453 State of Texas - vs - Joe Gerard
Selling Whiskey on Sunday,
4603 State of Texas. - vs - D.R. Hawkins
Carrying a Pistol
4625 State of Texas - vs - Charley Davis
Theft.
4725 & 4669 State of Texas - vs - Pete Skaggs
Exhibiting Gaming Bank
4716 State of Texas - vs - John Grimsley
Disturbing the Peace.
4731 State of Texas vs John Grimsley
Carrying Pistol in Ball Room
4771 State of Texas - vs - John Metcalf
Carrying a Pistol,
4788 4813. 4812, 4817. 4818. 4819 State of Texas vs Red Sarder
Exhibiting Gaming Bank,

4794 State of Texas -vs- Jim Hall
Carrying a Pistol.

4818, 4819, 4810 4821. State of Texas vs. Moze Copper
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4820 State of Texas vs. Ed Mahorney
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4897 State of Texas -vs- Will Murphy & Celia D Nace.
Adultery

4907, 4911 State of Texas -vs- Hugh Wells
Carrying a Pistol,

4909 State of Texas -vs- Link Forrest
Carrying a Pistol.

4956 State of Texas -vs- Luther Drake
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

4968 State of Texas vs- Jasper McLeur
Disturbing the Peace,

4975 State of Texas -vs- Fred Shivers
Disturbing the Peace.

4987 State of Texas -vs- J. A. Wright
Carrying a Pistol

4983 State of Texas -vs- J. A. Wright
Aggravated Assault,

4986 State of Texas -vs- E. R. Woolridge
Theft.

Court in session Monday September 24 1888. Present all officers of court.

5152 State of Texas vs. Dick Van } Betting at Game played with Dice. Monday September 24. 1888

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant Dick Van in person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon said defendant entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Dick Van the sum of Ten

Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

5760 State of Texas vs Henderson Caldwell } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Monday September 24, 1888

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state also came the defendant Henderson Caldwell in person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon said defendant entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and imprisonment in the County Jail for ten days. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant, Henderson Caldwell the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4727 State of Texas vs Will Perkins } Betting at Game played with dice Monday September 24. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon said defendant waived a jury and entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged

by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Will Perkins the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred For which said fine and costs execution may issue, It further ordered that said defendant stand Committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Court in Session ~~Monday~~ Wednesday the 26 day of September A.D. 1888 Present all officers of court.

4828 State of Texas vrs. Henry Gaiter } Exhibiting Gaming Bank
Wednesday September 26. 1888

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the defendant Henry Gaiter in person, and both parties announced ready for trial A jury being duly impaneled the matters of fact & & & & & were submitted to the Court. Thereupon said defendant entered his Plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 10 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Henry Gaiter the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5113 State of Texas vs. Chas. Carter } Playing Cards in Public Place
Wednesday September 26. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court Thereupon said defendant entered his plea of Guilty. whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Charles Carter the sum of Ten dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid thereof.

5146 State of Texas vs Charles Carter } Exhibiting Gaming Bank &c.
Wednesday September 26. 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, Also came the defendant Charles Carter in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court Thereupon said defendant entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 10 days in the County Jail It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Charles Carter the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the judgment in Cause No 5113 said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and till said fine

and costs are paid in full.

4967 City of Fort Worth
—vs—
P. C. Caple } Monday September 17, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the defendant. It is ordered that said motion be sustained. That the judgment heretofore rendered herein be and the same is hereby set aside and for naught held and that this cause stand for trial in regular order upon the docket of this Court.

5781 State of Texas
—vs—
William Jones &
Walter Hamilton } Monday October 8, 1888

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendants William Jones and Walter Hamilton and all parties announced ready for trial, waived a jury and submitted the matters of fact as well as of law to the Court, and said defendants now here entered their plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed their punishment at 30 days each in the County Jail It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said defendants William Jones and Walter Hamilton each stand committed to the County Jail of Tarrant County for the full period of Thirty days and till ~~said fines~~ all Costs of this proceedings are paid in full

4975 State of Texas } Disturbing the Peace
— do — } Friday October 19 1888
Fred Shivers }

This day came parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One Dollar It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant Fred Shivers the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid & together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5782 State of Texas } Betting
vs } Friday October 19. 1888
Mart Davis }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial — a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do recover of the defendant Mart Davis the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Friday October 19. 1888
Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday Morning 9 o'clock October 29 1888.

Monday Morning 9 o'clock October 29. 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment
Present as on Monday Sept 3. 1888

5140 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
vs } Monday October 29. 1888
Henry Haywood

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury who heard the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. Thereupon said defendant entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Henry Haywood the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs let execution. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

5141 State of Texas } Selling Beer on Sunday
vs } Monday October 29. 1888
Dan Andrews

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and announced ready for trial A jury who heard the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas

recover of the defendant Dan Andrews the sum of Thirty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5906 State of Texas vs John Sheehan } Selling Whiskey on Sunday. Monday October 29. 1888.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant John Sheehan the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

5906 State of Texas vs John Sheehan } Selling Whiskey on Sunday. Monday October 29. 1888

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant John Sheehan the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein

incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5227 State of Texas vs John Sheehan } Selling Liquor on Sunday
Monday October 29. 1888.

This day came the parties, and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant John Sheehan the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs ~~execute~~ are paid in full

5155 State of Texas vs Geo. Andrews } Betting at Gaming Bank
Monday October 29. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the County Attorney ~~attorney~~ ore tenus moved to the first count in the indictment thereupon the defendant entered his plea of Guilty to the second fact Count of the indictment whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Geo. Andrews the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

5156 State of Texas —vs— George Andrews } Betting at Gaming Bank
Monday October 29. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial for trial, A jury bring waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the County Attorney now here enters a Nolle Prossequi to the first Count in the Indictment thereupon the defendant entered his plea of Guilty to the Second Count whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant George Andrews the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5158 The State of Texas —vs— Chas Hadley } Betting at Gaming Bank.
Monday October 29. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury bring waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the County Attorney now here enters his Nolle Prossequi as to the first Count in the indictment thereupon the defendant entered his plea of Guilty to the Second Count, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Chas Hadley the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs ~~execution may issue~~ are paid in full

5748 State of Texas } Betting at Gaming Bank
vs } Monday October 29. 1888
Bud Fagg }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the County Attorney entered a Nolle Prosequi to the first count of the indictment. Thereupon the defendant entered his plea of guilty to the second count, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Bud Fagg the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5154 State of Texas } Betting at Gaming Bank.
vs } Monday October 29. 1888.
Bud Fagg. }

~~The State~~ This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the County Attorney now here entered a Nolle Prosequi to the first Count in the indictment, thereupon the defendant entered his plea of Guilty to the Second count, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Bud Fagg the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5107 The State of Texas vs. Bud Sagg } Betting at Gaming Banks
Monday October 29. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury was waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the County Attorney enters a Nolle Prosequi as to the first count in the indictment, and the defendant entered his plea of Guilty as to the second count, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Bud Sagg the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand Committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5114 The State of Texas vs. Max Kramer } Playing Cards in Public Place.
Monday October 15. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Max Kramer the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand Committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5177 State of Texas vs Madam Porter } Disorderly House. Monday October 29. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, thereupon the defendant entered her plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $100.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant, Madam Porter, the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5178 State of Texas vs. Josie Belmont } Disorderly House. Monday October 29. 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant thereupon entered her plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $100.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Josie Belmont the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and Costs are paid in full.

5124 State of Texas } Disorderly House,
vs Monday October 29. 1888
Gracie Lane

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ~~ready~~ ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. Thereupon the defendant entered her plea of Guilty thereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $100.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Gracie Lane the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

5126 The State of Texas } Disorderly House
vs Monday October 29. 1888
Jessie Reevs

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. Thereupon the defendant entered her plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $100.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jessie Reevs the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff of Parker County take said defendant and confine her in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5104 State of Texas vs G.H. Day et al } Selling Liquor on Sunday. Monday October 29. 1888.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and ~~the parties~~ also came the defendant G.H. Day in person and both parties announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and it appearing that defendant Louis Maas has not been arrested the County Attorney enters a nolle prosequi as to said defendant. Thereupon defendant G.H. Day entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed this ~~damages~~ punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant G.H. Day the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

5149 State of Texas vs G.H. Day et al } Selling Liquor on Sunday Monday October 29. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant Louis Maas not having been arrested the County Attorney enters a nolle prosequi as to him, Thereupon the defendant G.H. Day enters his plea of Guilty, whereupon the court assesses his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant G.H. Day the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5225 State of Texas } Selling Liquor on Sunday
—vs— } Monday October 29, 1888
Day & Maas }

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. Thereupon the defendants entered their plea of Guilty. whereupon the Court assessed their punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendants G.N. Day and Louis Maas the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that said defendants stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Now comes the Sheriff of Tarrant County and bring duly sworn and instructed by the Court, and returns into Court with the following named qualified Jurors to wit: Jno. Waddington, W.T. Copher, W. Hurley, Jno. Ayres, J.C. Lawson, W.H. Latchworth, J.W. Jenkins, L.C. Terry, Jean Terry, T.P. Stone, J.M. Robbins, and Mike Mansfield, said all of said parties were duly sworn and placed upon the panel for the week.

5045 The State of Texas } Assault
—vs— } Monday October 29, 1888
E.R. Smith }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, viz: L.C. Terry and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law. And the information being read to the jury ~~they retired to~~ and they

having heard the evidence and argument of counsel retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find defendant Guilty and assess the fine at Five Dollars

J.C. Terry Forman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant E.R. Smith the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5045 State of Texas vs E.R. Smith } New Trial Granted. Monday October 29. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the defendant. it is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be sustained. That the judgment this day rendered herein be and the same is hereby set aside and for naught held and that this cause stand for trial in regular order upon the docket of this court

5116 State of Texas vs Os Bates } Betting at Gaming Bank Monday October 29. 1888

This day came the parties by attorneys and the state announced ready for trial, and then came on to be heard the defendants application for a continuance herein and because the same is insufficient in law it is ordered by the court that said application be overruled. Thereupon said defendant waived a jury and

submitted the matter of fact as well as of law to the Court and entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendants Wm Bates the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5163 The State of Texas vs Charley Ryan } Theft of Property under $20.00 in value
Monday October 29. 1888.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. Whereupon said defendant entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 and one day in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Charley Ryan the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full and for the full period of one day.

5189 State of Texas vs Will White } Playing Cards in Public Place.
Monday October 29, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the

Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Will White the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and Costs are paid in full.

5204 State of Texas } Betting at Craps
vs. } Monday October 29. 1888.
Will White }

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant in open Court entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Will White the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the judgment in Cause No. 5204 the defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrows morning 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning 9 o'clock October 30 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment—
Present as on yesterday.

5179 State of Texas } Carrying Metal Knuckles
vs. } Tuesday October 30. 1888
Bill Heffron }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit:—J. D. Terry and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn

according to law, and the information being read to the Jury, said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to Consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the Jury find the defendant not guilty" J.O. Terry Foreman" It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant Bill Heffron go hence without day.

5205 State of Texas vs Gus Ruttaman } Selling Liquor on Sunday ~~Monday~~ Tuesday October 30, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant, upon, here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Gus Ruttaman the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and cost are paid in full

5213 State of Texas vs A.G. Ruttaman } Selling on Sunday Tuesday October 30 1888,

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court.

and said defendant now here, entered his plea of Guilty whereupon, the court assessed the punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant A.G. Rittman the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5220 State of Texas vs Harry Hatchell & Max Richen } Selling Liquor on Sunday
Tuesday October 20, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendants now here entered their plea of guilty whereupon the court assessed their punishment at a fine of $20.00 & it is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendants Max Richen and Harry Hatchell the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendants be committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5230 State of Texas vs John Rilling } Vagrancy
Tuesday October 20, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court, and the defendant entered his plea of not Guilty

Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel, finds said defendant Not Guilty ~~whereupon~~ It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this pursuit and that the defendant John Killing go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Wednesday Morning 9 o'clock October 21, 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday

5107 State of Texas vs E. L. Thilman } Selling Beer on Sunday. Wednesday October 21. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. The indictment being read to the Court, the defendant enters his plea of Not Guilty, whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the defendant Guilty and assessed his punishment at a fine of $200 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of and from the defendant E. L. Thilman the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Zavala County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5106 State of Texas vs Con Hines } Selling Beer on Sunday October 21, 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys

and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and thereupon being read to the court the defendant entered his plea of Guilty - Whereupon the court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel adjudged the defendant Not Guilty - It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this suit and that the defendant go hence without day.

5710 State of Texas } Selling Liquor on Sunday
vs } Wednesday October 31. 1888
W. H. Ward

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant now here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant W. H. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Grayson County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

5731 State of Texas } Selling on Sunday
vs } Wednesday October 31st 1888
W. H. Ward

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the court assessed his punishment

at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant W. H. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. For which said fine and Costs execution may issue further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5210 State of Texas vs Abr Jacobs } Betting at Gaming Bank Wednesday October 31, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the County Attorney now here enters a Nolle Prosequi as to the first count in the indictment whereupon the defendant enters his plea of Guilty to the second count therein, and the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Abr Jacobs the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. For which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Bexar County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

5211 State of Texas vs W. H. Ward } Selling On Sunday Wednesday October 31. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of

Jack as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and said defendant, now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant W. H. Braid the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It further ordered that, after the satisfaction of all previous judgments against this defendant the sheriff take said defendant into Custody and commit him to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid & discharged.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to morrow morning 9 o'clock

Thursday Morning 9 o'clock November 1st 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday

5745 State of Texas vs Archie Drew } Betting at Gaming Bank.
Thursday November 1st 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the County Attorney now here enters a nolle Prosequi as to the first Count in the indictment thereupon the defendant entered his plea of guilty to the second Count whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Archie Drew (Spook) the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all

Costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs herein incurred execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Parker County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and cost are paid in full and that capias issue for him.

6761 State of Texas vs Jim Burris (Jim Dooch) } Betting at Gaming Bank
Thursday November 1st 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted by the Court and the County now here enters a nolle Prosequi as to the first Count in the indictment. Thereupon the defendant enters his plea of Guilty to the second Count, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $100.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Jim Dooch (Jim Burris) the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5731 State of Texas vs Anderson Saunders & Taylor Freeman } Theft.
Thursday Nov. 1, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J.C. Berry and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law,

and the information being read to the jury the defendants enter their plea of not Guilty whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict viz: "We the Jury find the defendants Guilty and assess their punishment at thirty days in the County Jail. J. C. Terry Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the defendants Andrew Saldana and Taylor Freeman stand committed to the County Jail each for the full period of Thirty days and further till all cost of this proceedings is paid in full for which said cost execution may issue.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Friday Morning 9 o'clock November 2. 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment & all parties as on yesterday.

5107 The State of Texas vs John Lauer } Selling Whiskey on Sunday
Friday November 2. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: S. C. Berry and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Indictment being read to the jury the defendant entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel ~~retired~~ and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into said court the following

Verdict Towit: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess the fine at Twenty Dollars
J. C Berry Forman"
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant John Lanei, the sum of Twenty Dollars ($20.00) fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred, for which said fine and Cost execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant be confined in the County Jail till said fine and Costs are paid in full.

5131 The State of Texas vs Dsom McConnell } Belling at Monte Thursday November 1st 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Dsom McConnell the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said fine and Cost execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and Costs are paid in full.

5132 State of Texas vs Bob Loving & C.S. Jones } Aggravated Assault Friday November 2 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and announced ready for trial

thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J.W. Judkins and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury, the defendants entered their plea of not guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the defendants not Guilty

J.W. Judkins Forman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendants Bob Loring and C. Jones go hence without day.

5234 State of Texas } Selling Beer on Sunday.
vs } Trial November 2nd 1888
Jim Dillon }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J.W. Judkins and five others who were duly elected, empannelled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury, the defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:-

"We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at Fifty Dollars.

J.W. Judkins Forman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant

4906

Jim Dillon the sum of fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid & discharged.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Saturday Morning 9 o'clock November 3. 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday

Continued by Consent

By consent of the parties it is ordered that the following cases be continued until the next term of this Court, to wit:

4475 State of Texas. vs. Luke Short
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4597 State of Texas - vs. W. E. Graves
Carrying a Pistol.

4606 State of Texas. -vs- Luke Short
Carrying a Pistol.

4715 State of Texas vs W. J. Reed
Aggravated Assault.

4774 State of Texas - vs - Dick Bullock
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4946 State of Texas - vs - Sam Farmer et al
False Imprisonment.

4971 State of Texas vs. John Weddington
Disturbing the Peace

4978 State of Texas - vs - John Weddington
Threatening to take life -

5035 State of Texas - vs - Tom Devir
Aggravated Assault

5037 State of Texas - vs - Jeff Daggett
Aggravated Assault.

5099 State of Texas - vs - F. Thoma
Selling on Sunday

5203. 5216 5207. 5165 — State of Texas vs — H. P. Shiel
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

5128 — State of Texas. vs — Yor Lee
Disorderly House

5124 — State of Texas — vs — Easter Smith
Disorderly House

5132 — State of Texas — vs — Hop Lee
Disorderly House.

5166 5162 — State of Texas vs — Geo. Wallace
Selling Beer on Sunday

4909 — State of Texas vs — Link Forrest
Carrying a Pistol
Monday Oct. 29. A.D. 1888.

Ordered by the Court that this case be withdrawn from the trial docket and remain on file till such time as the defendant can be arrested —

Continued by Defendant

Ordered by the Court that the following cases be continued on application of the defendants therein to wit:

5046 — State of Texas vs Jim Howard
Assault

5055 — State of Texas vs — Jim Drum
Aggravated Assault.

5096 — State of Texas vs — John Mallory
~~Carrying~~ Carrying a Pistol

5114 — State of Texas vs — Willie Carvill
Selling Beer on Sunday

5133 — State of Texas — vs — Frank Burns
Selling Whiskey on Sunday.

5151 — State of Texas vs — Lem Ray
Betting at Dice

5164 — State of Texas — vs A. C. Babcock & W. Holland
Adultery

5180 — State of Texas — vs — John Meacham
Aggravated Assault

5235 — State of Texas vs — C. V. Emery
Selling Beer on Sunday.

Continued by the State.

Ordered by the Court that the following Cases be Continued on application of the State, to wit:

4988 State of Texas vs A.M Lunaway
Carrying a Pistol

5025 State of Texas vs- A.J. Moseller
Aggravated Assault

5048 State of Texas vs Robt Graubury
aggravated Assault-

5109 State of Texas vs- Con Hines
Selling on Sunday

5138 State of Texas -vs- Jor Veach
Selling on Sunday

Alias 440 Continued -

It appearing to the Court that the defendants have not been arrested in the following Cases It is therefore ordered that Alias Capias issue for each of the defendants therein returnable at the next Term of this Court, to wit:-

5117 State of Texas -vs- Jim Harrison
Vagrancy

5118 State of Texas vs- Cal Johnson
Betting at Dice

5119 State of Texas -vs- Cal Smith
Betting at Dice

5120 State of Texas -vs- Charly Henderson
Betting at Dice

5121 State of Texas -vs- Jim Harrison
Betting at Dice

5125 State of Texas vs Joe Henderson
Betting at Dice

5139 State of Texas vs Horace Malry
Aggravated Assault

5142 State of Texas vs Red Garner
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

5150 State of Texas vs- Jim Harrison
Betting at Dice.

5168 State vs Longfellow- Exhibiting Gaming Bank

5169 State of Texas vs- John Kehoe
Aggravated Assault

5170 State of Texas vs Geo Worrel
Disturbing the Peace

5171 & 5172 State of Texas -vs- Frank Arwine
Aggravated Assault.

5173 State of Texas -vs- Henry Dukes
Carrying Knives

5208 State of Texas -vs- W.L. Bettenbough
Aggravated Assault

— Nolle Prosequi —

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons says he will not further prosecute the following causes, to wit:-

4906 State of Texas -vs- Wm Perry
Carrying a Pistol

5044 State of Texas vs J. Edgar (Deft Dead)
Hunting on Sunday near mother residence

5092 State of Texas vs J. E. Ridgeway
Selling on Sunday

5103 5135 State of Texas vs- John Sheehan
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

5108 5100 State of Texas -vs. W. H. Ward
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

5111 State of Texas -vs- G. H. Day & Louis Mack
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

5115 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson
Playing Cards in Public Place

5121 State of Texas vs Os Bates
Betting at Dice

5130 State of Texas vs Annie Smith
Disorderly House

5131 State of Texas -vs- Dan Andrews
Disorderly House

5136 State of Texas vs Gus Ruttenau
Selling Whisky on Sunday

5142 5141 State of Texas vs Mark Davis
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

5159 State -vs. Nath Brooks. Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

5162 State of Texas vs Abr Jacobs
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

5176 5175 State of Texas. vs Dan Andrews
Selling Beer on Sunday

5177 State of Texas -vs- Jas. Holland
Aggravated Assault

5178 State of Texas. vs Bill Heffron & Don Adams
Assault

5213, 5214 State of Texas vs Jack Coleman
Selling on Sunday

5214 State of Texas vs - John Neal
Selling on Sunday

5215 State of Texas -vs- Dan Whitesides
Selling on Sunday

5216 State of Texas. vs, J. P. Sheehan
Selling on Sunday

5217 5222 State of Texas vs W. H. Ward
Selling on Sunday

5223 State of Texas, vs S. H. Day & Louis Maas
Selling on Sunday.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of said prosecutions and that the defendants thereof go hence without day.

5218 State of Texas vs Jim Rushing } Selling Liquor on Sunday
Saturday November 2. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact & law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of and from the defendant Jim Rushing the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue

further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5926 State of Texas } Vagrancy
~ Vs ~ } Saturday November 3. 1888
Annie Smith

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant thereupon her plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $1.00 & it is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Annie Smith the sum of one dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Nov 3, 1888
Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in Course Sam F. Graham
County Judge Tarrant Co. Texas

Criminal Minutes November Term 1888 County Court

Be it remembered. That on this First Monday in November 1888, the same being the 5th day of said month, there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court within and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth. Present the Hon. Sam Burham County Judge. John F. Swayne County Clerk. R. H. Shipp Sheriff, and R. L. Carlock County Attorney of said County when and where the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court, to wit:

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till ~~to-morrow~~ Wednesday morning 9 o'clock

Wednesday Morning 9 o'clock November 7, 1888 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on first of Term.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Thursday morning 9 o'clock November 8, 1888 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

4261 — State of Texas vs Geo. Gaiter — Carrying a Pistol Thursday November 8, 1888 Alias Capias Continued.

4475 — State of Texas vs Luke Short — Exhibiting Gaming Bank Thursday Nov. 8, 1888 Continued by Consent.

4537 State of Texas vs Jor Gerard } Selling Liquor on Sunday Thursday Nov. 8. 1888 Alias Cd Continued.

4597 State of Texas vs W. E. Graves } Carrying a Pistol Thursday Nov. 8., 1888 Continued by Consent.

4606 State of Texas vs Luke Short } Carrying a Pistol Thursday Nov. 8. 1888

Now comes the County Attorney and says he will no further prosecute this suit. And for good and sufficient reasons moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant Luke Short go hence without day.

4678 State of Texas vs Charlie Davis } Theft. Thursday Nov. 8 1888 Alias Cd Continued

4669 State of Texas vs Pete Bagg } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Thursday Nov 8, 1888 Alias Cd Continued

4715 State of Texas vs W. J. Reed } Aggravated Assault Thursday Nov. 8. 1888

Now comes the County Attorney and says he will no further prosecute this case and for good and sufficient reasons moves the court to dismiss same & it is therefore ordered that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant W. J. Reed go hence without day.

4716	State of Texas vs Jno. Grimsley	Disturbing the Peace, Thursday Nov. 8. 1888 Alias & Continued
4724	State of Texas vs Dick Bullock	Exhibiting Gaming Bank Thursday Nov. 8. 1888 Alias & Continued
4725	State of Texas vs Pete Dagg	Exhibiting Gaming Bank Thursday Nov. 8. 1888 Alias & Continued
4731	State of Texas vs John Grimsley	Carrying a Pistol in a Ball Room Nov. 8 1888 Alias & Continued.
4771	State of Texas vs John Metcalf	Carrying a Pistol Nov 8 1888 Alias & Continued.
4817, 4818, 4814 4813 4812 4788	State of Texas vs Red Garner	Exhibiting a Gaming Bank Nov. 8 1888 Alias & Continued
4821, 4809, 4808	State of Texas vs Mose Coffee	Exhibiting Gaming Bank Nov. 8. 1888 Alias & Continued
4870	State of Texas vs Ed Mahoney	Exhibiting Gaming Bank Nov. 8. 1888 Alias & Continued.

4894 State of Texas vs Will Murphy & Alex Mace } Adultery
Thursday Nov. 8 1888
Alias and Continued

4894 State of Texas vs John Carleton } Carrying a Pistol
November 8. 1888
Alias and Continued

4898 State of Texas vs John Murrin } Occupation Tax
November 8 1888
Now comes the County Attorney and says he will no further prosecute this case and for good and sufficient reasons moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant John Murrin go hence without day

4899 State of Texas vs James McGinnis } Occupation Tax
Nov. 8, 1888
Now comes the County Attorney and says he will no further prosecute this case and for good and sufficient reasons moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant James McGinnis go hence without day.

4910 4907 State of Texas vs Hugh Wells } Carrying a Pistol
Nov. 8. 1888
Alias and Continued

4946	State of Texas vs Sam Farmerstue	False Imprisonment Nov. 8, 1888 Continued by consent
4956	State of Texas vs Luther Trabue	Exhibiting Gaming Bank Nov. 8, 1888 Alias ~~and~~ Continued
4957	State of Texas vs J. E. Walker	Theft November 8, 1888 Alias ~~and~~ Continued
4968	State of Texas vs Jasper McLean	Disturbing the Peace November 8, 1888 Alias ~~and~~ Continued
4979	State of Texas vs John VanZandt et al	Failing to work Public Road November 8, 1888 Alias Capias ~~and~~ Continued
4987	State of Texas vs J. A. Wright	Carrying a Pistol November 8, 1888 Alias Capias ~~and~~ Continued
4988	State of Texas vs J. A. Wright	Aggravated Assault November 8, 1888 Alias Capias ~~and~~ Continued
4986	State of Texas vs E. R. Wooldredge	Theft Nov. 8, 1888 Alias Capias ~~and~~ Continued

5025 State of Texas vs A. J. Mostella } Aggravated Assault~ Nov. 8, 1888

Now comes the County and says he will no further prosecute this suit, because he is unable to procure the prosecutions, moves the court to dismiss same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this suit and that the defendant A. J. Mosella go hence without day.

5028 State of Texas vs Fred Elliotts } Theft, Nov. 8, 1888

Alias Capias Awd Continued

5029 State of Texas vs Frank Russell } Disturbing the Peace November 8, 1888

Alias Capias Awd Continued

5034 State of Texas vs Ross Parmer et al } Assault November 8, 1888

Alias Capias & Continued

5045 State of Texas vs E. R. Smith } Assault November 8, 1888

Now comes the County Attorney and says he will no further prosecute this case and for good and sufficient reasons moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant E. R. Smith go hence without day.

5046 State of Texas vs Jim Howard } Assault Novr 8 1888

Now comes the County Attorney and says he will no further prosecute this suit, and for good and sufficient reasons moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the defendant Jim Howard go hence without day

5047 State of Texas vs Fred King } Disturbing the Peace November 8. 1888

Alias Capias Ordered Continued.

5098 State of Texas vs Bailey Days } Aggravated Assault Novrmbr 8. 1888

Alias Capias Ordered Continued

5105 State of Texas vs H. P. Thiel } Selling Whiskey on Sunday Thursday Novrmbr 8. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and said defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant H. P. Thiel the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Burnet County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and cost are paid in full

5109 State of Texas vs On Fines } Selling Whiskey on Sunday November 8, 1888

Now comes the County Attorney and says he will no further prosecute this case and forgo and dismiss same, & this court is of opinion that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant Con Fines go hence without day.

5198 State of Texas vs Luke Short } Playing Cards in Public Place Thursday November 8 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact & law were submitted to the Court. And the said defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Luke Short the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution. Which said may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Parmer County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Friday Morning 9 o'clock November 9, 1888
Court met pursuant to adjournment
Present as on yesterday.

5164 | State of Texas vs L O Babcock et al | Adultery. Friday Nov. 9, 1888. Continued by Defendants

5166, 5165 | State of Texas vs Geo. Wallace | Selling Beer on Sunday Nov. 9, 1888. Continued by State.

5180 | State of Texas vs John Meachum | Aggravated Assault. Friday November 9, 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ~~ready for trial.~~ A jury ~~being~~ ~~waived~~ the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court

5180 | State of Texas vs John Meachum | Aggravated Assault. Friday November 9, 1888.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: L. A. Trimble and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury said defendant entered his plea of not Guilty whereupon the jury, after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation, returned into Open Court the following verdict to wit: We the Jury find the defendant Not Guilty

L. A. Trimble Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant John Meachum go hence without day

5231 State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol
vs }
Wm Hower } Friday November 9. 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises, adjudged the defendant Not Guilty. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant Wm Hower go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrows morning 9 o'clock

Saturday Morning 9 o'clock November 10 1888. Court met pursuant to adjournment Present as yesterday.

Continued by Consent.

4974 State of Texas vs John Weddington
Disturbing the Peace.

4978 State of Texas vs John Weddington
Threatening Life of another.

5020 State of Texas vs Sam Lewis
Aggravated Assault

5036 State of Texas vs W. L. Robinson
Aggravated Assault

5048 State of Texas vs Robt. Granbury
Aggravated Assault

5128 State of Texas vs York Lee
Disorderly House

5129 State of Texas vs Easter Smith. Disorderly House

5131 State of Texas vs Hop Lee
Disorderly House

5224 & 5199 State of Texas vs Mack McConnell
Aggravated Assault.

State of Texas } Selling Beer on Sunday
vs } Saturday November 10, 1888
D. Thoma }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: D. Kaiser and four others who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law And the indictment being read to the jury said defendant entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the court proceeding, the evidence and argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the defendant not Guilty
D. Kaiser Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the state of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendant D. Thoma go hence without day.

Nolle Prosequi

Now comes the County Attorney and says he will no further prosecute the following cases to wit:

1498 State of Texas vs J. M. Dunaway
Carrying a Pistol.

5096 State of Texas. vs — Seb Maddox
Aggravated Assault.

5097 State of Texas — vs — Jeff. Daggett
Aggravated Assault.

5174 State of Texas — vs — Ed. Ayres
Selling Beer on Sunday

5213. 5216 State of Texas vs — H. P. Shiel
Selling on Sunday

5235 State of Texas vs. C. W. Emery
Selling Beer on Sunday

and for good and sufficient reasons said County Attorney moves the Court dismiss said cases, It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of said prosecutions and that the defendants thereon go hence without day.

Alias Capias ordered Continued

It appearing to the Court that the defendants have not been arrested in the following cases it is ordered that Alias Capias issue for each of said defendants returnable to the next term of this Court, to wit:

4206, 4303 State of Texas vs. S. L. McConnell
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

5117 State of Texas. vs. Jim Harrison
Vagrancy

5118 State of Texas vs- Cal Johnson
Betting at Dice

5119 State of Texas vs Cal Smith.
Betting at Dice

5120 State of Texas vs- Charley Henderson
Betting at Dice

5150, 5151 State of Texas-vs- Jim Harrison
Betting at Dice

5125 State of Texas-vs- Joe Henderson
Betting at Dice

5129 State of Texas -vs- Horace Mabry
Aggravated Assault

5142 State of Texas vs- Red Farmer
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

5148 State of Texas -vs- Gus White
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

5149 State of Texas -vs- Gus White
Betting at Dice

5168 State of Texas vs - Longfellow
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

5169 State of Texas, vs - Johnkehor Aggravated Assault

5170 State of Texas vs - George Worrel
Disturbing the Peace

5192, 5171	State of Texas vs	Frank Amine Aggravated Assault
5193	State of Texas -vs-	Henry Dukes Carrying Knucks
5184	State of Texas vs	Jim Ellis Playing Cards in Public Place.
5185	State of Texas vs	Alonzo Manning Playing Cards in Public Place
5186	State of Texas -vs-	Sam House Playing Cards in Public Place.
5187	State of Texas vs-	Will Hamilton Playing Cards in Public Place
5188	State of Texas vs	Charley Wilson Playing Cards in Public Place.
5190	State of Texas vs.	Bill Marks Playing Cards in Public Place
5191	State of Texas vs	Henry Mackin Playing Cards in Public Place
5192	State of Texas vs	Jesse Mitchell Betting at Dice
5193	State of Texas -vs-	Gabe Thomas Betting at Dice
5194	State of Texas vs	Sam McDonnell Playing Cards in Public Place
5203, 5195	State of Texas vs-	Hugh Delozier Playing Cards in Public Place
5202, 5196	State of Texas vs	Dick Runyan Playing Cards in Public Place
5197	State of Texas -vs-	Joe Grimsley, Playing Cards in Public Place
5199	State of Texas vs.	John Harris Playing Cards in Public Place
5200	State of Texas -vs-	Jim Ellis and Will Ellis Betting at Dice
5201	State of Texas vs	Frank Moody, et al Betting at Dice
5208	State of Texas vs	M. G. Bettenbough Aggravated Assault.
5211	State of Texas vs	Joe Moody Selling Liquor to minor.

5251 State of Texas vs John Mallory } Disturbing the Peace
Saturday November 10, 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do recover of the defendant John Mallory the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of said County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

4781 State of Texas vs John Ashmore } Selling Liquor on Sunday
Wednesday November 14 1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant John Ashmore the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of said County take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Court in Session Saturday November 17 1888
Present the Hon Sam Surman County Judge
presiding. Jno. R. King and J.C. Richardson
Sheriff.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday
Morning 9 o'clock November 19. 1888

Sam Surman
Co Judge Tarrant Co Tex

5252 State of Texas } Theft
-vs- } Monday November 12-1888.
A.J. Lowry }

This day came the parties by
their Attorneys, and announced ready for trial
A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well
as of Law were submitted to the Court and the
Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty
whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at
one day in the County Jail. It is therefore consid-
ered and adjudged by the Court that the Deft.
A.J. Lowry be and he is hereby committed to the
County Jail for the full period of one day and till
all costs of this proceedings are paid in full for
which said costs execution may issue.

Monday morning November 26-1888.
Court met pursuant to adjournment present
the Hon R.L. Carlock special Judge presiding.
B.G. Ayres Co Atty
Jno R King clerk and J. C Richardson Sheriff.

5254 State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol.
-vs- } Monday November 26-1888.
S. M. Spencer }

This day came the parties by
their Attorneys, and announced ready for trial.
A Jury being waived, the matters of fact as well
as of Law were submitted to the Court and the
Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty,

Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 20 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court, that the State of Texas recover of the Defendant the sum of Twenty-five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid to-gether with all costs incurred herein, for which said Fine and costs, execution may issue. Further ordered that the Defendant Stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Twenty days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5260 ✓

State of Texas } Theft
vs. } Monday November 26-1888.
Bob. Berry }

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at thirty days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Defd Bob Berry be and he is hereby committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirty days and till all costs of this proceedings are paid in full for which said costs execution may issue.

5262 ✓

State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol.
vs. } Friday November 30-1888
C. A. Neely }

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Wherefore the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 20 days.

in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs incurred herein, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of twenty days and till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

Wednesday morning December 12-1888, Court met pursuant to adjournment present the Hon W. D. Harris County Judge presiding, B. Bayer Co Attorney, Jno. R. King Clerk and J. C. Richardson Sheriff.

5292 State of Texas -Vs- Mack Haley } Theft Wednesday December 12-1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of Law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 and 20 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs incurred herein for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of twenty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5293 State of Texas -Vs- Walter Spikes } Theft Wednesday December 12-1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact

as well as of Law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment and fine of $5.00 and 10 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court, that the State of Texas recover of the defendant the sum of $5.00 fine assessed as aforsaid to-gether with all costs incurred herein, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten days, and till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

5294 State of Texas -Vs- Joe Hawley } Theft. Wednesday December 12-1888

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of Law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 and 10 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court, that the State of Texas recover of the defendant the sum of of $5.00 fine assessed as aforesaid, to-gether with all costs incurred herein, for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days, and till said Fine and Costs are paid in full

5295 State of Texas -Vs- Otis Whitten } Theft. Wednesday December 12-1888

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of Law were submitted to the Court

and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00 and 15 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid, to-gether with all costs incurred herein, for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Fifteen days, and till said Fine and costs are paid in full

5296 State of Texas -Vs- Robt. Chandler } Theft. Wednesday December 12-1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of Law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 and 10 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs incurred herein, for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full

5298 State of Texas -Vs- Green Johnson } Carrying a Pistol. Wednesday December 12-1888

This day came the parties by there attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of Law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at

a Fine of $25.00 and 20 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court, that the State of Texas recover of the defendant the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all Costs incurred herein for which Fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of twenty days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5306 State of Texas -vs- R. R. Barker } Vagrancy
Friday December 14-1888

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of Law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid, to-gether with all costs incurred herein, for which Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5274 State of Texas -vs- Razor } Selling Liquor without License
Monday December 17th 1888

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no more prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss same, and it appearing to the Court that the Defft Razor has paid his tax and all costs accrued herein to date, It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court

that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Razor go hence without day

5275 State of Texas } Selling Liquor without License
-vs- } Monday December 17th 1888
McGraw }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no more prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss same, and it appearing to the Court that defendant McGraw has paid his tax and all costs accrued herein to date, It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant McGraw go hence without day.

5323 State of Texas } Carrying a Slung Shot
-vs- } Friday December 21st 1888.
Dave Williams }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 20 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant the sum of Twenty-five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs incured herein for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of twenty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full

5335

State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
-vs- } Wednesday December 26th-1888
J. H. Holt

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant the sum of Twenty five dollars Fine as aforesaid together with all costs incurred herein for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5345

State of Texas } Theft
-vs- } Tuesday January 1st 1889.
Hampton Moore

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $20.00 and 30 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the Defendant the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine as aforesaid together with all costs incurred herein for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days and till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

5351 State of Texas
-vs-
Wm Moore
} Theft
Friday January 4-1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of Law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at 60 days in the County Jail It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Defendant Wm Moore stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of sixty days and till all costs accrued herein are paid in full

5352 State of Texas
-vs-
Walter Hamilton
} Theft
Friday January 4-1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of Law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at 60 days in the County Jail It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Defendant Walter Hamilton stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of sixty days and till all costs accrued herein are paid in full.

5328 Ex parte
May Comes
} Habras Corpus
December 22. 1888

This day came on to be heard the petition of May Comes for writs of Habras Corpus, and it appearing to the Court that J.C. Richardson Sheriff of Jarrard County has May Comes confined in the County Jail under a Capias issued upon a complaint made by

the husband of said may Comes, it is therefore ordered by the Court that she be released from custody of said officer.

5349 Ex Parte Addie Hampton } Habeas Corpus
January 4th 1889

This day came on to be heard the petition of Amy B. Walker for the custody of Addie Hampton a minor during the pendancy of an application for guardianship and the Respondents David Flood and wife having come into Court and answered that they were willing to give up said minor Addie Hampton It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Addie Hampton be placed in the custody of Relator Amy B. Walker until a guardian of her person is apponited.

Saturday January 5, 1889
Ordered that Court do now adjourn till court in course.
Attest:
J. W. P. King Clerk Co. Court.

W. D. Harris.
County Judge Tarrant Co. Texas.

County Court Tarrant County Jany Term 1889

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in January A.D. 1889, the same being the 7th day of said month there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court within and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth Present the Hon W. D. Harris County Judge presiding, John P. King County Clerk, J. C. Richardson Sheriff and B. D. Ayres County Attorney of said County when and where the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court, to wit:

5150 State of Texas } Betting at a Game played with Dice
— vs — } Monday January 7, 1889
Jim Harrison }

This day came the parties by their attorneys also came the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant having heretofore entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars ($10) It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant James Harrison the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs executions may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff retain said defendant in custody till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5257 State of Texas vs- Robt Cannon } Agg. Assault
Monday January 7, 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here, entered a plea of guilty to the charge of Simple Assault, and the County Atty. having examined the witnesses in the case says he cannot sustain the charge of "Aggravated Assault". Whereupon the Court assessed said defendants punishment at a fine of $5.00 It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Robt Cannon the sum of five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Jarvis County take said defendant and him safely keep in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5270 State of Texas vs- Gracie Lane } Disorderly House &c.
Monday January 7, 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here, entered her plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $100.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Gracie Lane the sum of One Hundred Dollars together with all costs herein incurred for which

said fine and cost execution may issue
further ordered that the Sheriff take said
defendant and confine her in the county
jail till said fine and costs are paid
in full.

5286 State of Texas } Theft of Property under $20.00 in value.
vs } Monday January 7. 1889
John Williams }

This day came the County Attorney
prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the
defendant in person, and both parties announced
ready for trial. A jury being selected, the
matters of fact as well as of law were
submitted to the court and the defendant
now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon
the court assessed his punishment at a fine
of $10.00 and 5 days in the County Jail
✓ It is therefore considered and adjudged
by the court that the State of Texas do
have and recover of and from the
defendant John Williams the sum of Ten
Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together
with all costs herein incurred for
which said fine and costs execution may
issue. Further ordered that said defendant
stand committed to the County Jail for
the full period of Five days and till said
fine and costs are paid in full.

5289 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
vs } Monday January 7. 1889
J. J. Fulford }

This day came the parties by their
attorneys and announced ready for trial
Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful
men to wit: J. A. Hadley and five others
who were duly selected empanneled and sworn
according to law. And the information being
read to the jury, said defendant entered
his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the

Jury after hearing the evidence and the
Jargument of counsel, and receiving the
charge of the Court retired to consider
of their verdict, and after due deliberation
returned into open Court the following
verdict to wit: "We the Jury find the
Defendant not Guilty as charged
J. S. Hardisty Foreman"
It is therefore Considered and adjudged
by the Court that the State of Texas take
Nothing by reason of this prosecution
and that the defendant J. J. Fulford go
hence without day

Vagrancy

5305 State of Texas } Monday January 7. 1889
— Vs —
Nat Cramer

This day came the parties by
their attorneys and announced ready for
trial. A jury being waived the matters of
fact as well as of law were submitted to
the Court and the defendant now here
entered his plea of guilty, whereupon the
Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $100
V It is therefore considered and adjudged
by the Court that the State of Texas do
have and recover of and from the defendant
Nat Cramer the sum of One Dollar fine
assessed as aforesaid together with all
costs herein incurred, for which said
fine and costs execution may issue.
Further ordered that said defendant stand
committed to the County Jail till said fine and
costs are paid in full.

Selling Liquor on Sunday

5315 State of Texas } Monday January 7. 1889
— Vs —
Jake Johnson

This day came the parties by their
attorneys and announced ready for trial.
A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as

of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant were thereupon entered his plea of Guilty; whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jake Johnson the sum of Twenty Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5317 — The State of Texas vs. John Sheehan — Selling Liquor on Sunday — Monday January 7. 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant John Sheehan the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5324 — The State of Texas vs. John Nolan — Aggravated Assault — Monday January 7 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful

men to wit: W.C. Richards and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the Jury find the defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of fifty dollars and thirty days in the County Jail W.C. Richards Foreman"
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant John Nolan go hence without day

State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
vs. } Monday January 7. 1889
5320 John Nolan }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Jno. S. Jeffries and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury said defendant entered his plea of "not guilty" whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the Jury find the Defendant Guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of fifty dollars and thirty days in the County Jail Jno. S. Jeffries Foreman"
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant John Nolan the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that

said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

—Venire Facias—

Now comes J.C. Richardson Sheriff of Tarrant County and returned into Court the Venire Facias for this first week of the January term of the Court, and upon calling the names of the jurors summoned one qualified Juror appeared to wit: R.A. Barrow who was duly sworn and tried, was placed upon the panel for the week and it appearing to the Court that the panel is incomplete it is therefore ordered that the Sheriff summon qualified Jurors sufficient to fill the panel. And the said Sheriff bring duly sworn as the law directs forthwith into Court the following named qualified Jurors to wit: Nick Ferguson J.R. Lyle J.S. Easley A.J. Wilson, J.D. Hardisty J.S. Jeffries W.F. Gradup, W.M. Gallagher M.D. Wade J.A. Real and W.C. Richards, and all of said parties being duly sworn and tried, were placed upon the said panel.

5337 State of Texas —vs— Dr Smith } Practicing Medicine without first filing Certificate

Monday January 7, 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants Motion to Quash the Information in this case, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion it is therefore ordered that the same be overruled. To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts.

5337 State of Texas } Practicing Medicine without filing Certificate
vs } Monday January 7, 1889
Dr Smith

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant, in open court, entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $50.00 ✓
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Dr Smith the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5346 State of Texas } Theft of property under $20. in value.
vs } Monday January 7. 1889
Jim Logan

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant in open court entered his plea of Guilty — whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 and 5 days in the County Jail. ✓
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jim Logan the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of five days and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

5254 State of Texas vs Wm Perkins } Theft of Property under $20. in value.
Monday January 7. 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here, entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 and 1 day in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant William Perkins the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5259 State of Texas vs G. W. Curtis } Threatening Life of Another
Monday January 7. 1889

This day came the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein, says he will not further prosecute this suit and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this suit, and that the defendant G. W. Curtis go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning 9 o'clock January 8. 1889 Court met pursuant to adjournment & present as on yesterday

5169 State of Texas vs John Kehoe } Aggravated Assault
Tuesday January 8, 1888

Now comes D.P. Ayres County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers in this cause moves the court to dismiss this case, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant John Kehoe go hence without day

5281 State of Texas vs Walter Durham & Lottie Freeman } Domication
Tuesday January 8, 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the indictment herein, and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion it is ordered that the same be overruled.

5316 State of Texas vs W.H. Ward } Selling Liquor on Sunday
Tuesday January 8 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here, entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant W.H. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5320 State of Texas } Deft.
— vs — } Tuesday January 8. 1889
Leonard Swann }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. C. Richards and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to-wit: "We the Jury find the Deft. guilty and assess his punishment at Twenty Five Dollars fine and Thirty days imprisonment in the County Jail.

W. C. Richards Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Leonard Swann the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Thirty Days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5321 State of Texas } Deft.
— vs — } Tuesday January 8. 1889
Chas. Mercer }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: N. K. Ferguson and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty; whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel

and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:

"We the Jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at One Dollar fine and thirty days in County Jail.

N. K. Ferguson Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Chas. Merriman the sum of One Dollar, fine assessed, as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full, and for the full period of twenty days.

Defendant excepts to the charge of the Court because not the law of circumstantial evidence

5339 State of Texas vs Theodore Thomas } Carrying a Pistol
Tuesday January 8. 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the information herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the defendant. It is therefore ordered that said motion be sustained, and that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and for naught held.

5343 State of Texas vs Young Fowler } Theft
Tuesday January 8. 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men

to wit; W. C. Richards and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law, the information being read to the jury, said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defs. guilty and assess his punishment at Five Dollars fine and Ten days imprisonment in the County Jail

W. C. Richards Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Young Fowler the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5303 State of Texas } Sheff.
vs } Tuesday January 8, 1889
John Lahey

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the information being read, the defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty, and the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the defendant guilty and assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 and 1 day in Jail It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant John Lahey the sum of One dollar fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said

defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5357 State of Texas vs Theodore Thomas } Carrying a Pistol
Tuesday January 8, 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J.P. Wilson and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law, the information being read to the jury the defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find Defendant guilty & assess his punishment at Twenty five dollars fine & twenty days in jail. A.J. Wilson Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Theodore Thomas the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of twenty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Defendant excepts because Court does not allow him to prove good character and because Court in charge does not say unlawfully.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Wednesday Morning 9 o'clock January 9. 1889. Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as yesterday.

3969 State of Texas vs. J. S. Davis } Non payment of Occupation Tax. Wednesday January 9, 1889

Now comes the County Attorney and represents to the Court that the defendant has paid his occupation Tax. and that the officers of Court have remitted their fees herein. It is therefore ordered by the Court that this case be dismissed, and that defendant J. S. Davis go hence without day

3971 State of Texas vs. C. Heuche } Non payment of Occupation Tax Wednesday January 9, 1889

Now comes B. F. Ayres County Attorney herein files his reasons therefor saying that the defendant has left the country and cannot be found. It is therefore ordered by the Court that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed

Alias Capias Issued & Continued—

It appearing to the Court that the defendants in the following cases have not been arrested it is therefore ordered that Alias Capias issue for each of the defendants in the following cases returnable to the next term of this Court and that said Cases be continued till said term Viz:

4602 State of Texas vs D R Hawkins. Carrying Pistol
4625 " " " vs Charley Davis
Theft.

4771	State of Texas	vs	John Metcalfe Carrying Pistol	
4808, 4809 4810, 4821	"	"	vs-	Moze Coffee Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4820	"	"	vs	Ed Mahoney, Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
4892	"	"	vs	Will Murphy & Celia Mace Adultery.
4907, 4910	"	"	vs	Hugh Wells Carrying a Pistol
4956	"	"	vs-	Luther Drabue Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
5129	"	"	vs	Horace Mabry Aggravated Assault
5148½ & 5157	"	"	vs	Lus White Exhibiting Gaming Bank.
5160	"	"	vs.	Geo. Worrel Disturbing the Peace
5168	"	"	vs-	Longfellow Exhibiting Gaming Bank
5171. & 5177	"	"	vs	Frank Arvine Aggravated Assault
5178	"	"	vs	Henry Dukes Carrying Knives
5188	"	"	vs-	Charley Wilson Playing Cards in Public Place.
5194	"	"	vs	Sam McConnell Playing Cards in Public Place
5208	"	"	vs	N. G. Rittenbaugh Aggravated Assault
5229	"	"	vs-	Mack McConnell Aggravated Assault
5261	"	"	vs.	Tom Smith & Liggie Hawson Adultery
5263	"	"	vs	Willis Washington Aggravated Assault
5264	"	"	vs	Marian Washington Assault
5265	"	"	vs-	Chas. Lee Theph
5276	"	"	vs	Merritt Selling Liquor without License

5284 State of Texas vs. C. D. Rigsbee
Theft.

5304 " " " vs Smyth Garland & Vagrancy

5307 " " vs. Harvey Harold
Theft.

5308 " " vs. John Madden
Carrying a Pistol.

5314 " " vs. John (a colored man)
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

Filed

It appearing to the Court the Sheriff has been unable to arrest the defendants in the following cases in is therefore ordered that said Cases be taken from the Trial Docket and remain on file till such time as the defendants therein can be arrested Viz:

4669 4725 State of Texas vs. Pete Fagg.
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4716 " " - vs - John Grimsley
Disturbing the Peace

4724 " " - vs - Dick Bullock
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4731 " " - vs - John Grimsley
Carring a Pistol

4788 4812 4813, 5148
4817, 4818 vs 4819 " " - vs - Red Farmer
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

4794 " " vs Jim Hall
Carrying a Pistol

4894 " " - vs - John Carleton
Carrying a Pistol

Alias Capias Continued.

Ordered that alias Capias issue for the defendants in the following Cases returnable to the next term of this Court and that said cases be continued to wit:

4957 State of Texas vs J. E. Walker Theft.

4968	State of Texas	vs.	Jasper McLean	Disturbing the Peace
4979	"	"	vs	John VanZandt et al Failing to work on road
4982	"	"	vs	J. A. Wright Carrying a Pistol
4983	"	"	vs	Jas. A. Wright Aggravated Assault
4986	"	"	vs	E. R. Wooldredge Theft
5028	"	"	vs	Fred Elliott Theft
5034	"	"	vs	Frank Massuca Disturbing the Peace
5034	"	"	vs	Ross Palmer et al Assault
5047	"	"	vs	Fred King Disturbing the Peace
5098	"	"	vs	Baily Bays Aggravated Assault
5118	"	"	vs	Cal Johnson Betting at Dice
5119	"	"	vs.	Cal Smith Betting at Dice
5120	"	"	vs.	Charley Henderson Betting at Dice
5125	"	"	vs	Joe Henderson Betting at Dice

5117 State of Texas for Jim Harrison } Vagrancy

Wednesday January 9. 1889

This day came the County Atty and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein says he will no further prosecute this suit It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant Jim Harrison go hence without day

5109 State of Texas } Betting at Dice
— vs — } Wednesday Jany. 9. 1889
Jim Harrison

This day came the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this case and files his reasons with the papers herein. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant go hence without day

5129 State of Texas } Disorderly House
— vs — } Wednesday Jany 9. 1889
Easter Smith

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein, says he will no further prosecute this case. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant Easter Smith go hence without day

5133 State of Texas } Selling Liquor on Sunday
— vs — } Wednesday January 9 1889
Frank Burns

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein, says he will no further prosecute this case. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant Frank Burns go hence without day.

5151 State of Texas } Betting at Dice
— vs — } Wednesday January 9, 1889
Lem Ray

Continued by Consent

5247 State of Texas vs Mark Davis } Betting at Craps. Wednesday January 9. 1889

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reason filed herein says he will no further prosecute this case. it is therefore ordered that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant Mark Davis go hence without day.

5258 State of Texas vs John Carlin } Aggravated Assault Wednesday January 9. 1889

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein says he will no further prosecute this case, & it is therefore ordered that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this suit and that the defendant John Carlin go hence without day

5279 State of Texas vs Jessie Rivers } Disorderly House Wednesday January 9. 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact and law as charged were submitted to the Court, and the defendant being here entered her plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $100.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Jessie Rivers the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the sheriff take said defendant and confine her in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5273 State of Texas — vs — Madam Porter } Disorderly House
Wednesday January 9. 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well ad of law were submitted to the Court And the defendant now here entered her plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed her punishment and a fine of $100.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Madam Porter the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said defendant and confine her in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5281 State of Texas — vs — Walter Durham & Lottie Freeman } Fornication
Wednesday January 9. 1889

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein pray he will no further prosecute this case it is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendants Walter Durham and Lottie Freeman go hence without day.

5282 State of Texas — vs — Susie Flood } Aggravated Assault
Wednesday January 9. 1889

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein pray he will no further prosecute this case, it is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant Susie Flood go hence without day.

5285 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
~ vs ~ } Wednesday January 9. 1889
M. J. McGraw }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. S. Easley and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the Jury, said defendant entered his plea of not-Guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to-wit: "We the Jury find the defendant not guilty J. S. Easley Foreman" This therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant M. J. McGraw go hence without day

5299 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
~ vs ~ } Wednesday January 9. 1889
Dick Kitts }

Continued by agreement.

5336 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
~ vs ~ } Wednesday January 9. 1889
Bud Brown }

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein says he will no further prosecute this case This therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant Bud Brown go hence without day

5348 State of Texas } The Sth.
— vs — } Wednesday January 9. 1889.
Young Fowler }

This day came the Parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the defendant it is ordered that said motion be sustained, that the judgment heretofore rendered in this case be and the same is hereby set aside and for naught held.

5349 State of Texas } The Sth.
— vs — } Wednesday January 9. 1889
Young Fowler }

This day came the Parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 and 1 day in jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Young Fowler the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail for the full period of one day and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5350 State of Texas } The Sth.
vs } Wednesday January 9. 1889
Buford } Alias Capias Continued

5355 State of Texas } Theft.
vs
Lucien Ross } Wednesday January 9. 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial A jury being waived the matters & facts were submitted to the Court and the Defendant, now here, entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 and 5 days in County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have & recover of and from the defendant Lucien Ross the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail for the full period of fifteen days and till said fine and costs be paid in full.

5356 State of Texas } Wednesday January 9. 1889
vs
Pete Turner

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the County Attorney for good and sufficient reasons filed herein says he will not further prosecute this case it is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant Pete Turner go hence with his day

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Thursday Morning 9 o'clock January 10 1889
Court met pursuant to adjournment
Present as on yesterday

5798 State of Texas } Selling Whiskey on Sunday
— vs — } Thursday January 10. 1889
Jor Bunch

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein says he will no further prosecute this case. it is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant Jor Bunch go hence without day.

5266 State of Texas } Unlawfully Carrying a Pistol &c
— vs — } Thursday January 10 1889
Dick Vann

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Jno. S. Jeffries and five others who were duly elected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel, and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following Verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Deft guilty and assess his punishment at twenty five dollars fine and twenty days in jail.

Jno. S. Jeffries Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Dick Vann the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred. for which said fine

and Costs execution may issue Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of twenty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full

5288 State of Texas vs Jones alias Harris } Carrying a Pistol
Thursday January 10. 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the indictment herein, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the defendant, it is ordered that said motion be sustained and that the defendant go hence without day.

5300 State of Texas vs Chas Densmore & Rebecca Kimball } Adultery
Thursday January 10, 1889

Now come the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will not further prosecute this case, and files his said reasons herein as to the defendant Chas. Densmore. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing of the defendant Chas Densmore by reason of this prosecution, and that said defendant go hence without day. And this coming to the Court that defendant Rebecca Kimball has not been arrested, it is therefore ordered that Alias Capias issue for said defendant returnable to the next term of this Court and this case be continued as to said defendant

5201 State of Texas } Vagrancy.
vrs } Thursday January 10. 1889
Ed Jacobs

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial A jury in this waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here, entered his plea of guilty whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Ed Jacobs the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5202 State of Texas } Vagrancy
vrs } Thursday January 10. 1889
Bud Fagg

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury in this waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here, entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Bud Fagg the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5308 | State of Texas ~vrs~ Dixie Lyons } Vagrancy Thursday January 10. 1889

Continued by Consent.

5309 | State of Texas ~vrs~ Tim Irwin } Vagrancy Thursday January 10. 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Tim Irwin the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5325 | State of Texas ~vrs~ John Nolan } Aggravated Assault Thursday January 10. 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the State, it is therefore ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

5328 State of Texas vs Richard Humphries } Theft under twenty Dollars
Thursday January 10, 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. And the defendant in person here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of of $2.00 and 1 day in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Richard Humphries, the sum of Two Dollars fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full, and for the full period of one day.

5329 State of Texas vs Chas. Murphy } Theft of property under $20.00 in Value.
Thursday January 10. 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to wit: A.J. Wilson and four others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the Jury said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty, whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel, and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the Jury find the defendant not Guilty.
A.J. Wilson Foreman"
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the

the defendant Chas Murphy go hence without day

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Friday Morning 9 o'clock January 11. 1889 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday

5765, 5766, 5767 — State of Texas vs Geo. Wallace } Selling Whiskey on Sunday Friday January 11. 1889.

This day the above causes being called for trial, and the States witnesses not being present, and it appearing to the Court that these causes were continued by the State at the last term, it is therefore ordered that said cases be dismissed and that the defendant Geo. Wallace go hence without day.

5367 — State of Texas vs Jeff Daggett } Carrying a Pistol Friday January 11. 1889 Continued by Consent.

5341 — State of Texas vs Wm Rigney } Swindling under $20.00 Friday January 11. 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys as well as the defendant in person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 & 10 days in jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have

and recover of and from the defendant Wm Rigney the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution. / Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten days and till and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

5365 Ex Parte } Habeas Corpus.
Frank Halliday } Friday January 11, 1889

This day came on to be heard the above styled cause on the Respondents answer herein, and the argument and evidence being heard thereon it is ordered by the Court that the Relator Frank Halliday be and he is hereby discharged from Custody

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Saturday Morning 9 o'clock January 12, 1889
Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol on his Person
5366 vs } Saturday January 12, 1889
H. S. Loy

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the defendant also appearing in person waived a jury and submitted the matter of fact as well as of law to the Court and the defendant now here, entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 & 20 days in jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant H. S. Loy the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein

incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the county jail till said fine and costs are paid in full, and for the full period of twenty days in addition thereto.

5367 State of Texas vs. E. U. Pulliam } Carrying a Pistol on his person. Saturday January 12. 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State of Texas, also came the dft. in person and both parties announced ready for trial, waived a jury and submitted the matters of fact as well as of law to the Court, and the defendant withdrew entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 & 20 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant E. U. Pulliam the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the county jail ~~for~~ the full period of Twenty (20) days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday Morning 9 o'clock

Monday Morning 9 o'clock January 14. 1889

Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday

Venire Facias

This day came J. C. Richardson Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into Court the Venire Facias for this second week of the January term of Court, and upon calling the names of the persons summoned the following named qualified Jurors responded to wit: H. C. Strohl, W. E. Taylor, M. J. Lewis, J. H. Martindale, E. A. Euless & Sam A. Smith, who being duly sworn and tried, were placed upon the panel for the week.

And it appearing to the Court that the panel is incomplete it is therefore ordered that the said Sheriff summon qualified Jurors sufficient to fill the panel. And the said Sheriff bring duly sworn, as the law directs, summoned the following named qualified Jurors, to wit: D. L. Poole, L. A. Grimble, J. C. Thompson, D. H. McAnally, Ed Brown & E. Keaman and said persons were all duly sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week.

4261 — State of Texas -vs- Geo. Gaiter } Carrying a Pistol. Monday January 14-1889

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein, says he will no further prosecute this case. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant Geo. Gaiter go hence without day.

4343 — State of Texas -vs- Geo. Gaiter } Aggravated Assault. Monday January 14-1889.

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein, says he will no further prosecute this case, it is therefore ordered by the Court that the

State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant Geo Gaiter go hence without day.

4537 State of Texas } Selling on Sunday
— vs —
Joe Gerard } Monday January 14-1889

Now comes the County Atty and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein says he will no further prosecute this case, it is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant Joe Gerard go hence without day.

4592 State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol
— vs —
W. E. Graves } Monday January 14-1889

Now comes the County Atty and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein, says he will no further prosecute this case, it is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant W. E. Graves go hence without day.

5321 State of Texas } Theft
— vs —
Chas. Merseau } Monday January 14-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant it is therefore ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained. That the judgment heretofore rendered herein be and the same is hereby set aside and for nought held and that this cause stand for trial in regular course upon the Docket of this Court

5268

State of Texas
-vs-
John Witcher
} Vagrancy
Monday January 14-1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit: M. J. Lewis and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the Jury, said Defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty. Thereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:
"We the Jury find the Defendant not Guilty"
M. J. Lewis Foreman.
It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Deft John Witcher go hence without day.

5283

State of Texas
-vs-
Dan Andrews
} Keeping a Disorderly House.
Monday January 14-1889

Continued by Defendant.

5334.

State of Texas
-vs-
C. S. Jones
} Theft
Monday January 14-1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 and 10 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant C. S. Jones the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as

as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full and for the full period of Ten days.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Tuesday Morning 9 o'clock January 15-1889
Court met pursuant to adjournment
Present as on yesterday.

5128 State of Texas } Keeping a Disorderly House
-vs- } Tuesday January 15-1889
You Lee

This day came on to be heard the motion of Defendant to quash the Indictment herein, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion it is ordered that said motion be, and the same is hereby overruled.

5128 State of Texas } Keeping a Disorderly House
-vs- } Tuesday January 15-1889.
You Lee

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit; W. H. Taylor and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the Jury, said Defendant entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after

due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:
"We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his Fine at One Hundred Dollars.
W. H. Taylor. Foreman.
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant You Lee the sum of One Hundred dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs incurred herein, for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

N J

6132 State of Texas } Keeping Disorderly House
-vs- } Tuesday January 15-1889
Hop Lee }

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit; Whit Dryden and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Indictment being read to the Jury said Defendant entered his plea of "Not guilty". Thereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

N J

"We the Jury in the case of State of Texas-vs-Hop Lee find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00
Whit Dryden, Foreman
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Hop Lee the sum of One Hundred dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand

committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full

5164 State of Texas -vs- O. C Babcock and D. V. Holland } Adultery Tuesday January 15-1889

Continued by State

5271 State of Texas -vs- Josie Belmont } Keeping a Disorderly House Tuesday January 15-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a Fine of $100.00. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant Josie Belmont the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5322 State of Texas -vs- Granville Flowers } Theft Tuesday January 15-1889.

Continued by the State.

5350 State of Texas -vs- Dave Flood } Assault Tuesday January 15-1889

Continued by The State

5257 State of Texas -vs- Jim Westbrook } Theft
Tuesday January 15-1889
Continued by The State

5326 State of Texas -vs- Al Hicks and May Comes } Adultery
Tuesday January 15-1889
Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this case as to the defendant May Comes. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing of the Defendant May Comes by reason of this prosecution and that said defendant go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Wednesday Morning 9 o'clock January 16-1889, Court met pursuant to adjournment Present as on yesterday.

5211 State of Texas -vs- Joe. Moody } Selling Liquor to Minor
Wednesday January 16-1889.
Continued by Consent

5199 State of Texas -vs- Tom Harris (Col) } Playing Cards in Public Place
Wednesday January 16-1889
Alias and Continued

5193 State of Texas -vs- Gabe Thomas } Betting at Game Played with Dice
Wednesday January 16-1889
Alias and Continued.

5195

State of Texas } Playing Cards in a Public Place
~vs~ } Wednesday January 16th 1889.
Hugh Dellozier }

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the Indictment herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the defendant. It is therefore ordered that said Motion be sustained and that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and for nought held

5196

State of Texas } Playing Cards in a Public Place
~vs~ } Wednesday January 16~1889.
Dick Runyon }

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the Indictment herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the defendant. It is therefore ordered that said motion be sustained and that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and for nought held.

5187

State of Texas } Playing Cards in a Public Place.
~vs~ } Wednesday January 16~1889
Will Hamilton }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the Indictment herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the defendant. It is therefore ordered that said motion be sustained and that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and for nought held.

5327 State of Texas —vs— Walter Durham } Aggravated Assault
Wednesday January 16-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the Information herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion, It is ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. Defendant excepts.

5327 State of Texas —vs— Walter Durham } Aggravated Assault
Wednesday January 16-1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon Came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit; S.A. Smith and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the Jury, said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty ✓ whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation, returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the Jury find defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00 & 30 days in Jail.
S.A. Smith Foreman."

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Walter Durham the sum of Fifty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full and for the further period of Thirty days.

5184

State of Texas } Playing Cards in Public Place
-vs- } Wednesday January 16-1889
Jim Ellis }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit; W. H Taylor and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Indictment being read to the Jury, the defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty, whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the Jury find the defendant Not Guilty W. H Taylor. Foreman

It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant Jim Ellis go hence without day.

5186

State of Texas } Playing Cards in Public Place
-vs- } Wednesday January 16-1889
Sam House }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit; J. A. Smith and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Indictment being read to the Jury, the defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty; whereupon the Jury having heard the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the Jury

find the defendant not guilty"
S. A. Smith. Foreman
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant Sam House go hence without day.

5192 State of Texas -vs- Jesse Mitchell } Betting at Game played with Dice Wednesday January 16-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit; W. H. Taylor and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the Indictment being read to the Jury, the defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court, the following verdict to-wit;
"We the Jury find the Defendant not Guilty";
W. H. Taylor Foreman.
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jesse Mitchell go hence without day.

5191 State of Texas -vs- Henry Mackin } Playing Cards in Public Place Wednesday January 16-1889

Alias and Continued.

5128 State of Texas -vs- You Lee } Keeping Disorderly House Wednesday January 16-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, it is the

opinion of the Court that the Law is for the Defendant, it is therefore ordered that said Motion be and the same is hereby sustained. That the judgment heretofore rendered herein be and the same is hereby set aside and for nought held and that this cause stand for Trial in regular course upon the Docket of this Court.

5132 State of Texas -vs- Hop Lee } Keeping Disorderly House Wednesday January 16-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant, it is therefore ordered that said Motion be and the same is hereby sustained. That the judgment heretofore rendered herein, be and the same is hereby set aside and for nought held and that this cause stand for trial in regular course upon the Docket of this Court.

5185 State of Texas -vs- Alonzo Manning } Playing Cards in Public Place Wednesday January 16-1889

Alias and Continued

5190 State of Texas -vs- Bill Marks } Playing Cards in Public Place Wednesday January 16-1889

Continued

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Thursday morning 9 o'clock January 17th 1889 Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

5'055' State of Texas -vs- Jim Drum } Aggravated Assault
Thursday January 17th 1889.
Continued By The State.

5'112 State of Texas -vs- Willie Carwile } Selling Beer on Sunday
Thursday January 17th 1889
Continued By Consent.

5'197 State of Texas -vs- Joe. Grimsley } Playing Cards in Public Place
Thursday January 17th 1889
Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein, says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that The State of Texas take nothing by reason of this suit and that the Defendant Joe Grimsley go hence without day.

5'318 State of Texas -vs- John Burke } Theft
Thursday January 17th 1889
This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit; Jas. H. Martindale and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury, said Defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty. whereupon the Jury after having heard the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;
"We the Jury find Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at thirty days in Jail and a fine of Fifteen Dollars" Jas H. Martindale Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Burke the sum of Fifteen Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5319 State of Texas -vs- Pah Conley } Theft Thursday January 17th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion to quash the Information herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion, It is therefore ordered that said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled. Defendant excepts.

5319 State of Texas -vs- Pah Conley } Theft Thursday January 17th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at one day in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Defendant Pah Conley stand committed to the County Jail of Parmah County for the full period of one day and till the costs of this proceedings are paid in full.

5329	State of Texas -vs- S. M. Galloway	Selling Liquor On Sunday Thursday January 17th 1889. Continued by State
5330	State of Texas -vs- S. M. Galloway	Selling Liquor On Sunday Thursday January 17th 1889 Continued by State
5331	State of Texas -vs- S. M. Galloway	Selling Liquor On Sunday Thursday January 17th 1889 Continued by State
5332	State of Texas -vs- S. M. Galloway	Aggravated Assault Thursday January 17th 1889 Continued by State
5333	State of Texas -vs- S. M. Galloway	Selling Liquor on Sunday Thursday January 17th 1889. Continued by State
5361	State of Texas -vs- Perry Clark	Theft Thursday January 17th 1889. Continued by Consent

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Friday Morning 9 o'clock Jany 18-1889. Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

4974	State of Texas -vs- John Weddington	Disturbing the Peace Friday January 18th 1889 Continued by State
4978	State of Texas -vs- John Weddington	Threatening to take life of another Friday January 18th 1889. Continued by State
5253	State of Texas -vs- Jack Coleman	Carrying a Pistol Friday January 18th 1889. Continued by Defh
5251	State of Texas -vs- Jack Coleman	Threatening to take life of another Friday January 18th 1889. Continued by Defh.
5278	State of Texas -vs- Jim Drum	Selling Liquor without License Friday January 18th 1889. This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons, says he will no more prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss same, and it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Jim Drum has paid his Tax and all costs accrued herein to date It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jim Drum go hence without day.
5279	State of Texas -vs- Dan Garvey	Selling Liquor without License Friday January 18th 1889 This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State

and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein, says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss same. And it appearing to the Court that the defendant Dan Garvey has paid his Tax and all Costs accrued herein to date. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Dan Garvey go hence without day.

5280 State of Texas } Selling Liquor without License
-vs- } Friday January 18th 1889.
John Limmer }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty.

5280 State of Texas } Selling Liquor without License
-vs- } Friday January 18th 1889.
John Limmer }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Indictment being read to the Court the defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel, adjudged the Defendant Not Guilty.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this suit and that the Defendant John Limmer go hence without day.

5290

State of Texas } Selling Whiskey on Sunday
-vs- } Friday January 18th 1889.
J. J. Razor

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit: M. J. Lewis and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Indictment being read to the Jury the Defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty, whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the Jury find the Defendant Not Guilty"
M. J. Lewis Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant J. J. Razor go hence without day.

5291

State of Texas } Selling Whiskey on Sunday
-vs- } Friday January 18th 1889
Jim Dunn

Continued by State

5321

State of Texas } Theft
-vs- } Friday January 18th 1889
Charles Mereseau

✓

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 and 20 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from

the Defendant Chas. Mereveau the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Twenty days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full

5327 State of Texas —vs— Walter Durham } Aggravated Assault
Friday January 18th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein. and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled.
Defendant excepts and in Open Court gives Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

5357 State of Texas —vs— Theodore Thomas } Carrying a Pistol
Friday January 18th 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, and it further appearing to the Court that said defendant has filed his request in writing asking that said Motion for a New Trial herein be not granted. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

5360 State of Texas } Carrying a Knife
-vs- } Friday January 18th 1889.
Gid Hooper }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit; O. H. McAnally and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information having been read to the Jury the Defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty, Thereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their Verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the Jury find Defendant Not Guilty;
O. H. McAnally. Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Gid Hooper go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock

Saturday Morning 9 o'clock January 19-1889
Court met pursuant to adjournment
present as on yesterday

5035 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
-vs- } Saturday January 19th 1889
Tom. Dewitt }
Continued by State

5036 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
-vs- } Saturday January 19th 1889.
W. L. Robinson }
Continued by State

5048 State of Texas -vs- Robt Cranbury } Aggravated Assault Saturday January 19th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein, says he will no further prosecute this suit and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Robt Cranbury go hence without day.

5302 State of Texas -vs- Link Forrest } Vagrancy Saturday January 19th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Special Plea of former acquittal Filed herein, and it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Link Forrest has been tried and acquitted, of the same offense as set forth in the Indictment herein, in the Recorder's Court of the City of Fort Worth, It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Link Forrest go hence without day.

5307 State of Texas -vs- Jim Collins } Vagrancy Saturday January 19th 1889

✓ This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover

of and from the Defendant Jim Collins the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5310 State of Texas vs Jim Barkley } Causing Disturbance at an Election Saturday January 19th 1889.

This day come the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the Indictment herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. Deft excepts

5310 State of Texas — vs — Jim Barkley } Causing Disturbance at an Election Saturday January 19th 1889.

Continued by State

5311 State of Texas — vs — Lon Barkley } Carrying a Pistol within half mile of Voting Place day of Election Saturday January 19th 1889

Continued by State

5326 State of Texas — vs — Al. Hicks and May Combs } Adultery Saturday January 19th 1889

Continued by State

5340 State of Texas — vs — Joe. Madden } Carrying a Dagger Saturday January 19th 1889

Continued by Consent.

5336 — State of Texas -vs- Bud Brown } Aggravated Assault Saturday January 19th 1889.

It appearing to the Court that the order made dismissing this suit heretofore on to-wit: January 9th 1889, was made in error it is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said order be and the same is hereby cancelled and for nought held and that this case to-wit: The State of Texas -vs- Bud Brown No. 5336 be and the same is hereby reinstated on the Docket of this Court.

5336 — State of Texas -vs- Bud Brown } Aggravated Assault Saturday January 19th 1889 Continued by State.

5362 — State of Texas -vs- Gid Hooper } Assault Saturday January 19th 1889. Continued by Consent

5363 — State of Texas -vs- Ed. Loving } Carrying a Pistol Saturday January 19th 1889 Continued by Consent.

5364 — State of Texas -vs- Ed. Loving } Disk. the Peace Saturday January 19th 1889. Continued by Consent.

5373 — State of Texas -vs- Patrick } Vagrancy Friday January 18th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this cause. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court

that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Patrick go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday morning at 9 o'clock.

Court in session Thursday January 24th 1889
Present as on beginning of Plea

5267 State of Texas / J. B. Beckham } Theft / Thursday January 24th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00 and 20 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. B. Beckham the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff of Tarrant County take said Defendant and confine him in the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full and for the further period of Twenty days.

5247 The City of Fort Worth / Mark Davis } Reinstatement / Friday January 25th 1889

It appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the order heretofore made on 10th inst January 9th 1889 dismissing this this suit was made in error, It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said order be and the same is hereby cancelled and

for nought held and that said cause to-wit; The City of Fort Worth -vs- Mark Davis No 5247, be and the same is hereby reinstated and stand for trial in regular course upon the Docket of this Court.

Court in Session Tuesday January 29th 1889. Present as on beginning of Term.

5399 State of Texas -vs- George Silas } Theft Tuesday January 29th 1889

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00 and 10 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Silas, the sum Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

Court in Session Friday February 1st 1889 Present as on beginning of Term

5325 State of Texas -vs- John Nolan } Withdrawal of Notice of Appeal Friday February 1st 1889.

This day came the Defendant in above cause and in open Court asked permission to withdraw his Notice of Appeal given herein January 10th 1889. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Notice of Appeal be and the same is hereby withdrawn and that said

Defendant John Nolan stand committed to the County Jail till the Judgment rendered herein January 7th 1889, be satisfied in full.

5411 State of Texas -vs- Joe McMillan } Carrying a Pistol
Saturday February 2nd 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and 20 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Joe McMillan the sum of Twenty-five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered by the Court that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Twenty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Court in Session Monday Morning February 4th 1889. Present as on beginning of Term

✓

14463 City of Fort Worth -vs- Jim Drum } Selling Liquor on Sunday
Monday February 4-1889

This day came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this suit It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jim Drum go hence without day.

11461/4 City of Fort Worth } Selling Liquor on Sunday
-vs- } Monday February 4-1889.
Jack Coleman

This day came the City Atty and for good and sufficient reasons, says he will no further prosecute this suit. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jack Coleman go hence without day.

11631 City of Fort Worth } Disturbing the Peace
-vs- } Monday February 4-1889
J. J. Willhoit

This day came the City attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this suit. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defft J. J. Willhoit go hence without day.

11634 City of Fort Worth } Disturbing the Peace
-vs- } Monday February 4-1889
J. P. Cross

Alias 2nd Continued

4765 City of Fort Worth } Fighting in Public Place
-vs- } Monday February 4-1889
Dan Whitesides

This day came the City atty and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this suit. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Dan Whitesides go hence without day

5003 City of Fort Worth -vs- Mrs. Kierholder } Leaving Horse Unhitched Monday February 4-1889

This day came on to be heard the motion of Defendant to quash the complaint herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon Because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that the Defendant Mrs Kierholder go hence without day

5006 City of Fort Worth -vs- Nena Thompson } Vagrancy Monday February 4-1889

This day came the City Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this Suit. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Nena Thompson go hence without day.

"Nolle Prosequi"

Now comes the City Attorney Prosecuting the Pleas of the City of Fort Worth and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute the following cases to-wit:

5007 City of Fort Worth -vs- Louis Miller Fighting

5010 City of Fort Worth -vs- W. L. North Nuisance

5011 City of Fort Worth -vs- W. J. Harding Nuisance

5016 City of Fort Worth -vs- Dan Whitesides Nuisance

5013 City of Fort Worth -vs- Bell Wilson Disturbing the Peace

5022 City of Fort Worth -vs- Toney Reeder
Disturbing the Peace

5024 City of Fort Worth -vs- W. B. Taylor
Nuisance

5059 City of Fort Worth -vs- J. Coleman
Fighting in Public Place

5060 City of Fort Worth -vs- D. W. Massengale
Assault

5062 City of Fort Worth -vs- Mary Ike
Abusive Language

5071 City of Fort Worth -vs- Laura White
Vagrancy

5239 City of Fort Worth -vs- J. J. Robinson
Fighting

5240 City of Fort Worth -vs- C. E. Robinson
Fighting

5245 City of Fort Worth -vs- D. W. Massengale
Interfering with an officer

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants herein go hence without day.

5012 City of Fort Worth -vs- A. Hinson } Nuisance
Monday February 4-1889
Alias and Continued

5018 City of Fort Worth -vs- James McGinnis } Disturbing the Peace
Monday February 4-1889

✓ This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant James McGinnis as principal and Chas Schleiber surety on Appeal Bond the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from

which this case was appealed, for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full

5063 City of Fort Worth -vs- J. C. Crowdus } Nuisance Monday February 1889

This day came on to be heard Defendant's motion for leave to file amended bond herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be sustained

5067 City of Fort Worth -vs- George Wallace } Assault Monday February 4-1889

Continued by Defendant for witness J. H. Light

5242 City of Fort Worth -vs- Mike Chambless } Disturbing the Peace Monday February 4-1889

This day came on to be heard Defendants motion to quash the complaint herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be overruled

5243 City of Fort Worth -vs- A. J. Anderson } Permitting a Nuisance Monday February 4-1889

This day came on to be heard Defendants motion to quash the Complaint herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion

of the Court that the law is for Defendant It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said Defendant A. J. Anderson go hence without day.

5401 City of Fort Worth } Disturbing the Peace
~vs~ } Monday February 4-1889
W. F. Gillespie }
Continued by Consent.

5246 City of Fort Worth } Disturbing the Peace
~vs~ } Monday February 4-1889
J. McCampbell }
This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the City Attorney's Motion to Dismiss the Appeal Bond of Defendant herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is in favor of said Motion It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said decision be certified to the Court below from which this case was Appealed for observance. Further ordered that said Defendant J. McCampbell as principal and A. McCampbell and J. R McNeely as surities on Apipeal Bond pay all costs of this Appeal for which said Costs Execution may issue

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Tuesday morning 9 o'clock Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

41430 City of Fort Worth -vs- James Drum } Fighting Tuesday February 5-1889

This day came the City Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this suit. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this suit and that the Defendant James Drum go hence without day

4638 City of Fort Worth -vs- Jim Drum } Assault Tuesday February 5-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Drum as principal and Jas Liston and Tom Cavanaugh sureties on Appeal Bond the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this case was appealed for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered by the Court that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

✓

"Nolle Prosequi"

Now comes the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City of Fort Worth and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute the following cases to wit;

5001 City of Fort Worth -vs- Dunn and Garvey
Keeping Saloon open Sunday

5002 City of Fort Worth -vs- Dan Garvey
Keeping Saloon open on Sunday

5078 City of Fort Worth -vs- Jim Dunn
Nuisance

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants herein go hence without day

5023 City of Fort Worth -vs- James Dunn } Nuisance
Tuesday February 5-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant James Dunn as principal and James Liston John Murrin and B. G. Johnson sureties on Appeal the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for ~~which said fine and costs execution may issue~~. both in this Court and in the Court below from which this case was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5056 City of Fort Worth -vs- L. Bicocchi } Assault
Tuesday February 5-1889
Continued by Consent

5063. City of Fort Worth -vs- J. C. Crowdus } Nuisance
Tuesday February 5th 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit J. M. Morris and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court and announced that they could not agree. It is therefore ordered that said Jury be discharged and that this case stand for trial in regular order upon the Docket of this Court.

5079. City of Fort Worth -vs- James Dunn } Disturbing the peace
Tuesday February 5-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant James Dunn as principal and Chs. Scheiber and J. J. Kelly sureties on Appeal Bond the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant James Dunn stand committed to the County Jail till said fine & costs are paid in full.

5083 City of Fort Worth } Disturbing the Peace
-vs- } Tuesday February 5-1889
Wm Taylor }
Continued by Defendant for absence of Tom Galloway, Pete Cooper and Simm McDonald

5237 City of Fort Worth } Fighting in Public Place
-vs- } Tuesday February 5-1889
Tom Smith }
Continued by Consent.

5238 City of Fort Worth } Abusive Language
-vs- } Tuesday Febuary 5-1889
Louis Miller }
This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit H. D. Gunnels and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the complaint having been read to the jury the Defendant entered his plea of "Not Guilty". Whereupon the Jury having heard the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit.
"We the Jury find the Defendant not Guilty"
H. D. Gunnels Foreman.
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Louis Miller go hence without day.

5242 City of Fort Worth } Disturbing the Peace
-vs- } Tuesday February 5-1889
Mike Chambless }
This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matter

of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the Court having heard the evidence and argument of counsel adjudges Defendant Guilty and assesses his punishment at a Fine of $ 5.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mike Chambless as Principal and G. H. Day and Louis H Schubert Sureties on Appeal Bond the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5244 City of Fort Worth -vs- James Dunn } Interfering with an Officer
Tuesday February 5th 1889

This day came the City Atty and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this cause. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this suit and that the Defendant James Dunn go hence without day

5402 City of Fort Worth -vs- J. W. Gable } Intoxicated in Public Place
Tuesday February 5th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard Plaintiff's motion to dismiss Defendants appeal herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion it is therefore ordered by the Court that said

motion be sustained and that said decision be certified to the Court below from which this cause was appealed for observance. Further ordered that said Defendant J. W. Gable as principal and Geo W. Curtis and Harry Hatchell sureties on Appeal Bond pay all costs of this Appeal for which said costs execution may issue

5405 City of Fort Worth -vs- John Linmer } Selling Liquor on Sunday Tuesday February 5th-1889

Continued by Defendant

5407 City of Fort Worth -vs- James Dunn } Selling Liquor without paying Tax Tuesday February 5th-1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the City Attorney's motion to dismiss this suit and it appearing to the Court that the Defendant has paid his Tax and all costs herein incurred it is ordered by the Court that said motion be sustained and that the Defendant James Dunn go hence without day.

5406 City of Fort Worth -vs- Sam Adams } Selling Liquor on Sunday Tuesday February 5th-1889

Continued by the City.

5409 City of Fort Worth -vs- H. Solsberg } Disturbing the Peace Tuesday February 5th-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard Defendants motion to quash complaint herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

5409

City of Fort Worth } Disturbing the Peace
-vs- } Tuesday February 5-1889
H Solsberg

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit; F. Haile and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint having been read to the Jury the Defendant entered his plea of not Guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the Jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a Fine of $5 Five Dollars

F. Haile Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. Solsberg as principal and C.Y. McClellan surety on Appeal Bond the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full

5410

City of Fort Worth } Assault
-vs- } Tuesday Feby 5-1889
Mrs. H Solsberg

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to wit; H D Gunnells

and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint having been read to the Jury the Defendant entered her plea of "Not Guilty". Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the Jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess her punishment at Five Dollars Fine.

H O Gunnells Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mrs. H Solsberg as principal and C Y McClellan surety on Appeal Bond the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Wednesday February 6th 1889 Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

4879 City of Fort Worth -vs- D. C. Babcock } Assault. Wednesday February 6th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard Defendants Motion for a Continuance herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of

the Court that the law is against said motion It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled

4879 City of Fort Worth -vs- D. C. Babcock } Affray Wednesday February 6th 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit; F. Haile and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint having been read to the Jury the Defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at Fine of Five Dollars"

F. Haile Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of the Defendant D. C. Babcock as principal and J. A. Goodwin G. W. Newlin and H. L. Lathrop sureties on appeal Bond the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5058 City of Fort Worth vs J. W. Adams } Leaving team Unhitched Wednesday February 6-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit; H. D. Gunnells and five others who were duly elected empanneld and sworn according to law and the Complaint having been read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment a Fine of Five Dollars

H D Gunnells Foreman

✓ It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. W. Adams as principal and J. T. Collis, J. M. Taylor, R. Mathis, G. S. Miller, J. C. Richardson and O. Painpare sureties on Appeal Bond the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5082 City of Fort Worth vs M. Sheenblum } Disturbing the Peace Wednesday February 6-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful

men to-wit; J. B. Edens and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint having been read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a Fine of $5.00

J. B. Edens Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant M. Sheinblum as principal and S. Rosen, H Gens and G. M. Galloway sureties on Appeal Bond the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full

5250 City of Fort Worth -vs- Max Richen } Drunk Wednesday Feby 6th 1889

Continued by the City.

5403 City of Fort Worth -vs- Pete Turner } Disturbing the Peace Wednesday Feby 6-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; J. B. Edens

and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn as the law directs and the complaint having been read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

✓ Fort Worth Texas Feby 6th 1889, We the Jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a Fine of $ 25.00

J. B. Edens Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Pete Turner as principal and L. L. Miller surety on Appeal Bond the sum of Twenty five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

$4404 City of Fort Worth -vs- Tom Payne } Selling Liquor on Sunday Wednesday Feby 6th 1889

✓ This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit: F. Haile and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint having been read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation

returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;
We the Jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a Fine of Twenty Dollars F. Haile, Foreman
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Payne as principal and C. B. Craig, Martin Casey and S. M. Galloway sureties on Appeal Bond the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5408 City of Fort Worth vs L. Long } Leaving Team Unhitched Wednesday Feby 6-1889 Continued by Defk.

5241 City of Fort Worth vs Max Richen } Disturbing the Peace Wednesday Feby 6-1889 Continued by City

5380 State of Texas vs Wm Wynne } Theft Wednesday February 6-1889

✓ This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $50 and confinement in the County Jail for 10 days. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and

recover of and from the Defendant Wm Wynne the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

X

5414-

State of Texas
-vs-
Jim Lytle
} Theft
Wednesday February 6-1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $5.00 and 15 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Lytle the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full and for the further period of Fifteen days.

5415

State of Texas
-vs-
Wm Jones
} Theft
Wednesday February 6-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of Ten Dollars and Twenty

days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Wm Jones the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incured for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full and for the further period of Twenty days.

5015 City of Fort Worth -vs- Johnson and Nichols } Nuisance Monday Feby 4th 1889

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the Plaintiff by Attorney, and the Defendants Johnson and Nichols being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that said Defendants after having been duly convicted in the Recorder's Court of the City of Fort Worth of the Offense of "Unlawfully permitting a Nuisance" on the 26th day of May A. D 1888, did as principals enter into an appeal Bond with C W. Roberts and Mary A. Moore as sureties in the Penal sum of Thirty Eight and 70/00 Dollars jointly and severally payable to the City of Fort Worth conditioned that said Defendants F. L. Johnson and Jos. H Nichols who have taken an appeal to the County Court of Tarrant County, shall prosecute their said Appeal with effect and shall pay such fine and costs as shall be adjudged against them by the said County Court as well as other costs that may have been adjudged against them in the Court below and said Defendants having made default as aforesaid it was therefore ordered by the Court that said

Bond be declared forfeited and that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of the said F. L. Johnson and Jos. H. Nichols as principals and C. W. Roberts and Mary A. Moore as sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Thirty Eight and 70/00 Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution, and that this Judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Citation issue commanding them the said C. W. Roberts and Mary A. Moore to appear at the next term of this Court and then and there show cause, if any they can why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Alias Capias issue for the Defendants and that case be continued.

5019 City of Fort Worth vs G. S. Miller } Nuisance. Monday, February 4-1889

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the plaintiff by attorney and the Defendant G. S. Miller being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that said Defendant after having been duly convicted in the Recorders Court of the City of Fort Worth of the Offense of "Unlawfully permitting a Nuisance" on the 11th day of May A. D. 1888, did as principal enter into an Appeal Bond with D. Bronson and A. B. Frazer as sureties in the Penal sum of Sixty Dollars jointly and severally payable to the City of Fort Worth conditioned that said Defendant G. S. Miller who has taken an appeal to the County Court of Tarrant County shall prosecute his said Appeal with effect and shall pay such fine and costs as shall be adjudged against him by the said County Court as well as other costs that may have

been adjudged against him in the Court below and said Defendant having made default as aforesaid it was therefore ordered by the Court that said Bond be declared forfeited and that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of the said G. L. Miller as principal and D. Bynson and A B Frazer as sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Sixty Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and that this Judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that citation issue commanding them the said D. Bynson and A. B. Frazer to appear at the next term of this Court and then and there show cause, if any they can why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Alias Capias issue for the Defendant and that case be continued.

5057 City of Fort Worth -vs- Fred Elliott } Fighting in Public Place
Monday February 4-1889

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the plaintiff by Attorney and the Defendant Fred Elliott being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that said Defendant after having been duly convicted in the Recorders Court of the City of Fort Worth of the offense of "Unlawfully Fighting in a Public Place" on the 21st day of June A. D. 1888, did as principal enter into an appeal Bond with J. J. Kelly, J. H Robinson and A. G. Rittenard as sureties in the Penal sum of Sixty Dollars jointly and severally payable to the City of Fort Worth conditioned that said Defendant Fred Elliott who has taken an appeal to the County Court of

Tarrant County shall prosecute his said Appeal with effect and shall pay such fine and costs as shall be adjudged against him by said County Court as well as other costs which may have been adjudged against him in the Court below. And said Defendant having made default as aforesaid it was therefore ordered by the Court that said Bond be declared forfeited and that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of the said Fred Elliott as principle and J. J. Kelly, J. H. Robinson and A. G. Rittenman as sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Sixty Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and that this Judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that citation issue commanding them the said J. J. Kelly, J. H. Robinson and A. G. Rittenman to appear at the next term of this Court to show cause, if any they can why Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Alias Capias issue for the Defendant and that case be continued.

5074

City of Fort Worth
-vs-
Bob. McDill
} Disturbing The Peace
Monday February 4-1889

This day the above cause being called for Trial, came the Plaintiff by Atty and the Defendant Bob McDill being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court that said Defendant after having been duly convicted in the Recorders Court of the City of Fort Worth of the offense of "Unlawfully Disturbing the Peace" on the 23rd day of July A.D 1888 did as principal enter into an Appeal Bond with W. H Letchworth and W. H Hendricks as sureties in the penal sum of Thirty Five Dollars jointly and severally

payable to the City of Fort Worth conditioned that said Defendant Bob McDill who has taken an appeal to the County Court of Tarrant County shall prosecute his said appeal with effect and shall pay such Fine and costs as shall be adjudged against him by said County Court as well as other costs which may have been adjudged against him in the Court below And said Defendant having made default as aforesaid it was therefore ordered by the Court that said Bond be declared forfeited and that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of the said Bob McDill as principal and W. H Letchworth and W. H Hendricks as sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Thirty five Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that citation issue commanding them the said W. H Letchworth and W. H Hendricks to appear at the next term of this Court to show cause if any they can, why Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Alias Capias issue for the Defendant and that case be continued.

5075 City of Fort Worth -vs- Diana Johnson } Disturbing the Peace Monday February 4th 1889

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the Plaintiff by Attorney and the Defendant Diana Johnson being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court that said Defendant after having been duly convicted in the Recorders Court of the City of Fort Worth of "Unlawfully disturbing the Peace" on the 21st day of July A. D 1888 did as principal enter into an Appeal Bond with

W. M. Evans as surety in the Penal sum of Twenty-two Dollars, payable jointly and severally to the City of Fort Worth conditioned that said Defendant Diana Johnson who has taken an Appeal to The County Court of Tarrant County shall prosecute her said Appeal with effect and shall pay such Fine and costs as may be adjudged against her by said County Court as well as other Costs which may have been adjudged against her in the Court below. And said Defendant having made default as aforesaid, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Bond be declared forfeited and that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of the Defendant Diana Johnson as principal and W. M. Evans as surety jointly and severally the sum of Twenty-two Dollars as aforesaid together with all costs of the prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that citation issue commanding him the said W. M. Evans to appear at the next term of this Court and then and there show cause, if any he can, why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Alias Capias issue for the Defendant and that case be continued

5069 City of Fort Worth -vs- G. R. White } Nuisance Tuesday February 5th 1889

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the Plaintiff by Attorney and the Defendant G. R. White being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default And it appearing to the Court that said Defendant after having been duly convicted in the Recorders Court of the City of Fort Worth of the offense of "Unlawfully permitting a Nuisance" on the 23rd day of July A. D 1888 did as principal enter into an Appeal Bond with

E J. Smith, Nick Stroube and J. M. Williams as sureties in the Penal sum of Forty Eight Dollars jointly and severally, payable to the City of Fort Worth conditioned that said Defendant G. R. White who has taken an Appeal to the County Court of Tarrant County, shall prosecute his said appeal with effect and shall pay such Fine and costs as shall be adjudged against him by said County Court as well as other costs that may have been adjudged against him in the Court below And said Defendant having made default as aforesaid It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Bond be declared forfeited and that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of the said G. R. White as principal and E J. Smith, Nick Stroube and J. M. Williams as sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Forty Eight Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear Further ordered that citation issue to them the said E. J. Smith, Nick Stroube and J. M. Williams commanding them to appear at the next term of this Court and then and there show cause, if any they can why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Alias Capias issue for the Defendant and that case be continued

5081 City of Fort Worth vs Gus Johnson } Assault Tuesday February 5th 1889

This day the above cause being called for trial came the plaintiff by Attorney and the Defendant Gus Johnson being called under due forms of law, came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that said Defendant after having been duly convicted in the Recorder's Court of

the City of Fort Worth of the offense of "Unlawfully committing an assault" on the 4th day of August A.D 1888., did as principal enter into an Appeal Bond with Stephen Murrin as surety in the Penal sum of Thirty-seven Dollars jointly and severally payable to the City of Fort Worth conditioned that said Defendant Gus Johnson who has taken an appeal to the County Court of Tarrant County, shall prosecute his said Appeal with effect and shall pay such Fine and costs as shall be adjudged against him by the said County Court as well as other costs that may have been adjudged against him in the Court below. And said Defendant having made Default as aforesaid it was therefore ordered by the Court that said Bond be declared forfeited and that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of the said Gus Johnson as principal and Stephen Murrin as surety jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Thirty-seven Dollars together with all costs of the Prosecution, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next Term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that citation issue commanding them the said Stephen Murrin to appear at the next term of this Court and then and there show cause, if any he can, why said Defendant did not appear Further ordered that alias Capias issue for the Defendant and that case be continued.

50777 City of Fort Worth — vs — Mrs E. G. Cartwright } Disturbing The Peace Tuesday February 5th 1889

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the Plaintiff by Attorney and the Defendant Mrs E. G. Cartwright being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that said Defendant

after having been duly convicted in the Recorders Court of the City of Fort Worth of the offense of "Unlawfully Disturbing The Peace" on the 26th day of July A.D. 1888, did as principal enter into an Appeal Bond with J.M. Cartwright and W.G. Veal as sureties in the penal sum of Thirty-four and 60/100 Dollars jointly and severally payable to the City of Fort Worth conditioned that said Defendant Mrs E.J. Cartwright who has taken an Appeal to the County Court of Tarrant County, shall prosecute her said Appeal with effect and shall pay such Fine and costs as shall be adjudged against her by the said County Court as well as other costs that may have been adjudged against her in the Court below. And said Defendant having made default as aforesaid, it was therefore ordered by the Court that said Bond be declared forfeited and that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of the said Mrs E.J. Cartwright as principal and J.M. Cartwright and W.G. Veal as sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Thirty-four and 6/100 Dollars together with all costs of the Prosecution, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that citation issue commanding them the said J.M. Cartwright and W.G. Veal to appear at the next term of this Court and then and there show cause, if any they can, why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Alias Capias issue for the Defendant and that case be continued

5216

City of Fort Worth
-vs-
J. McCampbell

} Motion to Reinstate
Thursday February 7-1889

This day came on to be heard Defts motion to reinstate this cause

and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

5409 City of Fort Worth -vs- H. Solsberg } Motion in arrest of judgment Friday February 8th 1889

This day came on to be heard Defendants Motion in Arrest of Judgment and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

5403 City of Fort Worth -vs- Pete Turner } Motion for New Trial Friday February 8th 1889

This day came on to be heard Defendants motion for New Trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled

5082 City of Fort Worth -vs- M. Sheenblum } Motion for New Trial Friday February 8th 1889

This day came on to be heard Defendant's Motion for New Trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be sustained and that the judgment heretofore rendered in this case be set aside and for nought held and that this cause stand for trial in regular course upon the Docket of this Court

5374

State of Texas
-vs-
Joseph Smith
} Ex Gaming Bank & Betting at Gaming Table
Saturday February 16" 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty to the charge of "Betting at a Gaming Table" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Joseph Smith the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incured for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5058

City of Fort Worth
-vs-
J. W. Adams
} Motion for New Trial.
Monday February 18" 1889

This day came on to be heard Defendants Motion for a new trial herein. And the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Plaintiff it is therefore ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

5425

State of Texas
-vs-
Julius Russell
} Theft
Monday February 18" 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant Julius Russell in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of

law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $5.00 and 10 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Julius Russell the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten days and till said Fine and costs are paid in Full.

5242 City of Fort Worth -vs- Mike Chambless } Motion in Arrest of Judgment
Tuesday February 19th 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard Defendants Motion in Arrest of Judgment and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Plaintiff. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

5420 State of Texas -vs- Wm Farell } Vagrancy
Friday February 22d 1889.

This day came the County Atty prosecuting the Pleas of the State, also came the Defendant Wm Farell in person. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the

Defendant Wm Farrell the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incured, for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5427 State of Texas -vs- Wm Fitzgeralds } Vagrancy Friday Febuary 22-1889

This day came the County Attorney prsecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant Wm Fitzgeralds in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Wm Fitzgeralds the sum of One Dollar Fine Assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5428 State of Texas -vs- Martin Lufkin } Vagrancy Friday Febuary 22-1889

This day came the County Attorney prsecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant Martin Lufkin in person and both parties announced ready for trial A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of

law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant Martin Luffrin now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Martin Luffrin the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5429 State of Texas -vs- Edward Fancell } Vagrancy Friday February 22-1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant Edward Fancell in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Deft Edward Fancell the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5430 State of Texas -vs- James McMann } Vagrancy Friday February 22-1889

This day came the

County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also come the Defendant James McMann in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant James McMann the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5431

State of Texas
-vs-
Stanley Pamplin
} Vagrancy
Friday February 22-1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant Stanley Pamplin in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do Have and recover of and from the Deft Stanley Pamplin the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail Till said Fine and costs

are paid in full.

5432 State of Texas vs Thmas Anderson } Vagrancy Friday February 22-1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant Thos. Anderson in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Thomas Anderson the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full

5065 City of Fort Worth -vs- George Wallace } Selling Liquor on Sunday Saturday February 23-1889

This day came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City of Fort Worth and for good and sufficient reasons, says he will no further prosecute this suit. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant George Wallace go hence without day.

5247

City of Fort Worth
vs
Mark Davis

Betting at Craps
Saturday February 23-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" Whereupon the Court having heard the evidence and argument of Counsel, adjudged the Defendant Guilty and assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defts Mark Davis as principal and G. S. Andrews and N. F. Lake sureties on appeal Bond the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid Together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said Fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5061/4

City of Fort Worth
-vs-
James Kelly

Assault
Monday February 25-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Court having heard the evidence and argument of counsel adjudged the Defendant "not Guilty" It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defts James Kelly go hence without day.

5439

State of Texas
-vs-
Ben Stanfield
} Carrying a Pistol
Saturday March 2nd 1889

This day came the County Atty prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant Ben Stanfield in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00 and 20 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ben Stanfield the sum of Twenty Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant Stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Twenty days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

✓

5077

City of Fort Worth
vs
Mrs E. G. Cartwright
} Forfeiture set aside
Monday Feby 18-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and in Open Court agreed that the Forfeiture of Defendants appeal Bond herein, hereto-fore ordered on to-wit February 5th-1889 should be set aside. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Forfeiture be and the same is hereby set aside and for naught held.

Saturday ~~Morning~~ the March 2nd 1889
Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in Course

W. D. Harris.
County Judge Tarrant County

Attest:
Jno O'Kiney Clerk C.C.

County Court Tarrant County March Term 1889.

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in March A.D. 1889 the same being the 11th day of said month there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court within and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas at the Court House there of in the City of Fort Worth. Present the Hon. W. D. Harris County Judge presiding, John P. King County Clerk, J. C. Richardson Sheriff and B. P. Ayers County Attorney of said County, when and where the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court to-wit;

5385 State of Texas -vs- Frank Garett } Aggravated Assault
Monday March 4th 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty of Simple Assault" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Garett the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand comitted to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

Venire Facias

Now comes J. C. Richardson Sheriff of Tarrant County and returned into open Court the Venire Facias for this First week of the March Term of the Court and upon calling the names of the Jurors

summoned Five qualified Jurors appeared to-wit: John Lutz, H. C. Mosely, John Peterson, F. P. Pendery and Mark Ozee which were duly sworn and tried and placed upon the Panel for the week. And it appearing to the Court that the Panel is incomplete, it is therefore ordered that the Sheriff summon qualified Jurors sufficient to fill the panal. And the said Sheriff being duly sworn as the law directs, brought into Court the following named qualified Jurors to-wit: W. L. Dorsey, John S. Loving, J. H. Webb, R. Hedges, Frank Walker, Lon Blair and John T. Wilkes. And all of said parties being duly sworn and tried were placed upon the said panel.

5412

State of Texas
-vs-
Tom Spikes
} Theft
Monday March 4th 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $5.00 and 5 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Spikes the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Five days and until said Fine and Costs are paid in full

5422

State of Texas
-vs-
Charles Anderson
} Theft
Monday March 4th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $5.00 and 5 days in the County jail It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charles Anderson the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Five days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5440

State of Texas } Disturbing The Peace
~vs~ } Monday March 4-1889.
L. L. Moore }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $5.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant L. L. Moore the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Tuesday morning 9 o'clock March 5th 1889 Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

44475 State of Texas } Exhibiting Gaming Bank
-vs- } Tuesday March 5th 1889
Luke Short }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Luke Short go hence without day.

"Nolle Prosequi"

Now comes the County Attorney and says he will no further prosecute the following cases to-wit;

5029 State of Texas-vs- Frank Fassula
Disturbing the Peace.

5057 State of Texas-vs- Fred King.
Disturbing the Peace.

5096 State of Texas-vs- John Malloy
Carrying a Pistol

5185 State of Texas-vs-Alonzo Manning
Playing Cards in a Public Place

5188 State of Texas-vs-Charley Wilson
Playing Cards in a Public Place

5190 State of Texas-vs- Bill Marks
Playing Cards in a Public Place

5191 State of Texas-vs- Henry Machin
Playing Cards in a Public Place

5193 State of Texas -vs- Gabe Thomas
Betting at Game played with Dice

5199 State of Texas -vs- Tom Harris
Playing cards in a Public Place

5200 State of Texas -vs- Josh Ellis and Will Ellis
Betting at Dice

5201 State of Texas vs- Frank Moody, Jack Davis & Alex Perkins
Betting at Dice

5202 State of Texas -vs- Dick Runyon
Betting at Dice

5203 State of Texas -vs- Hugh Ellzier
Betting at Dice

5261 State of Texas -vs- Tom Smith & Lizzie Hanson
Adultery

5276 State of Texas -vs- Merritt
Selling Liquor without License

5277 State of Texas -vs- W. F. Fanning.
Selling Liquor Without License

5304 State of Texas -vs- Smythie Garland
Vagrancy

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of said prosecutions and that the Defendants therein go hence without day.

Alias Capias and Continued

Ordered that Alias Capias issue for the Defendants in the following cases returnable to the next term of this Court and that said cases be continued to-wit:

4603 State of Texas -vs- D. R. Hawkins
Carrying a Pistol

4771 State of Texas -vs- John Metcalfe
Carrying a Pistol

4808, 4809, 4810, 4821 State of Texas -vs- Moze Coffee
Ex-Gaming Bank

4820 State of Texas -vs- Ed Mahoney
Ex. Gaming Bank

4907	State of Texas	vs- Hugh Wells Keeping Saloon Open On Sunday
4910	State of Texas	vs- Hugh Wells Carrying a Pistol
4956	State of Texas	vs- Luther Spahr Ex Gaming Bank
4957	State of Texas	vs- J. E. Walker Theft
4979	State of Texas	vs- John Van Zandt et al Failing to Work Public Road
4982	State of Texas	vs- J. A. Wright Carrying a Pistol
4983	State of Texas	vs- J. A. Wright Aggravated Assault
4986	State of Texas	vs- E. R. Wooldredge Theft
5028	State of Texas	vs- Fred Elliotts Theft
5031	State of Texas	vs- Ross Palmer et al Assault
5098	State of Texas	vs- Baily Bays Aggravated Assault
5119	State of Texas	vs- Cal Smith Betting at Game of Dice
5139	State of Texas	vs- Horace Mabry Aggravated Assault
5148½	State of Texas	vs- Gus White Ex Gaming Bank
5152	State of Texas	vs- Gus White Betting at Game Dice
5171	State of Texas	vs- Frank Arwine Aggravated Assault
5172	State of Texas	vs- Frank Arwine Aggravated Assault
5173	State of Texas	vs- Henry Dukes Carrying a Pair of Knucks
5208	State of Texas	vs- N. G. Bettenbaugh Aggravated Asbault
5233	State of Texas	vs- John Cundiffe Vagrancy
5265	State of Texas	vs- Chas. Lee Theft

5284 State of Texas -vs- C. W. Rienets
Theft

5312 State of Texas -vs- Harvey Harold
Theft

5313 State of Texas -vs- John Madden
Carrying a Pistol

5314 State of Texas -vs- John (a colored man)
Ex. Gaming Table

5342 State of Texas -vs- Bob Foreman
Theft.

5344 State of Texas -vs- Buford
Theft

5347 State of Texas -vs- Wm Walden
Theft

5348 State of Texas -vs- Peter Hubbs
Theft

5417 State of Texas -vs- Charles Cooper
Theft

5418 State of Texas -vs- Frank Sands
Embezzlement under $20.00

5420 State of Texas -vs- James Tullis
Injuring & Defacing Public Build

5423 State of Texas -vs- Walter Durham
Disturbing the Peace

5435 State of Texas -vs- Stanley Pamplin
Carrying a Knife

5436 State of Texas -vs- Thomas Anderson
Carrying a Pistol.

--- Filed ---

It appearing to the Court that the sheriff has been unable to arrest the Defendants in the following cases it is therefore ordered that said cases be taken from the trial Docket and remain on File till such time as the Defendants therein can be arested viz;

4625 State of Texas -vs- Charley Davis
Theft

4968 State of Texas -vs- Jasper McLean
Disturbing the Peace

5168 State of Texas -vs- Longfellow
Ex Gahring Bank

5300 State of Texas -vs- Chas. Osmore & Rebecca Kimball
Adultery

5267 State of Texas -vs- Jeff Daggett } Carrying A Pistol
Tuesday March 5th 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this cause for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jeff Daggett go hence without day.

5287 State of Texas -vs- John Cutbirth } Theft
Tuesday March 5th 1889
Continued

5297 State of Texas -vs- Jim Westbrook } Theft
Tuesday March 5th 1889
Continued

5375
5397 State of Texas -vs- George Frazer } Theft
Tuesday March 5th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00 and 30 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the

Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Frazer the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5376 State of Texas -vs- Chas. Whitney } Theft March 5th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00 and 30 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas Whitney the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5397 State of Texas -vs- Frank Peterson } Exhibiting Gaming Bank Tuesday March 5th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial and the Defendant now here

suggests to the Court that his name is Peters not Peterson. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Court having heard the evidence and argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises adjudged the Defendant "Not Guilty" It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Frank Peterson or Peters go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock A.

Wednesday morning 9 o'clock March 6" 1889. Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on Yesterday

5151 State of Texas -vs- Lem Ray } Betting at Game of Dice Wednesday March 6" 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein, says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Lem Ray go hence without day

5372 State of Texas -vs- Chas Cook } Theft Wednesday March 6-1889 Continued.

5128

State of Texas } Keeping a Disorderly House
-vs- } Wednesday March 6th 1889
You Lee

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: F. P. Pendery and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law And the Indictment being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty". Thereupon the Jury having heard the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit

N.D.

"We the Jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a Fine of $100.00 One hundred Dollars

F. P. Pendery Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant You Lee the sum of One hundred Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5132

State of Texas } Keeping a Disorderly House
-vs- } Wednesday March 6-1889.
Hop Lee

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of

fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises, adjudged the Defendant "Guilty" and assessed his punishment at a Fine of $100.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Hop Lee the sum of One Hundred Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full. Defendant excepts.

54444 State of Texas -vs- George Heard } Theft

Wednesday March 6th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00 and 10 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant George Heard the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said Fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5424 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
-vs- } Wednesday March 6th 1889
Jene Deems

This day came on to be heard Defendants motion to quash information herein and it appearing to the Court upon examination that there is no jurat attached to said Information it is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be sustained. Further ordered that the County Attorney have leave to withdraw Complaint herein, and that Defendant be held in Custody until new information is filed.

5443 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
-vs- } Wednesday March 6-1889
Jene Deems

Ordered by the Court that Clerk have leave to put his file mark and proper number on Complaint before Deft announces ready for trial.

5443 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
-vs- } Wednesday March 6-1889
Jene Deems

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard Defendants motion to quash Information herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion it is ordered that same be overruled. To which ruling of the Court Defendant excepts

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Thursday morning 9 o'clock March 7-1889- Court met pursuant to

adjournment present as on yesterday.

5283 State of Texas -vs- Dan Andrews } Keeping a Disorderly House
Thursday March 7th 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: F. P. Pendery and five others who were duly empannelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant entered his plea of "Not Guilty" Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty" F. P. Pendery. Forman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take Nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Dan Andrews go hence without day.

5322 State of Texas -vs- Granville Flowers } Theft
Thursday March 7-1889

This day came the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this cause. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Granville Flowers go hence without day.

5338 State of Texas -vs- R. F. Miller } Carrying a Pistol
Thursday March 7th 1889
Continued by Consent

5350 State of Texas -vs- Dave Flood } Assault
Thursday March 7th 1889
Continued By Consent

5363 State of Texas -vs- Ed Loving } Carrying a Pistol
Thursday March 7th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard Defendants motion for a Continuance of this cause because of the absence of Jack Champaign witness for the Defft. and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion It is ordered that same be overruled.

5363 State of Texas -vs- Ed Loving } Carrying a Pistol
Thursday March 7-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; J. S. Loving and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury, the Defendant entered his plea of "not Guilty," Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;
"We the Jury find the Defendant Guilty

and assess his punishment at a Fine of $25.00 and 20 days imprisonment"

J. S. Loving Foreman

It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed. Loving the sum of Twenty five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full and for the further period of Twenty days.

5382 State of Texas } Selling Whiskey On Sunday
-vs- } Thursday March 7th 1889
W. H. Ward

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. H. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

5438 State of Texas } Disturbing The Peace
-vs- } Thursday March 7-1889
Percy Markle

Continued by Consent.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Friday morning 9 o'clock March 8th 1889.
Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

4946 State of Texas -vs- Sam Farmer et al } False Imprisonment Friday March 8th 1889

This day came the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants Sam Farmer, Monroe Jackson and Sam Lane go hence without day.

4974 State of Texas -vs- John Weddington } Disturbing The Peace Friday March 8th 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Weddington the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in Full.

4978 — State of Texas -vs- John Weddington } Threatening to take life of another. Friday March 8th-1889

This day came the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this cause. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Weddington go hence without day.

5329 — State of Texas -vs- S. M. Galloway } Selling Liquor on Sunday. Friday March 8th-1889 Continued

5330 — State of Texas -vs- S. M. Galloway } Selling Liquor on Sunday. Friday March 8th 1889 Continued

5331 — State of Texas -vs- S. M. Galloway } Selling Liquor on Sunday. Friday March 8th 1889 Continued

5332 — State of Texas -vs- S. M. Galloway } Aggravated Assault. Friday March 8th 1889 Continued

5333 — State of Texas -vs- S. M. Galloway } Selling Liquor on Sunday. Friday March 8th 1889 Continued

5368 — State of Texas -vs- G. L. McFarland } Carrying a Pistol. Friday March 8th 1889 Continued By Consent

5369 State of Texas -vs- G. L. McFarland and Ellen McAfee } Fornication
Friday March 8th 1889
Continued by Consent

5383 State of Texas -vs- Joe Gerard } Selling Whiskey On Sunday
Friday March 8th 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty." whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Joe Gerard the Sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5386 State of Texas -vs- Joe Wilhoit } Aggravated Assault
Friday March 8th 1889
Continued by Defendant
for absence of Bill Blevens, Frank Glover and John Blevens

5433 State of Texas -vs- Billy Wise } Assault and Battery
Friday March 8th 1889
Continued By The State

5434 State of Texas -vs- Billy Wise } Carrying a Pistol
Friday March 8th 1889

This day came the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons Filed with the papers herein, says he will no further prosecute this cause. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Billy Wise go hence without day.

5437 State of Texas -vs- Sam Gill } Aggravated Assault
Friday March 8th 1889

Continued By Consent.

5291 State of Texas -vs- Jim Dunn } Selling Whiskey On Sunday
Friday March 8th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "not Guilty" whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises adjudged the Defendant "not Guilty". It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jim Dunn go hence without day.

5363 State of Texas -vs- Ed. Loving } Carrying a Pistol
Friday March 8th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and there came on to be heard Defendants motion in arrest of Judgment herein. And the argument of Counsel being

heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Motion It is therefore ordered that said Motion be and the same is hereby sustained, that the judgment heretofore, to-wit; March 7th 1889, rendered, be set aside and for nought held and that the Defendant Ed. Loving go hence without day.

5378 State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol
-vs- } Friday March 8th 1889
Jim Rushing }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; F. P. Pendery and Five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" Thereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;
"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty" F. P. Pendery Foreman
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jim Rushing go hence without day.

5392 State of Texas } Theft
-vs- } Friday March 8th 1889
C. A. Tooley }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted

to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises adjudged the Defendant Guilty and assessed his punishment at a Fine of $100.00 and 60 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant C. A. Tooley the sum of One hundred Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in Full and for the further period of Sixty days.

✓ Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday morning at 9 o'clock.

Monday morning 9 o'clock March 11th 1889 Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on Friday

5128 State of Texas } Motion For New Trial
-vs- } Monday March 11th 1889
You Lee }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard Defendant's Motion for a new trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the Law is for the Defendant it is therefore ordered that said Motion be and the same is hereby sustained. That the

judgment heretofore rendered herein be set aside and for naught held and that this cause stand for trial in regular course upon the Docket of this Court.

5132 State of Texas } Motion in Arrest of Judgment
-vs- } Monday March 11th 1889
Hop. Lee }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard Defendants Motion in arrest of judgment and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion, it is therefore ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

5132 State of Texas } Recognizance
-vs- } Monday March 11th 1889
Hop Lee }

This day came into open Court Hop Lee Defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with Long Sang and N. B. Harrison, his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the Penal sum of Four Hundred Dollars; conditioned that the said Hop Lee who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "Unlawfully Keeping a Disorderly House and who has been convicted of said offence in this Court, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

Now comes the County Atty prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein, says he will no further prosecute the following cases to-wit;

5035 State of Texas -vs- Tom Dewitt } Aggravated Assault

5036 State of Texas -vs- W. L. Robinson } Aggravated Assault

5211 State of Texas -vs- Joe Moody } Selling Liquor To Minor

and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants therein go hence without day.

5384 State of Texas -vs- Jeff Daggett } Aggravated Assault
Monday March 11th 1889
Continued By Consent

5449 State of Texas -vs- Will Lynch } Vagrancy
Monday March 11th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Will Lynch in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $5.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of

Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will Lynch the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5450 State of Texas
-vs-
W. A. Passmore
} Vagrancy
Monday March 11th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant W. A. Passmore in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $5.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant W. A. Passmore the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Deft stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5451 State of Texas
-vs-
Fred Fink
} Vagrancy
Monday March 11th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Fred Fink in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court

and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Fred Fink the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5452 State of Texas -vs- Ed Myers } Vagrancy
Monday March 12th 1889

This day came the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Ed Myers go hence without day.

"Venire Facias"

Now comes J. C. Richardson Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into Open Court the Venire Facias for this second week of the March Term of the Court and upon calling the names of the Jurors summoned, three qualified Jurors appeared to-wit: E. B. Mandy, W. F. Mahan, and W. McPherson who were duly sworn and tried and placed upon the Docket for the week. And it appearing to the Court that the Panel is incomplete, it is therefore ordered that the Sheriff summon qualified jurors sufficient to fill the panel. And the said

Sheriff being duly sworn as the law directs brought into Court the following named qualified Jurors, to-wit; B. W. Coffman, C. B. Doggett, George Norton, Chas. Chamsworth, J. M. Henderson, J. A. Prince, John Dawson, A. M. Barnett, and Frank Jones. And all of said parties being duly sworn and tried were placed upon the said panel.

5055 State of Texas -vs- Jim Dunn } Aggravated Assault Monday March 11" 1889 Continued by Deft.

5442 State of Texas -vs- Lizzie Travis } Assault Monday March 11" 1889 Continued By Consent

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning 9 o'clock March 12" 1889 Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

5209 State of Texas -vs- C. W. Hargroves } Carrying a Pistol Tuesday March 12-1889 Continued by Consent

5340 State of Texas -vs- Joe Madden } Carrying a Dagger Tuesday March 12 1889 Bond Forfeited Alias Cml Continued

5390 State of Texas -vs- Church Smith } Carrying Brass Knuckles Tuesday March 12-1889

Alias and Continued

5391 State of Texas -vs- W. M. Miller } Selling Liquor in Local Option Precinct Tuesday March 12-1889

Continued by Consent

5441 State of Texas -vs- C. C. Guinn } Carrying a Pistol Tuesday March 12-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "not Guilty" whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises adjudged the Defendant not Guilty. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant C C Guinn go hence without day

5421 State of Texas -vs- M. A. Dodge } Aggravated Assault Tuesday March 12-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. W. Henderson Jr. and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and

receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict; and after due deliberation returned into open Court and announced that they could not agree. It is therefore ordered that said jury be discharged and that this case stand for trial in regular order upon the Docket of this Court.

5388

State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol
-vs- } Tuesday March 12th 1889
Jim Rucker

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; B. K. Coffman and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"
Signed B. K. Coffman Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jim Rucker go hence without day.

5389

State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol
-vs- } Tuesday March 12th 1889
Clark Moore

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; J. M. Henderson Jr. and five others, who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law

and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Deft not Guilty as charged" J. M. Henderson Jr. Foreman It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Deft. Clark Moore go hence without day.

5419 State of Texas -vs- Lou Furnish } Disturbing The Peace Tuesday March 12" 1889

This day come the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; John Dawson and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read the Defendant entered his plea of "Not Guilty," whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty" John Dawson Foreman It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Lou Furnish go hence without day

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Wednesday morning 9 o'clock March 13th 1889 Court met pursuant to adjournment

present as on yesterday

5398 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
– vs –
D. A. Roberts } Wednesday March 13th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant D. A. Roberts the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

✓

5454 State of Texas } Theft
– vs –
James White } Wednesday March 13th 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $5.00 and 5 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant James White the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full and for the

further period of Five days.

5443 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
-vs- } Wednesday March 13-1889
Jerre Deems }

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: C. B. Daggett and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." whereupon the Jury, after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

✓ "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at six months imprisonment in County Jail and a Fine of One Hundred and Fifty Dollars."

C. B. Daggett Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jerre Deems the sum of One Hundred and Fifty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of six months and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

5443

State of Texas
-vs-
Jere Deems
} Recognizance
Wednesday March 13-1889

This day came into open Court Jere Deems, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with Wm Ward and John Ward his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Seven Hundred Dollars; conditioned, that the said Jere Deems who stands charged in this Court with the offense of Aggravated assault and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court, shall appear before this Court from day to day, and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

5336

State of Texas
-vs-
Bud Brown
} Aggravated Assault
Tuesday March 12th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: C. B. Daggett and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant entered his plea of "not Guilty" whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty of Simple assault and assess his Fine at Five Dollars

C B. Daggett Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bud Brown the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5364

State of Texas
-vs-
Ed Loving
} Disturbing the Peace
Wednesday March 13-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed. Loving the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5445

The State of Texas
-vs-
T. E. Ridgeway
} Assault and Battery
Wednesday March 13th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; J. M. Henderson Jr and five others who were duly selected empanneled

and sworn according to law, And the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant entered his plea of "not Guilty" Thereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defd guilty and assess his punishment at a Fine of ten ($10.00) Dollars

J. M. Henderson Jr. Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant T. E. Ridgeway the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5447

State of Texas
-vs-
Jim Rushing
} Aggravated Assault
Wednesday March 13-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; Frank Jones and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty of an aggravated assault and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars

Frank James Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Rushing the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5443 State of Texas -vs- Jesse Deems } Motion for New Trial Wednesday March 13-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard Defendants Motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion It is therefore ordered that said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in Open Court gives Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

5336 State of Texas -vs- Bud Brown } Motion for New Trial Wednesday March 13-1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard Defendants Motion for a New Trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion It is therefore ordered that said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

Ordered That Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Thursday morning 9 o'clock March 14th 1889 Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

5164 State of Texas -vs- D. C Babcock and S. Y. Holland } Adultery Thursday March 14-1889 Continued By Consent

5255 State of Texas -vs- Jack Coleman } Threatening To Take Life Of Another Thursday March 14th -1889 Continued By Consent

5379 State of Texas -vs- Jim Rushing } Aggravated Assault Thursday March 14th -1889 Continued By Consent

5446 State of Texas -vs- Jim Rushing } Carrying A Pistol Thursday March 14th -1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this cause and moved the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jim Rushing go hence without day.

5253 State of Texas -vs- Jack Coleman } Carrying A Pistol Thursday March 14th 1889

This day came the parties

by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; C B. Daggett and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entred his plea of "not Guilty." Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a Fine of Twenty five Dollars and imprisonment of Twenty days in Jail"

C. B. Daggett Forman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jack Coleman the sum of Twenty five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid to-gether with all costs herein incured for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full and for the further period of Twenty days.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn, till to-morrow morning at 9 O'clock

Friday morning 9 o'clock March 16" 1889 Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5128

State of Texas } Motion to Quash
-vs- } Friday March 15th-1889
You Lee

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard Defendants Motion to Quash the Indictment herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion It is ordered by the Court that same be and is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court Defendant excepts

5128

State of Texas } Keeping a Disorderly House
-vs- } Friday March 15th-1889
You Lee

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Agiry being waived the Matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty, whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises, adjudged the Defendant not Guilty. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant You Lee go hence without day.

5'310

State of Texas } Causing Disturbance at an Election
-vs- } Friday March 15th 1889
Jim Barkley

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit:

C. B. Daggett and Five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his Plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:—

"We the Jury find the Defendant Not Guilty."

C. B. Daggett Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take Nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jim Barkley go hence without day

6381

State of Texas
-vs-
Will Murchison
} Aggravated Assault
Friday March 15th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Will Murchison in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises, adjudged the Defendant "Guilty of Simple Assault" and assessed his punishment at a Fine of $5.00

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will Murchison the Sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together

with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Saturday Morning 9 o'clock March 16th 1889 Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5311 State of Texas -vs- Lon Barkley } Carrying a Pistol within half mile of Voting Place on day of Election. Saturday March 16th 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; B. K. Coffman and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the Jury the Defendant entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a Fine of One Hundred Dollars"

B. K. Coffman Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lon Barkley the sum of One Hundred Dollars Fine assessed

Remanded to custody

as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday Morning at 9 o'clock.

Monday Morning 9 o'clock March 18th 1889
Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on Saturday

5253- State of Texas } Motion for New Trial
-vs- } Monday March 18th 1889
Jack Coleman }

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard Defendants Motion for a New Trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion, It is therefore ordered that said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in Open Court gives Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas

5253 State of Texas } Recognizance
-vs- } Monday March 18th 1889
Jack Coleman }

This day came into open Court Jack Coleman, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with M.A. Chambers and D.M. Nicoll his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Three Hundred Dollars; conditioned that the said Jack Coleman who stands charged in this Court with

the offense of "Unlawfully carrying on and about his person a Pistol, and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case

5435 State of Texas -vs- Stanley Pamplin } Carrying a Knife
Monday March 18" 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Stanley Pamplin in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the Matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00 and 20 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Stanley Pamplin the sum of Twenty Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full and for the further period of Twenty days

5436 State of Texas -vs- Thomas Anderson } Carrying a Pistol
Monday March 18" 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Thomas Anderson

in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00 and 20 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Thomas Anderson the sum of Twenty five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incured for which said Fine and costs ✓ execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Twenty days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock

Tuesday morning 9 o'clock March 19th 1889
Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

5461 State of Texas
-vs-
J. B. Cunningham } Plea
Tuesday March 19th 1889

✓ This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant J. B. Cunningham in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $15.00 and 5 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of

Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. B. Cunningham the sum of Fifteen Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Five days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5543 ✓

State of Texas } Theft
-vs- } Monday March 25-1889
Nathan Cook }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Nathan Cook in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of Twenty Dollars and Thirty days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Nathan Cook the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5311

State of Texas } Motion For New Trial
-vs- } Monday March 25th 1889
Lon Barkley }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be

heard by the Court the Defendant's motion for a New Trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the Defendant it is ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which Ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in Open Court gives notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

State of Texas } No 5311
-vs- } Monday March 25th A.D 1889
Lon Barkley }

This day came into open Court Lon Barkley, Defendant in the above entitled cause who, together with W. C. Henderson and A.B. Clark his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Four Hundred Dollars, conditioned, that the said Lon Barkley, who stands charged in this Court by Indictment wherein he is charged with the offence as follows, to-wit: "That he, the said Lon Barkley, in the County of Tarrant and State of Texas on the 6th day of November 1888 with force and arms did unlawfully, not being a Peace Officer, carry a pistol on a day of Election, that is, when a lawful Election was then and there being held, and did carry the said Pistol within the hours that the polls of said Election were open and within the distance of one half mile of a Poll or voting place where said election was being held known as the Birdville voting box in Tarrant County contrary to the form of the Statute in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State" and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court, shall appear before this Court from day to day, and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this

Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

5546 State of Texas -vs- Strand Harrison } Playing Cards in Public Place Thursday March 28" 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Strand Harrison the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid to-gether with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5556 State of Texas -vs- R. J. Bissett } Theft Saturday March 30" 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announce ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of Law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $ 5.00 and 8 hours in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have

and recover of and from the Defendant P. J. Bissett the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Eight hours and till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

5549 State of Texas } Vagrancy
-vs- } Monday April 1st 1889
Harry Leonard }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant Harry Leonard in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered ✓ his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Harry Leonard the Sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5550 State of Texas } Vagrancy
-vs- } Monday April 1st 1889
John Riley }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant John Riley in person and both parties announced ready ✓ for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here

entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Riley the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the county Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5551 State of Texas -vs- James Smith } Vagrancy Monday April 1st 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant James Smith in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant James Smith the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5552 ✓ State of Texas -vs- August Pluto } Vagrancy Monday April 1st 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State

also came the Defendant August Pluto in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant August Pluto the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5553 State of Texas } Vagrancy
-vs- } Monday April 1st 1889
Charley Cosby }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Charley Cosby in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charley Cosby the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5554 State of Texas } Vagrancy
-vs- } Monday April 1st 1889
John Martin }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant John Martin in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Martin the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5555 State of Texas } Vagrancy
-vs- } Monday April 1st 1889.
Charles Cobb }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Charles Cobb in person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charles Cobb the sum of One Dollar Fine

assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5287 State of Texas } Theft
-vs- } Wednesday April 3rd 1889
John Cutbirth }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant John Cutbirth in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $5.00 and ten days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Cutbirth the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten days and till said Fine and costs are paid in Full.

4634 City of Fort Worth } Disturbing The Peace
-vs- } Friday April 5th 1889
J. P. Cross } Alias and Continued

5056 City of Fort Worth } Assault
-vs- } Friday April 5th 1889
L. Bicocchi } This day came the

parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and being fully advised in the premises adjudged
N.J. the Defendant Guilty and assessed his punishment at a Fine of $5.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant L. Bicocchi as principal and S. B. Canley and as sureties on appeal Bond the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed, for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5067 City of Fort Worth -vs- George Wallace } Assault
Friday April 5th 1889

This day came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City of Fort Worth and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant George Wallace go hence without day.

5069 City of Fort Worth -vs- G. R. White } Permitting a Nuisance
Friday April 5th 1889

This day came the parties by

their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; W.P. Ledbeter and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the complaint being read to the jury the Defendant entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defft guilty and assess his fine at $10.00

W.P. Ledbeter Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant G.R. White as principal and E.J. Smith, Nick Stroube and J.M. Williams as sureties on Appeal Bond the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till ~~till~~ said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5077 City of Fort Worth } Disturbing the Peace
-vs- } Friday April 5th 1889
Mrs. E.G. Cartwright }

This day came the City Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Mrs E.G. Cartwright go hence

without day.

5081 City of Fort Worth -vs- Gus Johnson. } Assault Friday April 5th 1889

This day came the City Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Gus Johnson go hence without day.

5082 City of Fort Worth -vs- M. Sheenblum } Disturbing the Peace. Friday April 5th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: O. Painpare and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at one Dollar Fine

O. Painpare Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant M. Sheenblum as Principal and S. Rozen, H. Gerss and S. M. Galloway as sureties on Appeal Bond the sum of One Dollar Fine

assessed as aforsaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5083 City of Fort Worth } Disturbing the Peace
-vs- } Friday April 5th 1889
Wm Taylor

This day came the City Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this cause. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant herein Wm Taylor, has departed this life it is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that this cause be Dismissed from the Docket of this Court.

5241 City of Fort Worth } Disturbing the Peace
-vs- } Friday April 5th 1889
Max Richen

This day came the City Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to Dismiss the same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Max. Richen go hence without day.

5250 City of Fort Worth } Drunk
-vs- } Friday April 5th 1889
Max Richen

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for

trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; S. W. Castleberry and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the jury find the Deft not Guilty"

S. W. Castleberry Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Max Richen go hence without day.

5012 City of Fort Worth -vs- A. Hinson } Permitting a Nuisance Friday April 5th 1889 Alias and Continued

5015 City of Fort Worth -vs- Johnson and Nichols } Permitting a Nuisance Friday April 5th 1889 Alias and Continued

5019 City of Fort Worth -vs- G. S. Miller } Permitting a Nuisance Friday April 5th 1889 Alias and Continued

5057 City of Fort Worth -vs- Fred Elliott } Fighting in a Public Place. Friday April 5th 1889 Alias and Continued

5063 City of Fort Worth vs- J. C. Crowdus } Permitting a Nuisance Friday Aprie 5th 1889 Continued By Defendant

5074 City of Fort Worth vs- Bob Mc Dill } Disturbing The Peace Friday Aprie 5th 1889 Alias and Continued

5075 City of Fort Worth -vs- Diana Johnson } Disturbing The Peace Friday Aprie 5th 1889 Alias and Continued

5405 City of Fort Worth vs- John Limmer } Selling Liquor On Sunday Friday Aprie 5th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: O. Pairpoint and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his Fine at Thirty Dollars #30.00

O. Pairpoint Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Limmer as Principal and C. Ramick, J. F. Fogg and J. Behrens as sureties on Appeal Bond the sum of Thirty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with

No

all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5406 City of Fort Worth -vs- Sam Adams } Selling Liquor On Sunday
Friday April 5th 1889

This day came the City Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons, says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to Dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Sam Adams go hence without day.

4881 City of Fort Worth -vs- Lottie Freeman } Assault
Saturday April 6th 1889

This day came the City Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the City of Fort Worth and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Lottie Freeman go hence without day.

5061 City of Fort Worth -vs- A. G. Ruttenan } Assault
Saturday April 6th 1889

This day came the City Attorney and says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to

dismiss same. And it appearing to the Court that this Defendant has heretofore been tried and convicted on this charge It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take Nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant A. G. Rintteman go hence without day.

5237 City of Fort Worth -vs- Tom Smow } Fighting In Public Place Saturday Aprie 6th 1889

This day came the City Attorney ~~Attorney~~ and for good and sufficient reason filed with the papers herein, says he will no further prosecute this cause and he moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take Nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Tom Smow go hence without day.

5248 City of Fort Worth -vs- Annie Sandford } Assault Saturday Aprie 6th 1889

This day came the City Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Annie Sandford go hence without day.

5249 City of Fort Worth -vs- Lula Collins Errr / Errr } Disturbing The Peace Saturday Aprie 6th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as Errr

5249

City of Fort Worth } Disturbing The Peace
-vs- } Saturday Aprie 6th 1889
Lula Collins }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; W. J. Packabery and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the complaint being read to the Jury the Defendant entered her plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the Jury find Deft. Guilty and assess the fine @ $20.00 (Twenty Dollars)"

W. J. Packabery Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lula Collins as Principal and Jas. Mallory and C C Lanson as sureties on Appeal Bond the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5408

City of Fort Worth } Leaving Team Unhitched.
-vs- } Saturday Aprie 6th 1889
L. Long }

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit; W. J. Packabery and five others who were duly selected empannelled

and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the Jury the Defendant entered his Plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Deft Not Guilty"

W. J. Packabery Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant L. Long go hence without day.

5562 / 5563 — City of Fort Worth -vs- J. N. Adams — Refusing to Pay Meat Inspector — Saturday April 6th 1889 — Continued By The City

5566 — City of Fort Worth -vs- Viola Washington — Fighting in Public Place — Saturday April 6th 1889

This day came the City Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss same. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant herein Viola Washington has departed this life It is ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed.

5564 ✓ — City of Fort Worth -vs- John H White — Abusive Language — Saturday April 6th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; Ike Cooper and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the Jury the

Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty whereupon the ~~Court~~ Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at five dollars

Ike Cooper Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant John H White as Principal and W. A. Huffman and W. D. L. Bonn as Sureties on Appeal Bond the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below "for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5565

City of Fort Worth
-vs-
Andy Rushing
} Disturbing the Peace
Saturday April 6th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit; O. H Hobbs and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Jury having heard the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the jury find the Deft guilty and assess his fine at $25.00 O. H Hobbs. Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Andy Rushing as Principal and J. W. Eggleston, H. P. Shiel and Con H Hines as Sureties on Appeal Bond the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand Committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5568 City of Fort Worth —vs— Melvina Butler } Disturbing the Peace Saturday April 6th 1889

This day the above cause being called for trial came the Plaintiff by Attorney and the Defendant Melvina Butler being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that said Defendant after having been duly convicted in the Recorders Court of the City of Ft. Worth of the offense of "Unlawfully Disturbing the Peace" did on the 12th day of February A. D. 1889 as Principal enter into an Appeal Bond with Dan Daggett, C. Hines and H. M. Furman as sureties in the Penal sum of Fifty Dollars jointly and severally payable to the City of Fort Worth conditioned that said Defendant Melvina Butler who has taken an appeal to the County Court of Tarrant County shall prosecute her said appeal with effect and shall pay such fine and costs as shall be adjudged against her by the said County Court as well as other costs that may have been adjudged against her in the Court below and said Defendant having made Default as aforesaid, It was therefore ordered by the Court that said Bond be declared

forfeited and that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the said Melvin Butler as Principal and Dan Daggett, E. Hines and H. M. Furman as sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Fifty Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Citation issue commanding them the said Dan Daggett, E. Hines and H. M. Furman to appear at the next Term of this Court and then and there shew cause if any they can why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Alias Capias issue for the Defendant and that case be continued

5569 City of Fort Worth } Committing a Nuisance
-vs- } Saturday April 6th 1889
Annie Dillon }

This day the above cause being called for trial came the Plaintiff by Attorney and the Defendant Annie Dillon being called under due forms of law, came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that said Defendant after having been duly convicted in the Recorders Court of the City of Fort Worth of the offense of "Unlawfully Committing a Nuisance" on the 25th day of February A. D. 1889, did as Principal enter into an Appeal Bond with J. W. Webster, W. F. Fanning, S. M. Galloway and Dan Whitesides as sureties in the Penal sum of Thirty-seven Dollars jointly and severally payable to the City of Fort Worth conditioned that said Defendant Annie Dillon who has taken an appeal to the County Court of Tarrant County shall prosecute her said Appeal with effect and shall pay such Fine and costs as shall be adjudged against her by the said County Court as well as other costs

that may have been adjudged against her in the Court below and said Defendant having made Default as aforesaid it was therefore ordered by the Court that said Bond be declared forfeited and that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the said Annie Dillon as Principal and J.N. Webster, W.F. Fanning, S.M. Galloway and Dan Whitesides as sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Thirty-seven Dollars together with all costs of the Prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next Term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Citation issue commanding them the said J.N. Webster, W.F. Fanning, S.M. Galloway and Dan Whitesides to appear at the next Term of this Court and then and there to show cause if any they can, why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Alias Capias issue for the Defendant and that case be continued.

5392 State of Texas -vs- C.A. Tooley } I Theft Monday April 8th 1889

On Motion of the Defendant it is ordered by the Court that the judgment heretofore rendered in this cause be set aside and for nought held and that this case stands for trial in regular course upon the Docket of this Court.

5392 State of Texas -vs- C.A. Tooley } I Theft Monday April 8th 1889

✓ This day came the Defendant in person and in Open Court submits his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a Fine of $25.00 and 30 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from

the Defendant C A Tooley the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5069 City of Fort Worth -vs- G. R. White } Motion for New Trial Monday April 8th 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard Defendants Motion for a New trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard therein because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Plaintiff it is therefore ordered that said motion be overruled.

5576 State of Texas -vs- Eugene Davis } Vagrancy Monday April 22nd 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Eugene Davis and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the Matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty," whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Eugene Davis the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the

County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

5577 State of Texas -vs- Thomas Kiely } Vagrancy Monday April 22nd 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Thomas Kiely in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Thomas Kiely the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5578 State of Texas -vs- Arthur Ingram } Vagrancy Monday April 22nd 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Arthur Ingram in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant

Arthur Ingram the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5579 State of Texas -vs- George Mullen } Vagrancy Monday April 22nd 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant George Mullen in person. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Mullen the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5582 State of Texas -vs- Chas. Andrews } Theft Monday April 22nd 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant Chas. Andrews in person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and

the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at imprisonment in the County Jail for 30 days and a Fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas. Andrews the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full

5584 State of Texas -vs- John Hart } Vagrancy Wednesday April 24th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant John Hart in person a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Hart the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5585 State of Texas -vs- Frank King } Vagrancy Wednesday April 24th 1889

This day came the County

Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Frank King in person and both parties announced Ready for trial. Aging being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank King the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5056 City of Fort Worth -vs- L. Bicocchi } Motion for New Trial Wednesday April 24th 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant It is therefore ordered that said motion be sustained, that the judgment heretofore rendered in this cause be set aside and for naught held and that this cause stand for trial in regular order upon the Docket of this Court

5405 City of Fort Worth -vs- John Limmer } Motion for New Trial Wednesday April 24th 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion

for a New Trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant It is therefore ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, that the judgment heretofore rendered in this cause be set aside and for naught held and that this cause stand for trial in regular order upon the Docket of this Court.

5069 City of Fort Worth } Motion to Relax Costs
-vs- } Tuesday April 24th 1889
G. R. White

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard Defendants Motion to Relax the costs in this cause and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, It is ordered by the Court that said Motion be and is hereby overruled as to the costs of the Officers of the Court below and of the witnesses Craig and McCain but that the costs of issuing and serving the attachment dated April 5th 1889 and 30 cents of the cost of the attachment of date Feby 4th 1889 be adjudged against witness Bryant and the remainder of the costs of the attachment of Feby 4th 1889 are adjudged as follows 50 cents against witness Hayden and the remainder against the witness Thomas.

5586 State of Texas } Disturbing The Peace
-vs- } Thursday April 25th 1889
Bob Berry

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant Bob Berry in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty

whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Berry the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant Stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

Saturday April 27 1889
Ordered that Court do now adjourn till court in course

W. D. Harris
County Judge Tarrant Co. Texas

Attest: Jno. S. King Clerk
By J. J. Nunnally Deputy

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in May A. D. 1889 the same being the 6th day of said Month there was begun and holden a Regular Term of the County Court within and for the County Tarrant, State of Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth; Present the Hon. W. D. Harris County Judge presiding, B. P. Ayres County Attorney, Jno Poring, County Clerk and J. C. Richardson Sheriff of said County, when and where the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court to-wit;

"Venire Facias"

Now comes J. C. Richardson Sheriff of Tarrant County and returned into open Court the Venire Facias for this first week of the March Term of the Court and upon calling the names of the Jurors summoned, Ten qualified Jurors appeared to-wit; C. McDougall, Fonny Smith, Frank Perry, C C Wood, W. P. Goff, W. D. Orrick, B. F. Pickett, M. L. Mansfield, S. M. Smith and John Boggus all of whom were duly tried and sworn and placed upon the panel for the week. And it appearing to the Court that the Panel is incomplete, it is therefore ordered that the Sheriff summon qualified Jurors sufficient to fill the Panel, and the said Sheriff being duly sworn as the law directs brought into Court the following named qualified Jurors to-wit; J. L. Rodgers and E. J. Redman both of whom being duly tried and sworn were placed upon the said panel.

3672 State of Texas -vs- L. Cohn et al } Engaging in a Riot Monday May 6th 1889 Continued by Agreement

5614 State of Texas -vs- John Cross } Vagrancy Monday May 6th 1889 This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State

also came the Defendant John Cross in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Cross the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid or free.

5611

State of Texas
-vs-
John Fitzgerald

Vagrancy,
Monday May 6th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant John Fitzgerald in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Fitzgerald the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid or free.

5613 State of Texas -vs- W. P. Brown } Vagrancy
Monday May 6th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant W. P. Brown in person and both parties announced ready for trial A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of One Dollar. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. P. Brown the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in Full

5612 State of Texas -vs- Sam Johnson } Vagrancy
Monday May 6th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Sam Johnson in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Sam Johnson the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed

to the County Jail the said Fine and
costs are paid in full

5615 State of Texas } Pistol
-vs- } Monday May 6th 1889
Wm May }

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. P. Goff and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

We the Jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at Twenty five dollars Fine and Twenty days in the County Jail of Tarrant County Texas

W. P. Goff Foreman Jury.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Wm May the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of twenty days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5605 State of Texas } Disturbing Religious Worship
-vs- } Monday May 6th 1889
Lee Smith }

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lee Smith the sum of Twenty five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5602 State of Texas } Vagrancy
-vs- } Monday May 6th 1889
Gracie Lane }

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a Fine of $5.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Gracie Lane the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5601

State of Texas
-vs-
Jesse Reeves
} Vagrancy
Monday May 6th 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a Fine of $5.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jessee Reeves the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said Fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in Full.

5600

State of Texas
-vs-
Madam Porter
} Vagrancy
Monday May 6th 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a Fine of $5.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Madam Porter the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said Fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in Full.

5599 State of Texas } Selling Whiskey on Sunday
-vs- } Monday May 6th 1889
Sam Smith }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Sam Smith in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant Sam Smith now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Sam Smith the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

5597 State of Texas } Selling Whiskey on Sunday
-vs- } Monday May 6th 1889
John Sheehan }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant John Sheehan in in person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Sheehan the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that

said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5595 State of Texas } Selling Whiskey on Sunday
-vs- } Monday May 6" 1889
G. H. Day }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant G. H. Day in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant G. H. Day the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5594 State of Texas } Selling Whiskey on Sunday
-vs- } Monday May 6" 1889
W. H. Ward }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant W. H. Ward in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and

adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. H. Ward the sum of $20.00 Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

5593 State of Texas } Selling Whiskey on Sunday
-vs- } Monday May 6" 1889
W. T. Grigsby }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant W. T. Grigsby in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. T. Grigsby the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full

5592 State of Texas } Selling Whiskey on Sunday
-vs- } Monday May 6" 1889
W. F. Whitton }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant W. F. Whitton in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court

and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. F. Whitton the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full

5590 State of Texas -vs- A. G. Rittenman } Selling Whiskey on Sunday
Monday May 6th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant A. G. Rittenman in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant A. G. Rittenman the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5528 — State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward } Selling Whiskey on Sunday
Monday May 6th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant W. H. Ward in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $20.00, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. H. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid. Together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of Fine & Costs in cause No. 5594. said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

5557 — State of Texas -vs- Gilbert Gills } Aggravated Assault
Monday May 6th 1889

This day came the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reason filed with the papers herein, says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Gilbert Gills go hence without day.

5558 — State of Texas -vs- Gilbert Gills } Disturbing The Peace
Monday May 6th 1889

This day came the

County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Gilbert Gills in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $5.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Gilbert Gills the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

Alias Capias and Continued

Ordered that Alias Capias issue for the Defendants in the following cases returnable to the next Term of this Court and that said cases be continued to-wit;

4603 State of Texas -vs- D. R. Hawkins
Carrying a Pistol

4771 State of Texas -vs- John Metcalfe
Carrying a Pistol

4820 State of Texas -vs- Ed Mahoney
Ex. Gaming Bank

4892 State of Texas -vs- Will Murphy & Callie Mace
Adultery

4907 State of Texas -vs- Hugh Wells
Keeping Saloon open on Sunday

4910 State of Texas -vs- Hugh Wells
Carrying a Pistol

4956 State of Texas -vs- Luther Trahue
Ex. Gaming Bank

4957 State of Texas -vs- J. E. Walker
Theft

4979	State of Texas	vs- John Van Zandt et al
		Failing to work on Public Road
4982	State of Texas	vs- J. A. Wright
		Carrying a Pistol
4983	State of Texas	vs- Jas. A. Wright
		Aggravated Assault
4986	State of Texas	vs- E. R. Wooldridge
		Theft
5028	State of Texas	vs- Fred Elliotts
		Theft
5034	State of Texas	vs- Ross Palmer et al
		Assault
5098	State of Texas	vs- Bailey Bays
		Aggravated Assault
5118	State of Texas	vs- Cal Johnson
		Betting at Game Played with Dice
5119	State of Texas	vs- Cal Smith
		Betting at Game played with Dice
5120	State of Texas	vs- Charley Henderson
		Betting at Game played with Dice
5125	State of Texas	vs- Joe Henderson
		Betting at Game played with Dice
5139	State of Texas	vs- Horace Mabry
		Aggravated Assault
5148½	State of Texas	vs- Gus White
		Ex Gaming Bank
5152	State of Texas	vs- Gus White
		Betting at a Game of Dice
5170	State of Texas	vs- George Worrel
		Disturbing the Peace
5171	State of Texas	vs- Frank Arwine
		Aggravated Assault
5172	State of Texas	vs Frank Arwine
		Aggravated Assault
5173	State of Texas	vs Henry Dukes
		Carrying a pair of Knucks
5194	State of Texas	vs- Sam McConnell
		Playing cards in Public Place
5233	State of Texas	vs- Sam Cundiffs
		Vagrancy

5264	State of Texas - vs - Marian Washington Assault
5265	State of Texas - vs - Chas Lee Theft
5284	State of Texas - vs - C O. Rivers Theft
5312	State of Texas - vs - Harvey Harold Theft
5313.	State of Texas - vs - John Madden Carrying a Pistol
5314	State of Texas - vs - John (a colored man) & Gaming Table
5342	State of Texas - vs - Bob Foreman Theft
5344	State of Texas - vs - Buford Theft
5347	State of Texas - vs - Wm Walden Theft
5348	State of Texas - vs - Peter Hubbs Theft
5390	State of Texas - vs - Church Smith Carrying Brass Knucks
5400	State of Texas - vs - Curtis Theft
5413	State of Texas - vs - Harry Williams Vagrancy
5416.	State of Texas - vs - Harry Williams Vagrancy
5417	State of Texas - vs - Charles Cooper Theft
5418	State of Texas - vs - Frank Sands Embezzlement under $20
5420	State of Texas - vs - James Tullis Injuring and Defacing Public Building
5472	State of Texas - vs - Wm Ward Pursuing occupation without paying Tax
5504	State of Texas - vs - J. C Barger Pursuing occupation without paying Tax
5537	State of Texas - vs - J. C Richardson Aggravated Assault

5540 State of Texas -vs- Velvet Kid
Playing cards in a Public Place

5560 State of Texas -vs- Wm Brooks
Aggravated Assault

5575 State of Texas -vs- Buck Smith.
Ex. Gaming Table & Bank

"Filed"

It appearing to the Court that the Sheriff has been unable to arrest the Defendants in the following cases it is therefore ordered that said cases be taken from the trial Docket and remain on file till such time as the Defendants therein can be arested viz;

4808 State of Texas -vs- Moe Coffee
Ex Gaming Bank

4809 State of Texas -vs- Moe Coffee
Ex Gaming Bank

4810 State of Texas -vs- Moe Coffee
Ex Gaming Bank

4821 State of Texas -vs- Moe Coffee
Ex Gaming Bank.

5338 State of Texas -vs- R. F. Miller } Carrying a Pistol
Monday May 6th 1889
Continued by Consent

5387 State of Texas -vs- Bill Baker } Carrying Pistol within 1/2 Mile of Voting Box
Monday May 6th 1889
Continued by Consent

5471 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Williams } Pursuing occupation without paying tax
Monday May 6th 1889

This day came the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss the same. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant herein has paid his Tax and

all costs accrued herein to date. It is therefore ordered that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant A.J. Williams go hence without day.

5480 State of Texas } Pursuing occupation without paying tax
-vs- } Monday May 6" 1889
W. B. Robinson }

This day came the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss the same. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant herein has paid his Tax and all costs accrued herein to date. It is therefore ordered that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant W. B. Robinson go hence without day.

5502 State of Texas } Pursuing occupation without paying tax.
-vs- } Monday May 6" 1889.
M. Capera }

This day came the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss the same. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant herein has paid her Tax and all costs accrued herein, It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant M. Capera go hence without day.

"Alias Instanter"

It appearing to the Court that the Defendants in the following cases have not been arrested It is ordered that Alias Capias issue for the Defendants therein returnable instanter, to-wit;

5469 State of Texas -vs- A.P. Burnavli
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5470 State of Texas -vs- G.W. Cotter
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5481 State of Texas -vs- M.E. McDonald
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5495 State of Texas -vs- E.Y. Hill
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5497 State of Texas -vs- J.A. Fowler
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5498 State of Texas -vs- E.F. Gilbreth
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5499 State of Texas -vs- Ike Furber
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5501 State of Texas -vs- Fred Elliott
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5500 State of Texas -vs- J.D. Ellis
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5503 State of Texas -vs- F.B. Chipman
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5505 State of Texas -vs- J.S. Barnett
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5526 State of Texas -vs- Lige Anderson
Carrying a Pistol.

5519 State of Texas -vs- Jim Fouts } Vagrancy
Monday May 6th 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and Defendant then suggested his name as James Burris. Ordered that case be Continued for the Term by Consent of both parties

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Tuesday morning 9 o'clock May 7th 1889
Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

5384 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
-vs- } Tuesday May 7th 1889
Jeff Daggett }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jeff Daggett go hence without day.

5453 State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol
-vs- } Tuesday May 7th 1889
Bill Smith }

This day the above cause being called for trial came the parties by attorneys. And it appearing to the Court that H. H. Spear and Tom Hudson having been duly subpoenaed to appear and testify in this cause on behalf of the State, and said H. H. Spear and Tom Hudson being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Clerk enter a fine of Ten Dollars against each of said witnesses and that citation issue commanding them the said H. H. Spear and Tom Hudson to appear at the next term of this Court and then and there show cause, if any they can, why this judgment should not be made final.

5456 State of Texas } Theft
-vs- } Tuesday May 7th 1889
Susan Brockman }

Continued by agreement

5458 State of Texas } Resisting an Officer.
-vs- } Tuesday May 7th 1889
Lon Gaines }

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard Defendants motion to quash the Complaint herein, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion, It is ordered that said motion be overruled to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts.

5458 State of Texas } Resisting an Officer
-vs- } Tuesday May 7th 1889
Lon Gaines }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; Forney D Smyth and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendant Guilty & assess his punishment at a Fine of Fifty Dollars $50.00

Forney D. Smyth
Forman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lon Gaines the sum of Fifty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine

and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5463 State of Texas } Pursuing Occupation without paying tax
-vs- } Tuesday May 7th 1889
J. D. Andrews }

This day came the County Attorney and says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss same. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant herein has paid his tax and all costs accrued to date herein. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant J. D. Andrews go hence without day

"Dismissed"

Now comes the County Attorney and says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same viz;

5466 State of Texas -vs- R. Bruno
Pursuing occupation without paying tax

5467 State of Texas -vs- D. P. Boman
Pursuing occupation without paying tax

5468 State of Texas -vs- Mrs A. Booker
Pursuing occupation without paying tax.

5478 State of Texas -vs- W. D. Priest
Pursuing occupation without paying tax

5487 State of Texas -vs- L. A. Hoel
Pursuing occupation without paying tax

5489 State of Texas -vs- W. L. Husbands
Pursuing occupation without paying tax

And it appearing to the Court that the Defendants herein have paid their taxes and satisfied the Officers of Court as to the Costs therein accrued. It is is ~~therefore~~ considered and adjudged by the Court that

the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants therein go hence without day.

"Alias Instanter"

It appearing to the Court that the Defendants in the following cases have not been arrested It is ordered that Alias Capias issue returnable Instanter for the Defendants in the following cases, viz:

5464 State of Texas vs- D. C Adams
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5465 State of Texas - vs - J. W. Anderson
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5473 State of Texas - vs - G. W. Walker
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5474 State of Texas - vs - S. Thompson
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5475 State of Texas - vs - L. H Staugh
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5476 State of Texas - vs A. P. Penbrook
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5477 State of Texas - vs C. H Turner
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5482 State of Texas - vs Essex McClellan
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5483 State of Texas - vs - Ada McKenzie
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5484 State of Texas - vs W. W. Marshall
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5488 State of Texas - vs - Mamie Hubbard
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5490 State of Texas - vs Hook & Schwartz
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5491 State of Texas - vs - W. M. Douglass
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5492 State of Texas - vs - G. W. Cotter
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5493 State of Texas - vs M. Jacobs
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5494 State of Texas -vs- A. M. Hess
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5506 State of Texas -vs- Bob Smythe
Vagrancy.

5485 State of Texas -vs- Jos. Jamison } Pursuing Occupation without paying tax
Tuesday May 7th 1889

This day came the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jos Jamison go hence without day.

5486 State of Texas -vs- L. B. Imboden } Pursuing Occupation without paying tax
Tuesday May 7th 1889

This day came the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein, says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant L. B. Imboden go hence without day.

5509 ✓ State of Texas -vs- Butch Smally } Vagrancy
Tuesday May 7th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered

X

his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Butch Smally the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

5`5`73 State of Texas -vs- Peter Blake } Theft
Tuesday May 7th 1889
Continued

5`5`07 State of Texas -vs- Link Forrest } Vagrancy
Tuesday May 7th 1889
This day came the parties by their attorneys also came the Defendant in person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit; W. D Orrick and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Indictment being read to the Jury the Defendant entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit; "We the Jury find the Defendant not Guilty"
W. D Orrick Foreman
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Link Forrest go hence without day

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock

Wednesday morning 9 o'clock May 8th A.D. 1889 Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5350 State of Texas -vs- Dave Flood } Assault
Wednesday May 8th 1889
Continued by State for absence of witnesses Rowan Tucker, Tom James and Jeff Cloak.

5371 State of Texas -vs- Bob. Hamilton } Carrying a Pistol
Wednesday May 8th 1889
Continued by Consent

5458 State of Texas -vs- Lon Gaines } Motion for New Trial
Wednesday May 8th 1889
This day came the parties by their attorneys and ~~then~~ came on to be heard Defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon It is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said Motion be sustained, that the judgment heretofore rendered in this cause be set aside and for nought held and that this cause stand for trial in regular order upon the Docket of this Court.

5459 State of Texas -vs- H.P. Sheil } Selling Whiskey On Sunday
Wednesday May 8th 1889
This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for

trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; Forney D. Smyth and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

Forney D. Smyth. Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant H. P. Sheil go hence without day

5508 State of Texas -vs- Frank Peters } Vagrancy
Wednesday May 8th 1889
Alias and Continued

5511 State of Texas -vs- Charley Hadley } Vagrancy
Wednesday May 8th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Charley Hadley in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charley Hadley the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which

said Fine and Costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

5510 State of Texas -vs- George Canary } Vagrancy Wednesday May 8" 1889

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant being called under due forms of law, came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that Defendant George Canary, on the 27th day of March A.D. 1889, charged with the offence of "Vagrancy" after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County, on a Capias issued from the Office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County, did as principal enter into Bond with C. B. Dixon and H. H. Smith as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that the said George Canary should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the 6" day of May 1889 and there remain from day to day and from term to term thereafter and not depart thence until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge. And whereas, afterwards to-wit at a regular Term of the County Court of Tarrant County begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in May A.D. 1889, the said George Canary after being called under due forms of law, to appear and answer to the charge aforesaid, wholly made default.

It was therefore considered and adjudged by

ou said County Court on the 8th day of May A. D. 1889, that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said George Canary as Principal and C. B. Dixon and W. H. Smith as sureties the aforesaid sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally together with all costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final, unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court, why said defendant did not appear. And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue, commanding them the said C. B. Dixon and W. H. Smith to appear at the next Term of this County Court to be issued within and for the County of Tarrant at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in July A. D. 1889, then and there to show cause, if any they can why this judgment should not be made final. Further ordered that Alias Capias returnable instanter, issue for the Defendant.

5513 State of Texas -vs- Charley Emory } Vagrancy Wednesday May 8th 1889 Alias Instanter.

5514 State of Texas -vs- Dock Tanner } Vagrancy Wednesday May 8th 1889 Alias Instanter

5529 State of Texas -vs- Ike Cooper } Selling Whiskey on Sunday Wednesday May 8th 1889 Continued By Consent

5538 State of Texas -vs- Ike Cooper } Permitting Game at Cards in House under his control Wednesday May 8th 1889 Continued By Consent.

5512

State of Texas
-vs-
Footsey Drew
} Vagrancy
Wednesday May 8th 1889

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the Defendant being called under due forms of law, came not, but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Footsey Drew on the 13th day of April A.D. 1889, charged with the offense of "Vagrancy" after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a Capias issued from the Office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County, did as principal enter into Bond with S. M. Galloway and Joe Perris as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that the said Footsey Drew should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the 6th day of May 1889, and there remain from day to day and term to term thereafter and not depart thence until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge. And whereas, afterwards, to-wit; at a regular Term of the County Court of Tarrant County, begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in May A.D. 1889, the said Footsey Drew after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer to the charge aforesaid, wholly made default. It was therefore considered and adjudged by our said County Court on the 8th day of May A.D. 1889, that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Footsey Drew as principal and S. M. Galloway and Joe Perris as sureties, jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of One Hundred Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution, and

that this judgment be made final, unless good cause be shown, at the next Term of this Court, why said Defendant did not appear.
And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue commanding them the said S. M. Galloway and Joe Perks to appear at the next Term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant, State of Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in July A. D. 1889, then and there to show cause if any they can, why this judgment should not be made final.
Further ordered that Alias Capias, returnable instanter issue for the Defendant.

3515 — State of Texas / -vs- / Jim Collins } Vagrancy
Wednesday May 8th 1889

This day the above cause being called for trial came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant being called under due forms of law, came not but wholly made default and it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Jim Collins on the 27th day of March 1889, charged with the offense of "Vagrancy" after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a Capias issued from the Office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County, did as Principal, enter into Bond with C. H. Lawson and C. H. Gilbert as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that the said Jim Collins should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the 6th day of May A. D. 1889 and there remain from day to day and from term to term thereafter and not depart thence until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge. And whereas, afterwards to-wit; at a regular term of the County Court

of Tarrant County begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in May 1889, the said Jim Collins after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer to the charge aforesaid, wholly made default. It was therefore considered and adjudged by our said County Court on the 8th day of May A.D. 1889, that the Sum aforesaid be declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Jim Collins as principal and C. C Lawson and C. H Gilbert as sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of One Hundred Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown, at the next Term of this Court, why said Defendant did not appear. And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue commanding them the said C. C. Lawson and C. H Gilbert to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant, State of Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in July A. D. 1889, then and there to show cause if any they can, why this judgment should not be made final.

Further ordered that Alias Capias, returnable instanter, issue for the Defendant.

5518

State of Texas
-vs-
Henderson Caldwell
} Vagrancy
Wednesday May 8th 1889

This day the above cause being called for trial came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant being called under due forms of law, came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant, Henderson Caldwell on the 29th day of March 1889, charged with the offense of "Vagrancy" after

having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a Capias issued from the Office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County, did as principal enter into Bond with P. M. Richards and R. H Tucker as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that the said Henderson Caldwell should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the 6th day of May 1889, and there remain from day to day and from Term to term thereafter and not depart thence until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge. And whereas, afterwards, to-wit; at a regular Term of the County Court of Tarrant County, begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in May 1889, the said Henderson Caldwell after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer to the charge aforesaid, wholly made default. It was therefore considered and adjudged by on said County Court on the 8th day of May A.D. 1889 that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Henderson Caldwell as principal and P. M. Richards and R. H Tucker as sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of One Hundred Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown, at the next Term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue, commanding them the said P. M. Richards and R. H Tucker to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant State of Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in July A.D. 1889, then and there to show cause if any they can, why this judgment should not be made final. Fiurther ordered that Alias Capias returnable instanter issue for the Defendant.

5539 State of Texas } Permitting game at Cards in house under his Guard
-vs- } Wednesday May 8" 1889.
Ike Cooper } Continued By Consent.

5542 State of Texas } Playing Cards in Public Place
-vs- } Wednesday May 8th 1889
J. G. Bragg } This day came the parties by their Attorneys, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; W.P. Goff and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

N.J.

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $10.00 Ten Dollars.

W.P. Goff Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. G. Bragg the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said Fine and Costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

5588 State of Texas -vs- Lou Sanders } Aggravated assault Wednesday May 8th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State, also came the Defendant Lou Sanders in person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; C. McDougall and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury, the Court now ordered that a plea of "Not Guilty" be entered in behalf of the Defendant. Whereupon the Jury, after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty & assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars

C. McDougall Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lou Sanders the sum of Fifty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

5591 State of Texas -vs- Edward Muller } Selling Whiskey on Sunday Wednesday May 8th 1889

Continued by the State

5596	State of Texas -vs- Sam Lane	Selling Whiskey on Sunday Wednesday May 8" 1889 Continued by the State
5598	State of Texas -vs- G. M. Wallis	Selling Whiskey on Sunday Wednesday May 8" 1889 Continued
5604	State of Texas -vs- Fred Smith	Selling Liquor to Minor. Wednesday May 8" 1889. Ordered by the Court that B. F. Flinch be confined in the County Jail for the space of 24 hours for contempt of Court in appearing in a state of intoxication when called as a witness in this case. Further ordered that case be continued for the term.
5603	State of Texas -vs- Lottie Belmont	Vagrancy Wednesday May 8" 1889 Alias Instanter
5610	State of Texas -vs- Meyers	Theft under value of $20.00 Wednesday May 8" 1889 Continued By Consent
5055	State of Texas -vs- Jim Drum	Aggravated Assault Wednesday May 8" 1889 — Error —

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock

Thursday morning May 9" 1889, 9 o'clock, Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5055 | State of Texas -vs- Jim Drum | Aggravated Assault Thursday May 9th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; Mike Mansfield and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty"

Mike Mansfield Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jim Drum go hence without day

5112 | State of Texas -vs- Willie Carvile | Selling Beer on Sunday Thursday May 9th 1889

Continued By Consent

5361 | State of Texas -vs- Perry Clark | Theft Thursday May 9th 1889

This day the above cause being called for trial came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the ~~State~~, and the Defendant being called under due forms of law, came not, but wholly made default, And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Perry Clark on the

10th day of January 1889, charged with "Theft of property under the value of $20.00" after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County, on a Capias issued from the Office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County, did enter into Bond as principal with W. T. Sands, Thomas Clark, R. Riley and C. B. Morgan as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that the said Perry Clark should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the Instanter and there remain from day to day and from term to term thereafter and not depart thence until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge. And whereas, afterwards, to-wit: at a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County, begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in May 1889, the said Perry Clark after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer to the charge aforesaid, wholly made default. It was therefore considered and adjudged by our said County Court on the 9th day of May A. D. 1889, that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Perry Clark as Principal and W. T. Sands, Thomas Clark, R. Riley and C. B. Morgan as sureties, jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of One Hundred Dollars together with all Costs of the prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue commanding

them the said W. T. Sands, Thmas Clark, R. Riley and C B. Morgan to appear at the next Term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant, State of Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in July A. D 1889, then and there to show cause, if any they can, why this judgment should not be made final.

Further ordered that Alias Capias returnable instanter issue for the Defendant.

5541— State of Texas -vs- Geo Thompson } Playing cards in Public Place Thursday May 9th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; W. P. Goff and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of "not Guilty" whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the Jury find the Defendant Not Guilty"

W. P. Goff Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Geo. Thompson go hence without day.

5574. State of Texas -vs- Elly White } Aggravated Assault Thursday May 9th 1889

This day came the County

Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; F. V. Perry and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the jury after being charged as to the law of the case retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We a plea of Guilty we the jury find the Defendant guilty, assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00

F. V. Perry Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Elly White the sum of $50.00 fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5580

State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol
-vs- } Thursday May 9th 1889
Elly White }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Elly White in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; C. McDougall and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here.

entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty & assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty-five Dollars and confinement in the County Jail for twenty days"

C. McDougall Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Elly White the sum of Twenty five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of fine and costs in cause No. 5574- that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of twenty days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5089 State of Texas vs Victor Foster } Selling Whiskey on Sunday

Thursday May 9th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and said defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Victor Foster the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Sheriff take said defendant and confine him in the County Jail of Young County till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Friday Morning 9 o'clock May 10 1889 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday

5279 State of Texas vs Mack McConnell } Friday May 10, 1889 Continued

5255 State of Texas vs Jack Coleman } Friday May 10. 1889 Continued.

5329, 5330 5331 5332 & 5333 State of Texas vs S.M. Galloway } Friday May 10. 1889 Continued by State.

5340 State of Texas vs Joe Madden } Ag. Asst'h Friday May 10 1889 Continued by Consent

5481 State of Texas vs M.A. Dodge } Ag. Asst'h Friday May 10. 1889 Continued on application of the State for absence of witness Slipp

5574 State of Texas vs Jennie Vincent } Disorderly House Friday May 10, 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: C.M. Goodgame and five others whom were duly elected empanelled and sworn, according to law, and the jury, after hearing the

✓ and the information, and the defendant's plea of not guilty.

evidence and argument of counsel and ~~bein~~ receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the Jury find the defendant Guilty & assess her punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars.

C. McDougall Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Jennie Vincent the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5544 State of Texas vs Jennie Vincent } Disorderly House. Friday May 10. 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the State, it is therefore ordered that said motion be overruled. To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of appeals of the State of Texas.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Saturday Morning 9 o'clock May 11. 1889 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday Morning 9 o'clock.

Monday Morning 9 oclock May 13 1889 Court met pursuant to adjournment Present as on Saturday last.

— Jury empanelled —

Now comes the Sheriff of Parker County and returns into Court the Venire Facias for this second week of the May term of this Court, and upon call the following named qualified jurors responded to wit: J.M. Lyle, J.J. Hatchel and J.L. Foster who being duly sworn and tried were placed upon the panel for the week. And it appearing to the Court that the panel is incomplete. It is therefore ordered that the Sheriff summon qualified jurors sufficient to fill the panel. And the said Sheriff being duly sworn and instructed by the Court brought into Court the following named qualified jurors to wit: L.M. Brines E.G. Kendon M.V. Wilkins J.C. Cooper J.M. Bandy J.A. McCartt and Sam Snyder and A.D. Killinger and all of said parties being duly sworn and tried were placed upon the panel for the week.

5367 State of Texas } Assault
vs } Monday May 13, 1889
Sid Hooper }

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State herein and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein says he will not further prosecute this suit. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant Sid Hooper go hence without day.

5442 State of Texas } Assault
vs
Lizzie Travis } Monday May 18 1889

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: L.G. Henderson and five others who were duly selected empanelled sworn according to law. And the information being read to the jury said defendant entered her plea of not Guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant not Guilty

L.G. Henderson Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that Defendant Lizzie Travis go hence without day.

5547 State of Texas } Monday May 18 1889.
vs
A. Temple }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: L.G. Henderson and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law. and the information being read said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty, thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find that defendant A. Temple not Guilty

L.G. Henderson Foreman"

It is therefore considered that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this suit and that defendant A. Temple go hence without day.

5521 State of Texas vs Jeff. Daggett } Monday May 13.. 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here, entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One Dollar. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Jeff Daggett the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5619 State of Texas — vs — Alex McKenzie } Aggravated Assault. Monday May 13. 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25-00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Alex McKenzie the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Ordered that Court do now adjourn
Tuesday Morning 9 o'clock May 14, 1889 Court
met pursuant to adjournment Present as on
yesterday.

5326 State of Texas } Adultery
vs. } Tuesday May 14, 1889
Al Hicks et al }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J.W. Wilkins and four others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury said defendant entered his plea of "Not Guilty" Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:
"We the jury find the defendant Not Guilty
J.W. Wilkins Foreman."
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the Defendant nothing, and that defendant Al Hicks go hence without day.

5270 State of Texas } Exhibiting Gaming Bank
vs. } Tuesday May 14, 1889.
W.E. Graves } Continued by Consent

5377 State of Texas } Exhibiting Gaming Bank
vs. } Tuesday May 14, 1889
W.E. Graves }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, and waive a jury and submit the matters of fact as well as of law to the Court, and the County Atty now here says he will no further prosecute the first count in this case It is therefore ordered that the

first crop in this indictment & and the same
thereby dismissed, Thereupon the defendant entered
his plea of guilty to the second count therein
whereupon the Court assessed his punishment
at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and
adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas
recover of the defendant W E Graves the sum
of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid
together with all costs therein incurred, for which
said fine and costs execution may issue.
Further ordered that said defendant be committed
to the County Jail till said fine and costs are
paid in full.

5379 State of Texas } Tuesday May 14. 1889
vs
Jim Cushing }

Now comes the County Attorney and
for good and sufficient reasons filed herein
says he will not further prosecute this suit
It is therefore considered and adjudged
by the Court that the State of Texas take
nothing by reason of this prosecution and
that the defendant Jim Cushing go hence without
day.

5386 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
vs Wednesday May 15 1889
Joe Wilhoit }
Continued by Consent.

5391 State of Texas } Selling Liquor in Local Option Precn.
vs Tuesday May 14 1889
N. M. Miller }
Continued by Consent

5390 State of Texas } Ex. Gaming Bank
vs Tuesday May 14. 1889
Bob Smith }
Continued by Consent

5394 State of Texas } Ex. Gaming Docket
vs
Joe Z. Wheah } Tuesday May 14 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court. And the County Atty. now here dismisses the first count in the indictment thereupon the defendant entered his plea of Guilty to the second count whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Joe Z. Wheah the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

State of Texas } Exhibiting Gaming Bank C.
vs
5395 W. E. Graves } Tuesday May 14, 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the court and the County Atty. now here, dismisses as to the first Count in the indictment. Thereupon the defendant entered his plea of Guilty to the second count, whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant W. E. Graves the sum of Ten Dollars fine, assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cause No 5377 said defendant be committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

5396 State of Texas vs W. E. Graves } Exhibiting Gaming Bank &c.
Tuesday May 14. 1889
Continued by consent.

5407 State of Texas -vs- Thos Bush } Aggravated Assault
Tuesday May 14, 1889
Continued by consent

5583 State of Texas vs J. D. Williamson } Tuesday May 14, 1889
Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein says he will not further prosecute this case. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that Defendant J. D. Williamson go hence without day.

5609 State of Texas vs Jake Ryan } Aggravated Assault
Tuesday May 14, 1889
This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: L. G. Kinnion and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury said defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the defendant Guilty of a simple assault and assess his fine at twenty five Dollars. L. G. Kinnion Foreman"
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Jake Ryan the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed

as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5618 State of Texas vs. John Dyer } Vagrancy
Tuesday May 14, 1889

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant John Dyer the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs execution may issue.

5621 State of Texas vs. Frank Moore } Vagrancy
Tuesday May 14, 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the Defendant Frank Moore the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5622 State of Texas vs Frank Everett } Vagrancy Tuesday May 14, 1889

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Frank Everett the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. It is further ordered that said defendant Frank committed to the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full, for all of which execution may issue.

5624 State of Texas vs Tom McGrevy } Vagrancy Tuesday May 14, 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Tom McGrevy the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that said defendant Tom McGrevy committed to the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5625 State of Texas vs. Pat Murrey } Vagrancy Tuesday May 14. 1889.

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial, A jury being waived the matters of

such as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars ~~fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs heretofore incurred. For which said fine and costs execution may issue~~, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Pat Murrey the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

5626 State of Texas — vs — W. Reynolds } Vagrancy. Tuesday May 14, 1889

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of the defendant W. Reynolds, the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are made in full.

5627 State of Texas — vs — W. Howard } Vagrancy. Tuesday May 14, 1889

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that

the State of Texas recover of the defendant Wm Howard the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue, Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5628 State of Texas } Vagrancy
~ vs ~ } Tuesday May 14. 1889.
Tom Grayson

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact & law as set down were submitted to the court and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Tom Grayson the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. For which said fine and cost execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and cost execution may issue.

Ordered that court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock

Wednesday Morning 9 o'clock May 15. 1889
Court met pursuant to adjournment, Present as on yesterday

5208 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
— vs — } Wednesday May 15. 1889.
W. G. Bettenbaugh

Continued by agreement

5616 State of Texas vs John Ritcher } Wednesday May 15, 1889
Continued by Consent.

5617 State of Texas vs John Ryan } Vagrancy
Wednesday May 15, 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Seth Ashman and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law, and the indictment being read to the jury, defendant entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:
"We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at One dollar, Seth Ashman Foreman"
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant John Ryan the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

5629 State of Texas vs James Lee } Vagrancy
Wednesday May 15, 1889

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant having entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of defendant James Lee the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said

defendauh staud committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5630 State of Texas } Vagrancy
vs } Wednesday May 15. 1889
Thos Wilson }

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendauh now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of Thos Wilson defendauh the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. Further ordered that said defendauh staud committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5631 State of Texas } Vagrancy
vs } Wednesday May 15. 1889
James Robertson }

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitting to the Court and the defendauh now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishmeuh at a fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of James Robertson, defendauh the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. Further ordered that said defendauh staud committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5632 State of Texas vs John Splan } Vagrancy. Wednesday May 15. 1889

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant John Splan the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said Fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Thursday Morning 9 o'clock May 16. 1889 Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present as on yesterday

5764 State of Texas vs D.C. Babcock et al } Adultery. Thursday May 16. 1889

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein says he will no further prosecute this case. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that defendants D.C. Babcock and S.V. Holland go hence without day.

5723 State of Texas vs Walter Durham } Disturbing the Peace. Thursday May 16. 1889. Continued by defendant

5437 The State of Texas vs Sam Gill } Aggravated Assault
Thursday May 16 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit J.M.Lyle and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury said defendant entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict, to wit:

N.D. "We the jury find the defendant Guilty of Simple Assault & assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00 J.M.Lyle Foreman."

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the State of Texas recover of the defendant Sam Gill the sum of Five Dollars together with all the costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5455 State of Texas vs Mack McConnell } Aggravated Assault
Thursday May 16. 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J.L.Foster and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the information being read to the jury said defendant entered his plea of guilty, whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel

and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the Jury find the Deft. not Guilty" J. Foster Form of the Jury. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant Mack McConnell go hence without day.

5458 State of Texas } Resisting an Officer
vs
Lon Gaines } Thursday May 16. 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion to quash the information filed herein and the arguments of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the State it is ordered that said motion be overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts.

5458 State of Texas } Resisting an Officer
vs
Lon Gaines } Thursday May 16. 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and announced ready for trial, also came the defendant Lon Gaines in person and by attorney, and moves the Court to grant him a continuance herein so that he can have the benefit of defendants testimony under the laws as passed by the last session of the Legislature, and because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion it is ordered that the same be overruled, To which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. M. Davis and five others who were duly elected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury said defendant entered

his plea of guilty whereupon the Jury ~~after~~ hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:
"We the jurors find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at $25 Dollars
J.M. Baudy Foreman
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of Defendant Lon Gaines the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5467 — State of Texas vs Will White — Unlawfully Escaping etc — Thursday May 16, 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: J.C. Cooper and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law, and the jury after hearing evidence, argument and charge of court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court following verdict to wit: "We the jurors find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at thirty days in County Jail. J.C. Cooper Foreman"
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that said Will White stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirty days and till all costs herein are paid in full.

5577 State of Texas —vs— Joe Curris } Vagrancy Thursday May 16. 1889

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the defendant now hereinbefore entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of the Defendant Joe Curris the sum of One dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5578 State of Texas —vs— J.W. Coker } Carrying a Pistol Thursday May 16 1889

This day came the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein says he will not further prosecute this case, it is therefore ordered that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that defendant J.W. Coker go hence without daff.

5587 State of Texas —vs— Bob Berry } aggravated Assault Thursday May 16. 1889

This day came the parties, and announced ready for trial waived a jury and submitted the matters of fact as well as of law to the Court, and the defendant now plea entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of Bob Berry

defendant the sum of Twenty Five dollars fine assessed, as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5602 State of Texas vs Lottie Belmont } Vagrancy
Thursday May 16. 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matter of facts as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of Lottie Belmont Defendant the sum of five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant be committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5606 State of Texas vs Tom Payne } Aggravated Assault
Thursday May 16. 1889.
Continued by Defendant

5608 State of Texas vs Dave Black } Carrying a Knife.
Thursday May 16. 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to wit: L.G. Bennett and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury said defn. entered his plea of Not Guilty

whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, arguments and charge of court retired to consider of their verdict, and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit:
"We the Jury find the Defr. not Guilty
L. G. Hannon Foreman"
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover ~~nothing~~ by reason of this prosecution and that Defendant Dave Black go hence without day.

5616 State of Texas vs. Fred Skaudera } Theft. Thursday May 16. 1889

Now comes the ~~County Attys~~ and for good and sufficient reasons filed herein, says he will no further prosecute this case, it is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that Defendant Fred Skaudera go hence without day.

5623 State of Texas vs. J. N. Sprous } Vagrancy Thursday May 16. 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to wit: Seth Cushman and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the Jury said Defendant entered his plea of non Guilty whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open court the following verdict to wit: "We the Jury find the Defendant non Guilty. Seth Cushman Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that Defendant J. N. Sprous go hence without day

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 O'clock.

Friday morning 9 o'clock May 17th 1889
Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

5437 State of Texas -vs- Sam Gill } Aggravated Assault
Friday May 17th 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new Trial herein. And the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the said motion be sustained that the judgment heretofore rendered in this cause be set aside and for nought held and that this cause stands for trial in regular order upon the Docket of this Court.

5453 State of Texas -vs- Bill Smith } Carrying a Pistol
Friday May 17th 1889
Continued

5462 State of Texas -vs- Will White } Comty Convict Escaping from Employer
Friday May 17th 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard Defendants Motion for a new Trial herein. And the argument of Counsel

being heard thereon. It is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Motion be sustained that the judgment heretofore rendered in this cause be set aside and for naught held and that this case stand for trial in regular order upon the Docket of this Court

5530, 5531, 5532, 5533, 5534, 5535 — State of Texas vs J. D. Smith and W. H. Erwin — Exhibiting Gaming Bank & Table — Thursday May 16th 1889 — Continued By Consent

5548 — State of Texas -vs- H J. Henley — Aggravated Assault — Thursday May 16th 1889 — Continued By Consent

5542 — State of Texas -vs- J. G. Bragg — Motion For New Trial — Tuesday May 21st 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard Defendants motion for a New Trial herein, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon It is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant It is therefore ordered that said Motion be and the same is hereby sustained that the judgment heretofore rendered in this cause be and the same is hereby ~~sustained~~ set aside and for naught held and that this case stand for trial in regular order upon the Docket of this Court.

5647

State of Texas
-vs-
Geo. Dashwood

Betting at Game of Faro
Wednesday May 22nd 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Geo. Dashwood in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Dashwood the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incured for which said Fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5522

State of Texas
-vs-
Bill Smith

Carrying a Pistol
Wednesday May 15th 1889

This day the above cause being called for trial came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant Bill Smith being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Bill Smith on the 1st day of April 1889, charged by Indictment with the offense of "unlawfully carrying on and about his person a certain pistol" after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a capias issued from the Office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County, did as principal enter into Bond with H. W. Spear and J. B. Garrison as his sureties in the

sum of Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that the said Bill Smith should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the 6th day of May 1889, and there remain from day to day and from Term to Term thereafter and not depart from thence until discharged by due course of law then and there to answer said above described charge. And whereas, afterwards, to-wit; at a regular Term of the County Court of Tarrant County, begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in May 1889, the said Bill Smith after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer to the charge aforesaid wholly made default. It was therefore considered and adjudged by our said County Court on the 15th day of May 1889, that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Bill Smith as principal and H. W. Spear and J. B. Garrison as sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next Term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue commanding them the said H. W. Spear and J. B. Garrison to appear at the next Term of the County Court, to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant, State of Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in July A. D. 1889, then and there to show cause, if any they can, why this judgment should not be made final. Further ordered that Alias Capias issue for the Defendant returnable to the next Term of this Court, and that case be continued.

5536

State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
-vs- } Wednesday May 15th 1889
Bill Smith }

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the Defendant after being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Bill Smith on the 29th day of March 1889, charged by Indictment with the offense of "unlawfully committing an aggravated assault upon one R. L. Martin" after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a capias issued out of the Office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County, did as Principal enter into Bond with H. H. Spear and R. L. James as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that the said Bill Smith should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the 6th day of May 1889, and there remain from day to day and from Term to Term thereafter and not depart from thence until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge. And whereas, afterwards, to-wit; at a regular Term of the County Court of Tarrant County, begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in May 1889, the said Bill Smith after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer to the charge aforesaid wholly made default. It was therefore considered and adjudged by our said County Court on the 6th day of May 1889, that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Bill Smith as Principal and H. H. Spear and R. L. James as sureties jointly

INDEX TO HERE

and severally the aforesaid sum of One Hundred Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown, at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue commanding them the said H. H. Spears and R. L. James to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant State of Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in July A. D. 1889, then and there to show cause, if any they can why this judgment should not be made final! Further ordered that alias capias issue for the Defendant returnable to the Next Term of this Court and that case be continued.

5572 State of Texas } Monday May 27th 1889
-vs-
A. J. Ruching }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the Indictment herein, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion. It is therefore ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

5512 State of Texas } Vagrancy
-vs-
Tootsey Drew } Monday May 27th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here

entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tootsey Orent the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5537 State of Texas -vs- J. C Richardson } Aggravated assault
Thursday June 6th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty of Simple Assault Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. C Richardson the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5658 State of Texas -vs- Isaac Fuster } Vagrancy
Thursday June 6th 1889

This day came the County

Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One Dollar. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Isaac Fuster the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5659 State of Texas -vs- L. Lang } Vagrancy
Thursday June 6th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One Dollar. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant L. Lang the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County

Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5660 State of Texas -vs- John Kyle } Vagrancy Thursday June 6th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. ~~Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men~~ to. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One Dollar. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Kyle the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5671 State of Texas -vs- R. Marsh } Vagrancy Thursday June 6th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant R. Marsh in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court

assessed his punishment at a Fine of One Dollar. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant R. Marsh the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incured for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5672 State of Texas -vs- Mat Cushing } Vagrancy Thursday June 6" 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of One Dollar. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mat Cushing the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5673 State of Texas -vs- Gilbert Hagan } Vagrancy Thursday June 6" 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper

person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of One Dollar. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Gilbert Hagan the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5674 State of Texas vs Wm Dunagan } Vagrancy
Thursday June 6-1889

This day came the County Attorney Prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of One Dollar. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Wm Dunagan the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5670

State of Texas
-vs-
Wm Hammond
} Vagrancy
Thursday June 6th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of One Dollar. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Wm Hammond the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5669

State of Texas
-vs-
Wm Lally
} Vagrancy
Thursday June 6th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of One Dollar. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Wm Lally the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further

25-120

ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5680 State of Texas } Carrying Knuckles
-vs- } Saturday June 6th 1889
Chy Chung

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00 and 20 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chy Chung the sum of Twenty-five dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full and for the further period of Twenty days

5681 State of Texas } Carrying a Dirk
-vs- } Saturday June 8th 1889
Chy Chung

Now Comes the County attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this cause and asks that the same be dismissed. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of

this prosecution and that the Defendant Chy Chung go hence without day.

5682 State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol
— vs — } Saturday June 8th 1889
Chy Chung

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Chy Chung go hence without day.

5518 State of Texas } Vagrancy
— vs — } Wednesday June 12th 1889
Henderson Caldwell

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Henderson Caldwell the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue: Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5691 State of Texas } Disturbing the Peace
— vs — } Tuesday June 11th 1889
Richard Simpson

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State.

also came the Defendant in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Richard Simpson the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5209 State of Texas -vs- G. W. Hargrove } Carrying a Pistol
Monday June 17, 1889.
Continued by Defendant.

5269. State of Texas vs Lula Lee } Keeping a Disorderly House
Monday June 17, 1889.
Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein, says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss same. It therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Lula Lee go hence without day.

5368 State of Texas -vs- G. L. McFarland } Carrying a Pistol
Monday June 17, 1889.
Continued by Defendant.

5369.	State of Texas -vs- G. L. McFarland & Ellen McAfee	Fornication Monday June 17, 1889. Continued by Defendant.
5433	State of Texas -vs- Billy Wise	Assault and Battery Monday June 17, 1889 Continued by Defendant.
5437.	State of Texas -vs- Sam Gill	Aggravated Assault Monday June 17, 1889. Continued by the State
5448	State of Texas -vs- L. L. Miller	Selling Liquor without paying Occupation Tax Monday June 17, 1889. Continued by Consent.
5523	State of Texas -vs- G. W. Hargrove	Carrying a Pistol Monday June 17, 1889. Continued by Defendant.
5545	State of Texas -vs- G. W. Hargrove	Carrying a Pistol Monday June 17. 1889 Continued by Defendant.
5571.	State of Texas -vs- J. W. Coker	Resisting an Officer Monday June 17, 1889. Continued by Defendant.
5572.	State of Texas -vs- A. J. Rushing	Resisting an Officer Monday June 17, 1889. Continued by Defendant.

5665 ✓

State of Texas
-vs-
Al Hicks
} Carrying a Pistol
Monday June 17, 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and Ninety days in the County jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Al Hicks the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ninety days and till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5015

City of Fort Worth
-vs-
Johnson & Nichoes
} Nuisance
Tuesday June 18th 1889
Alias & Continued

5019

City of Fort Worth
-vs-
G. L. Miller
} Nuisance
Tuesday June 18th 1889
Alias & Continued

5057

City of Fort Worth
-vs-
Fred Elliott
} Fighting in Public Place
Tuesday June 18th 1889
Alias & Continued

5074

City of Fort Worth
-vs-
Bob McDill
} Disturbing the Peace
Tuesday June 18th 1889
Alias & Continued

5063 City of Fort Worth } Permitting a Nusance
-vs- } Tuesday June 18th 1889
J. C. Crowdus }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: S. A. Hatcher and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law. And the said jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of the verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

Complaint being read to the Jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of Not Guilty; whereupon the

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at $10.00 } Ten Dollars.
S. A. Hatcher Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. C. Crowdus as principal and sureties on Appeal Bond to-wit: R. L. Crowdus, J. E. Moseley and C. C. Beck, the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5075 City of Fort Worth } Disturbing The Peace
-vs- } Tuesday June 18th 1889
Diana Johnson } Alias and Continued

5568 City of Fort Worth } Disturbing The Peace
-vs- } Tuesday June 18th 1889
Melvina Butler } Continued By Consent

5405

City of Fort Worth
-vs-
John Linimer

Selling Liquor on Sunday
Tuesday June 18th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. P. Goff and five others who were duly elected empannelled and complaint being read to the jury the defendant now here enters his plea of not Guilty whereupon the sworn according to law. And the said jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at Twenty Dollars
$20.00 W. P. Goff Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Linimer as principal and E. Kamen, J. F. Fogg and J. Behrens sureties on Appeal Bond the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed. For which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5562 7)
5563

City of Fort Worth
-vs-
J. W. Adams

Refusing To Pay Meat Inspector
Tuesday June 18th 1889

This day came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City of Fort Worth and says he will no further prosecute these causes and moves the court to dismiss the same. And it appearing to the Court that the Ordinance under which

these prosecutions were instituted has been repealed. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of said prosecutions and that the Defendant J. W. Adams go hence without day.

5567 City of Fort Worth vs- J. H. Harroldson } Abusive Language Tuesday June 18th 1889

This day came the ~~County~~ City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City of Fort Worth and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant J. H. Harroldson go hence without day.

5569 City of Fort Worth -vs- Annie Dillon } Committing a nuisance Tuesday June 18th 1889

Alias Capias Instanter

5570 City of Fort Worth -vs- Geo Bates } Abusive Language Tuesday June 18th 1889

This day the above cause being called and it appearing to the Court that Albert Banister a witness for the prosecution duly subpoened in this cause, after being called under due forms of law came not. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Clerk of this Court do enter a fine of $10.00 against the said Albert Banister for contempt of the process of this Court, and Scire Facias issue commanding him the said Albert Banister to appear at the Next Term of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas, to be begun and holden at the

Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in July A. D. 1889. then and there to show cause, if any he can, why this judgment should not be made finbe.

5697 City of Fort Worth } Fighting in Public place
-vs- } Tuesday June 18th 1889
Fennie Smith

This day came the City Attorney and for good and sufficient reason filed with the papers herein, says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Fennie Smith go hence without day.

5699 City of Fort Worth } Selling Liquor on Sunday
-vs- } Tuesday June 18th 1889
Dan Ryan

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. P. Goff and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn as the law directs. And the complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at Twenty Dollars
$20.00 W. P. Goff Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do

have and recover of and from the Defendant Dan Ryan as principal and H. P. Shiel and W. H. Ward as Sureties on appeal Bond the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incured both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5069 City of Ft Worth -vs- G. R. White } Tuesday June 18th 1889

On Motion of Plaintiff it is ordered by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County be required to make return of Capias Profirmin issued in this cause by 9 o'clock A. M. June 19th 1889

5564 City of Ft. Worth -vs- John H. White } Tuesday June 18th 1889

On motion of Plaintiff It is ordered by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County be and he is hereby required to make return of Capias Profirmin issued in this cause by 2 o'clock P. M. June 19th 1889.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock

Wednesday morning 9 o'clock June 19th 1889
Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

4634 City of Fort Worth -vs- J. P. Cross } Disturbing the Peace Wednesday June 19th 1889

Continued By Defendant

5012 City of Ft Worth } Permitting a Nusaince
-vs- } Wednesday June 19th 1889
A. Hinson
Alias and Continued

5056 City of Ft. Worth } Assault
-vs- } Wednesday June 19th 1889
L. Bicocchi

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; J. C Wade and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law. and the Complaint being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entred his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty J. C Wade Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Ft. Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant L. Bicocchi go hence without day.

5401 City of Ft. Worth } Disturbing The Peace
-vs- } Wednesday June 19th 1889
W. F. Gillespie

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the Complaint herein, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

5401

City of Fort Worth
-vs-
W. F. Gillespie

Disturbing the Peace
Wednesday June 19" 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; W. P. Goff and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law. And the Complaint being read to the Jury the Defendant now here enterede his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at Five Dollars & 5oo
June 19" '89 W. P. Goff. Foreman Jury

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. F. Gillespie as principal and W. H Ward and John Stuart sureties on Appeal Bond the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5570

City of Fort Worth
-vs-
Geo. Bates

Abusive Language
Wednesday June 19" 1889

Continued by the City for absence of witness Cal Bibson

5569 City of Fort Worth } Committing a nusiance
-vs- } Wednesday June 19th 1889
Annie Dillon

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; W. P. Goff and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law. And the complaint being read to the jury the Defendant in here entered her plea of "not Guilty" whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty
W. P. Goff Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Ft. Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Annie Dillon go hence without day

5696 City of Fort Worth } Selling Liquor on Sunday
-vs- } Wednesday June 19th 1889
Dan Garvey

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; W. P. Goff and five others who being duly tried empannelled and sworn, and who after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and the charge of the Court, do upon their oaths find the Defendant "Not Guilty". It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Dan Garvey go hence

without day.

5695 City of Fort Worth } Intoxicated in Public Place
-vs- } Wednesday June 19th 1889
J. H. Hensley }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the Complaint herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Plaintiff. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is overruled

5695 City of Fort Worth } Intoxicated in Public Place
-vs- } Wednesday June 19th 1889
J. H. Hensley }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; T. C. Moody and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law. And the complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at at Five ($5.00) Dollars

T. C. Moody Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. H. Hensley as principal and C. C. Edwards and J. N. Scheuber sureties on Appeal Bond the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred both in this Court and in the Court below

from which this cause was appealed for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5698 City of Fort Worth -vs- J. P. Epps } Committing a Nuisance Wednesday June 19th 1889

Continued By Defendant

5700 City of Fort Worth -vs- Emeline Gooden } Disturbing the peace Wednesday June 19th 1889

5706 State of Texas -vs- John Ashton } Vagrancy Saturday June 22nd 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Ashton the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5707 State of Texas -vs- Wm Leonard } Vagrancy Saturday June 22, 1889.

This day came the County

Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1,00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Wm Leonard the sum of One dollar fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5708 State of Texas -vs- H. Stone } Vagrancy
Saturday June 22, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1,00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. Stone the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5709. The State of Texas -vs- Ed Johnson } Vagrancy
Saturday June 22, 1889

This day came the County

Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed Johnson the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5710 State of Texas — vs — Geo Harmon } Vagrancy
Saturday June 22, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Harmon the sum of One dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5711. State of Texas — vs — H. Carr } Vagrancy
Saturday June 22, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney

prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Deft now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One Dollar, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. Carr the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

V

5712 State of Texas -vs- S. F. Nicholson } Vagrancy
Saturday June 22, 1889,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitting to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant S. F. Nicholson the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

V

5703 State of Texas -vs- Will Ander } Theft of property under $20. in Value.
Saturday June 22, 1889,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant in his own proper person and both

Parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $50.00 and thirty days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will Ponder the sum of $50.00 fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5713 State of Texas -vs- J. M. Leach } Vagrancy
Saturday June 22, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. M. Leach the sum of One dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5405 City of Fort Worth -vs- Jno Limmer } Monday June 24th 1889

Ordered by the Court that Defendant have leave to file amended motion for new Trial herein.

5405 City of Fort Worth -vs- John Linimer } Monday June 24th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the Defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

5063 City of Fort Worth -vs- J. C Conrads } Monday June 24th 1889

Ordered by the Court that Defendant have leave to file amended motion for new Trial herein.

5063 City of Fort Worth -vs- J. C Conrads } Monday June 24th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new Trial herein and the Argument of Counsel being heard thereon, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion. It is therefore ordered that said Motion be and the same is overruled

5699 City of Fort Worth -vs- Dan Ryan } Monday June 24th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new Trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the Defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and

the same is overruled.

5401 City of Fort Worth -vs- W. F. Gillespie } Monday June 24th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the Defendant It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is overruled

5645 State of Texas -vs- J. L. Tyler } Betting at a Gaming Poker Bank Card Game Friday June 28th 1889.

L This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now there entered his plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. L. Tyler the sum of Ten dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Saturday June 29th A. D 1889
Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in Course

W. D. Harris
County Judge Tarrant Co

Attest,
Jno R. Ring Co Clk
By H. A. Veck Deputy

END

CRIMINAL MINUTES COUNTY COURT

VOL. 8

TARRANT COUNTY

TAR 154
CRIMINAL MINUTES
COUNTY COURT
1889-90

Criminal Minutes City Court &
Tarrant Co. Ref. 1 of 2

County Court
3-4-90

✓ 6051 Ben M Jones = C.C
✓ 6052 S. F. Camp = C.C.
✓ 6357 Henry Gray = E & G D+S
✓ 6332 = John Thompson = Agg Assault
✓ 6357 = Henry Gray = E & G D+S

11

Dismissed
3-5-90

✓ 6272 - J M Mayfield = Dist Court
✓ 6284 - Zack Williams = Betting at G D+S
✓ 6299 = " " " " "

Pifs

✓ 6288 Jimmie Dunn = Betting at G D+S $10.00
✓ 6303 = L. Ross = " " " $10.00
✓ 6352 = J. C Smith = " " " $10.00
✓ 6354 = Ed Rank = " " " $10.00
✓ 6350 = Joe Ramos = E & G D+S $10.00
✓ 6360 = " " " = " " $10.00
✓ 6375 = Fred Edmunds = Agg Assault $30.00

Cont by Consent

✓ 6293 - Bob Wallace - E & G D+S
✓ 6295 - J. C. Smith = " " "
✓ 6296 - Joe Ramos " " "
✓ 6322 - Joe Ramos " " "
6352 - J.

6353 - Frank Gray & Quashed

FOR SALE BY GEO. O. BARNARD & CO., PUBS., ST. LOUIS.

Mal Prac
3-4-9

6105 Mart Davis = Agg Assault
6298 " " = Ex Game 3 03
6112 J. M. Arrambarry = Assault
6113 " " " Abusive Language
6229 Jno Smith = Agg Assault
6277 Ed Armentraut = Betting at Game Table
6278 Dan Cannon = " " " "

3-4-90

Polyandry

6270 Luke Hunt = Gr Fine $25.00
6285 Joe Wise = Pub. Fine $10.00 Betting pt Rec'd
6315 = Mart Davis = Fine 10.00 for Betting
6320 = Henry Gray = For Playing at G. 2 03 Fine $10.00
6364 = James Darby = Theft Fine 10.00 30 Day Jail
6386 = Jesse Sanders = Fine $25.00
6377 = Arthur Brown = Fine 10.00 3 days Jail Theft

FOR SALE BY GEO. O. BARNARD & CO., PRS., ST. LOUIS.

3-6-90

Conf by Consent

6302 P.W. Blvd = St. L on Sunday

6318 Gay Ave =

FOR SALE BY GEO. O. BARNARD & CO., PRS., ST. LOUIS.

Cont up Account
3-3-93

✓	1	5729	John Vaughn	=	Playing Dice in Public Place
✓	2	5730	J. O'Brien	=	" " " "
✓		5867	Neil Thomas	=	Theft under $20
✓		5925	W. O. Middleton	=	S. W in L. O. D
✓		5926	Frank Harrison	=	" " "
✓		5929	"	"	" " "
✓		5930	W. O. Middleton	=	" " "
✓		5931	Frank Harrison	=	" " "
✓		5932	"	"	= " "
✓	10	5933	G. G. Cate	=	" "
✓	11	5935	W. O. Middleton		" "
✓		5925	= C. C. Longmire	=	Sam W + B on Sunday
✓		5973	= J. W. McCathern	=	C. P
✓		5997	= C. C. Longmire	=	S. W. on Sunday
✓		6004	= " "	=	" " "
✓		6006	= C. C. Longmire	=	S. B & W on Sunday
✓		6184	= Jno Dillon	=	Vagrancy
✓		6187	= James Vaughn	=	C. P
✓		6300	= Capt Ford	=	Bullying at G. J + B
✓		6374	= O. C. Taylor	=	Agg assault
	21				
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				
	26				
	27				
	28				
	29				
	30				
	31				
	32				

FOR SALE BY GEO. B. BARNARD & CO., PUBS., ST. LOUIS.

Field
3-3-90

1. 5753 Jim Lee = Disorderly House
2. 5785 Lee Herrin = Theft under $20.
3. 5802 Mike Haley = assault
4. 5827 Willie Taylor = "
5. 5830 Walt Parker = Agg Assault
6. 5919 = Felipe Marion = Carry P
7. 5955 = C. J. Sanders Agg Assault
8. 5909 = Amos Buckleberry = Dynamiting Public Building
9. 5993 = Tom Allen = Theft under $20
10. 5995 = Will Ellis = Delivery Cont Sub Pres
11. 6005 = W. C. Myrick = Delivery Cont Sub Pres
12. 6033 = Jules Rosa = S. M on Summons
13. 6034 = " " " "
14. 6035 = " " " "
15. 6076 = Summons Theft under $20
16.
17.
18.
19.
20.
21.
22.
23.
24. 5872 Martin Dooley = Poss Forn 100
25. 6294 = John Mayberry = Poss " 10 00
26. 6304 = W. T. Graham = Poss " 10 00
27. 6323 = Jim Dennis = Poss " 10 00
28. 6355 = Jim Dennis = Poss " 10 00
29. 6361 = James Dennis = Poss " 10 00
30.
31.
32.

FOR SALE BY GEO. G. BARNARD & CO., STS., ST. LOUIS.

3-3-90

5792 Jas Welsh = Mail Boy (8-3394

5793 R. T. Hart = " "

5794 Jas Welsh " "

5996 H. A. Lewis = S. W on Sunday

6114 - M. J. Doherty = S. B on Sunday

6115 = " " — " "

6116 = " " " "

6133 = John Lloyd = Shift work $20.

6135 = Will Estes = " " "

6182 = Lee Baldwin = Assault

6334 = Ed O'Brien = Vagrancy

6335 = Jas Powers "

6336 = Chas Adams = "

6337 = John Donovan "

6338 = Frank Jones "

6339 = Pat Burke "

6340 = John Daily "

6369 = M. M. Mortimer = Wounding Dog

FOR SALE BY GEO. O. BARNARD & CO., PHS., ST. LOUIS.

Alias v Conk

3-3-90

1. 5194 = Sam McConnell = Playing cards in Public Place
2. 5263 = Willis Washington = Agg Assh
3. 5515 = Jim Collins = Vag
4. 5638 = W. B. Gregory = Ex Gaming Table & Bank
5. 5666 = John O'Dalton = Exhib Saloon
6. 5817 = Tom Slaton = Same
7. 5854 = Henry Willis = Agg Assh
8. 5870 = Bill Allenbury = Ex carpy Convict
9. 5876 = J. M. Speck = Agg Assh
10. 5907 = Fred J. Clarke & = Malicious M
11. 5908 = " " " = " "
12. 5920 = W. M. Miller = Selling W on L. O. T
13. 5922 = " " " = " " "
14. 5924 = " " " = " " "
15. 5927 = Jack Martin = Agg Assh
16. 5928 = W M. Miller = Selling W. on L. O. T
17. 5934 = " " " = " " " "
18. 5936 = " " " = " " " "
19. 5938 = " " " = " " " "
20. 5939 = " " " = " " " "
21. 5958 = Bill Taylor = Ex Gaming T & B
22. 5970 = B H Williams & John Doe = Adultery
23. 5971 = Jack Davis = Agg Assh
24. 5978 = John Byron = Betting at Gaming Table
25. 6069 = Aggie Thompson = Dest Reg Mortgage
26. 6073 = J. H. Traylor = Theft under $20.
27. 6101 = Frank Cammine = Agg Assh
28. 6134 = Bud Emmons = Theft under $20
29. 6136 = Denny Lloyd = " " "
30. 6254 = Chas Johnson = Agg Assh
31. 6255 = " " = " "
32. 6269 = Geo A. Harris = " "

Check Index

FOR SALE BY GEO. G. BARNARD & CO., PRS., ST. LOUIS.

Circus & Carn
3-3-90

338

1. ✓ 6280 = Charles Abbey = Theft under $20.
2. ✓ 6281 = Circus Subj = Agg Assault
3. ✓ 6282 = " Jordan Bros = " "
4. ✓ 6290 = Willis White = Betting at Game with Dice
5. ✓ 6291 = Nick Johnson = " " "
6. ✓ 6297 = Brown Smith = Keeping Table & Bank for Gaming
7. ✓ 6311 = Wesley St Clair = Agg Assault
8. ✓ 6313 = Davey Davis = Ex G & T & B
9. ✓ 6316 = Cesar Domil = Carrying Pistol
10. ✓ 6317 = Henry Pope = Betting at G. D & B
11. ✓ 6319 = Brown Smith = Ex G. T & B
12. ✓ 6321 = Ted Gillian = " " "
13. ✓ 6324 = Ed Mahoney = Betting at Gaming D & B
14. ✓ 6326 = Albert Johnson = " " " "
15. ✓ 6331 = Geo Pearce = Agg Assault
16. ✓ 6343 = Fred Mundane Ind = Adultery
17. ✓ 6345 = Will Kring = Betting at Game Dice
18. ✓ 6347 = Bob Fowler = " " " "
19. ✓ 6348 = Bud Fagg = " " " "
20. ✓ 6349 = Charley Pearce = " " " "
21. ✓ 6350 = Otis Anderson = " " " "
22. ✓ 6353 = Brown Smith = Ex G T & B "
23. ✓ 6367 = Geo Carter = Theft under $20
24. ✓ 6372 = Thos Arnold = Agg Assault
25. ✓ 6373 = John Jaines = Escaped Convict
26.
27.
28.
29.
30.
31.
32.

3 2 1 J 7

FOR SALE BY GEO. O. BARNARD & CO., PHIL., ST. LOUIS.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Monday the 1st day of July A. D. 1889.

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in July A.D. 1889 the same being the 1st day of July A.D. 1889 there was begun and holden a regular Term of the County Court within and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth Present the Hon. W. D. Harris County Judge Presiding Jno P King Co Clerk. B. P. Ayres Co Atty Ed J. C Richardson sheriff of said County when and where the following proceedings where had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court to-wit;

"Venire Facias".

Now comes J. C Richardson Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into open Court the Venire Facias for this the first week of the July Term 1889 of the County Court of Tarrant County, and upon the Jurors thereon being called, Four qualified Jurors answered to-wit; L. A. Trimble J. B Andrews, Geo. Corbin, and M. Smith who were all tried and sworn and placed upon the Panel for the week. And it appearing to the Court that the Panel is incomplete it was ordered that the Sheriff do summon sufficient qualified Jurors to fill the said Panel. And the said Sheriff after being sworn as the law directs returns into open Court the following qualified Jurors to-wit- O. Carrigare, L. C Vaughn, E. F. King, Henry Gates, W. A. Sexton, M. F. Akers Jas. Easley and W. H Letchworth all of whom were duly tried and sworn and placed upon the Panel for the week.

Criminal Minutes County Court of Tarrant Co's Tx

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Monday the 1st day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same to-wit;

3672 State of Texas -vs- L. Cohn et al
Engaging in a Riot

3941 State of Texas -vs- J. L. Hill
Occupation without License

3959 State of Texas -vs- R. L. Carlock
Occupation without License

3992 State of Texas -vs- O. N. Humphreys & F. M. Brantley
Occupation without License

3997 State of Texas -vs- N. H. Lassiter
Occupation without License

4000 State of Texas -vs- O. L. Kennedy
Occupation without License

5229 State of Texas -vs- Mack McConnell
Aggravated Assault

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of said prosecutions and that the Defendants therein go hence without day

- Filed -

It appearing to the Court that the Sheriff has been unable to arrest the Defendants in the following cases it is ordered by the Court that said cases be Filed until such time as the Defendants therein can be arrested to-wit;

4603 State of Texas -vs- D. R. Hawkins
Carrying a Pistol

4771 State of Texas -vs- John Metcalf
Carrying a Pistol

4820 State of Texas -vs- Ed. Mahoney
Ex. Gaming Bank

4892 State of Texas -vs- Will Murphy & Celia Mace
Adultery

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Monday the 1st day of July A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

4907 State of Texas -vs- Hugh Wells
Keeping Saloon open on Sunday

4910 State of Texas -vs- Hugh Wells
Carrying a Pistol

4956 State of Texas -vs- Luther Trabue
Ex. Gaming Bank

4957 State of Texas -vs- J. E. Walker
Theft

4979 State of Texas -vs- John VanZandt et al
Failing to work Public Road

4982 State of Texas -vs- J. A. Wright
Carrying a Pistol

4983 State of Texas -vs- Jas. A. Wright
Aggravated Assault

4986 State of Texas -vs- E. R. Woodredge
Theft

5028 State of Texas -vs- Fred Ellwitts
Theft

5034 State of Texas -vs- Ross Palmer et al
Assault

5057 State of Texas -vs- Fred Ellwitt
Fighting in Public Place

5098 State of Texas -vs- Bailey Bays
Aggravated Assault

5118 State of Texas -vs- Cal Johnson
Betting at a Game played with dice

5120 State of Texas -vs- Charley Henderson
Betting at Game played with Dice

5125 State of Texas -vs- Jas Henderson
Betting at Game played with Dice

5139 State of Texas -vs- Horace Maby
Aggravated Assault

5148½ State of Texas -vs- Gus White
Ex Gaming Bank

5152 State of Texas -vs- Gus White
Betting at Game of Dice

5170 State of Texas -vs- George Worrell
Disturbing the Peace

5171 State of Texas -vs- Frank Awrie
Aggravated Assault

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Monday the 1st day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

5172 State of Texas -vs- Frank Arvide
Aggravated Assault

5173 State of Texas -vs- Henry Dukes
Carrying a pair of "Knucks"

5233 State of Texas -vs- John Cundiffe
Vagrancy

5314 State of Texas -vs- John La colored man
Ex. Gaming Table

5413 State of Texas -vs- Harry Williams
Vagrancy

5416 State of Texas -vs- Harry Williams
Vagrancy

5417 State of Texas -vs- Charles Cooper
Theft

5418 State of Texas -vs- Frank Sands
Embezzlement under $20.

5423 State of Texas -vs- Walter Durham
Disturbing the Peace

5464 State of Texas -vs- O. C. Adams
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax

5465 State of Texas -vs- J. W. Anderson
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax

5470 State of Texas -vs- G. W. Carter
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax.

5476 State of Texas -vs- A. P. Tenbrook
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax.

5483 State of Texas -vs- Ada McKenzie
Pursuing Occupation without paying Occupation Tax

5490 State of Texas -vs- Cook & Schwartz
Pursuing Occupation without paying Occupation Tax

5472 State of Texas -vs- Wm Ward
Pursuing Occupation without paying occupation Tax

5473 State of Texas -vs- G. W. Walker
Pursuing Occupation without Occupation Tax

5474 State of Texas -vs- S. Thompson
Pursuing Occupation without paying Occupation Tax,

5475 State of Texas -vs- L. H. Slaugh
Pursuing Occupation without paying Occupation Tax,

5477 State of Texas -vs- C. H. Turner
Pursuing Occupation without paying occupation Tax,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Monday the 1st day of July A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5479 State of Texas -vs- McGinnis & Cook
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax.

5481 State of Texas -vs- M. E. McDonald
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax

5482 State of Texas -vs- Essex McCracken
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax

5484 State of Texas -vs- W. W. Marshall
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax.

5491 State of Texas -vs- W. M. Douglass
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax

5492 State of Texas -vs- G. W. Cather
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax.

5493 State of Texas -vs- M. Jacobs
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax.

5494 State of Texas -vs- A. M. Hess
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax.

5495 State of Texas -vs- E. Y. Hill
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax.

5496 State of Texas -vs- C. A. Hines
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax.

5497 State of Texas -vs- J. A. Forker
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax.

5498 State of Texas -vs- E. F. Gilbreth
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax.

5499 State of Texas -vs- Ike Furber
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax.

5501 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Ellis
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax.

5501 State of Texas -vs- Fred Elliott
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax

5503 State of Texas -vs- F. B. Chipman
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax.

5504 State of Texas -vs- J. C. Barger
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax.

5505 State of Texas -vs- J. S. Barnett
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax.

5508 State of Texas -vs- Frank Collins
Vagrancy.

5650 State of Texas -vs- Geo W. Clary
Unlawfully using an Estray Horse.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Monday the 1st day of July A. D. 1889.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5194	State of Texas vs Sam McConnell	Monday July 1st 1889 Continued
5255	State of Texas vs Jack Coleman	Monday July 1st 1889. Continued
5264	State of Texas -vs- Marian Washington	Monday July 1st 1889 Alias and Continued
5265	State of Texas -vs- Chas Lee	Monday July 1st 1889 Alias and Continued
5284	State of Texas -vs- C. O. Reinels	Monday July 1st 1889 Alias and Continued
5312	State of Texas -vs- Harvey Harrods	Monday July 1st 1889 Alias and Continued
5342	State of Texas -vs- Bob Forman	Monday July 1st 1889. Alias and Continued
5344	State of Texas -vs- Buford	Monday July 1st 1889 Alias and Continued

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889.

Monday the 1st day of July A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5297	State of Texas -vs- Jim Westbrook Continued
5347	State of Texas -vs- Wm Warden Alias and Continued
5348	State of Texas -vs. Peter Hubbs Alias and Continued
5387	State of Texas -vs- Bill Baker Continued
5390	State of Texas -vs- Church Smith Alias and Continued
5400	State of Texas -vs- —— Curtis Alias and Continued
5420	State of Texas -vs- James Tullis Alias and Continued
5460	State of Texas -vs- Tom Gallagher Alias and Continued
5469	State of Texas -vs- A. P. Burmakoli Alias and Continued
5514	State of Texas -vs- Dock Tanner Alias and Continued
5516	State of Texas -vs- John Ritchie Continued
5524	State of Texas -vs- Albert Bryson Alias and Continued
5525	State of Texas -vs- Bob Anderson Alias and Continued
5540	State of Texas -vs- Vesut Kidd Alias and Continued
5575	State of Texas -vs- Buck Smith Alias and Continued
5636	State of Texas -vs- Jams Rusling Alias and Continued
5646	State of Texas -vs- A. Hall Alias and Continued
5647	State of Texas -vs- Joe Smith Alias and Continued
5662	State of Texas -vs- G. W. Hargrove Alias and Continued
5663	State of Texas -vs- Bell Kelso Continued

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Monday the 1st day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

5664 State of Texas -vs- Bruce Lewis
Continued

5676 State of Texas -vs- Joe Mosley
Alias and Continued

5677 State of Texas -vs- Joe Mosley
Alias and Continued

5702 State of Texas -vs- Kitty Parks
Alias and Continued

5714 State of Texas -vs- Billy Rogers
Continued

5715 State of Texas -vs- S. F. Camp
Continued

5716 State of Texas -vs- Will White
Alias and Continued

5720 State of Texas -vs- Chas Anderson
Alias and Continued

5721 State of Texas -vs- James Hadley
Alias and Continued

5722 State of Texas -vs- Jack Shiel
Alias and Continued

5723 State of Texas -vs- Dan Callahan
Alias and Continued

5724 State of Texas -vs- Chas Wright
Alias and Continued

5726 State of Texas -vs- Bill Atterberry
Alias and Continued

5753 State of Texas -vs- Hop Lee
Alias and Continued

5754 State of Texas -vs- Joe Crowder
Alias and Continued

State of Texas
-vs-
5510 Geo Canary

Monday July 1st 1889
Vagrancy

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also come the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ... Term, 18

Monday the 1st day of July A. D. 18 89

now here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Canary the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5648

State of Texas
-vs-
J. W. Putnam

Monday July 1st 1889.
Betting at Gaming Table and Bank

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $100. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. W. Putnam the sum Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5692

State of Texas
-vs-
Jordan Willis

Monday July 1st 1889
Theft under $50.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Monday the 1st day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 and one day in the County Jail, It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jordan Willis the sum of $1.00 fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that the Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of one day and till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6694 State of Texas -vs- N. Lacroix } Monday July 1st 1889, Pursuing Occupation without License

This day came the County Attorney and says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant has paid his Tax and all costs accrued herein to date, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant N. Lacroix go hence without day.

5732 State of Texas. -vs- J. P. Sheehan. } Monday July 1st 1889. Selling Whiskey on Sunday

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the ✓ Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. P. Sheehan the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Monday the 1 day of July A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

5735

State of Texas
-vs-
A. G. Rittleman

Monday July 1." 1889.
Selling Whisky on Sunday

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty." Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant A. G. Rittleman the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5739

State of Texas
-vs-
T. E. Ridgeway

Monday July 1. 1889.
Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant T. E. Ridgeway the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Monday the 1 day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that the Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5749 State of Texas -vs- H. D. Dunkey } Monday July 1. 1889. Selling Beer on Sunday

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. D. Dunkey the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that the Defendant Stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5758 State of Texas -vs- John Rimmer } Monday July 1. 1889. Vagrancy

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $2.50. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Rimmer the sum of $2.50 fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Monday the 1 day of July A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that the Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5760

State of Texas
-vs-
Jas Turner

Monday July 1. 1889
Vagrancy

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $2.50 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jas. Turner the sum of $2.50 fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5761

State of Texas
-vs-
Thos Huber

Monday July 1, 1889.
Vagrancy

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $2.50. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Thos Huber the sum of $2.50 fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Monday the 1st day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Further ordered that the Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock,

Tuesday morning July 2nd A. D. 1889 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5571 State of Texas -vs- J. W. Coker } Tuesday July 2nd 1889. Unlawfully resisting an officer attempting to make an arrest.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit;- J. B. Andrews and five others who were duly selected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant entered his plea of "Not Guilty" Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit!

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

J. B. Andrews,
Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant J. W. Coker go hence without day.

5572 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Rushing } Tuesday July 2nd 1889, Unlawfully resisting an officer attempting to make an arrest, Continued by the State

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Tuesday the 2nd day of July A. D. 1889.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same Somit:

5437 State of Texas -vs- Sam Gill
Aggravated Assault

5488 State of Texas -vs- Mamie Hubbard
Pursuing Occupation without paying Tax

5529 State of Texas -vs- Ike Cooper
Selling Whisky on Sunday

5539 State of Texas -vs- Ike Cooper
Permitting Game of Cards to be played in house under his control

5542 State of Texas -vs- J. G. Bragg
Playing Cards in Public Place

5573 State of Texas -vs- Peter Blake
Theft under $20.

5633 State of Texas -vs- Billy Sanders
Theft under $20.

5688 State of Texas -vs- Jos Henry
Theft under $20.

5748 State of Texas -vs- Hop Lee
Keeping Disorderly House.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of said prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

5604 State of Texas -vs- Fred Smith
Continued

5656 State of Texas -vs- Mollie Blair
Continued

5666 State of Texas -vs- John O. Dalton
Bond forfeited Alias Instanter and Continued

5675 State of Texas -vs- Will White
Cont by the State

5678 State of Texas -vs- Henry Gray
Cont by the State

5684 State of Texas -vs- Geo Bates
Cont by the State.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Tuesday the 2nd day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5719 State of Texas -vs- Skelter Root
Bond Forfeited Alias Instantur

5719 State of Texas -vs- Mage McCarly
Bond forfeited Alias Instantur

5747 State of Texas -vs- Mack St Clair
Bond forfeited Alias Instantur

5119. State of Texas -vs- Cal Smith } Tuesday July 2nd 1889.
Betting at a Game played with Dice

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit! E. F. King and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty". Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit!

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine Ten Dollars"

E. F. King. Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Cal Smith the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5721 State of Texas vs W. F. Whitson } Selling Whisky on Sunday
Tuesday July 2nd 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Tuesday the 2d day of July A. D. 1889

the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 & it is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. F. Whitlow the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that the said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and Costs are paid in full.

5734 State of Texas -vs- Jas Mayer } Selling Whisky on Sunday

Tuesday July 2nd 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also come the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jas Mayer the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and Costs are paid in full.

5736 State of Texas -vs- G. W. Day } Selling Whisky on Sunday

Tuesday July 2nd 1889.

This day came the County Attorney

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Tuesday the 2 day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant G. H. Day the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5738 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward } Selling Whiskey on Sunday

Tuesday July 2nd 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. H. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

5741 State of Texas -vs- Will Hill } Theft under $20, Tuesday July 2nd 1889,

This day came the parties by their

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, ... Term, 18 ....

..... the ..... day of ..... A. D. 18 .....

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. B. Andrews and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty

J. B. Andrews. Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Will Hill go hence without day.

5742

State of Texas
-vs-
Will Hill

Malicious Mischief
Tuesday July 2. 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; O. Painpair and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following Verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Seven hundred & fifty Dollars ($750.00)"

O. Painpair, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will Hill the sum of Seven hundred and fifty Dollars fine assessed

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Tuesday the 2 day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that the Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5755 State of Texas -vs- Frank Dereamer } Theft of Property under $50. July 2, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; L. C. Vaugh and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury and the Defendant now herein entered his plea of "Not Guilty" Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their Verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at imprisonment in the County Jail for the period of three months"

L. C. Vaughn, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do take the Defendant Frank Dereamer and him confine in the County Jail for the full period of three months and until all costs accrued herein are paid in full.

5764 State of Texas -vs- Dan Andrews } Selling Whisky and Bar on Sunday July 2, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Tuesday the 2 day of July A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dan Andrews the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

State of Texas
-vs-
Mr Hatchel

Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday
July 2, 1889

5766

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mr Hatchel the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

✓

State of Texas
-vs-
Will Howard

Vagrancy
Tuesday July 2, 1889.

5769

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being

✓

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will Howard the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5770

State of Texas
-vs-
Geo Davis

Vagrancy
Tuesday July 2. 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Davis the sum of one Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5771

State of Texas
-vs-
Chas Diamond

Vagrancy
Tuesday July 2, 1889,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now herein entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas Diamond the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that the said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and Costs are paid in full.

5772

State of Texas
-vs-
Richard Sweeny

Vagrancy
Tuesday July 2. 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Richard Sweeny the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committing to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5138

State of Texas
-vs-
Ike Cooper

Permitting Game of Cards to be played in house under his control

Tuesday July 2, 1889.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore considered

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Tuesday the 2 day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Ike Cooper go hence without day.

5515 State of Texas -vs- Jim Collins
Bond forfeited Alias Instanter

5733 State of Texas -vs- Mack St Clair
Bond forfeited and alias Instanter

5649. State of Texas -vs- Ed F. Warren } Betting at Gaming Table and Bank
Tuesday July 2, 1889.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss the same. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Ed F. Warren has departed this life, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that this cause be finally dismissed from the Docket.

5752 State of Texas -vs- Otis Glisner & Becca Rogers } Fornication
Tuesday July 2, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys. then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash Complaint herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant. It is therefore ordered and and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained. that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants Otis Glisner and Becca Rogers go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Tuesday the 2nd day of July A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5112 State of Texas vs Willie Carvile } Selling Beer on Sunday July 2, 1889

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Willie Carvile go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Wednesday Morning July 3rd A. D. 1889 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until ~~Friday~~ morning at 9 o'clock.

Friday Morning July 5th A. D. 1889 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on Wednesday

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same to-wit:

5329 State of Texas -vs- S. M. Galloway
Selling Liquor on Sunday

5330 State of Texas -vs- S. M. Galloway
Selling Liquor on Sunday

5331 State of Texas -vs- S. M. Galloway
Selling Liquor on Sunday

5332 State of Texas -vs- S. M. Galloway
Aggravated Assault

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 18

Friday the 5th day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5333 State of Texas -vs- S. M. Galloway
Selling Liquor on Sunday

5527. State of Texas -vs- Con Heines
Selling Whisky on Sunday

5653 State of Texas -vs- E. Kannun
Selling Bur without first paying the Occupation Tax.

5746 State of Texas -vs- Joe Oppe
Selling Bur on Sunday

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of said prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

5263 State of Texas -vs- Willis Washington — Aggravated Assault Friday July 5th 1889.
Bond forfeited alias and Continued

5393 State of Texas -vs- Bob Smith — Exhibiting Gaming Bank Friday July 5, 1889.
Alias and Continued

5396 State of Texas -vs- W. E. Graves — Exhibiting Gaming Bank Friday July 5, 1889.
Bond forfeited Alias and Continued

5513 State of Texas -vs- Charley Emory — Vagrancy Friday July 5, 1889.
Alias and Continued

5635 State of Texas -vs- Dolph Gass — Carrying a Pistol Friday July 5, 1889.
Alias and Continued

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Friday the 5th day of July A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5683 State of Texas -vs- Jim Lawrty } Disturbing the Peace
Friday July 5. 1889.
Continued by Consent

5370 State of Texas vs W. E. Graves } Exhibiting Gaming Table and Bank
Friday July 5, 1889
Bond forfeited Alias and Continued

5705 State of Texas vs Jeff Oliver } Aggravated Assault
Friday July 5, 1889.
Continued by State

5763. State of Texas -vs- Gus Bader } Theft under $20.
Friday July 5, 1889.
Continued

5308 State of Texas -vs- Dixie Lyon } Vagrancy
Friday July 5, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine $1.00, It is therefore considered ~~and~~ adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dixie Lyon the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that the Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 18

Friday the 5th day of July A. D. 18 89

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5728.

State of Texas
-vs-
Con Hines

Playing Dice in Public Place
Friday July 5. 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: O. Painpair and five others who were duly selected impaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury ~~and~~ the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars

O. Painpair. Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Con Hines the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that the said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5687

State of Texas
vs
Willie Bennett

Theft under $20.
Friday July 5. 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: O. Painpair and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty," Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Friday the 5 day of July A. D. 1889

their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;
"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"
O. Pamplin, Foreman
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Willie Bennett go hence without day.

5768 State of Texas -vs- Robt Skeens } Theft under $20. Friday July 5th. 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: O. Pamplin and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:
"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"
O. Pamplin, Foreman.
It is therefore considered and adjudged by Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Robt Skeens go hence without day.

5729. State of Texas -vs- John Vaughn } Playing Dice in Public Place Friday July 5, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Geo Corbin and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

law and the Information being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the Evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court and announced that they could not agree, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged and this Case stand for trial in regular order upon the Docket of this Court.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock,

Saturday Morning July 6" 1889, Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Monday morning at 9 oclock

Monday morning July 8" 1889, Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on Saturday,

State of Texas
-vs-
G. W. Hargrove

-Carrying a Pistol
Monday July 8" 1889,

5545

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant G. W. Hargrove the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Monday the 8th day of July A. D. 1889

Costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full,

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cause and moves the Court to dismiss the same to wit;

5209. State of Texas -vs- G. W. Hargrove
Carrying a Pistol

5433 State of Texas -vs- Billy Wise
Assault and Battery

5573. State of Texas -vs- G. W. Hargrove
Carrying a Pistol

5689 State of Texas -vs- Georgia Corbin
Aggravated Assault

It is therefore ordered & adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of said prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day,

5338 State of Texas -vs- R. F. Miller } Carrying a Pistol
Monday July 8th, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant R. F. Miller the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Monday the 8" day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

State of Texas
vs
5340 Joe Madden

Carrying a Dagger
Monday July 8" 1889,

Bond forfeited Alias and Continued

State of Texas
-vs-
5361 Perry Clark

Theft under $20.
Monday July 8" 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: H. R. Mayo and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty"
H. R. Mayo. Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Perry Clark go hence without day

State of Texas
-vs-
5560 Wm. Brooks

Aggravated Assault
Monday July 8" 1889.

Continued by the State

State of Texas
vs
5767 Effie Cannon

Aggravated Assault
Monday July 8" 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; H. R. Mayo and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Monday the 8 day of July A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

Information being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entered her plea of not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

H. R. Mays. Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Effie Cannon go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning July 9th 1889 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons files with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same, to wit;

5519 State of Texas -vs- Jim Toals
Vagrancy

5707 State of Texas -vs- John Jessoy
Vagrancy

5786. State of Texas -vs- Ed Rolison
Theft under $20.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of said prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

5208. State of Texas -vs- M. G. Belinbaugh } Aggravated Assault
Tuesday July 9, 1889.

Continued by the State for absence of witness R. H. Howe, John Weddington & Mrs John Weddington,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889.

Thursday the 9th day of July A. D. 1889.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5313	State of Texas vs John Maddin	Carrying a Pistol Thursday July 9th 1889. Continued by Consent
5548	State of Texas -vs- H. J. Henley	Carrying a Pistol Thursday July 9th. 1889. Continued by Consent
5634	State of Texas -vs- Julius Scribner	Aggravated Assault Thursday July 9th 1889. Continued by the State for absence of witness Ed E. Engle, C. C. Longmire.
5640	State of Texas -vs- John Heyberger	Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank Thursday July 9. 1889. Alias and Continued
5661	State of Texas -vs- R. F. Moore	Aggravated Assault Thursday July 9th 1889. Continued by Consent
5668	State of Texas vs C. F. Law	Aggravated Assault Thursday July 9. 1889. Continued by Consent
5751	State of Texas -vs- John Carlin	Assault Thursday July 9. 1889. Continued by the Defendant
5787.	State of Texas -vs- James Anderson	Carrying a Pistol Thursday July 9. 1889 Continued by Consent

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Thursday the 9th day of July A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5371 State of Texas -vs- Bob Hamilton } Carrying a Pistol. Thursday July 9th 1889.

Bond forfeited alias and continued

5368 State of Texas -vs- G. L. McFarland } Carrying a Pistol Thursday July 9th 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: H. R. Mays and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty". Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at Twenty five dollars fine.

H. R. Mays. Foreman."

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant G. L. McFarland the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5369. State of Texas -vs- G. L. McFarland & Ellen McAfee } Fornication Thursday July 9th. 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: John D. Nichols and five others who were duly

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Tuesday the 9th day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury and the Defendants now here entered their plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendants not Guilty"

John D. Nicholds. Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants G. L. McFarland and Ellen McAfee go hence without day.

5667. State of Texas -vs- G. L. McFarland } Threats to Kill
Tuesday July 9th 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to Quash Information herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant, it is therefore ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

5421 State of Texas -vs- M. A. Dodge } Aggravated Assault
Tuesday July 9th 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: H. R. Mays and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty" Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

H. R. Mayo, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant M. A. Dodge go hence without day.

5457 State of Texas -vs- Thomas Bush } Aggravated Assault Thursday July 9. 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: C. McDougal and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "not Guilty" Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

C. McDougal, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Thomas Bush go hence without day.

5524 State of Texas -vs- Albert Bryson } Carrying a Pistol Tuesday July 9, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of the fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889.

Tuesday the 9th day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Albert Bryson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5636 State of Texas -vs- James Rushing } Carrying a Pistol
Tuesday July 9th 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: John D. Nichols and five others who were duly elected impaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty"; Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty"

John D. Nichols, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant James Rushing go hence without day.

5637 State of Texas -vs- James Rushing } Carrying a Pistol
Tuesday July 9th, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. M. Richardson and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Tuesday the 9th day of July A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

law and the Indictment being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court Retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

J. M. Richardson, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant James Rushing go hence without day.

5774 State of Texas -vs- Will Johnson } Theft under $20. Tuesday July 9th 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10, and Ten days in the County Jail, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will Johnson the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that the said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten days, and till said said fine and costs are paid in full.

5783, State of Texas -vs- Ed Robison } Assault Tuesday July 9, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Tuesday the 9th day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed Rolison the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5773. State of Texas -vs- S. M. Galloway } Selling Bar & Whisky on Sunday
Tuesday July 9, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant S. M. Galloway the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5789. State of Texas -vs- Wm Rose } Carrying a Pistol
Tuesday July 9, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Tuesday the 9th day of July A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25, It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Wm Rass the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that the said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

3936

State of Texas
vs
F. Nash

Vagrancy
Tuesday July 9th. 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. S. Hardisty and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Dollar,

J. S. Hardisty, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant F. Nash the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889,

Wednesday the 10th day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9" oclock,

Wednesday Morning July 10" 1889 Court met at 9" oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases to wit:

5386 State of Texas vs- Jas Blackwith
Agg-Aggravated Assault

5598 State of Texas vs- G. W. Wallis
Selling Whisky on Sunday

5606 State of Texas -vs- Tom Payne
Aggravated Assault

5596 State of Texas -vs- Sam Lane,
Selling Whisky on Sunday

5745 State of Texas -vs- J. M. Galloway
Selling Whisky and Bar on Sunday

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of said prosecutions and that the said Defendants go hence without day,

5391 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller } Selling Liquor in Local Option Precinct
Wednesday July 10" 1889,

Continued by the State for absence of witnesses J. T. Milam and F. P. Day

5607, State of Texas -vs- Tom Earley & Alice Malone } Fornication
Wednesday July 10, 1889,

Continued by Consent,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Wednesday the 9th day of July A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5704 State of Texas vs Jack Starr & Nancy Johnson — Adultery

Wednesday July 10, 1889

Continued by Consent

5530 State of Texas vs J. D. Smith & W. H. Ervin — Exhibiting Gaming Table and Bank

Wednesday July 10, 1889,

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: H. R. Mays and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury and the Defendants now here entered their plea of "Not Guilty" Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the jury find the Defendants not Guilty"

H. R. Mays. Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants J. D. Smith and W. H. Ervin go hence without day,

5531. State of Texas -vs- J. D. Smith & W. H. Ervin — Exhibiting Gaming Table and Bank

Wednesday July 10, 1889,

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; W. J. Jordan and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury ~~and~~ the Defendants now here entered their

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Wednesday the 10, day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

plea of "Not Guilty", Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit!

"We the jury find the Defendants Guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess their punishment at a fine $25.00 each and ten days in jail each

W. J. Jordan, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendants J. D. Smith and W. H. Erwin the sum of Twenty five Dollars each, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that the said Defendants stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten Days and till said fines and costs are paid in full.

5621 State of Texas -vs- Lou Davis } Assault

Wednesday July 10, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit! J. M. Richardson and five others who was duly elected empaneled, and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered her plea of Not Guilty Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into Court the following verdict to wit!

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess the fine five dollars.

J. M. Richardson.

Foreman.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 18 89

Wednesday the 10th day of July A. D. 18 89

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lou. Davis the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5654 State of Texas -vs- John Hines } Selling Whisky & Beer on Sunday
Wednesday July 10, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; H. R. Mays and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant "not Guilty"
H. R. Mays, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Hines go hence without day,

5788 State of Texas -vs- H. E. Weaver } Aggravated Assault
Wednesday July 10, 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Wednesday the 10. day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25, It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. E. Weaver the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5591 State of Texas -vs- Edward Muller } Motion to Quash Information Wednesday July 10. 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion to Quash Information herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the Defendant, it is therefore ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

5591 State of Texas -vs- Edward Muller } Selling Whiskey on Sunday Wednesday July 10, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant Guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Edward Muller the sum of $25. fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

5620 State of Texas -vs- Dan Davis } Defts Motion in Arrest of Judgment Wednesday July 10, 1889,

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion in arrest of Judgment and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant. It is therefore ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock

Thursday Morning July 11, 1889 Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5453 State of Texas -vs- Bill Smith } Carrying a Pistol Thursday July 11, 1889,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Bill Smith the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5448 State of Texas -vs- L. L. Miller } Thursday July 11, 1889,

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard Defendants Plea of former acquital in the Recorders Court and Plaintiff's demurrer thereto, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Plaintiff. It is therefore ordered by the Court that Plaintiffs demurrer be and the same is hereby sustained

5448 State of Texas -vs- L. L. Miller } Selling Liquor Without Paying Occupation Tax Thursday July 11, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: H. R. Mays and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury and the Defendant now there entered his plea of not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court and announced that they could not agree, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged and this case stand for trial in regular order upon the Docket of this Court,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Thursday the 11 day of July A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5532, 5533 5534, 5535	State of Texas -vs- J. D. Smith & W. H. Ervin	Exhibiting Gaming Table and Bank Thursday July 11, 1889. Continued by Consent
5638	State of Texas -vs- N. B. Gregory	Ex Gaming Table and Bank Thursday July 11, 1889. Alias and Continued
5639	State of Texas -vs- Victor Foster	Ex Gaming Table and Bank Thursday July 11, 1889. Continued by the State
5641	State of Texas -vs- Geo Canary	Ex Gaming Table and Bank Thursday July 11, 1889. Continued by the State
5643	State of Texas -vs- C. B. Dixon	Ex Gaming Table and Bank Thursday July 11, 1889. Continued by the State
5644	State of Texas vs Charley Hadley	Ex Gaming Table and Bank Thursday July 11, 1889. Continued by the State
5601, 5602	State of Texas -vs- E. Kamein	Selling Beer on Sunday Thursday July 11, 1889 Continued by the State
5655	State of Texas -vs- John Stewart	Selling Whiskey on Sunday Thursday July 11, 1889. Continued by Consent.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Thursday the 11 day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Burnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers

5647 State of Texas vs Owen Perry — Ex Gaming Table and Bank
Thursday July 11, 1889
Filed

5679 State of Texas -vs- W. O. Link — Carrying a Pistol
Thursday July 11, 1889,
Continued by the Defendant

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following case and moves the Court to dismiss the same to wit:

5685 State of Texas -vs- Henry Brown, et al
Adultery

5693 State of Texas -vs- Henry Jumman
Aggravated Assault

It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that said Defendants go hence without day.

5701 State of Texas vs E. H. Dailey — Carrying a Pistol
Thursday July 11, 1889.
Continued by Consent

5717 State of Texas -vs- Skelter Root — Vagrancy
Thursday July 11, 1889,
Continued by Defendant

5718 State of Texas -vs- Jim Dennis — Vagrancy
Thursday July 11, 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Thursday the 11 day of July A. D. 1889

Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One Dollar, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Dennis the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that the said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5737 State of Texas -vs- Victor Foster } Selling Whiskey on Sunday

Thursday July 11, 1889,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Victor Foster the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, For which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Thursday the 11 day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5740 State of Texas -vs- Ed Mullin } Selling Whiskey on Sunday
Thursday July 11, 1889
Continued by Consent

5751 State of Texas -vs- Sarah Johnson } Aggravated Assault
Thursday July 11, 1889.
Continued by Consent

5759 State of Texas -vs- Joseph Opelander } Vagrancy
Thursday July 11, 1889.

This day came the Co. Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enter this plea of "Guilty" Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One Dollar. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Joseph Opelander the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5765 State of Texas -vs- H. P. Shirl } Selling on Sunday
Thursday July 11, 1889.
Continued by the State

5775 State of Texas -vs- Bob Maurice } Assault
Thursday July 11, 1889.
This day came the parties by their

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Thursday the 11 day of July A. D. 1889

Attorneys and then came on to heard Defendants plea of Former acquital and argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant. It is therefore ordered that said plea be and the same is hereby sustained, and Defendant adjudged not Guilty

5777 State of Texas -vs- Charley Beckham } Theft under $20. Thursday July 11, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: H. R. Mays and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at Twenty days in Jail,"

H. R. Mays. Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff of Tarrant County do take the Defendant Chas Beckham and him confine in the County Jail for the full period of Twenty days and until all costs accrued herein are paid in full.

5779. State of Texas -vs- Will Howe } Theft under $20. Thursday July 11, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: C. M. McDougall and five others

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their Verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty

C. M. Daugall, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Will Horn go hence without day,

5780 State of Texas -vs- Mike Haley } Fine under $50,
Thursday July 11, 1889,

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; John D. Nichols and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty," Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

Jno D Nichols, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Mike Haley go hence without day,

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Thursday the 11 day of July A. D. 1889

5784

State of Texas
-vs-
Ed Francisco

Theft under $20.
Thursday July 11, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; C. McDougall and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars and confinement in Tarrant Co Jail for Ten Days."

C. McDougall, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed Francisco the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten Days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5531

State of Texas
-vs-
J. D. Smith et al
W. H. Ervin

Motion for New Trial
Thursday July 11, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendants in their own proper persons and by Attorney and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of Counsel being

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

heard thereon, it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the of the Court the Defendants each excepts and each give notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

5531 State of Texas vs J. D. Smith & W. H. Ervin } Recognizance Thursday July 11, 1889,

This day came into open Court J. D. Smith, Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with W. B. Harrison and J. M. Williams his sureties acknowledged themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Two Hundred Dollars conditioned that the said J. D. Smith who stands charged in this Court with the offense of Unlawfully Keeping and Exhibiting for the purpose of Gaming a Gaming Table and Bank and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case

5531 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Smith & W. H. Ervin } Recognizance Thursday July 11, 1889,

This day came into open Court W. H. Ervin, Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with W. B. Harrison and J. M. Williams his sureties acknowledged themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Two Hundred dollars conditioned that the said

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Thursday the 11 day of July A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

W. H. Erwin who stands charged in this Court with the offense of Unlawfully Keeping and Exhibiting for the purpose of Gaming a Gaming Table and Bank and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from Term to Term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this Case,

5012 City of Fort Worth vs A. Hinson — Appeal Friday July 12, 1889. Alias and Continued

5015 City of Fort Worth vs Johnson & Nichols — Appeal Friday July 12, 1889. Alias and Continued

5019 City of Fort Worth vs G. L. Miller — Appeal Friday July 12, 1889 Bond forfeited alias Instanter

5074 City of Fort Worth vs Bob McDill — Appeal Friday July 12, 1889 Alias and Continued

5075 City of Fort Worth -vs- Liana Johnson — Appeal Friday July 12, 1889, Alias and Continued

5568 City of Fort Worth vs Melvina Butler — Appeal Friday July 12, 1889. Continued by the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Friday the ~~July~~ 12 day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5570 City of Fort Worth vs- Geo Bates — Appeal Friday July 12, 1889.

Continued

5695 City of Fort Worth vs J. H. Hansley — Appeal Friday July 12, 1889.

This day came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City of Fort Worth and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and move the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant J. H. Hansley go hence without day,

5796 City of Fort Worth -vs- Mrs Calhoun Bell — Appeal Friday July 12, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard Plaintiffs Motion to Dismiss the Appeal herein for insufficiency of Appeal Bond and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Motion, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained that Appeal herein be and the same is hereby dismissed and that Procedendo issue to the Court below commanding it to proceed with the execution of the judgment by it rendered herein, to which judgment of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Friday the 12 day of July A. D. 1889,

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5797

City of Fort Worth
vs
Link Forrest

Appeal
Friday July 12, 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard Plaintiffs Motion to Dismiss the appeal herein for insufficiency of Appeal Bond and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, that Appeal herein be and the same is hereby dismissed and that Procendendo issue to the Court below commanding it to proceed with the execution of the judgment rendered herein by it, to which judgment of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas,

5798

City of Fort Worth
-vs-
A. F. Brandt

Appeal
Friday July 12, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: H. R. Mays and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty" Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty
H. R. Mays. Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and

, County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889,

Friday the 12 day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

the Defendant A. F. Brandt go hence without day,

5803 State of Texas vs Chas Lalor } Aggravated Assault
Friday July 12, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant Chas Lalor stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5795 State of Texas vs Jake Emich } Vagrancy
Friday July 12, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty and the Court after hearing the Evidence and Argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises, finds the Defendant not Guilty, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Friday the 12 day of July A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

the Defendant Jake Emrick go hence without day,

5800. State of Texas -vs- Jesse Jones } Vagrancy
Friday July 12, 1889,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of One Dollar, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jesse Jones the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant Jesse Jones stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

Ordered that this Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Saturday morning July 13, 1889 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5690 State of Texas -vs- Geo Neal } Aggravated Assault
Saturday July 13, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Saturday the 13 day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

law come submitting to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25 It is therefore considered and ordered that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Welsh the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs Execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant Geo Welsh stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5777 State of Texas -vs- Charley Beckham } Motion for New Trial
Saturday July 13, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard Defendant's Motion for New Trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the Defendant, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Monday morning at 9 o'clock

Monday Morning July 15, 1889 Court met at 9 o'clock Pursuant to adjournment present as on Saturday.

5778 State of Texas -vs- Con Hines } Motion for New Trial
July 15, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard Defendants Motion for a New Trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

the Court that the law is against said Motion It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Motion be and the same is overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

5742 State of Texas -vs- Will Hill } Monday July 15th 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion in arrest of Judgment herein. And the argument of Counsel being heard thereon it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is sustained and that the Defendant herein Will Hill go hence without day.

Election of Special Judge.

This day the Hon. W. D. Harris County Judge having announced that he would be absent from the State in accordance with permission granted by the Commissioners Court of this County, the Sheriff of Tarrant County made public proclamation at the Court House door as the law directs, that an election for special County Judge of said County was about to be held. Whereupon the following named practicing lawyers of said Court being present proceeded to and participated in the election of said special Judge to-wit; D. W. Humphrys, N. A. Steadman, M. A. Spoonts, Drew Pruitt, R. L. Carlock, J. C. Terrell, Mumford, G. B. Thompson, Robt. McCart, R. H. Orr, Henry Fields, C. M. Templeton, Wallace Hendricks, S. R. Mayes, James Davis, R. H. Essex,

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Camp, J. A. Holland, Ross Bowlin, R. S. Blair, B. G. Johnson, Ben Terrell, Henry Finch, Sam Furman, Sam Cantey Jno D. Templeton, Orly Dunklin, M. D. Priest, N. B. Ford, Shirley, F. M. Bramley, N. D. L. Bonin and N. R. Bowlin and thereupon Jno D. Templeton one of the aforesaid practicing lawyers was called to the chair and said Election was duly held at which thirty three ballots were polled, seventeen of which were cast for Drew Pruit, three for Wallace Hendricks and thirteen for J. S. Davis, Whereupon the chair declared Drew Pruitt elected Special Judge of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas and the Oath prescribed by law was by the Clerk of this Court duly administered to the said Drew Pruit as such Special Judge.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock

Court in session Friday July 19" 1889
Present Hon Drew Pruit Special County Judge John A King County Clerk, B. P Ayres County Attorney and J. C Richardson Sheriff of Tarrant County

5806 State of Texas -vs- Joe Baker } Playing at Gaming Bank Friday July 19" 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered ✓ his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Joe Baker the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full

5814 Ex Parte } Habeas Corpus
Jas Parrish } Saturday July 20th 1889

This day came to be heard before the Court the Petition of Applicant Jas Parrish for writ of Habeas Corpus directed to the Sheriff of Tarrant County, said Applicant alleges that he is illegally restrained of his liberty by ~~them~~ J. C. Richardson Sheriff as aforesaid, by virtue of an order of Commitment issued by A. G. McClung Justice of the Peace of Precinct No 1 Tarrant County Texas. Applicant appeared in person and by Attorney; State represented by the County Attorney. And the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel is of the opinion that the law is for the Applicant. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said Applicant Jas Parrish be discharged.

5818 State of Texas } Theft
-vs- } Saturday July 20th 1889
Geo. Clemons

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $20.00 and seven days in the county Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Saturday the 20th day of July A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

and from the Defendant Geo. Clemins the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of seven days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5809 State of Texas vs Robt Booker } Supt under #20. Saturday July 27th 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and seven days in the County Jail, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Robt Booker the sum of $25 fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of seven days and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

5815 State of Texas vs Robt Booker } Supt under #20. Saturday July 27, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waiving the matter

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Saturday the 27th day of July A. D. 1889

of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25 and seven day in the County Jail. It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court After the satisfaction of the Fine & Costs in this Cause No 5809. that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Robt Baker, the sum of $25.00 fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand commited to the County Jail for the full period of seven days and till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5796

City of Fort Worth
vs
Mrs Calhoun Bell

Recognizance
Friday July 26th 1889

This day came into open Court Mrs Calhoun Bell, Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with C. C. Lawson and B. G. Johnson her sureties acknowledged themselves severally indebted to the City of Fort Worth in the penal sum of One hundred dollars, conditioned that the said Mrs Calhoun Bell who stands charged in the Recorders Court of the City of Fort Worth with the offense of Vagrancy and who has been duly convicted in said Court of said offense, and whose appeal to said County Court has been dismissed by said County Court, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Thursday the 1st day of August A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5832 State of Texas -vs- Dave Green } Theft

Thursday August 1st 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 and ten days confinement in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dave Green the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5831 State of Texas -vs- Charles Taylor } Abusive Language

Tuesday August 6th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charles Taylor the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Tuesday the 6" day of August A. D. 18 89

aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5'852 Ex Parte Lena Cooper } Habeas Corpus
Friday August 9" 1889

This day came on to be heard the application of the County Attorney for the release of Lena Cooper a minor, alleged to be illegally restrained of ~~her~~ liberty by one Chas. H. Cooper and Sarah Cooper wife of said Chas. H. Cooper and at the same time came on to be heard the Contest of said Respondents thereto. And the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises adjudges that the Relator ~~be set~~ Lena Cooper be set at liberty and released from all restraint by the said Respondents.

5'853 State of Texas -vs- Billy O'Rork } Carrying a Pistol
Friday August 9" 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Billy O'Rork the sum of Twenty-five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

with all costs in this behalf expended for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5829

State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
-vs- } Monday August 12th 1889
Will Fisher }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will Fisher the sum of Twenty-five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which let execution issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5847

State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
-vs- } Friday August 12th 1889
Geo. Loyd }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Friday the 9th day of August A. D. 1889

the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo. Loyd the sum of Twenty-Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid or free.

August 24th 1889

Sheriff & Jury & Court
Tarrant Co.

Attest Jno R King Co Clk

Court in session Monday August 26th 1889. Present Hon W. D. Harris County Judge presiding, Jno R King County Clerk, B. F. Lynes County Attorney and J. C. Richardson Sheriff of said County.

5418 State of Texas -vs- Frank Sands } Embezzlement under $20.00 Monday August 26th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant Frank Sands in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00 and 20 days

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Monday the 26" day of August A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Sands the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended, for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the term period of Twenty days and the said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5862 State of Texas -vs- Nat Harvey } Aggravated Assault
Monday August 26" 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00 and 10 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Nat Harvey the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the term period of Ten days and the said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5865 State of Texas -vs- Tom Spikes } Aiding in Escape of County Convict
Monday August 26" 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889
Monday the 26" day of August A. D. 1889
Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $50.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended. For which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6808 State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol
-vs- } Monday August 26" 1889
Lewis Clark }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Lewis Clark in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lewis Clark the sum of Twenty-five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended, for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Monday the 26th day of August A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5152

State of Texas
-vs-
Gus White

Betting at Game played with Dice
Monday August 26th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Gus White in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of 15.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Gus White the sum of Fifteen Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5856

State of Texas
-vs-
J. G. Bailey

Disturbing the Peace
Monday Aug 26th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $15.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. G. Bailey the sum of Fifteen Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Monday the 26" day of August A. D. 1889

stand committed to the County Jail till the said Fine and costs are paid in full

5405 City of Ft. Worth -vs- John Linmer } Tuesday August 27" 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion to Retax Costs herein. And the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, It is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said Motion be and the same is sustained in so far as to strike out and exclude from the costs all of the costs that accrued in this cause in the Recorders Court from which same was appealed, to-wit: $13.85 and the City attorneys fee of $10.00 which has been taxed up by the Clerk of this Court. All of the other costs as shown by the fee bill, to be taxed against the Defendant, as well as the costs of this motion.

5163. City of Ft. Worth -vs- J. C. Crowder } Tuesday August 27" 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion to Retax costs herein. And the Argument of Counsel being heard therein, It is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that said Motion be and the same is sustained in so far as to strike out and exclude from the costs, all of the Costs that accrued in this cause in the Recorders Court from which same was appealed, to-wit: $18.25 and the City Attorney's fee of $10.00 which has been taxed up by the Clerk of this Court all of the other costs as shown by the fee bill, to be taxed against the Defendant, as well as the Costs of this Motion

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889

Tuesday the 27" day of August A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5591

State of Texas
-vs-
Edward Muller

Tuesday August 27" 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and there came on to be heard the Defendant's amended motion for a new Trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is sustained. That the judgment heretofore rendered in this cause be set aside and for nought held and that this cause stand for trial in regular order upon the Docket of this Court.

5728

State of Texas
-vs-
Con Hines

Tuesday August 27" 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and there came on to be heard the Defendants amended motion for a new trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the Defendant. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is overruled to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.
And the Court now here affixes the amount of Recognizance that shall be given at the sum of $200.00

5728

State of Texas
-vs-
Con Hines

Tuesday August 27" 1889

This day came into open Court Con Hines defendant in the above

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1889.

Tuesday the 27" day of August A. D. 1889.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

entitled cause, who, together with Chas. Scheuber and Chas. Ketchum his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the Penal sum of Two Hundred Dollars, conditioned that the said Con. Hines, who stands charged in this Court with the offense of, "Unlawfully and not at a private residence bet at a game then & there played with dice the name of said game being to affiant unknown" and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court, shall appear before this Court from day to day, and from Term to Term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this Case.

5'728 State of Texas
—vs—
Con Hines } Tuesday August 27" 1889

Ordered by the Court that Defendant be granted ten days after the adjournment of this Court in which to file Statement of Facts.

Tuesday August 27" 1889
Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in Course.

W. D. Harris
Judge County Tarrant Co. Tex.

Attest Jno Ring Co Clk

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Monday the 2nd day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Be it remembered that on this the first Monday in September A.D. 1889 the same being the second day of September A.D. 1889 there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court within and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, Present the Hon W. D. Harris, County Judge presiding, Jno. P. King County Clerk, B. P. Ayers, County Attorney and J. C. Richardson, Sheriff of said County, when and where the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court to wit:

"Venire Facias"

Now comes J. C. Richardson, Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into open Court the Venire Facias for this the first week of the September Term 1889 of the County Court of Tarrant County and upon the jurors names thereon being called Eight qualified jurors answered to wit: A. G. Shattuck Allen Robinson, Oscar Pairpare, Henry C. Johnson, P. M. Hause, A. Walker, Henry Casey, J. A. Wilson, John A. Thornton, who were all tried and sworn and placed upon the panel for the week, And it appearing to the Court that the Panel is incomplete it was ordered that the Sheriff do summon sufficient qualified jurors to fill the said panel, And the said Sheriff after being sworn as the law directs returns into open Court the following qualified jurors to wit; W. A. Peyton, J. M. Richardson, and S. H. Castleberry all of whom were duly tried and sworn and placed upon the panel for the week.

— Filed. —

It appearing to the Court that the Sheriff has been unable to arrest the Defendants in the following cases, it is ordered by the Court that said cases be filed until such time as the Defendants therein can be arrested, to wit;

5265 State of Texas -vs- Chas Lee
Theft under $50.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Monday the 2nd day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5284 State of Texas -vs- C. N. Remitts
Theft

5317 State of Texas -vs- Harvy Harrales
Theft under $20.

5342 State of Texas -vs- Bob Foreman
Theft under $20.

5344 State of Texas -vs- Burford
Theft under $20.

5347 State of Texas -vs- Wm Harden
Theft under $20.

5348 State of Texas -vs- Peter Hubbs
Theft under $20.

5372 State of Texas -vs- Chas Cook
Theft

5390 State of Texas -vs- Church Smith
Carrying Brass Knuckles

5469 State of Texas -vs- A. P. Burmaroli
Pursuing Occupation without paying tax

5781 State of Texas -vs- Neil Elmore
Theft under $20.

5782 State of Texas -vs- Frank Sofakalos Sleeping
Theft under $20.

— Alias Capias and Continued —

Orders that alias capias issue for the Defendants in the following cases returnable to the next term of this Court and that said cases be continued to-wit:

5263 State of Texas -vs- Willis Washington
Aggravated Assault

5264 State of Texas -vs- Marian Washington
Assault

5340 State of Texas -vs- Joe Madden
Carrying a Dagger

5297 State of Texas -vs- Jim Westbrook
Theft under $20.

5387 State of Texas -vs- Bill Baker
Carrying Pistol within ½ mile of voting box on Election day

5393 State of Texas -vs- Bob Smith
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Monday the 2nd day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

5400 State of Texas -vs- Curtis
Theft

5420 State of Texas -vs- James Inelis
Injuring and Defacing Public Building

5460 State of Texas -vs- Tom Gallagher
Aggravated Assault

5513 State of Texas -vs- Charley Emory
Vagrancy

5514 State of Texas -vs- Jack Tanner
Vagrancy

5515 State of Texas -vs- Jim Collins
Vagrancy

5525 State of Texas -vs- Bob Andrews
Carrying a Pistol

5526 State of Texas -vs- Lize Anderson
Carrying a Pistol

5540 State of Texas -vs- Velvet Kid
Playing Cards in Public Place

5575 State of Texas -vs- Buck Smith
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank for Purpose of Gaming

5635 State of Texas -vs- Dolph Gass
Carrying a Pistol

5638 State of Texas -vs- W. B. Gregory
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

5640 State of Texas -vs- John Hyberger
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

5646 State of Texas -vs- A. Hall
Betting at Gaming Table & Bank

5657 State of Texas -vs- Joe Smith
Threats to take life

5662 State of Texas -vs- G. W. Cargrove Jr
Aggravated Assault

5664 State of Texas -vs- Bruce Lewis
Sending threatening letter

5666 State of Texas -vs- John O. Dalton
Establishing a Lottery

5676 State of Texas -vs- Joe Manley
Unlawfully playing game cards at gaming house

5677 State of Texas -vs- Joe Manley
Theft under $20.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Monday the 2nd day of September A. D. 1889,

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5702	State of Texas -vs- Kitty Parks Theft under $20.
5716	State of Texas -vs- Nick White Vagrancy
5719	State of Texas -vs- Mage McCarty Vagrancy
5720	State of Texas -vs- Chas Anderson Vagrancy
5721	State of Texas -vs- James Hadley Vagrancy
5722	State of Texas -vs- Jack Shiel Vagrancy
5723	State of Texas -vs- Dan Callahan Vagrancy
5724	State of Texas -vs- Chas Wright Vagrancy
5726	State of Texas -vs- Bill Allenberry Vagrancy
5733	State of Texas -vs- Mack St Clair Selling on Bur. Sunday
5747	State of Texas -vs- Mack St Clair Selling Bur on Sunday
5753	State of Texas -vs- Yan Lee Keeping a Disorderly House
5754	State of Texas -vs- Jas Crowder Aggravated Assault
5762	State of Texas -vs- W. A. Campbell Disturbing the Peace
5778	State of Texas -vs- Frank Banks Theft under $20.
5791	State of Texas -vs- John Proxdale Aggravated Assault
5820	State of Texas -vs- Ed Morarity Theft under $20.
5819	State of Texas -vs- Robt Granberry Aggravated Assault
5826	State of Texas -vs- Cone Aggravated Assault
5805	State of Texas -vs- Boyd Miller Theft under $20.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889,

Monday the 2nd day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5807 State of Texas -vs- Walter McGuire
Aggravated Assault

5830 State of Texas -vs- Will Fisher
Aggravated Assault

5848 State of Texas -vs- Chas H. Cooper
Abusive Language

5850 State of Texas -vs- Chas H. Cooper
Aggravated Assault

5851 State of Texas -vs- Chas H. Cooper
Abusive Language

5863 State of Texas -vs- Will Cobb et al
Theft under $20.

5873 State of Texas -vs- D. Cameron
Carrying Pistol

5876 State of Texas -vs- J. M. Speck
Aggravated Assault

5313 State of Texas vs John Madden — Carrying a Pistol
Continued by Consent

5532 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Smith & W. H. Ervin — Exhibiting Gaming Bank & Table
Continued by Consent

5533 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Smith & W. H. Ervin — Exhibiting Gaming Bank & Table
Continued by Consent

5534 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Smith & W. H. Ervin — Exhibiting Gaming Bank & Table
Continued by Consent

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Monday the 2nd day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5535	State of Texas -vs- J. L. Smith & H. H. Ervin	Exhibiting Gaming Bank & Table Continued by Consent
5683	State of Texas -vs- Jim Lavrity	Disturbing the Peace Continued by Consent
5704	State of Texas -vs- Jack Barr & Nancy Johnson	Adultery Continued by Consent
5729	State of Texas -vs- John Vaughn	Playing Dice in Public Place Continued
5730	State of Texas -vs- J. O'Brien	Playing Dice in Public Place Continued
5763	State of Texas -vs- Gus Baker	Theft under $20. Continued
5792	State of Texas -vs- Jas Wilhoit	Aggravated Assault Continued by Consent
5793	State of Texas -vs- R. T. Hooe	Aggravated Assault Continued by Consent
5794	State of Texas -vs- Jas Wilhoit	Carrying a Pistol Continued by Consent
5802	State of Texas vs Mike Haley	Assault Continued by State

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Monday the 2nd day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5816 State of Texas -vs- Clarisa Curtis — Aggravated Assault. Continued by Consent

5867 State of Texas -vs- Will Thoma — Theft under $50. Continued by Consent.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same to-wit:

5456 State of Texas -vs- Susan Brockman
Theft

5516 State of Texas -vs- John Whitcher
Vagrancy

5561 State of Texas -vs- Ben McCullough
Carrying a Pistal

5610 State of Texas -vs- Myers
Theft under $20.

5661 State of Texas -vs- R. F. Moore
Aggravated Assault

5701 State of Texas -vs- E. H. Daily
Carrying a Pistal

5740 State of Texas -vs- Ed Muller
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

5743 State of Texas -vs- Mart Davis
Selling Beer on Sunday

5744 State of Texas -vs- Lewy
Selling Beer on Sunday

5776 State of Texas -vs- Dr Luke
Practicing Medicine without filing certificate

5790 State of Texas -vs- G. L. McFarland, et al
Adultery

5801 State of Texas -vs- Orrie Scroggins
Theft under $20.

5822 State of Texas -vs- C. P. Coogan
Aggravated Assault

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 18 89,

Monday the 2nd day of September A. D. 18 89,

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5840 State of Texas -vs- Chas Pike
Aggravated Assault

5855 State of Texas -vs- Mon Smith, et al
Theft under $20.
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of said prosecutions and that the Defendants therein go hence without day.

State of Texas } Carrying a Pistal
vs
5679. Th. O. Link } Monday September 2nd 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty Thereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Th. O. Link the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further orders that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

State of Texas } Vagrancy
-vs-
5727 Abe Jacobs } Monday Sept. 2nd 1889,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Thereupon the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Monday the 2nd day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Court assesses his punishment at a fine of One Dollar, It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Abe Jacobs the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

State of Texas
-vs-
Chas H. Cooper

Aggravated Assault
Monday Sept 2nd 1889.

5828

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also come the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Chas H. Cooper the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further orders that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

✓

State of Texas
-vs-
Chas Schuller

Selling Whisky and Beer on Sunday
Monday Sept 2nd 1889

5860

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being

✓

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Monday the 2nd day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ninety Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Chas Schiller the sum of Ninety Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5874

State of Texas
-vs-
Chas Dietz

Theft under $20.
Monday Sept 2nd 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty" Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ninety Dollars and thirty days confinement in the County Jail, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas Dietz the sum of Ninety Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurring for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Monday the 2nd day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5883

State of Texas
-vs-
Joe Mayer

Selling Whisky on Sunday
Monday Sept 2nd 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law was submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty" Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Joe Mayer the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5890

State of Texas
-vs-
Dan Andrews

Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday
Monday Sept 2nd 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law was submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty" Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dan Andrews the sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889.

Monday the 2nd day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5893

State of Texas
-vs-
Lattie Belmont

Vagrancy
Monday Sept 2nd 1889,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lattie Belmont the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5896

State of Texas
-vs-
Madam Porter

Vagrancy
Monday Sept 2nd 1889,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover the Defendant Madam Porter the sum of Ten Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Monday the 2nd day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5897. State of Texas -vs- Geo Wilcox — Selling Whisky on Sunday. Monday Sept 2nd 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty" Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have recover of and from the Defendant Geo Wilcox the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock,

Tuesday Morning Sept 3rd A. D. 1889 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5823. State of Texas -vs- Tim Irvin — Aggravated Assault. Tuesday Sept 3rd 1889,

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; O. Painter and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the jury after receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Tuesday the 3rd day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

open Court the following verdict to-wit;
"Under the plea of Guilty we the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at Twenty five Dollars. O. Painpare, Foreman"
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tim Irvin the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5877

State of Texas
-vs-
C. L. Smith

Theft under $20.
Tuesday Sept 3rd 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: O. Painpare and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty," Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:
"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"
O. Painpare, Foreman
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant C. L. Smith go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Tuesday the 3rd day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers

5891

State of Texas
-vs-
Tony Lanari

Selling Whisky & Bar on Sunday
Tuesday Sept 3rd 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announce ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of "Guilty" Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tony Lanari the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5904

State of Texas
-vs-
John Van

Vagrancy
Tuesday Sept 3rd 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: P. M. House and five others who were duly, elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury and the Defendant now here enters his plea of "Not Guilty" Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retires to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty". P. M. House, Foreman,
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Tuesday the 3rd day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Van go hence without day,

Orders that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 oclock

Wednesday morning Sept 4th 1889 Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5663

State of Texas
-vs-
Bell Kelso

Aggravated Assault
Wednesday Sept 4th 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters her plea of Guilty to simple assault. Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bell Kelso the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further orders that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5750.

State of Texas
-vs-
John Carlin

Assault
Wednesday Sept 4th 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; J. M. Richardson, Foreman and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury and the Defendant now

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 18 89

Wednesday the 4th day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

here enters his plea of "Not Guilty". Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retires to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returns into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant "Not Guilty"

J. M. Richardson, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Carlin go hence without day.

State of Texas vs John Murrin — Selling on Sunday. Wednesday Sept 4th 1889.

5799

Upon motion of the County Attorney it is ordered that the Bond for the appearance of Defendant herein be and the same is hereby quashed and that Alias Capias issue for the Defendant returnable instanter.

State of Texas vs Jno M. King — Carrying Knuckles. Wednesday Sept 4th 1889.

Upon motion of the County Attorney it is ordered that the Bond for the appearance of Defendant be and the same is hereby quashed and that Alias Capias issue for the Defendant returnable instanter.

State of Texas -vs- Lottie Freeman — Aggravated Assault. Wednesday Sept 4th 1889.

5849.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: P. M. Hause and fifteen others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entered her plea of "Not Guilty". Thereupon the jury after hearing

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889.

Wednesday the 4th day of September A. D. 1889

the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retires to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returns into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty of aggravated assault and assess his punishment at fifty dollars fine and thirty days imprisonment in the County Jail."

P. M. Hause, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of and from the Defendant Latin Freeman the sum of fifty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full space of thirty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

State of Texas
-vs-
John Carlin

Aggravated Assault
Wednesday Sept 4th 1889.

5861

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; J. M. Richardson, and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entering his plea of "not Guilty". Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retires to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returns into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at $50.00

J. M. Richardson, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889.

Wednesday the 4th day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

recover of and from the Defendant John Cardin the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant Stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

State of Texas
-vs-
5849 Lattie Freeman

Motion for New Trial
Wednesday Sept 4th 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for new trial and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the Defendant it is therefore ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open court gives notice of appeal to the Court of appeals of the State of Texas.
Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Thursday Morning Sept 5th 1889 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

State of Texas
-vs-
5371 Bob Hamilton

Carrying a Pistol
Thursday Sept 5th 1889

✓ This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Hamilton the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Thursday the 5th day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further orders that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

State of Texas
vs
Julius Scribner

Aggravated Assault
Thursday Sept 5th 1889.

5634

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Julius Scribner go hence without day

State of Texas
-vs-
Mollie Blair

Aggravated Assault
Thursday Sept 5th 1889.

5656

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to wit: O. Pamplin and five others who were duly, selected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters her plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty of aggravated assault and assess her punishment at Twenty five dollars fine. O. Pamplin, Foreman. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mollie Blair

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term; 1889.

Thursday the 5th day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand commited to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5864 State of Texas -vs- Will Devine } Aggravated Assault
Thursday Sept 5th 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: P. M. Hause and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;
"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess his punishment at Five Hundred Dollars fine and one year in the County Jail.
P. M. Hause, Fo."

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will Devine the sum of Five Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand commited to the County Jail for the full period of one year and till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889.

Thursday the 5th day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5868

State of Texas
-vs-
John King

Theft under $20.
Thursday Sept 5th 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: O. Pamplon and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entering his plea of not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retires to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returns into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

O. Pamplon, Foreman

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John King go hence without day.

5882.

State of Texas
vs
Gus Rinehman

Selling Whiskey on Sunday
Thursday Sept 5th 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now has entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Gus Rinehman the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 18 89

Thursday the 5th day of September A. D. 18 89

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5886

State of Texas
vs
W. H. Ward

Selling Whiskey on Sunday Thursday Sept 5th 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty." Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. H. Ward the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5888

State of Texas
vs
W. T. Grigsby

Selling Whisky & Beer on Sunday Thursday Sept 5th 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Thursday the 5th day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. T. Grigsby, the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5889 State of Texas -vs- Ike Cooper — Selling Whisky on Sunday

Thursday Sept 5th 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of 'Guilty.' Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ike Cooper the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and cost are paid in full,

5898 State of Texas vs John P. Sheehan — Selling Whisky on Sunday

Thursday Sept 5th 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also come the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Thursday the 5th day of September A. D. 1889.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

now here enters his plea of Guilty. Thereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John P. Sheehan the sum of Twenty ~~fi~~ dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

State of Texas
-vs-
5900 G. W. Wallace

Selling Whisky on Sunday
Thursday Sept 5th 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty". Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

State of Texas
-vs-
5901 G. H. Day

Selling Whisky on Sunday
Thursday Sept 5th 1889,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 18 89.

Thursday the 7th day of September A. D. 1889.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

waiving the matters of fact as well as of law were submitting to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty. Thereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant E. H. Day, the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

1902 ✓

State of Texas
vs
Ed. Tabor

Selling Whisky on Sunday
Thursday Sept 5th 1889.

This day came County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty" Thereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover of and from the Defendant Ed Tabor, the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that the said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

1903 ✓

State of Texas
-vs-
T. E. Ridgeway

Selling Whisky on Sunday
Thursday Sept 5th 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also come

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Thursday the 5th day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of "Guilty" Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant T. E. Ridgeway the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs

5808

State of Texas
-vs-
H. S. Duncon

Carrying a Pistol
Thursday Sept 5th 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; J. M. Richardson and five others who were duly sworn, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of "Not Guilty," Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at twenty five dollars.

J. M. Richardson, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. S. Duncon, the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs

N. S.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Thursday the 5th day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5810 State of Texas vs Robt H. Clough — Aggravated Assault, Thursday Sept 5th 1889

Alias and Continuing

5248 State of Texas -vs- H. J. Hanley — Carrying a Pistol, Thursday Sept 5th 1889.

Continued by the State

5725 State of Texas -vs- Bud Tagg — Vagrancy, Thursday Sept 5th 1889.

Continued by Consent

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Friday Morning Sept 6th 1889 Court met at 9, o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5370 State of Texas -vs- H. E. Graves — Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank, Friday Sept 6th. 1889.

Continued by the State for the absence of witness Chas Hare.

State of Texas -vs- H. E. Graves — Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank, Friday Sept 6th 1889.

Continued by the State for the absence of Witness Chas Hare.

5448 State of Texas -vs- L. L. Miller — Selling Liquor without paying Occupation Tax, Friday Sept 6th 1889. Continued by State

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889.

Friday the 6th day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5506 State of Texas vs Bob Smythe — Vagrancy. Friday Sept 6th 1889. Alias and Continued

5765 State of Texas -vs- H. P. Shirl — Selling on Sunday. Friday Sept 6th 1889. Continued by State for absence of witness Chas Stone and attachment ordered issued for said witness.

5655 State of Texas -vs- John Stuart — Selling Whisky & Beer on Sunday. Friday Sept 6th 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty". Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Stuart the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5675 State of Texas -vs- Will White — Playing Game Cards at Gaming House. Friday Sept 6th 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; A. Walker and five others, who were duly

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889,

Friday the 6th day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty", A. Hacker, Foreman,
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the said Defendant Will White go hence without day.

State of Texas
vs
5785 Lee Herrin

Theft under $50,
Friday Sept 6th 1889

Continued by agreement

State of Texas
-vs-
5811 W. R. Kearns

Aggravated Assault
Friday Sept 6th 1889.

This day came day the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant W. R. Kearns go hence without day.

State of Texas
-vs-
5812 John Kearns

Aggravated Assault
Friday Sept 6th 1889

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case. It is therefore considered and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889.

Friday the 6th day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Keamo go hence without day.

5849 State of Texas -vs- Lottie Freeman — Recognizance Friday Sept 6th 1889.

This day came into open Court Lottie Freeman, Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with Della Thompson and Brisco Young her sureties acknowledged themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Two Hundred Dollars conditioned that the said Lottie Freeman who stands charged in this Court with the offense of Aggravated Assault, and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

5884 State of Texas -vs- Ed Muller — Selling Whisky &c Bar on Sunday Friday Sept 6th 1889.

Continued by the State

5885 State of Texas -vs- Ed Muller — Selling Whisky &c Bar on Sunday Friday Sept 6th 1889.

Continued by the State

5858 State of Texas -vs- H. S. Duncan — Carrying a Pistol Friday Sept 6th 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and their came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion ~~for~~ in arrest

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889,

Friday the 6th day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

of Judgment and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

5849 State of Texas -vs- Lottie Freeman — Aggravated Assault — Motion for New Trial — Friday Sept 6th 1889,

This day come the parties by their attorneys and thin came on to heard Defendants, amended Motion for a new Trial and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Saturday morning Sept 7th 1889 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5911 State of Texas -vs- John Freelove — Disturbing the Peace — Saturday Sept 7th 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty", Thereupon the Court assesses his

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Saturday the 7th day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Fuelose the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant Stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

State of Texas
vs
W. C. Keller

Carrying a Pistol
Saturday Sept 7th 1889.

5910

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. C. Keller the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with the costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant Stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday morning at 9 o'clock

Monday Morning Sept 9th 1889 Court met at 9 o'clock Pursuant to adjournment present as on Saturday

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Monday the 9th day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5255 State of Texas -vs- Jack Coleman — Threatening to take life of another
Monday Sept 9th 1889
Continued by Consent

5350 State of Texas vs Dave Flood — Assault
Monday Sept 9th 1889.

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Dave Flood go hence without day

5813 State of Texas vs J. D. Andrews — Resisting an Officer
Monday Sept 9th 1889.
Continued by the State and ordered attachment issue for C. S. Nelley, W. R. Hurley, Bob Massey & Frank Simmons returnable to next Term of this Court.

5866 State of Texas -vs- Dick Brown — Aggravated Assault
Monday Sept 9th 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: C. McBride and five others who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty." Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty, C. McBride Foreman."

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889.

Monday the 9th day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Dick Brown go hence without day

State of Texas
vs
J. W. Grissom

Disturbing the Peace
Monday Sept 9th 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash information and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion that the law is for said motion it is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

State of Texas
-vs-
5656 Mollie Blair

Aggravated Assault
Motion for new trial
Monday Sept 9th. 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

State of Texas
-vs-
5895. Gracie Lane

Vagrancy
Monday Sept 9th 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering her plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Monday the 9th day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Gracie Lane, the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5875 State of Texas
vs
Lattie Freeman

Aggravated Assault
Monday Sept 9th 1889,

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers in this case says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Lattie Freeman go hence without day,

5874 State of Texas
-vs-
Annie Scott

Aggravated Assault
Monday Sept 9th 1889.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers in this case says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordering by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Annie Scott go hence without day,

5879 State of Texas
-vs-
Tom Forbes

Aggravated Assault
Monday Sept 9th 1889.

This day came the parties by

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Monday the 9th day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: C. McBride and five others who were duly elected, empanneled and sworn according to law the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entering his plea of "not Guilty" Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:-

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

C. McBride, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Tom Forbes go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning Sept 10th 1889 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filing with the papers in the following cases says he will no further prosecute said cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same as follows to wit:

5208 State of Texas -vs- N. G. Ritenbaugh
Aggravated Assault

5604 State of Texas -vs- Fred Smith
Selling Liquor to minor

5705 State of Texas -vs- Jeff Allison
Aggravated Assault

5799 State of Texas vs John Murrin
-vs- Selling on Sunday

5839 State of Texas vs Frank Wilson
Aggravated Assault

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Tuesday the 10th day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5799 State of Texas vs Dick Ellis — Aggravated Assault
Tuesday Sept 10th 1889,
Continued by Consent

5607 State of Texas vs Tom Easley & Alex Malone — Fornication
Tuesday Sept 10th 1889.
Continued by Consent.

5644 State of Texas -vs- Chas Hadley — Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank
Tuesday Sept 10th 1889,
Continued by Consent.

5651 State of Texas -vs- E. Kamin — Selling Beer on Sunday
Tuesday Sept 10th 1889.
Continued by Consent

5652 State of Texas -vs- E. Kamin — Selling Beer on Sunday
Tuesday Sept 10th 1889.
Continued by Consent

5844 State of Texas -vs- E. G. Hillyard — Theft under $50,
Tuesday Sept 10, 1889
Continued by Consent

5845 State of Texas vs E. G. Hillyard — Theft under $50,
Tuesday Sept 10th 1889.
Continued by Consent

5843 State of Texas -vs- A. N. Ansley — Theft under $50,
Tuesday Sept 10th, 1889.
This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Tuesday the 10th day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; F. D. Smyth, and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty". Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty; F. D. Smyth Foreman, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant A. N. Ansley, go hence without day

5662

State of Texas
-vs-
G. W. Hargrove Jr

Aggravated Assault
Tuesday Sept 10th. 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; B. C. Kirtley and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty". Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty"

B. C. Kirtley, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant G. W. Hargrove Jr go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Tuesday the 10th day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5821 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Loftis — Aggravated Assault — Tuesday Sept 10th 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: C. McBride and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty"

C. McBride, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant J. D. Loftis go hence without day.

State of Texas -vs- E. G. Wellford — Theft under $20, Tuesday Sept 10th 1889.

5846

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: John McDuff and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at ten days in jail, John McDuff. Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889.

Tuesday the 10th day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the Court that the Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full fining of ten days and until all costs herein incurred are paid in full.

5869

State of Texas
-vs-
W. A. Thomas

Theft under $20.
Tuesday Sept 10th. 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and six hours in the County Jail, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. A. Thomas the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full fining of six hours and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5880

State of Texas
-vs-
Geo Dancy

Carrying a Pistol
Tuesday Sept 10th 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Tuesday the 10th day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Dancy the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5881 State of Texas vs John Murrin — Selling Whisky & Beer on Sunday Sunday Sept 10th 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to Court, the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Murrin, the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5887 State of Texas vs Victor Foster — Selling Whisky on Sunday Sunday Sept 10th 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for Trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Tuesday the 10 day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Victor Foster the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

State of Texas
-vs-
Frank Cajura

Aggravated Assault
Tuesday Sept 10th 1889.

5842

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit John McDuff and five others who were duly, elected empaneled and sworn according to law the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

" We the jury find the Defendant Guilty of Aggravated Assault and assess his punishment at $25 Dollars fine, John McDuff. Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Cajura the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Wednesday the 11th day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Wednesday Morning Sept 11. 1889 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5804 State of Texas -vs- Jno W. King — Carrying Knuckles Wednesday Sept 11. 1889.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jno. W. King go hence without day.

5833 State of Texas -vs- John Long — Carrying a Pistol Wednesday Sept 11. 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. M. McConnell and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returning into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant John Long Not Guilty"

W. M. McConnell, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Wednesday the 11 day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Long go hence without day.

5834 State of Texas vs R. J. Rice — Aggravated Assault Wednesday Sept 11, 1889

Continued by the State

5835 State of Texas -vs- Bob Rice — Aggravated Assault Wednesday Sept. 11, 1889,

Continued by the State

5536 State of Texas vs Bud Dunn — Aggravated Assault Wednesday Sept 11, 1889,

Alias Capias issue returnable Instanter,

5837 State of Texas -vs- Bud Dunn — Aggravated Assault Wednesday Sept 11, 1889,

Alias Capias issue returnable Instanter,

5838 State of Texas -vs- Bud Dunn — Aggravated Assault Wednesday Sept 11, 1889

Alias Capias issue returnable Instanter,

5912 State of Texas -vs- James Daily — Theft under $20. Wednesday Sept 11, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889.

Wednesday the 11th day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and five days in the County Jail, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant James Daily the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of five days and until said fine and costs are paid in full,

State of Texas
-vs-
Lee Bacon

Vagrancy
Wednesday Sept 11, 1889

5916

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One Dollar, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lee Bacon, the sum of one Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Thursday the 12 day of September A. D. 1889.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 oclock

Thursday morning Sept 12, 1889 Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5391. State of Texas vs W. M. Miller — Selling Whiskey in Local Option Precinct. Thursday Sept 12, 1889.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says, he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that said Defendant W. M. Miller go hence without day.

5646 State of Texas vs A. Hall — Betting at Gaming Table & Bank. Thursday Sept 12, 1889

Continued by Consent

5686 State of Texas -vs- Richard Wilson — Theft under $20, Thursday Sept 12, 1889.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Richard Wilson go hence without day,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Thursday the 12th day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5714 State of Texas -vs- Billy Rogers — Aggravated Assault. Thursday Sept 12, 1889.

Continued by Defendant

5715 State of Texas -vs- S. F. Camp — Aggravated Assault. Thursday Sept 12, 1889

Continued by Consent.

5872 State of Texas -vs- Martin Tally — Vagrancy. Thursday Sept 12, 1889.

Cont by State for absence of Calhoun Bell, and attachment issue for said witness returnable at next term of this Court.

5899 State of Texas -vs- Geo Barnett — Selling Whiskey on Sunday. Thursday Sept 12, 1889.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed herewith the prosecutor in this case says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Geo Barnett go hence without day.

5914 State of Texas -vs- Jim Liston — Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday. Thursday Sept 12, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court the Defendant now

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Thursday the 12, day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

here entered his plea of Guilty, Thereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Liston the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered That said Defendant stand commited to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Friday Morning Sept 13, 1889 Court met at 9, o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

State of Texas
-vs-
Wm Brooks

Aggravated Assault
Friday Sept 13, 1889.

5560

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; John McDuff and five others who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of "not Guilty" Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retires to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returns into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty".

John McDuff. Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Wm Brooks go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889.

Friday the 13th day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5572 State of Texas vs A. J. Rushing — Unlawfully Resisting an Officer — Friday Sept 13. 1889.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant A. J. Rushing go hence without day.

5639. State of Texas vs Victor Foster — Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank — Friday Sept 13. 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: C. McBride and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not Guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty." C. McBride, Foreman, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Victor Foster go hence without day.

5717 State of Texas -vs- Hester Root — Vagrancy — Friday Sept 13. 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Friday the 13" day of September A. D. 1889.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawfull men to wit: C. McBride and five others who were duly elected, empanneled and sworn according to law the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty"

C. McBride. Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Depart Hence without day.

State of Texas
-vs-
Mage McCarty

Vagrancy
Friday Sept 13," 1889.

5719.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being empanneled the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mage McCarty the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,

Further ordered that said Defendant Stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

1st Friday the 13th day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St Louis.

5751 State of Texas -vs- Sarah Johnson — Aggravated Assault
1st Friday Sept 13th 1889.
Continued by Consent

5859 State of Texas -vs- George Burk — Selling Whisky on Sunday
1st Friday Sept 13, 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: C. McBride and five others who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty". Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty"

C. McBride, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant George Burk go hence without day.

5857 State of Texas -vs- Tony Shaffer — Theft under $20
1st Friday Sept 13, 1889.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says that he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Tony Shaffer go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Friday the 13th day of September A. D. 1889.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

State of Texas -vs- Fred J. Blake Wm Hefford

Malicious Mischief
Friday Sept 13, 1889

5907
5908

Continued by Consent

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Saturday Morning Sept 14th 1889, Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

State of Texas vs Frederick Dailey

Aggravated Assault
Saturday Sept 14th 1889.

5825

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: C. McBride and five others who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court and announced that they could not agree. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged and that this case stand for trial, in regular order upon the Docket of this Court,

State of Texas vs Phillipo Lodisa Maggie Broggia

Adultery
Saturday Sept 14. 1889.

5841

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Court after hearing the evidence and arguments of Counsel is of opinion that

the Defendants are not Guilty". It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants Phillips Lodie and Maggie Broggia go hence without day.

State of Texas
-vs-
5854 Henry Willis

Aggravated Assault
Saturday Sept 14, 1889.
Continued by Consent

State of Texas
vs
5906 G. W. Jackson

Appeal J P Court
Abusive Language
Saturday Sept 14, 1889
Continued by Consent

State of Texas
-vs-
5917 Thos Foster

Vagrancy
Saturday Sept 14, 1889

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Thos Foster, go hence without day.

State of Texas
-vs-
5918 H. Ringland

Vagrancy
Saturday Sept 14, 1889.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein, says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Saturday the 14th day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers

that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant H. Ringland go hence without day.

State of Texas -vs- H. S. Duncan 5909

Carrying a Pistol
Saturday Sept 14, 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. C. Boyd and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty. J. C. Boyd. Foreman. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant H. S. Duncan go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday Morning at 9 o'clock

Monday Morning Sept 16th 1889 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on Saturday

State of Texas -vs- John Carlin 5861

Motion for New Trial
Monday Sept 16, 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court That the law is against said motion. It is therefore

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Monday the 16" day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

considered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the Court the Defendants counsel and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas,

5668

State of Texas
-vs-
C. F. Law

Monday Sept 16, 1889,

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then come on to be heard the Defendants motion to set aside judgment nisi, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion, It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

Court in session Saturday Sept 28" 1889 present as on Monday Sept 16, 1889,

5982

State of Texas
vs
Chas Lyons

Vagrancy
Tuesday Sept 24th, 1889,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One dollar, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas Lyons, the sum of one Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Saturday the 28th day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant shall committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

State of Texas
-vs-
5966 John Edwards

Theft under $20.
Tuesday Sept 24. 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and five days in the County Jail It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court ✓ that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Edwards the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further orders that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of five days and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

State of Texas
-vs-
5951 Grant Spencer

Carrying a Pistol
Tuesday Sept 24th. 1889,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty". Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the ✓

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Saturday the 28th day of September A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Defendant Grant Spencer the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand commited to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

State of Texas
-vs-
Jim Mitchell

Vagrancy
Tuesday Sept 24th, 1889.

5980

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the mattors of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of one dollar. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Mitchell the sum of one dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

State of Texas
vs
Frank Carter

Theft under $20.
Friday Sept 27, 1889

5985

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitting to the Court the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Friday the 27th day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

at a fine of Ten Dollars and Ten Days in the County Jail, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Carter the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten Days and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

5989. State of Texas vs Henry Thomas — Theft under $20 — Friday Sept 27, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty" Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and Ten days in the County Jail, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Henry Thomas the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and until said fine and costs are paid in full,

5990. State of Texas -vs- Nick Johnson? — Theft under $20, — Friday Sept 27, 1889,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Friday the 27" day of September A. D. 1889

rinters, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court the Defendant now here entering his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and ten days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dick Johnson the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full

✓

State of Texas
vs
John Hays

6008

Vagrancy
Friday Sept 27, 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court, the Defendant now here entering his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of One Dollar, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Hays, the sum of one dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

✓

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Monday the 30th day of September A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5842

State of Texas
-vs-
Frank Cafurd

Motion for New Trial
Monday Sept 30, 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for new trial, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore ordering that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas,

6015

State of Texas
-vs-
Dan Sweeny

Theft under $50,
Tuesday October 1st 1889

This day came the parties the State by attorney and the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 and 5 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dan Sweeny the Sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Five days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Thursday the 3rd day of October A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6016 State of Texas -vs- John Nelson } Carrying a Pistol
Thursday October 3" 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $ 25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Nelson the sum of Twenty five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5842 State of Texas -vs- Frank Capera } — Recognizance — Monday October 14" 1889

This day came into open Court Frank Capera Defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with E A. Maury and A. R. Mignn his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Hundred and Fifty Dollars, conditioned that the said Frank Capera, who stands charged in this Court with the offense of committing an aggravated assault and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Monday the 14" day of October A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers

without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

Issued Oct 15 1889

6064 State of Texas } Disturbing the Peace
-vs- } Tuesday October 15" 1889
P. C. Massengale }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant P. C. Massengale the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which execution may issue. Further ordered that defendant be committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6068 State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol
-vs- } Tuesday October 15" 1889
Wm Thompson }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Tuesday the 15" day of October A. D. 18 89

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Wm Thompson the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which let execution issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6070

State of Texas
-vs-
Chas. Summers
} Vagrancy. Wednesday October 16" 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of 1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas. Summers the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6071

State of Texas
-vs-
Ed. Williams
} Vagrancy Tuesday October 16" 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Wednesday the 16th day of October A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed. Williams the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which execution may issue. Further ordered that ~~execution issue~~ said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6053 ✓

State of Texas } Carrying Threatening Letters
-vs- } Thursday October 17th 1889
Green Howard.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $100.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Green Howard the sum of One Hundred Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

6054

State of Texas } Carrying Threatening Letters
-vs- } Thursday October 17th 1889
Green Howard.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Thursday the 17" day of October A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $100.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Green Howard the sum of One Hundred Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the Fine and costs in cause No. 6053 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the Fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

6055

State of Texas } Carrying Threatening Letters
-vs- } Thursday October 17" 1889
Green Howard }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $100.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Green Howard the sum of One Hundred Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the Fines and costs in cases No. 6053 and 6054, said defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Thursday the 17th day of October A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

stand committed to the County Jail till the Fine and costs in this case are paid in full

6056 State of Texas -vs- Green Howard } Sending Threatening Letters
Thursday October 17th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $100.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Green Howard the sum of One Hundred Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the Fine and costs in cases Nos. 6053, 6054 and 6055 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

5699 City of Ft. Worth -vs- Dan Ryan } Thursday October 17th 1889

Upon Motion of Defendant it is ordered by the Court that the Costs in this cause be Retaxed. That the fee of $10.00 for City Attorney and that of $10.00 for Costs in Recorders Court be stricken out. Further ordered that the Costs of this motion be taxed against Defendant.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Saturday the 19th day of October A. D. 1889

Court in session Saturday October 19th 1889 Present as on First of Term.

Now comes the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City of Fort Worth and for good and sufficient reasons says he will no further prosecute the following causes; to-wit;

4634. City of Ft. Worth -vs- J. P. Cross } Disturbing the Peace

5570. City of Ft. Worth -vs- Geo. Bates } Abusive Language

6019 City of Ft. Worth -vs- Mike Bohan } Assault

6022 City of Ft. Worth -vs- George Wallis } Opening Place of Business on Sunday

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of the above prosecutions and that the Defendants therein, go hence without day.

5012 City of Fort Worth -vs- A. Hinsin } Saturday October 19th 1889 Alias & Continued

5015 City of Fort Worth -vs- Johnson & Nichols } Saturday October 19th 1889 Alias & Continued.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Saturday the 19th day of October A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5019 City of Fort Worth -vs- G. S. Miller } Saturday October 19th 1889
Alias Cap Continued.

5074 City of Fort Worth -vs- Bob. McDill } Saturday October 19th 1889
Alias Cap Continued

5075 City of Fort Worth -vs- Diarina Johnson } Saturday October 19th 1889
Continued By Defendant

5698 City of Fort Worth -vs- J. P. Cross } Saturday October 19th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; J. S. Daley and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law. And the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation, returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty

J. S. Daley Foreman

Thereupon it is considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this suit and that the Defendant J. P. Cross go hence without day.

5700 City of Fort Worth -vs- Emelnie Gorden } Saturday October 19th 1889
Continued by Plaintiff
For absence of Witnesses J. T. Castleberry & Ben C. Evans.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Saturday the 19th day of October A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6017 City of Fort Worth -vs- Will Carvile } Saturday October 19th 1889

Continued By Consent.

6018 City of Fort Worth -vs- E Krohn } Saturday October 19th 1889

Continued By Consent.

6020 City of Fort Worth -vs- George Wallis } Saturday October 19th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; J. S. Daley and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn as the law directs. And the said Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty

J. S. Daley Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant George Wallis go hence without day.

6021 ✓ City of Fort Worth -vs- Tom Parrie } Saturday October 19th 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; G. Nance and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law. And the said Jury after

and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Saturday the 19" day of October A. D. 18 89

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a Fine of Ten Dollars

G. Nance Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Paine as Principal and A. T. Byas & N. T. Stubbs as Sureties on Appeal Bond, the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant Tom Paine stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6023 City of Fort Worth vs- Fannie Ward } Saturday October 19" 1889 Continued By Consent

6065 City of Fort Worth vs- Long Lee } Saturday October 19" 1889 Continued By Agreement

6066 City of Fort Worth vs- H. H. Henkle } Saturday October 19" 1889 Continued By Consent

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

Saturday the 19" day of October A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6067

City of Fort Worth
-vs-
H. H. Henke
} Saturday October 19" 1889

Continued By Consent

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday morning at 9 o'clock.

Monday morning 9 o'clock October 21" 1889
Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on Saturday

5787

State of Texas
-vs-
James Anderson
} Carrying a Pistol
Monday October 21" 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant James Anderson in person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at imprisonment in the County Jail for thirteen days. It is therefore considered adjudged by the Court that the Defendant James Anddson Stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirteen days and be till all costs herein accrued have been paid in full..

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889.

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 18.....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers

5263 State of Texas
-vs-
Willis Washington

Aggravated Assault

Tuesday July 2. 1889.

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the Defendant after being called under due forms of law, came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Willis Washington on the 30th day of March 1888 Charged by Information with the offense of "Unlawfully committing an Aggravated Assault upon one Lyda McDuff" after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a capias issued out of the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County, did as principal enter into Bond with W. H. Looney as his surety in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that the said Willis Washington, should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the 6th day of May 1889. and there remain from day to day and from Term to Term thereafter and not depart from there until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge. And whereas afterwards to wit; at a regular Term of the County Court of Tarrant County begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in July 1889. the said Willis Washington after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer to the charge aforesaid wholly made default. It is therefore considered and adjudged by our said County Court on the 2nd day of July 1889. that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited, and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Willis Washington as principal and W. H. Looney as surety jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Two Hundred Dollars, together with all costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next Term of this Court, why said Defendant did not appear

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

the day of A. D. 18

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue commanding him the said W. H. Looney to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant, State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in September A. D. 1889. then and there to show cause, if any they can why this judgment should not be made final. Further ordered that alias Capias issue for the Defendant returnable to the next Term of this Court and that this case be continued.

5515 State of Texas
vs
Jim Collins

Vagrancy
Tuesday July 2, 1889.

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the Defendant after being called under due forms of law, came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Jim Collins on the 21st day of March 1889 charged by Indictment with the offense of Vagrancy, after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a capias issued out of the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County, did as principals enter into Bond with C. C. Lawson, and C. N. Gilbert as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that the said Jim Collins should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the 6th day of May 1889, and there remain from day to day and from term to term thereafter and not depart from thence until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge, And whereas to wit: at a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in July 1889 the said Jim Collins, after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer to the charge aforesaid wholly made default. It is

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

therefore considered and adjudged by our said County Court on the 2nd day of July 1889 that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Jim Collins as principal and C. C. Lawson and C. H. Gilbert as sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of One Hundred Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown, at the next Term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear, And it is further ordered by the Court that citation issue commanding them the said C. C. Lawson and C. H. Gilbert to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant, State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in September 1889, then and there to show cause, if any they can why this judgment should not be made final. Further ordered that alias capias issue for the Defendant returnable to the next term of this Court and that case be continued.

5666 State of Texas vs John O. Dalton — Establishing a Lottery

Tuesday July 2, 1889.

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant after being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default, And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant John O. Dalton on the 29th day of May 1889, charged by Information with the offense of "Unlawfully Establishing a Lottery" after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a capias issued out of the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County, did as principal enter into Bond with Luke Short, F. C. Garner and C. B. Craig as his sureties in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that the said John O. Dalton

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

shows personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the 1st day of July 1889 and there remain from day to day and from term to term thereafter and depart from thence until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge, And whereas afterwards To wit: at a regular Term of the County Court of Tarrant County begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday July 1889. the said John O. Dalton after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer to the charge aforesaid wholly made default. It was therefore considered and adjudged by our said County Court on the 2nd day of July 1889 that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said John O. Dalton as principal and Luke Short, F. C. Bonner and C. B. Craig as sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Two Hundred Dollars, together with all costs of the prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next Term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear, And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue Commanding them the said Luke Short, F. C. Bonner and C. B. Craig, to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant, State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in September 1889 then and there to show cause if any they can why this judgment should not be made final. Further ordered that alias capias issue for the Defendant returnable to the next term of this Court and that case be continued,

5733

State of Texas
vs
Mack St Clair

Selling Beer on Sunday
Tuesday July 2, 1889.

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant after being

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default, And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Mack St Clair on the 23rd day of June 1889 charged by Information with the offense of "Unlawfully Selling Beer on Sunday" after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a Capias issued out of the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County did as Principal enter into Bond with B. B. Paddock as his surety in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that the said Mack St Clair should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the 1st day of July 1889, and there remain from day to day and from Term to Term thereafter and not depart from thence until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge, And otherwise afterwards to wit; at a regular Term of the County Court of Tarrant County begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in July 1889 the said Mack St Clair after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer to the charge aforesaid wholly made default. It was therefore considered and adjudged by our County Court on the 2nd day of July 1889, that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Mack St Clair as Principal and B. B. Paddock as surety jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Two Hundred Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown, at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear, And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue commanding him the said B. B. Paddock, to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant, State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

the day of A. D. 18

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

first Monday in September A. D. 1889 then and there to show cause, if any they can why this judgment shall not be made final, Further ordered that Alias Capias issue for the Defendant returnable to the next Term of this Court and that this case be continued.

5747

State of Texas
vs
Mack St Clair

Selling Beer on Sunday
Tuesday July 2, 1889.

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant after being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Mack St Clair on the 23rd day of June 1889 charged by Information with the offense of "Unlawfully Selling Beer on Sunday" after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a capias issued out of the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County did as principal enter into Bond with John C. Dill and Willis H Post as his surety in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that the said Mack St Clair should personally appear before the County Court on the 1st day of July 1889 and then remain from day to day and from Term to Term thereafter and not depart from thence until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge; And whereas afterwards to wit at a regular Term of the County Court of Tarrant County begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in July 1889 the said Mack St Clair after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer to the charge aforesaid, wholly made default. It was therefore considered and adjudged by our County Court on the 2nd day of July 1889 that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited and that the State of Texas...

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

the day of A. D. 18

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

do have and recover of and from the said Mack St Clair as principal and John C. Bell and Willis H. Park as sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Two Hundred Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution, and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue commanding them the said John C. Bell and Willis H. Park to appear at the next Term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant State of Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in September A. D. 1889, then and there to show cause, if any they can why this judgment shall not be made final. Further ordered that Alias Capias issue for the Defendant returnable to the next term of this Court and that case be continued.

5340 State of Texas
-vs-
Joe Madden

Carrying a Dagger
Monday July 8' 1889.

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the Defendant after being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default, And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Joe Madden on the 30th day of December 1888 charged by Information with the offense of "Unlawfully carrying a Dagger" after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a capias issued out of the office of County Clerk of Tarrant County did as principal enter into Bond with T. C. Lamey and George L. Hurley as his sureties in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that that the said Joe Madden should personally appear before the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889.

the day of A. D. 18

rintors, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court of Tarrant County on the 7th day of January 1889 and there remain from day to day and from Term to Term and not depart from thence until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge, And whereas a plurnary to writ, at a regular Term of the County Court of Tarrant County began and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in July 1889 the said Joe Madden after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer to the charge aforesaid wholly made default, It was therefore considered and adjudged by our County Court on the 8th day of July 1889 that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Joe Madden as Principal and T. C. Lamey and George L. Hurley as his sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Two Hundred Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next Term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear, And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue commanding them the said T. C. Lamey and George L. Hurley to appear at the next Term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in September A. D. 1889, then and there to show cause, if any they can why this judgment should not be made final, Further ordered that alias capias issue for the Defendant returnable to the next Term of this Court and that this cause be continued,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 18 .....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

5194

State of Texas
-vs-
Sam W. Connell

Playing Cards in a Public Place
Thursday Sept 5th 1889.

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the Defendant after being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant on the 15th day of September 1888 charged by Indictment with the offense of "Unlawfully playing Cards in a Public Place, after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a Capias issued out the office of County Clerk of Tarrant County did as principal enter into Bond with H. A. McPhail and W. H. Perry as his sureties in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that the said Sam McConnell should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County ~~Instantier~~ and there remain from day to day and from Term to Term thereafter and not depart from thence until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge. And whereas afterwards to wit: at a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in September 1889, the said Sam McConnell after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer to the charge aforesaid wholly made default. It was therefore considered and adjudged by our County Court on the 5th day of September 1889 that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Sam McConnell as principal and H. A. McPhail and W. H. Perry as sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum Two Hundred Dollars, together with all costs of the prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown, at the next

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1889.

the day of A. D. 18

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue commanding them the said W. A. McPhail and W. H. Berry to appear at the next Term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant, State of Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in November A. D. 1889 then and there to show cause if any they can why this judgment should not be made final, Further Ordered that alias Capias issue for the Defendant returnable at the next term of this Court and that case be continued.

5817

State of Texas
vs
Tom Slaton

Slander

Friday Sept. 13, A. D. 1889

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant after being called under due forms of law, came not but wholly made default, And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant on the 17th day of July 1889 charged by Information with the offense of "Slander" after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a Capias issued out of the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County did as principal enter into Bond with T. J. Estes, Henry L. Newman, W. W. McNatt and W. F. Slaton as his sureties in the sum of Three Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditioning that the said Tom Slaton should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County 2nd day of September 1889, and there remain from day to day and from Term to Term thereafter and not depart from thence until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge, And whereas afterwards to wit at a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County begun

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers

and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in September 1889 the said Tom Slaton after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer to the charge aforesaid wholly made default. It was therefore considered and adjudged by our County Court on the 13th day of September 1889 that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Tom Slaton as principal and T. J. Ellis, Henry L. Newman W. W. McNatt and W. F. Slaton as sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Three Hundred Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear, And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue commanding them the said T. J. Ellis, Henry L. Newman, W. W. McNatt and W. F. Slaton to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday November A. D. 1889 then and there to show cause if any they can why this judgment should not be made final, Further ordered that alias Capias issue for the Defendant returnable at the next term of this Court and that case be continued,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in course

W. D. Harris
County Judge

Attest: Jno. P. King. County Clerk.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 4th day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in November A.D. 1889 the same being the Fourth day of November A.D. 1889 there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court within and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth Present the Hon W. D. Harris, County Judge presiding Jno. P. King Co Clerk, B. F. Ayres, Co Attorney and J. C. Richardson, Sheriff of said County, when and where the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court to wit:-

-Venire Facias-

Now comes J. C. Richardson, Sheriff of Tarrant County, and returns into open Court the Venire Facias for this the first week of the November Term 1889 of the County Court of Tarrant County and upon the jurors named thereon being called none of said jurors answered to their names, And it appearing to the Court that the panel is incomplete it was ordered that the Sheriff do summon sufficient qualified jurors to fill the said panel, And thereupon Sheriff after being sworn as the law directs returns into open Court the following qualified jurors to wit; John Barry, B. W. Henderson, L. J. Prichard, W. M. Mueller, J. M. Smith, Jim Hoondonp, Ollie Bowles, Joseph Teague, T. P. Trimble, J. C. Young, J. H. Babo & J. M. Williams all of whom were duly tried and sworn and placed upon the panel for the week.

-Filed-

It appearing to the Court that the Sheriff has been unable to arrest the Defendants in the cases, It is ordered by the Court that said cases be filed until such time as the Defendants therein can be arrested to wit:

5393 State of Texas vs Bob Smith
Exhibiting Gaming Bank

5400 State of Texas Curtis
Theft

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 4th day of November A. D. 1889.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5420 State of Texas vs Jamo Tullis
Injuring and Defacing Public Building

5460 State of Texas -vs- Tom Gallagher
Aggravated Assault

5506 State of Texas -vs- Bob Smythe
Vagrancy

5513 State of Texas -vs- Charles Emory
Vagrancy

5514 State of Texas vs Jack Tanner
Vagrancy

5540 State of Texas -vs- Velvet Kids
Playing Cards in Public Place

5575 State of Texas -vs- Buck Smith
Exgaming ~~Buck~~ for purpose of Gaming

5657 State of Texas -vs- Joe Smith
Threats to take life

5702 State of Texas -vs- Kitty Parks
Theft under $50.

5716 State of Texas -vs- Will White
Vagrancy

5720 State of Texas -vs- Chas Anderson
Vagrancy

5721 State of Texas -vs- Jamie Hadley
Vagrancy

5722 State of Texas -vs- Jack Shiel
Vagrancy

5723 State of Texas -vs- Dan Callahan
Vagrancy

5724 State of Texas -vs- Chas Wright
Vagrancy

5863 State of Texas -vs- Will Cobb, et al
Theft under $20.

Alias Capias & Continued

Ordered that alias capias issue for the Defendants in the following cases returnable to the next term of this Court and that ~~this~~ said cases be continued,

5263 State of Texas -vs- Willis Washington
Aggravated Assault.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 4th day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5264 State of Texas vs Marion Washington
Assault

5515 State of Texas vs- Jim Calling
Vagrancy

5525 State of Texas vs- Bob Anderson
Carrying Pistal

5526 State of Texas vs Lige Anderson
Carrying Pistal

5635 State of Texas -vs- Dolph Gary
Carrying Pistal

5638 State of Texas -vs- W. B. Gregory
Exhibiting Gaming Bank & Table

5666 State of Texas -vs- John O. Dalton
Establishing a Lottery

5676 State of Texas -vs- Jas Massey
Playing Cards in Public Place

5677 State of Texas -vs- Jas Massey
Theft under $20.

5726 State of Texas -vs- Bill Allenberry
Vagrancy

5733 State of Texas -vs- Mack St Clair
Selling Beer on Sunday

5747 State of Texas vs Mack St Clair
Selling Beer on Sunday

5753 State of Texas vs Van Lee
Keeping Disorderly House

5762 State of Texas -vs- W. A. Campbell
Disturbing the Peace

5805 State of Texas vs Boyd Miller
Theft under $20.

5807 State of Texas -vs- Walter McGuire
Aggravated Assault

5810 State of Texas vs Robt W. Clough
Aggravated Assault

5817 State of Texas -vs- Tom Slaten
Slander

5819 State of Texas -vs- Robt Granbury
Aggravated Assault

5820 State of Texas -vs- Eb Moriarity
Theft under $20.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 4th day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5824 State of Texas -vs- Mat Arasa
Theft under $20,

5826 State of Texas -vs- Cone
Aggravated Assault

5827 State of Texas vs Willie Taylor
Assault

5830 State of Texas -vs- Will Fisher
Aggravated Assault

5870 State of Texas -vs- Bill Atterberry
Escaping from Employers

5873 State of Texas -vs- D. Cameron
Carrying Pistol

5876 State of Texas -vs- J. M. Spuck
Aggravated Assault

5905 State of Texas -vs- A. C. Agee
Selling Whisky on Sunday

5920 State of Texas -vs- W. M. Miller
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5922 State of Texas -vs- W. M. Miller
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5927 State of Texas -vs- Jack Martin
Aggravated Assault

5934 State of Texas -vs- W. M. Miller
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5936 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5937 State of Texas -vs- W. M. Miller
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5938 State of Texas -vs- Bill Taylor
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

5960 State of Texas -vs- Willie White
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

5969 State of Texas -vs- Amos Huckleberry
Injuring & Defacing Public Building

5971 State of Texas -vs- Jack Davis
Aggravated Assault

5979 State of Texas -vs- Elly White
Theft under $20.

5987 State of Texas -vs- Will White
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 4th day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5993 State of Texas -vs- Tom Allen
Theft under $20,

5995 State of Texas -vs- Will Ellis
Playing Cards in Public Place

6005 State of Texas -vs- W. C. Myrick
Playing Cards in Public Place

6006 State of Texas -vs- C. C. Longmire
Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday

6033 State of Texas -vs- Julius Rassa
Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday

6034 State of Texas -vs- Julius Rassa
Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday

6035 State of Texas -vs- Julius Rassa,
Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday

6069 State of Texas -vs- Aggie Thompson
Disturbing Religious Worship

6076 State of Texas -vs- — Sidney
Theft under $20,

5729 State of Texas
-vs-
John Vaughn
Playing Dice in Public Place
Monday Nov. 4, 1889,
Continued by Consent

5730 State of Texas
-vs-
J. O'Brein
Playing Dice in Public Place
Monday Nov. 4, 1889,
Continued by Consent

5763 State of Texas
-vs-
Gus Baker
Theft under $20,
Monday Nov. 4, 1889,
Continued by Consent

5778 State of Texas
-vs-
Frank Banks
Theft under $20.
Monday Nov. 4. 1889.
Ellis and Continued

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 4th day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5792 State of Texas -vs- Jac Welchait — Aggravated Assault
Monday Nov. 4, 1889
Continued by Consent

5793 State of Texas -vs- R. T. Hoover — Aggravated Assault
Monday Nov. 4, 1889
Continued by Consent

5794 State of Texas -vs- Jac Welchait — Carrying Pistol
Monday Nov. 4, 1889
Continued by Consent

5802 State of Texas -vs- Mike Haley — Assault
Monday Nov. 4, 1889.
Continued by Consent

5825 State of Texas -vs- Frederick Dailey — Aggravated Assault
Monday Nov. 4, 1889
Continued by Consent

5834 State of Texas -vs- R. J. Rice — Aggravated Assault
Monday Nov. 4, 1889,
Continued by Consent.

5835 State of Texas vs Bob Rice — Aggravated Assault
Monday Nov. 4, 1889,
Continued by Consent.

5836 State of Texas -vs- Bud Dunn — Aggravated Assault
Monday Nov. 4, 1889
Continued by Consent.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 4th day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5837	State of Texas -vs- Bud Dunn	Aggravated Assault Monday Nov. 4, 1889 Continued by Consent
5838	State of Texas -vs- Bud Dunn	Aggravated Assault Monday Nov. 4, 1889. Continued by Consent
5844	State of Texas vs E. G. Williford	Theft under $50 Monday Nov. 4, 1889 Continued by Consent
5845	State of Texas vs E. G. Williford	Theft under $50. Monday Nov. 4, 1889 Continued by Consent.
5867	State of Texas vs Will Thomas	Theft under $50 Monday Nov. 4, 1889 Continued by Consent.
5925, 5930 5935.	State of Texas vs W. O. Middleton	Selling Whisky in Local Option Town Monday Nov. 4, 1889 Continued by Consent.
5961, 5962, 5963, 5964 5965.	State of Texas -vs- Henry Hubert	Selling Whisky in Local Option Town Monday Nov. 4, 1889 Continued by Consent
5973, 5974, 5975, 6004	State of Texas vs C. C. Longmire	Selling Whisky & Beer on Sunday Monday Nov. 4, 1889, Continued by Consent

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 4th day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5997 State of Texas vs C. C. Langmire — Selling Liquor to Minor — Monday Nov. 4, 1889, — Continued by Consent

5991 State of Texas vs J. N. Martin — Drunkenness in Office — Monday Nov. 4, 1889, — Continued by Consent

5992 State of Texas vs J. N. Martin — Exposing his person in Public Place — Monday Nov. 4. 1889. — Continued by Consent

6051 State of Texas vs Ben M. Tennell — Carrying Pistol — Monday Nov. 4, 1889 — Continued by Consent

6052 State of Texas vs S. F. Camp — Carrying Pistol — Monday Nov. 4, 1889, — Continued by Consent.

5928 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller — Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town — Monday Nov. 4, 1889, — Alias and Continued

5985 State of Texas vs Lee Herrin — Theft under $50, — Monday Nov. 4, 1889, — Continued by Agreement.

5907, 5918. State of Texas vs Fred Jr Blake et al / Wm Hefron — Malicious Mischief — Monday Nov. 4, 1889 — Continued by consent.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889.

Monday the 4th day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5915 State of Texas vs Ida Smith — Escaping from Employers

Monday Nov. 4, 1889

Continued by Consent.

5940. State of Texas -vs- Geo Lister — Selling Lottery Tickets

Monday Nov. 4, 1889,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Lister, the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, For which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5951 State of Texas vs Geo Lister — Selling Lottery Tickets

Monday Nov. 4, 1889,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Geo Lister in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Lister the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 4th day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant Geo Litten stand commited to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5992 State of Texas -vs- A. M. Carter — Aggravated Assault — Monday Nov. 4, 1889

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant A. M. Carter the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand commited to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5984 State of Texas -vs- Geo F. Eden — Carrying Pistol — Monday Nov. 4, 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty" Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 4th day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo F. Edler, the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

1078 State of Texas vs Thomas Fairchild — Carrying a Pistol — Monday Nov. 4, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty" Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Thomas Fairchild the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurring for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committing to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6081 State of Texas vs Wm Ross — Vagrancy — Monday Nov. 4, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waiving the matters of fact as well as of law were submitting to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty: Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 4th day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

of One Dollar. It is therfore considerd and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Wm Rass the sum of One Dollar fine assessd as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are fully in full.

6082 State of Texas -vs- Kit Hewins — Vagrancy

Monday Nov. 4. 1889.

This day came the County attorny prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One Dollar. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Kit Hewins, the sum of One Dollar fine assessd as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6084 State of Texas -vs- Robt Edgington — Vagrancy

Monday Nov. 4, 1889.

This day came the County Attorny prosecuting the pleas of the State and also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 18 89

Monday the 4 day of November A. D. 18 89

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Court assessed his punishment at a fine of one Dollar; It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs aforesaid in full.

6089

State of Texas
-vs-
Frank Suffle alias Slupy

Theft under $20.
Monday Nov. 4, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of one Dollar and six hours in the County Jail It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Suffle (alias) Slupy, the sum of One dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand commiting the County Jail for the full space of six hours and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6092

State of Texas
-vs-
John Dunn

Vagrancy
Monday Nov. 4, 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 4th day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One Dollar, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Dunn the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6093 State of Texas vs Paul Geller — Vagrancy — Monday Nov. 4. 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One Dollar, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Paul Geller, the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6094 State of Texas -vs- Adam Yochum — Vagrancy — Monday Nov. 4. 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 4th day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessing his punishment at a fine of One Dollar, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Adam Tychum the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6039 State of Texas
vs
Harry Hatchell

Selling Whisky on Sunday
Monday Nov. 4, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Harry Hatchell the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 4th day of Nov. A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6083

State of Texas
vs
Pat Dugan

Vagrancy
Monday Nov. 4, 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Pat Dugan the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

✓

6086

State of Texas
-vs-
John Foley

Vagrancy
Monday Nov. 4, 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Foley the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

✓

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 4. day of November A. D. 1889

aters, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6032 State of Texas vs Zack Pennington — Selling Beer on Sunday

Monday Nov. 4, 1889.

This day came the partis by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Joseph Teague and five others who were duly elected, impanelled and sworn according to law, and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of Not Guilty" Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty as Charged in Indictment and assess fine at $20.00 Twenty Dollars, Joseph Teague, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Zack Pennington the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6079 State of Texas -vs- Tom Kelly. — Vagrancy

Monday Nov. 4, 1889.

This day came the partis by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: P. P. Trimble and five others who were duly elected impanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not Guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and reciving the charge of the Court retires to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returns into open Court the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 4th day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at five dollars" P. T. Trimble, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Kelly the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6090 State of Texas -vs- Henry Salor — Aggravated Assault — Monday Nov. 4, 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Joseph Teague and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty of the charge named in the Indictment and assess his punishment at a fine of One hundred and fifty Dollars $150, and thirty days in the County Jail"

Joseph Teague, Foreman of the Jury

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Henry Salor the sum of One Hundred and fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further orders that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirty days, and until said fine and costs are paid in full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 4th day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5340 State of Texas
vs
Jac Madden

Carrying a Dagger
Monday Nov. 4, 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty" Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jac Madden the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5684 State of Texas
-vs-
Geo Bates

Playing at a Game with Cards
Monday Nov. 4, 1889,

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; J. C. Young and five others who were duly selected impaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty" Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit! "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty" J. C. Young, Foreman,
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Geo Bates go hence without day,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 4th day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5894 State of Texas vs Jesse Reeves — Vagrancy — Monday Nov. 4, 1889,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now enters her plea of Guilty" Whereupon the Court assesses her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jesse Reeves the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5938, 5939 State of Texas vs W. W. Miller — Selling Whisky in Local Option Town — Monday Nov. 4, 1889,

Alias and Continued

5924 State of Texas vs W. W. Miller — Selling Whisky in Local Option Town — Monday Nov. 4, 1889,

Alias and Continued

5996 State of Texas vs N. A. Lewis — Selling Whisky on Sunday — Monday Nov. 4, 1889

Continued by Consent.

6027 State of Texas vs W. Lipsford — Pursuing Occupation without paying tax — Monday Nov. 4, 1889,

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 4 day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, and it appearing to the Court that the Defendant has paid his occupation tax and all costs incurred herein It is ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant W. Lipford go hence without day.

6040 State of Texas -vs- E. C. Hatchell — Selling Whisky on Sunday Monday Nov. 4, 1889

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant E. C. Hatchell go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning Nov. 5th 1889 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5531, 5532, 5533, 5534, 5535, State of Texas -vs- J. D. Smith et al / W. N. Ervin — Exhibiting Gaming Bank & Table Tuesday Nov. 5, 1889,

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute these cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore considered and adjudged by Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants J. D. Smith and W. N. Ervin go hence without day,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Tuesday the 5th day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5607 State of Texas vs Tom Easley et al — Fornication
Tuesday Nov. 4, 1889
Continued by Consent.

5950 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Smith & W. H. Ervin — Selling Lottery Tickets
Tuesday Nov. 4, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Jas A. Hoornkamp and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendants now here enters their plea of not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendants guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess their fine at Ten Dollars Each.

Jas A. Hoornkamp, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendants J. D. Smith and W. H. Ervin the sum of Ten Dollars each fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,
Further ordered that said Defendants stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6007 State of Texas vs Ed Williams — Vagrancy
Tuesday Nov. 4, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Tuesday the 5th day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

law rose submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty, Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel, finds the Defendant Guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of One Dollar, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed Williams the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6009 State of Texas -vs- John Murphy } Vagrancy
Tuesday Nov. 4, 1889,

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law rose submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel finds the Defendant Guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of One Dollar, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Murphy the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6063 State of Texas -vs- Ed Falcon } Carrying Pistol
Tuesday Nov. 4, 1889

Continued by the State for absence of witness Arthur A. Manning,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889.

Tuesday the 5th day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

6075 State of Texas vs Frank Smith — Theft under $20. Tuesday Nov. 5th, 1889

This day came the partios by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: L. J. Prichard and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at fifty dollars and ten days in the County Jail"

L. J. Prichard, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Smith the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5313 State of Texas vs John Madden — Carrying Pistols Tuesday Nov. 5th. 1889

Continued by Consent.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Wednesday Morning Nov. 6. 1889 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Wednesday the 6th day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5255 State of Texas -vs- Jack Coleman — Threatening to take life of Another
Wednesday Nov. 6, 1889
Continued by Consent

5299 State of Texas -vs- Dick Kitts — Aggravated Assault
Wednesday Nov. 6, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dick Kitts the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

✓

5651 State of Texas -vs- E. Kamin — Selling Beer on Sunday
Wednesday Nov. 6, 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: B. M. Henderson and five others who were duly elected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty." "B. M. Henderson, Foreman"
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Wednesday the 6th day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant E. Kamin go hence without day.

5668 State of Texas vs C. F. Law — Aggravated Assault — Wednesday Nov. 6, 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: L. J. Prichard and five others who were duly, selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury, the Defendant now here entering his plea of Not Guilty" Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty of an aggravated assault and assess his fine at $25.00 Dollars.

L. J. Prichard, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant C. F. Law the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further order that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5943 State of Texas vs Max Richen — Aggravated Assault — Wednesday Nov. 6, 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. H. Babo and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entering his plea of Not Guilty" Whereupon the jury after hearing

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Wednesday the 6th day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 J. N. Babo, Foreman,
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Max Richter, the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurring for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand commited to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5994 State of Texas vs John Hines } Selling Beer on Sunday
Wednesday Nov. 6, 1889

This day come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also come the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of "Guilty" Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Hines, the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurring for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand commited to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6001 State of Texas vs John Hines } Selling Beer on Sunday
Wednesday Nov. 6, 1889.

Continued by Consent

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Wednesday the 6th day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6080 State of Texas
vs
Henry Trelmons ?

Vagrancy
Wednesday Nov. 6, 1889

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons files with the papers in this case says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Henry Trelmons go hence without day,

6085 State of Texas
vs
John Jay ?

Vagrancy
Wednesday Nov. 6, 1889.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons files with the papers in this case says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Jay go hence without day.

6087 State of Texas
vs
Wm McCarty ?

Vagrancy
Wednesday Nov. 6, 1889

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons files with the papers in this case says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Wm McCarty go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Wednesday the 6, day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5921 State of Texas vs Ben Mathews — Selling Whisky in Local Option Town — Wednesday Nov. 6. 1889.

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same; It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Ben Mathews go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Thursday Morning Nov. 7, 1889 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5646 State of Texas vs A. Hall — Betting at Gaming Table and Bank — Thursday Nov. 7, 1889.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons files with the papers in this case says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant A. Hall go hence without day.

5644 State of Texas vs Chas Hadley — Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank — Thursday Nov. 7, 1889

Continued by Consent

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Thursday the 7 day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

5754 State of Texas vs Joe Crowder

Aggravated Assault
Thursday Nov. 7, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: O.C. Bowles and five others who were duly selected, empanneled and sworn according to law and Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and reciving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty of an aggravated assault and assess his punishment at confinement in the County Jail for one month"

O.C. Bowles, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full space of one month and till all costs herein accruing are paid in full

5765 State of Texas -vs- H. P. Shiel

Seeing on Sunday
Thursday Nov. 7, 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to Quash Complaint herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained

5952 State of Texas vs Tony Lenari

Seeing Lottery Tickets
Thursday Nov. 7, 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Thursday the 7th day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

the making of such as well as of law now submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tony Lenari, the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6012 State of Texas vs Walter Redford — Theft under $50.

Thursday Nov. 7, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Jas A. Hornkamp and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law, and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess his punishment at a fine of Thirty Dollars and ten days in the County Jail".

Jas A. Hornkamp. Forman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Walter Redford the sum of Thirty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten Days and till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Thursday the 7th day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6014 State of Texas vs A. Smith — Aggravated Assault
Thursday Nov. 7, 1889
Continued by Consent.

6036 State of Texas vs Tony Lenari — Selling Whiskey on Sunday
Thursday Nov. 7, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tony Lenari the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6088 State of Texas -vs- John Thomas — Vagrancy
Thursday Nov. 7, 1889,

This day came the parties by Attorney and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of not Guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant not Guilty. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Thomas go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Thursday the 7th day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6090 State of Texas vs Henry Sator — Aggravated Assault — Thursday Nov. 7, 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for new trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Motion, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said Motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

6090 State of Texas vs Henry Sator — Aggravated Assault — Thursday Nov. 7, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; J. C. Young and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his Plea of Guilty" Whereupon the jury retires to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court and announced that they could not agree, And it appearing to the Court that said jury has been kept together for a sufficient length of time, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged and this cause stand for trial in its regular order upon the Docket of this Court.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 oclock

Monday Morning Nov. 11, 1889 Court in Session present as on the First day of the Term,

5522 State of Texas -vs- Bill Smith — Carrying Pistol — Monday Nov. 11, 1889

Continued by Consent.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 11 day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filing with the papers in these cases says he will no further prosecute said cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same,

5448 State of Texas vs L. L. Miller
Selling Liquor without paying occupation tax

5640 State of Texas vs John Hyberger
Exhibiting Gaming Table and Bank

5641 State of Texas vs George Canary
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

5643 State of Texas vs C. D. Dixon
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

5848 State of Texas vs Chas H. Caafur
Abusive Language

5850 State of Texas vs Chas H. Caafur
Abusive Language

5851 State of Texas vs Chas H. Caafur
Abusive Language

5944 State of Texas vs Emma Brisco
Theft under $50,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day,

5536 State of Texas vs Bill Smith — Aggravated Assault — Monday Nov. 11, 1889.
Continued by Consent.

5683 State of Texas vs Jim Laverty — Disturbing the Peace — Monday Nov. 11, 1889
Continued by State for absence of witness Wm Harman.

6095 State of Texas vs William Robinson — Disturbing the Peace — Monday Nov. 11, 1889
Continued by Consent.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 11 day of November A. D. 1889

inters, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5652 State of Texas vs E. Kamien — Selling Beer on Sunday

Monday Nov. 11, 1889.

This day came the parties by Attorney and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; J. L. Bentley and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of Not Guilty Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty". J. L. Bentley, Foreman It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant E. Kamien. go hence without day,

5813 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Andrews — Resisting an Officer

Monday Nov. 11. 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; Thomas P. Stone and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law and Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty". Thomas P Stone, Foreman, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant J. D. Andrews go hence without day,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 11 day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers

6090 State of Texas vs Henry Sator — Aggravated Assault

Monday Nov. 11, 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; J. L. Bentley and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Thereupon the jury retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury upon a plea of Guilty find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at Twenty five dollars fine and one month imprisonment. J. L. Bentley, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of from the Defendant Henry Sator the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of one month and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Tuesday Morning Nov. 12, 1889 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following case and moves the Court to dismiss the same

5892 State of Texas vs Cail Craig — Selling whisky & Beer on Sunday

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Tuesday the 12 day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5953 State of Texas -vs- Tony Lenari
Selling Lotting Tickets

5954 State of Texas vs Tony Lenari
Selling Lotting Tickets

5714 State of Texas
-vs-
Billy Rogers
Aggravated Assault
Tuesday Nov. 12, 1889

Continued by the Defendant

5919 State of Texas
vs
Felipe Mariano
Carrying a Pistol
Tuesday Nov. 12, 1889

Alias and Continued

5978 State of Texas
vs
John Byron
Betting at a Gaming Table
Tuesday Nov. 12, 1889

Alias and Continued

6018 State of Texas
vs
E. L. Phileman
Selling Beer on Sunday
Tuesday Nov. 12, 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; Thomas P. Stone and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty" Thomas P. Stone, Foreman It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant E. L. Phileman go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Tuesday the 12, day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6010 State of Texas vs E. L. Thilleman } Selling Bar on Sunday Tuesday Nov. 12, 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to Quash the Indictment herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion it is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts,

6013 State of Texas vs Mike Bohun } Selling Bar on Sunday Tuesday Nov. 12, 1889,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mike Bohun the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6043 State of Texas -vs- Jim Linton } Selling Bar on Sunday Tuesday Nov. 12, 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also come the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Tuesday the 12th day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitting to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Liston the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases, and moves the Court to dismiss the same,

6041 State of Texas vs Jim Liston
Selling Beer on Sunday

6042 State of Texas vs Jim Liston
Selling Beer on Sunday

6045 State of Texas vs Mike Bolen
Selling Beer on Sunday

It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

6002 State of Texas vs Jim Liston — Selling Beer on Sunday
Tuesday Nov. 12, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty.

V

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Tuesday the 12 day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Liston the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5950 State of Texas vs J. D. Smith & W. N. Ervin — Motion for New Trial Monday Nov. 11, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new Trial herein, the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

6090 State of Texas vs Henry Salor — Motion for New Trial Monday Nov. 11, 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon It is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open gives notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Wednesday the 13" day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Wednesday Morning Nov. 13. 1889 Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

5884 State of Texas vs Ed Muller } Selling Whisky & Beer on Sunday Wednesday Nov. 13, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; John J. Hard and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess the fine at Fifty Dollars."

John J. Hard. Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed Muller the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6038 State of Texas vs Ed Muller } Selling Beer & Whisky on Sunday Wednesday Nov. 13. 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Wednesday the 13th day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed Muller, the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5885 State of Texas vs Ed Muller — Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday — Wednesday Nov. 13, 1889.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas ~~recover nothing by reason of~~ of this prosecution and that the Defendant Ed Muller go hence without day.

5970 State of Texas vs B. H. Williams & Julia Nace — Adultery — Wednesday Nov. 13, 1889

This day come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant B. H. Williams in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant B. H. Williams now here enters his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Wednesday the 13th day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

at a fine of Fifty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant B. A. Williams the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant B. A. Williams stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6029 State of Texas vs A. G. Rittiman — Selling Whiskey on Sunday

Wednesday Nov. 13. 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant A. G. Rittiman the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6030 State of Texas vs A. G. Rittiman — Selling Whiskey on Sunday

Wednesday Nov. 13, 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Wednesday the 13 day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant A. G. Pinkerman the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in No. 6029 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full,

6058 State of Texas -vs- George Walker — Carrying Threatening Letter

Wednesday Nov. 13, 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the affidavit and Information herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained

Ordered that do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Thursday Morning Nov. 14, 1889 Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5548 State of Texas -vs- N. J. Henley — Aggravated Assault

Thursday Nov. 14, 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. L. Bentley and five others who were duly elected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Thursday the 14th day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty"

J. L. Bentley, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant H. J. Henley go hence without day,

5678 State of Texas vs Henry Gray — Permitting Game to be played upon premises under his control

Thursday Nov. 14. 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Henry Gray the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5957 State of Texas vs Tooley Drew — Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

Thursday Nov. 14, 1889,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Thursday the 14th day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

waived the matters of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and ten days in the County Jail, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tandy Dred the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and until said fine and costs are paid in full,

5959 State of Texas
-vs-
Jim Mann

Exhibiting Gaming Bank & Table
Thursday Nov. 14, 1889,

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit John J. Ford and five others who were duly elected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty"

John J. Ford, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jim Mann go hence without day

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Thursday the 14th day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5998 State of Texas -vs- N. P. Shiel — Selling Beer on Sunday
Thursday Nov. 14, 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; J. L. Bentley and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty.

J. L. Bentley, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant N. P. Shiel go hence without day.

5999 State of Texas -vs- N. P. Shiel — Selling Beer on Sunday
Thursday Nov. 14, 1889.

Continued by State for absence of witness E. McRea, and Bill McLaughlin.

6000 State of Texas -vs- N. P. Shiel — Selling Whisky and Beer on Sunday
Thursday Nov. 14, 1889.

Continued by State.

6091 State of Texas -vs- Dr Somers — Carrying Pistol
Thursday Nov. 14, 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; John J. Hard and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law, and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Thursday the 14 day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the Information being read to the jury the Defendant in open Court entered his plea of Not Guilty Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty"

John J. Hoard, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Dr Somers go hence without day

6099 State of Texas vs Joe Buckner — Theft under $20. Thursday Nov. 14, 1889

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Joe Buckner go hence without day.

5968 State of Texas vs Henry Gray — Exhibiting Gaming Table or Bank Thursday Nov. 14, 1889,

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; J. L. Bentley and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Thursday the 14, day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

the following verdict towit "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty, J. L. Bentley, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Henry Gray go hence without day,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Friday Morning Nov. 15, 1889 Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

5264 State of Texas vs Marian Washington — Assault Friday Nov. 15, 1889,

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Marian Washington go hence without day.

5941 State of Texas vs H. Krats — Selling Lottery Tickets Friday Nov. 15, 1889,

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Thomas O. Stone and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of Not Guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after returned

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Friday the 15 day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

deliberation returnd into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at Ten Dollars"

Thomas P. Stone, Foreman,

✓ It is therefore considerd and adjudgd by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum Ten Dollars fine assessd as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurrd for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordrd that said Defendant stadd committd to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full, To which judgment of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas,

5942 State of Texas vs H. Krats — Selling Lottery Tickets. Friday Nov. 15, 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Thomas P. Stone and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returnd into open Court the following verdict to wit;

✓ "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at Fifty Dollars,

Thomas P. Stone, Foreman,

It is therefore considerd and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. Krats the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessd as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurrd for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordrd that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Friday the 15 day of November A. D. 1889

Printors, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Cause No. 5941 Defendant stand commitd to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full. To which judgment of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas,

5947 State of Texas vs H. Krats — Selling Lotery Tickets — Friday Nov. 15, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. Krats the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cases Nos. 5941, 5942 Defendant stand commited to the County Jail till said fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

5948 State of Texas -vs- H. Krats — Selling Lotery Tickets — Friday Nov. 15, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Friday the 15th day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

recover of and from the Defendant H. Krals the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 5941, 5942 & 5947 Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs in this case are paid in full, To which judgment of the Court Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas,

5949 State of Texas -vs- H. Krals — Selling Lottery Tickets Friday Nov. 15, 1889,

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of not Guilty Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the Defendant ✓ Guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. Krals the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 5941, 5942, 5947, 5948 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full,

6037 State of Texas vs H. P. Shirl — Selling Whiskey on Sunday Friday Nov. 15, 1889,

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Friday the 15 day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. L. Bentley and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

J. L. Bentley, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant H. P. Shull go hence without day.

6072 State of Texas vs Chas Bounds — Vagrancy — Friday Nov. 15, 1889.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Chas Bounds go hence without day.

5816 State of Texas vs Carissa Curtis — Aggravated Assault — Friday Nov. 15, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: S. N. Chapman and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered her plea of Not Guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Friday the 15 day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict towit!

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty,

S. H. Chapman, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Clarissa Curtis go hence without day,

5937 State of Texas -vs- Bob Parr — Aggravated Assault — Friday Nov. 15, 1889,

Continued by Consent

6107 State of Texas vs Claud Griffin — Carrying Pistol — Friday Nov. 15, 1889,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Claud Griffin the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant Claud committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Saturday the 16 day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Saturday Morning Nov. 16, 1889 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5977 State of Texas -vs- Will Syms — Betting at Gaming Table Saturday Nov. 16, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will Syms, the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same,

6047 State of Texas -vs- A. G. Rittleman
Selling Beer on Sunday

6048 State of Texas -vs- Bob Wagoner
Selling Beer on Sunday

6057 State of Texas -vs- Bruce Lewis
Sending Threatening Letter

6059. State of Texas -vs- George Walker
Sending Threatening Letter

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Saturday the 16th day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6062 State of Texas vs Wm Donagan
Sending Threatining Letter

6049 State of Texas
-vs-
B. J. Houston
Aggravated Assault
Saturday Nov. 16. 1889,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant B. J. Houston the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6061 State of Texas
-vs-
Wm Donagan et al
Red the Painter
Sending a Threatining Letter
Saturday Nov. 16, 1889,

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: T. J. Maben and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty,

T. J. Maben, Foreman

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Saturday the 16th day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

It is therfore considerd and adjudgd by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Wm Donagan alias Reb the Painter go hence without day.

6102 State of Texas vs Bob Addington — Aggravated Assault — Saturday Nov. 16. 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty to simple assault Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars, It is therfore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Addington the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6106 State of Texas vs G. W. Hargrove Jr — Carrying Knuckles — Saturday Nov. 16, 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; John J. Ford and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess the fine at $25.00

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Saturday the 16, day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Guilty fine & Costs.

John J. Nash, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant G. W. Hargrove Jr the sum of Guilty fine dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant Hand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6106 State of Texas vs G. W. Hargrove Jr — Motion for New Trial Saturday Nov. 16, 1889,

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Motion, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

Court in session Wednesday Morning Nov. 20, 1889 present as on Saturday Nov, 16, 1889,

6066 City of Forth vs H. W. Hinkel — Intoxicated in Public Place Wednesday Nov. 20, 1889,

This day came the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City of Fort Worth also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One Dollar, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. W. Hinkel the sum of one Dollar fine assessed

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6067 City of Fort Worth vs H. W. Hinkle } Intoxicated in Public Place Wednesday Nov. 20, 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: John J. Hard and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn as the law directs and the complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here enlinds his plea of not Guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at Fifteen Dollars. John J. Hard, Foreman, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. W. Hinkle the sum of Fifteen Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6129 City of Fort Worth vs Z. Taylor } Selling on Sunday Wednesday Nov. 20, 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: John J. Hard and five others who were duly elected empaneled

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Wednesday the 20, day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:
"We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty
J. W. Parsley, Foreman
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant E. Taylor go hence without day.

6130 City of Fort Worth vs Tom Paine — Motion to Quash Complaint, Wednesday Nov. 20, 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the complaint herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

6131 City of Fort Worth vs W. P. Quigg — Motion to Quash Complaint, Wednesday Nov. 20, 1889,

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash complaint herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion, it is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Wednesday the 20, day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6138 City of Fort Worth vs Bell Liegall — Motion to Quash Complaint — Wednesday Nov. 20, 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the complaint herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion it is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

6023 City of Fort Worth vs Fannie Wards — Vagrancy — Wednesday Nov. 20, 1889.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; J. W. Parsley and five others who were duly elected empanneled and sworn according to law and the complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty as charged in the Indictment. J. W. Parsley, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Fannie Wards go hence without day,

6065 City of Fort Worth vs Long Lee — Abusive Language — Wednesday Nov. 20, 1889

Now comes the City attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same It is therefore considered and adjudged by the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Wednesday the 20, day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Court that the City of Fort Worth recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Long Ku go hence without day,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 oclock

Thursday Morning Nov. 21. 1889 Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5701 City of Fort Worth vs Emiline Gooden — Disturbing the Peace Thursday Nov. 21, 1889.

Now comes the City Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Emiline Gooden go hence without day,

5568 City of Fort Worth vs Melvina Butler — Disturbing the Peace Thursday Nov. 21, 1889.

Continued by Agreement

5370 5396 State of Texas -vs- W. E. Graves — Exhibiting Gaming Table, Bank Thursday Nov. 21, 1889

Continued by Consent

5715 State of Texas vs S. F. Camp — Aggravated Assault Thursday Nov. 21, 1889

Continued by Consent

5714 State of Texas vs Billy Rogers — Aggravated Assault Thursday Nov. 21, 1889 Continued by Deft

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Thursday the 21, day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5725 State of Texas vs Dud Fagg — Vagrancy
Thursday Nov. 21, 1889
Continued by State

5854 State of Texas vs Henry Willis — Aggravated Assault
Thursday Nov. 21, 1889,
Continued by Consent,

5946 State of Texas vs Ed Doan — Betting at game with Dice
Thursday Nov. 21, 1889
Continued by the State

5983 State of Texas vs J. H. McCathron — Carrying Pistols
Thursday Nov. 21, 1889
Continued by Consent

6046 State of Texas vs T. E. Ridgeway — Selling Beer on Sunday
Thursday Nov. 21, 1889,
Continued by State

6101 State of Texas vs Frank Carmine — Aggravated Assault
Thursday Nov. 21, 1889,
Alias and Continued

6106 State of Texas vs G. W. Hargrove Jr. — Carrying Knuckles
Thursday Nov. 21, 1889

6105 State of Texas vs Want Davis — Aggravated Assault
Thursday Nov. 21, 1889,
Continued by Consent

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Thursday the 21, day of November A. D. 18

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6117 State of Texas
6118 vs
6126 J. D. Andrews

Keeping Disorderly House
Thursday Nov. 21, 1889

Continued by Consent

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons files with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following case to wit;

5945 State of Texas -vs- Jim Smith
Selling Brandy Peaches on Sunday

6025 State of Texas vs Francis Simms
Aggravated Assault

6026 State of Texas vs Frank Simms
Aggravated Assault

6108 State of Texas -vs- Claud Griffin

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

5956 State of Texas
vs
Bob Stafford, et al

Adultery
Thursday Nov. 21, 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men; John Walker and four others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant Bob Stafford now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Bob Stafford Not Guilty.

John Walker. Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Thursday the 21 day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Bob Stafford, go hence without day,

6024 State of Texas vs W. D. Daugherty — Aggravated Assault — Thursday Nov. 21, 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit W. J. Castleberry and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enlinds his plea of Not Guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty W. J. Castleberry, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant W. D. Daugherty go hence without day,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Friday Morning Nov. 22, 1889 Court met at 9 o'clo A Pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5923, 5926, 5929, 5931, 5932 State of Texas vs Frank Harrison — Selling Liquor in Local Option Precinct — Friday Nov. 22, 1889

Continued by State

5985 State of Texas vs Henry Gray — Exhibiting Gaming Table and Bank — Friday Nov. 22, 1889,

Continued by Consent

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Friday the 22 day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5933 State of Texas vs G. G. Pate — Selling Whiskey in Local Option Precinct
Friday Nov. 22, 1889,
Continued by State

6074 State of Texas vs John Morse — Aggravated Assault
Friday Nov. 22, 1889,
Continued by Consent

6114, 6115, 6116, State of Texas vs H. J. Doherty — Selling Beer on Sunday
Friday Nov. 22, 1889,
Continued by Consent

6018 City of Fort Worth vs E. Krohn — Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday
Friday Nov. 22, 1889,
Continued by Consent

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein does not desire further to prosecute the following cases to wit and moves the Court to dismiss the same

5751 State of Texas -vs- Sarah Johnson
Aggravated Assault

5976 State of Texas -vs- Jim Hill
Selling Brandy Peaches on Sunday

6099 State of Texas -vs- Jack Blount
Aggravated Assault

6098 State of Texas vs Jack Blount
Aggravated Assault

6116 State of Texas vs Frank Shirk
Selling Whiskey and Beer on Sunday

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of these prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Friday the 22, day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6113 State of Texas vs H. P. Shirk — Keeping open on Sunday
Friday Nov. 22, 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. W. Parsley and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Twenty Dollars."

J. W. Parsley, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. P. Shirk the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

6111 State of Texas vs Frank Shirk — Carrying Pistol
Friday Nov. 22, 1889.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. W. Parsley and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Friday the 22, day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

into open Court the following verdict to wit;
"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at Twenty five dollars fine, J. W. Porely, Foreman
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Shirk the sum of Twenty five dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6127 State of Texas vs H. O. Shirk } Setting Over on Sunday Friday Nov. 22, 1889,

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; C. H. Putnam and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess the fine at Twenty Dollars, C. H. Putnam, Foreman
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. O. Shirk the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Friday the 22d day of November A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6017 City of Fort Worth vs Will Carrile } Selling Beer on Sunday
Friday Nov. 22, 1889

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. H. Parsley and five others who were duly, elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the complaint being read to the jury, the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court and announced that they could not agree, And it appearing to the Court that said jury has been kept together for a sufficient length of time, It is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged and that this cause stand for trial in its regular order upon the Docket of this Court,

Court in Session Nov. 29, 1889 present as on the 22nd day of Nov. 1889,

5873 State of Texas vs. D. Cameron } Carrying Pistol
Friday Nov. 29, 1889.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Friday the 29 day of November A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs and paid in full,

6171 State of Texas vs Pink Barrett } Carrying Pistol Tuesday Dec. 3, 1889,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

5884 State of Texas -vs- Edward Müller } Motion for New Trial Friday November 22nd 1889

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a New Trial herein. And the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said Motion be and the same is overruled to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas. And the Court now here fixes the amount of the Recognizance that shall be given

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

the day of A. D. 18

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

at the sum of Two Hundred Dollars.

5884 The State of Texas } Recognizance
-vs- } Friday November 22nd 1889
Edward Müller }

This day came into open Court Edward Müller defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with D. Diefee and W. J. Dougherty his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to The State of Texas in the penal sum of Two Hundred Dollars; conditioned that the said Edward Muller who stands charged in this Court with the offence of "Unlawfully on Sunday selling to one John Evans and to other persons to affiant unknown certain drinks of whiskey and beer, said Muller being then and there a retail liquor dealer" and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgement of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case

6127 State of Texas } Motion for New Trial
-vs- } Monday December 2nd 1889
D. P. Diefee }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a New Trial herein. And the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion. It is therefore ordered that said motion be and the same is overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of Appeal to The Court of Appeals of The State of Texas.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Friday the 6th day of December A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6179

State of Texas
-vs-
William Coleman

Vagrancy
Friday December 6th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant William Coleman in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for triae. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant William Coleman the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6158

State of Texas
-vs-
Sam Geer

Saturday December 7th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Friday the 6" day of December A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

and from the Defendant Sam Geer, the sum of Twenty-five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6191 State of Texas -vs- Arthur E Scott } Vagrancy Monday December 9" 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Arthur E Scott the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6192 State of Texas -vs- Henry Moore } Vagrancy Monday December 9" 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 9th day of December A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Henry Ward the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that ~~execution issue for the use of the Officers~~ said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5819

State of Texas
-vs-
Robt. Granbury

} Aggravated Assault
Monday December 9th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Robt. Granbury the sum of Twenty-five dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Tuesday the 10" day of December A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6214 State of Texas -vs- Geo. Williams } Vagrancy
Tuesday December 10" 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo. Williams the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that ~~execution issue for the use of the officers~~ said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6204 State of Texas -vs- George Lockett } Carrying a Pistol.
Tuesday December 10" 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No jury having been demanded the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Tuesday the 10" day of December A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Lockett the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6185 State of Texas -vs- Spencer Wilder } Aggravated Assault
Friday December 13/89

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a ✓ fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Spencer Wilder the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6227 ✓ State of Texas -vs- Chas. McCormick } Vagrancy
Friday December 13" 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Friday the 13" day of December A. D. 18 89.

trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas McComick the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6228

State of Texas
-vs-
William Russell
} Vagrancy
Friday December 13" 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant William Russell the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Tuesday the 24th day of December A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

6239

State of Texas
-vs-
Frank Phelps
} Vagrancy
Tuesday December 24th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Phelps the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Fine Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

~~6238.~~
6240

State of Texas
-vs-
John Thomas
} Vagrancy
Tuesday December 24th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Thomas the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6241 State of Texas -vs- Chas. Nesh } Tuesday December 24" 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $ 1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas. Nesh the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6242 State of Texas -vs- Frank Wilson } Vagrancy Tuesday December 24" 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No jury having been demanded the matters of fact as

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Tuesday the 24th day of December A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Wilson the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6243

State of Texas } Vagrancy
-vs- } Tuesday December 24th 1889
Frank Moses }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Moses the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Tuesday the 24th day of December A. D. 1889

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6244

State of Texas } Vagrancy
-vs-
O. C Smith } Tuesday December 25th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant O. C Smith the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6245

State of Texas } Vagrancy
-vs-
Frank Cronin } Tuesday December 24th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the Matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Tuesday the 24th day of December A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Frank Cowin the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incured for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

62246

State of Texas -vs- John Lane } Vagrancy Tuesday December 24th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Lane the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incured for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

62248

State of Texas -vs- Charley Williams } Carrying a Pistol Tuesday December 24th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No Jury having been demanded the matters of fact as

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Tuesday the 24th day of December A. D. 1889

well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charley Williams the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6249 Ex Parte Julius Pigg } Habeas Corpus
Tuesday December 24th 1889

This day came the County Attorney representing the State of Texas also came the Defendant both in person and by Attorney. And the Court after hearing the evidence adduced orders that the Relator Julius Pigg be remanded to the custody of the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas.

6132 State of Texas -vs- Will Ray } Theft.
Monday December 30th 1889

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $1.00 and 6 hours in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1889

Monday the 30" day of December A. D. 1889

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

and recover of and from the Defendant Will Ray the sum of One Dollar Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said Fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of six hours and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

3127 T. W. Howe
-vs-
Issy Landa } Tuesday December 31" 1889

Ordered by the Court that Defendant have ten days after the adjournment of this Term of the Court, within which to prepare and file Statement of facts herein.

Annie Thomas
-vs-
Tex & Pac. Ry Co } Tuesday December 31" 1889

Ordered by the Court that Defendant have ten days after the adjournment of this Term of the Court within which to prepare and file Statement of facts in this case.

O. B. Farnesworth
-vs-
Tex & Pac. Ry Co } Tuesday December 31" 1889

Ordered by the Court that Defendant have ten days after the adjournment of this Term of the Court within which to prepare and file Statement of facts in this case.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 18 89

Wednesday the 1st day of January A. D. 18 90

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6252

State of Texas Aggravated Assault
-vs- Wednesday January 1st 1890
John Jones

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant is not of the age of twenty-one years, but about 16 years and said Defendant having now here entered his plea of Guilty of Simple Assault the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Jones the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and Costs are paid in full

Wednesday January 1st 1890
Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in Course

W. D. Harris,
County Judge

Attest: John R King County Clerk

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Monday the 6" day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in January A. D. 1890 the same being the Sixth day of January A. D. 1890 there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court within and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, Present the Hon. W. D. Harris, County Judge, Presiding, John P. King, County Clerk, B. F. Ayres, County Attorney and J. C. Richardson, Sheriff of said County, when and where the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court to-wit;

Venire Facias

Now comes J. C. Richardson, Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into open Court the Venire Facias for this the first week of the January Term 1890 of the County Court of Tarrant County and upon the jurors name thereon being called four qualified jurors answered to wit; John Johnson Green Trimble, John E. Kearney and Johnathan Leach who were all tried and sworn and placed upon the panel for the week, And it appearing to the Court that the panel is incomplete, it was ordered that the Sheriff do summon sufficient qualified jurors to fill the said panel. And the said Sheriff after being sworn as the law directs returns into open Court the following qualified jurors to wit; John Christopher, T. B. Conklin, Geo W. Cullup, F. F. Rodgers, S. Majors, Geo Anderson, J. C. Haygood, C. C. Henry and Chas Mitchell all of whom were duly tried and sworn and placed upon the panel for the week,

— Filed —

It appearing to the Court that the Sheriff has been unable to arrest the Defendants in the following cases. It is ordered by the Court that said cases be filed until such time as the Defendants therein can be arrested to wit;

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Monday the 6 day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5525 State of Texas vs Bob Anderson
Carrying Pistol

5526 State of Texas vs Lige Anderson
Carrying Pistol

5635 State of Texas vs Dolph Gary
Carrying Pistol

5676 State of Texas vs Joe Wasley
Playing Cards in Gaming House

5677 State of Texas vs Joe Wasley
Theft under $20

5733 State of Texas vs Mack St Clair
Selling Beer on Sunday

5747 State of Texas vs Mack St Clair
Selling Beer on Sunday

5762 State of Texas vs W. A. Campbell
Disturbing the Peace

5778 State of Texas vs Frank Banks
Theft under $20,

5805 State of Texas vs Boyd Miller
Theft under $20

5807 State of Texas vs Walter McGuire
Aggravated Assault

5810 State of Texas vs Robt W. Clough
Aggravated Assault

5820 State of Texas vs Ed Moriarity
Theft under $20,

5824 State of Texas vs Nat Cross
Theft under $20,

5826 State of Texas vs Cone
Aggravated Assault

— Alias Capias ordered Continued —

Ordered that alias capias issue for the Defendants in the following cases returnable to the next term of this Court and that said cases be continued to writ:

5194 State of Texas vs Sam McConnell
Playing Cards in Public Place

5263 State of Texas vs Willis Washington
Aggravated Assault

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Monday the 6" day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

5515 State of Texas vs Jim Calling
Vagrancy

5638 State of Texas -vs- W. B. Gregory
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

5666 State of Texas vs John O. Dalton
Establishing a Gaming

5753 State of Texas -vs- Jan Lee
Disorderly House

5817 State of Texas vs Tom Sealin
Slander

5827 State of Texas vs Will Taylor
Assault

5830 State of Texas vs Will Fisher
Aggravated Assault

5870 State of Texas vs Bill Allenbury
Escaping from Employer

5872 State of Texas vs Martin Tally
Vagrancy

5876 State of Texas vs J. M. Speck
Aggravated Assault

5919 State of Texas vs Felipe Marian
Carrying Pistol

5920 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling whisky in Local Option Town

5922 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling whisky in Local Option Town

5924 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling whisky in Local Option Town

5927 State of Texas vs Jack Martin
Aggravated Assault

5928 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5934 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5936 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5938 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling whisky in Local Option Town

5939 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling whisky in Local Option Town

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Monday the 6th day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5955 State of Texas vs C. S. Landrey
Aggravated Assault

5958 State of Texas vs Bill Taylor
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

5969 State of Texas vs - Amos Huckleberry
Injuring and Defacing Public Building

5970 State of Texas vs B. H. Williams, et al
Adultery

5978 State of Texas vs John Byron
Betting at Gaming Table & Bank

5992 State of Texas vs Tom Allen
Theft under $20.

5995 State of Texas vs Will Estes
Playing Cards in Public Place

6005 State of Texas vs W. C. Myrick
Playing Cards in Public Place

6033 State of Texas Julia Rassa
Selling Whisky on Sunday

6034 State of Texas vs Julia Rassa
Selling Whisky on Sunday

6035 State of Texas -vs- Julia Rassa
Selling Whisky on Sunday

6064 State of Texas vs Aggie Thompson
Disturbing Religious Worship

6073 State of Texas vs J. H. Traylor
Theft under $20.

6076 State of Texas vs Sidney
Theft under $20.

6101 State of Texas vs Frank Carvine
Aggravated Assault

6254 State of Texas vs Chas Johnson
Aggravated Assault

6255 State of Texas vs Chas Johnson
Aggravated Assault.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this following cause and moves the Court to dismiss the same to-wit:

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Monday the 6th day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers

5313 State of Texas vs John Maulden
Carrying Pistal

5297 State of Texas vs Jno Weatbrook
Theft under $20

5683 State of Texas vs- Jno Lowrey
Disturbing the Peace

5763 State of Texas vs Geo Baker
Theft under $20,

6063 State of Texas vs Ed Falsom
Carrying Pistal

6224 State of Texas vs Fannie Anderson
Aggravated Assault

6230 State of Texas vs J. D. Andrews
Selling Whiskey without paying tax

5834 State of Texas vs R. J. Rice
Aggravated Assault

5835 State of Texas vs Bob Rice
Aggravated Assault

5836 State of Texas vs Bud Dunn
Aggravated Assault

5837 State of Texas vs Bud Dunn
Aggravated assault.

5838 State of Texas vs Bud Dunn
Aggravated Assault

5987 State of Texas -vs- Will White
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

6046 State of Texas vs T. E. Ridgeway
Selling Bar on Sunday

6063 State of Texas vs Ed Falsom
Carrying Pistal

6168 State of Texas vs John Burk
Theft under $20,

6219 State of Texas vs A. Liberda
Selling Bar on Sunday

6226 State of Texas vs N. Goldstein
Assault

6267 State of Texas vs Chas Schuller
Selling on Sunday

It is there considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these prosecutions and the said Defendants go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Monday the 6th day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Continued by Consent

5729 State of Texas vs John Vaughn
Playing Dice in Public Place

5730 State of Texas vs J. O'Brien
Playing Dice in Public Place

6258 State of Texas vs J. M. Wyse
Malicious Mischief

6112 State of Texas vs J. M. Armstrong
Assault

6113 State of Texas vs J. M. Armstrong
Abusive Language

6189 State of Texas of James Vaughn
Carrying Pistol

5773 State of Texas vs C. C. Longmire — Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday Monday Jany 6th. 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant C. C. Longmire the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Monday the 6th day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers

5994 State of Texas vs C. C. Longmire — Selling Whisky and Beer on Sunday

Monday Janu 6, 1890,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant C. C. Longmire the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in case no. 5993 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs ~~are~~ in this case are paid in full.

6257 State of Texas vs J. W. Wyse — Carrying Pistols

Monday Janu 6, 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. W. Wyse, the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs and paid in full.

6268 State of Texas vs Sam Lowe — Carrying Pistol — Monday Janu 6". 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Sam Lowe, the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6222 State of Texas vs Julius Rigg — Aggravated Assault — Monday Janu 6. 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit J. H. Leach and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury, the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Monday the 6th day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

J. H. Leach, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Julius Rigg, go hence without day.

6223 State of Texas vs Charity Rose — Aggravated Assault — Monday Jany 6, 1890

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. H. Leach and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered her plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the members of the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

J. H. Leach, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Charity Rose go hence without day.

Ordered that this Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning Jany 7, 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Tuesday the 7th day of January A. D. 1890

5725 State of Texas vs Dud Fagg — Vagrancy

Tuesday Jany 7, 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: George Anderson and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty

George Anderson, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Dud Fagg go hence without day.

6119 State of Texas vs Wm Ward et al — Disturbing the Peace

6120 Wm Ward et al vs Dascomb McBee

Tuesday Jany 7, 1890.

Continued by the State

6225 State of Texas vs J. Wark — Assault

Tuesday Jany 7, 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: George Anderson and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Tuesday the 7 day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

entered his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty"

George Anderson, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant J. Marks go hence without day.

6261 State of Texas vs John Martin } Theft under $20. Tuesday Jany 7, 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; J. K. Leach, and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the jury retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury upon a plea of guilty find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at ten days imprisonment and ten dollars fine.

J. K. Leach, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Martin, the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Tuesday the 7th day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

6266 State of Texas vs Chas Schuller } Filing on Sunday Tuesday Jany 7, 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas Schuller, the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that this Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Wednesday Morning Jany 8, 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock Pursuant to adjournment Present as on yesterday,

5960 State of Texas vs Dick White } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank Wednesday Jany 8, 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of facts as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Wednesday the 8th day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and ten days in Jail It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will White the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5961 State of Texas vs Henry Hubert } Selling Liquor in Local Option Precinct Wednesday Jany 8, 1890

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon came on to be heard the Defendants motion to Quash the Information herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

5962 State of Texas vs Henry Hubert } Selling Liquor in Local Option Precinct Wednesday Jany 8, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion to Quash the Information herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Wednesday the 8th day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5963 State of Texas vs Henry Hubert } Selling Liquor in Local Option Town } Wednesday Jany 8th 1890

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon came on to be heard the Defendants motion to Quash the Information herein, the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the Opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained

5964 State of Texas vs Henry Hubert } Selling Liquor in Local Option Precinct } Wednesday Jany 8, 1890

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon came on to be heard the Defendants motion to Quash the Information herein, the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

5965 State of Texas vs Henry Hubert } Selling Liquor in Local Option Precinct } Wednesday Jany 8, 1890

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon came on to be heard the Defendants motion to Quash the Information herein the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

6125 State of Texas vs A. E. Le Bow } Selling Liquor in Local Option Precinct } Wednesday Jany 8. 1890

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon came on to be heard the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Wednesday the 8th day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Defendants motion to Quash the Information and Complaint herein, the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion, it is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained

6143 State of Texas vs Henry Hubert } Selling Liquor in Local Option Precinct
Wednesday Jany 8, 1890,

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to Quash the Information herein the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and said cause dismissed,

6144 State of Texas vs Henry Hubert } Selling Liquor in Local Option Precinct
Wednesday Jany 8, 1890,

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion to Quash the Information herein the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained

6200 State of Texas vs Conrad Schmidt } Keeping Place of Business open on Sunday for Traffic
Wednesday Jany 8, 1890,

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; J. H. Leach and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Wednesday the 8th day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and place his fine at forty dollars,

J. H. Leach, Foreman "

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Conrad Schmidt, the sum of Forty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6201 State of Texas vs Conrad Schmidt } Felony Bur on Sunday Wednesday Janu 8, 1890,

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: T. F. Rodgers, and five others who were duly elected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at Twenty Dollars.

T. F. Rodgers, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Wednesday the 8th day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in case No. 6200 the said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6207 State of Texas vs Conrad Schmidt } Selling Beer on Sunday

Wednesday June 8, 1890

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: G. W. Cullup, and five others who were duly elected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty

G. W. Cullup, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

6235 } State of Texas vs J. H. White } Keeping House open on Sunday
6236 } Wednesday June 8, 1890

Continued by Consent

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Wednesday the 8th day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6250 State of Texas vs Gordon Quarles — Aggravated Assault — Wednesday Janu 8, 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. H. Leach and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

J. H. Leach, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Gordon Quarles go hence without day.

6271 State of Texas vs John Burk — Vagrancy — Wednesday Janu 8, 1890.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. H. Leach and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of Not Guilty Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and place his fine at three dollars. J. H. Leach, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County January Term, 1890

Wednesday the 8, day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Burk the sum of Three Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5716 State of Texas vs Will White — Vagrancy

Wednesday Janu. 8, 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the writing of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One Dollar, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will White, the sum of one dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that this Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Thursday Morning Janu 9, 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Thursday the 9th day of January A. D. 1890

riaters, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5714 State of Texas
vs
Billy Rogers

Aggravated Assault
Thursday Jany 9, 1890

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Charles Mitchell and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty of Simple assault and assess his fine at $5.00 five dollars.

Charles Mitchell, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Billy Rogers the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same to wit;

5715 State of Texas vs S. F. Camp
Aggravated Assault

6418 State of Texas vs J. D. Andrews
Disorderly House

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Thursday the 9" day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

6126 State of Texas vs J. D. Andrews
Keeping Disorderly House

6213 State of Texas vs One Gallon Negro 5ft 11in high
Selling Whiskey on Sunday
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

6253 State of Texas vs Gib Randall } Aggravated Assault
Thursday Janu 9, 1890

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: George Anderson and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty" George Anderson, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Gib Randall go hence without day.

6259 State of Texas vs H. C. Smith } Malicious Mischief
Thursday Janu 9, 1890.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon came on to be heard the Defendant's motion to Quash the Information herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion, It is therefore

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Thursday the 9th day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

6262 State of Texas vs Pat Strong — Aggravated Assault — Thursday Jany 9, 1890

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. H. Leach and five others who were duly selected impaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess his fine at Twenty five dollars,

J. H. Leach, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Pat Strong the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6117 State of Texas vs G. D. Andrews — Keeping a Disorderly House — Thursday Jany 9, 1890

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: George Anderson and five others who were duly selected impaneled and sworn according

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Thursday the 9 day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty" Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

George Anderson, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant J. D. Andrews go hence without day,

6140 State of Texas vs Jake Johnson — Playing Cards in Public Place. Thursday Jany 9, 1890

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jake Johnson the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Thursday the 9th day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6221 State of Texas vs A. Lebeda — Driving Bus on Sunday

Thursday Jany 9, 1890.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficint reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant A. Lebeda go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Friday Morning Jany 10, 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5253 State of Texas vs Jack Coleman — Carrying Pistol

Friday Jany 10, 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: T. F. Rodgers and five others who were duly elected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty" T. F. Rodgers, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Friday the 10th day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Jack Coleman go hence without day,

5905 State of Texas vs E. C. Agee } Selling Beer on Sunday Friday Jany 10, 1890

Continued by the State,

State of Texas vs J. S. Andrews } Selling Beer on Sunday Friday Jany 10, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: George Anderson and five others who were duly elected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty.

George Anderson, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant J. S. Andrews go hence without day,

6139 State of Texas vs Charles Hadley } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank Friday Jany 10. 1890

√

This day come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6137 State of Texas vs George Andrews — Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank — Friday Jany 10, 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Andrews the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6146 State of Texas vs Geo G. Hill — Friday Jany 10, 1890

6147

Continued by the State,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County January Term, 1890

Friday the 10th day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6156 State of Texas vs Charles Hadley } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank
Friday Jany 10, 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charles Hadley the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 6139 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

6220 State of Texas vs A. Lebeda } Selling Beer on Sunday
Friday Jany 10, 1890.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: T. F. Rodgers and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to and the Information being ready to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty, T. F. Rodgers, Foreman, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Friday the 10" day of January A. D. 1890

Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant A. Lebeda go hence without day,

6265 State of Texas vs Jesse Reeves } Vagrancy
Friday Jany 10, 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant not having entered her plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jesse Reeves the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further orders that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6272 State of Texas vs Josie Belmont } Vagrancy
Friday Jany 10, 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now then entered her plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Josie Belmont the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6273 State of Texas vs Madam Porter } Vagrancy
Friday Jany 10, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Madam Porter the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6274 State of Texas vs Gracie Lane } Vagrancy
Friday Jany 10, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and announced ready for trial, a jury

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Friday the 10 day of January A. D. 1890

being waived the making of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters her plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Difendant Gracie Lane, the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Saturday Morning Jany 11, 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

6138 State of Texas vs George Andrews } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank Saturday Jany 11, 1890,

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Andrews the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs and said in full,

Ordered that this Court do now adjourn till Monday Morning at 9 oclock.

Monday Morning Jany 13, 1890 Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

Continued by Consent

5792 State of Texas vs Jas Wilbanks
Aggravated Assault

5793 State of Texas vs R. T. Hare
Aggravated Assault

6141 State of Texas vs Jake Johnson
Playing Cards in Public Place

6270 State of Texas vs Luke Shank
Carrying Pistol

5794 State of Texas vs Jas Wilbanks
Carrying Pistol

Now comes the County Attorney ~~prosecuting~~ the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following case and moves the Court to dismiss the same as follows; to wit;

5915 State of Texas vs Idus Emrick
Co Convict Escaping from Employer

5946 State of Texas vs Ed Sloan
Betting at Game with Dice

6174 State of Texas vs George Wallis
Selling on Sunday

6216 State of Texas vs Robt Pullen
Selling Whisky on Sunday

6217 State of Texas vs Robert Pullen
Selling Beer on Sunday

6218 State of Texas vs Robt Pullen
Selling Whisky on Sunday

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Monday the 13" day of January A. D. 1890

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

6121 State of Texas } Disturbing the Peace
vs
Ben Mitchell & } Monday Jany 13, 1890.
Al Thompson }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; N. S. Lamy and five others who were duly elected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant Al Thompson withdrew his plea of Not Guilty Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;
"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty.
N. S. Lamy, Foreman,
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Al Thompson go hence without day.

6121 State of Texas } Disturbing the Peace
vs
Ben Mitchell & } Monday Jany 13, 1890.
Al Thompson }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit Jas McAlvie and five others who were duly elected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant Ben Mitchell

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

now here enter his plea of not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant Not Guilty,

Jas McClure, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Ben Mitchell go hence without day,

6172 State of Texas vs Geo Wallis } Selling Whiskey on Sunday

Monday Jany 13, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: T. N. Pearce and five others who were duly, elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict: "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

T. N. Pearce, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this Prosecution and that the Defendant George Wallis go hence without day."

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Monday the 13, day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6172 State of Texas vs George Wallis } Selling Whisky on Sunday

Monday Jany 13, 1890.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came the. a jury of good and lawful men to wit: T. N. Pearce, and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at Twenty Dollars,

T. N. Pearce, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Wallis the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6188 State of Texas vs W. H. Ward } Selling Whisky on Sunday

Monday Jany 13, 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the making of facts as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Monday the 13th day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. H. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6189

State of Texas
vs
W. H. Ward

Selling Whiskey on Sunday
Monday Jany 13, 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. H. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 6188 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Monday the 13th day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6190 State of Texas vs W. H. Ward } Keeping House open for traffic on Sunday

Monday Jany 13, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the hearing of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now withdrew his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. H. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cases No. 6188 & 6189 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

6262 State of Texas vs Pat Lewis } Motion for New Trial

Monday Jany 13, 1890.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Monday the 13" day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

6201

State of Texas
vs
Conrad Schmidt

Motion for New Trial
Monday Jany 13, 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new Trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

6173

State of Texas
vs
George Wallis

Motion for New Trial
Monday Jany 13, 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for new trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the Court Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning Jany 14. 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Tuesday the 14th day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same So writ:

6074 State of Texas vs John Morse
Aggravated Assault

6178 State of Texas vs Mrs Tom Clark
Aggravated Assault

6276 State of Texas vs Ernest Kierach
Theft under $20.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day,

6150 State of Texas vs N. B. Burr & N. R. Burr — Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday
Tuesday Jany 14, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendants in their own proper person and both pleading guilty to the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendants now here entered their plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed their punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars each, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendants N. B. Burr and N. R. Burr the sum of Twenty Dollars each together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendants stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Tuesday the 14th day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6153 State of Texas vs W. B. Burr & W. R. Burr } Selling Whiskey and Bar on Sunday

Tuesday Jany 14, 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the suit of the State also came the Defendants in their own proper person and both starting announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendants now here entered their plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed their punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars each, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of from the Defendants W. B. Burr and W. R. Burr the sum of Twenty Dollars each together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 6150 said Defendants stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full,

6180 State of Texas vs Abe Dean } Selling Beer on Sunday

Tuesday Jany 14, 1890

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the Defendant not Guilty, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Abe Dean go hence without day,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Tuesday the 14th day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6276 State of Texas vs Ernest Murraugh & Wm Murraugh — Theft under $20, Tuesday Jany 14, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: H. Hack and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant Wm Murraugh now here enters his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at (60) sixty days imprisonment. H. Hack, Foreman".

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Defendant Wm Murraugh be confined in the County Jail for the full period of sixty days and that all costs accrued therein are paid in full.

6279 State of Texas vs Mrs Tom Clark — Aggravated Assault, Tuesday Jany 14, 1890.

This day came the Parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit W. T. Grant and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being ready to the jury the Defendant now here enters her plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Tuesday the 14th day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Following which to wit,
"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty of a simple assault and assess her punishment at a fine of Five ($5.00) dollars.
W. T. Grant, Foreman
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mrs Tom Clark the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.
Further ordered by the Court that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

6142 State of Texas vs Frank Shiel — Selling Whisky & Beer on Sunday

Tuesday Jany 14, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the trying of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Shiel the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that this Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Wednesday Morning Jany 15, 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock Pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Wednesday the 15th day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same to-wit:

6010 State of Texas vs E. L. Shileman
Selling Beer on Sunday

6205 State of Texas vs J. J. Hatchett
Selling Gin on Sunday

6206 State of Texas vs J. J. Hatchett
Selling Whisky on Sunday

6237 State of Texas vs Eb Ayers
Permitting House to be open on Sunday

6238 State of Texas vs Eb Ayers
Selling on Sunday

6264 State of Texas vs Emline Gaulin
Disorderly House

6186 State of Texas vs Frank Carter
Theft under $50.

6208 State of Texas vs Dan Garvey
Selling Beer on Sunday

6211 State of Texas vs Dan Garvey
Selling Beer on Sunday

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the said Defendants go hence without day.

6106 State of Texas vs G. W. Hargrove Jr } Carrying Knuckles
Wednesday Jany 15, 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. T. Grant and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Wednesday the 15th day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit! "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

W. T. Grant, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant G. W. Hargrove Jr go hence without day.

6207 State of Texas vs J. J. Hatchell } Selling Whisky & Beer on Sunday Wednesday Jany 15, 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the hearing of facts as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. J. Hatchell the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed, as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that This Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Thursday Morning Jany 16, 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Thursday the 16" day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6195 State of Texas vs Dan Andrews } Driving Beer on Sunday
Thursday Jany 16, 1890

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: H. Hack and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at Twenty Dollars.

H. Hack. Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dan Andrews the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6210 State of Texas vs Dan Garvey } Permitting Place of Business open on Sunday
Thursday Jany 16, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Thursday the 16th day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dan Garvey the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cause no 6209. that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full, in this case

6229 State of Texas vs Jim Smith } Aggravated Assault
Thursday Jany 16, 1890.
Continued by Consent.

6133 State of Texas vs John Loyd } Theft under $20.
Thursday Jany 16, 1890.

This day the above cause being called for trial, and it appearing to the Court that subpoenas in this case duly issued by the clerk of the County Court and placed on Nov 26. 1889 in the hands of J. C. Richardson, Sheriff, have not been served or returned by said Sheriff, It is therefore ordered by the Court that a fine of Twenty Dollars be assessed against J. C. Richardson said Sheriff and that citation issue commanding said J. C. Richardson Sheriff as aforesaid to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in March A. D. 1890 and show cause why this judgment should not be made final.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Thursday the 16, day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6135 State of Texas vs Nick Estis } Theft under $20. Thursday Jany 16, 1890.

This day the above cause being called for trial, and it appearing to the Court that subpoenas in this case duly issued by the Clerk of the County Court and placed in the hands of J. C. Richardson, Sheriff, on Nov 26, 1889 have not been served or returned by said Sheriff. It is therefore ordered by the Court that a fine of Twenty five Dollars be assessed against J. C. Richardson said Sheriff and that citation issue commanding said J. C. Richardson, Sheriff as aforesaid to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in March A. D. 1891 and show cause why this judgment should not be made final.

6136 State of Texas vs Denny Layde } Theft under $20. Thursday Jany 16, 1890

This day the above cause being called for trial and it appearing to the Court that subpoenas in this case duly issuing by the Clerk of the County Court and placed in the hands of J. C. Richardson, Sheriff on Nov. 26, 1889, have not been served or returned by said Sheriff. It is therefore ordered by the Court that a fine of Twenty five Dollars be assessed against J. C. Richardson said Sheriff and that citation issue commanding said J. C. Richardson, Sheriff as aforesaid to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in March A. D. 1891 and show cause why this judgment should not be made final.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Thursday the 16. day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock,

Friday Morning Jany 17, 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

6283 State of Texas } Assault
vs }
F. E. Robinson } Friday Jany 17, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: G. W. Chapman and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at Twenty five Dollars ($25.00)

G. W. Chapman, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant F. E. Robinson, the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Friday the 17 day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow Morning at 9 o'clock

Saturday Morning Jany 18, 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock Pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

582.5 State of Texas vs Fredrick Dailey — Aggravated Assault — Saturday Jany 18, 1890 — Continued by Consent

5979 State of Texas vs Ellen White / Julia White — Theft under $20. — Saturday Jany 18, 1890. — Continued for absence of witness

6095 State of Texas vs William Robinson — Disturbing the Peace — Saturday Jany 18, 1890 — Continued by agreement

6124 State of Texas vs John Wing — Keeping open on Sunday — Saturday Jany 18, 1890, — This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Jas McClure and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Forty $ 40. dollars

Jas McClure, Foreman,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Saturday the 18 day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John King the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

~ Venire Facias ~

Now comes J. C. Richardson, Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into open Court the Venire Facias for this the Second week of the January Term 1890 of the County Court of Tarrant County and upon the jurors name thereon being called two qualified jurors answered to wit: J. M. Henderson Jr and T. N. Pearce who were all tried and sworn and placed upon the panel for the week, and it appearing to the Court that the panel is incomplete it was ordered that the Sheriff do summon sufficient qualified jurors to fill the said panel And the said Sheriff after being sworn as the law directs returns into open Court the following qualified jurors to wit: Jas McClure, J. R. Dunkins, H. Hack, N. S. Lamy, M. H. Gamage, G. W. Chapman, E. H. Taylor Jas Taylor, W. D. Grant, H. L. Aston, W. D. Ferris and Mitch Plummer all of whom were duly tried and sworn and placed upon the panel for the week,

6173 State of Texas vs George Wallis } Recognizance Tuesday Jany 14, 1890

This day came into open Court George Wallis Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with B. F. Wallace and C. J. Swasey his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

final sum of One Hundred dollars, conditioned that the said George Wallace who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "Unlawfully on Sunday sell to one Walter Lee one bottle of whiskey said George Wallis being then and there a retail liquor dealer" and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same, and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case,

6145 State of Texas vs John Lenari } Disturbing the Peace
Saturday Jany 18, 1890
Continued by Agreement

6164 6171 State of Texas vs Sam Evans } Aggravated Assault
Saturday Jany 18, 1890
Continued by Consent

6175 State of Texas vs John Hines } Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday
Saturday Jany 18, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to Quash the Information herein and the Argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled to which ruling of the Court the Defendants excepts,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Saturday the 18th day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

6175 State of Texas vs John King } Selling Whiskey on Sunday

Saturday Jany 18, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Joseph McClure and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant guilty & assess his punishment at a fine of Thirty ($30.00) Dollars

Joseph McClure. Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John King the sum of Thirty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 6124 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full,

✓

6209 State of Texas vs Dan Garvey } Selling Beer on Sunday

Thursday Jany 16, 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Jos McClure and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the

✓

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

the day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find Defendant guilty and assess fine at Twenty Dollars,

Jas McClure, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dan Garvey the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6209 State of Texas } Recognizance
vs } Friday Jany 17, 1890
Dan Garvey }

This day came into open Court Dan Garvey, Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with Martin Casey and Jamis Liston this entitle acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Hundred Dollars, conditioned that the said Dan Garvey who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "Unlawfully on Sunday sell to one Albert Prince certain drinks of Beer the said Dan Garvey being then and there a retail liquor dealer" And who has been convicted of said offense in this Court, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this cause,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Saturday the 18th day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6181 State of Texas vs Phillip Tyran } Aggravated Assault
Saturday Jany 18, 1890
Continued by Agreement

6183 State of Texas vs J. H. White } Selling Beer on Sunday
Saturday Jany 18, 1890
This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Jos McClure and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;
"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"
Joseph McClure, Foreman
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant J. H. White go hence without day.

6184 State of Texas vs Jim Dixon } Vagrancy
Saturday Jany 18, 1890
Continued by Agreement

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following case and moves the Court to dismiss the same as follows to wit;

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Saturday the 18 day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6231 State of Texas vs Abe Dean
Selling Beer on Sunday

6232 State of Texas vs Abe Dean
Selling Beer on Sunday

6233 State of Texas vs Abe Dean.
Selling Beer on Sunday

6234 State of Texas vs Abe Dean
Permitting House to be open on Sunday

6240 State of Texas vs Thos Hargrave
Carrying Pistol

6246 State of Texas vs Chas Neimeyer
Perjury

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason these Prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday morning at 9 o'clock

Monday Morning Jany 20, 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock Pursuant to adjournment Present as on Saturday,

Venire Facias

Came on J. C. Richardson, Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into open Court the Venire Facias for this the third week of the January Term 1890 of the County Court of Tarrant County and upon the juror name thereon being called two qualified jurors answered to wit; P. H. Murry and W. A. Turner who were tried and sworn and placed upon the panel for the week And it appearing to the Court that the panel is incomplete, it was ordered that the Sheriff do summon sufficient qualified jurors to fill the said panel, And the said Sheriff after being sworn as the law directs returns into open Court the following qualified jurors to wit W. J. Wilson, P. D. Arnold, Chas Hardin, R. F. Teague, G. Nance, W. M Lampton, D. J. Lucas, M. J. Lewis, D. B. Fornorent

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Monday the 20th day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

E K. Rew and H, Kuiman all of whom were duly tried and sworn and seland upon the jrand jur for the week,

5991 State of Texas
5992 vs
J. N. Martin } Monday Jany 20, 1890,

Continued by the State,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning Jany 21, 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock Pursuant to adjournment Present as on yesterday.

6001 State of Texas
vs
John Sims } Selling Bar on Sunday
Tuesday Jany 21, 1890.

Continued by the State,

6105 State of Texas
vs
Mark Davis } Aggravated Assault
Tuesday Jany 21, 1890.

Continued by Consent

6134 State of Texas
vs
Bird Emmons } Theft under $20.
Tuesday Jany 21. 1890.

Continued by State

6135 State of Texas
vs
Will Estes } Theft under $20,
Tuesday Jany 21, 1890,

Continued by State.

6136 State of Texas
vs
Denny Loyd } Theft under $20.
Tuesday Jany 21, 1890.

Continued by State

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Tuesday the 21, day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6176 State of Texas vs John Hines } Keeping place of business open for Traffic
Tuesday Jany 21, 1890.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: G. Nance and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty as Charged and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars.

G. Nance, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Hines the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cases Nos 6174 & 6175 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

6157 State of Texas vs Henderson Brashears } Aggravated Assault
Tuesday Jany 21, 1890

Continued by the State

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Tuesday the 21st day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6177 State of Texas vs John Hines } Selling Whisky on Sunday
Tuesday Jany 21, 1890.

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: D. B. Farnsworth and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit, "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

D. B. Farnsworth, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Hines go hence without day.

6182 State of Texas vs Lee Boling } Assault
Tuesday Jany 21, 1890.

Continued by Consent,

6194 State of Texas vs Dan Andrews } Selling Beer on Sunday
Tuesday Jany 21, 1890.

Continued by State

6196 State of Texas vs Dan Andrews } Selling Beer on Sunday
Tuesday Jany 21, 1890.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys; and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890.

Tuesday the 21, day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6196 State of Texas vs Dan Andrews } Selling Beer on Sunday

Tuesday Jany 21, 1890

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. W. Lampton and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

✓ "We the jury in case of State of Texas vs Dan Andrews charged with selling beer to Geo Grant on Sunday find the Defendant Guilty as charged and assess a fine of $32.50 against him, W. W. Lampton, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dan Andrews the sum of Thirty two Dollars and fifty cents fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cause No 6195 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this cause are paid in full

6197 State of Texas vs Dan Andrews } Selling Beer on Sunday

Tuesday Jany 21, 1890.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Tuesday the 21, day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

lawful men to wit: A. J. Wilson and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now thereupon his plea of not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

A. J. Wilson, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Dan Andrews go hence without day,

6198 State of Texas vs Dan Andrews } Setting Bar on Sunday Tuesday Jany 21, 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; A. J. Wilson and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now there entering his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

A. J. Wilson, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Dan Andrews go hence without day,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County January Term, 1890

Tuesday the 21, day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6199 State of Texas vs Dan Andrews } Keeping Bar on Sunday
Tuesday Jany 21, 1890,

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. J. Lewis and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at Thirty five dollars $35.00.

W. J. Lewis. Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dan Andrews the sum of Thirty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further orders that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cases Nos 6195, 6196, 6198 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs in this case are paid in full,

6263 State of Texas vs Wm Longstreet } Keeping Disorderly House
Tuesday Jany 21, 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. A. Turner and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Tuesday the 21 day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit, "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty as charged.

W. A. Turner, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant William Longstreet go hence without day.

6775 State of Texas vs Nath Brooks } Carrying Pistol

Tuesday Jany 21, 1890,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty-five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Nath Brooks the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

V

X

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Thursday the 21 day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Wednesday Morning Jany 22nd 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock Pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5785 State of Texas vs Lee Harris } Theft under $50. Wednesday Jany 22, 1890 Alias and Continued

5568 City of Fort Worth vs Melvina Butler } Disturbing the Peace Wednesday Jany 22, 1890. Continued by Consent.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same as following to wit;

5704 State of Texas vs Jack Barnes, et al Adultery

5937 State of Texas vs Bob Poe Aggravated Assault

5956 State of Texas vs Bob Stafford et al Adultery

6044 State of Texas vs Wm Jackson Selling whiskey on Sunday

6111 State of Texas vs Mary E. Nichols Drunk in Public Place.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Wednesday the 22 day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers

5807	State of Texas vs Mike Haley	Assault Wednesday Jany 22, 1890 Continued by Consent
5867	State of Texas vs Will Thomas	Theft under $20. Wednesday Jany 22, 1890. Continued by Consent
5951	State of Texas vs J. D. Smith & W. H. Ervin	Selling Lottery Tickets Wednesday Jany 22, 1890. Continued by Consent.
5995 5997	State of Texas vs C. C. Longmire	Selling whisky & Beer on Sunday Wednesday Jany 22, 1890 Continued by Consent.
5983	State of Texas vs J. H. McCathron	Carrying Pistol Wednesday Jany 22, 1890 Continued by the State
5986	State of Texas vs Arch Drew et als Dooley Drew	Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank Wednesday Jany 22, 1890 Continued by Consent
5996	State of Texas vs H. A. Lewis	Selling whisky on Sunday Wednesday Jany 22, 1890 Continued by Consent
6004	State of Texas vs C. C. Longmire	Selling whisky & Beer on Sunday Wednesday Jany 22, 1890 Continued by Consent.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Wednesday the 22" day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6006 State of Texas vs C. C. Longmire } Selling Beer & Whiskey on Sunday Wednesday Jany 22, 1890 Continued by Consent,

6017 City of Fort Worth vs Will Carmile } Selling Beer on Sunday Wednesday Jany 22, 1890 Continued by Defendant

6060 State of Texas vs George Walker } Pending Threatening Letter Wednesday Jany 22, 1890,

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant George Walker go hence without day,

6715 State of Texas vs Professor Williams } Aggravated Assault Wednesday Jany 22, 1890, Continued by Consent,

6784 State of Texas vs Orrin Perry } Betting at Game Played with Dice Wednesday Jany 22, 1890,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars,

V

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Wednesday the 22 day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

It is therfore considerd and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Owen Perry the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,
Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Thursday Morning Jany 23, 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

6307 State of Texas vs Jeff Orange } Theft under $50.
Thursday Jany 23, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. W. Sampton and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at one day in County Jail,

W. W. Sampton, Foreman,

It is therfore considerd and adjudged by the Court that the Defendant be confined in the County Jail for the full period of one day and until all costs incurred herein are paid in full,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Thursday the 23rd day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6308 State of Texas vs Henry Hardy } Theft under $20, Thursday Jany 23, 1890,

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Henry Hardy go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Friday Morning Jany 24th 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same as follows to-wit;

State of Texas vs Jack Coleman
5255 Threatening to take life of another

State of Texas vs Lon Barkley
5311 Carrying Pistol within half mile of voting place on day of election

State of Texas vs Bill Smith
5522 Carrying Pistol

State of Texas vs Mark Davis
5520 Vagrancy

State of Texas vs Bill Smith
5536 Aggravated Assault

State of Texas vs E. G. Williford
5844 Theft under $20,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Friday the 24th day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5845 State of Texas vs E. G. Williford
Theft under $50,

5906 State of Texas vs G. W. Jackson
Abusive Language

5985 State of Texas vs Henry Gray
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

5999 State of Texas vs H. P. Shiel
Selling Beer on Sunday

6000 State of Texas vs H. P. Shiel
Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday

6003 State of Texas vs Jim Linton
Selling whiskey & Beer on Sunday

6028 State of Texas vs Billy Hendricks
Selling whiskey on Sunday

6031 State of Texas vs Billy Hendricks
Selling whiskey & Beer on Sunday

6104 State of Texas vs August Steinhafel
Assault

6148 State of Texas vs W. B. Burr & W. R. Burr
Selling whiskey on Sunday

6149 State of Texas vs W. B. Burr & W. R. Burr
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

6151 State of Texas vs W. B. Burr & W. R. Burr
Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday

6152 State of Texas vs W. B. Burr & W. R. Burr
Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday

6154 State of Texas vs W. B. Burr & W. R. Burr
Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday

6155 State of Texas vs W. B. Burr & W. R. Burr
Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday

6159 State of Texas vs W. B. Burr & W. R. Burr
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

6160 State of Texas vs T. W. Burr & W. R. Burr
Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday

6161 State of Texas vs T. W. Burr & W. R. Burr
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

6162 State of Texas vs T. W. Burr & W. R. Burr
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

6163 State of Texas vs T. W. Burr & W. R. Burr
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Friday the 24" day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6164 State of Texas vs T. W. Burr & W. R. Burr
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

6165 State of Texas vs T. W. Burr & W. R. Burr
Selling whiskey on Sunday

6166 State of Texas vs T. W. Burr & W. R. Burr
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

6167 State of Texas vs T. W. Burr & W. R. Burr
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

6193 State of Texas vs Gracie Lane
Selling Beer on Sunday

6203 State of Texas vs H. O. Shiel
Selling Beer on Sunday

6212 State of Texas vs Dan Ryan
Selling Beer on Sunday

5791 State of Texas vs John Broxdale
Aggravated Assault

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these proceedings and that the Defendants go hence without day.

5907 State of Texas vs Fred J. Beake alias Wm Hefron } Malicious Mischief
Monday Jany 6" 1890.

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and the Defendant after being called under due forms of law, came not but wholly made default, And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Fred J. Beake alias Wm Hefron on the 4th day of September 1889 charged by Information with the offense of "Unlawfully, wilfully and mischievously injure and destroy certain personal property belonging to one Emma Beake of the value of $107.50" after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a Capias issued out of the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County, did ex

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

principal enter into Bond with Jef D Fields and W. E. Grang as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditioned that the said Fred J. Blake alias Wm Hefron shall personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the Instanter and there remain from day to day and from term to term and not depart from thence until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge. And whereas afterwards to wit: at a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in January 1890, the said Fred J. Blake alias Wm Hefron after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer to the charge aforesaid, wholly made default, It was therefore considered and adjudged by our County Court on the 6th day of January 1890 that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Fred J. Blake alias Wm Hefron as principal and Jef D. Fields and W. E. Grang as his sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of one hundred dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Difendant did not appear. And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue commanding them the said Jef D. Fields and W. E. Grang to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in March A. D. 1890 then and there to show cause if any they can why this judgment should not be made

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

the day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

final. Further ordered that Alias Capias issued for the Defendant returnable to the next term of this Court and that this case be continued,

5908 State of Texas vs Fred J. Blake alias Wm Hefron } Malicious Mischief Monday June 6, 1890.

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the County Attorney prosecuting the filing of the State and the Defendant being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default, And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Fred J. Blake alias Wm Hefron on the 4th day of September 1889 charged by Information with the offense of "Unlawfully, wilfully and mischievously injure and destroy certain personal property belonging to one Rosa Landberg of the aggregate value of $46.00" after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a capias issued out of the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County did as principal enter into Bond with Jef. D. Reid and N. H. Ward as his sureties in the sum of one hundred dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditioned that the said Fred J. Blake alias Wm Hefron should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the Instantur and there remain from day to day and from term to term and not depart from thence until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge, And whereas afterwards to wit: at a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in January 1890 the said Fred J. Blake alias Wm Hefron after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer to the charge aforesaid wholly made default It is

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

thereon considered and adjudged by our County Court on the 6th day of January 1890 that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Fred J. Beaks alias Wm Heffron as Principal and Jeff D. Field and N. H. Ward as his sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of One Hundred Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue commanding them the said Jeff D. Field and N. H. Ward to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in March A. D. 1890 then and there to show cause if any they can why this judgment should not be made final. Further ordered that Alias Capias issue for the Difendant returnable to the next term of this Court and that this case be continued.

5872 State of Texas vs Martin Tally } Vagrancy Nov. 12th 1889

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant Martin Tally being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Martin Tally after having been duly convicted in the Justice Court of Tarrant County Precinct No. one of the offense of "Being a Vagrant to-wit a professional Gambler" on the 2nd day of July 1889 did as Principal enter into an Appeal Bond with Pete K. Tally and John C. Carlin as sureties in the penal sum of Sixty five Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditions

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

that the said Defendant Martin Tally who has taken an appeal to the County Court of Tarrant County shall prosecute his said appeal with effect and shall pay such Fine and costs as shall be adjudged against him by the said County Court as well as other costs that may have been adjudged against him in the Court below, and said Defendant having made default as aforesaid it was therefore ordered that said Bond be declard forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Martin Tally as Principal Pete H. Tally and John C. Carlin as sureties jointly and severally the said sum of Sixty five Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear, Further ordered that Citation issue commanding them the said Pete H. Tally and John C. Carlin to appear at the next term of this Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in March A. D. 1890 then and there to show cause if any they can why this judgment should not be made final, Further ordered that Alias Capias issue for the Defendant returnable to the next term of this Court and that this case be continued,

6250 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
vs } Wednesday Jany 8, 1890,
S. P. Shaw }

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default, And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant S. P. Shaw on the 24th day of December 1889,

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Charged by Information with the offense of "Aggravated Assault upon one Mitt Gunn" after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a Capias issued out of the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County did as Principal enter into Bond with W. E. Davis and Henry M. Furman as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditioned that the said S. P. Shaw should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the First Monday in January the same being the 6th day of January 1890. and there remain from day to day and from term to term and not depart from thence until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge. And whereas afterwards to wit at a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in January 1890 the said S. P. Shaw after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer to the charge aforesaid wholly made default. It was therefore considered and adjudged by our County Court on the 8th day of January 1890 that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said S. P. Shaw as Principal and W. E. Davis and Henry M. Furman as his sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of One Hundred Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue commanding them the said W. E. Davis and Henry M. Furman to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in March 1890 then and there to show cause if any they can why this judgment should not be made final, Further ordered that alias Capias issue for the Defendant returnable to the next term of this Court and that this case be continued,

5854 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
vs } January 6th 1890
Henry Willis }

This day the above cause being called for trial came the County Attorney prosecuting the suit of the State and the Defendant being called in due form of law came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Henry Willis on the 9th day of August 1889 charged by Information with the offense of Aggravated Assault upon one Mattie McIntosh after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant on a Capias issued out of the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County did as principal enter into Bond with O. F. Darby and H. R. Early as his sureties in the sum of Fifty Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditioned that the said Henry Willis should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the First Monday in September 1889 and there remain from day to day and from Term to Term and not depart from there until discharged by due course of law then and there to answer said above described charge, And whereas afterward to wit: at a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in January 1890 the said Henry Willis after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer the charge aforesaid wholly made default, It was therefore considered and adjudged by our County Court on the 6th day of January 1890 that the Bond aforesaid

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

be declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Henry Willis as Principal and O. F. Darby and Henry R. Early as his surities jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Fifty Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear, And it is further ordered by the Court that citation issue commanding them the said O. F. Darby and Henry R. Early to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in March A. D. 1890 then and there to show cause if any they can why this judgment should not be made final, Further ordered that alias Capias issue for the Defendant returnable to the next term of this Court and that this case be continued.

6133 State of Texas } Theft
-vs- } Friday January 24" 1890
John Loyd } Continued By the State

6096 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
-vs- } Saturday January 18" 1890
Cal Burgess } This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; F. M. Pearce and five others who were duly elected, empannelled and sworn as the law directs. And the Information being read to the Jury, the Defendant entered his plea of Guilty of Simple Assault

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

the day of January A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the guiry find Defendant Guilty of Simple Assault and assess the Fine at Five Dollars.

T. N. Pearce Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Cal Burgess the sum of Five dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full

6195 State of Texas -vs- Dan Andrews } Saturday January 25th 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new trial herein. And the argument of Counsel being heard thereon Because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant. It is therefore ordered that said motion be and the same is sustained that the judgment heretofore entered in this cause be set aside and for nought held and that this cause stand for trial in regular order upon the docket of this Court.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Saturday the 25" day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6124

State of Texas
-vs-
John Hines

Motion for New Trial
Saturday January 25" 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a New Trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard therein because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

6125

State of Texas
-vs-
John Hines

Saturday January 25" 1890

This day came the parties. by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a New trial herein. And the argument of Counsel being heard therein because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is sustained, that the judgment heretofore rendered in this cause be set aside and for nought held and that this case stand for trial in regular order upon the Docket of this Court.

6196

State of Texas
-vs-
Dan Andrews

Motion for New Trial
Saturday January 25. 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a New Trial herein and the argument of Counsel

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Saturday the 25" day of January A. D. 1890

being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is ~~for~~ against said Motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the same be and is overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts & & in open Court gives Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

6199 State of Texas -vs- Dan Andrews } Motion for New Trial Saturday January 25th 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is overruled To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

6176 State of Texas -vs- John Davies } Motion for New Trial Saturday January 25th 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a New Trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is overruled To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Monday the 27" day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6312

State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol
-vs- } Monday January 27th 1890
M. B. McKee }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant M. B. McKee the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that ~~execution issue for the use~~ of said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6342

State of Texas } Theft Under Value of $20.00
-vs- } Saturday February 1st 1890
Jim McCord }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State of Texas, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at imprisonment for ten days It is therefore considered and adjudged by

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Saturday the 1st day of February A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

the Court that the Defendant Jim McCord stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten days and till all costs herein accrued have been paid in full

6330 State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol
-vs- } Saturday February 1st 1890
Dallas Pope }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State of Texas also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial No jury having been demanded the Matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dallas Pope the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6341 State of Texas } Vagrancy
-vs- } Saturday February 1st 1890
Dennis Sullivan }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State of Texas also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Saturday the 1st day of Februdy A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $1.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dennis Sullivan the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6362 State of Texas -vs- L. Bauer } Theft

Monday February 3" 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State of Texas also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 and 5 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant L. Bauer the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of five days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Saturday the 1st day of February A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6316 State of Texas -vs- John Sloan } Theft

Friday February 7th 1890

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State of Texas, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Court after hearing the evidence, the Defendant having submitted his plea of Guilty, assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00 and 8 hours in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Sloan the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of eight hours and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6018 City of Fort Worth -vs- E Krohne } Leaving Team Unhitched

Wednesday January 22nd 1890

This day the above cause being called for trial came the Plaintiff by Attorney and the Defendant E Krohne being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that said Defendant after having been duly convicted in the Recorders Court of the City of Fort Worth of the offense of "Unlawfully leaving a certain horse hitched to a wagon standing

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

on a public street; to-wit on Broadway street without first having fastened said horse to a post firmly set in the ground or to a ring set in the ground or without having a weight of at least 30 pounds attached to said horse" on the 30th day of August 1889 did as Principal enter into an Appeal Bond with F. Thoma and E. Schmidt as sureties in the Penal sum of One Hundred Dollars, jointly and severally payable to the City of Fort Worth, conditioned that the said Defendant E. Krohn who has taken an Appeal to the County Court of Tarrant County, shall prosecute his said Appeal with effect, and shall pay such fine and costs as shall be adjudged against him by said County Court as well as other costs that may have been adjudged against him in the Court below. And said Defendant having made Default as aforesaid. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Bond be declared forfeited and that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of the said E. Krohn as Principal and F. Thoma and E. Schmidt as sureties, jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of One Hundred Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that citation issue to them the said F. Thoma and E. Schmidt commanding them to appear at the next term of this Court then and there to show cause if any they can why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered that Alias Capias

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

the day of A. D. 18

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

issue for the Defendant and that case be continued

6871 State of Texas } Vagrant
— vs —
James Hackett } Friday February 14th 1890

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state. Also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters and facts were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $2.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant James Hackett the sum of Two Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said Fine and costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that ~~Execution issue for the use of the~~ said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

5923 State of Texas } Selling whisky in Local Option Town
— vs —
Frank Harrison } Saturday February 22nd 1890

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and by Attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Judgment being read the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Saturday the 22" day of January A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Guilty" whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds that the Defendant is Guilty and assesses his punishment at a Fine of $25.00 and 20 days imprisonment in the County Jail. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Harrison the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and Costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of twenty days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full. To which judgment of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

✓

5928

State of Texas
-vs-
Frank Harrison

} Motion in Arrest of Judgment
Saturday February 22" 1890

This day came the parties, the State by Attorney, and the Defendant in his own proper person and by Attorney, and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion in Arrest of Judgment and the same being heard, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas. And the Court now here fixes the Amount of Recognizance that shall be entered into in this cause at the sum of Two Hundred Fifty Dollars.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Saturday the 22nd day of February A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5923

State of Texas } Recognizance
-vs- } Saturday February 22nd 1890
Frank Harrison }

This day came into open Court Frank Harrison, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with D. C Harrison and R. L. Carlock, his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Two Hundred and fifty dollars; conditioned, that the said Frank Harrison, who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "Unlawfully in the town of Arlington in said County selling to one Webb Ditto intoxicating liquor, after the qualified voters of said Town of Arlington had determined at an election held in accordance with the Laws of said State, that the sale of intoxicating liquors should be prohibited in said Town of Arlington. And the Commissioners Court of Tarrant County had passed an Order to that effect, which order had been duly published in accordance with law" and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgement of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

6378

State of Texas } Vagrancy
-vs- } Monday February 24th 1890
Robt. Graham }

This day came the parties and announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his Plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court-

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Saturday the 22" day of February A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

assessed his punishment at a Fine of $5.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Robt. Graham the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full

✓

6379 State of Texas -vs- Wm Andrews } Vagrancy

Monday February 24" 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in person here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Wm Andrews the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full

✓

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Saturday the 1 day of March A. D. 1890

rinters, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6109 State of Texas vs Dan Garvey } Motion for New Trial
Saturday March 1, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the defendants motion for a new Trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

6199 State of Texas vs Dan Andrews } Motion for Ten Days &c
Saturday March 1, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard Defendants Motion for ten days after the adjournment of Court in which to prepare and file a statement of facts herein, and the same being full considered by the Court it is ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

6176 State of Texas vs John Kinney } Saturday March 1, 1890.

Upon application of the Defendant herein, it is ordered by the Court that the Notice of Appeal heretofore entered in this case be withdrawn.

6174 State of Texas vs John Kinney } Saturday March 1, 1890

Upon Application of the Defendant herein It is ordered by the Court that the Notice of Appeal heretofore entered in this case be withdrawn

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1890

Saturday the 1st day of March A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6209 State of Texas vs Dan Garvey } Motion for Ten Days Saturday March 1, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion to be allowed ten days after the adjournment of Court in which to prepare and file a statement of facts herein, and the same being fully considered by the Court, It is ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby granted,

Saturday March 1st 1890
Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in Course

W. D. Harris
County Judge

Attest: Jno. Ring Co Clk

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Monday the 3rd day of March A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in March A. D. 1890 the same being the Third day of March A. D. 1890 there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court within and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, Present the Hon W. D. Harris, County Judge, Presiding John P. King, County Clerk, D. P. Ayers, County Attorney and J. C. Richardson, Sheriff of said County when and where the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court, to-wit:

— Venire Facias —

Now comes J. C. Richardson, Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into open Court the Venire Facias for this the First week of the March Term 1890 of the County Court of Tarrant County and upon the jurors named thereon being called three qualified jurors answered to-wit; Richard King, Green Prichard and Jac Farmer who were all duly and sworn and placed upon the panel for the week. And it appearing to the Court that the panel is incomplete it now orders that the Sheriff do summon sufficient qualified jurors to fill the said panel. And the said Sheriff after being sworn as the law directs returns into open Court the following qualified jurors to-wit; D. A. Campbell, W. H. Murry, M. A. Maupin, Jac Meyer, H. L. Alston, G. W. Gillespie, Ed Sloan, W. P. B. Welborne, J. P. Tucker and all of whom were duly tried and sworn and placed upon the panel for the week,

— Alias Capias and Continued —

Orders that Alias Capias issue for the Defendants in the following cases returnable to the next term of this Court and that the same be continued, to-wit;

5194 State of Texas vs Sam McConnell
Playing Cards in Public Place.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Monday the 3rd day of March A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

5263 State of Texas vs Willis Washington
Aggravated Assault

5515 State of Texas vs Jim Carling
Vagrancy

5638 State of Texas vs W. B. Gregory
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

5666 State of Texas vs John O. Dacton
Establishing a Lottery

5817 State of Texas vs Tom Sealin
Slander

5854 State of Texas vs Henry Willis
Aggravated Assault

5870 State of Texas vs Bill Atterberry
Escaping from Convict Camp

5876 State of Texas vs J. M. Speck
Aggravated Assault

5907 State of Texas vs Fred J. Blake alias Wm Heffron
Malicious Mischief

5908 State of Texas vs Fred J. Blake alias Wm Heffron
Malicious Mischief

5920 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5922 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5924 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5927 State of Texas vs Jack Martin
Aggravated Assault

5928 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5934 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5936 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5938 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling Whisky in Local option Town

5939 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5958 State of Texas vs Bill Taylor
Exhibiting Gamming Table & Bank,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Monday the 3" day of March A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5970 State of Texas vs B. H. Williams & Julia Nail
Adultery

5971 State of Texas vs. Jack Davis
Aggravated Assault

5978 State of Texas vs John Byron
Betting at Gaming Table

6069 State of Texas vs Aggie Thompson
Disturbing Religious Worship

6073 State of Texas vs J. H. Traylor
Theft under $50.

6101 State of Texas vs Frank Carmine
Aggravated Assault

6134 State of Texas vs Bud Emmons
Theft under $50

6136 State of Texas vs Denny Lloyd
Theft under $50.

6254 State of Texas vs Chas Johnson.
Aggravated Assault

6255 State of Texas vs Chas Johnson
Aggravated Assault

6269 State of Texas vs Geo A. Harris
Aggravated Assault

6280 State of Texas vs Chas Abbey
Theft under $50

6281 State of Texas vs Cicero Lultz
Aggravated Assault

6282 State of Texas vs Cicero Jordan Alias Cicero Lultz
Aggravated Assault

6290 State of Texas vs Will White
Betting at Game with Dice

6291 State of Texas vs Will Johnson
Betting at Game with Dice

6297 State of Texas vs Brown Smith
Keeping Table & Bank for purpose Gaming

6311 State of Texas vs Wesley St Clair
Aggravated Assault

6313 State of Texas vs Davey Davis
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

6316 State of Texas vs Cesar Daniel
Carrying Pistol

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Monday the 3" day of March A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6317 State of Texas vs Henry Pope
Betting at Gaming Table & Bank

6319 State of Texas vs Brosier Smith
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

6321 State of Texas vs Sid Gillian
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

6324 State of Texas vs Ed Mahaney
Betting at Gaming ~~Table & Bank~~

6326 State of Texas vs Albert Johnson
Betting at Gaming Table & Bank

6331 State of Texas vs Geo Pearce
Aggravated Assault

6343 State of Texas vs Fred Mundane et al
Adultery

6345 State of Texas vs Nick King
Betting at Game with Dice &

6347 State of Texas vs Bob Fowler
Betting at Game with Dice

6348 State of Texas vs Burk Fagg
Betting at Game with Dice

6349 State of Texas vs Charley Pearce
Betting at Game with Dice

6350 State of Texas vs Pike Anderson
Betting at Game with Dice

6353 State of Texas vs Brosier Smith
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

6367 State of Texas vs Gus Carter
Theft under $50.

6372 State of Texas vs Thos Arnold
Aggravated Assault

6378 State of Texas vs John Jones
Escaped Convict

— Fiats —

It appearing to the Court that the Sheriff has been unable to arrest the Defendants in the following cases, It is ordered by the Court that said cases be filed until such time as the Defendants therein can be arrested to wit:

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Monday the 3" day of March A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5753 State of Texas vs Gan Lee.
Disorderly House

5785 State of Texas vs Lee Heron.
Theft under $20.

5802 State of Texas vs Mike Haley.
Assault

5827 State of Texas vs Willie Taylor.
Assault

5830 State of Texas vs Wiley Fisher.
Aggravated Assault

5919 State of Texas vs Felipe Marian.
Carrying Pistol

5955 State of Texas vs C. S. Landing.
Aggravated Assault

5969 State of Texas vs Annas Huckleberry.
Injuring & Defacing Public Building

5993 State of Texas vs Tom Allen.
Theft under $50.

5995 State of Texas vs Will Estes.
Playing Cards in Public Place

6005 State of Texas vs W. C. Myrick.
Playing Cards in Public Place

6033 State of Texas vs Julia Rasa
Selling Whisky on Sunday

6034 State of Texas vs Julia Rasa
Selling Whisky on Sunday

6035 State of Texas vs Julia Rasa
Selling Whisky on Sunday

6076 State of Texas vs Sidney
Theft under $20.

Now comes the County Attorney representing the State and for and on behalf of the State and says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same, to wit:

5992 State of Texas vs Jas Hickmith
Aggravated Assault

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Monday the 3" day of March A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

5793 State of Texas vs. R. T. Hauer
Aggravated Assault

5794 State of Texas vs Jas Whitsitt
Carrying Pistol

5996 State of Texas vs W. A. Lewis
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

6114 State of Texas vs W. J. Doherty
Selling Beer on Sunday

6115 State of Texas vs W. J. Doherty
Selling Beer on Sunday

6116 State of Texas vs W. J. Doherty
Selling Beer on Sunday

6133 State of Texas vs John Snyder
Theft under $20.

6135 State of Texas vs Will Ellis
Theft under $20.

6182 State of Texas vs Lee Baling
Assault

6334 State of Texas vs. Ed O'Brien
Vagrancy

6335 State of Texas vs Jas Perring
Vagrancy

6336 State of Texas vs Chas Adams
Vagrancy

6337 State of Texas vs John Donovan
Vagrancy

6338 State of Texas vs Frank Jones
Vagrancy

6339 State of Texas vs Pat Burke
Vagrancy

6340 State of Texas vs John Daily
Vagrancy

6369 State of Texas vs W. W. Mosteller
Willfully Wounding a Dog

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Monday the 3" day of March A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Continued by Consent

5729 State of Texas vs John Vaughn
Playing Dice in Public Place

5730 State of Texas vs J. O'Brien
Playing Dice in Public Place

5867 State of Texas vs Nick Thomas
Theft under $20

5925 State of Texas vs W. O. Middleton
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5926 State of Texas vs Frank Harrison
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5929 State of Texas vs Frank Harrison
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5931 State of Texas vs W. O. Middleton
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5931 State of Texas vs Frank Harrison
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5932 State of Texas vs Frank Harrison
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5933 State of Texas vs G. G. Pate
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5935 State of Texas vs W. O. Middleton
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5975 State of Texas vs C. C. Langmire
Selling Whisky on Sunday

5983 State of Texas vs J. H. McCathran
Carrying Pistol

5997 State of Texas vs C. C. Langmire
Selling Whisky on Sunday

6004 State of Texas vs C. C. Langmire
Selling Whisky on Sunday

6184 State of Texas vs Jim Dillon
Vagrancy

6187 State of Texas vs Jamie Vaughn
Carrying Pistol

6300 State of Texas vs Captain Fox
Betting at Gaming Table

6374 State of Texas vs D. C. Fegley
Aggravated Assault

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Monday the 3" day of March A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons files with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same, to-wit:

6215 State of Texas vs Prof Williams
Aggravated Assault

6235 State of Texas vs J. H. White
Keeping House open on Sunday

6236 State of Texas vs J. H. White
Selling Beer on Sunday

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

5872 State of Texas vs Martin Tally } Vagrancy
Monday March 3, 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of one Dollar. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Martin Tally the sum of one Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further order that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Monday the 3rd day of March A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6294 State of Texas vs John Heyberger } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank Monday March 3rd 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty to betting at Gaming Table & Bank Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Heyberger the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6304 State of Texas vs W. H. Gresham } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank Monday March 3, 1890,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty to Betting at a Gaming Table and Bank Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W H. Gresham the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Monday the 3" day of March A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6323 State of Texas vs Jim Burris } Betting at a Game with Dice Monday March 3, 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Burris the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6355 State of Texas vs Jim Dennis } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank Monday March 3, 1890,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty to betting at a Gaming Table and Bank, Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Dennis the sum of

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Monday the 3" day of March A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6361 State of Texas vs James Dennis } Playing Cards in Public Place Monday March 3". 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant James Dennis the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cause No. 6355 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case and paid in full

6363 State of Texas vs D. C. Gurden } Aggravated Assault Monday March 3". 1890

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit W. A. Mangum and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Monday the 3" day of March A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

here entered his plea of Not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retires to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury in the within cause of the State against D. C. Gruden find the Defendant not Guilty" W. A. Maupin, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant D. C. Gruden go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning March 4" 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

Continued by Consent

6051 State of Texas vs Ben M. Terrell
Carrying Pistol

6052 State of Texas vs S. F. Camp
Carrying Pistol

6332 State of Texas vs John Thompson
Aggravated Assault

6357 State of Texas vs Henry Gray
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank,

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same as follows to wit;

6105 State of Texas vs Mart Davis
Aggravated Assault

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Tuesday the 4th day of March A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6117 State of Texas vs J. M. Armstrong
Assault

6113 State of Texas vs J. M. Armstrong
Abusive Language

6229 State of Texas vs Jim Smith
Aggravated Assault

6277 State of Texas vs Ed Armentraut
Betting at Gaming Bank

6278 State of Texas vs Dan Cannon
Betting at Gaming Bank

6298 State of Texas vs Mark Davis
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

6270 State of Texas vs Luke Shank } Carrying Pistol
Tuesday March 4th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Luke Shank the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Tuesday the 4th day of March A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6285 State of Texas vs Jas Wise } Betting at Game with Dice
Tuesday March 4th 1890

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jas Wise, the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are said in full.

6315 State of Texas vs Mark Davis } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank
Tuesday March 4th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty to playing at a Gaming Table and Bank Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mark Davis the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Tuesday the 4" day of March A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6320 State of Texas vs Henry Gray } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank Tuesday March 4" 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law was submitted to the Court and the Defendant now surrendered his plea of Guilty to playing at a Gaming Table, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Henry Gray the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs and paid in full,

6364 State of Texas vs James Dailey } Theft under $20.00 Tuesday March 4" 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law was submitted

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Tuesday the 4th day of March A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and Thirty days in the County Jail, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full space of Thirty Days and until the fine and costs in this case and paid in full.

6377 State of Texas } Theft under $20.
vs } Tuesday March 4th 1890
Arthur Brown }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and Five days in the County Jail, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Arthur Brown the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full space of Five Days and until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Tuesday the 4th day of March A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6386 State of Texas vs Jim Sanders — Carrying Pistol — Tuesday March 4th 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty. Thereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Sanders the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are and paid in full.

6001 State of Texas vs John Kings — Selling Beer on Sunday — Tuesday March 4th 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: D. A. Campbell and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not Guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retires to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Tuesday the 4th day of March A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

into open Court the following verdict to wit:
"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty as charged in the indictment and assess his fine at Twenty five dollars,
D. A. Campbell. Foreman,
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Hines the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock,

Wednesday Morning March 5th 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

Alias and Continued

6122 State of Texas vs Jim Wright et al
Disturbing the Peace

6289 State of Texas vs Zack Williams
Betting at Gaming Table & Bank

6299 State of Texas vs Zack Williams
Betting at Gaming Table & Bank

Continued by Consent,

6293 State of Texas vs Bob Hamilton
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

6296 State of Texas vs Joe Purvis
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank,

6295 State of Texas vs J. C. Smith
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

6321 State of Texas vs Joe Purvis
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Wednesday the 5th day of March A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6286 State of Texas vs Gammar Davis — Betting at a Game with Dice. Wednesday March 5, 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Gammar Davis the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6303 State of Texas vs L. Reno — Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank. Wednesday March 5th 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty to betting at a gaming table and bank. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant L. Reno the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Wednesday the 5th day of March A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6352 State of Texas vs J. C. Smith } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank, Wednesday March 5th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. C. Smith the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6354 State of Texas vs Ed Rout } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank Wednesday March 5, 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty to betting at a Gaming Table and Bank, Whereupon the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Wednesday the 5th day of March A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed Root the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6356 State of Texas vs Jas Purvis } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

Wednesday March 5th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jas Purvis the sum of Ten Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Wednesday the 5th day of March A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6360 State of Texas vs Jas Purvis } Playing Cards in Public Place
Wednesday March 5th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Jas Purvis the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 6356 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs in this cause are paid in full.

6375 State of Texas vs Fred Schmidt } Aggravated Assault
Wednesday March 5th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Thirty Dollars, It is therefore considered

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Wednesday the 5th day of March A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Thirty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6333 State of Texas } Theft under $20
vs } Wednesday March 5th 1890
Ike Gray }

This day came the parties by attorney and then come on to be heard the Defendants motion to Quash the Information herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained

Continued by Consent

6302 State of Texas vs O. N. Bucer
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

6318 State of Texas vs Geo Davis
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank,

5644 State of Texas vs Chas Hadley
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

6250 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
—vs— } Thursday March 6th 1890
L. P. Shaw }

Alias and Continued

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Friday the 7th day of March A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

6387

State of Texas } Friday March 7th 1890
-vs- } Theft
Frank Sanders }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced for trial. No jury being demanded the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 and 15 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Sanders the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full and for the further period of fifteen days.

6328

State of Texas } Betting at Game with Dice
-vs- } Friday March 7th 1890
Lee Prince }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Friday the 7th day of March A. D. 1890

have and recover of and from the Defendant Sec. Prince the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full

6346 State of Texas -vs- Sec. Prince } Betting Friday March 7th 1890

Continued By Consent.

5905 State of Texas -vs- E. C. Agee } Selling Beer on Sunday Monday March 10th 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this cause. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant E. C. Agee go hence without day

6095 State of Texas -vs- William Robinson } Disturbing the Peace Monday March 10th 1890

This day came the County Attorney and says he will no further prosecute this cause. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this suit and that the Defendant William Robinson go hence without day

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Monday the 10th day of March A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6145 State of Texas -vs- John Lameri } Disturbing the Peace
Monday March 10th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this suit. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Lameri go hence without day.

6157 State of Texas -vs- Henderson Brashears } Aggravated Assault
Monday March 10th 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; S. A. Hatcher and five others who were duly elected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant in here entered his plea of "not Guilty" whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

S. A. Hatcher Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this suit and that the Defendant Henderson Brashears go hence without day

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Monday the 10th day of March A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6175 State of Texas -vs- John Nunies } Selling on Sunday
Monday March 10th 1890
Continued By Consent

6194 State of Texas -vs- Dan Andrews } Selling Beer on Sunday
Monday March 10th 1890
Continued By State for want of the testimony of Albert Prince.

6195 State of Texas -vs- Dan Andrews } Selling Beer on Sunday
Monday March 10th 1890
Continued By the State for absence of witness Albert Prince

6292 State of Texas -vs- Henry Terry } Betting
Monday March 10th 1890
Continued By Consent

6305 State of Texas -vs- Joe Spencer } Betting
Monday March 10th 1890
Alias and Continued

6306 State of Texas -vs- Paul Hill } Carrying a Pistol
Monday March 10th 1890
Continued By Consent

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Monday the 10th day of March A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6309 State of Texas } Abusive Language
-vs- } Monday March 10th 1890
Dan Andrews }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. S. Grant and five others who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law. And the Information being read to the Jury. the Defendant in Person entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and, after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the Jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

W. S. Grant Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Dan Andrews go hence without day.

6310 State of Texas } Abusive Language
-vs- } Monday March 10th 1890
Henry Terry } Continued by Consent

6325 State of Texas } Betting
-vs- } Monday March 10th 1890
Henry Gaiters }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Monday the 10th day of March A. D. 1890

further prosecute this cause. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this suit and that the Defendant Henry Gaiters go hence without day

6327 State of Texas -vs- Tom Owens } Betting
Monday March 10th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Owens the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6344 State of Texas -vs- Tom Owens } Betting
Monday March 10th 1890

Continued By Consent

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Monday the 10th day of March A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

6358 State of Texas -vs- Henry Gailers } Exhibiting Monday March 10" 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this suit. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this suit and that the Defendant Henry Gailers go hence without day

6359 State of Texas -vs- Frank Garrett } Playing cards in public place Monday March 10" 1890

Continued By Consent.

6380 State of Texas -vs- Ben Johnson } Theft Monday March 10" 1890

Alias & Continued

6381 State of Texas -vs- Ben Johnson } Theft Monday March 10" 1890

Alias and Continued

6389 State of Texas -vs- John Davidson } Carrying a Pistol Monday March 10" 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No Jury having been demanded the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Mmday the 10" day of March A. D. 1890

and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Davidson the sum of Twenty-five dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

6119 State of Texas -vs- Wm Ward and Bascom McCree } Disturbing the Peace Wednesday March 12" 1890

Continued By Consent

6120 State of Texas -vs- Wm Ward and Bascom McCree } Aggravated Assault Wednesday March 12" 1890

Continued By Consent

6144 State of Texas -vs- Geo. G. Hill } Carrying a Pistol Wednesday March 12" 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo. G. Hill the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Wednesday the 12" day of March A. D. 18 90

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant Stand Committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6147 State of Texas -vs- Geo. G. Hill } Wednesday March 12" 1890 Carrying a Pistol.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this cause. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Geo. G. Hill go hence without day.

6301 State of Texas -vs- Mark McCall } Carrying Pistol Wednesday March 12" 1890 Continued By Consent

6314 State of Texas -vs- Jim Burris } Exhibiting Continued By Consent Continued By Consent

6329 State of Texas -vs- Geo. Kelly } Betting Wednesday March 12" 1890 Continued By Consent

6382 State of Texas -vs- Ad. Lester } Aggravated Assault Wednesday March 12" 1890

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Wednesday the 12" day of March A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

for trial thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: T. B. Allen and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law. And the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty of Simple Assault, whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and charge of the Court, retired to consider of their Verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit:

"Upon a plea of Guilty, we the Jury find the Deft. Guilty of a simple assault and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00 Dollars

T. B. Allen Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ad. Lester the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6383

State of Texas } Carrying a Pistol
-vs- } Wednesday March 12" 1890
Ad. Lester

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to-wit S. P. Shaffer and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law. And the Information being read to the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Wednesday the 12" day of March A. D. 18 90

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

jury the Defendant in here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of 25 Dollars

S. P. Shaffer Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jo. Lester the sum of Twenty-five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of Fine and costs in cause No. 6382. said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case be paid in full.

5015 City of Fort Worth } Nuisance
-vs- } Thursday March 13" 1890
Johnson & Nichols } Alias and Continued

5019 City of Fort Worth } Nuisance
-vs- } Thursday March 13" 1890
G. S. Miller } Alias and Continued

5074 City of Fort Worth } Disturbing the Peace
-vs- } Thursday March 13" 1890
Bob McDill } Alias and Continued

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Thursday the 13" day of March A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5075 City of Ft. Worth -vs- Diana Johnson } Disturbing the Peace
Thursday March 13" 1890
Alias & Continued

5370 State of Texas -vs- W. E. Graves } Exhibiting
Thursday March 13" 1890
Continued By Consent

5396 State of Texas -vs- W. E. Graves } Exhibiting
Thursday March 13" 1890
Continued By Consent

5387 State of Texas -vs- Bill Baker } Carrying Pistol
Thursday March 13" 1890
This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this cause. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this suit and that the Defendant Bill Baker go hence without day.

5568 City of Ft. Worth -vs- Melvina Butler } Disturbing the Peace
Thursday March 13" 1890
This day came the City Atty and says he will no further prosecute this suit. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said cause be dismissed that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Melvina Butler go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Thursday the 13" day of March A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5979 State of Texas -vs- Elly White } Theft
Thursday March 13" 1890
Continued By Consent

5986 State of Texas -vs- Arch Drew alias Pooch Drew } Exhibiting
Thursday March 13" 1890
Continued By Consent

5991 State of Texas -vs- J. N. Martin } Thursday March 13" 1890
This day came the County Attorney and says he will no further prosecute this cause. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant J. N. Martin go hence without day.

5992 State of Texas -vs- J. N. Martin } Thursday March 13" 1890
This day came the County Attorney and says he will no further prosecute this cause. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant J. N. Martin go hence without day.

6017 City of Ft. Worth -vs- Will Carrile } Selling on Sunday
Thursday March 13th 1890
Continued By Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Saturday the 15th day of March A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6394

State of Texas -vs- Ollie Bowles } Carrying a Pistol
Saturday March 15th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No jury having been demanded the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ollie Bowles the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred, for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

6018

City of Ft. Worth -vs- E. Kuhne et al } Leaving Team Unhitched
Monday March 17th 1890

This day the above cause being called for trial came the Plaintiff by attorney and the Defendant E. Kuhne being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default: And it appearing to the Court that said Defendant after having been duly convicted in the Records Court of the City of Fort Worth of the offense of "Unlawfully leaving a certain horse hitched to a wagon standing on a public Street, to-wit on Broadway Street,

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

without first having fastened said horse to a post firmly set in the ground or to a ring set in the ground or without having a weight of at least thirty pounds attached to said horse" on the 30th day of August 1889, did, as Principal enter into an Appeal Bond with F. Thoma and C. Schmidt as his sureties in the Penal sum of One Hundred Dollars jointly and severally payable to the City of Fort Worth conditioned that the said Defendant E. Kuhne who has taken an Appeal to the County Court of Tarrant County, shall prosecute his said Appeal with effect and shall pay such fine and costs as shall be adjudged against him by said County Court as well as other costs which may have been adjudged against him in the Court below. And said Defendant having made default as aforesaid. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Bond be declared forfeited and that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant E. Kuhn as Principle and F. Thoma and C. Schmidt as Sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid Sum of One Hundred Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and that this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. Further ordered Citation issue commanding them the said F. Thoma and C. Schmidt to appear at the next term of this Court to be begun and holden at the City of Fort Worth on the first Monday in May 1890 then and there to show cause if any they can why said Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Monday the 17th day of March A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

did not appear. Further ordered that Alias Capias issue for the Defendant and that case be continued.

6405 State of Texas vs Tom Shay } Theft

Tuesday March 25th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the Matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $15.00 and 30 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Shay the sum of Fifteen Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

6410 State of Texas vs L. C. Mayes } Carrying Pistol

Monday March 31, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Monday the 31 day of March A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

of Guilty; Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant L. C. Mayo the sum of Twenty five Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6413 State of Texas vs Bob Berry } Theft

Saturday April 5, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now having entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and sixty days in the County Jail, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Berry the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail for the full period of Sixty days and until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Saturday the 5 day of April A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6414 State of Texas vs Bob Berry } Theft

Saturday April 5, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars and sixty days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Berry the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs and completion of the sixty days imprisonment adjudged against said Defendant in Cause No. 6413 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of sixty days and until the fine and costs in this case and paid in full.

6442 State of Texas vs Frank Berry } Theft

Wednesday April 9th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Wednesday the 9th day of April A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. and thirty days in the County Jail, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant. Frank Perry the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered by the Court that said Defendant stand commited to the County Jail for the full period of thirty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full,

Chas. Moorly

64457

State of Texas
-vs-
Chas. Moorly

Monday April 14th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No jury having been demanded the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $5.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas. Moorly the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine & costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Monday the 14" day of April A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6458

State of Texas
-vs-
Thos. Keeling } Monday April 14" 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No jury having demanded the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $5.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Thos. Keeling the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6459

State of Texas
-vs-
J. O'Harra } Monday April 14. 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No Jury having been demanded, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of Five Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Monday the 14th day of April A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. O'Harra the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6461 State of Texas } Theft.
-vs- } Saturday April 19th 1890.
C. P. Owens }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the suit of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed this punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for twenty days. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant C. P. Owens the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further orders that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of twenty days and until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Thursday the 24th day of April A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6466 State of Texas vs Albert Bennett } Vagrancy
Thursday April 24th 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Albert Bennett the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

6467 State of Texas vs John Fowler } Vagrancy
Thursday April 24th 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. It is therefore consideredd and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1890

Thursday the 24th day of April A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

of and from the Defendant John Fowler the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stands Committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs are paid in full.

6316 State of Texas vs Ceasar Daniel } Carrying Pistol

Thursday May 1, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney, prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now withdrawing his plea of Guilty. Thereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Ceasar Daniel the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

Saturday May 3rd 1890

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in Course.

W. D. Harris
County Judge.

Attest:
Jno. P. King, Co. Clk.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Monday the 5th day of May A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in May A. D. 1890, the same being the 5th day of said month there was begun and holden a regular Term of the County Court within and for the County of Tarrant State of Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth. Present Hon. W. D. Harris County Judge presiding, B. O. Ayres County Attorney, John. O. Knief County Clerk and J. C. Richardson Sheriff of said County when and where the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court, to-wit;

— Filed —

It appearing to the Court that the Sheriff has been unable to arrest the Defendants in the following cases It is ordered that the same be filed until such arrest can be made.

5194 State of Texas -vs- Sam McConnell
Playing Cards in Public Place

5263 State of Texas -vs- Willis Washington
Aggravated Assault

5515 State of Texas -vs- Jim Collins
Vagrancy

5638 State of Texas vs W. B. Gregory
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

5666 State of Texas vs John O. Dalton
Establishing a Lottery

5870 State of Texas vs Bill Allenby
Escaping from Employer

5876 State of Texas vs J. M. Speck
Aggravated Assault

5927 State of Texas vs Jack Martin
Aggravated Assault

5958 State of Texas vs Bill Taylor
Ex. Gaming Table & Bank.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Monday the 5" day of May A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5970 State of Texas vs B. H. Williams, et al
Adultery

5971 State of Texas vs Jack Davis
Aggravated Assault

5978 State of Texas vs John Byron
Biting at Gaming Table

5983 State of Texas vs J. H. McCathron
Carrying Pistol

6073 State of Texas vs J. H. Traylor
Theft under $50.

6101 State of Texas vs Frank Carmine
Aggravated Assault

6269 State of Texas vs Geo A. Harris
Aggravated Assault

6399 State of Texas vs "Slick"
Theft under $50.

— Venire Facias —

Now comes J. C. Richardson, Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into open Court the Venire Facias for this the First Week of the May Term 1890 of the County Court of Tarrant County, and upon the jurors names thereon being called five qualified jurors answered to their names to wit: H. A. Darter, John Weddington, J. J. Connor Wm Brown and W. B. Loyd, who come all duly and sworn and placed upon the panel for the week, And it appearing to the Court that the panel is incomplete, it was ordered that the Sheriff do summon sufficient jurors to fill the said panel, And the said Sheriff after being sworn as the law directs returns into open Court the following qualified jurors to wit; R. W. Hulse, Geo Calvert, Thos Halloran, D. H. Parris E. E. Garrison, W. T. Henderson, Frank Booth & M. M. Mosteller and all of whom were duly sworn and placed upon the panel for the week,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Monday the 5" day of May A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Continued by Consent

5779 State of Texas vs John Vaughn
Playing Cards in Public Place

5780 State of Texas vs J. O'Brien
Playing Cards in Public Place

5867 State of Texas vs Wm Thomas
Theft under $20.

5975 State of Texas vs W. O. Middleton
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5976 State of Texas vs Frank Harrison
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5979 State of Texas vs Frank Harrison
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5980 State of Texas vs W. O. Middleton
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5981 State of Texas vs Frank Harrison
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5985 State of Texas vs W. O. Middleton
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5995 State of Texas vs C. C. Longmire
Selling Whisky & Bar on Sunday

5997 State of Texas vs C. C. Longmire
Selling Whisky on Sunday

6004 State of Texas vs C. C. Longmire
Selling Whisky on Sunday

6006 State of Texas vs C. C. Longmire
Selling Whisky & Bar on Sunday

6184 State of Texas vs Jim Dillon
Vagrancy

6187 State of Texas vs Jamy Vaughn
Carrying Pistol

6193 State of Texas vs Bob Hamilton
& Gaming Table & Bank

6195 State of Texas vs J. C. Smith
& Gaming Table & Bank

6196 State of Texas vs Jas Purvis
& Gaming Table & Bank

6305 State of Texas vs Jas Spencer
Betting at Game with Dice

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Monday the 5th day of May A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6314 State of Texas vs Jim Burris
& Gaming Table & Bank

6318 State of Texas vs Geo Davis
& Gaming Table & Bank

6322 State of Texas vs Jas Burris
& Gaming Table & Bank,

6346 State of Texas vs Lee Prince
Betting at Game with Dice

6357 State of Texas vs Henry Gray
& Gaming Table & Bank,

6374 State of Texas vs D. C. Fegley
Aggravated Assault

6415 State of Texas vs Ed Berlin
Aggravated Assault

6448 State of Texas vs John Lamuri
Keeping Saloon Open on Election Day

--- Alias Capias and Continuing ---
Ordered that Alias Capias issue for the Defendants in the following cases returnable to the next term of this Court and that the same be continued:

5817 State of Texas vs Tom Slaton
Slander

5854 State of Texas vs Henry Willis
Aggravated Assault

5921 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town

5922 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town.

5928 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town

5934 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town

5936 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town

5938 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Monday the 5" day of May A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5939 State of Texas vs W. M. Miller
Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town

6177 State of Texas vs Jim Wright, et al
Disturbing the Peace

6134 State of Texas vs Bud Emmons
Theft under $20.

6136 State of Texas vs Denny Loyd
Theft under $50.

6181 State of Texas vs Phillip Tyron
Aggravated Assault

6194 State of Texas vs Brazir Smith
Keeping Table & Bank for Purpose of Gaming

6313 State of Texas vs Davy Davis
& Gaming Table & Bank,

6319 State of Texas vs Brazir Smith
& Gaming Table & Bank,

6331 State of Texas vs Geo Pearce
Aggravated Assault

6343 State of Texas vs Fred Mundam, et al
Adultery

6351 State of Texas vs Levi Bivings
Betting at Game with Dice

6353 State of Texas vs Brazir Smith
& Gaming Table & Bank

6365 State of Texas vs Wm Rider
Carrying Pistol

6367 State of Texas vs Gus Carter
Theft under $20,

6372 State of Texas vs Thos Arnold
Aggravated Assault

6373 State of Texas vs John Jones
Escaped Convict

6380 State of Texas vs Ben Johnson
Theft under $20,

6381 State of Texas vs Ben Johnson
Theft under $20,

6411 State of Texas vs Jim Edwards
Aggravated Assault

6445 State of Texas vs Minnie Ray
Keeping Disorderly House,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Monday the 5th day of May A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6456 State of Texas vs W. L. Roark
Aggravated Assault

Ordered by the Court that Alias Capias Instanter issue for the following Defendants,

6254 State of Texas vs Charles Johnson
Aggravated Assault

6255 State of Texas vs Charles Johnson
Aggravated Assault

6256 State of Texas vs Jim Donovan
Aggravated Assault

6287 State of Texas vs Cal Brinson
Betting at a Game with Dice

6289 State of Texas vs Zack Williams
Betting at a Game with Dice

6299 State of Texas vs Zack Williams
Betting at Gaming Table & Bank

6311 State of Texas vs Wesley St Clair
Aggravated Assault

6317 State of Texas vs Henry Pope
Betting at Gaming Table & Bank

6324 State of Texas vs Ed Mahoney
Betting at Game with Dice

6326 State of Texas vs Albert Johnson
Betting at Game with Dice

6345 State of Texas vs Will King
Betting at Game with Dice

6347 State of Texas vs Bob Fowler
Betting at Game with Dice

6349 State of Texas vs Charley Peirce
Betting at Game with Dice

6350 State of Texas vs Alex Anderson
Betting at Game with Dice

6376 State of Texas vs Maggie Thomas
Assault

6391 State of Texas vs Webb Johnson
Aggravated Assault

6392 State of Texas vs C. Vaughn
Theft under $20.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Monday the 5 day of May A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6397 State of Texas vs Nick Davis
Aggravated Assault

6400 State of Texas vs Chas Owens
Theft under $20.

6401 State of Texas vs John Heinberg
Aggravated Assault

6402 State of Texas vs Mack Stax
Aggravated Assault

6403 State of Texas vs Mack Stax
Aggravated Assault

6404 State of Texas vs Nick Davis
Theft under $20.

6406 State of Texas vs Pink Barrett
Carrying Pistol

6407 State of Texas vs Pink Barrett
Aggravated Assault

6408 State of Texas vs Pink Barrett
Aggravated Assault

6419 State of Texas vs Sam Carr, et al
Disorderly House

6420 State of Texas Robert Frazier
Keeping Disorderly House

6433 State of Texas vs John Crisp
Aggravated Assault

6435 State of Texas vs John Gaines
Carrying Pistol

6440 State of Texas vs Sam Fisk
& Gaming Table & Bank.

6454 State of Texas vs J. W. Norton
Aggravated Assault

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons finds with the pleas herein says he will no further prosecute the following cause and moves the Court to dismiss the same as follows: to wit;

5907 State of Texas vs Fred J. Blake alias William Hefner
Malicious Mischief

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Monday the 5" day of May A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6119 State of Texas vs Wm Ward, et al
Disturbing the Peace

6120 State of Texas vs Wm Ward, et al
Aggravated Assault

6292 State of Texas vs Henry Terry
Betting at a Game with Dice

6310 State of Texas vs Henry Terry
Abusive Language

6332 State of Texas vs John Thompson
Aggravated Assault

6385 State of Texas vs Jeff L. Clark
Disturbing

6409 State of Texas vs John Mahoney
Aggravated Assault

6416 State of Texas vs Mat Flinn
Theft under $50.

6417 State of Texas vs Jim Burns
Theft under $50.

6464 State of Texas vs J. B. Fitts
Theft

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence orethant day.

5908 State of Texas vs Fred J. Blake & Wm Kefron } Malicious Mischief
Monday May 5" 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law come submitting to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Monday the 5 day of May A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Fred J. Blake alias Wm. Hefron the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that the said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs and paid in full.

6051 State of Texas } Carrying Pistol
v. } Monday May 5" 1890.
Ben M. Terrell }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law was submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ben M. Terrell, the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs and paid in full.

6300 State of Texas } Betting at a Gaming Table & Bank
v. } Monday May 5" 1890.
Captain Fox }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Monday the 5th day of May A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Captain Fox the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6401 State of Texas vs Walker Hargrave } Carrying Pistol
Monday May 5. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Walker Hargrave the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Monday the 5 day of May A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6455 State of Texas vs Thos Conner } Theft under $20. Monday May 5. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court and the Defendant now surrenders his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and fifteen days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Thos Conner, the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Fifteen days and until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

6396 State of Texas vs Allen McLain } Carrying Pistol Monday May 5th 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court and the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Monday the 5" day of May A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Allen McLain the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

✓

646 ✓ State of Texas vs John Jones } Carrying Pistol
Monday May 5" 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the suing of the State also come the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant John Jones the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

✓

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Monday the 5th day of May A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6477 State of Texas vs Lillie Albright } Aggravated Assault
Monday May 5. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Lillie Albright the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6479 State of Texas vs H. T. Gilmore } Carrying Pistol
Monday May 5. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Monday the 5" day of May A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

H. T. Gilmore the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning May 6" 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

6069 State of Texas vs Aggie Thompson — Disturbing Religious Worship
Tuesday May 6" 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Frank Booth and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered her plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty"

Frank Booth, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Aggie Thompson go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Tuesday the 6th day of May A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6437 State of Texas vs Jim Hill } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank/ Tuesday May 6th 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the suit of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty to betting at gaming table and bank. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Jim Hill the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

6439 State of Texas vs Geo Canary } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank, Tuesday May 6th 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the suit of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of Guilty to betting at a Gaming Table and Bank, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Tuesday the 6" day of May A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Geo Canary the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6453 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
vs } Tuesday May 6" 1890.
Phillip Shortley }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Phillip Shortley the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6476. State of Texas } Vagrancy
vs } Tuesday May 6" 1890.
Jesse Ramey }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Tuesday the 6" day of May A. D. 1890

State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Jesse Reeves the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow Morning at 9 o'clock

Wednesday Morning May 7" 1890. Court met at 9 o'clock Pursuant to adjournment Present as on yesterday.

6473 State of Texas } Vagrancy
vs }
Madam Porter } Wednesday May 7th 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Wednesday the 7" day of May A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Madam Porter the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Thursday Morning May 8" 1890 Court met at 9 o'Clock Pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

6141 State of Texas } Playing Cards in Public Place
by } Thursday May 8" 1890.
Jake Johnson }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announcing ready for trial, a jury being waiving the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Jake Johnson the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Thursday the 8th day of May A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6348 State of Texas vs Bud Fagg — Betting at a Game with Dice

Thursday May 8th 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Frank Booth and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Ten Dollars."

Frank Booth, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bud Fagg the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6398 State of Texas vs Fred Williams — Aggravated Assault

Thursday May 8th 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Thursday the 8th day of May A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Forty Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Fred Williams the sum of Forty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6438 State of Texas } Keeping & Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank
v. } Thursday May 8th 1890.
J. C. Smith }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty to betting at a gaming table and bank, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant J. C. Smith, the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Thursday the 8th day of May A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6441 State of Texas vs Chas Hadley } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank, Thursday May 8th 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty to betting at a gaming table and bank, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Chas Hadley the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs are paid in full,

State of Texas vs H. P. Shirs } Keeping Disorderly House Thursday May 8th 1890

Continued by the State

6450 State of Texas vs Chas Luttrell } Carrying Pistol Thursday May 8th 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of not Guilty, Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel, finds the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Thursday the 8th day of May A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

not guilty. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the said Defendant Chas Lawrence go hence without day.

6465 State of Texas } Theft
vs } Thursday May 8th 1890.
Geo Fuller }

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; F. Booth and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returning into open Court the following verdict to wit; We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty

F. Booth, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Geo Fuller go hence without day.

6480 State of Texas } Personating an Officer
vs } Thursday May 8th 1890.
Will Lord }

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion to Quash the Information herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon Because it is the opinion of the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Thursday the 8th day of May A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Court that the case is for said motion It is ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

6417 State of Texas vs L. Smith } Theft under $50

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Frank Booth and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now hereunto his plea of not Guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court and announced that they could not agree, And it appearing to the Court that said jury has been kept together for a sufficient length of time. It is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged and that said cause stand for trial in its regular order upon the Docket of this Court.

6472 State of Texas vs E. H. Abbott } Pursuing Occupation without License Thursday May 8th 1890

It appearing to the Court that all costs accruing in this case have been paid in full by said Defendant. It is ordered by the Court that said case be dismissed and the same is hereby dismissed and that the Defendant E. H. Abbott go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Tuesday the 13" day of May A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Tuesday Morning May 13" 1890 Court in session present as on May 8" 1890.

5644 State of Texas vs Chas Hadley } Exhibiting Gaming Table and Bank. Tuesday May 13" 1890.

Now coming the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the said Defendant Chas Hadley go hence without day.

Continued by Consent

5825 State of Texas vs Frederick Dailey
Aggravated Assault

6169 State of Texas vs Sam Evans
Aggravated Assault

6170 State of Texas vs Sam Evans
Aggravated Assault

Continued by Defendant

6301 State of Texas vs Mark McCall
Carrying Pistol

6136 State of Texas vs Danny Loyd } Theft under $20. Tuesday May 13. 1890.

Now comes the County Attorney for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Danny Loyd go hence without day

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Tuesday the 13th day of May A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6388 State of Texas vs Julius Russell } Carrying Pistol
Tuesday May 13th 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then come on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the Information herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. It is therefore ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

6474 State of Texas vs Gracie Lane } Vagrancy
Tuesday May 13th 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law and submitting to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Gracie Lane the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6495 State of Texas vs Jose Belmont } Vagrancy
Tuesday May 13. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in her own proper person and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Tuesday the 13" day of May A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Josa Belmont, the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand commited to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6489 State of Texas vs John Mass } Carrying Pistol Tuesday May 13. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Forty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant John Mass the sum of Forty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand commited to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Tuesday the 13" day of May A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6483 State of Texas vs James Hust } Carrying Pistol
Tuesday May 13, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant James Hust the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6487 State of Texas vs Tom Spikes } Theft
Tuesday May 13, 1890.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: S. O. Tucker, and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entering his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Tuesday the 13" day of May A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

assess his punishment at six months imprisonment in the County Jail,

S. P. Tucker, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said Defendant Tom Spikes be confined in the County Jail for the full period of six months and until all costs accrued herein are paid in full,

6488 State of Texas } Carrying Pistol
vs } Tuesday May 13, 1890.
Chas Wright }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Chas Wright the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs and paid in full,

6495 State of Texas } Carrying Pistol
vs } Tuesday May 13, 1890.
Lewis Hicks }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Tuesday the 13th day of May A. D. 1890

Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lewis Hill the sum of Twenty five dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further orders that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6493 State of Texas vs Julius Russell } Carrying Pistol
Tuesday May 13, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the Information and Complaint herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

6494 State of Texas vs Julius Russell } Carrying Pistol
Tuesday May 13th 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. H. Smith and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not Guilty: Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Tuesday the 13" day of May A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty."

J. M. Smith, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the said Defendant Julius Russell go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Wednesday Morning May 14, 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5979 State of Texas vs Ecey White } Theft under $50. Wednesday May 14, 1890 Continued by Consent.

5986 State of Texas vs Arch Drew & Zachy Drew } Ex Gaming Table & Bank Wednesday May 14, 1890. Alias and Continued

6050 State of Texas vs G. M. Giessie } Pursuing occupation without license Wednesday May 14, 1890. It appearing to the Court that the Defendant has paid all costs accruing herein, it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed

6281 State of Texas vs Cicero Lutz } Aggravated Assault Wednesday May 14, 1890. Continued by Defendant.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Wednesday the 14" day of May A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6282 State of Texas vs Cicero Jordan and Cicero Lutz — Aggravated Assault — Wednesday May 14, 1890 — Continued by Defendant.

6194 State of Texas vs Dan Andrews — Issuing Bar on Sunday — Wednesday May 14" 1890.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: S. P. Tucker and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty,

S. P. Tucker, Foreman,"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Dan Andrews go hence without day.

~~5~~ 6291 State of Texas vs Nick Johnson — Betting at a Game with Dice, Wednesday May 14" 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Wednesday the 14th day of May A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of Ten Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6306 State of Texas vs Paul Hill — Carrying Pistol. Wednesday May 14, 1890. Continued by Consent.

6418 State of Texas vs O. M. Kerr — Carrying Pistol. Wednesday May 14, 1890. Continued by Consent.

6421 State of Texas vs Chas Diitz — Keeping Disorderly House. Wednesday May 14, 1890. Continued by Consent.

6422 State of Texas vs Fannie Anderson — Keeping Disorderly House. Wednesday May 14, 1890. Alias and Continued

6423 State of Texas vs Chas Diitz — Keeping Disorderly House. Wednesday May 14, 1890. Continued by Consent.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Wednesday the 14" day of May A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6434	State of Texas vs J. D. Andrews	Keeping Disorderly House, Wednesday May 14, 1890. Continued by Consent.
6435	State of Texas vs N. Lacroix	Keeping Disorderly House, Wednesday May 14, 1890, Continued by Consent,
6436	State of Texas vs E. L. Thielman	Selling Liquor without keeping License Wednesday May 14, 1890 Continued by the State,
6443	State of Texas vs Mattie Franklin	Keeping Disorderly House Wednesday May 14, 1890. Continued by Consent,
6446	State of Texas vs Mabe Woods	Keeping Disorderly House Wednesday May 14, 1890 Continued by Consent.
6447	State of Texas vs Geo Marlow	Keeping Disorderly House, Wednesday May 14, 1890. Continued by Consent.
6449	State of Texas vs E. L. Thielman	Selling Whisky without License Wednesday May 14, 1890. Continued by Consent.
6460	State of Texas vs Rufus Draper	aggravated assault Wednesday May 14, 1890. Continued by Consent.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Wednesday the 14th day of May A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6484 State of Texas vs Tom Stanford } Vagrancy
Wednesday May 14th 1890.

This day came the ~~parties by their~~ attorneys and announced ready ~~for~~ trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Chas Hardisty and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the information being read to the jury the defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at $2.50 Two Dollars & fifty cents.

Chas Hardisty, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from said defendant Tom Stanford the sum of Two Dollars and fifty cents fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs and paid in full.

6490 State of Texas vs Wm. White } Vagrancy
Wednesday May 14, 1890.

This day came the ~~parties by~~ their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Chas Hardisty and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the information

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Wednesday the 14th day of May A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the jury finds the Defendant not guilty as charged.

Chas Hardisty, Forman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the said Defendant Wm White go hence without day,

6491 State of Texas vs John Harrigan } Vagrancy

Wednesday May 14, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit Ed Hornkamp and five others who were duly selected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty as charged.

Ed Hornkamp, Forman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Harrigan go hence without day,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Wednesday the 14th day of May A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6492 State of Texas vs Jesse Williams — Vagrancy. Wednesday May 14, 1890.

Now come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state and for good and sufficient reasons files with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jesse Williams go hence without day.

6501 State of Texas vs Walter Snoddy — Carrying Pistol. Wednesday May 14, 1890.

This day come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also come the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Walter Snoddy the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Wednesday the 14" day of May A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6434 State of Texas vs W. H. Muller — Aggravated Assault — Wednesday May 14" 1890

This day came the parties by their Attorney and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit, G. W. Akins and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess his fine at Twenty five dollars."

G. W. Akins, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant W. H. Muller, the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6052 State of Texas vs S. F. Camp — Carrying Pistol — Thursday May 15, 1890.

Continued by the Defendant.

6195 State of Texas vs Dan Andrews — Selling Beer on Sunday — Thursday May 15. 1890.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Thursday the 15 day of May A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Dan Andrews go hence without day.

6250 State of Texas vs S. P. Shaw } Aggravated Assault Thursday May 15 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; Ed Kornkamp and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court and announced that they could not agree, And it appearing to the Court that said jury has been kept together for a sufficient length of time It is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged and that this cause stand for trial in its regular order upon the Docket of this Court.

6311 State of Texas vs Wesley St Clair } Aggravated Assault Thursday May 15, 1890.

This day come the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; S. P. Tucker, and five

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Thursday the 15 day of May A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

thing who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty". S. B. Tucker, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Turky St Clair go hence without day.

6417 State of Texas vs L. Smith — Theft under $50 — Thursday May 15, 1890.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant L. Smith go hence without day.

Continued by Consent

6426 State of Texas vs J. F. Moore — Keeping Disorderly House

6427 State of Texas vs J. F. Moore — Keeping a Disorderly House

6428 State of Texas vs J. F. Moore — Keeping a Disorderly House.

6429 State of Texas vs J. F. Moore, — Keeping a Disorderly House

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Thursday the 15 day of May A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6430 State of Texas vs J. F. Moore
Keeping a Disorderly House,

6431 State of Texas vs J. F. Moore
Keeping a Disorderly House,

6432 State of Texas vs J. F. Moore
Keeping Disorderly House

6478 State of Texas vs John Paxton alias Red } Vagrancy

Thursday May 15, 1890.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and suffient reasons finds with the pleas herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Paxton alias Red go hence without day.

6481 State of Texas vs Bob Stafford } Aggravated Assault

Thursday May. 15. 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: S. P. Tucker and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

S. P. Tucker Foreman,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Thursday the 15 day of May A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the said Defendant Bob Stafford go hence without day.

6486

State of Texas
-vs-
Henry Rodman, et al.

Theft under $20.
Thursday May 15, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon come a jury of good and lawful men to wit: L. M. Prince and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant Henry Rademan entered his plea of not guilty and the Defendant Pat Cunningham enters his plea of guilty Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the defendants guilty and assess the punishment of each of them at sixty days in County Jail.

L. M. Prince, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said Defendants Henry Rademan and Pat Cunningham be confined in the County Jail for the full period of sixty days and until all costs accrued herein are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Thursday the 15 day of May A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6176 State of Texas vs John Hines } Suing on Sunday
Thursday May 15, 1890.

Coming by the Sale,

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cause and moves the Court to dismiss the same, as fully set forth in said motion and adjudged

6347 State of Texas vs Bob Forrer
Suing at Gamewick Dice

6463 State of Texas vs Mahaly Manning
Theft

6496 State of Texas vs James Williamson
Vagrancy

It is therefore considered and adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

6470 State of Texas vs Juan Elrido } Aggravated Assault
Thursday May 15, 1890.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial and the Defendant now here suggests that his name is Eladio Lamonte, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: S. P. Tucker and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit;

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Thursday the 15 day of May A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at sixty days in the County Jail and a fine of Twenty five Dollars.

S. O. Tucker, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Eladio Lamonte the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant Stand committed to the County Jail for the full termes of sixty days and until the fine and costs and feeds in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Friday Morning May 16th 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

6469 State of Texas vs A. Stone — Aggravated Assault. Friday May 16, 1890

Continued by the State.

6469 State of Texas vs A. Stone — Aggravated Assault. Friday May 16, 1890.

It appearing to the Court that Wm Hefron, John McLain and Martin Gunnon witness in the above cause have been duly served with subpoena and have failed to appear It is ordered that they each be fined in the sum of one hundred dollars for contempt of Court and that Scire Facias issue returnable to the next term of this Court requiring them to appear and show cause why this judgment shall not be made final

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Friday the 16. day of May A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6470 State of Texas vs Eladio Lamonte — Aggravated Assault — Friday May 16. 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to set aside the verdict heretofore rendered in this case and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion it is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

6485 State of Texas vs J. H. Riley — Carrying Pistol — Friday May 16. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now thereupon his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant J. H. Riley the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Friday the 16" day of May A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6368 State of Texas vs Eli Johnson } Aggravated Assault
Friday May 16, 1890.
Continued by the State

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Saturday Morning May 17, 1890 Court met a 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

6250 State of Texas vs G. P. Shaldo } Aggravated Assault
Saturday May 17, 1890.
Continued by Consent.

6321 State of Texas vs Sid Gillian } E. Gaming Table & Bank
Saturday May 17, 1890.
Continued by Consent.

5019 City of Fort Worth vs G. S. Miller } Nuisance
Saturday May 17, 1890.
Now comes the City attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City of Fort Worth and says; he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant G. S. Miller, go hence without day.

5074 City of Fort Worth vs Bob McDick } Disturbing the Peace.
Saturday May 17, 1890.
Now comes the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City of Fort Worth, and says he will no further

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Saturday the 17, day of May A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Bob McDill go hence without day.

6017 City of Fort Worth vs Nick Carrille } Leering on Sunday Saturday May 17.1890.

Now comes the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City of Fort Worth and says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dimiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Nick Carrille go hence without day.

6393 City of Fort Worth vs Chas Schuur } Leering on Sunday Saturday May 17, 1890.

Now comes the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City of Fort Worth and ~~says~~ says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Chas Schuur go hence without day.

6470 State of Texas vs Juan Elrido alias Eladio Lamonte } Aggravated Assault Saturday May 17, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

fact as well as of law come submitting to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty Thereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and Thirty days in the County Jail, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed that the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Eladio Samonte, the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant be confined in the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

6499 State of Texas vs Lawson Johnson } Carrying a Pistol Saturday May 17, 1890.

It appearing to the Court that Sid Graham was duly served with subpoena in this case and that he has failed to appear, it is ordered that he be fined in the sum of One hundred dollars for contempt of Court and that Scire Facias issue returnable to the July Term of this Court requiring him to appear and show cause why this judgment shall not be made final,

6499. State of Texas vs Lawson Johnson } Carrying Pistol Saturday May 17, 1890

Continued by the State for the absence of witness Sid Graham.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Saturday the 17th day of May A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

6502 State of Texas
vs
Joe Bunch

Carrying Pistol
Saturday May 17, 1890.

It appearing to the Court that Chas Smith was duly served with subpoena in this case and that he has failed to appear it is ordered that he be fined in the sum of One Hundred dollars for contempt of Court and that Scire Facias issue returnable to the July Term of this Court requiring him to appear and show cause why this judgment should not be made final.

6502 State of Texas
vs
Joe Bunch

Carrying Pistol
Saturday May 17, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Joe Bunch the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Monday the 19" day of May A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6498 State of Texas vs Chas Edwards } Carrying Pistol
Monday May 19, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars; It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas Edwards the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant Chas Edwards stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6505 State of Texas vs Fleetwood Pate } Carrying Knuckles
Monday May 19, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Fleetwood Pate the sum

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are said in full.

Wednesday Morning May 21, 1890 Court in session present as on Monday May 19, 1890.

6513 State of Texas } Theft of over $50,
vs } Wednesday May 21, 1890.
Tom Wilson }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of such as well as of law now submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of Guilty Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Fifty dollars and sixty days imprisonment in the County Jail, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Wilson the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of sixty days and until the fine and costs are said in full.

Friday Morning May 23rd 1890 Court in session present as on Wednesday May 21, 1890.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Friday the 23" day of May A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6507 State of Texas vs Will Black } Aggravated Assault
Friday May 23" 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of from the said Defendant Will Black the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6534 State of Texas -vs- Jim Richmond } Wednesday June 4" 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No jury having been demanded the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $ 25.00 and 60 days imprisonment in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Richmond the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine assessed as

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that ~~execution issue for the use of the officers of Court against each party respectively for all costs by them~~ said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of sixty days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6536 State of Texas -vs- Bob Hicks } Theft
Wednesday June 4th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No jury having been demanded the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00 and 60 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Hicks the sum of Twenty-five dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred, for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Sixty days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

V

6539 State of Texas -vs- Bob Hicks } Shooting Craps
Wednesday June 4th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Wednesday the 4th day of June A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

person and both parties announced ready for trial. No jury being demanded the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Hicks the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the sentence in cause No 6536, said defendant stand comitted to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full

6540 State of Texas
-vs-
Bob Hicks } Wednesday June 4th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No jury being demanded the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty. whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Hicks the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the sentences imposed in causes Nos. 6536 and 6539, said Defendant stand committed to the County

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Wednesday the 4th day of June A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full

6546

State of Texas
-vs-
Killiard

Carrying a Pistol
Tuesday June 10th 1890

This day came the County Attorney and says he will no further prosecute this cause and moves the Court to dismiss same. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this suit and that the Defendant Killiard go hence without day.

6548

State of Texas
-vs-
R. H. Killiard

Aggravated Assault
Tuesday June 10th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No jury having been demanded, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of Twenty-five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant R. H. Killiard the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred. For which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand Committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

V

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Saturday the 21st day of June A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6554

State of Texas -vs- Geo. Gothrick } Aggravated Assault
Saturday June 21st 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No jury having been demanded, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00 and 30 days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo. Gothrick, the sum of Twenty-five dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full and for the further period of Thirty days.

6498 1.50
6362 1.50

6616

State of Texas -vs- Charles Graham } Selling Beer on Sunday
Monday June 23rd 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No jury having been demanded the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charles Graham, the sum of Twenty Dollars

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Monday the 23rd day of June A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full

6600 State of Texas -vs- Sam Adams } Selling Beer on Sunday
Monday June 23rd 1890

This day came County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No jury having been demanded the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Sam Adams the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

V

6101 State of Texas -vs- Frank Cannie } Aggravated Assault
Wednesday May 28th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No jury having been demanded the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed

V

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1890

Monday the 23rd day of June A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

his punishment at a Fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Carnie the sum of Twenty-five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6672

State of Texas
vs
Henry Jackson

Saturday June 28th. 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant upon plea entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Forty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Henry Jackson the sum of Forty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Saturday June 28" 1890

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until Court in Course,

W. D. Harris

County Judge Tarrant Co. Texas

Attest:

Jno O. King, Co. Clerk,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Monday the 7th day of July A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in July A. D. 1890, the same being the 7th day of said month, there was begun and holden a regular Term of the County Court within and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth. Present the Hon. W. D. Harris County Judge presiding, B. P. Ayres County Attorney, John R. King County Clerk and J. C. Richardson Sheriff of said County, when and where the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court to-wit;

5854 State of Texas -vs- Henry Willis } Aggravated Assault Monday July 7th 1890 Alias Capias Continued

6324 State of Texas vs Ed Mahoney } Betting at Game with Dice Monday July 7th 1890. Alias Cap Continued

6331 State of Texas vs George Reeves } Aggravated Assault Monday July 7th 1890. Alias Cap Continued

6345 State of Texas vs Dick King } Betting at Game with Dice Monday July 7th 1890. Alias Cap Continued.

6351 State of Texas vs Levi Ewing } Betting at Game with Dice Monday July 7th 1890. Alias Cap Continued.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Monday the 7th day of July A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6367 State of Texas vs Gus Carter } Theft under $20. Monday July 7th 1890. Alias 2nd Continued.

6377 State of Texas vs Thos Arnold } Aggravated Assault Monday July 7th 1890. Alias 2nd Continued.

6391 State of Texas vs Ikeba Johnson } Aggravated Assault Monday July 7, 1890. Alias 2nd Continued.

6400 State of Texas vs Chas Orrins } Theft under $50 Monday July 7, 1890. Alias 2nd Continued

6406 State of Texas vs Pink Barnett } Carrying Pistol Monday July 7, 1890. Alias 2nd Continued.

6407 State of Texas vs Pink Barnett } Aggravated Assault. Monday July 7, 1890 Alias 2nd Continued.

6408 State of Texas vs Pink Barnett } Aggravated Assault Monday July 7, 1890 Alias 2nd Continued

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Monday the 7 day of July A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6411	State of Texas vs Jere Edwards	Aggravated Assault Monday July 7th 1890. Alias Cap Continued.
6419	State of Texas vs Lou Carr, & Mollie Edwards	Disorderly House Monday July 7, 1890. Alias Cap Continued,
6427	State of Texas vs Fannie Anderson	Keeping Disorderly House Monday July 7, 1890. Alias Cap Continued.
6440	State of Texas vs Sam Fish	& Gaming Table Cap Dank. Monday July 7, 1890. Alias Cap Continued.
6445	State of Texas vs Minnie Ray	Keeping Disorderly House Monday July 7, 1890 Alias Cap Continued
6454	State of Texas vs J. W. Norton	Aggravated Assault Monday July 7, 1890 Alias Cap Continued
6482	State of Texas vs Walter Galvin	Carrying Pistol Monday July 7, 1890. Alias Cap Continued,
6301	State of Texas vs Mart McCall	Carrying Pistol Monday July 7, 1890 Continued by Agreement.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Monday the 7" day of July A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6326	State of Texas vs Albert Johnson	Betting at Game with Dice Monday July 7, 1890. Alias Cap Continued,
6329	State of Texas vs George Kelley	Betting at Game with Dice Monday July 7, 1890. Continued,
6368	State of Texas vs Eli Johnson	Aggravated Assault Monday July 7, 1890. Continued by Consent.
6443.	State of Texas vs Mattie Franklin	Keeping Disorderly House Monday July 7, 1890 Continued by Consent.
6446	State of Texas vs Mabel Hoads	Keeping Disorderly House Monday July 7, 1890. Continued.
6447	State of Texas vs George Marcom	Keeping Disorderly House Monday July 7, 1890 Continued.
6452	State of Texas vs W. H. Mercer	Aggravated Assault Monday July 7, 1890. Continued.
6460	State of Texas vs Rufus Draper	Aggravated Assault Monday July 7, 1890 Continued

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Monday the 7th day of July A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6468	State of Texas vs Frank Gillespie	Aggravated Assault Monday July 7, 1890 Continued,
6499	State of Texas vs Lawson Johnson	Carrying Pistol Monday July 7, 1890, Continued,
6500	State of Texas vs Ben Wallis	Carrying Pistol Monday July 7th 1890. Continued by Consent,
6578	State of Texas vs E. B. Daggett	Keeping Disorderly House Monday July 7, 1890. Continued,
6579	State of Texas vs E. B. Daggett	Keeping Disorderly House Monday July 7, 1890. Continued,
6580	State of Texas vs Mike Dillon	Keeping Disorderly House Monday July 7, 1890 Continued,
6590	State of Texas vs Os Bates & Laura White	Fornication Monday July 7, 1890, Continued,
6589	State of Texas vs John G. Davis	Selling Liquor to minor Monday July 7, 1890 Continued,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Monday the 7th day of July A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

It appearing to the Court that the Sheriff has been unable to arrest the Defendants in the following cases, it is ordered by the Court that said cases be Filed until such time as the Defendants therein can be arrested to-wit:

6365 State of Texas vs Wm Rider
Carrying Pistols

6373 State of Texas vs John Jones
Escaped Convict

6402 State of Texas vs Max Sears
Aggravated Assault

6403 State of Texas vs Max Sears
Aggravated Assault

6503 State of Texas vs Ed Brown } Carrying Pistol
Monday July 7, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed Brown the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein including for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and Costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Monday the 7th day of July A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6555 State of Texas vs Sam Evans } Carrying Pistol
Monday July 7, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Sam Evans the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6576 State of Texas vs Dave Persking } Gaming at Game with Dice
Monday July 7 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dave Persking the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Monday the 7" day of July A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6627 State of Texas vs O. B. Davis } Carrying Pistol
Monday July 7, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant O. B. Davis the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9" o'clock,

Tuesday Morning July 8" 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock Pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Tuesday the 8th day of July A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6637 State of Texas vs John Carr } Theft under $20, Tuesday July 8, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars and thirty days imprisonment in the County Jail It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Carr, the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the space of thirty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

6620 State of Texas vs Gilbert Cochran } Theft under $20, Tuesday July 8th, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars and thirty days imprisonment in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Tuesday the 8th day of July A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Defendant Gibert Cachaun the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full,

Continued By Consent

6052 State of Texas -vs- S. F. Camp
Carrying a Pistol

6344 State of Texas -vs- Tom Owens
Continued By Consent

6346 State of Texas -vs- Lee Price
Betting at Game with Dice

6357 State of Texas -vs- Henry Gray
Ex Gaming Table & Bank.

6359 State of Texas -vs- Frank Garrett
Playing Cards in Public Place

6421 State of Texas -vs- Chas Dietz
Keeping Disorderly House

6423 State of Texas -vs- Chas. Dietz
Keeping Disorderly House

6425 State of Texas -vs- N. Lacroix
Keeping Disorderly House

6426
6427
6428
6429
6430
6431
6432 State of Texas -vs- J. F. Moore
Keeping Disorderly House

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

the day of A. D. 18

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6436 State of Texas -vs- E L. Thilman
Selling withut License

6444 State of Texas -vs- H P. Shiel
Keeping Disorderly House

6449 State of Texas -vs- E L. Thilman
Selling withut License

6504 State of Texas -vs- Elij Winfrey
Aggravated Assault

6506 State of Texas -vs- D. A. Winfrey
Drunk in Public Place

6508 State of Texas -vs- Chas Dietz
Keeping open on Sunday

6509 State of Texas -vs- Chas Dietz
Keeping open on Sunday

6510
6511
6512 State of Texas -vs- Chas Dietz
Selling Beer on Sunday

6516 State of Texas -vs- H P. Shiel
Abusive Language

6517
6518 State of Texas -vs- Bill Smith
Aggravated Assault

6306 State of Texas -vs- Paul Hill
Carrying a Pistol

6448 State of Texas -vs- John Lanier
Keeping Saloon Open on Election Day

6469 State of Texas -vs- A. Stone
Aggravated Assault

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

the day of A. D. 18

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6519	State of Texas -vs- Bill Smith Carrying a Pistol
6522	State of Texas -vs- L. P. Moore False Imprisonment
6524	State of Texas -vs- Minnie Bates Aggravated Assault
6527	State of Texas -vs- Bill Pulliam Aggravated Assault
6542	State of Texas -vs- John Moss Theft
6543	State of Texas -vs- J. S. Madden Abusive Language
6547	State of Texas -vs- Mike Cassady Occupation without License
6551	State of Texas -vs- Jim Donovan Aggravated Assault
6567	State of Texas -vs- John Stuart Selling on Sunday
6568	State of Texas -vs- Louis Maas Selling on Sunday
6569	
6570	State of Texas -vs- Geo. Wilcox Selling on Sunday
6571	State of Texas -vs- J. J. Hatchell Selling on Sunday
6572	
6573	
6574	
6575	State of Texas -vs- John Thomas Aggravated Assault

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

the day of A. D. 18

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6583 State of Texas -vs- Jno Rushing
Aggravated Assault

6584 State of Texas -vs- John Stuart
Selling on Sunday

6591 State of Texas -vs- Bob Maurice & Parilee Steele
Fornication

6592 State of Texas -vs- Louis Maas
6594 Selling on Sunday

6596 State of Texas -vs- Louis Maas
Open on Sunday

6598 State of Texas -vs- John Stuart
Selling on Sunday

6603 State of Texas -vs. H O. Shiel
Assault

6604 State of Texas -vs- Tom Morgan & Dan Ryan
Aggravated Assault

6605 State of Texas -vs- C. B. Dixon
Permitting Game in House under his control

6607 State of Texas -vs- Chas Deitz
Selling on Sunday

6611 State of Texas -vs- G. H Day
Selling on Sunday

6614 State of Texas -vs- Dick Burns
Selling on Sunday

6615 State of Texas -vs- John Stuart
Keeping open on Sunday

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

the day of A. D. 18

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

6617 State of Texas -vs- Robt Bailey
Aggravated Assault

6629 State of Texas -vs- Jack Dennie
Aggravated Assault

6635 State of Texas -vs- Bill Moore
Carrying Pistol

6638 State of Texas -vs- Joe Clark
Aggravated Assault

Alias & Continued

It appearing to the Court that the Sheriff has been unable to arrest the Defendants in the following cases it is ordered that Alias Capias issue and that said causes be continued, to-wit;

6376 State of Texas -vs- Mollie Thomas
Assault

6380 State of Texas -vs- Ben Johnson
6381 Theft

6401 State of Texas -vs- John Kemberg
Aggravated Assault

6404 State of Texas -vs- Will Davis
Theft

6420 State of Texas -vs- Robert Frazier
Keeping Disorderly House

6471 State of Texas -vs- W. F. Warren
Theft

6530 State of Texas -vs- Will Parker
Carrying Pistol

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

the day of A. D. 18

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6549 State of Texas -vs- Charlie Nichols
Abusive Language

6559 State of Texas -vs- John Johnson
Betting at Gaming Table and Bank

6565 State of Texas -vs- Al. Burkett
Aggravated Assault

6566 State of Texas -vs- John Hughes
Assault

6581 State of Texas -vs- Dan Daggett
Aggravated Assault

6610 State of Texas -vs- Joe Stearns
Carrying a Pistol

6621 State of Texas -vs- Billy the Kid
Carrying a Pistol

6625 State of Texas -vs- Eugene Robinson
Embezzlement

6631 State of Texas -vs- Bailey Barber
Aggravated Assault

6632 State of Texas -vs- L. M. Crawford
Aggravated Assault

6634 State of Texas -vs- Thmas Guy
Aggravated Assault

-- Nolle Prosequi --

Now comes the County Attorney prosequing the Pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein, says he will no further prosecute the following Causes, to-wit

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

the day of A. D. 18

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6514 State of Texas -vs- Columbus Freeman
Threats to take life

6515 State of Texas -vs- Sam Humphrey
Aggravated Assault

6529 State of Texas -vs- Dave Dunn
Aggravated Assault

6535 State of Texas -vs- Jim Conley
Theft

6545 State of Texas -vs- P. S. Powers
Theft

6553 State of Texas -vs- Charles Young
Theft

6582 State of Texas -vs- Luke Short
Aggravated Assault

6601 State of Texas -vs- Anthony Cobb
Selling Liquor to Minor

6606 State of Texas -vs- John O'Neal
Selling Beer on Sunday

6618 State of Texas -vs- Charles Black
Carrying Pistol

6619 State of Texas -vs- Will Bennett
Theft

6622 State of Texas -vs- Lattie Freeman
Aggravated Assault

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of said prosecutions and that the Defendants thereon go hence without day

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Thursday the 10th day of July A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6424 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Andrews } Keeping Disorderly House
Thursday July 10th 1890
Continued By the Defendant

— Alias Instanter —

Ordered that Alias Capias returnable Instanter, issue in the following cases, to-wit:

6523 State of Texas -vs- Ada Rollins
Aggravated Assault

6525 State of Texas -vs- Jess Stone
Aggravated Assault

6526 State of Texas -vs- Vinita Davis
Aggravated Assault

6537
6538 State of Texas -vs- George Smith
Betting

6541 State of Texas -vs- Liza Perkins
Theft

6586 State of Texas -vs- George Canary
Exhibiting

6613 State of Texas -vs- Jack Vine
Slander

6520 State of Texas -vs- Dec. Prince } Aggravated Assault
Thursday July 10th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announce ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Sec Prince the sum of Twenty-five dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

6521

State of Texas
-vs-
Sec. Prince

Playing at a Game with Dice
Thursday July 10th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Sec. Prince the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the Fine and costs in Cause No 6520, said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the Fine and costs in this cause are paid in full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Thursday the 10th day of July A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6597

State of Texas } Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday
-vs- } Thursday July 10th 1890
Buck Smith }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Buck Smith the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid to-gether with all Costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid no fuss.

6608

State of Texas } Selling Beer on Sunday
-vs- } Thursday July 10th 1890
Rudolph Kuhn }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No jury having been demanded the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Rudolph Kuhn the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

behalf incurred for which said Fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand Committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full

6609 State of Texas -vs- Jack Pennington } Selling Beer on Sunday Thursday July 10th 1890

Continued By the State for absence of J. J. Marian and Attachment ordered for said Witness

6612 State of Texas -vs- Buck Smith } Selling Whiskey on Sunday Thursday July 10th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No jury having been demanded the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Buck Smith the sum of Twenty dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of Fine and Costs in cause No 6597 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the Fine and Costs in this cause are satisfied in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Friday the 11th day of July A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6250 State of Texas -vs- S. O. Shaw } Aggravated Assault
Friday July 11th 1890
Continued By the State

6305 State of Texas -vs- Joe Spencer } Betting at Dice
Friday July 11th 1890
Continued By the State for absence of Joe. McMillan and Levi Bivins.

6556 State of Texas -vs- Dock Dupree } Betting at Dice
Friday July 11th 1890
This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announce ready for trial. No jury having been demanded, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dock Dupree the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6560 State of Texas -vs- Isham McConnell } Betting
Friday July 11th 1890
This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Friday the 11th day of July A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Isham McConnell the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full

6561

State of Texas
-vs-
Jim Burris

Betting
Friday July 11th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No jury having been demanded the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Burris the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended and that Execution issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Friday the 11th day of July A. D. 1890

6562

State of Texas
-vs-
Henry Gray

Setting
Friday July 11th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No jury having been demanded the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Henry Gray the sum of Ten Dollars, Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6633

State of Texas
-vs-
J. E. Taylor

Theft
Friday July 11th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00 and 30 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. E.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Taylor, the sum of Twenty-five dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred, for which said Fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the space of Thirty days, and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

66140 State of Texas -vs- Ed. Slate } Carrying a Pistol Saturday July 12" 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed. Slate the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full

✓

6552 State of Texas -vs- Steve Hicks Miller } Carrying Pistol Monday July 14" 1890

Continued by Defendant for absence of witnesses James Herndon, L. Gipson, L. P. Forbis and J. C Peterson

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Monday the 14th day of July A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6593

State of Texas } Selling on Sunday
-vs- } Monday July 14th 1890
Louis Maas }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Louis Maas the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6595

State of Texas } Selling Beer on Sunday
-vs- } Monday July 14th 1890
Louis Maas }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Louis Maas the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Monday the 14th day of July A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers

together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

6418 State of Texas -vs- O. M. Kerr } Tuesday July 15th 1890 Carrying a Pistol

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant O. M. Kerr, the sum of Twenty-five dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6528 State of Texas -vs- Kenney Oliver } Aggravated Assault Tuesday July 15th 1890

Continued By the State.

6585 State of Texas -vs- L. Reno } Betting Tuesday July 15th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Tuesday the 15th day of July A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty to the charge of Betting at a Gaming Table, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant L. Reno the sum of Ten dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6587

State of Texas
-vs-
Sam Finch

} Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank. Tuesday July 15th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty of Betting at a Gaming Table, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Sam Finch the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred and that execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Tuesday the 15" day of July A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6088

State of Texas
-vs-
John Nyberger

Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank
Tuesday July 15" 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty of Betting at a Gaming Table. whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Nyberger the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full

6602

State of Texas
-vs-
Ed. Carr

Selling Beer on Sunday
Tuesday July 15th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from Defendant Ed. Carr the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Tuesday the 15th day of July A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

for which said Fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

6624 State of Texas -vs- Geo. Haugnue } Aggravated Assault
Tuesday July 15th 1890
Continued by the State for absence of witness John Sullivan.

6626 State of Texas -vs- Geo. Haugnue } Carrying a Bowie Knife
Tuesday July 15th 1890
Continued by the State for absence of witness John Sullivan.

6639 Ex Parte Sallie Twibbles } Habeas Corpus
Tuesday July 15th 1890
This case being heard it is ordered by the Court that the Minr Sallie Twibbles be remanded to the custody of Respondent Sylvester Armstrong under the former order of this Court. And Relator in open Court excepts and gives Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

6544 State of Texas -vs- Jim Griffin } Carrying a Pistol
Wednesday July 16th 1890
Continued by the State for absence of Chas. Nichols, S. M. Bell and Bill Kraff.

6533 State of Texas -vs- Jesse Green } Theft
Thursday July 17th 1890
Continued By the State

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Thursday the 17th day of July A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

6550 State of Texas -vs- M. A. Koch } Theft

Thursday July 17th 1890

Continued by the State for absence of witness E Cassidy

6599 State of Texas -vs- John Stuart } Selling on Sunday

Thursday July 17th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Stuart the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Court till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6628 State of Texas -vs- Thos. Haverli } Theft

Wednesday July 16th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Thursday the 17th day of July A. D. 1890

punishment at a Fine of $25.00 and 30 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Thos. Haverli the sum of Twenty-five dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6643

State of Texas -vs- Ed. Johnson } Aggravated Assault
Wednesday July 16th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed. Johnson the sum of Twenty-five dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred, for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Friday the 18" day of July A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6630 State of Texas -vs- Robert Sunheim } Theft
Friday July 18" 1890
Continued by the State for absence of witnesses

6642 State of Texas -vs- Ed. McGinnes } Friday July 18" 1890
Continued by the State for absence of witnesses Ada Stewart and Mrs. Brazier

6650 Ex Parte Pearly May Hill } Habeas Corpus
Saturday July 19" 1890
This day this cause coming on to be heard and it being agreed by Respondent that the child Pearly May Hill may be awarded to Relator. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the care and custody and possession of the said Pearly May Hill, be, and it is hereby awarded to Sarah Hill its mother until the further order of this Court. Further ordered that the costs of this proceeding be adjudged against Respondent R. & G. Hill.

6651 State of Texas -vs- Geo. Thomas } Theft
Saturday July 19" 1890
This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 & 30 days in Jail. It is therefore considered

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Saturday the 19" day of July A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo. Thomas the sum of Twenty dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6564 State of Texas -vs- Torey Lavieri } Selling Whiskey on Sunday
Tuesday July 22" 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in her entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $ 20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Torey Lavieri, the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs execution May issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6653 Ex Parte Annie Wade } Habeas Corpus
Thursday July 24" 1890

This case being heard and it being admitted by the relator that the

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

proceedings in the Magistrates Court were and are legular and that a Bond, to keep the Peace, in the sum of One Hundred Dollars was required of Relator herein in said Court, and it appearing that the parties admit that a Bond for appeal from said Court was never refused by the Justice, this case is dismissed and the Relator remanded to the custody of the Sheriff, to be released upon giving the Peace Bond as required by said Magistrate. Further ordered that Relator pay all Costs herein accrued, for which let execution issue.

6654 State of Texas -vs- Addie Clark } Theft Saturday July 26" 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $30.00 and 40 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Addie Clark the sum of Thirty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Forty days and until said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Saturday the 9th day of August A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6666

State of Texas
-vs-
Cornelius Crenshaw

Carrying a Pistol
Saturday August 9th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announce ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Cornelius Crenshaw the sum of Twenty-five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid or free.

6669

State of Texas
-vs-
W. B. Whittington

Carrying a Pistol
Saturday August 9th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. B. Whittington

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Saturday the 9th day of July A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine as-sessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

6662 State of Texas -vs- Chas. Dietrick } Carrying a Pistol
Friday August 22nd 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas. Dietrick the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6674 State of Texas -vs- Shepherd Bmm } Aggravated Assault
Friday August 22nd 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1890

Friday the 22" day of August A. D. 1890

entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Shepherd Brown the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid to-gether with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

6668 State of Texas -vs- Lee Patterson } Carrying a Pistol
Friday August 22" 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $25.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lee Patterson the sum of Twenty-five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further Ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Friday August 29th 1890.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in Course

W. D. Harris

County Judge Tarrant Co Texas

Attest:

Jno. P. King, Co Clk,

nters, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 1st day of Sept A. D. 1890

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in September A. D. 1890 the same being the First day of said month there was begun and holden a regular Term of the County Court within and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth. Present Hon. W. D. Harris, County Judge, Presiding, B. P. Ayres County Attorney, John P. Knig, County Clerk and J. C Richardson Sheriff of said County, when and where the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court. to-wit;

— Venire Facias —

Now comes J. C Richardson, Sheriff and returns into open Court the Venire Facias for this the 1st week of the September Term 1890 and upon the names of the Jurors therein being called, Three qualified Jurors answered, to-wit; Frank Burk, Jno Martin and W. F. Biger, who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week. And it appearing to the Court that the said Panel is incomplete, it is ordered that the Sheriff summon qualified Jurors sufficient to fill same. And the said Sheriff after being sworn as directed by law, brought into Court the following qualified Jurors, to-wit; W. K. Ferguson, L. N. Monk, A. Wallace, Sam Kotcher, J. T. Castleberry, Lee Stallings and T. D. Havenkamp who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the Panel for the week. And it further appearing to the Court that H C Edrington and W. B. Sims were regularly, duly and lawfully drawn to serve as Petit Jurors for this the First week of the September Term 1890 of this Court, that said H C Edrington and W. B. Sims were duly

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 1st day of September A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

summoned to appear before said County Court on the 1st day of September A. D. 1890 at 9 o'clock A. M. to serve as such Petit Jurors, and the said N. C. Edrington and W. B. Sims being called under due forms of law, failed to appear but wholly made default. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that a Fine of Twenty-five dollars be assessed against each of said Defaulting Jurors, N. C. Edrington and W. B. Sims, and that Scire Facias issue commanding them to appear at the Next Term of this Court to be begun and holden at the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in November A. D. 1890 then and there to show cause, if any they can, why this Judgment should not be made final.

— Filed —

It appearing to the Court that the Sheriff has been unable to arrest the Defendants in the following cases it is ordered by the Court that same be Filed until such time as the Defendants therein may be arrested

5817 State of Texas -vs- Tom Slaten
Slander

5854 State of Texas -vs- Henry Willis
Aggravated Assault

5920 State of Texas -vs- W. M. Miller
Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town

5922 State of Texas -vs- W. M. Miller
Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town

5924 State of Texas -vs- W. M. Miller
Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town

5928 State of Texas -vs- W. M. Miller
Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town

5934 State of Texas -vs- W. M. Miller
Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town

5936 State of Texas -vs- W. M. Miller
Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 1st day of September A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5938 State of Texas -vs- W. M. Miller
Selling Whiskey in Local Optim Town

5939 State of Texas -vs- W. M. Miller
Selling Whiskey in Local Optim Town

6134 State of Texas -vs- Bud Emmons
Theft under $20.00

6287 State of Texas -vs- Cal Brinson
Betting at Game with Dice

6289 State of Texas -vs- Jack Williams
Betting at Game with Dice

6290 State of Texas -vs- Will White
Betting at Game with Dice

6293 State of Texas -vs- Bob. Hamilton
Ex. Gaming Table & Bank

6297 State of Texas -vs- Brazier Smith
Keeping Table & Bank for Purpose of Gaming

6299 State of Texas -vs- Jack Williams
Betting at Gaming Table & Bank

6313 State of Texas -vs- Davey Davis
Ex Gaming Table & Bank.

6319 State of Texas -vs- Brazier Smith
Ex. Gaming Table & Bank

6181 State of Texas -vs- Philip Tynan
Aggravated Assault

6317 State of Texas -vs- Henry Pope
Ex. Gaming Table & Bank

6324 State of Texas -vs- Ed Mahmey
Betting at a Game with Dice

6326 State of Texas -vs- Albert Johnson
Betting at a Game with Dice

6331 State of Texas -vs- George Pearce
Aggravated Assault

6343 State of Texas -vs- Fred Mundane & Jane Howell
Adultery

6345 State of Texas -vs- Will King
Betting at Gaming Table

6349 State of Texas -vs- Charley Peirce
Betting at Game with Dice

6350 State of Texas -vs- Pete Anderson
Betting at Game with Dice

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 1st day of September A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers

6351 State of Texas -vs- Levi Bevins
Betting at Game with Dice

6353 State of Texas -vs- Brazier Smith
Ex Gaming Table & Bank

6367 State of Texas -vs- Geo Carter
Theft under $20.00

6372 State of Texas -vs- Thos. Arnold
Aggravated Assault

6376 State of Texas -vs- Mollie Thomas
Assault

6380 State of Texas -vs- Ben Johnson
Theft under $20.00

6381 State of Texas -vs- Ben Johnson
Theft under $20.00

6391 State of Texas -vs- Webb Johnson
Aggravated Assault

6392 State of Texas -vs- C Vaughan
Theft under $20.00

6411 State of Texas -vs- Jene Edwards
Aggravated Assault

6420 State of Texas -vs- Robert Frazier
Disorderly House

6440 State of Texas -vs- Sam Fisk
Ex. Gaming Table & Bank

6445 State of Texas -vs- Minnie Ray
Keeping Disorderly House

6454 State of Texas -vs- J. M. Norton
Aggravated Assault

6471 State of Texas -vs- W. R. Warren
Theft

6482 State of Texas -vs- Walter Balvin
Carrying a Pistol

6526 State of Texas -vs- Vinita Davis
Aggravated Assault

6625 State of Texas -vs- Eugene Robinson
Embezzlement

— Nolle Prosequi —

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons, says he will no further

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 2nd day of September A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

prosecute the following causes and moves the Court to dismiss same

5986 State of Texas - vs- Arch Drew alias Doc[illegible] Drew
Ex. Gaming Table & Bank

6184 State of Texas - vs- Jno Dillon
Vagrancy

6321 State of Texas - vs- Sig Gilliam
Ex. Gaming Table & Bank

6359 State of Texas - vs- Frank Garrett
Playing Cards in Public Place

6374 State of Texas - vs- D. C Teagles
Aggravated Assault

6390 State of Texas - vs- Pat Walsford
Carrying a Pistol

6408 State of Texas - vs- Pink Barrett
Aggravated Assault

6415 State of Texas - vs- Ed.. Bowlin
Aggravated Assault

6446 State of Texas - vs- Mable Woods
Keeping Disorderly House

6447 State of Texas - vs- Geo. Marlin
Disorderly House

6448 State of Texas - vs- John Lameri
Keeping Saloon open on Election Day

6452 State of Texas - vs- W. H Muller
Aggravated Assault

6497 State of Texas - vs- James Gordon
Vagrancy

6522 State of Texas - vs- L. P. Moore
False Imprisonment

6589 State of Texas - vs- John G. Davis
Selling Liquor to Minor

6590 State of Texas - vs- Os. Bates & Laura White
Fornication

6630 State of Texas - vs- Robt. Sundheim
Theft

6642 State of Texas - vs- Ed. McGuiness
Aggravated Assault

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 1st day of September A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6670 State of Texas -vs- Will Gilvin
Keeping Disorderly House.

6671 State of Texas -vs- D. C Dunnee
Aggravated Assault

6682 State of Texas -vs- Ed. League
Vagrancy

6731 State of Texas -vs- John Abbey
Vagrancy

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of said prosecutions and that the Defts therein go hence without day

Continued By Consent

By Agreement of the parties the following Cases are ordered Continued by Consent.

5607 State of Texas -vs- Tom Easley & Alice Malone
Fornication

5729 State of Texas -vs- John Vaughan
Playing Dice In Public Place

5730 State of Texas -vs- J. O'Brien
Playing Dice in Public Place

5867 State of Texas -vs- Will Thma
Aggravated Assault

5925 State of Texas -vs- W. O. Middleton
Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town

5926 State of Texas -vs- Frank Harrison
Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town

5929 State of Texas -vs- Frank Harrison
Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town

5930 State of Texas -vs- W. O. Middleton
Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town

5931 State of Texas -vs- Frank Harrison
Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town

5932 State of Texas -vs- Frank Harrison
Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town

5933 State of Texas -vs- G. G. Pate
Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town

5935 State of Texas -vs- W. O. Middleton
Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town

5975 State of Texas -vs- C C. Longmire
Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 1st day of September A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

5997 State of Texas -vs- C C Longmire
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

6006 State of Texas -vs- C C Longmire
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

6004 State of Texas -vs- C C Longmire
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

6169 State of Texas -vs- Sam Evans
Aggravated Assault

6170 State of Texas -vs- Sam Evans
Aggravated Assault

6295 State of Texas -vs- J. C Smith
Ex Gaming Table & Bank.

6052 State of Texas -vs- S. F. Camp
Carrying Pistol

6314 State of Texas -vs- Jim Bunnig
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

6318 State of Texas -vs- Gus Davis
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

6329 State of Texas -vs- Geo Kelly
Betting at a Game with Dice

6346 State of Texas -vs- Sec Primer
Betting at a Game with Dice

6444 State of Texas -vs- H. P. Shirl
Keeping Disorderly House

6518 State of Texas -vs- Bill Smith
Aggravated Assault

6519 State of Texas -vs- Bill Smith
Carrying Pistol

6527 State of Texas -vs- Bill Pulliam
Aggravated Assault

6660 State of Texas -vs- L. L. Moore
Aggravated Assault

6727 State of Texas -vs- Jas Keys
Aggravated Assault

Continued by the State

5370 State of Texas -vs- W. E. Gravs
Ex. Gaming Table & Bank.

5979 State of Texas vs Eddy White
Theft under $50.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 1st day of September A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6296 State of Texas -vs- Jas Purvis
Et Gaming Table & Bank

6322 State of Texas -vs- Jas Purvis
Et Gaming Table & Bank

6344 State of Texas vs Tom Owens
Betting at Gaming Table

6357 State of Texas vs Kinny Gray
Et Gaming Table & Bank

6468 State of Texas -vs- Frank Gillespie
Aggravated Assault

6506 State of Texas vs D. A. Hayden
Drunk in Public Place

6528 State of Texas -vs- Kinny Olion
Aggravated Assault

6531 State of Texas -vs- John Jamison
Aggravated Assault

6547 State of Texas vs John Mays
Theft under $20.

6571 State of Texas vs J. J. Hatchett
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

6577 State of Texas vs J. J. Mackey
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

6573 State of Texas vs J. J. Hatchett
Selling Beer on Sunday

6586 State of Texas vs Geo Canary
Et Gaming Table & Bank

6605 State of Texas vs C. B. Dixon
Permitting Game played in house under his control

6611 State of Texas vs G. H. Day
Selling Whiskey and Beer on Sunday

6645 State of Texas vs H. J. Lucas
Theft

Alias and Continued
Ordered that Alias Capias issue for the Defendants in the following cases returnable to the next term of this Court and that said Cases be continued:

6177 State of Texas vs Jesse Wright et al
Disturbing the Peace

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 1st day of September A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6254 State of Texas vs Chas Johnson
Aggravated Assault

6255 State of Texas vs Chas Johnson
Aggravated Assault

6258 State of Texas vs J. M. Hyde
Malicious Mischief

6280 State of Texas vs Chas Abby
Theft under $20,

6401 State of Texas vs John Heinberg
Aggravated Assault

6400 State of Texas vs Chas Owens
Theft under $20,

6477 State of Texas vs Fannie Anderson
Disorderly House

6456 State of Texas vs W. L. Roark
Aggravated Assault

6525 State of Texas vs Jess Stone
Aggravated Assault

6530 State of Texas vs Will Parker
Carrying Pistol

6587 State of Texas -vs- George Smith
Betting at Gaming Table

6538 State of Texas -vs- George Smith
Betting at Craps

6549 State of Texas vs Charlie Nichols
Abusive Language

6559 State of Texas vs John Jamison
Betting at Gaming Table & Bank

6566 State of Texas vs John Hughes
Assault

6565 State of Texas vs Al Burkett
Aggravated Assault

6610 State of Texas vs Joe Stamps
Carrying Pistol

6613 State of Texas vs Jack Vine
Slander

6617 State of Texas vs Robt Bailey
Aggravated Assault

6621 State of Texas vs Billie The Kid
Carrying Pistol.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 18 .....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

6631 State of Texas vs Bailey Barber
Aggravated Assault

6634 State of Texas vs Thomas Guy
Aggravated Assault

6636 State of Texas vs Hughes
Assault

6656 State of Texas vs Tom McCormick
Selling Liquor on Election Day

6658 State of Texas vs Chas Mannick
Aggravated Assault

6661 State of Texas vs August Granger
Theft

Nolle Prosequi

Now coms the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons finds with the pleas herein says he will no further prosecute the following Defendants and moves the Court to dismiss the same as follows viz:

6301 State of Texas vs Wash McCall
Carrying Pistol

6368 State of Texas vs Eli Johnson
Aggravated Assault

6425 State of Texas vs N. Lacroix
Disorderly House

6426, 6427, 6428, 6429, 6430, 6431, 6432 State of Texas vs J. F. Woods
Disorderly House

6469 State of Texas vs A. Stone
Aggravated Assault.

6499 State of Texas vs Lawson Johnson
Carrying Pistol

6500 State of Texas vs Ben Wallis
Carrying Pistol

6509 State of Texas vs Chas Deitz
Keeping Saloon open on Sunday

6510, 6511, 6512 State of Texas vs Chas Deitz
Selling Beer on Sunday

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1870

Wednesday the 10th day of September A. D. 1870.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

6523 State of Texas vs Ada Rollison
Aggravated Assault

6544 State of Texas vs Jim Griffin
Carrying Pistol

6551 State of Texas vs Jim Donovan
Aggravated Assault

6603 State of Texas vs H. P. Shull
Assault

6609 State of Texas vs Zack Pennington
Selling Beer on Sunday

6641 State of Texas vs Helen Lane
Resisting an Officer

6655 State of Texas vs Robt Ruburth
Theft

6657 State of Texas vs Jas Grundy
Embezzlement

6677 State of Texas vs Theo Teshmaker
Aggravated Assault

6683 State of Texas vs George Hart
Vagrancy

6708 State of Texas vs Bob Tinsley
Permitting Place of Business to be open on Sunday

6305 State of Texas vs Jas Spencer
Betting at Game with Dice

6449 State of Texas vs E. L. Shuleman
Selling Whiskey without License

6711 State of Texas vs Carl Craig
Permitting Place of Business open on Sunday

— Fines —

It appearing to the Court that the Sheriff has been unable to arrest the Defendants in the following cases, it is ordered by the Court that same be fined until such time as the Defendants therein may be arrested.

6397 State of Texas vs Theo Davis
Aggravated Assault.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Wednesday the 3rd day of September A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6404 State of Texas vs Nick Davis
Theft under $50,

6443 State of Texas vs Mattie Franklin
Keeping Disorderly House

6541 State of Texas vs Liza Perkins
Theft under $50.

6187 State of Texas vs James Vaughn } Carrying Pistol
Thursday Sept 4th 1890

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: N. K. Ferguson and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty
N. K. Ferguson, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant James Vaughn go hence without day.

6256 State of Texas vs Jim Donovan } Aggravated Assault
Wednesday Sept 3rd 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also came the Defendant in

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Wednesday the 3rd day of September A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

his own proper person and by attorney a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Jim Donovan the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6306 State of Texas vs Paul Hill } Carrying Pistol
Wednesday Sept 3rd 1890

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: T. D. Hovenkamp and five others who were duly elected, empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not Guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Deft guilty and assess his punishment at $25.00 Twenty five dollars fine

T. D. Hovenkamp. Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Wednesday the 3" day of September A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Defendant Paul Hill the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6406 State of Texas
-vs-
Pink Barrett
Carrying Pistol
Tuesday Sept 2nd 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: S. A. Hatcher and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Twenty five dollars.

S. A. Hatcher, Foreman.

✓

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Pink Barrett the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Tuesday the 2nd day of September A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6407 State of Texas -vs- Pink Barrett } Aggravated Assault
Tuesday Sept 2nd 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit T. D. Hornkamp and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict:
"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty"
T. D. Hornkamp, Foreman of Jury."
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Pink Barrett go hence without day.

6574 State of Texas -vs- J. J. Hatchell } Selling Whiskey &c on Sunday
Monday Sept 1, 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. J. Hatchell the sum of Twenty Dollars fine

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 1, day of September A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6675 State of Texas } Vagrancy
-vs- } Monday Sept 1, 1890.
Gracie Lane }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Gracie Lane, the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6676 State of Texas } Vagrancy
-vs- } Monday Sept 1, 1890.
Madam Porter }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Madam Porter, the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6677 State of Texas -vs- Jase Belmont } Vagrancy
Monday Sept 1, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that that State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jase Belmont the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 1 day of September A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6681 State of Texas vs Clara Hudson } Vagrancy
Monday Sept 1, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew her plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Clara Hudson the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant be and commanded to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6685 State of Texas -vs- Harry Hatchett } Permitting Place of business open on Sunday
Monday Sept 1. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Harry Hatchett the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand commited to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6686 State of Texas vs W. H. Ward } Permitting Place of Business open on Sunday Monday Sept. 1. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. H. Ward the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand commited to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6687 State of Texas vs W. H. Ward } Permitting Place of Business Open on Sunday Monday Sept 1, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 1. day of September A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 6687 said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

6688 State of Texas
-vs-
T. E. Ridgeway

Permitting Place of Business open on Sunday
Monday Sept 1, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant T. E. Ridgeway the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 1, day of September A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6690 State of Texas } Permitting Place of Business Open on Sunday
-vs- } Monday Sept 1, 1890.
Dan Andrews }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Dan Andrews the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6691 State of Texas } Permitting Place of Business Open on Sunday
-vs- } Monday Sept 1, 1890.
John Lanier }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Lanier the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 1. day of September A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue; Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6692 State of Texas
-vs-
John Murrin

Permitting Place of Business Open on Sunday
Monday Sept 1. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from said Defendant John Murrin the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6693 State of Texas
-vs-
Joe Mayer

Permitting Place of Business Open on Sunday
Monday Sept 1, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State of Texas also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 1 day of September A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Jos Mayer the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

66 94 State of Texas -vs- Sam Kujawski } Permitting Place of Business Open on Sunday

Monday Sept 1, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Sam Kujawski the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 1. day of September A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6695 State of Texas } Permitting Place of Business Open on Sunday
vs } Monday Sept 1, 1890.
Jim Liston }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters this plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Liston the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6696 State of Texas } Permitting Place of Business Open on Sunday
-vs- } Monday Sept 1, 1890.
Ike Cooper }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters this plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ike Cooper the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6697 State of Texas vs Dan Whiteside } Permitting Place of Business Open on Sunday

Monday Sept 1, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dan Whiteside the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6698 State of Texas -vs- John Sluhan } Permitting Place of Business Open on Sunday

Monday Sept 1, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 1. day of September A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Shuhan the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6699 State of Texas vs L. H. Schubert } Permitting Place of Business Open on Sunday } Monday Sept. 1. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdraws his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant L. H. Schubert the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6703 State of Texas vs H. Braun } Permitting Place of Business Open on Sunday } Monday Sept 1, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6707 State of Texas vs Frank Burns } Permitting Place of Business Open on Sunday

Monday Sept 1, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Frank Burns the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 1 day of September A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6709 State of Texas } Permitting Place of Business open on Sunday
-vs- } Monday Sept 1, 1890.
John Linnur }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Linnur the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6714 State of Texas } Permitting Place of Business open on Sunday
vs } Monday Sept. 1, 1890.
Louis O. DeMarcus }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty; Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

incurred for which said fine and costs Execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand commited to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6715 State of Texas vs H. Brann } Permitting Place of Business Open on Sunday Monday Sept 1, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs Execution may issue, after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No 6703 Further ordered that said Defendant stand commited to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full, in this case.

6729 State of Texas -vs- G. H. Day } Permitting Place of Business Open on Sunday Monday Sept 1, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 1. day of September A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs Execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendants stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6730 State of Texas vs Henry Dunsen } Permitting Place of Business Open on Sunday

Monday Sept 1. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Henry Dunsen the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6550 State of Texas vs W. R. Hart } Theft under $20. Tuesday Sept 2. 1890.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Tuesday the 2 day of September A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

and lawful men to wit: J. T. Castleberry and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess his punishment at one day in the County Jail. J. T. Castleberry, Foreman."

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of one day and till the costs herein incurred are paid in full.

6713 State of Texas
-vs-
Mike Cassiday

Permitting Place of Business open on Sunday
Tuesday Sept 2, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Mike Cassiday the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Tuesday the 2" day of September A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6563 State of Texas vs Joe Purvis — Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank, Tuesday Sept 2, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Fifteen Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Joe Purvis the sum of Fifteen Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6424 State of Texas vs J. D. Andrews — Keeping Disorderly House, Thursday Sept 4, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: S. H. Hatcher and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at $500.00. S. A. Hatcher, Foreman. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. D. Andrews the sum of Five Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 6690 said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

6436 State of Texas -vs- E. L. Thielman — Selling Liquors Without Paying License

Thursday Sept 4th 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. T. Castleberry, and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at $450.00.

J. T. Castleberry, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant E. L. Thielman the sum of Four Hundred and fifty Dollars fine assessed

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Thursday the 4th day of September A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6504 State of Texas vs Eli Kinfrey } Aggravated Assault
Thursday Sept 4th 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: T. D. Hoornkamp and five others who were duly selected, empannuled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty of an aggravated assault and assess his punishment at a fine of $50 fifty dollars.

T. D. Hoornkamp. Foreman of Jury.

It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from said Defendant Eli Kinfrey the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Thursday the 4th day of September A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6583 State of Texas vs Jesse Green } Theft under $20. Thursday Sept 4th 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail, for one day. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jesse Green the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of one day and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Friday Morning Sept 5th 1890 Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

6517 State of Texas vs Bill Smith } Aggravated Assault Friday Sept 5th 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Friday the 5th day of September A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Bill Smith the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6667 State of Texas
-vs-
W. H. Huston

Aggravated Assault
Friday Sept 5, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: T. D. Hornkamp and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and recieving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty." T. D. Hornkamp, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant W. H. Huston go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Friday the 5 day of September A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6784 State of Texas -vs- G. W. Jones — Theft under $20.00

Friday Sept 5, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; S. A. Hatcher and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and Information being read to the jury the Defendant now herein entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and recieving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at 60 days in the County Jail,

S. A. Hatcher, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of sixty days and until the costs herein incurred are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday morning at 9 o'clock

Monday Morning Sept 8" 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment Present as on yesterday

6302 State of Texas vs C. W. Dunn — Selling Liquor on Sunday

Monday Sept 8" 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit Jeff Earle and five

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

aikins who were duly selected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at $25.00, Jeff Earle, Foreman, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant O. H. Burr the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committing to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6395 State of Texas
-vs-
George Davenport et al

Theft under $20.
Monday Sept 8. 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit W. H. Nanny and five others who were duly elected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant George Davenport now

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 8th day of September A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at confinment in the County Jail for twelve days.

H. H. Nanney, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Defendant George Davenport stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of twelve days and until the costs herein incurred are paid in full.

6532 State of Texas vs John Jamison } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank. Monday Sept 8th 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: T. F. Walker and five others who were duly elected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following viridict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

T. F. Walker, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Jamison go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 8th day of September A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6581 State of Texas vs Dan Daggett — Aggravated Assault — Monday Sept 8th 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dan Daggett the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6735 State of Texas vs Nick Tischmaker — Aggravated Assault — Monday Sept 8th 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. T. Sands and four others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after maturing

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Hundred Dollars and two years in the County Jail,

W. T. Sands, Foreman,

It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will Fishmaker the sum of Five Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of two years and until the fine and costs are paid in full,

6738 State of Texas -vs- Frank Collins } Theft under $20, Monday Sept 8, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for Thirty days. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Collins the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 8th day of September A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Continued by Consent

5825 State of Texas -vs- Frederick Dailey
Aggravated Assault

6282 State of Texas vs Acire Jordan alias Acie Fitz
Aggravated Assault

6460 State of Texas vs Rufus Draper
Aggravated Assault

6516 State of Texas -vs- H. O. Stiles
Abusive Language

6547 State of Texas -vs- White Cassidy
Occupation without License

6578 State of Texas -vs- E. D. Daggett
Disorderly House

6579 State of Texas -vs- E. D. Daggett
Disorderly House

6580 State of Texas vs Mike Dixon
Disorderly House

6591 State of Texas vs Bob Maurice et al
Fornication

6679 State of Texas vs Ed McDuff
Aggravated Assault

6680 State of Texas -vs- Joe McKye
Carrying Pistol

6743 State of Texas vs William Knox
Carrying Pistol

6758 State of Texas -vs- Joe Purvis
Keeping Gaming Table & Bank

6759 State of Texas -vs- Henry Gray
Keeping Gaming Table & Bank

6771 State of Texas -vs- Geo Wilcox
Selling Liquor on Sunday

6778 State of Texas -vs- John Jamison
Betting at Game with Dice

6793 State of Texas -vs- Harry Hatchell
Selling Liquor on Sunday

6794 State of Texas -vs- Lewis Hatchell
Selling Liquor on Sunday

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 8th day of September A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Continued by the Defendant

6524 State of Texas -vs- Minnie Bates
Aggravated Assault

6732 State of Texas -vs- Bob Stafford
Carrying Pistol

6747 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Andrews
Selling Liquor on Election day

6751 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Andrews
Selling Liquor on Election Day

6752 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Andrews
Selling Liquor on Election Day

6757 State of Texas -vs- Ed Johnson
Selling on Sunday

6795 State of Texas -vs- Isaac Henderson, Jr. al
Fornication

Continued by the State

6567 State of Texas -vs- John Stuart
Selling on Sunday

6569 State of Texas -vs- Louis Maas
Selling on Sunday

6584 State of Texas -vs- John Stuart
Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday

6594-6596
6597 State of Texas -vs- Louis Maas
Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday

6644 State of Texas -vs- E. L. Thielman
Aggravated Assault

6663 State of Texas -vs- Fred Knuckley
Carrying Pistol

6700 State of Texas -vs- Willie Walsh
Aggravated Assault

6716 State of Texas -vs- Tom Mason
Place of Business open on Sunday,

6718 State of Texas -vs- A. S. Carter Exon
Place of Business open on Sunday

6733 State of Texas -vs- Otto Lischke
Assault

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 8th day of September A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6724 City of Fort Worth vs Madam Porter — Vagrancy — Saturday Sept 13, 1890

Now comes the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City of Fort Worth and for good and sufficient reasons files with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

6725 City of Fort Worth -vs- Nat Kramer — Riding with Prostitutes — Saturday Sept 13, 1890.

Now comes the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City of Fort Worth and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Nat Kramer go hence without day.

6745 City of Fort Worth vs- Madam Porter — Vagrancy — Saturday Sept 13, 1890.

Now comes the City Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the City of Fort Worth and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

the day of A. D. 18

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

of this prosecution and that the Defendant Madam Porter go hence without day.

6719 City of Fort Worth vs Jas Reynolds — Appeal — Saturday Sept 13, 1890 — Continued by the City

6720 City of Fort Worth —vs— George Wallace — Appeal — Saturday Sept 13, 1890. — Continued by the City

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same as follows:

6750 State of Texas —vs— S. P. Shaw — Aggravated Assault

6543 State of Texas —vs— J. S. Madden — Abusive Language

6615 State of Texas vs John Stuart — Keeping Place of Business Open on Sunday

6624 State of Texas —vs— George Hargrove — Aggravated Assault

6626 State of Texas —vs— George Hargrove — Carrying Bowie Knife

6638 State of Texas —vs— Jas Clark — Aggravated Assault

6701 State of Texas —vs— W. Harris — Aggravated Assault

6710 State of Texas —vs— John Hines — Place of Business Open on Sunday

6717 State of Texas —vs— George Wallis — Place of Business Open on Sunday

6728 State of Texas —vs— Jake Johnson — Place of Business Open on Sunday

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

the day of September A. D. 18

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6739 State of Texas -vs- Wm Evans
Carrying Pistol

6744 State of Texas -vs- J. E. Earley
Aggravated Assault

6736 State of Texas -vs- Isaac Henderson, et al
Fornication

6718 State of Texas -vs- A. S. Carter
Place of Business Open on Sunday

6765 State of Texas vs John Thomas, et al
Adultery,

It is thereupon ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants thereon go hence without day.

Venire Facias

Now coming J. C. Richardson Sheriff and returns into open Court the Venire Facias for this the 2nd week of the September Term 1890 and upon the naming of the jurors thereon being called 24 qualified jurors answered to their names to wit: Calvin Spear, W. R. Ragland, Geo B. Hendricks, Jeff Earl, J. T. Grant, T. F. Walker, W. H. Nanny, who were all tried and sworn and placed upon the panel for the week, and it appearing to the Court that said panel is incomplete it is ordered that the Sheriff summon qualified jurors to fill same, and the said Sheriff after being sworn as directed by law brought into Court the following qualified jurors to wit: Mart Ozley, R. N. Graham, O. Callard who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Tuesday the 9th day of September A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Tuesday Morning Sept 9, 1890 Court in session present as on first day of Term

6421 State of Texas vs Chas Deitz — Tuesday Sept 9, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to Quash the Indictment herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

6422 State of Texas vs Chas Deitz — Tuesday Sept 9, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion to Quash the Indictment herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

6508 State of Texas vs Chas Deitz — Keeping Saloon open on Sunday. Tuesday Sept 9, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. H. Nanny and five others who were duly elected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890.

Tuesday the 9th day of September A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty"

W. H. Nanny, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Chas Deitz go hence without day.

6607 State of Texas
-vs-
Chas Deitz

Setting Sun on Sunday Tuesday Sept 9, 1890.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit W. R. Ragland and five others who were duly elected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury finds the Defendant not guilty."

W. R. Ragland, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Chas Deitz go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Tuesday the 9th day of September A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6673 State of Texas } Appeal, Vagrancy
-vs- } Tuesday Sept 9, 1890.
Bill Oliver }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Calvin Spicer, and fifteen others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at ten Dollars fine. Calvin Spicer, Foreman, It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bill Oliver the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed. Further ordered that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the sureties on appeal Bond herein, to wit: C. C. Lawson and Tom Smith the sum of One Hundred Dollars or so much thereof as may be required to satisfy the fine and costs herein. Further ordered that should the One Hundred Dollars amount of appeal Bond be not sufficient to satisfy fine and costs herein that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till balance remaining unpaid is paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Tuesday the 9th day of September A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6689 State of Texas vs H. O. Shull } Keeping Place of Business open on Sunday for Traffic

Tuesday Sept 9, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. H. Nanny, and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

W. H. Nanny, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant H. O. Shull go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock,

Wednesday morning Sept 10th 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

6552 State of Texas vs Sim Hicks Miller } Carrying Pistol

Wednesday Sept 10th 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit W. H. Nanny and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Wednesday the 10th day of September A. D. 1890.

and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit! "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty"

W. H. Nanny, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Stem Hicks Miller go hence without day.

6659 State of Texas vs Strauds Harrison } Aggravated Assault
Wednesday Sept 10th 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Strauds Harrison the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant Strauds committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Wednesday the 10th day of September A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Thursday Morning Sept 11, 1890. Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

6702 State of Texas -vs- N. Harris — Carrying Pistol — Thursday Sept 11, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant N. Harris the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6705 State of Texas -vs- Ed Tabor — Permitting Place of Business Open on Sunday — Thursday Sept. 11, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Jeff Earl and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Thursday the 11. day of September A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at Thirty five dollars ($35.00).

Jeff Earl, Foreman.

It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed Tabor the sum of Thirty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6706 State of Texas } Permitting Place of Business open on Sunday
vs } Thursday Sept 11, 1890.
J. J. Kelley }

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons finds with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant J. J. Kelley go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Thursday the 11. day of September A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

6741 State of Texas } Disturbing the Peace
-vs- } Thursday Sept 11, 1890.
Wm Evans }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. H. Nanny and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after maturing deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at fifty dollars. W. H. Nanny, Foreman.

✓ It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Wm Evans the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6740 State of Texas } Theft under $20.
-vs- } Thursday Sept 11, 1890.
John Johnson }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail sixty days, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Johnson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail for the full period of sixty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock,

Friday Morning Sept 12," 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

6598 State of Texas -vs- John Stuart — Selling Brandy & Wine on Sunday Friday Sept 12, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Stuart the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Friday the 12" day of September A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs thereon incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6614 State of Texas vs Dick Burns } Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday Friday Sept 12" 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dick Burns the sum of Twenty Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs thereon incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6629 State of Texas vs Jack Devine } Aggravated Assault Friday Sept 12, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Jeff Cook

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00. Jeff Earl. Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jack Devine the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6678 State of Texas vs E. L. Thilman } Nuisance
Friday Sept 12" 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. H. Nanny and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Friday the 12 day of September A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at $10.00,

W. H. Nairn, Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant E. L. Thickman the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court clear from which this cause was appealed. Further ordered that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the surety on Appeal Bond herein to wit; J. E. Eggleston the sum of One Hundred and Thirty dollars or so much thereof as may be required to satisfy the fine and costs herein. Further ordered that should the One Hundred and Thirty dollars amount of Appeal Bond be not sufficient to satisfy fine and costs herein, that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till balance remaining unpaid is paid in full.

6306 State of Texas vs Pam Hill } Motion for New Trial Thursday Sept 4, 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a New Trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

the day of A. D. 18

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6436 State of Texas vs E L. Thilman } Motion for New Trial
Thursday Sept 4th 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thus came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new Trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, it is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas,

6474 State of Texas vs J. D. Andrews } Motion in Arrest of Judgment
Friday Sept 5, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thus came on to be heard the Defendants Motion in Arrest of Judgment herein, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled, To which ruling of the Court

6474 State of Texas vs J. D. Andrews } Motion for New Trial
Friday Sept 5. 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thus came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new Trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

the law is against said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled, To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

6646 City of Fort Worth vs Madam Porter } Vagrancy Saturday Sept 13th 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Jeff Earl and five others who were duly selected impaneled and sworn according to law and the complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters her plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty. Jeff Earl, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Madam Porter go hence without day.

6647 City of Fort Worth -vs- Rosa Landerberg } Vagrancy Saturday Sept 13, 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

on to be heard the Defendant's Motion to Quash the Complaint herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

6648 City of Fort Worth vs Pearl Levy } Vagrancy Saturday Sept 13. 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Jeff Earl and five others who were duly selected, impanneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters her plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars

Jeff Earl, Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Pearl Levy the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed, Further ordered that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the surety on Appeal Bond herein

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Saturday the 13" day of September A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

to wit: W. H. Wards the sum of One Hundred Dollars or so much thereof as may be required to satisfy the fine and costs herein, Further ordered that should the One Hundred Dollars amount of appeal Bonds be not sufficient to satisfy fine and costs herein that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till the balance remaining unpaid is paid in full.

6721 City of Fort Worth vs H. O. Shirl } Place of Business open on Sunday Saturday Sept 13, 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Jeff Earl and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at $43.00. Jeff Earl, Foreman, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. O. Shirl the sum of Forty Three Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed; Further ordered that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the sureties on appeal Bonds herein to wit: E. L. Thielman and W. H. Wards

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Saturday the 13th day of September A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

the sum of One Hundred Dollars or so much thereof as may be required to satisfy the fine and costs herein, Further ordered that should the one Hundred Dollars amount of Appeal Bond be not sufficient to satisfy fine and costs herein, that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the balance remaining unpaid is paid in full,

6722 City of Fort Worth -vs- John C. Carlin } Riding with Prostitute Saturday Sept 13, 1890.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion to Quash the Complaint herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

6723 City of Fort Worth -vs- Bill Looney } Selling on Sunday Saturday Sept 13. 1890.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Jeff Earl and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not Guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court and announced that they could not agree, and it appearing to the Court that said jury has been kept together for

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

a sufficint length of time, It is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged and that this case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday Morning at 9 o'clock

Monday Morning Sept 15" 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock Surveyed to adjournment present as on Saturday,

Now comes J. C. Richardson, Sheriff and after being duly sworn brings into Court the following qualified jurors to wit; C. A. Daniel, W. C. Bowles, F. D. Smith, Jas Taylor, H. D. Gunnels, F. M. Leatherman, W. D. Perry, P. M. Richards, T. H. Green, J. M. Burns and J. F. Mace, who were all duly sworn, and tried and placed upon the jury for this the third week of the Sept Term 1890 of the County Court,

6281 State of Texas vs Cicero Lutz — Aggravated Assault — Monday Sept 15, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; T. H. Green and five others who were duly elected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their

rinters, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty of simple assault and assess his fine at Five Dollars.

T. W. Grum Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Circo Lutz the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6583 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
-vs- } Monday Sept 15, 1890.
Jim Rushing }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. L. Fuller and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty,

W. L. Fuller, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jim Rushing go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 15 day of September A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6664 State of Texas
-vs-
James Rushing

Aggravated Assault
Monday Sept 15. 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. C. Bowles and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of not Guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty." W. C. Bowles, Foreman It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jim Rushing go hence without day.

6665 State of Texas
-vs-
Jim Rushing

Abusive Language
Monday Sept. 15, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: T. H. Green and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the Defendant not

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 15th day of September A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

guilty, J. W. Green, Foreman,
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jim Rushing go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning Sept 16, 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

6649 City of Fort Worth -vs- Rosa Landerburg } Vagrancy
Tuesday Sept 16, 1890.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; J. T. Collis and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered her plea of not Guilty Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess her fine at one Dollar.

J. T. Collis Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Rosa Landerburg the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs for this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Tuesday the 16th day of September A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

which this cause was appealed. Further ordered that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the surety on appeal Bond herein to wit: J. C. Carlin the sum of One Hundred Dollars or so much thereof as may be required to satisfy the fine and costs herein, that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till balance remaining unpaid is paid in full,

6 y r 3

City of Fort Worth
-vs-
Bill Looney

Docket Tuesday Sept. 16. 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. W. Burns and five others who were duly elected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court and announced that they could not agree, and it appearing to the Court that said jury has been kept together for a sufficient length of time, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged and that this case stands for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Tuesday the 16th day of September A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6747 City of Fort Worth -vs- Minnie Boggs } Vagrancy
Tuesday Sept 16. 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. T. Collins and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here entends her plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty. J. T. Collins, Foreman, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the ~~City of Fort Worth~~ take nothing by reason of this prosecution and the Defendant Minnie Boggs go hence without day.

6750 State of Texas -vs- George Wilson } Aggravated Assault
Tuesday Sept 16. 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: C. A. Daniel and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant not thereto entends his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit, "We the jury find the Defendant guilty of a simple assault and assess his punishment at a fine of five dollars.

C. A. Daniel, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Wilcox the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6768 State of Texas
-vs-
John Wiggins, et al

Theft under $50.
Tuesday Sept 16. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and says he will no further prosecute this case as to the Defendant John Wiggins and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing of the Defendant John Wiggins by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Wiggins go hence without day. And the Defendants Chas Young and Anderson Upshaw announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendants Chas Young and Anderson Upshaw now here entered their plea of not guilty Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the Defendant Chas Young not

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

guilty and the Defendant Anderson Upshaw guilty, and assessing his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and Imprisonment in the County Jail ten days. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Anderson Upshaw the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant Anderson Upshaw stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten Days and till the fine and costs are paid in full. Further ordered that the State of Texas take of the Defendant Chas Young, by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Chas Young go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Thursday morning at 9 o'clock

Thursday Morning Sept 18, 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

6678 State of Texas vs E. L. Thielman } Motion for New Trial Thursday Sept 18th 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard that Defendants Motion for new Trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Thursday the 18th day of September A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

6705 State of Texas
-vs-
Ed Tabor
} Motion for New Trial
Thursday Sept 18. 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came to be heard the Defendant's Motion for a New Trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

6648 City of Fort Worth
-vs-
Oscar Levy
} Motion in Arrest of Judgment
Thursday Sept 18, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came to be heard the Defendant's Motion in Arrest of Judgment, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled,

6648 City of Fort Worth
-vs-
Oscar Levy
} Motion for New Trial
Thursday Sept 18, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion for a new Trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Motion, It is therefore

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Thursday the 18th day of September A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that this case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

6302 State of Texas } Motion for New Trial
-vs- } Thursday Sept 18. 1890.
P. H. Ruer }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion for a new Trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore Ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

6649 City of Fort Worth } Motion for New Trial
-vs- } Thursday Sept 18th 1890.
Rosa Landerberg }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new Trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion that the law is for said motion It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that this case stands for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Thursday the 18" day of September A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

6721 City of Fort Worth -vs- H. P. Shiel } Motion in Arrest of Judgment Thursday Sept 18. 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion in Arrest of Judgment and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

6721 City of Fort Worth -vs- H. P. Shiel } Motion for New Trial Thursday Sept. 18. 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion for a New Trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant it is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said case stands for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Friday Morning Sept 19", 1890. Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

6753 State of Texas -vs- Tom Wade } Disorderly House Friday Sept 19. 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Friday the 19th day of September A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Tommy D. Smyth and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty,"

Tommy D. Smyth. Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Tom Wade go hence without day.

6756 State of Texas } District Court on Sunday
-vs- } Friday Sept 19: 1890.
L. H. Schubert }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant L. H. Schubert the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Friday the 19" day of September A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cause No. 6699
Further ordered, that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full, in this Case,

6762 State of Texas vs J. J. Hatchett } Selling Liquor on Sunday
Friday Sept 19, 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. J. Hatchett the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further

after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cause no 6574

ordered that, said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full, in this case.

6769 State of Texas vs Frank Holmes } Theft under $20.
Friday Sept 19, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: John M. Burns and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Friday the 19th day of September A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty." John M. Burns, Foreman, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Frank Haines go hence without day.

6797 State of Texas -vs- George Wilcox } Selling Liquor on Sunday Friday Sept 19, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Wilcox the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 6750 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Friday the 19th day of September A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

6776 State of Texas } Betting at Game of Dice
-vs- } Friday Sept 19, 1890.
Jim Mann }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Mann the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6780 State of Texas } Theft under $20.
-vs- } Friday Sept 19. 1890.
May Freeman }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: F. M. Leachman and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered her plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

the day of A. D. 18

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Ten ($10) Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail 30 days. F. M. Leatherman Foreman." It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Wm Freeman the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

6781 State of Texas vs Joe Mayer } Docket Call on Sunday Friday Sept 19, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Joe Mayer the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Friday the 19th day of September A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 6693 said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs in this case are paid in full,

6796 State of Texas
-vs-
Joe Mayer

Selling Beer on Sunday
Friday Sept 19, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Joe Mayer the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause Nos 6693 & 6781 said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

6784 State of Texas
-vs-
Chas Oppenheim

Selling Liquor on Sunday
Friday Sept 19, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Friday the 19th day of September A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas Oppenheim the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6785 State of Texas -vs- Chas Oppenheim } Selling Liquor on Sunday Friday Sept 19, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas Oppenheim the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cause No. 6784 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Friday the 19th day of September A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6788 State of Texas } Seeing Beer on Sunday
-vs- } Friday Sept 19, 1890.
George Wilcox }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Wilcox the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in case No. 6750 & 6772 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

6786 State of Texas } Seeing Liquor on Sunday
-vs- } Friday Sept 19, 1890.
H. Wellman }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Friday the 19th day of September A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

H. Hellman the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6793 State of Texas vs Harry Hatchett — Selling Liquor on Sunday Friday Sept 19, 1890.

Continued by Consent.

6794 State of Texas vs Louis Hatchett — Selling Liquor on Sunday Friday Sept 19, 1890.

Continued by Consent.

6435 State of Texas vs John Gaury — Carrying Pistol

Alias & Continued,

6424 State of Texas vs J. D. Andrews — Recognizance Friday Sept 5th 1890.

This day came into open Court J. D. Andrews, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with W. H. Ward, Martin Casey and A. G. Rittleman his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Eleven Hundred Dollars conditioned that the said J. D. Andrews who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "Was then and there the owner, lessee, tenant and manager of a dance house, a play house, a theatre, and a house where spirituous vinous and malt liquors are kept for sale" And the said J. D. Andrews did knowingly and unlawfully employ and have in his service

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

in such dance house, play house, theatre and house where spirituous, vinous and malt liquors are kept for sale, prostitutes, lewd women and women of bad reputation for chasity, and the said J. D. Andrews did then and there permit prostitutes, lewd women and women of bad reputation for chastity to display and conduct themselves therein in a lewd lascivious and indecent manner" And who has been convicted of said offense in this Court, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from time to time of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

6302 State of Texas } Recognizance
-vs- } Friday Sept 19' 1890.
O. W. Burr }

This day came into open Court O. W. Burr, Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with Harry Hatchell, L. H. Schubert and W. R. Burr, his sureties acknowledged themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Two Hundred and fifty dollars conditioned that the said O. W. Burr who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "Unlawfully on Sunday sell to one Henry Riggles certain drinks of whiskey. The said O. W. Burr, being then and there the agent and employee of the Fort Worth Union Stock Yards Company a corporation, and the said Fort Worth Union Stock Yards Company, a corporation being then and there a retail liquor dealer." And who has been convicted of said offense in this Court, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from time to time of the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Friday the 19th day of September A. D. 1890,

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Saturday Morning Sept 20th 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

6648 City of Fort Worth vs Pearl Levy — Vagrancy — Saturday Sept 20. 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: O. M. Richards, and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered her plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess her fine at five dollars.

O. M. Richards, Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Pearl Levy the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed, Further ordered that the City of Fort Worth do have and

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Saturday the 20th day of September A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

recover of and from the surety on Appeal Bond herein to wit W. H. Ward the sum of One Hundred Dollars or so much thereof as may be required to satisfy the fine and costs herein, Further ordering that should the One Hundred Dollars amount of Appeal Bond be not sufficint to satisfy fine and costs herein, that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the balance remaining unpaid is paid in full.

6649 City of Fort Worth vs Rosa Landerberg } Vagrancy
Saturday Sept 20. 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. F. Mars and five others who was duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entered her plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty,

J. F. Mars, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Rosa Landerberg go hence without day,

6802 State of Texas vs H. C. Chandler } Aggravated Assault
Saturday Sept 20, 1890,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County September Term, 1890

Saturday the 20th day of September A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. C. Chandler the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday morning at 9 o'clock

Monday Morning Sept 22, 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on Saturday.

6791 State of Texas vs J. E. Early, et al } Adultery. Monday Sept 22, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant J. E. Early in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant J. E. Early now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. E. Early the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 22 day of September A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may, Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6281 State of Texas vs Cicero Lutz — Motion for New Trial. Monday Sept 22, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to hear the Defendant's Motion for a New Trial and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against ~~the~~ said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

1 Thursday Sept 25th 1890 Court in Session

6684 State of Texas vs Cornelius Caffee — Vagrancy. Thursday Sept 25, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the Court after hearing the evidence finds the Defendant not guilty, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Cornelius Caffee go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Monday the 22" day of September A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6746 City of Fort Worth -vs- Lucy Foster } Disturbing the Peace

Continued by Consent.

6424 Order to Reise State of Texas -vs- J. D. Andrews } Motion to withdraw Appeal & Arrest Judgment

Wednesday Oct 1. 1890.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion to withdraw notice of appeal and to Arrest Judgment heretofore entered in this case and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that law is against said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled, To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts,

6801 State of Texas -vs- John Stitcher et al } Adultery.

Thursday Oct 2" 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant John Stitcher in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant John Stitcher now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Stitcher the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Thursday the 2" day of October A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant John Thicher stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6840 State of Texas } Theft.
-vs- } Monday Oct 13, 1890.
G. L. Snowden }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail thirty days. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant G. L. Snowden the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Thursday the 16th day of October A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6847 State of Texas vs P. O'Brien } Carrying Pistol
Thursday Oct 16, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant P. O'Brien the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6837 State of Texas vs Lon Bradley } Theft
Tuesday Oct 21, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail Twenty

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

Tuesday the 21 day of October A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

days. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lou Bradley the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs thereinincurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Twenty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

6847 State of Texas vs Mal Miller — Carrying Pistol — Wednesday Oct 22nd 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant upon arraignment his plea of guilty, Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mal Miller the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890.

Wednesday the 1 day of October A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6648 City of Fort Worth vs Pearl Levy } Motion for New Trial Wednesday Oct 1, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion for a new Trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that this case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

6281 State of Texas vs Cicero Lutz } Deft's Motion to Retax Costs Tuesday Oct 7" 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion to Retax Costs herein to-wit the sum of Sixty Dollars as Sheriff's fee for conveying said Defendant from Grimmett Texas to Fort Worth Texas, and said motion being heard it is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and that the Clerk in making out cost bill omit said sum of Sixty Dollars from same.

6735 State of Texas vs Nick Tichmaker } Motion in Arrest of Judgment Monday Oct 27" 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and by Attorney, and then came

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 18 .....

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

on to be heard the Defendant's Motion in Arrest of Judgment herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion it is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts,

6735 State of Texas vs Will Fishmaker } Motion for New Trial Monday Oct 27, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for a New Trial herein, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas,

6735 State of Texas vs Will Fishmaker } Thursday Oct 30". 1890,

Ordered by the Court that Defendant have ten days after the adjournment of this Term of Court in which to prepare and file a statement of Facts herein,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1890

the day of A. D. 18

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

630v State of Texas }
vs }
O. M. Burr. } Thursday Oct 30" 1890.

Ordered by the Court that Defendant have ten days after the adjournment of this term of Court in which to prepare and file a statement of Facts herein.

Saturday November 1st 1890
Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in Course

W. D. Harris
County Judge

Attest:
John R. Kuig
County Clerk.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Monday the 3" day of November A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in November A. D. 1890 the same being the Third day of said month there was begun and holden a regular Term of the County Court within and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth: Present the Hon W. D. Harris, County Judge Presiding; B. P. Ayres, County Attorney, John P. King County Clerk, and J. C. Richardson Sheriff of said County when and where the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court to-wit:

— Venire Facias —

Now comes J. C. Richardson, Sheriff and returns into open Court the Venire Facias for this the 1st week of the November Term 1890 and upon the names of the jurors thereon being called Two qualified jurors answered to-wit: John Tinney and Dick Boaz, who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week, And it appearing to the Court that said panel is incomplete, it is ordered that the Sheriff summon qualified jurors sufficient to fill same, And the said Sheriff after being sworn as directed by law brought into Court the following qualified ~~jurors~~ to wit: J. C. Meacham, W. E. Wilson, John Rodgers, W. L. North, J. W. Griffith, Sam Barnett, B. P. Gibson who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890.

Monday the 3" day of November A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Alias Capias Continued

Ordered by the Court that Alias Capias issue for the Defendants in the following cases returnable to the next term of this Court and that said cases be continued.

6177 State of Texas vs Jim Wright, et al
Disturbing the Peace.

6456 State of Texas -vs- W. L. Rook
Aggravated Assault.

6613 State of Texas -vs- Jack Vine
Slander

6658 State of Texas -vs- Charles Maurice
Aggravated Assault

6764 State of Texas -vs- Billie Allen, et al
Adultery

6766 State of Texas -vs- George Pearce
Aggravated Assault

6791 State of Texas -vs- J. E. Early et al
Adultery

6826 State of Texas -vs- Dan Strickland, et al
Disorderly House

6827 State of Texas -vs- Dan Strickland, et al
Disorderly House

Continued by Consent.

5370 State of Texas -vs- W. E. Gray
& Gaming Table & Bank.

6660 State of Texas vs L. L. Moore
Aggravated Assault

6789 State of Texas -vs- Calvin Smith
Betting at Game with Dice called craps.

6818 State of Texas -vs- J. L. Hill
Carrying Pistol

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Thursday Morning at 9 o'clock

Thursday Morning Nov. 6' 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on Monday.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Thursday the 6th day of November A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

5867 State of Texas vs Will Thomas } Thursday Nov. 6th 1890
Continued by the State

5979 State of Texas -vs- Eley White } Thursday Nov. 6th 1890
Continued by Consent

Continued by Consent

6258 State of Texas -vs- J. W. Ryse
Malicious Mischief

6295 State of Texas -vs- J. C. Smith
Et Gaming Table & Bank

6296 State of Texas -vs- Joe Annis
Et Gaming Table & Bank

6314 State of Texas -vs- Jim Annis
Et Gaming Table & Bank

6318 State of Texas -vs- Geo Davis
Et Gaming Table & Bank

6377 State of Texas -vs- Joe Annis
Et Gaming Table & Bank

6379 State of Texas -vs- Geo Kelly
Betting at a Game with Dice

6344 State of Texas -vs- Tom Owens
Betting at Gaming Table

6346 State of Texas -vs- Sue Prince
Betting at Game with Dice

6357 State of Texas -vs- Henry Gray
Et Gaming Table & Bank

6400 State of Texas -vs- Chas Owens
Theft under $20.

6518 State of Texas -vs- Bill Smith
Aggravated Assault.

6519 State of Texas -vs- Bill Smith
Carrying Pistol

6577 State of Texas -vs- Bill Pulliam
Aggravated Assault

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890.

Thursday the 6" day of November A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6557 State of Texas -vs- Tom Owens.
Selling at a Game with Dice

6558 State of Texas -vs- Tom Owens
Selling at a Gaming Table & Bank.

6586 State of Texas -vs- Geo Canary
& Gaming Table & Bank

6591 State of Texas -vs- Bob Maurice, et al
Fornication

6770 State of Texas -vs- Louis Kuttman
Selling Liquor on Sunday

6771 State of Texas -vs- George Nixon
Selling Liquor on Sunday

6773 State of Texas -vs- Chas Offenheim
Selling Liquor on Sunday

6774 State of Texas -vs- Will Erwin
Selling Liquor on Sunday

6787 State of Texas vs- Chas Offenheim
Selling Beer on Sunday

Continued by the State.

6652 State of Texas -vs- George Davenport
Theft.

6758 State of Texas -vs- Joe Purvis
Keeping Gaming Table & Bank for purpose of Gaming

6759 State of Texas -vs- Henry Gray
Keeping Gaming Table & Bank for purpose of Gaming

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons finds with the foregoing he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same to-wit:

5825 State of Texas -vs- Frederick Dailey
Aggravated Assault

5995 State of Texas -vs- C, C, Longmire
Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday

5997 State of Texas -vs- C, C, Longmire
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Thursday the 6" day of November A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6004 State of Texas -vs- C. C. Longmire
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

6006 State of Texas -vs- C. C. Longmire
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

6169 State of Texas -vs- Sam Evans
Aggravated Assault

6170 State of Texas -vs- Sam Evans
Aggravated Assault

6506 State of Texas -vs- D. A. Hayden
Drunk in Public Place

6516 State of Texas -vs- H. P. Shiel
Abusive Language

6645 State of Texas -vs- H. J. Levan
Theft

6679 State of Texas -vs- Ed McDuff
Aggravated Assault

6835 State of Texas -vs- Sarah Johnson
Aggravated Assault

6839 State of Texas -vs- L. G. Levy
Aggravated Assault

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court the State of Texas take nothing by reason of their presentions and the Defendants go hence without day

6444 State of Texas
-vs-
H. P. Shiel } Thursday Nov. 6. 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to Quash the Indictment herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Monday the 3" day of November A. D. 1890,

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6819 State of Texas vs R. D. Gordan } Aggravated Assault
Monday Nov. 3, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant appearing in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty of simple assault. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant R. D. Gordon the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant be and is committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6861 State of Texas vs C. J. Grasey } Playing Cards in Public Place
Thursday Nov. 6. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant C. J. Swasey the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6860 State of Texas -vs- J. J. Roche } Playing Cards in Public Place Thursday Nov. 6" 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. J. Roche the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Thursday the 6th day of November A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6862 State of Texas -vs- Howard Tully } Playing Cards in Public Place Thursday Nov. 6. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Howard Tully the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6866 State of Texas -vs- Thos Skitten } Playing Cards in Public Place Thursday Nov. 6. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars It is therefore

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Thos Kitten the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs thereon incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6865 State of Texas -vs- John Timmy } Playing Cards in Public Place Thursday Nov. 6. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Timmy the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs thereon incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Thursday the 6th day of November A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6867 State of Texas vs J. P. Smith } Playing Cards in Public Place Thursday Nov. 6. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. P. Smith the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6852 State of Texas vs James Lynch } Theft. Thursday Nov. 6. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit J. F. Terry and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and the Charge of the Court retired

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Thursday the 6th day of November A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"Upon the plea of guilty we the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment as follows: thirty days in jail and fine him $25.00. J. F. Tinney, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant James Lynch the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail for the further period of thirty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn
6254 till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Friday Morning Nov. 7, 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

Alias & Continued

6254 State of Texas vs Charles Johnson
Aggravated Assault

6255 State of Texas vs Charles Johnson
Aggravated Assault

6401 State of Texas vs John Heinberg
Aggravated Assault

6422 State of Texas -vs- Fannie Anderson
Disorderly House

6433 State of Texas -vs- John Crisp
Disorderly House

6435 State of Texas -vs- John Gunny
Carrying Pistol

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Friday the 7th day of November A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6530 State of Texas -vs- Will Parker
Carrying Pistal

6610 State of Texas -vs- Joe Stamps
Carrying Pistal

6812 State of Texas -vs- Parky Henderson
Theft

6831 State of Texas -vs- Jack Sims
Aggravated Assault
Continued by the State

6468 State of Texas -vs- Frank Gillespie
Aggravated Assault

6547 State of Texas -vs- Mike Cassidy
Occupation without License

6783 State of Texas -vs- Chas Offenhaus
Selling Liquor on Sunday

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Saturday Morning Nov. 8th 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

Alias & Continued

6537 State of Texas -vs- George Smith
Betting at Craps

6549 State of Texas -vs- Charlie Nichols
Abusive Language

6604 State of Texas vs Tom Morgan
Aggravated Assault

6617 State of Texas vs Robt Bailey
Aggravated Assault

6631 State of Texas -vs- Bailey Barber
Aggravated Assault

6767 State of Texas -vs- George Dunn
Aggravated Assault

6779 State of Texas vs Will White
Betting at Game with Dice called Craps

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Saturday the 8" day of November A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Continued by the State

6568 State of Texas -vs- Louis Maas
Selling Bar on Sunday

6569 State of Texas -vs- Louis Maas
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

6757 State of Texas -vs- Ed Johnson
Selling Bar on Sunday

Continued by Consent

6175 State of Texas -vs- John Hines
Selling on Sunday

6384 State of Texas -vs- William Black.
Theft under $50.

6521 State of Texas -vs- John Jamison
Aggravated Assault

6547 State of Texas -vs- John Mass
Theft

6567 State of Texas -vs- John Stuart
Selling on Sunday

6584 State of Texas -vs- John Stuart
Selling Whiskey & Bar on Sunday

6597 State of Texas -vs- Louis Maas
Selling Whiskey & Bar on Sunday

6594 State of Texas -vs- Louis Maas
Selling Bar on Sunday

6596 State of Texas vs Louis Maas
Keeping Place of Business open on Sunday

6611 State of Texas -vs- G. W. Day
Selling Whiskey & Bar on Sunday

6763 State of Texas -vs- John Stuart
Selling Liquor on Sunday

Fined

6565 State of Texas -vs- Al Burnett
Aggravated Assault

6621 State of Texas vs- Bill the Kid
Carrying Pistol

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Saturday the 8" day of November A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons finds with the Grand Jurin says he will no further prosecute the following cases and move the Court to dismiss the same, as follows;

6525 State of Texas -vs- Jesse Stone
Aggravated Assault

6733 State of Texas vs Otto Lisenke
Assault

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

Wednesday Morning Nov. 12th 1890 Court in session present as on first day of Term.

6680 State of Texas vs Jas McKey } Carrying Pistol
Wednesday Nov. 12, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jas McKey the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stands commited to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

— Venire Facias —

Now comes J. C. Richardson, Sheriff and returns into open Court the Venire Facias for this the 2nd Week of the November Term 1890 and upon the name thereon being called these qualified jurors answered to wit: John Martin, W. D. Trimble and Jim Highlower, who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week, And it appearing to the Court that said panel is incomplete, it is ordered that the Sheriff summon qualified jurors sufficient to fill same, and the said Sheriff after being sworn as the law directs brought into Court the following qualified jurors; to wit: T. B. Daniels, J. W. Hausen Frank Dieco, J. C. Young, G. P. James, J. A. Evans, T. P. Stevens, J. M. Twomey, Wm Lightsey, Case Edwards and J. L. Bentley who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week.

— Alias & Continued —

6632 State of Texas -vs- L. W. Crawford
Aggravated Assault

6634 State of Texas -vs- Thomas Guy
Aggravated Assault

6635 State of Texas -vs- Bill Moore
Carrying Pistol,

6636 State of Texas -vs- "Hughes"
Carrying Pistol

6656 State of Texas -vs- Tim McCormick
Selling Liquor on Election day

6566 State of Texas -vs- John Hughes
Assault

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Thursday the 13th day of November A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6663 State of Texas
-vs-
Fred Knuckles } Carrying Pistol
Thursday Nov. 13. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. M. Hause and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

J. M. Hause, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Fred Knuckles go hence without day.

Thursday November 13th 1890.

Ordered by the Court that the Sheriff take charge of W. A. Flowers and confine him in the County Jail until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock for contempt of Court in speaking to and in a threatening way admonishing a witness to be cautious of what he swore, when both he and the witness were under rule in the case of The State of Texas vs Fred Knuckles,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Thursday the 13" day of November A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6732 State of Texas -vs- Bob Stafford } Carrying Pistol
Thursday Nov. 13" 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and by attorney, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; Frank Dillo and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty,

Frank Dillo, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this Information and that the Defendant Bob Stafford go hence without day.

6806 State of Texas -vs- George Wilcox } Selling Beer on Sunday
Thursday Nov 13. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Thursday the 13th day of November A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Hilcox the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6807 State of Texas -vs- J. J. Hatchell } Setting Cur on Sunday Thursday Nov. 13, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty dollars, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. J. Hatchell the sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full,

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein moves the Court to dismiss the following cases to wit;

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Thursday the 13" day of November A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6282 State of Texas -vs- Cicero Jordan Wm Quinn Lutz,
Aggravated Assault

6460 State of Texas -vs- Rufus Draper
Aggravated Assault.

6524 State of Texas
vs
Minnie Bates
Aggravated Assault
Thursday Nov. 13. 1890.
Continued by Consent.

6765 State of Texas
-vs-
John Thomas &
Mattie Shaw
Adultery
Thursday Nov. 13" 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant John Thomas in his own proper person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit. T. P. Simms and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty."

T. P. Simms, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Thomas go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Friday the 14th day of November A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Friday Morning Nov. 14th 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

6760 State of Texas -vs- John Stuart } Keeping open Saloon on Election day Friday Nov. 14. 1890.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the suit of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: T. P. Stivens and five others who were duly elected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty,"

T. P. Stivens, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Stuart go hence without day.

6795 State of Texas -vs- Isaac Henderson, def't } Fornication Friday Nov. 14. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the suit of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Friday the 14" day of November A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

goods and lawful men to wit G. P. James and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant Isaac Henderson now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict:

"Upon a plea of guilty we the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00 fifty dollars.

G. P. James, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Isaac Henderson the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6795 State of Texas -vs- Isaac Henderson, Def't } Fornication
Friday Nov. 14" 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant Rosa Wells in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant Rosa Wells now here enters her plea of guilty, Thereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Fifty dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Friday the 14th day of November A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Rosa Wells the sum of Fifty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6815 State of Texas -vs- O. W. Kern } Carrying Pistol
Friday Nov. 14th. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; H. D. Trimble and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at $25.00 dollars.

H. D. Trimble, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant O. W. Kern the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Friday the 14" day of November A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

6833 State of Texas -vs- E. B. McGinnis } Aggravated Assault Friday Nov. 14" 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; J. A. Evans and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit. "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Twenty five dollars, J. A. Evans. Foreman, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant E. B. McGinnis the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6848 State of Texas -vs- J. H. Mellon } Aiding in Escape of Prisoner Friday Nov. 14, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Friday the 14" day of November A. D. 18 90.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: T. B. Daniels and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty, T. B. Daniels Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant J. H. Mellon go hence without day.

6880 State of Texas -vs- Isham McConnell } Betting at Game with Cards Friday Nov. 14, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Isham McConnell the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Friday the 14" day of November A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand commited to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6876 State of Texas -vs- C. Brown } Aggravated Assault
Friday Nov. 14, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant C. Brown the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand commited to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6875 State of Texas -vs- Wm Collings } Theft of Property under $50.
Friday Nov. 14, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Friday the 14" day of November A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and Ten days imprisonment in the County Jail, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Loving the sum of Twenty fin dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten days and until the fine and costs are paid in full,

6863 State of Texas -vs- Geo B, Loving } Playing Cards in Public Place
Friday Nov, 14, 1890.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo B, Loving the sum of Ten dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Friday the 14" day of November A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same to wit;

6761 State of Texas -vs- G. H. Day
Keeping Saloon open on Election Day,

6798 State of Texas -vs- Bill Marshal,
Fornication

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

6661 State of Texas -vs- August Yeager } Theft
Friday Nov. 14, 1890.
Alias and continued

6790 State of Texas -vs- Isom McConnell } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank
Friday Nov. 14, 1890.
Continued by Consent.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock,

Saturday Morning Nov. 15th 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

6737 State of Texas -vs- C. A. Wilson } Carrying Pistol
Saturday Nov. 15, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; J. C. Young and five others who were duly

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entends his Plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit, "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00. J. C. Young, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant C. A. Wilson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6748 State of Texas } Aggravated Assault
-vs- } Saturday Nov. 15" 1890.
John.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: T. P. Stamps and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Saturday the 15" day of November A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

do consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jurors find the Defendant guilty and assess the fine at $25.00

T. P. Stevens, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6811 State of Texas -vs- D. J. Maloney } Aggravated Assault Saturday Nov. 15. 1890,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and by Attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: T. P. Stevens and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now there entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Saturday the 15" day of November A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

"We the jurors find the Defendant guilty an assess the fine at $25.00

T. P. Simms, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant D. J. Maloney the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6884 State of Texas -vs- Arthur Vining } Theft under $50. Saturday Nov. 15, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: T. B. Daniels and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Defendant of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment one day in Jail.

T. B. Daniels, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the fine

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Saturday the 15th day of November A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

juriads of one day, and until the costs in this case are paid in full

6792 State of Texas
-vs-
J. W. Bell } Saturday Nov. 15, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the motion of the County attorney to withdraw announcement of ready for trial in this case and to quash the Information herein, and the same being heard it is ordered by the Court that leave be granted to withdraw announcement and that said Information be and the same is hereby quashed. Further ordered that the State have leave to withdraw Complaint herein.

6841 State of Texas
-vs-
Arthur Vining } Theft
Saturday Nov. 15, 1890.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Arthur Vining go hence without day.

6845 State of Texas
vs
Avery Hardgrave } Carrying Pistol
Saturday Nov. 15. 1890

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Saturday the 15th day of November A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

with the sheriff Turin says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Perry Hardgrave go hence without day,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday morning at 9 o'clock,

Monday Morning Nov. 17th 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on Saturday,

Now comes J. C. Richardson, Sheriff and after being duly sworn brings into open Court the following qualified jurors to wit: D. E. Wolff, J. M. Scott, T. P. Stone, J. P. Cole, J. J. Garrett, T. A. Rumfield, E. N. Farmer, A. M. Eagle, J. P. Tucker, H. C. Gamble, L. E. Hardesty, L. B. Cresswell, F. D. Smith, H. H. Burton and F. E. McKnight who were all duly sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for this the third week of the Nov. Term 1890 of the County Court.

6832 State of Texas vs Zack Gilmore } Aggravated Assault Monday Nov. 17, 1890,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and by attorney, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court and the Defendant now withdrawn his plea of not Guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assess

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Monday the 17" day of November A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

his punishment at a fine of one hundred and fifty dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for six months. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Zack Gilmore the sum of One hundred and fifty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail for the full period of six months and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

6854 State of Texas. -vs- R. H. Smith alias Bob Rogers, et al } Unlawful Assembly

Tuesday Nov. 18, 1890.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendants R. H. Smith (alias) Bob Rodgers, F. S. Smith, Bob Gruver, Tom Lawson, and S. J. Larkin in their own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Thomas P. Stone and ten others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendants now here entered their plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendants R. H.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Smith alias Bob Rodgers, F. S. Smith, Bob Grover, Tom Lawson, and S. J. Larkin not guilty."

Thomas P. Stone. Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendants R. H. Smith alias Bob Rodgers, F. S. Smith, Bob Grover, Tom Lawson, and S. J. Larkin go hence without day,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning Nov. 18, 1890. Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

6578. State of Texas -vs- E. B. Daggett | Disorderly House Tuesday Nov. 18. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. J. Garrett and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Two hundred dollars.

J. J. Garrett, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Tuesday the 18" day of November A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

of and from the Defendant E. B. Daggett the sum of Two Hundred dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6799 State of Texas -vs- Wm Sansbury } Malicious Mischief
Tuesday Nov. 18, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Wm Sansbury in his own proper person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit J. P. Tucker and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant for plea entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty, J. P. Tucker. Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Wm Sansbury go hence without day

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Tuesday the 18th day of November A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6846 State of Texas -vs- Hugh Hill } Theft under $50. Tuesday Nov. 18th. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: L. B. Crowell and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty."

L. B. Crowell, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Hugh Hill go hence without day.

6851 State of Texas -vs- Chas Scott } Theft Tuesday Nov. 18th 1890

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Chas Scott go hence without day.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Tuesday the 18th day of November A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6850 State of Texas -vs- Chas Isham } Theft under $20. Tuesday Nov. 18. 1890.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Chas Isham go hence without day,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Wednesday Morning Nov. 19th 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

Continued by Consent.

5923 State of Texas -vs- Frank Harrison } Selling whiskey in Local Option Town,

5925 State of Texas -vs- W. O. Middleton Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town,

5926 State of Texas -vs- Frank Harrison Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town

5929 State of Texas -vs- Frank Harrison Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town,

5930 State of Texas -vs- W. O. Middleton Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town,

5931 State of Texas -vs- Frank Harrison Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town,

5932 State of Texas -vs- Frank Harrison Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town

5933 State of Texas -vs- G. G. Pate Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Wednesday the 19" day of November A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

5995 State of Texas -vs- W. O. Middleton
Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same to wit:

6052 State of Texas -vs- S. F. Camp.
Carrying Pistol

6528 State of Texas -vs- Kinney Allison
Aggravated Assault

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

6755 State of Texas -vs- Mrs W. J. Hardin } Assault
Wednesday Nov. 19, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. M. Eagle and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters her plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty."

W. M. Eagle, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Wednesday the 19th day of November A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the defendant Mrs M. J. Haden go hence without day,

6814 State of Texas -vs- Nath Harvy } Aggravated Assault Wednesday Nov. 19, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and by attorney and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. J. Garrett, and finding who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty of an aggravated assault and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 dollars and thirty days in jail"

J. J. Garrett, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Nath Harvy the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Wednesday the 19th day of November A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis

the full period of thirty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

6805 State of Texas. vs Chas Offenhauer } Setting Bar on Sunday Wednesday Nov. 19, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. J. Garrett and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Twenty Dollars.

J. J. Garrett, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas Offenhauer the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Wednesday the 19" day of November A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6885 State of Texas -vs- Geo Laydon et al } Fornication Wednesday Nov. 19, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendants Geo Layd and Annie Scott in their own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. W. Scott and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendants now here entered their plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict: "We the jury find the Defendants not guilty" J. W. Scott. Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants Geo Layd and Annie Scott go hence without day.

6883 State of Texas -vs- J. N. Dees } Aggravated Assault Wednesday Nov. 19, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Thomas O. Stone and five others who were duly selected empaneled

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Wednesday the 19" day of November A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty of simple assault and assess his fine at Twenty five dollars.

Thomas P. Stone, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. M. Bell, the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till the said fine and costs are paid in full.

6580 State of Texas —vs— Mike Dillon } Disorderly Conduct

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion to quash the Indictment herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Thursday the 20th day of November A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Thursday Morning Nov. 20th 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

6704 State of Texas -vs- W. J. Walden } Assault Thursday Nov. 20. 1890

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants plea of former conviction herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said plea, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Plea of former conviction be and the same is hereby sustained.

6804 State of Texas -vs- Randolph Kuhn } Selling Beer on Sunday Thursday Nov. 20. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Randolph Kuhn the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Thursday the 20th day of November A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6817 State of Texas -vs- A. Gunter } Selling Whisky on Sunday Thursday Nov. 20' 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. W. Scott and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict: to wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty." J. W. Scott, Foreman, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant A. Gunter go hence without day.

6834 State of Texas -vs- Kinney Oliver } Carrying Pistol Thursday Nov. 19, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury having waived the making

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Wednesday the 19" day of November A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Kinney Oliver the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6749 State of Texas -vs- John } Threats to take life
Thursday Nov. 20", 1890.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit J. M. Scott and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at One hundred Dollars.

J. M. Scott, Foreman.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Thursday the 20th day of November A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant John the sum of One hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6844 State of Texas
-vs-
Frank Kinsey } Carrying Pistol
Thursday Nov. 20th 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Kinsey the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Thursday the 20th day of November A. D. 1890,

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same hereof:

6820 State of Texas -vs- Jim Bates
Theft.

6877 State of Texas -vs- John Martin
Playing Cards in Public Place

6873 State of Texas -vs- John Martin
Playing Cards in Public Place

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day,

Continued by Consent

6799 State of Texas -vs- Bibe Miller, et al
Fornication

6803 State of Texas -vs- Joe Mayer
Selling Beer on Sunday

6816 State of Texas -vs- Joe Mayer
Selling Beer on Sunday

6824 State of Texas -vs- F. R. Moffett, et al
Selling Liquor without paying occupation tax

6856 State of Texas -vs- Horace Hagert, et al
Theft

6864 State of Texas -vs- Louis Mapp
Playing Cards in Public Place,

6879 State of Texas -vs- Lem Moore
Theft

6882 State of Texas -vs- Okie Fleming, et al
False Imprisonment

6579 State of Texas -vs- E. B. Daggett
Disorderly House

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Thursday the 20th day of November A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Acing & Continued

6813 State of Texas vs Sam Guthrie
aggravated assault

Continued by the State

6830 State of Texas -vs- Mike Devine
Carrying Pistol.

6828 State of Texas -vs- Andrew Chapman
Aggravated Assault

6800 State of Texas -vs- J. B. Berry } Occupation without License
Thursday Nov. 20. 1890.

It appearing to the Court that the Defendant herein has paid all cost incurred herein and has taken out license it is ordered by the Court that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that the Defendant herein go hence without day.

6886 State of Texas -vs- N. H. Blair } Occupation without License
Thursday Nov. 20. 1890.

It appearing to the Court that the Defendant has paid all costs incurred herein and has taken out license, it is ordered by the Court that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed and that the Defendant herein go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Friday Morning Nov. 21. 1890. Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Friday the 21st day of November A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same to wit;

6570 State of Texas -vs- Geo Hilsey,
Selling Whiskey on Sunday,

6571 State of Texas -vs- J. J. Hatchett
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

6572 State of Texas -vs- J. J. Hatchett
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

6573 State of Texas -vs- J. J. Hatchett
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

6793 State of Texas -vs- Harry Hatchett
Selling Liquor on Sunday

6794 State of Texas -vs- Louis Hatchett

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day,

6836 State of Texas -vs- James O. Helms } Appeal, Aggravated Assault
Friday Nov. 21, 1890.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and moves the Court to quash the Complaint herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Friday the 21st day of November A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6644 State of Texas -vs- E. L. Thilman } Aggravated assault. Friday Nov. 21. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit J. W. Scott and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

J. W. Scott Foreman,

It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

Continued by Consent.

6719 City of Fort Worth -vs- Joe Reynolds Vagrancy

6723 City of Fort Worth -vs- Bill Looney Selling on Sunday.

6746 City of Fort Worth -vs- Lucy Foster Disturbing the Peace.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Friday the 21st day of November A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6877 State of Texas -vs- Jack Gunnels } Aggravated Assault
Friday Nov. 21, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. O. Tucker and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit! "We the jury find the Defendant guilty of simple assault and assess his punishment at a fine of $5.00 five dollars,

J. O. Tucker, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jack Gunnels the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Friday the 21st day of November A. D. 1890

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6721 City of Fort Worth vs H. O. Slick — Permitting Placard on Sunday

Friday Nov. 21, 1890,

Continued by Defendant

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock,

Saturday Morning Nov. 22nd 1890. Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

6749 State of Texas vs John Johnson — Planting to take Life

Saturday Nov 22, 1890.

Ordered by the Court that the judgment heretofore entered in this cause be and the same is hereby set aside and for naught held. And it appearing to the Court that there is a variance between the Complaint and Information it is ordered by the Court that said Complaint and Information be and the same is hereby quashed, Further ordered that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Johnson go hence without day,

6743 State of Texas vs William Knox — Carrying Pistol

Saturday Nov. 22, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Thomas P. Stone and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Saturday the 22" day of November A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

to the jury and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "Upon a plea of guilty we the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at $20.00 Twenty five dollars,

Thomas O. Stone, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant William Knox the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the said fine and costs are paid in full.

6874 State of Texas -vs- Bob Douglas } Carrying Pistol Saturday Nov. 22. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Thomas O. Stone and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars.

Thomas P. Stone, Foreman.

It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Douglas the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6870 State of Texas -vs- Aron Gear } Theft under $50. Friday Nov. 21, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. M. Scott and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty, J. M. Scott. Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Evan Gear go hence without day.

6871 State of Texas -vs- Bob Mass } Aggravated Assault
Saturday Nov. 22, 1890.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same.
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Bob Mass go hence without day.

6878 State of Texas -vs- Chas Henderson } Vagrancy
Saturday Nov. 22, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Thomas P. Stone and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: We the jury find the Defendant

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Saturday the 22" day of November A. D. 1890.

not guilty Thomas O. Stone, Foreman,
It is therefore ordered by the Court that
the State of Texas take nothing by reason
of this prosecution and that the Defendant
Chas Henderson go hence without day.

6901 State of Texas
-vs-
Ed Love
} Aggravated Assault.
Saturday Nov. 22, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney
prosecuting the pleas of the State also
came the Defendant in his own proper
person and both parties announced
ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury
of good and lawful men to-wit: J. W.
Scott and five others who were duly
selected empannelled and sworn according
to law and the Information being read
to the jury the Defendant now interposed
his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the
jury after hearing the evidence and argument
of counsel and receiving the charge of the
Court retired to consider of their verdict
and after mature deliberation returned
into open Court the following verdict
to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty"
J. W. Scott, Foreman.
It is therefore considered and adjudged
by the Court that the State of Texas take
nothing by reason of this prosecution
and that the Defendant Ed Love, go hence
without day.

6902 State of Texas
-vs-
O. C. Nichols
} Carrying Pistol
Saturday Nov. 22, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney
prosecuting the pleas of the State also
came the Defendant in his own proper
person and both parties announced

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Saturday the 22" day of November A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant O. C. Nichols the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6903 State of Texas -vs- R. V. Nix } Carrying Pistol Saturday Nov. 22, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant R. V. Nix the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890.

Saturday the 22, day of November A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Continued by Consent.

6648 City of Fort Worth -vs- Pearl Levy
Vagrancy,

6843 State of Texas -vs- Sarah Oats
Theft

6892 State of Texas -vs- John Givins
Aggravated Assault.

6891 State of Texas -vs- Tom Clark,
Aggravated Assault.

Continued by Defendant.

6947 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Andrews
Selling Liquor on Election day

6951 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Andrews
Selling Liquor on Election day,

6952 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Andrews
Keeping Saloon open on Election day,

6849 State of Texas -vs- A. C. Bateman.
Aggravated Assault.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will not further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same to-wit;

6770 State of Texas -vs- Louis Stutman
Selling Liquor on Sunday

6773 State of Texas -vs- Chas Oppenheim
Selling Liquor on Sunday

6774 State of Texas -vs- Wm Emrin
Selling Liquor on Sunday,

6782 State of Texas -vs- Chas Oppenheim
Selling Beer on Sunday,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Saturday the 22, day of November A. D. 1890

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

6783 State of Texas -vs- Chas Offenheim
Selling Liquor on Sunday,
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of their prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday Morning at 9 o'clock,

Monday Morning Nov. 24th 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on Saturday,

6737 State of Texas vs C. A. Wilson } Motion for new Trial Monday Nov. 24th 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant appeared in his own behalf and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for new trial, the argument being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled,

6578 State of Texas -vs- E. D. Daggett } Motion for New Trial Monday Nov. 24th 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that law is for said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Monday the 24th day of November A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

6877 State of Texas -vs- Jack Gunnels } Motion to Relay Costs
Monday Nov. 24, 1890.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion to Relay Costs herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. It is ordered that said motion be sustained so far as to allow Manda Sting, Mary E. Haeden, Mary Tuttle one day each and Archie Grun and Will White three days each.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Tuesday Morning Nov. 25, 1890 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

6858 State of Texas -vs- Walter Radford } Theft under $20
Tuesday Nov. 25, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Fifty dollars and thirty days in jail, It is therefore considered adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Walter Radford the sum of

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

Fifty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full,

Friday Nov. 28" 1890 Court in session present as on first day of Term,

6909 State of Texas -vs- Frank Simms } Carrying Pistol

Friday Nov. 28" 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Simms the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Saturday the 29th day of November A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Saturday Nov. 29th 1890. Court in session present as on first day of Term.

6859 State of Texas -vs- Elzy Isham } Disturbing Religious Worship
Saturday Nov. 29. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Elzy Isham the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6910 State of Texas -vs- J. H. Parris } Carrying Bowie Knife
Saturday Nov 29th 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890.

Saturday the 29th day of November A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. H. Parris the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Monday Dec 1. 1890. Court in session present as on first day of Term,

691r State of Texas -vs- Bob Waggoner } Carrying Pistol
Monday Dec 1. 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Waggoner the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Monday the 1st day of December A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

6913 State of Texas -vs- Richard Heck } Carrying Pistol Monday Dec 1, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Richard Heck the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Wednesday Dec 3rd, 1890 Court in session present as on first day of Term.

6915 State of Texas -vs- Gio Pollards } Carrying Pistol Wednesday Dec 3, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers.

person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now in open court withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Thirty Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Pollard the sum of Thirty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered, that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6832 State of Texas -vs- Zack Gilmore | Motion to Reform Judgment Wednesday Dec 3, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person, And then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion to Reform the Judgment heretofore entered in this case, and the evidence in support thereof being heard, It is ordered by the Court that said judgment entered herein Nov. 17" 1890 be and the same is hereby modified and reformed so as to annul all that part thereof assessing the punishment at imprisonment so that the same shall hereafter read as follows: It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Zack

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Wednesday the 3" day of December A. D. 1890.

Printers, Lithographers and Stationers, St. Louis.

Giveone the sum of One Hundred and fifty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6917 State of Texas -vs- Wallace Scott } Carrying Pistol Wednesday Dec 10" 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Sixty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Wallace Scott the sum of Sixty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6920 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Witherspoon } Carrying Pistol Wednesday Dec 10" 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person

County Court (Criminal) Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Wednesday the 10th day of December A. D. 1890.

Geo. D. Barnard & Co., Blank Book Manufacturers,

and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Sixty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. H. Witherspoon the sum of Sixty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6943 State of Texas -vs- John Lassiter } Carrying Pistol
Tuesday Dec 11, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Lassiter the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

W. D. Harris
Co. Judge
Tarrant Co. Texas

Attest:
Jno. A. King, Co. Clk.

Included to Page 565-10 Rec 6424

END

CRIMINAL MINUTES COUNTY COURT

VOL. 9

TARRANT COUNTY

TAR 155
CRIMINAL MINUTES
COUNTY COURT
3-10-32

Tarrant Co.
Criminal Minutes
County Court

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Thursday the 18" day of December A. D. 1890.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Thursday Dec 18" 1890 Court in session present as on first day of term,

6946 State of Texas -vs- B. F. Hodges } Carrying Knuckles Thursday Dec 18". 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant B. F. Hodges the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6947 State of Texas -vs- J. N. Robinson } Carrying Pistol Friday Dec. 19". 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars fine assessed

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Friday the 19th day of December A. D. 1890

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6832 State of Texas -vs- Zack Gilmore } Aggravated Assault Thursday Dec 18. 1890.

The request and recommendation of the County Physician being heard and it appearing to the Court that it is indispensible to the health of of Defendant Zack Gilmore that he be released from the County Jail for the present. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Sheriff with the consent of the Defendant, suspend the further execution of the judgment herein for the period of three months unless otherwise hereafter ordered.

Monday Dec 22. 1890 Court in session pronounced on first day of Term.

6832 State of Texas -vs- Zack Gilmore } Aggravated Assault Monday Dec 22. 1890.

It is ordered by the Court that the judgment heretofore entered suspending the execution of sentence in this case be and the same is hereby set aside, and further ordered that the Sheriff proceed to execute the judgment,

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Tuesday the 23 day of December A. D. 1890

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Tuesday Morning Dec 23" 1890 Court in session present as on first day of Term,

6953 State of Texas } Carrying Pistol
-vs- } Tuesday Dec 23" 1890.
John Mays }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the trying of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Mays the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6954 State of Texas } Theft
-vs- } Tuesday Dec 23. 1890.
Bill Tinny }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the trying of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now withdrew

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Tuesday the 23" day of December A. D. 1890

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail ten days. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bill Terry the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the succession of ten days and until the fine and costs are paid in full,

6961

State of Texas
-vs-
Frank Kelly

Thursday
Wednesday Dec 24th 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Thirty five dollars and twenty days imprisonment in the County Jail. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Kelly the sum of Thirty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of twenty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

6942 State of Texas -vs- O. F. Massey } Swindling Tuesday Dec 30, 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and six hours in the County Jail, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant C. F. Massey the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of six hours and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

6815 State of Texas -vs- O. W. Kerr } Recognizance Tuesday Dec 30" 1890.

This day came into open Court O. W. Kerr, Defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with W. J. Bailey and Frank Trombly, surities acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Tuesday the 30 day of December A. D. 1890

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

sum of Two Hundred and fifty dollars conditioned that the said O. M. Sumner who stands charged in this Court with the the offense of "Unlawfully carry on and about his person a pistol" on the 2nd day of September 1890 and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court shall appear before this Court from day to day and from time to time of the same and not depart without leave of the Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

6888

State of Texas
-vs-
Buckskin Jar
} Vagrancy
Monday Dec 10th 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: T. B. Daniels and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty."

T. B. Daniels, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Buckskin Jar go hence without day.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1890

Friday the 2" day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas

6979 State of Texas -vs- H. E. Hooper } Theft

Friday Jany 2" 1890.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and five days in the County Jail, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. E. Hooper the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of five days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

Saturday Jany 3, 1891

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in Course

W. D. Harris
County Judge
Tarrant Co.

Attest:
Jno. A. King. Co. Clk.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Monday the 5" day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in January A. D. 1891 the same being the Fifth day of said month there was begun and holden a regular Term of the County Court within and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth: Present Hon W. D. Harris, County Judge Presiding O. H. Gillespie, County Attorney, John P. King, County Clerk and J. C. Richardson Sheriff of said County when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court to-wit:

— Venire Facias —

Now come J. C. Richardson, Sheriff and return into open Court the Venire Facias for this the First week of the January Term 1891 and upon the names of the jurors thereon being called Two qualified jurors answered to-wit J. B. Sprinkle and Dan Gunnels, who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week. And it appearing to the Court that said Panel is incomplete, It is ordered that Sheriff summon qualified jurors sufficient to fill same, and the said Sheriff after being sworn as directed by law brought into Court the following qualified jurors to-wit T. L. Gibson, J. A. Evans, E. T. Hallis, A. J. Lee, L. A. Trimble, O. H. Read, M. C. Barnes, D. L. Easley, G. H. Gillespie, P. Blair and W. D. Wheeler, who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Monday the 5th day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

-Fiend-

It appearing to the Court that the Sheriff has been unable to arrest the Defendants in the following cases. It is ordered by the Court that same be filed until such time as the Defendants shall may be arrested.

6122 State of Texas -vs- Jim Knight, et al.
Disturbing the Peace

6254 State of Texas -vs- Charley Johnson
Aggravated Assault.

6255 State of Texas -vs- Charley Johnson,
Aggravated Assault.

6400 State of Texas -vs- Chas Owens
Theft

6401 State of Texas -vs- John Himberg
Aggravated Assault.

6422 State of Texas -vs- Fannie Anderson,
Disorderly House.

6433 State of Texas -vs- John Crisp
Aggravated Assault.

6530 State of Texas -vs- Will Parker
Carrying Pistol

6537 State of Texas -vs- George Smith
Cutting at Craps.

6549 State of Texas -vs- Charlie Nichols
Abusive Language

6566 State of Texas -vs- John Hughes
Assault

6604 State of Texas -vs- Tom Morgan, et al
Aggravated Assault.

6613 State of Texas -vs- Jack Vines
Slander

6617 State of Texas -vs- Robt Bailey
Aggravated Asisault

6631 State of Texas -vs- Bailey Barber
Aggravated Assault.

6634 State of Texas -vs- Thomas Guy
Aggravated Assault.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Monday the 5" day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

6636 State of Texas -vs- "Hughes"
Assault

6656 State of Texas -vs- Tim McCormick
Selling Liquor on Election Day

6658 State of Texas -vs- Charles Maurice
Aggravated Assault

6661 State of Texas -vs- August Granger
Theft

6704 State of Texas -vs- Andrew Reed
Permitting Place of Business open on Sunday

6764 State of Texas -vs- Billie Oliver, et al
Adultery

6766 State of Texas -vs- George Pearce
Aggravated Assault

6767 State of Texas -vs- George Dunn
Aggravated Assault

6777 State of Texas -vs- Avery Bunn
& Gaming Table & Bank

6779 State of Texas -vs- Will White
Dealing at Game called Craps

6791 State of Texas -vs- J. E. Emery, et al
Adultery

6812 State of Texas -vs- Oaky Henderson
Theft

6813 State of Texas -vs- Sam Guthrie
Aggravated Assault

6826 State of Texas -vs- Dan Krueger, et al
Keeping Disorderly House

6827 State of Texas -vs- Dan Krueger, et al
Pursuing Occupation without License

6829 State of Texas -vs- Will Ford
Theft

6853 State of Texas -vs- Shepard Brown
Escaped Convict

6869 State of Texas -vs- J. A. Miller
Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday

6887 State of Texas -vs- John Shannon
Pursuing Occupation without License

6890 State of Texas -vs- Bill Bryant
Vagrancy

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Monday the 5th day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

6948 State of Texas -vs- Charles McGavin
Aggravated Assault

6955 State of Texas -vs- John Upshaw
Betting at a Game with Dice

6956 State of Texas -vs- Otto Williams
Betting at Game with Dice and Craps

6957 State of Texas -vs- Slim Smith
Betting at Game with Dice and Craps.

6468 State of Texas -vs- Frank Gillespie
Aggravated Assault

Alias & Continued

6435 State of Texas -vs- John Young,
Carrying Pistol

6456 State of Texas -vs- M. L. Board
Aggravated Assault

6809 State of Texas -vs- John Paal
Playing Cards in an Outhouse

6810 State of Texas -vs- Asa Childress
Playing Cards in an outhouse

6822 State of Texas -vs- Bob Tucker
Aggravated Assault

6831 State of Texas -vs- Jack Sims
Aggravated Assault

6855 State of Texas -vs- Ed Moore
Unlawfully using animal without consent of owner

6925 State of Texas -vs- Tom Hudson
Keeping Faro Bank for Purpose of Gaining

6945 State of Texas -vs- Joe Salmon
Vagrancy

6803 State of Texas vs Joe Mayer } Suing Out on Sunday
Monday Jany 5, 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being called

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Monday the 5 day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jac Mayer the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6818 State of Texas -vs- J. L. Hill } Carrying Pistol
Monday Jany 5, 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas, do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. L. Hill, the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, For which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Monday the 5" day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

6892 State of Texas -vs- John Giving } Aggravated Assault Monday Jany 5, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Giving the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6968 State of Texas -vs- John Thompson } Aggravated Assault Monday Jany 5, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: O. M. Heads and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the jury

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Monday the 5th day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars"

O. N. Heads, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Thompson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till the said fine and costs are paid in full.

6971 State of Texas -vs- Jim Cummings } Aggravated Assault
Monday Jany 5, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being empaneled the matters of fact and law of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars and thirty days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Monday the 5th day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Jim Cummings the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

6976 State of Texas -vs- Bill Bryant } Abusive Language
Monday Jany 5. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bill Bryant the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Monday the 5th day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

6978 State of Texas -vs- Fred Deaver } Theft
Monday Jany 5. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Thirty Dollars and Twenty days in the County Jail, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Fred Deaver the sum of Thirty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail for the full period of Twenty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

6981 State of Texas -vs- Gracis Lane } Vagrancy
Monday Jany 5. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

her plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Gracie Lane the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6982 State of Texas -vs- Jessie Reams } Vagrancy
Monday Jany 5. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jessie Reams the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Monday the 5th day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

6983 State of Texas -vs- Madam Porter } Vagrancy
Monday Jany 5. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enlinds her plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Madam Porter the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6984 State of Texas -vs- Jane Belmont } Vagrancy
Monday Jany 5, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enlinds her plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

and from the Defendant Josie Dement the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6986 State of Texas -vs- Dan Hinson } Carrying Pistol Monday Jany 5, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law was submitted to the Court and the Defendant now withdrawed his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assess his punishment at a fine of Thirty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dan Hinson the sum of Thirty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Monday the 5 day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

6987 State of Texas -vs- Wm M. Lunt } Theft. Monday Jany 5, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and ten days in the County Jail, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Wm M. Lunt the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

6988 State of Texas -vs- Jack Devine } Carrying Pistol. Monday Jany 5, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper and both announced ready for trial a jury being waived the facts as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One Hundred

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Monday the 15th day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for fifteen days, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jack Divine the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Fifteen days and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Now come the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same as follows:

6771 State of Texas -vs- George Wilcox
Selling Liquor on Sunday

6790 State of Texas -vs- Isom McConnell
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank for Purpose of Gaming

6816 State of Texas -vs- Jos Mayer
Selling Beer on Sunday.

6929 State of Texas -vs- Frank Summers
Assault & Battery

6940 State of Texas -vs- Henry Watts
Aggravated Assault

6964 State of Texas -vs- Cummings
Aggravated Assault

6716 State of Texas -vs- Tom Mason
Permitting Place of Business open on Sunday

6717 State of Texas -vs- Charles Schuler
Permitting Place of Business open on Sunday.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 189/

Tuesday the 6" day of January A. D. 189/

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Tuesday Morning Jany 6" 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons finds with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same as follows to wit;

6357 State of Texas -vs- Henry Gray
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

6581 State of Texas -vs- John Jamison
Aggravated Assault

6559 State of Texas -vs- John Jamison
Dealing at Gaming Table and Bank

6567 State of Texas -vs- John Stuart
Selling on Sunday

6584 State of Texas -vs- John Stuart
Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday

6759 State of Texas -vs- Henry Gray
Keeping Gaming Table & Bank for purpose of Gaming

6763 State of Texas -vs- John Stuart
Selling Liquor on Sunday

6830 State of Texas -vs- Mike Devine
Carrying Pistol

6918 State of Texas -vs- Bill Johnson
Theft

6932 State of Texas -vs- Jim McHam.
Aggravated Assault

6939 State of Texas -vs- Bill Johnson
Theft

6958 State of Texas -vs- Frank Hilson
Dealing at a Game with dice

6960 State of Texas -vs- Willis Benjamin
Dealing at a Game with Dice

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Tuesday the 6th day of January A. D. 1891.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and the Defendants go hence without day.

5979 State of Texas -vs- Ely White } Theft under $20 Tuesday Jany 6th. 1891.

Continued by Consent.

6284 State of Texas -vs- William Black } Theft Tuesday Jany 6th 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to Quash the Information herein, and the same being heard it is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

6914 State of Texas vs J. D. Page } Assault Tuesday Jany 6th, 1891.

Continued by Agreement.

6911 State of Texas vs T. R. Beake & John P. Beake } Assault & Battery Tuesday Jany 6th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant T. R. Beake in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; J. A. Evans and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Tuesday the 6th day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess the punnalty at a fine of Five Dollars $5.00 J. A. Evans, Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant T. R. Beaty, the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6928 State of Texas / -vs- / J. J. Twiford } Aggravated Assault. Tuesday Jany 6, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: G. W. Simpson and four others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Tuesday the 6th day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and recieving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after maturing deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess his fine at five dollars.

G. W. Gillespie, Foreman,

It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. J. Tuefond the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6944 State of Texas -vs- Peter Sargent } Carrying Pistol Tuesday Jany 6, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Peter Sargent the sum of Twenty five

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Tuesday the 6th day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs are paid in full,

69:77 State of Texas
-vs-
Ed Donovan
} Thefft
Tuesday Jany 6th. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now withdrawing his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Thirty Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for Twenty days, It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed Donovan the sum of Thirty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,
Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Twenty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Tuesday the 6" day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

6985 State of Texas -vs- Bob Mills } Aggravated Assault

Tuesday January 6". 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. A. Evans and [illegible] others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty to simple assault. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find Defendant guilty of simple assault and assess his fine at Five Dollars.

J. A. Evans, Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Mills the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 189 1

Tuesday the 6" day of January A. D. 189 1

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Wednesday Jany 7" 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

6568 State of Texas -vs- Louis Maas } Wednesday Jany 7" 1891 During Term on Sunday

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: G. W. Gilmore and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here withdraws his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit, "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as indicted and assess his fine at $20.00. G. W. Gilmore, Foreman. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Louis Maas the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6592 State of Texas vs Louis Maas } Selling Whisky + Beer on Sunday Wednesday Jany 7th 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: S. L. Easley and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the indictment and assess a fine of Twenty Dollars."

S. L. Easley, Foreman,"

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Louis Maas the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause 6568 said Defendant

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Wednesday the 7th day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

stands committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full,

6919 State of Texas -vs- Bill Johnson } Theft Wednesday Jany 7, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail Twenty days. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bill Johnson the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail for the full period of Twenty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full,

6931 State of Texas -vs- Bob Miller } Aggravated Assault Wednesday Jany 7, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Wednesday the 7th day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: S. L. Easley and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. S. L. Easley, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Miller the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6933 State of Texas -vs- Dan Feads } Aggravated Assault Wednesday Jany 7, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: S. L. Easley and five others

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

who were duly sworn and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty of simple assault Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following which to wit "Upon the plea of guilty by Defendant we the jury find the Defendant guilty of a simple assault and assess his fine at five dollars.

S. L. Easley, Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dave Fleeds the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6934 State of Texas -vs- Frank Casper } Selling Liquor on Sunday Wednesday Jany 7, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Wednesday the 7th day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Cooper the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6959 State of Texas -vs- Wilson Tucker } Being at Case with Clerk Wednesday Jany 7th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: G. W. Gillespie and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty, G. W. Gillespie, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Wilson Tucker go hence without day,

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 189 1

Wednesday the 7th day of January A. D. 189 1

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Thursday Morning Jany 8th 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

6778 State of Texas -vs- John Jamison } During at Game cases Docket Thursday Jany 8. 1891,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Fifteen Dollars, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Jamison the sum of Fifteen Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6904 State of Texas -vs- H. Hardison } Aggravated Assault. Thursday Jany 8th. 1891,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit C. D.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Whereupon a jury of twelve men duly selected impaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now withdrew his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and reciving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty of simple assault and assess his fine at five Dollars.

A. D. Ikhuler, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. Handson the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6952 State of Texas -vs- Herman Gice. } Aggravated assault Thursday Jany 8th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty Whereupon the Court after hearing the

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 189 1

Thursday the 8th day of January A. D. 189 1

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

evidence and argument of counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifteen Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Newman Gill the sum of Fifteen Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

-Cont by Consent-

6921 State of Texas -vs- Chas Wright
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

6922 State of Texas -vs- Chas Wright
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

6923 State of Texas -vs- Chas Wright
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank,

6924 State of Texas -vs- Nat Kramer
Keeping Faro Bank for purpose of Gaming

6926 State of Texas vs John Heyburger
Keeping Gaming Bank for purpose of Gaming

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock,

Friday Morning Jany 9th 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as yesterday,

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cause and moves the Court to dismiss the same viz;

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Friday the 9" day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

5591 State of Texas -vs- Edward Muller
Selling Whisky on Sunday

5607 State of Texas -vs- Tom Easley, et al
Fornication

6175 State of Texas -vs- John Haines
Selling on Sunday

6557 State of Texas -vs- Tom Owens
Betting at Game with Dice

6558 State of Texas -vs- Tom Owens
Betting at Gaming Table & Bank,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

6542 State of Texas vs John Mars } Theft under $20.
Friday Jany 9, 1891
Continued

5867 State of Texas -vs- Nick Thoma } aggravated assault
Friday Jany 9, 1891,
Continued by Consent.

6329 State of Texas vs Geo Kelly } Betting at a Game with Dice
Friday Jany 9, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Fifteen Dollars, It is therefore considered

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Kelly the sum of Fifteen Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6994 State of Texas -vs- Clay Hornell } Aggravated Assault
Friday Jany 9. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One hundred dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Clay Hornell the sum of One Hundred dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Friday the 9th day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

6868 State of Texas -vs- Geo Kelly } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank
Friday Jany 9, 1891.

Continued by Consent.

Monday Morning Jany 12th 1891 Court in session present as on first day of term.

— Venire Facias —

Now comes J. C. Richardson, Sheriff and returns into open Court the Venire Facias for this the Second Week of the January Term 1891 and upon the name thereon being called Three qualified jurors answered to wit: George Corbin, James Baker and J. D. Tanner Sr who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week, and it appearing to the Court that said panel is incomplete It is ordered that the Sheriff summon qualified jurors sufficient to fill same, and the said Sheriff after being sworn as directed by law, brought into Court the following qualified jurors to wit: Jas Montgomery, Rube Rogers, James Cooper, W. D. Tarrio, L. M. Moore, W. D. Harris John Lutz, Tom Skillin T. B. Daniels and P. Blair who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week,

7001 State of Texas -vs- Andrews Greene } Theft under $20.
Monday Jany 12th. 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Monday the 12" day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

submitted to the Court and the Defendant now in open Court withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon after hearing the evidence the Court assess his punishment at a fine of Forty Dollars and thirty days imprisonment in the County Jail, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Andrew Grun the sum of Forty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

Wednesday Jany 14" 1891 Court in session present as on first day of term,

6547 State of Texas -vs- Mike Cassidy } Occupation without License Wednesday Jany 14, 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons finds with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

6591 State of Texas -vs- Bob Warwick, Parish Slack } Fornication Wednesday Jany 14, 1891

This day came the

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to quash the Indictment herein as to the Defendant Bob Maurice, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

6652 State of Texas -vs- George Davenport } Theft Wednesday Jany 14, 1891.

Continued by the State.

6751 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Andrews } Selling Liquor on Election day Wednesday Jany 14, 1891

Continued by Consent.

6752 State of Texas vs J. D. Andrews } Keeping Saloon open on Election Day Wednesday Jany 14, 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit T. B. Daniels and six others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Wednesday the 14th day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

the following verdict to wit, "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess the fine at one hundred dollars $100.00" T. B. Daniels, Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. D. Andrews the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6828 State of Texas -vs- Andrew Chapman } Aggravated Assault Wednesday Jany 14, 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. D. Harris and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty,

W. D. Harris, Foreman.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Wednesday the 14th day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Andrew Chapman go hence without day.

6864 State of Texas -vs- Louis Maas } Playing Cards in Public Place Wednesday Jany 14, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the hearing of facts as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enlined his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Louis Maas the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6856 State of Texas -vs- Horace Hagen, et al } Theft Wednesday Jany 14, 1891

Continued by Consent.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Wednesday the 14th day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

6935 State of Texas -vs- Mike Cassidy } Setting Term on Sunday Wednesday Jany 14, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: T. D. Daniels and five others who were duly elected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty." T. D. Daniels, Foreman

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and the Defendant Mike Cassidy go hence without day.

6936 State of Texas -vs- Tom Daugherty } Setting Term on Sunday Wednesday Jany 14, 1891

Continued by Consent.

6992 State of Texas -vs- Henry Gray } Vagrancy Wednesday Jany 14, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Wednesday the 14th day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

person and each parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Henry Gray the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6998 State of Texas -vs- Bill Low Jr } assault & Battery Wednesday Jany 14, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: P. Blair and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. P. Blair, Foreman.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 189 1

Wednesday the 14th day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Bill Sawyer go hence without day.

6973 State of Texas vs Bill Marks, et al } Fornication
Wednesday Jany 14, 1891,

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to Quash the Information herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

Ordered Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Thursday Jany 15th 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

5729 State of Texas vs John Vaughn } Playing Dice in Public Place
Thursday Jany 15, 1891,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: T. B. Daniels and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here unto this plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Thursday the 15th day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

T. B. Daniels, Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Vaughn go hence without day.

5730 State of Texas -vs- J. O'Brien } Playing Dice in Public Place Thursday Jany 15, 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons given in the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant J. O'Brien go hence without day.

6632 State of Texas -vs- L. M. Crawford } Aggravated Assault Thursday Jany 15, 1891.

Continued by the State.

6660 State of Texas -vs- L. L. Moore } Aggravated Assault Thursday Jany 15, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announcing ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good

Thursday the 15th day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

and lawful men to-wit: W. D. Farris and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. W. D. Farris, Foreman. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant L. L. Moore go hence without day.

6824 State of Texas -vs- F. R. Muffit & J. B. Shiner } Selling Liquor without paying Tax Thursday Jany 15, 1891.

Continued by Consent.

6849 State of Texas vs A. C. Boardman } Aggravated Assault Thursday Jany 15, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Joseph Montgomery and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Thursday the 15 day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty,

Joseph Montgomery, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant A. C. Parkerson go hence without day.

6916 State of Texas -vs- C. N. Dugger } Carrying Pistol
Thursday Jany 15. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. B. Farmer and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at twenty five dollars.

J. B. Farmer, Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

the Defendant C. N. Dugger the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6930 State of Texas -vs- Nick Johnson } Aggravated Assault
Thursday Jany 15. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, ~~a jury being waived~~ the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Nick Johnson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6980 State of Texas -vs- G. W. James } Theft
Thursday Jany 15, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. C. Richards and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty,

W. C. Richards, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant G. W. Jones go hence without day,

7003 State of Texas -vs- Bill Love, Sr. } Abusive Language Thursday Jany 15, 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons found with the foregoing therein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Bill Love Sr go hence without day,

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Thursday the 15th day of January A.D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock,

Friday Morning Jany 16th 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

6578 State of Texas -vs- E. B. Daggett } Keeping Disorderly House
Friday Jany 16. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit Joseph Montgomery and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enlinds his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict! "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $200.00

Joseph Montgomery, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant E. B. Daggett the sum of Two Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Friday the 16th day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

6578 State of Texas -vs- E. D. Daggett } Motion to Quash Indictment Friday Jany 16th 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorney and also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to Quash the Indictment herein, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts

[illegible] Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

6579 State of Texas -vs- E. D. Daggett } Motion to Quash Indictment Friday Jany 16. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and also came the Defendant his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to Quash the Indictment herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion it is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts,

6579 State of Texas vs E. D. Daggett } Keeping Disorderly House Friday Jany 16. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Friday the 16th day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. D. Farris, and five others who were duly sworn impanneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty.

Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Two Hundred Dollars.

W. D. Farris, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant E. D. Daggett the sum of Two Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 6578 said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

6843 State of Texas -vs- Sarah Oats } Theft under $50. Friday Jany 16. 1891.

Continued by the State.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 189 1

Friday the 16" day of January A. D. 189 1

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

6938 State of Texas -vs- John Pipkins } Carrying Brass Knuckles. Friday Jany 16". 1891.

This day came the Canty Attorny prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit; W. C. Richards and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now herein withdrew his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. W. C. Richards, Foreman,

It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Pipkins go hence without day.

6949 State of Texas -vs- John Ruffner, et al } Burning Woodland not his own Friday Jany 16. 1891.

Now comes the Canty Attorny prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Friday the 16th day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants John Ruffner and Martin Kirchazer go hence without day,

6962 State of Texas -vs- E. B. Daggett } Carrying Pistol
Friday Jany 16. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit John Lutz and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now there entered his plea of guilty, Thereupon the jury retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at twenty five dollars.

John Lutz, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant E. B. Daggett the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Causes Nos 6578 and 6579 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this cause are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Friday the 16th day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

6974 State of Texas -vs- Ed Dawson, et al } Fornication Friday Jany 16th 1891.

Continued by Consent.

6989 State of Texas -vs- W. D. Alexander } Carrying Pistol Friday Jany 16th 1891.

Continued by Consent

6990 State of Texas -vs- Clem Key } Carrying Knuckles Friday Jany 16th 1891

Continued by State for absent witness.

6992 State of Texas -vs- John Johnson } Threatening to take life Friday Jany 16. 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. D. Farris and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Five Hundred Dollars, W. D. Farris, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 189 1

Friday the 16th day of January A. D. 189 1

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Johnson the sum of Five Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Saturday Jany 17th 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

6857 State of Texas -vs- Tom Early & Alice Malone } Fornication
Saturday Jany 17, 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants Tom Early and Alice Malone go hence without day.

6879 State of Texas -vs- Sim Moore } Theft of property under $20
Saturday Jany 17, 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Joseph Montgomery and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:- "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at imprisonment for one year,

Joseph Montgomery Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said Defendant Slim Moore stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of one year and until all costs herein and said fine are paid in full,

6882 State of Texas -vs- Skeet Flemons, et al } False Imprisonment Saturday Jany 17. 1891,

Continued by the State for absence of witness J. J. Ingram,

6891 State of Texas -vs- Tom Clark } Aggravated Assault Saturday Jany 17. 1891,

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Saturday the 17th day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

prosecution and that the Defendant Tom Clark go hence without day.

6905 State of Texas -vs- Tom Easley } Aggravated Assault Saturday Jany 17, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Thirty Dollars. It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Easley the sum of Thirty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand commited to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6907 State of Texas -vs- Jack Flint } Vagrancy Saturday Jany 17, 1891.

Alias and Continued

6951 State of Texas -vs- D. Banks } Theft Saturday Jany 17, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Saturday the 17th day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Joseph Montgomery and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty & assess his punishment at ten days in jail. Joseph Montgomery, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Defendant D. Banks stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten days and pay all costs incurred herein and stay in jail.

6967 State of Texas -vs- Willie Mathis } Threatening to take life
Saturday Jany 17, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Joseph Montgomery and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Saturday the 17th day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty."

Joseph Montgomery, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Will Marks go hence without day.

6993 State of Texas -vs- Wm Black } Theft. Saturday Jany 17. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: L. W. Moore and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty."

L. W. Moore, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Wm Black go hence without day.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Saturday the 17th day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

6991 State of Texas -vs- John Watts } Theft. Saturday Jany 17, 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Watts go hence without day.

6893 State of Texas -vs- John Johnson } Motion for New Trial Saturday Jany 17, 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that this case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday morning at 9 o'clock

Monday Jany 19th 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on Saturday

County Court [Criminal]. Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Monday the 19th day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Now comes J. C. Richardson, Sheriff and after being duly sworn brings into Open Court the following qualified jurors to-wit: R. N. Hatcher, Jas Bragassa, G. L. Marlow, J. A. Randle, J. M. Williams, Lane Cain, J. L. Bentley, B. J. Bradley, John Tinsley, L. S. Norvell, Oscar Armstrong and W. E. Hodges who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for this the Third week of the Jany Term 1891 of the County Court.

6648 | City of Fort Worth vs- Pearl Levy | Vagrancy. Monday Jany 19th 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. M. Williams and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters her plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess her punishment by a fine of Ten dollars.

J. M. Williams, Foreman

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Pearl Levy as Principal and W. H. Wards as surety on Appeal Bond the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Monday the 19th day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which execution may issue, Provided that this surety W. H. Ward be not held liable for more than the amount of his Bond Provided further that one hundred dollars and it is further ordered that said Defendant Dee Looney stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6728 City of Fort Worth vs Dee Looney } Fining on Sunday Monday Jany 19th 1891.

Continued by Consent.

O

6746 City of Fort Worth vs Lucy Foster } Disturbing the Peace Monday Jany 19. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Zane Cecil and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered her plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess her punishment at fifty dollars fine, Zane Cecil, Foreman. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lucy Foster as Principal and F. B. Daggett as surety on

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Monday the 19th day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

appeal Bond the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Provided that said county J. D. Daggett be not liable for more than amount of appeal Bond Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6895 City of Fort Worth -vs- Ada Rattison } Vagrancy Monday July 19, 1891.

Now comes the City Attorney and says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

6897 City of Fort Worth -vs- Chas Diltz } Nuisance Monday Jany 19, 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit J. M. Williams and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Monday the 19th day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

to-wit: We the jury find Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Thirty nine dollars $39.00 J. M. Williams, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas Ditz as Principal and J. Behrens and J. C. Boyd as sureties on appeal Bond the sum of Thirty nine dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Provided that said sureties J. Behrens and J. C. Boyd be not held liable for more than amount of appeal Bond herein to-wit: the sum of One Hundred Dollars, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6899 City of Fort Worth -vs- A. F. Brandt } Selling Liquor on Sunday Monday Jany 19, 1891,

Continued by Consent,

6995 State of Texas -vs- Jim G. } Disorderly House. Monday Jany 19, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. M. Williams and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Monday the 19th day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

jury the Defendant non culpa entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty". J. M. Williams, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jim Ivy go hence without day.

6719 City of Fort Worth vs Jas Reynolds } Vagrancy Monday Jany 19. 1891

Continued

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Tuesday Jany 20th 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

6801 State of Texas -vs- John Kitchur & Bessie Kitchur } Assault Tuesday Jany 20. 1891.

Continued by Consent.

6823 State of Texas -vs- Henry Purvis } Aggravated Assault Tuesday Jany 20. 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit:

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 189 1

Tuesday the 20th day of January A. D. 189 1

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

O. Rainfare and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after maturo deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Twenty five dollars.

O. Rainfare, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Henry Purvis the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6894 State of Texas -vs- Mary Galvin } Abusive Language Tuesday Jany 20, 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Mary Galvin go hence without day.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Tuesday the 20th day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

6906 State of Texas -vs- Chas Marshall } Assault Language Tuesday Jany 20. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Jno. A. Randle and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty,

Jno. A. Randle, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Chas Marshall go hence without day,

6963 State of Texas -vs- John Carlin } Carrying Pistol Tuesday Jany 20. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Jane Cain and five others who were duly selected empaneled and

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 189 1

Tuesday the 20th day of January A. D. 189 1

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty Thereupon the jury retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returning into open Court the following verdict to wit:
"Upon a plea of guilty we the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars, Tom Allen, Foreman,
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Carlin the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6966 State of Texas -vs- Luke Short } Carrying Pistol Tuesday Jany 20, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit Tom Allen and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the jury retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:
"On a plea of guilty we the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars". Tom Allen, Foreman.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 189 1

Tuesday the 20th day of January A. D. 189 1

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Shaw the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

7005 State of Texas } Carrying Pistol
-vs- } Tuesday Jany 20. 1891.
Jim Drum }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; Jane Cecil and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Thereupon the jury after receiving the charge of the Court retire to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess Defts punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars, $25.00 Jane Cecil. Foreman. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Drum the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 189 1

Tuesday the 20th day of January A. D. 189 1

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7008 State of Texas -vs- Jim Thomas } Carrying Pistol Tuesday Jany 20. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit O. Pairpare and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Thereupon the jury after receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit" under the plea of guilty we the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Twenty five Dollars.

O. Pairpare, Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Thomas the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Tuesday the 20th day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7009 State of Texas -vs- Jim Thomas } Aggravated Assault
Tuesday Jany 20. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announcing ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Lane Cecil and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the jury after receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We a plea of guilty we the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars.

Lane Cecil, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Thomas the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 7008 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this cause are paid in full,

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Tuesday the 20 day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Wednesday Jany 21. 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

6721 City of Fort Worth -vs- N. P. Shirl } Permitting Place of Business open on Sunday

Wednesday Jany 21. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Jane Cecil and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Thirty Dollars

Jane Cecil, Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant N. P. Shirl as Principal and E. L. Thickman and W. H. Wards sureties on Appeal Bond the sum of Thirty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Provided that said sureties E. L. Thickman and W. H. Wards be not liable

Wednesday the 21. day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

liable for more than amount of Appeal Bond herein to-wit: the sum of one Hundred dollars, Further ordered that said Defendant stand commited to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6896 City of Fort Worth -vs- Mike Cassidy } Selling Liquor on Sunday Wednesday Jany 21. 1891.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Zane Cecil and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now herein pleads his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of $37.50 Thirty seven 50/100 dollars.

Zane Cecil. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mike Cassidy as Principal and J. F. Moore and F. M. Burns sureties on Appeal Bond the sum of Thirty seven & 50/100 fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Provided that said sureties J. F. Moore and F. M. Burns be not

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Wednesday the 21st day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

heed liable for more than amount of Appeal Bond herein limit: the sum of One Hundred dollars. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6898 City of Fort Worth vs- Lucy Foster } Disturbing the Peace Wednesday Jany 21. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. H. McDill and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered her plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess the fine at fifteen dollars. W. H. McDill. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lucy Foster as Principal and F. B. Daggett, surety on Appeal Bond the sum of Fifteen Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Provided that said surety F. B. Daggett be not held liable for more than amount of Appeal Bond herein to-wit: the sum of One Hundred Dollars. Further

Wednesday the 21, day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6900 City of Fort Worth -vs- Geo Harris | Selling Liquor on Sunday Wednesday Jany 21. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. H. McDill and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty, W. H. McDill, Foreman. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the City of Fort Worth take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Geo Harris go hence without day,

7004 State of Texas -vs- Thos E. Wade | Not Paying License Wednesday Jany 21. 1891.

Continued by Consent.

6723 City of Fort Worth -vs- Bill Looney | Selling on Sunday Wednesday Jany 21. 1891

Now comes the City Attorney and suggests to the Court the case of the Complaint herein. It is ordered by the

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Wednesday the 21st day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Court that the Exhibit "A" attached to the statement of the City Attorney this day filed in Court be substituted for the last complaint,

6726 Town of Arlington -vs- Feutroad Pate } Selling Liquor without License
Wednesday Jany 21, 1891

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Plaintiffs Motion to dismiss the appeal Bond herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, Further ordered that Procendo issue to the Court below from which this cause was appealed commanding it to proceed with the judgment heretofor rendered in this Cause, Further ordered that execution issue against the Defendant herein for all costs incurred in this Court.

6735 State of Texas -vs- Will Fishmaster } Aggravated Assault
Thursday Jany 20, 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the Defendant now in open Court withdraws his notice of appeal heretofore entered in this cause

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Wednesday the 21st day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Thursday Jany 22nd 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

6752 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Andrews } Motion for new Trial Thursday Jany 22nd 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

6879 State of Texas -vs- Sim Moore } Motion for New Trial Thursday Jany 22, 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a new Trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

6721 City of Fort Worth -vs- H. P. Shirk } Motion for New Trial Thursday Jany 22. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that law is for said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

6721 City of Fort Worth -vs- H. P. Shirk } Motion in Arrest of Judgment Thursday Jany 22. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard by the Court the Defendants Motion in arrest of Judgment herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

6578 State of Texas -vs- E. B. Daggett } Motion for New Trial Thursday Jany 22. 1891.

This day came the parties by their Attorney also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Thursday the 22 day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, To which ruling of the Court the Defendants excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas,

6579 State of Texas -vs- E. B. Daggett } Motion for New Trial Thursday Jany 22, 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard by the Court the Defendants motion for a new Trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas,

6746 City of Fort Worth -vs- Lucy Foster } Motion for New Trial Thursday Jany 22, 1891,

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

of the Court that the law is for said motion It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that said case stands for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

6897 City of Fort Worth -vs- Chas Dietz } Motion for New Trial Thursday Jany 22, 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

Saturday Jany 24th 1891 Court in session present as on first day of term,

5025 State of Texas -vs- Ruin Black } Carrying Pistol Saturday Jany 24" 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Thirty Dollars It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Saturday the 24th day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

recover of and from the Defendant Quin Black the sum of Thirty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Friday Jany 30th 1891 Court in session present as on first day of term.

7027 State of Texas -vs- Sid Shoaler Dick } Carrying Pistol
Friday Jany 30th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Forty Dollars, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Sid Shoaler Dick the sum of Forty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 189 1

Saturday the 31st day of January A. D. 189 1

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Saturday Jany 31, 1891 Court in session present as on first day of term,

7026 State of Texas -vs- J. T. Slay } Carrying Knuckles Saturday Jany 31, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now has entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Forty Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. T. Slay the sum of Forty Dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6879 State of Texas -vs- Slim Moore } Recognizance Tuesday Jany 27th 1891,

This day came into open Court Slim Moore Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with J. D. Farmer and Ferg Slocum sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Five Hundred Dollars conditioned that the said Slim Moore who stands charged in this Court with the offense of on the 11th day of Nov. 1890 unlawfully and fraudulently

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Tuesday the 27th day of January A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

take nine sheep of the value of Two Dollars each the same being the corporeal personal property of J. M. Cady from the possession of said Cady without said Cady's consent with the intent to deprive the said Cady of the value of said property and with the intent to appropriate the same to the use and benefit of him the said Sim Mann" and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case,

7015 State of Texas. -vs- Ned Krause } Carrying Pistol
Monday, July 2, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announcing ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Forty Dollars It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Forty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Wednesday the 4th day of January A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Wednesday Feby 4th 1891 Court in session present as on first day of term,

7098 State of Texas -vs- Fennie Collins } Playing Cards in Public Place, Wednesday Feby 4th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Fennie Collins the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6578 State of Texas -vs- E. B. Daggett } Recognizance Wednesday Feby 4th 1891.

This day came into open Court E. B. Daggett, Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with A. J. Chambers and A. G. Pinkerman sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Ten Hundred Dollars conditioned that the said E. B. Daggett who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "Was then and there

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Wednesday the 4th day of February A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

owner, lessee and tenant of a certain house there situate, and the said E. B. Daggett did then and there unlawfully, wilfully and knowingly permit the said house to be occupied by one Jose Belmont as a disorderly house, said house being then and there kept for prostitution; and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court shall appear before this Court from day to day and from time to time of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case,

6579 State of Texas
-vs-
E. B. Daggett
} Recognizance
Wednesday Feby 4th 1891.

This day came into open Court E. B. Daggett, Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with A. J. Chambers and A. G. Pinkerman sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Five Hundred Dollars conditioned that the said E. B. Daggett who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "Has then and there the owner, lessee and tenant of a certain house there situate, and the said E. B. Daggett did then and there unlawfully, wilfully and knowingly permit the said house to be occupied by one Madam Porter as a disorderly house, said house being then and there kept for prostitution" and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court shall appear before this Court from day to day and from time to time of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Wednesday the 4th day of February A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case,

Saturday Feby 7th 1891 Court in session present as on first day of term,

7124 State of Texas
-vs-
Dan Canton
} Theft.
Saturday Feby 7th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Fifteen Dollars and ~~Ten~~ five days in the County Jail. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dan Canton the sum of Fifteen Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ~~Ten~~ five days and until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full,

7125 State of Texas
-vs-
Henry Shea
} Theft
Saturday Feby 7th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Saturday the 7th day of February A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law are submitted to the Court and the Defendant now withdraws his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Fifteen Dollars and ten days in the County Jail, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Henry Shea the sum of Fifteen dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

Thursday Feby 12th 1891. Court in session pursuant as on First day of Term.

7097 State of Texas -vs- F. M. Swan } Playing Cards in Pub Place Thursday Feby 12th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrawing his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant F. M. Swan the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 189 1

Thursday the 12th day of February A. D. 189 1

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7099 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Davis } Playing Cards Public Place Thursday Feby 12th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. H. Davis the sum of Ten dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs ~~accruing~~ accruing shall be paid.

7100 State of Texas -vs- W. T. Hutchinson } Playing Cards Public Place Thursday Feby 12th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Thursday the 12th day of February A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. T. Hutchinson the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed, as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

Friday Feby 13th 1891 Court in session pursuant as on First day of Term,

7136 State of Texas -vs- William Andrews } Theft Friday Feby 13th 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and thirty days in the County Jail, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant William Andrews the sum of Twenty five

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Friday the 13" day of February A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs thereon incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

7112 State of Texas -vs- W. A. Cobb } Carrying Pistol Friday Feby 13. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant upon his plea entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. A. Cobb the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7029 State of Texas -vs- Mike Burke } Theft Saturday Feby 14. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and thirty days in the County Jail. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mike Burke the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

6838 State of Texas -vs- Thomas O. Rudy } Embezzlement under $20. Tuesday Jany 20. 1891

This day came the parties by their attorneys also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to Quash the Information herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

7146. State of Texas -vs- E. H. Hennessy } Assault & Battery Monday July 16. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant E. N. Kennedy the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

5370 State of Texas -vs- N. E. Graves } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank Friday Jany 9th 1891.

✓ This day the above cause being called for trial came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant being called under due forms of law, came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court that the Defendant N. E. Graves on the 19th day of January A.D. 1889, charged by Indictment with the offense of "unlawfully keep and Exhibit for the purpose of gaming a gaming table and bank," after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a capias issued out of the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County, Texas did as Principal enter into Bond with C. O. Terry and C. H. Pittman as his sureties in the sum of Two Hundred dollars jointly and severally

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

payable to the State of Texas, conditioned that the said N. E. Graves should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the Instanter and then remain from day to day and from term to term and not depart from thence until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge, And whereas afterwards to-wit: at a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant Co. Texas begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in January A.D. 1891 the said N. E. Graves after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer the charge aforesaid wholly made default, It was therefore considered and adjudged by our County Court on the 9th day of January 1891 that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said N. E. Graves as Principal and C. O. Terry and C. H. Rinkleman as his sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Two Hundred Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. And it is further ordered by the Court that citation issue commanding them the said C. O. Terry and C. H. Rinkleman to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in March A.D. 1891 then and there to show cause if any they can why this judgment

shall not be made final. Further order that alias Capias issue for the Defendant returnable to the next term of the Court and that this case be continued.

6258 ✓

State of Texas
-vs-
J. M. Nyse
} Malicious Mischief
Friday Jany 9th 1891.

This day the above cause being called for trial came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant being called under due forms of law, came not but wholly made default, And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant J. M. Nyse on the 26th day of December 1889, charged by Information with the offense of "unlawfully, wilfully and maliciously injure and destroy certain personal property then and there belonging to one Dora Watts, said personal property being of the value and kind as follows: One Rocking Chair of the value of $15.00 five pairs of Lace curtains of the value of $12.50, Two rugs of the value of $2.00, one cuspidor of the value of 50 cts, one mark Box of the value of $5.00 four flannel curtains of the value of $5.00, one scarf of the value of $2.00 all of said property of the aggregate value of $42.00 by then and there wilfully and maliciously burning said property in such manner that said injury does not come within the description of any of the offenses against property otherwise provided for by the penal code of Texas." after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a capias issued out of the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County Texas did as principal enter into Bond with B. C. Nyse and John Lanier as his sureties in the sum of Five Hundred

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditioned that the said J. M. Hyse should personaly appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the 6th day of January 1890 and there remain from day to day and from term to term and not depart from thence until discharged by due course of law. Then and there to answer said above described charge, and whereas afterwards to-wit: at a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in January 1891 the said J. M. Hyse after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer the charge aforesaid wholly made default. It was therefore considered and adjudged by our County Court on the 9th day of January 1891 that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said J. M. Hyse and B. C. Hyse and John Lamar, as his sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Five Hundred Dollars, together with all costs of the prosecution and this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. And it is further ordered by the Court that citation issue commanding them the said B. C. Hyse and John Lamar to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant, State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in March A.D 1891 then and there to show cause if any they can why this judgment should

not be made final. Further ordered that alias Capias issue for the Defendant returnable to the next term of the Court and that this case be continued.

6295 State of Texas -vs- J. C. Smith } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank Tuesday Jany 6th 1891.

This day the above cause being called for trial came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant being called under due forms of law, came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant J. C. Smith on the 6th day of January 1890, charged by Indictment with the offense of "Unlawfully keep and exhibit for the purpose of gaming a gaming table and bank, and the Grand jurors aforesaid upon their oaths aforesaid do further present in and to the District Court aforesaid that on the 13th day of October A.D. 1889 that one J. C. Smith did unlawfully in Tarrant County Texas, bet and wager at a gaming table and bank then and there kept and exhibited for the purpose of gaming" after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a Capias issued out of the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County Texas did as principal enter into Bond with Jake Johnson and Owen Perry as his sureties in the sum of Two Hundred and fifty dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditioned that the said J. C. Smith should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the 3rd day of March 1890 and there remain from day to day and from

the day of A. D. 189
Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

time to time and not depart from thence until discharged by due course of law then and there to answer said above described charge. And whereas afterwards to-wit: at a regular time of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas, begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in January 1891 the said J. C. Smith after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer the charge aforesaid wholly made default. It was therefore considered and adjudged by our County Court on the 6th day of January 1891 that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said J. C. Smith as Principal, and Jake Johnson and Orren Perry as his sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Two Hundred and fifty dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and this judgment be made final, unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. And it is further ordered by the Court that citation issue commanding them the said Jake Johnson and Orren Perry to appear at the next term of the County Court of Tarrant County to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant, State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in March A. D. 1891 then and there to show cause if any they can why this judgment should not be made final. Further ordered that alias capias issue for the Defendant returnable to the next term of the Court and that this case be continued,

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

6296 State of Texas -vs- Joe Purvis } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank Thursday Jany 6th 1891.

This day the above cause being called for trial came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Joe Purvis on the 21st day of January 1890, charged by Indictment with the offense of "unlawfully keeping exhibit for the purpose of gaming a gaming table and bank" after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a capias issued out of the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County Texas did as principal enter into Bond with Tom Mason and Frank Garrett as his sureties in the sum of Two Hundred dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditioned that the said Joe Purvis should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the Instantir and there remain from day to day and from term to term and not depart from thence until discharged by due course of law then and there to answer said above described charge, and whereas afterwards to wit: at a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in January 1891 the said Joe Purvis after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer the charge aforesaid wholly made default. It was therefore considered and adjudged by our County

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Court on the 6th day of January A.D. 1891 that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Jac Purvis as Principal and Tom Mason and Frank Garrett as his sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Two Hundred dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. And it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue commanding them the said Tom Mason and Frank Garrett to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant, State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in March 1891 then and there to show cause, if any they can why this judgment should not be made final. Further ordered that alias capias issue for the Defendant returnable to the next term of this Court and that this case be continued.

6314 ✓

State of Texas
-vs-
Jim Burris

Exhibiting Gaming Table + Banks,
Tuesday Jany 6th. 1891

This day the above cause being called for trial came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant being called under due forms of law, came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Jim Burris on the 8th day of February 1890, charged by Indictment with the offense of "Unlawfully keep and exhibit for the

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

purpose of gaming a gaming table and bank, and the Grand Jurors aforesaid upon their oaths as aforesaid do further present in and to the District Court as aforesaid that one Jim Burris in the County of Tarrant and State of Texas on the 15th day of December A.D. 1889 did unlawfully bet and wager at a gaming table and bank then and there kept and exhibited for the purpose of gaming, after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a Capias issued out of the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County Texas did as principal enter into Bond with T. E. Ridgeway and J. N. Eggleston as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditioned that the said Jim Burris should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the First Monday in March 1890 and there remain from day to day and from term to term and not depart from thence until discharged by due course of law then and there to answer said above described charge, and whereas, afterwards to-wit: at a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in January 1891 the said Jim Burris after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer the charge aforesaid wholly made default. It was therefore considered and adjudged by our County Court on the 6th day of January 1891 that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Jim Burris as Principal and T. E. Ridgeway and J. N. Eggleston as

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

his surities jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of One Hundred Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear, And it is further ordered by the Court that citation issue commanding them the said T. E. Ridgeway and J. N. Eggleston to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant, State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Ft Worth on the First Monday in March A. D. 1891 then and there to show cause if any they can why this judgment should not be made final. Further ordered that alias Capias issue for the Defendant returnable to the next term of the Court and that this case be continued.

6586 State of Texas -vs- Geo Canary } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank Thursday Jany 8th 1891.

This day the above cause being called for trial came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant being called under due forms of law, came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Geo Canary on the 7th day of August 1890, charged by Indictment with the offense of "Unlawfully Keep and exhibit for the purpose of gaming a gaming table and bank, And the said Geo Canary did then and there bet and wager at a gaming table and bank then kept and exhibited for the purpose of gaming", after having been duly arrested

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a Capias issued out of the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County Texas did as principal enter into Bond with C. B. Dixon and W. H. Smith as his sureties in the sum of One Hundred dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditioned that the said Geo Canary should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the First Monday in September 1890 and there remain from day to day and from term to term and not depart from thence until discharged by due course of law then and there to answer said above described charge, and whereas afterwards to-wit: at a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in January 1891 the said Geo Canary after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer the charge aforesaid wholly made default, It was therefore considered and adjudged by our County Court on the 8th day of January 1891 that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Geo Canary as principal and C. B. Dixon and W. H. Smith, as his sureties jointly severally the aforesaid sum of One Hundred dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. And it is further ordered by the Court that citation issue commanding them the said C. B. Dixon and

the day of A. D. 189

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

W. H. Smith to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant, State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in March A.D. 1891 then and there to show cause if they can why this judgment should not be made final, further ordering that alias capias issue for the Defendant returnable to the next term of the Court and that this case be continued.

6605 State of Texas / -vs- / C. B. Dixon } Permitting Faro Played in House under his Control

Wednesday Jany 14. 1891.

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant being called under due forms of law, came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant C. B. Dixon on the 28th day of June 1890, charged by Indictment with the offense of "Unlawfully permit a game called Faro, the same being a gaming table and bank, then and there kept and exhibited for gaming purposes to be played in a house under his control, the said house then and there being a public place to-wit: a gaming house," after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a capias issued out of the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County Texas did as principal enter into Bond with W. H. Smith and Adolphus Welch as his sureties in the sum of Two Hundred and fifty dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditioned that the said C. B. Dixon should personally appear before the

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

County Court of Tarrant County on the First Monday in July 1890 and there remain from day to day and from term to term and not depart from thence until discharged by due course of law then and there to answer said above described charge, and whereas afterwards to-wit at a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in January 1890 the said C. B. Dixon after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer the charge aforesaid wholly made default. It was therefore considered and adjudged by our County Court on the 14th day of January 1891 that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said C. B. Dixon as Principal and H. H. Smith and Adolphus Veech, as his sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Two Hundred & Fifty dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. And it is further ordered by the Court that citation issue, commanding them the said H. H. Smith and Adolphus Veech to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant, State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in March A. D. 1891 then and there to show cause if any they can why this judgment should not be made final. Further ordered that alias capias issue for the Defendant returnable to the next term of the Court and

the day of A. D. 189
Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

that this case be continued,

6727 State of Texas -vs- Jas Keys } Aggravated Assault
Friday. Jany 9th 1891.

This day the above cause being called for trial came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant being called in due forms of law came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Jas Keys on the 29th day of August, 1890, charged by Information with the offence of "Unlawfully commit an aggravated assault upon one Annie Keys and did then and there strike her the said Annie Keys with his hands. the said Jas Keys being then and there an adult male and the said Annie Keys being then and there a female" after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a capias issued out of the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County Texas did as principal enter into Bond with Geo Shinn, J. E. Williams, J. N. Robinson and E B. Daggett as his sureties in the sum of Two Hundred and fifty dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditioned that the said Jas Keys should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on First Monday in September 1890 and there remain from day to day and from term to term and not depart from thence until discharged by due course of law then and there to answer said above described charge, And returned afterwards to wit: At a regular term of the County

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Court of Tarrant County Texas begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in January 1891 the said Jas Keys after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer the charge aforesaid wholly made default, It was therefore considered and adjudged by our County Court on the 9th day of January 1891 that the Bonds aforesaid be declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Jas Keys as Principal and Geo I Thomas, J. G. Williams, J. H. Robinson and E. B. Daggett as his sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Two Hundred and fifty dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear, And it is further ordered by the Court that citation issue commanding them the said Geo I. Thomas, J. G. Williams, J. H. Robinson and E. B. Daggett to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant, State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in March A.D. 1891 then and there to show cause if any they can why this judgment should not be made final, Further ordered that alias capias issue for the Defendant returnable to the next term of the Court and that this case be continued,

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189 .....
Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

6458

State of Texas
-vs-
Joe Purvis

Keeping Gaming Table & Bank for purpose of Gaming
Tuesday Jany 6th 1891.

This day this above cause being called for trial came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant being called under all forms of law came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court that the Defendant on the 17th day of September 1890, charged by Indictment with the offense of "unlawfully keeping a gaming table and bank for the purpose of gaming" after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a capias issued out of the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County Texas did as principal enter into Bond with Tom Mason and Henry Hacking as his sureties in the sum of one Hundred dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditioned that the said Joe Purvis, should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the Instanter and there remain from day to day and from term to term and not depart from thence until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge, And whereas afterwards to-wit at a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in January 1891 the said Joe Purvis after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer the charge aforesaid, wholly made default. It was therefore considered and adjudged

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

by our County Court on the 6th day of January 1891 that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Jas Purvis as principal and Tom Mason and Henry Watkins as his sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of one Hundred dollars, together with all costs of the prosecution and this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next of this Court why said Defendant did not appear, and it is further ordered by the Court that Citation issue commanding them the said Tom Mason and Henry Watkins to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant, State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in March A.D. 1891 then and there to show cause if any they can why this judgment should not be made final. Further ordered that alias Capias issue for the Defendant returnable to the next term of the Court and that this case be continued.

6727 State of Texas. } Ruling at Fam.
-vs- } Thursday Jany 15, 1891.
Ed S. Root

This day the above cause being called for trial came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Ed S. Root on the 1st. day of January 1891, charged by Indictment with the offense

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

of "Unlawfully bet and wager at a certain gaming table and bank limit: a faro bank then and there exhibited for the purpose of gaming," after having been duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a capias issued out of the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County Texas did as principal enter into Bond with John L. Ward and John Hoybarger as his sureties in the sum of Two Hundred dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditioned that the said Ed S. Roat should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the First Monday in January a.d. 1891 and there remain from day to day and from term to term and not depart from thence until discharged by due course of law, then and there to answer said above described charge, and whereas afterwards to-wit: at a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in January 1891 the said Ed S. Roat after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer the charge aforesaid wholly made default, It was therefore considered and adjudged by ~~the~~ County Court on the 15th day of January 1891 that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Ed S. Roat as Principal and John L. Ward and John Hoybarger as his sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Two Hundred dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear, and it is further ordered by the Court that alias issue commanding them the said John L. Hand and John Heyburger to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant, State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, on the First Monday in March 1891 then and there to show cause if any they can why this judgment should not be made final, Further ordered that alias capias issue for the Defendant returnable to the next term of the Court and that this case be continued,

7021 State of Texas -vs- Bill Johnson } Carrying Pistol
Thursday Jany 19. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bill Johnson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant

the day of A. D. 189
Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

X

7151 State of Texas / Carrying Pistol
-vs-
Frank Carter / Saturday July 21. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Thirty Dollars, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Carter the sum of Thirty Dollars fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

Tuesday July 21st 1891 Court in session present as on first day of term,

7152 State of Texas / Theft.
-vs-
Peter Dorchester alias Nick Buckham / Tuesday July 21, 1891,

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 189 1

the day of A. D. 189

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and thirty days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Pier Dorchester & his Bondsmen the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

7153 State of Texas -vs- John Johnson } Carrying Pistol
Tuesday July 21st 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Johnson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

Wednesday Feby 25th 1891 Court in session present as on first day of term,

7017 State of Texas -vs- John Hunt } Playing Cards in Public Place, Wednesday Feby 25, 1891,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

7155 State of Texas -vs- Zack Alford } Carrying Pistol Wednesday Feby 25, 1891,

This day came the County Attorney

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Wednesday the 25th day of February A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enlinds his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Thirty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Zack Alford the sum of Thirty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7129 State of Texas -vs- Charley Williams } Theft. Wednesday July 25th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enlinds his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and thirty days in the County Jail. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charley Williams the sum of Twenty five

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

6927 State of Texas -vs- Ed S. Roat } Cutting at Faro Monday July 23. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed S. Roat the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7000 State of Texas -vs- Robt Booker } Carrying Pistol Wednesday July 25. 1891

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Thirty dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Thirty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says the will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same as follows:

6586 State of Texas -vs- Geo Canny
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank.

6605 State of Texas -vs- C. B. Dixon
Permitting Game Played in House under his control

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Thursday the 26th day of February A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7041 State of Texas
-vs-
Chas Wright

Faro in Game under this Control
Thursday Feby 26th 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day,

7042 State of Texas
-vs-
Chas Wright

Faro Played in Game under this Control
Thursday Feby 26th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty, Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas Wright the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Thursday the 26th day of February A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7043 State of Texas
-vs-
Chas Wright

Faro played in Kennimer his control
Thursday July 26th 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now changed his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty fives dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 7042 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same as follows:

6921 State of Texas -vs- Chas Wright
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank.

6922 State of Texas -vs- Chas Wright
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Thursday the 26th day of February A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

6923 State of Texas -vs- Chas Wright
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

7045 State of Texas -vs- Jim McConnell } Carrying Pistol
Friday July 27th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Thirty Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim McConnell the sum of Thirty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6752 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Andrews } Recognizance
Saturday July 28th 1891.

This day came into open Court J. D. Andrews, Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with A. G. Kinkleman and T. F. Walker, sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1891

Saturday the 28th day of February A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Three Hundred Dollars conditioned that the said J. D. Andrews who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "On the 4th day of August 1890 in the City of Fort Worth, the same being his voting precinct, did unlawfully and wilfully open and keep open a bar room, saloon house and establishment where vinous, malt, spirituous and intoxicating liquors were sold, the said day being an election day on which an election was then and there being held by lawful authority for the purpose of electing a Mayor for the City of Fort Worth". and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case,

6752 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Andrews } Motion for Ten Days Saturday Feby 28th 1891,

Ordered by the Court that the Defendant have ten days after the adjournment of this term of Court in which to prepare and file a statement of facts in this case,

6879 State of Texas -vs- Steve Moore } Motion for Ten Days Saturday Feby 28th 1891,

Ordered by the Court that the Defendant have ten days after the adjournment of this term of Court in which to prepare and file a statement of facts in this case,

Saturday the 28th day of February A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7115

State of Texas
-vs-
Hilario Balcago

Aggravated assault
Saturday July 28th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now withdrawing his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Hilario Balcago the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs thereon incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, ... Term, 189 .....

..... *the* ..... *day of* ..... *A. D. 189* .....

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Saturday February 28th 1891. Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in Course

W. D. Harris
Co. Judge

Attest:
John R. Knight
County Clerk

Monday the 2nd day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shook & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in March A. D. 1891 the same being the Second day of said month, there was begun and holden a regular Term of the County Court within and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth; present Hon N. D. Harris, County Judge presiding, O. H. Gillespie, County Attorney, John A. King, County Clerk and J. C. Richardson, Sheriff of said County; when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court to-wit:

— Venire Facias —

Now comes J. C. Richardson, Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into open Court the Venire Facias for this the First Week of the March Term 1891 and upon the names of the jurors thereon being called there qualified jurors answered to-wit J. O. Richards, D. A. McRae and D. C. Milan, who were all tried and sworn and placed upon the panel for the week, and it appearing to the Court that said panel is incomplete. It is ordered that the Sheriff summon qualified jurors sufficient to fill same, and the said Sheriff after being sworn as required by law brought into Court the following qualified jurors to-wit G. D. Hornbuck, O. H. Reed, Wm Montgomery R. N. Graham, S. M. Furman, J. K. Ashby, C. L. Murphy, H. E. Cole, J. M. Burns, Jno W. Burford, and J. M. Lyle who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week,

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Monday the 2" day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Continued by Consent

5923 State of Texas -vs- Frank Harrison
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

5931 State of Texas -vs- Frank Harrison
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town.

5932 State of Texas -vs- Frank Harrison
Selling Whisky in Local Option Town.

6789 State of Texas -vs- Calvin Smith
Gaming at Game with Dice called craps

6893 State of Texas -vs- John Johnson
Threatening to take Life

7020 State of Texas -vs- Bill Johnson
Carrying Pistol

7022 State of Texas -vs- Bill Johnson
Carrying Pistol

7160 State of Texas -vs- Lizzie Chatfield
Vagrancy

6855 State of Texas -vs- Ed Moore
Unlawfully using animal without consent of owner.

5930 State of Texas -vs- W. O. Middleton } Selling Whisky in Local Option Town

Thursday March 12" 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; Geo A. Durgin and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court

the day of A. D. 189

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at twenty days imprisonment in County Jail and twenty five dollars fine. Jno A. Bergin. Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. O. Middleton the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of twenty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

6882 State of Texas -vs- Will Fleming, et al } False Imprisonment
Tuesday March 17, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendants in their own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit Neil P. Anderson and five others who were duly elected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendants now here entered their plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 189/

Monday the 2" day of March A. D. 189/

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

the Defendants not guilty.

Neil O. Anderson, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

6632 | State of Texas -vs- L. N. Crawford | Aggravated Assault | Monday March 2, 1891,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for goods and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

Alias & Continued

Ordered that alias capias issue for the Defendants in the following cases returnable to the next term of this Court and said cases be continued;

6344 State of Texas -vs- Tom Owens
Carrying at Gaming Table & Bank.

6435 State of Texas -vs- John Gaming
Carrying Pistol

6456 State of Texas -vs- W. L. Ramsey,
Aggravated Assault.

6610 State of Texas -vs- Jas Simms
Carrying Pistol

6727 State of Texas -vs- Jas Hays
Aggravated Assault,

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 189 1

Monday the 2nd day of March A. D. 189 1

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

6757 State of Texas -vs- Eli Johnson
Gaming Bus on Sunday

6808 State of Texas -vs- J. N. Wilson
Playing Cards in Out House.

6809 State of Texas -vs- John Paul.
Playing Cards in out House

6810 State of Texas -vs- Asa Childress
Playing Cards in out House

6822 State of Texas -vs- Bob Tucker
aggravated Assault

6825 State of Texas -vs- H. N. Sisk
Malicious Mischief

6831 State of Texas -vs- Jack Simms
aggravated Assault,

6907 State of Texas -vs- Jack Flint
Vagrancy

6908 State of Texas -vs- Frank Canning
Assault & Battery

6911 State of Texas -vs- Unknown
Assault & Battery

6941 State of Texas -vs- Bissy Muir
aggravated Assault

6945 State of Texas -vs- Joe Salmon
Vagrancy

6965 State of Texas -vs- Bill Andrews
Aggravated Assault

6970 State of Texas -vs- Sam Evans Jr.
Aggravated Assault.

6972 State of Texas -vs- Albert Oglesby
Assault & Battery

7016 State of Texas -vs- John Fletcher, et al.
Adultery

7018 State of Texas -vs- Hugh Dillazier
Playing Cards in Public Place,

7023 State of Texas -vs- Hugh Dillazier
Carrying Pistol

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Monday the 2" day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

6843 State of Texas -vs- Sarah Oals } Theft
Monday March 2" 1891,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Sarah Oals go hence without day,

6989 State of Texas -vs- N. B. Alexander } Carrying Pistol
Monday March 2". 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant N. B. Alexander the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

Monday the 2" day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same:-

6997 State of Texas -vs- Jim G.
Keeping Opium Joint

7012 State of Texas -vs- Malcum Hurst
Malicious Mischief

7028 State of Texas -vs- Will Hill Alias Brown.
Carrying Pistol

7087 State of Texas -vs- Mabel Jackson.
Playing Cards in Public House

7147 State of Texas -vs- Tom Lewis
Aggravated Assault,

It is thereupon ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of these Presentments and that the Defendants go hence without day.

7127 State of Texas vs Frank Radgers } Disturbing the Peace
Monday March 2" 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: George D. Bombeck and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at five ($5.00) Dollars,

George D. Hambrick, Foreman,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Radgers the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

7012 State of Texas / Malicious Mischief
-vs- / Monday March 2". 1891,
E. M. Hurst

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars, It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant E. M. Hurst the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

7014 State of Texas -vs- Grant Driscal } Carrying Pistol
Monday March 2, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty, Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Thirty Dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Thirty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

7084 State of Texas -vs- John Murrin } Selling Liquor on Sunday
Monday March 2" 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Munin the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7091 State of Texas
-vs-
Jas Wheat
} Playing Cards Pub. Place.
Monday March 2nd. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jas Wheat the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant

Monday the 2" day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

stand committed to the County Jail the said fine and costs and being in full.

7159 State of Texas. } Drunkenness in Pub. Place
-vs- } Monday March 2" 1891.
H. L. Strickland }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Wm Montgomery and five others who were duly elected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty as charged in the Indictment"

Wm Montgomery, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant H. L. Strickland go hence without day.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Monday the 2" day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Alias & Continued

Ordered that Alias Capias issue for the Defendants in the following cause returnable to the next term of this Court and that said cause be continued

6258 State of Texas -vs- J. W. Myers.
Malicious Mischief

" 6280 State of Texas -vs- Chas Abbey
Theft

6295 State of Texas -vs- J. C. Smith
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

It appearing to the Court that the Sheriff has been unable to arrest the Defendants in the following cause, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said cause be filed until such time as the Defendants therein can be arrested to wit;

5867 State of Texas -vs- Wm Thomas
Aggravated Assault

7033 State of Texas -vs- Clarence Hopkins, et al.
Theft.

6975 State of Texas -vs- Henry Johnson
Betting at Game with Dice

6950 State of Texas vs Jas Crowley
Aggravated assault.

6652 State of Texas -vs- George Davenport } Theft Monday March 2. 1891.
Continued by State.

6856 State of Texas -vs- Horace Hagen et al } Theft Monday March 2. 1891.
Continued by State.

Monday the 2nd day of March A.D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock,

Tuesday March 3rd. 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

Now comes the County Attorney and prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following and moves the Court to dismiss same, as follows:

6296 State of Texas -vs- Joe Ownis
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank,

6314 State of Texas -vs- Jim Ownis
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank,

6322 State of Texas -vs- Joe Ownis
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank

6527 State of Texas -vs- Bill Pullian
Aggravated Assault

6569 State of Texas -vs- Louis Maas.
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

6594 State of Texas -vs- Louis Maas
Selling Beer on Sunday

6596 State of Texas -vs- Louis Maas
Keeping Place of Business Open on Sunday.

6611 State of Texas -vs- G. H. Day
Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday

7031 State of Texas -vs- John White, et al
Adultery

7050 State of Texas -vs- Lee Walker.
Permitting Parties to be played in House under his control.

7051 State of Texas -vs- Lee Walker.
Permitting a Game of Dice to be played and bet at a public table under his control

7058 State of Texas -vs- Frank Fawcett
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank for purpose of Gaming

7063 State of Texas -vs- Geo Kelly
Keeping Gaming Bank for Purpose of Gaming

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Tuesday the 3" day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7082 State of Texas -vs- Geo D. Hardy et al
Selling Beer & Whiskey on Sunday
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of these presentions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

6758 State of Texas -vs- Jas Purvis } Keeping Gaming Table & Bank
Tuesday March 3, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit R. H. Graham and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:
"We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and imprisonment for ten days in the County Jail"
R. H. Graham, Foreman,
It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jas Purvis, the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

6868 State of Texas -vs- Geo Skelly } Ex Gaming Table & Bank. Tuesday March 3, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty to betting at gaming table and bank. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Skelly the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that the Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7071 State of Texas -vs- H. N. Wards } Seeing Liquor on Sunday Tuesday March 3. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Tuesday the 3" day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; Wm Montgomery and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty".

Wm Montgomery. Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant W. H. Ward go hence without day.

7092 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward } Issuing Gun & Whisky on Sunday Tuesday March 3" 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Wm Montgomery and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Tuesday the 3" day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty"

Wm Montgomery, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant W. H. Ward go hence without day.

7081 State of Texas. } Selling Beer & Whisky on Sunday
-vs- } Tuesday March 3. 1891.
Clint Tinny }

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. E. Cole and five others who were duly selected impaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty"

W. E. Cole, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Clint Tinny go hence without day.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Tuesday the 3" day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7096 State of Texas -vs- Harry Hatchell } Being on an Election Tuesday March 3". 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Harry Hatchell the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

7095 State of Texas -vs- Jeff Ayres } Being on an Election Tuesday March 3. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of

Tuesday the 3" day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Twenty five dollars, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jeff Ayres the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

7106 State of Texas -vs- H. B. Lovett } Docket at Dec Tuesday March 3, 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. B. Lovett the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Tuesday the 3" day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7135 State of Texas -vs- T. B. Stanley } Assault. Tuesday March 3. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: D. A. McRae and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty" D. A. McRae, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant T. B. Stanley go hence without day.

7142 State of Texas -vs- R. E. Carter } Drunkenness in Office Tuesday March 3" 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being selected the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here

Tuesday the 3" day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant R. E. Carter the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 oclock

Wednesday March 4" 1891 Court met at 9 oclock- pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

7044 State of Texas -vs- Nat Kramer } Permitting Faro Players in House under his Control, Wednesday March 4" 1891,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Nat Kramer the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

7045 State of Texas -vs- Nat Kramer | Permitting Faro played in House under his control. Wednesday March 4th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Nat Kramer the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 7044 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs in this case are paid in full,

7046 State of Texas -vs- Lee Hacker | Permitting Faro played in House under his Control. Wednesday March 4th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced

Wednesday the 4th day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lee Hacker the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7047 State of Texas / -vs- / Lee Hacker | Permitting Faro players in house under his Control / Wednesday March 4th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. K. Ashby and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law upon the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Wednesday the 4th day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Defendant not guilty."

J. K. Ashby, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Lee Hacker go hence without day.

7048 State of Texas -vs- Lee Hacker } Permitting Game in House under his Control. Wednesday March 4th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lee Hacker the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 7046 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs are paid in full.

7049 State of Texas -vs- Lee Hacker } Permitting Roulette played in House under his Control. Wednesday March 4th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper

Wednesday the 4th day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

jurson and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lee Walker the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cases Nos 7046 & 7048 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full,

7069 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Hardy } Selling Liquor on Sunday Wednesday March 4th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Wm Montgomery and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty."

Wm Montgomery, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant W. H. Ward go hence without day.

7091 State of Texas -vs- Nat Kramer | Driving on an Election Wednesday March 4th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. M. Burford and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after maturely deliberating returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 Twenty five dollars".

J. M. Burford, Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Nat Kramer the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein

Wednesday the 4" day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cause nos 7044 and 7045 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this cause are paid in full.

7104 State of Texas -vs- Pete Marshix } Carrying Pistol Wednesday March 4" 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. K. Ashby and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the jury after receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "Upon the plea of guilty we find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. J. K. Ashby, Foreman."

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Pete Marshix the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Wednesday the 4th day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7158 State of Texas -vs- Nash Givins } Vagrancy
Wednesday March 4th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Nash Givins the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7070 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Hand } Selling Liquor on Sunday
Wednesday March 4th. 1891.

Continued by the State

Wednesday the 4th day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7122 State of Texas -vs- Lia Walker | Permitting Gaming Table in House under his Control. Wednesday March 4th 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Lia Walker go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Thursday March 5th 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

6744 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Andrews | Selling Liquor on Election Day. Thursday March 5. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion to Quash the Indictment herein, and the argument of counsel being thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Thursday the 5th day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

6751 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Andrews } Selling Liquor on Election Day Thursday March 5, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawfull men to wit; J. K. Ashby and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty"

J. K. Ashby, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant J. D. Andrews go hence without day.

7064 State of Texas -vs- Henry Gray } Keeping Gaming Bank for purpose of Gaming Thursday March 5. 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Henry Gray go hence without day.

Thursday the 5th day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7073 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward | Swing Bur & Whisky on Sunday Thursday March 5. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit W. E. Cole and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and recieving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant guilty & assess his fine at $20.00

W. E. Cole, Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. H. Ward the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs ~~are paid~~ in full.

7085 State of Texas -vs- Minnie Livingston | Playing Cards Public Place Thursday March 5. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7085 Thereupon defendant and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. W. Lyle and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered her plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty,

J. W. Lyle, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Mammie Livingston go hence without day.

7089 State of Texas -vs- Ruby Carter } Playing Cards in Public Place Thursday March 5. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Wm Montgomery and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered her plea of ~~not~~ guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation

Thursday the 5th day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty" Wm Montgomery, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Ruby Carter go hence without day.

7105. State of Texas vs George Harris } Selling Liquor to Minor Thursday March 5. 1891.

Continued by the State for absence of witness Joe Kayler.

5979 State of Texas -vs- Elly White } Theft Thursday March 5, 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Elly White go hence without day.

7107 State of Texas -vs- Wash Givins } Theft Thursday March 5, 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Wash Givins go hence without day,

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Thursday the 5th day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

6894

State of Texas
-vs-
F. R. Muffith &
J. B. Shiner

Selling Liquor without paying Occupation Tax.
Thursday March 5th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendants in their own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit N. E. Cole and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendants now here entered their plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit

"We the jury find the Defts guilty & fix the punishment at a fine in the sum of $450.00. N. E. Cole, Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendants F. R. Muffith and J. B. Shiner jointly and severally the sum of Four Hundred and fifty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendants stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Thursday the 5th day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 oclock

Friday March 6th. 1891 Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

5370 State of Texas -vs- W. E. Graves } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank, Friday March 6th. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: George D. Hornbuck and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00 and imprisonment in the County Jail for ten days.

George D. Hornbuck. Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. E. Graves the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaide together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Friday the 6th day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

the County Jail for the four periods of ten days and until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7078 State of Texas -vs- T. E. Ridgeway } Selling Beer & Whiskey on Sunday Friday March 6th. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant T. E. Ridgeway the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7108 State of Texas -vs- Lou Sanders } Aggravated Assault. Friday March 6th. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit C. L. Murphy and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Friday the 6th day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment in a fine of $5.00. C. L. Murphy, Foreman."

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lou Sanders the sum of Five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7074 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward } Setting Our Whiskey on Sunday. Friday March 6th. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: F. E. McKnight and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Friday the 6th day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty

F. E. McKnight, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant W. H. Ward go hence without day.

7077 State of Texas -vs- T. E. Ridgeway } Place of Business Open on Sunday for Traffic Friday March 6th. 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant T. E. Ridgeway go hence without day.

7079 State of Texas -vs- T. E. Ridgeway } Selling Beer & Whiskey on Sunday Friday March 6th. 1891.

Continued until May Term for plea of guilty.

\+ 7161 State of Texas -vs- George Hargraves Sr } Carrying Pistol Friday March 6. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit George D. Hornbuck and five others who were duly selected empaneled

Friday the 6th day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

and sworn according to law and the Information being read to jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court and announced that they could not agree, And it appearing to the Court that said jury has been kept together for a sufficient length of time, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged and that this case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

6635 State of Texas -vs- Bill Moore } Carrying Pistol
Friday March 6th 1891.
Alias and Continued.

Monday March 9th Court in session present as on first day of Term.

- Venire Facias -

Now comes J. C. Richardson, Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into open Court the Venire Facias for this the Second week of the March Term 1891 and upon the names of the jurors thereon being called eight qualified jurors answered to-wit: J. H. Amberson, C. H. Fry, Jud. C. Boyd, H. C. Cole, J. A. Burgin, J. M. Rice, Geo L. Morton and G. W. Gillespie who were all tried and sworn and placed upon the panel for the week. And it appearing to the Court that said panel is incomplete

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Monday the 9 day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

It is ordered that the Sheriff summon qualified jurors sufficient to fill same, and the said Sheriff after being sworn as required by law brought into Open Court the following qualified jurors to-wit: R. H. Lassiter, J. A. Hinkley, C. M. Dobbs, Jas Taylor, Andrew Hague, Chas Corbin and F. D. Smyth who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week,

State of Texas } Motion to Retax Costs
-vs- } Monday March 9th 1891.
Billie Oliver

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion to retax the costs in this case and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained so far as to strike out one of the items of Ten Dollars County Attorney fees.

7094 State of Texas. } Motion for New Trial
-vs- } Monday March 9th 1891.
Nat Kramer

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion for a new trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be

Monday the 9" day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of One Hundred and fifty dollars.

7072 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward } Motion in Arrest of Judgment. Monday March 9th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion in arrest of Judgment herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

7073 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward } Motion for New Trial Monday March 9. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, it is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled. to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

ginis notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant fixed at the sum of One Hundred and fifty dollars,

State of Texas
-vs-
J. C. Richardson, Sheriff } Monday March 9. 1891.

This day came on to be heard the motion of the County Attorney to require J. C. Richardson, Sheriff of Tarrant County to make proper return on venire Facias and the same being heard, it is ordered by the Court that said Sheriff proceed instanter to summon L. H. Cally, R. H. Lassiter and Chas Corbin jurors on said venire Facias, and in default thereof he show cause if any he can formed doing so.

7094 State of Texas
vs
W. H. Ward } Friday March 6. 1891.

It appearing to the Court that E. H. Buell having been duly subpoenaed as a witness in the above entitled cause after being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default; It is therefore ordered by the Court that a fine of Twenty five dollars be adjudged against said witness E. H. Buell and that Sine Facias issue requiring said E. H. Buell to appear at the next Term of this Court to be holden at the Court House thereof in City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in May 1891 and show cause if any he can why this judgment should not be made final.

the day of A. D. 189
Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

It appearing to the Court that J. T. Orchard having been by the Jury Commissioners drawn as a juror for the First week of the March Term 1891 of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas after being called under due forms of law, came not but wholly made default. It is therefore ordered by the Court that a fine of Ten Dollars be and the same is hereby assessed against said J. T. Orchard and that Sine Facias issue requiring him to appear at the next Term of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas to be holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in May A. D. 1891 and show cause if any he can why judgment should not be made final,

Wednesday Morning. March 11. 1891 Court in session present as on first day of Term.

7032 State of Texas. -vs- Will Foster } Theft Wednesday March 11. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit; Jno A. Burgin and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at Ten days in County Jail and a fine of twenty five Dolls. Jno A. Bryan, Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with the costs in this behalf expended for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

7035 State of Texas
-vs-
Jake Johnson } Permitting Faro Played in House under his Control

Wednesday March 11. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jake Johnson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with

Wednesday the 11" day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same as follows:

6924 State of Texas vs- Nat Kramer
Keeping Faro Bank for Purpose of Gaming

6925 State of Texas -vs- Tom Hudson
Keeping Faro Bank for Purpose of Gaming

6926 State of Texas -vs- John Highberger
Keeping Faro Bank for Purpose of Gaming

7034 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson & Link Hunt
Permitting Faro played in House under their Control.

7039 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson
Permitting Roulette played in House under their Control

7040 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson
Permitting Roulette played in House under their Control.

7054 State of Texas -vs- Maj McCarty
Keeping a Gaming Table for Purpose of Gaming

7055 State of Texas -vs- Major McCarty
Keeping a Gaming Table for Purpose of Gaming

7059 State of Texas -vs- Owen Perry
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank for Purpose of Gaming

7061 State of Texas -vs- James Strong
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank for Purpose of Gaming

7065 State of Texas -vs- John Stewart
Selling Liquor on Sunday

7066 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson & Victor Foster
Permitting Place of Business open on Sunday for Traffic

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Wednesday the 11th day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7068 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson & Victor Foster
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

7121 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson
Permitting Faro Played in house under his Control.
It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of their prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

6542 State of Texas -vs- John Mara } Theft
Wednesday March 11. 1891.
Continued by the State.

6936 State of Texas -vs- Tom Daugherty } Selling Liquor on Sunday
Wednesday March 11. 1891.
Continued by Consent.

6937 State of Texas -vs- John Zimmer } Selling Liquor on Sunday
Wednesday March 11. 1891.
Continued by Consent.

6524 State of Texas -vs- Minnie Dales } Aggravated Assault
Wednesday Mch 11. 1891.
Ordered that alias Capias issue for the Defendant herein and that this case be continued.

7036 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson } Permitting Faro Played in House under his Control
Wednesday March 11. 1891.
This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law

Wednesday the 11th day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

was submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jake Johnson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 7035 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7034 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson } Permitting Faro Played in House under his Control

Wednesday March 11, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jake Johnson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause Nos 7035 & 7036 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full,

7038 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson } Permitting Faro Played in House under his Control Wednesday March 11. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jake Johnson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause Nos 7035, 7036 & 7037 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Wednesday the 11th day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7067 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson & Victor Foster | Unlawfully Selling Whisky on Sunday Wednesday March 11. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the suit of the State also came the Defendants in their own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendants now have entered their plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed their punishment at a fine of Forty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendants Jake Johnson and Victor Foster, jointly and severally the sum of Forty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, further ordering that Defendant Victor Foster stand committed to the County Jail till the said fine and costs are paid in full, and further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause Nos 7035, 7036, 7037 & 7038 said Defendant Jake Johnson stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7091 State of Texas -vs- Louis Limond | Playing Cards in Store House Wednesday March 11. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: G. W. Gillespie and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at $10.00

G. W. Gillespie, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Louis Linnard the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7103 State of Texas
-vs-
H. O. Shirl
} Carrying Pistol
Wednesday March 11, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: G. W. Gillespie and five others

Wednesday the 11th day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty."

G. W. Gillespie, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant H. O. Shill go hence without day.

7114 State of Texas -vs- Shill Foster } Theft Wednesday March 11, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Jno. D. Durgin and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Will Foster guilty and assess his punishment at thirty days in County Jail and fine of twenty five dollars.

Jno A Burgin, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will Foster the sum of twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs and judgment of imprisonment in Cause No. 7032 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirty days and until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7118 State of Texas. } Selling Liquor on Sunday
-vs- } Wednesday March 11, 1891.
John Stewart

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant John Stewart in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Stewart the sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid

Wednesday the 11. day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

7119 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson } Selling Beer & Whiskey on Sunday Wednesday March 11. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jake Johnson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine of costs in Cause Nos 7035, 7036, 7037, 7038 & 7067 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs in this case are paid in full,

7120 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson } Permitting Place of Business Open on Sunday Wednesday March 11. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jake Johnson the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause Nos. 7035, 7036, 7037, 7038 & 7067 & 7119 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7188 State of Texas -vs- D. C. Offutt } Carrying Pistol
Wednesday March 11, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the

Wednesday the 11th day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Defendant B. C. Offutt the sum of Twenty fine dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs thereon incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7101 State of Texas. } Playing Cards in Public Place
-vs- } Wednesday March 11. 1891.
W. H. McNatt }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. H. McNatt the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Wednesday the 11th day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7164 State of Texas -vs- James Ryan } Drunkenness in office
Wednesday March 11. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant James Ryan the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

6821 State of Texas -vs- F. R. Muffitt & J. B. Shiner } Motion for New Trial
Tuesday March 10. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys also came the Defendants in their own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, To which ruling of

the day of A. D. 189
Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

the Court the Defendant except and in open Court give notice of appeal to the Court of appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant is hereby fixed at the sum of one thousand Dollars.

7056 State of Texas -vs- John Heisberger } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank Thursday March 11, 1891,

Continued by Consent.

7075 State of Texas -vs- N. H. Ward } Running Place of Business open on Sunday Thursday March 11. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the States exceptions to the Defendants Plea of former conviction herein for the reason that same does not set out the complaint nor copy thereof, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said exceptions be and the same are hereby sustained. Further ordered that Defendant have leave to file Trial Amendment herein.

7075 State of Texas vs N. H. Ward } Running Place of Business open on Sunday Thursday March 11. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: C. Carlin and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty & assess the fine at thirty dollars.

C. Carlin, Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. H. Hood the sum of Thirty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 7073 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9. o'clock.

Thursday March 12th. 1891. Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following

Thursday the 12" day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

cause and move the Court to dismiss the same as follows:

6914 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Page
Assault

6974 State of Texas -vs- Ed Dawson, et al
Fornication

7132 State of Texas -vs- D. B. Casey
Abusive Language

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

7090 State of Texas -vs- Chas Bowers } Playing Cards in Public Place
Thursday March 12. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: C. H. Fry and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty." C. H. Fry. Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Chas Bowers

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Thursday the 12th day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

go hence without day.

7107 State of Texas -vs- Fannie Anderson } Aggravated Assault Thursday March 12. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. M. Rice and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered her plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty of simple assault and assess her punishment at a fine of $5.00"

J. M. Rice, Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Fannie Anderson the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Thursday the 12th day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7163 State of Texas -vs- Clarence Fuller } Aggravated Assault Thursday March 12, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law was submitted to the Court and the Defendant now has entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the Defendant guilty of simple assault and assess his punishment at a fine of Fifteen Dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Clarence Fuller the sum of Fifteen Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail, till said fine and costs are paid in full,

7154 State of Texas -vs- Clarence Fuller } Carrying Pistol Thursday March 12, 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Thursday the 12th day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

the Defendant Clarence Turner go hence without day.

5935 State of Texas -vs- W. O. Middleton } Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town Thursday March 12. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both fronting announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Charles Carlin and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant was here unlimited his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty." Charles Carlin, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant W. O. Middleton go hence without day.

5933 State of Texas -vs- G. G. Cates } Selling Whiskey in Local Option Town Thursday March 12, 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore

Thursday the 12th day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant G. G. Cate go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Friday March 13th 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same as follows:

6990 State of Texas -vs- Elmer Key
Carrying Knuckles

6996 State of Texas -vs- Robt Baxter
Theft

7178 State of Texas -vs- Louis Hatchell
Selling Wine, Beer & Whiskey on Sunday

7181 State of Texas -vs- Harry Hatchell
Selling Wine, Beer & Whiskey on Sunday

7182 State of Texas -vs- Harry Hatchell
Permitting Place of Business open on Sunday for Traffic.

7183 State of Texas -vs- Harry Hatchell
Permitting Place of Business open on Sunday for Traffic

7184 State of Texas -vs- Harry Hatchell
Selling Wine, Beer & Whiskey on Sunday

7185 State of Texas -vs- Harry Hatchell
Selling Wine, Beer & Whiskey on Sunday.

7190 State of Texas -vs- Perry Clark
Theft

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Friday the 13" day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7076 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Wards } Permitting Place of Business open on Sunday for Traffic
Friday March 13", 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. W. Rice and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty and also of former conviction, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the plea of former conviction true and acquit the Defendant." J. W. Rice, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant W. H. Wards go hence without day.

7162 State of Texas -vs- Chas Vincent } Selling Whiskey & Beer on Sunday
Friday March 13". 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Jno. A. Burgin and five others who were duly selected empaneled

Friday the 13" day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at Twenty five dollars fine.

Jno. A. Burgin. Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas Vincent the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7176 State of Texas -vs- Louis Hatchett } Selling Wine, Beer & Whiskey on Sunday Friday March 13th. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Charles Carlin and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Thirty five dollars."

Charles Carlin, Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Louis Hatchell the sum of Thirty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7177 State of Texas -vs- Louis Hatchell } Swing Wm. Dun & Whitley on Sunday Friday March 13". 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the making of such as were of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Louis Hatchell the sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for

Friday the 13th day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 7177 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full in this case,

7179 State of Texas -vs- Harry Hatchett } Selling Whis. Bur & Whiskey on Sunday Friday March 13. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Harry Hatchett the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 7096 said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7180 State of Texas -vs- Harry Hatchett } Selling Whis. Bur & Whiskey on Sunday Friday March 13. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

ready for trial a jury being empaneled the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Harry Hatchett the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cases Nos 7096 & 7179 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7094. State of Texas -vs- Nat Kramer } Recognizance Wednesday March 11, 1891.

This day came into open Court Nat Kramer Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with F. L. Trumbly and R. H. Tucker surety acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Hundred and fifty dollars conditioned that the said Nat Kramer who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "unlawfully wager and bet with one J. R. Eidson upon the result of a public election to be thereafter held in said County for the purpose of electing public officers under the authority of the constitution and laws of said State to-wit said public election to be

Wednesday the 11th day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

hereafter heard on the 4th day of November A. D. 1890 and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

7019 State of Texas -vs- Walker Hargrove } Carrying Pistol Friday March 13. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: C. H. Fry and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Thirty Dollars.

C. H. Fry, Foreman.

It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Walker Hargrove the sum of Thirty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7109 State of Texas vs Fred Trimmier } Adultery
Friday March 13. 1891.

Ordered that attachment issue for witness J. B. Andrews and that this case be continued until the next Term of this Court.

7130 State of Texas vs F. L. Paul } Aggravated Assault
Friday March 13. 1891.

Continued by Consent.

7131 State of Texas vs F. L. Paul } Fornication
Friday March 13. 1891.

Continued by Consent.

7161 State of Texas vs George Hargrove Sr } Carrying Pistol
Friday March 13. 1891.

This day came the State by their Attorneys also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to Quash Indictment herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

Friday the 13" day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Saturday March 14". 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

6316 State of Texas -vs- See Prince } Betting at a Game with Dice Saturday March 14. 1891.

Continued by Consent.

7006 State of Texas -vs- Jim Drum } Aggravated Assault Saturday March 14. 1891.

Continued by Consent.

7111 State of Texas -vs- Chas Sage } Theft Saturday March 14. 1891.

Continued by Consent.

7128 State of Texas -vs- Stanley Roberts & A. J. Simms } Cutting trees upon land not their own. Saturday March 14. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Stanley Roberts in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit J. W. Rice and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant Stanley Roberts now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty." J. M. Rice, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Stanley Roberts go hence without day.

7128 State of Texas -vs- Stanley Roberts & A. J. Simms } Cutting fus upon handed not their own Saturday March 14, 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons files with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case as to the Defendant A. J. Simms and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant A. J. Simms go hence without day.

7127 State of Texas -vs- Isaac Dorsin, Etal } Fornication Saturday March 14. 1891.

Continued by the State

7156 State of Texas -vs- Tom Smith } Aggravated Assault Saturday March 14. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both

Saturday the 14th day of March A. D. 1891.

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit J. M. Rice, and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open the following verdict to-wit;

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess his punishment at a fine of $35.00.

J. M. Rice, Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Smith the sum of Thirty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the said fine and costs are paid in full.

7165 State of Texas / -vs- / Oat Simms } Malicious Prosecution / Saturday March 14. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit J. M. Rice

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court and announced that they could not agree, And it appearing to the Court that said jury has been kept together for a sufficient length of time It is therefore ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged and that this case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday Morning at 9 oclock

Monday March 16", 1891 Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on Saturday,

Now comes J. C. Richardson, Sheriff and after being duly sworn according to law brings into open Court the following qualified jurors to wit; J. A. Grant, G. T. Silvertooth, T. E. McMurry, P. T. Trimble, W. D. Orrick, A. G. Sumrall, W. D. Hale, J. K. Stamps, J. S. Wright, Chas McDade, Neil P. Anderson and J. L. Killian who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for this the third week of the March Term 1891 of the County Court.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Monday the 16th day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same to-wit:

7080 State of Texas -vs- Clint Terry
Selling Beer on Monday.

7172 State of Texas vs Oscar McCain
Disturbing the Peace.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day

Alias & Continued

7167 State of Texas -vs- Frank Watson
Carrying Pistol

7168 State of Texas -vs- Mitch Watson
Carrying Pistol

7171 State of Texas -vs- Oscar McCain, et al } Disturbing Religious Worship Monday March 16. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Oscar McCain in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant Oscar McCain now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Monday the 16th day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Defendant Oscar McCain the sum of Twenty fin dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7172 State of Texas -vs- Ike Christopher } Disturbing the Peace Monday March 16. 1891. Alias and Continued.

7174 State of Texas -vs- Cliff Sharp } Disturbing the Peace Monday March 16. 1891 Alias and Continued.

7186 State of Texas -vs- Frank Watson } Disturbing the Peace Monday March 16. 1891. Alias and Continued.

7191 State of Texas -vs- George Hargrove Sr } Carrying Pistol Monday March 16. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit W. D. Orrick and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel

Monday the 16th day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty, W. D. Orrick, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant George Haynes Go hence without day.

7173 State of Texas -vs- B. A. Mathus } Occupation without License Monday March 16. 1891.

Continued by Consent.

7166 State of Texas -vs- J. M. Hix } Slander Monday March 16. 1891.

Alias and Continued,

7169 State of Texas -vs- J. B. Cooper } Disturbing Religious Worship Monday March 16. 1891.

Alias and Continued.

7045 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward } Motion in Arrest of Judgment Monday March 16. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the Defendant appeared in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion in arrest of Judgment herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts.

7075 State of Texas / Motion for New Trial
-vs- / Monday March 16. 1891.
W. H. Ward

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the Defendant also appears in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas. The Recognizance of Defendant is hereby fixed by the Court at the sum of One Hundred and fifty dollars.

7091 State of Texas / Motion for New Trial
-vs- / Monday March 16. 1891.
Louis Leonard

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court

Monday the 16 day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas, the Recognizance of Defendant is by the Court fixed at the sum of One Hundred and fifty dollars.

7019 State of Texas -vs- Walker Hargrove } Motion for New Trial Monday March 16. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas. The Recognizance of Defendant is by the Court fixed at the sum of Two hundred dollars.

7023 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Hardy } Deft's Supplemental Motion for New Trial Monday March 16. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Supplemental motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Tuesday March 17, 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

7134 State of Texas -vs- H. A. Trout } Adultery. Tuesday March 17, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: T. E. McMurry and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged & assess his fine at Two Hundred & fifty dollars, T. E. McMurry, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. A. Trout the sum of Two Hundred and fifty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Tuesday the 17th day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7004 State of Texas -vs- Thos E. Wade } Failure to Post License Tuesday March 17, 1891.

Continued by Consent.

7102 State of Texas -vs- E. D. McCallahan alias Mrs Mac } Keeping Disorderly House. Tuesday March 17. 1891.

Continued by Consent.

7140 State of Texas -vs- O. M. Kerr } Drunkness in office Tuesday March 17. 1891.

Continued by Agreement

7141 State of Texas -vs- O. M. Kerr } Drunkness in office Tuesday March 17. 1891.

Continued by Agreement.

Ordered by the Court that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Wednesday March 18th 1891. Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

6719 City of Fort Worth -vs- Jas Reynolds } Vagrancy Wednesday March 18, 189

Continued by Consent.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Wednesday the 18th day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

6721 City of Fort Worth -vs- H. P. Shirl } Permitting Place of Business open on Sunday Wednesday March 18, 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. R. Haymaker and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty as alleged in the Indictment. W. R. Haymaker, Foreman, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the City of Fort Worth recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant H. P. Shirl go hence without day.

6722 City of Fort Worth -vs- Dick Looney } Selling Liquor on Sunday Wednesday March 18, 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Jas I. Wright and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and

Wednesday the 18th day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Twenty five dollars $25.00

Jas I. Wright, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the City of Fort Worth do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bill Looney, as Principal and H. O. Shill, E. L. Shillman and W. H. Ward sureties on Appeal Bond the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Provided that said sureties H. O. Shill, E. L. Shillman and W. H. Ward be not held liable for more than amount of Appeal Bond herein to-wit the sum of one Hundred Dollars. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6720 City of Fort Worth -vs- George Wallace } Trespass. Wednesday March 18, 1891.

Continued by the City.

6746 City of Fort Worth -vs- Lucy Frazier } Disturbing the Peace Wednesday March 18, 1891.

Continued by Consent.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Wednesday the 18th day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

6899 City of Fort Worth -vs- A. F. Brandt } Selling Liquor on Sunday. Wednesday March 18, 1891,

Continued by Defendant for absence of witness P. Johnson.

7165 State of Texas -vs- Pat Stivens } Malicious Prosecution. Wednesday March 18, 1891,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. D. Orrick and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty"

W. D. Orrick, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Pat Stivens go hence without day.

7189 State of Texas -vs- Claire Johnson } Theft. Wednesday March 18, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for

Wednesday the 18" day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. D. Orrick and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty, W. D. Orrick, Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Earl Johnson go hence without day.

7193 State of Texas
-vs-
Earl Johnson } Theft
Wednesday March 18. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Jas I. Wright and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

guilty as charged in the information and assess his punishment at twenty fin dollars fine and thirty days imprisonment in County Jail" Jas S. Wright, Forman,

It is therefor considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Earl Johnson the sum of Twenty fin dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full,

State of Texas
vs
Pat Simms } Wednesday March 18. 1891,

Ordered by the Court that a fine of Ten Dollars be and the same is hereby assessed against Pat Simms for Contempt of Court,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Thursday March 19th 1891. Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

7193 State of Texas
-vs-
Earl Johnson } Motion for New Trial
Thursday March 19th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of counsel

Thursday the 19th day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant is fixed by the Court at the sum of Two Hundred Dollars.

6723 City of Fort Worth } Motion for New Trial
-vs- } Thursday March 19. 1891.
Bill Looney

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

Friday March 20th 1891. Court in session present as on first day of Term.

7073 State of Texas } Recognizance
-vs- } Friday March 20. 1891,
W. H. Ward

This day came into open Court W. H. Ward, Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with Jno L. Ward and W. H. Nanny, sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Hundred & fifty dollars conditioned that the said W. H. Ward who stands charged

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Friday the 20th day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

in this Court with the offense of "On Sunday the 28th day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand Eight Hundred and ninety was then and there a retail liquor dealer and as such he did then and there unlawfully and wilfully sell and barter to one F. A. Bulware and to other persons to the Grand Jury unknown certain drinks of beer and whiskey" and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

7075 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward } Recognizance Friday March 20th 1891.

This day came into open Court W. H. Ward, Defendant in the above entitled cause and together with Jno L. Ward and W. H. Nanny, sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of one Hundred and fifty dollars conditioned that the said W. H. Ward who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "On Sunday the 18th day of January in the year of our Lord One Thousand Eight Hundred and ninety one with force and arms did unlawfully permit his place of business to be open for the purpose of trafficking the said W. H. Ward then and there being a retail liquor dealer" and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court shall appear

Friday the 20th day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

Monday March 23rd 1891 Court in session present as on first day of term.

7197 State of Texas -vs- Frank Watson } Carrying Pistol
Monday March 23, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law come submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Thirty Dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Watson the sum of Thirty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Tuesday the 24th day of March A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7200 State of Texas -vs- John J. Sullivan } Carrying Pistol Tuesday March 24, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Thirty dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John J. Sullivan the sum of Thirty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Wednesday March 25, 1891 Court in session present as on first day of term,

7203 State of Texas -vs- O. V. McLaughlin } Carrying Pistol Wednesday March 25, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered

Wednesday the 25 day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant O. N. McLaughlin the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Thursday March 26th 1891 Court in session present as on first day of Term.

7134 State of Texas -vs- H. A. Trout } Motion for New Trial Thursday March 26. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant is hereby fixed by the Court at the sum of Five Hundred Dollars,

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7162 State of Texas -vs- Chas Vincent } Defts Motion in Arrest Judgment Friday March 20th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion in arrest Judgment herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

6821 State of Texas -vs- F. R. Muffitt & J. B. Shiner } Recognizance Thursday Mch 11, 1891.

This day came into open Court F. R. Muffitt and J. B. Shiner, Defendants in the above entitled cause who together with C. G. McClellan, J. H. Burford and Howard Tully, their sureties acknowledged themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Thousand dollars conditioned that the said F. R. Muffit and J. B. Shiner who stand charged in this Court with the offense of "pursuing and following the occupation of selling, spirituous, vinous and malt liquors and medicated bitters capable of producing intoxication in quantities of less than one quart the said occupation being capable by law without first obtaining a license therefor" and who have been convicted of said offense in this Court shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189 .....
Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

7019 State of Texas -vs- Walker Hargrove } Recognizance Monday March 23. 1891

This day came into open Court Walker Hargrove, defendant in the above entitled cause, who together with L. M. Barkley, S. H. Richardson and J. B. Hamilton his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Two Hundred Dollars conditioned that the said Walker Hargrove who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "Unlawfully carrying on and about his person a certain pistol" and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

7019 State of Texas -vs- Louis Leonard } Recognizance Thursday March 26. 1891.

This day came into open Court Louis Leonard, Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with John H. Eggleston and J. B. Colton his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Hundred and fifty dollars conditioned that the said

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Louis Leonard who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "Unlawfully play at a game with cards in a public house, to-wit: in a public store house to which people did then and there commonly resort for the purpose of trade and barter" and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of this same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case,

Monday March 23rd 1891 Court in session present as on first day of Term.

7170 State of Texas / -vs- / Walter Snaddy } Disturbing Religious Worships Monday March 23, 1891,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant upon his plea of guilty thereof the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Walter Snaddy the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Saturday the 28 day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Saturday March 28th 1891 Court in session present as on first day of Term.

6258 State of Texas -vs- J. M. Hyse } Malicious Mischief Saturday March 28. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. M. Hyse the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7209 Thursday April 1st 1891. Court in session present as on fifth day of Term.

7209 State of Texas -vs- Unknown } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank Thursday April 1. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Wednesday the 1st day of April A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now Incremented his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and ten days imprisonment in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Unknown the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

7210 State of Texas
-vs-
Felix a Mexican } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank
Wednesday April 1. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the hearing of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now Incremented his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and ten days imprisonment in the County Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Felix a Mexican the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Wednesday the 1 day of April A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

7218 State of Texas -vs- Unknown } Gaming at Gaming Table Wednesday April 1. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Unknown the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 7209 said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail for said fine and costs or paid in full in this case,

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Wednesday the 1 day of April A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7219 State of Texas vs Felix a Mexican } Betting at a Gaming Table Wednesday April 1, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enlarged his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Felix a Mexican the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cause No. 7210 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7214 State of Texas vs W. D. Perry } Carrying Pistol Monday March 30, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enlarged his plea of guilty

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Monday the 30 day of March A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Whereupon the Court assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. D. Perry the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Friday April 3rd 1891 Court in session present as on first day of term

7216 State of Texas -vs- Herman Mills } Assault Friday April 3, 1890

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of facts as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Herman Mills the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

issues, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Saturday April 4" 1891 Court in session present as on first day of Term.

7211 State of Texas } Carrying Pistol
-vs- } Saturday April 4" 1891.
A. C. Hawkins }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant A. C. Hawkins the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Tuesday Morning April 7" Court in session present as on first day of Term.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Tuesday the 7" day of April A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7175 State of Texas -vs- Anable Miller } Disturbing the Peace Tuesday April 7" 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Anable Miller the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid or fully.

7187 State of Texas -vs- Mitch Watson } Disturbing the Peace Tuesday April 7: 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant not here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. It is therefore

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mitch Watson the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Saturday April 11th 1891 Court in session present as on first day of Term,

7224 State of Texas -vs- Jim Brown } Assault & Battery Saturday April 11, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here in open Court withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Brown the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Tuesday the 14" day of April A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Tuesday April 14" 1891 Court in session present as on first day of Term,

7221 State of Texas -vs- Gus Smith } Aggravated Assault Tuesday April 14, 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court ~~assessed his punishment~~ at a fine of Twenty five dollars It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Gus Smith the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Friday April 17" 1891. Court in session present as on first day of Term,

7333 State of Texas -vs- E. A. Trowbridge } Vagrancy Friday April 17, 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Friday the 17th day of April A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

waived the reading of the indictment as well as of law was submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant E. A. Trowbridge the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7334 State of Texas. vs. E. A. Trowbridge } Playing Cards Public Place Friday April 17th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant E. A. Trowbridge the sum of Ten Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 7333 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

Monday the 20th day of April A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Monday April 20. 1891 Court in session present as on first day of Term.

7335 State of Texas -vs- J. H. Johnson } Playing Cards in Public Place Monday April 20, 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now having entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. H. Johnson the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7336 State of Texas -vs- J. H. Johnson } Vagrancy Monday April 20, 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and ~~for~~ for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Monday the 20 day of April A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant J. H. Johnson go hence without day.

Thursday April 23rd 1891. Court in session present as on first day of Term.

7110 State of Texas vs. John Buckley } Carrying Pistol
Thursday April 23, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Buckley the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7149 State of Texas vs. H. L. Preston } Libel
Monday March 2, 1891.
Continued by Defendant

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 1891

Thursday the 23 day of April A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7342 State of Texas -vs- John Brand } Carrying Pistol
Thursday April 23, 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the suit of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty Thereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Brand the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6346 State of Texas -vs- See Prince } Betting at a Game with Dice
Thursday April 9. 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the suit of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and the Defendant See Prince go hence without day.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, March Term, 189 1

Friday the 20th day of March A. D. 189 1

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7162 State of Texas -vs- Chas Vincent | Motion for New Trial. Friday March 20, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that this case stands for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

7073 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward | Motion for five days. Friday April 24, 1891.

Ordered by the Court that the Defendant have five days after the adjournment of this term of the Court in which to prepare and file a statement of facts herein,

7075 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward | Motion for Five days. Friday April 4th 1891.

Ordered by the Court that the Defendant have five days after the adjournment of this term of the Court in which to prepare and file a statement of facts herein.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, [redacted] March Term, 1891

Saturday the 25 day of April A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

9320 State of Texas -vs- Thadeus Clark } Carrying Pistol Saturday April 25. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now has rendered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Thadeus Clark the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Saturday April 25th 1891.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn this Court sin Cause.

W. D. Harris
County Judge

Attest:
Jno O King,
Clerk County Court Tarrant Co. Texas

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Monday the 4" day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in May A.D. 1891 the same being the Fourth day of said Month, this cause began and holden a regular Term of the County Court within and for the County of Tarrant and State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, Court Hon N. D. Harris County Judge presiding D. V. Linnartz, County Attorney, Jno. A. King County Clerk and J. C. Richardson Sheriff of said County when the following proceedings were had when the Criminal Docket of said Court, to-wit:

– Venire Facias –

Now comes J. C. Richardson, Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into open Court the Venire Facias for this the First Week of the May Term 1891 and upon the names of the jurors thereon being called there qualified jurors answered to wit: S. A. Davis, A. T. Keaton & J. W. Barton who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week; And it appearing to the Court that said panel is incomplete. It is ordered that the Sheriff summon qualified jurors sufficient to fill same, and the said Sheriff after being sworn as required by law brought into Court the following qualified jurors to wit: N. S. Lacey, E. H. Cates, C. A. Faid, C. F. Estill, Calib. Tunnell, Roby McCant, J. D. Farmer, Jno Dawson and E. Shoddy who were all sworn and tried as to pleas upon the panel for the week.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 189/

Monday the 4" day of May A. D. 189/

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

It appearing to the Court that the Sheriff has been unable to arrest the Defendants in the following cases. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said cases be filed until such time as the Defendants therein can be arrested to wit:

6280 State of Texas -vs- Chas Abby
Theft.

6456 State of Texas -vs- W. L. Roark.
Aggravated Assault.

6610 State of Texas -vs- Jas Strong
Carrying Pistol

6652 State of Texas -vs- Geo Davenport
Theft.

6831 State of Texas -vs- Jack Sims.
Aggravated Assault.

6855 State of Texas -vs- Ed Moore
Unlawfully using animal of another

6856 State of Texas -vs- Horace Haynes
Theft.

6907 State of Texas -vs- Jack Flinn
Vagrancy

6908 State of Texas -vs- Frank Carmine
Assault & Battery

6911 State of Texas -vs- Unknown
Assault & Battery

6941 State of Texas -vs- Billy Mosier
Aggravated Assault.

6945 State of Texas -vs- Jas Salmon
Vagrancy.

Alias & Continued

6318 State of Texas -vs- Geo Davis
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank.

6344 State of Texas -vs- Tom Owens
Betting at Gaming Table & Bank.

6808 State of Texas -vs- J. N. Wilson
Playing Cards in Out House

6809 State of Texas -vs- John Coal
Playing Cards in Out House.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Monday the 4th day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

6810 State of Texas -vs- Asa Childress
Playing Cards in our House

6825 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Sisk
Malicious Mischief

6965 State of Texas -vs- Bill Andrews
Aggravated Assault.

6972 State of Texas -vs- Albert Ogilsby
Assault & Battery

7002 State of Texas -vs- Bruno Franks
Selling Liquor without License

7007 State of Texas -vs- Mrs Runyon.
Aggravated Assault.

7010 State of Texas -vs- Bill Adkins
Aggravated Assault.

7167 State of Texas -vs- Frank Watson
Carrying Pistol

7168 State of Texas -vs- Mitch Watson
Carrying Pistol

7186 State of Texas -vs- Frank Watson
Disturbing the Peace.

Nolle Prosequis

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same, as follows: to wit:

5923 State of Texas -vs- Frank Harrison
Selling Liquor in Local Option Town.

5931 State of Texas -vs- Frank Harrison
Selling Liquor in Local Option Town.

5932 State of Texas -vs- Frank Harrison
Selling Liquor in Local Option Town.

6295 State of Texas -vs- J. C. Smith
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Banks.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7056 State of Texas -vs- John Heighberger
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank.

7070 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Hand
Selling Liquor on Sunday

7132 State of Texas -vs- Frank Golikely
Aggravated Assault.

7142 State of Texas -vs- R. E. Carter
Drunkenness in Office

7144 State of Texas -vs- R. E. Carter
Drunkenness in Office

7160 State of Texas -vs- Lizzie Chatfield
Vagrancy

7169 State of Texas -vs- J. B. Cooper
Disturbing the Religious Worship

7229 State of Texas -vs- Nat Kramer
Permitting Roulette in House under his Control

7242 State of Texas -vs- Doc Rose
Betting at Game with Dice

7243 State of Texas -vs- Doc Rose.
Betting at Craps.

7246 State of Texas -vs- Doc Rose,
Permitting Faro in House under his Control

7247 State of Texas -vs- Doc Rose,
Permitting Faro in House under his Control

7249 State of Texas -vs- Doc Rose.
Betting at Game with Dice,

7250 State of Texas -vs- Doc Rose
Betting at Game with Dice

7251 State of Texas -vs- Doc Rose.
Betting at Game with Dice

7261 State of Texas -vs- Lee Hacker.
Permitting Faro in House under his Control.

7262 State of Texas -vs- Lee Hacker.
Permitting Faro in House under his Control.

7264 State of Texas -vs- Lee Hacker.
Permitting Game of Dice in House under his Control,

7271 State of Texas -vs- Geo Kelly
Betting at Craps.

7272 State of Texas -vs- Geo Kelly
Betting at Craps.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Monday the 4th day of May A. D. 1891.

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7273 State of Texas -vs- Geo Kelly
Betting at Craps.

7289 State of Texas -vs- Clint Terry
Selling Whisky on Sunday

7290 State of Texas -vs- Clint Terry
Selling Whisky on Sunday.

7299 State of Texas -vs- Harry Cuff.
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank,

7300 State of Texas -vs- Harry Cuff.
Exhibiting Faro Table & Bank,

7316 State of Texas -vs- John Highberger
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank.

7345 State of Texas -vs- Clara Hudson.
Carrying Pistol

7348 State of Texas -vs- Clara Hudson.
Carrying Pistol

6789 State of Texas -vs- Calvin Smith } Betting at Game with Dice Monday May 4th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of such as were as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Calvin Smith the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

are paid in full.

7079 State of Texas -vs- T. E. Ridgeway } Gaming Bur on Sunday Monday May 4th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of facts as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant T. E. Ridgeway the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant T. E. Ridgeway stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7222 State of Texas -vs- Harry Handson } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank Monday May 4. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as

Monday the 4" day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now has entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for ten days. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Henry Handson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant Henry Handson stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

7225 State of Texas -vs- Nat Kramer | Permitting Gaming in House under his control | Monday May 4" 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now has entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Nat Kramer the sum of Twenty

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7226 State of Texas / Carrying Gaming in House under his Control.
-vs- / Monday May 4. 1891.
Nat Kramer

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Nat Kramer the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cause No. 7225 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

Monday the 4" day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7227 State of Texas -vs- Nat Kramer } Permitting Faro Played in House under his Control Monday May 4" 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Nat Kramer the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Causes Nos 7225 & 7226 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7228 State of Texas -vs- Nat Kramer } Permitting Game of Faro Played in House under his Control Monday May 4. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Nat Kramer the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cases Nos 7225, 7226 & 7227 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7244 State of Texas } Being at Gamerith Dice
-vs- } Monday May 4, 1891.
Doc Ross

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Doc Ross the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed

Monday the 4" day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7245 State of Texas -vs- Doc Ross } Permitting Gaming in House under his Control. Monday May 4, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enlers his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Doc Ross the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 7244 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this cause are paid in full.

7248 State of Texas -vs- Doc Ross } Betting at Craps Monday May 4" 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Doc Ross the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cause Nos 7744 & 7745 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7763 State of Texas
-vs-
Lee Hacker

Criminal Docket in Session before this Court
Monday May 4, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now withdrawing his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lee Hacker the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together

Monday the 4 day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7265 State of Texas / Permitting Gaming on Premises under his Control
-vs- Monday May 4, 1891.
Lee Walker

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enlered his plea of guilty thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lee Walker the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cause No. 7263 said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Monday the 4th day of May A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7266 State of Texas -vs- Lee Walker } Permitting Gaming in premises under his Control. Monday May 4th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lee Walker the sum of Twenty five dollars fine, assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause Nos 7263, 7265 said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7267 State of Texas -vs- Lee Walker } Permitting Roulette in House under his Control. Monday May 4. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant

Monday the 4th day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lee Parker the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause Nos 7263, 7265 & 7266 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until the fine and costs in this cause are paid in full.

7268 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly } Betting at Game Played with Dice Monday May 4, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Kelly the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7269 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly } Betting at Game Played with Dice Monday May 4, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Kelly the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 7268 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7270 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly } Betting at Craps. Monday May 4th, 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 189 1

Monday the 4" day of May A. D. 189 1

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Kelly the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cases Nos 7268 & 7269 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7291 State of Texas / Permitting Place Business open on Sunday
-vs- Monday May 4. 1891.
Clint Terry

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Monday the 4th day of May A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

the Defendant Clint Terry the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7302 State of Texas -vs- N. H. Hard } Selling Wine, Beer & Whiskey on Sunday Monday May 4, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant N. H. Hard the sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Monday the 4th day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7326. State of Texas -vs- John Edwards } Carrying Pistol

Monday May 4th 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: N. S. Lamy and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at $25.00 Twenty five dollars.

N. S. Lamy, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Edwards the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Monday the 4th day of May A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7328 State of Texas -vs- William Black } Unlawfully Discharging Fire Arms across Pub. Street Monday May 4th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant William Black the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7329 State of Texas -vs- William Black } Carrying Pistol Monday May 4, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit R. W. McCart and five others who were duly selected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Monday the 4th day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

being read to the jury the Defendant now here entends his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

R. W. McCart. Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Thirteen Black go hence without day.

7330 State of Texas -vs- Josie Belmont } Vagrancy
Monday May 4. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Josie Belmont the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7331 State of Texas -vs- Jesse Reeves } Vagrancy. Monday May 4. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jesse Reeves the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7332 State of Texas -vs- Madam Porter } Vagrancy. Monday May 4. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Monday the 4th day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Madam Porter the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7344 State of Texas -vs- Sara Hudson } Disturbing the Peace
Monday May 4. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Five Dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Clara Hudson the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 189 1

Monday the 4th day of May A. D. 189 1

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7349 State of Texas -vs- Clara Hudson } Disturbing the Peace Monday May 4, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of guilty thereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Clara Hudson the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 7349 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

Monday the 4th day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7288 State of Texas -vs- Clint Jenny | Deft's Motion to Quash Indictment Monday May 4th 1891

This day came the parties by their attorneys and also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion to Quash the Indictment herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

6898 State of Texas -vs- John Johnson | Murdering to take Life Monday May 4, 1891.

Continued by Consent.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Tuesday May 5th 1891. Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

7162 State of Texas -vs- Chas Vincent | Selling Whisky & Beer on Sunday Tuesday May 5, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: C. F. Edell and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now there entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon

the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and fix his fine at Twenty five dollars

C. F. Estill, Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas Vincent the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7194 State of Texas
-vs-
W. R. Hoar } Theft.
Tuesday May 5th. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. W. Barton and five others who were duly elected empanelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

Tuesday the 5th day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at Two months imprisonment in the County Jail.

J. W. Barron, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the Defendant W. R. Holt stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Two months and until all costs incurred herein are paid in full.

7292 State of Texas vs Ruff Curry } Disturbing Sunday School. Tuesday May 5. 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Ruff Curry go hence without day.

7293 State of Texas -vs- Ruff Curry } Carrying Pistol. Tuesday May 5th, 1891.

Continued by Consent

7294 State of Texas -vs- Mike Curry } Disturbing Sunday School. Tuesday May 5, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Otis Stampsher the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7797 State of Texas -vs- Otis Stampsher } Setting Sun on Sunday Tuesday May 5, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Otis Stampsher the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

County Jail the said fine and costs are paid in full,

7298 State of Texas -vs- Ole Stramfjobr } Driving Bus on Sunday Tuesday May 5th 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Ole Stramfjobr go hence without day.

7311 State of Texas -vs- Eugene Lambert } Exhibiting Faro Bank Tuesday May 5, 1891.

Continued by Consent.

7312 State of Texas vs Fred Lenz } Selling Whisky on Sunday Tuesday May 5, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and

lank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

recovr of and from the Defendant Fred Lena the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid Together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand commited to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 oclock

Wednesday May 6th 1891 Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficint reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same to-wit,

6936 State of Texas -vs- Tom Dougherty
Selling Liquor on Sunday

7140 State of Texas -vs- O. M. Kerr
Drunkenness in Office

7174 State of Texas -vs- Oscar McCain, et al
Disturbing the Peace

7347 State of Texas -vs- Shirley Dialon
Aggravated Assault.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

Wednesday the 6" day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

6937 State of Texas -vs- John Linmer } Selling Liquor on Sunday Wednesday May 6" 1891.

Continued by Consent,

7141 State of Texas -vs- O. M. Kern } Drunkenness in Office Wednesday May 6". 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Caleb Tunnell and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty Whereupon the Grand after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars ($5.00)

Caleb Tunnell, Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant O. M. Kern the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7171 State of Texas -vs- Oscar McCain, et al } Disturbing Religious Worship Wednesday May 6th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant Louis Orick in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant Louis Orick now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Louis Orick the sum of Twenty five fine fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant Louis Orick stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7195 State of Texas -vs- Albert Taylor } Carrying Pistol Wednesday May 6. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion to Quash the Information herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

is for said motion it is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained

7318 State of Texas -vs- Chas Ligon } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank Wednesday May 6. 1891.

Continued by Consent.

7315 State of Texas -vs- Frank Fawcett } Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank Wednesday May 6th 1891.

Continued by Consent.

7346 State of Texas -vs- Shirley Deaton } Aggravated Assault Wednesday May 6th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Shirley Deaton the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Thursday May 7th 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

6757 State of Texas -vs- Ed Johnson } Swing Bur on Sunday Thursday May 7th 1891.

Continued by State.

7006 State of Texas -vs- Jim Drumm } Aggravated Assault Thursday May 7th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: C. F. Estill and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty." C. F. Estill, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jim Drumm go hence without day.

Thursday the 7" day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7305 State of Texas -vs- Ed Johnson } Selling Wine, Beer & Whisky on Sunday
Thursday May 7. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: C. F. Estill and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the Jury aforesaid hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: We the jury find Defendant not guilty, C. F. Estill, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Ed Johnson go hence without day.

7339 State of Texas -vs- John Langley } Abusive Language
Thursday May 7. 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons finds with the pleas herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

prosecution and that the Defendant John Langley go hence without day.

7352 State of Texas -vs- Arthur Scott } Theft. Thursday May 7th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail fifteen days. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Arthur Scott the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of fifteen days and until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7351 State of Texas -vs- John Henderson } Vagrancy Thursday May 7. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties

Thursday the 7" day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: E. H. Carter and five others who duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty" E. H. Carter. Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Henderson go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Friday Morning May 8" 1891. Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

7093 State of Texas -vs- J. R. Eidson } Acting on an Election Friday May 8" 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: C. R. Ford and five others who were duly selected empannelled and

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit.

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at twenty five dollars $25.00

C. R. Fried, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. R. Eidson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7138 State of Texas
-vs-
Henry Early

Docket entries in office Friday May 8th. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his

Friday the 8" day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

punishment at a fine of Five Dollars
It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Henry Early the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cause and moves the Court to dismiss the same viz:

7105 State of Texas -vs- Geo Hallis
Selling Liquor to minor

7107 State of Texas -vs- Isaac Berlin et al
Fornication

7139 State of Texas -vs- Henry Early
Drunkenness in office

7306 State of Texas -vs- Louis DeMouche
Selling Wine, Beer & Whiskey on Sunday

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

7199 State of Texas -vs- Charles Gleason } Aggravated Assault
Friday May 8". 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: N. S. Lamy and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Deft guilty and assess his punishment at 30 days in the County Jail"

N. S. Lamy, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Defendant Charles Gleason stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirty days and until all Costs incurred herein are paid in full.

Prov State of Texas -vs- Mike Mann } Carrying Pistol
Friday May 8th. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: C. F. Estill and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to

Friday the 8th day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty Thereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find Defendant guilty and fix his fine at Twenty five dollars. C. F. Estill, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mike Mann the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7704 State of Texas -vs- Tom Ryan } Selling Whisky on Sunday. Friday May 8th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit C. F. Estill and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court and announced that they could not agree And it appearing to the Court that said jury has been kept together for a sufficient length of time. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged and that this case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

7201 State of Texas -vs- Mike Mann } Finals to take Life Friday May 8th 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to Quash the Information herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

6801 State of Texas -vs- John Thatcher et al } Adultery Friday May 8, 1891.

Ordered that Alias Capias issue for the Defendants herein returnable to the next term of this Court & that this case be continued.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Friday the 8th day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7093 State of Texas -vs- J. R. Eidson } Deft's Motion for new Trial Friday May 8th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and the came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for a new trial herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant hereby fixed at the sum of One Hundred and fifty dollars.

7093 State of Texas -vs- J. R. Eidson } Recognizance Friday May 8, 1891.

This day came into open Court J. R. Eidson, Defendant in the above entitled cause and together with J. M. Robinson and C. R. Dorlin his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Hundred and fifty dollars conditioned that the said J. R. Eidson who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "1st tr. dist. in Tarrant County 2nd" Unlawfully wager and bet with one Nat Kramer upon the result of a public election to be thereafter held in said County for the purpose of electing public officers under the

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

authority of the constitution and laws of said State to wit: said public election to be hereafter held on the 4th day of November A.D. 1890" and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case.

7342 State of Texas
-vs-
John Johnson } Theft.
Friday May 8th. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: C. F. Estill and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find Defendant guilty & fix his punishment at confinement in County Jail for sixty days.

C. F. Estill, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said Defendant John Johnson stands

Friday the 8th day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

committed to the County Jail for the full period of sixty days and until all costs incurred herein are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Thursday May 14th 1891.

Thursday May 14. 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on first day of term

Venire Facias

Now comes J. C. Richardson, Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into open Court the Venire Facias for this the Second week of the May Term 1891 and upon the naming of the jurors thereon being called there qualified jurors answered to wit J. W. Christian, A. W. Colling & Daniel J. Pritchett who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week, and it appearing to the Court that said panel is incomplete, It is ordered that the Sheriff summon qualified jurors sufficient to fill same, and the said Sheriff after being sworn as required by law brought into open Court the following qualified jurors to wit, N. K. Ferguson, J. W. Simmons, B. F. Driggins, A. C. Sluyant, W. R. Haymaker, W. M. Lampton, W. H. Nanny, H. K. Hall, F. M. Leathurman, James Manly who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week,

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 189 1

Thursday the 14" day of May A. D. 189 1

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cause and moves the Court to dismiss the same as follows:

7030 State of Texas -vs- J. H. Cunningham
Theft.

7102 State of Texas -vs- Mrs E. D. McCallahan alias Mrs Mac.
Keeping Disorderly House.

7130 State of Texas -vs- F. L. Paul
Aggravated Assault

7131 State of Texas -vs- F. L. Paul.
Fornication

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recommathing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

7111 State of Texas -vs- Chas Sage } Theft under $20. Thursday May 14. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: B. F. Driggins and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict

Thursday the 14" day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Deft guilty as charged and assess his punishment at 2 days in the Co Jail,

B. F. Driggins, Forman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Defendant Chas Sage stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of two days and until all costs herein incurred are paid in full.

7223 State of Texas -vs- Leonard Mills } Embezzlement
Thursday May 14. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. E. Mosely and five talismen who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel and recieving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find Defendant not guilty."

J. E. Mosely, Forman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Thursday the 14th day of May A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

that the Defendant Leonard Mills go hence without day.

7354 State of Texas } Theft
-vs- } Thursday May 14. 1891.
Jury

This day came the parties by their attorneys also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both came on to be heard the Defendant's motion to Quash the Information herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

7355 State of Texas } Theft.
-vs- } Thursday May 14, 1891.
James Quinn

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and ten days imprisonment in the County Jail, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant James Quinn the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and until the fine and costs in this cause are said in full.

7362 X

State of Texas vs Howard Tully } Playing Cards Public Place Thursday May 14, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twelve dollars and fifty cents. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Howard Tully the sum of Twelve dollars and fifty cents fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7363 State of Texas vs Geo B. Lovving } Playing Cards Pub Place Thursday May 14, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Thursday the 14th day of May A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twelve dollars and fifty cents. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo B. Loving the sum of Twelve dollars and fifty cents fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7364 State of Texas -vs- C. Y. McClellan } Playing Cards Out Place Thursday May 14, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twelve dollars and fifty cents. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the

Thursday the 14th day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Defendant C. Y. McClellan the sum of Twelve dollars and fifty cents, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7374 State of Texas
-vs-
Jimmy Carter

Thurs
Thursday May 14, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. W. Christian and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. J. W. Christian, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jimmy Carter go hence without day.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Thursday the 14th day of May A.D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

6534 State of Texas -vs- Minnie Bates } Aggravated Assault
Thursday May 14, 1891.

Ordered by the Court that alias capias issue for the Defendant herein returnable to the next term of this Court and that this case be continued.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Friday May 15th. 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

7212 State of Texas -vs- Ollie Kindle } Threatening to take life of Human being.
Friday May 15th. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. H. Nanny and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered her plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. W. H. Nanny, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take

Friday the 15" day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

making by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Dutch Kindle go hence without day.

7230 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson } Selling Wine, Beer & Whisky on Sunday Friday May 15th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jake Johnson the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7231 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson } Selling Wine, Beer & Whisky on Sunday Friday May 15th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 189 1

Friday the 15" day of May A. D. 189 1

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jake Johnson the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 7233 said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7233 State of Texas / -vs- / Jake Johnson } Seeing Wine, Beer & Whisky on Sunday
Friday May 15, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jake Johnson the sum of Twenty Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with

Friday the 15 day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause Nos. 7230 & 7231 said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7236 State of Texas / -vs- / Jake Johnson } Permitting Game Torn in Town under his Control

Friday May 15th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jake Johnson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause Nos 7230, 7231 & 7233 said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this Case are paid in full.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7237 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson } Permitting Faro in House under his Control, Friday May 15. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Jake Johnson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cases Nos 7230, 7231, 7233 & 7236 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7240 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson } Permitting Gaming in House under his Control Friday May 15. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Jake Johnson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cause Nos 7230. 7231, 7233, 7236 & 7237 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7241 State of Texas
-vs-
Jake Johnson

Permitting Pauline Olayes to testify in behalf of Defendant under his Control

Friday May 15. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Jake Johnson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,

further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cause Nos 7230, 7231, 7233, 7236, 7237 & 7240 said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail until the fine and costs in this cause are paid in full.

7278 State of Texas -vs- Luke Short | Permitting Game Craps Played in House under his Control Friday May 15, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Luke Short the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7281 State of Texas -vs- John Stewart | Selling Wine, Beer & Whiskey on Sunday Friday May 15th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his

Friday the 15" day of May A. D. 189 1

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of facts as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant John Slocum the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7302 State of Texas
-vs-
Victor Foster
} Selling Wine, Beer & Whisky on Sunday. Friday May 15. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Difendant Victor Faiur ilie sum of Twenty Dallars fine assessed as aforesaid togeiher with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Difendant stand committed to ilie County Jail iill said fine and costs are paid in full.

7341 State of Texas -vs- Louis Girard } Vagrancy Friday May 15. 1891.

This day came ilie County Attorney prosecuting ilie pleas of ilie State also came ilie Difendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived ilie making of fact as well as of law were submitted to ilie Court and ilie Difendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon ilie Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is ilierefore considered adjudged and decreed by ilie Court iliat ilie State of Texas do have and recover of and from ilie said Difendant Louis Girard ilie sum of Ten Dallars fine assessed as aforesaid togeiher with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered iliat said Defendant stand committed to ilie County Jail iill said fine and costs are paid in full.

Friday the 15 day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7307 State of Texas -vs- Louis DeMaucke } Retiring at Game Playg with Dice Friday May 15, 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: James D. Farmer and ten others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law. the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant not Guilty" James D. Farmer Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Louis DeMaucke go hence without day.

7166 State of Texas -vs- J. M. Hines } Deft's Motion for Continuance Friday May 15. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion for Continuance with affidavit in support thereof and the argument of Counsel

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts.

7166 State of Texas } Slander
-vs- } Friday May 15th 1891.
J. M. Hix }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: F. M. Leatherman and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not-guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged and assess his punishment at One Hundred ($100.00) Dollars, "fine".

F. M. Leatherman, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. M. Hix the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

with all costs thereon incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7192 State of Texas -vs- John Watts } Theft. Friday May 15. 1891.

Ordered by the Court that alias Capias issue for the Defendant herein returnable to the next term of this Court and that this case be continued.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same as follows viz:

7130 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson
Selling Wine, Beer & Whisky on Sunday

7134 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson & Victor Fuller
Selling Wine, Beer & Whisky on Sunday

7135 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson
Permitting Game of Faro Played in House under his Control

7138 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson
Permitting Game of Faro Played in House under his Control

7139 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson
Permitting Cards Playing & Gaming in House under his Control

7274 State of Texas -vs- Luke Short
Playing Cards Public Place

7275 State of Texas -vs- Luke Short
Permitting Game Faro Played in House under his Control.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 189 1

Friday the 15 day of May A. D. 189 1

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7276 State of Texas -vs- Luke Short
Permitting Gaming in House under his Control

7277 State of Texas -vs- Luke Short
Permitting Monte in House under his control

7279 State of Texas -vs- John Stewart
Selling Wine, Beer & Whisky on Sunday

7280 State of Texas -vs- John Stewart
Selling Wine, Beer & Whisky on Sunday.

7282 State of Texas -vs- John Stewart
Selling Wine, Beer & Whisky on Sunday

7283 State of Texas -vs- John Stewart
Selling Wine, Beer & Whisky on Sunday

7284 State of Texas -vs- John Stewart
Selling Wine, Beer & Whisky on Sunday,

7285 State of Texas -vs- John Stewart.
Selling Wine, Beer & Whisky on Sunday.

7286 State of Texas -vs- John Stewart
Selling Wine, Beer & Whisky on Sunday

7287 State of Texas -vs- John Stewart
Selling Wine, Beer & Whisky on Sunday.

7301 State of Texas -vs- Victor Foster
Keeping Open Place of Business for purpose of Traffic.

7317 State of Texas -vs- James Strong
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank for purpose of Gaming

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants above named go hence without day.

7304 State of Texas -vs- John Mumin } Selling, Wine, Beer & Whisky on Sunday, Friday May 15, 1891.

Continued by Consent

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Saturday May 16th 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Saturday the 16" day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

6970

State of Texas
-vs-
Sam Evans Jr

Aggravated assault
Saturday May 16" 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. H. Nanny and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty of aggravated assault & assess his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars $25.00

W. H. Nanny. Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Sam Evans Jr. the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7109

State of Texas
-vs-
Fred Munden

Adultery
Saturday May 16th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Robt Drumm and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:
"We the jury find the Defendant guilty of Adultery as charged in in the Indictment and assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars.

Robt Drumm Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Fred Munden the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Saturday the 16th day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7037 State of Texas -vs- Addie Viley } Theft. Saturday May 16. 1891.

Continued by Consent.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same as follows: viz:

7172 State of Texas -vs- Ike Christopher Disturbing the Peace

7174 State of Texas -vs- Cliff Sharp Disturbing the Peace.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the above Defendants go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday Morning at 9 o'clock,

Monday May 18. 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on Saturday

Now comes J. C. Richardson Sheriff and after being duly sworn according to law brings into open Court the following qualified jurors to wit: Ford Slocum, Frank Dills, W. B. Hale, J. W. Donald, J. L. Purvis, R. E. Bratton, B. F. Hunter, J. A. Lewis, E. Norman, C. Kline, C. A. Sandyard, T. A. Cuff, J. A. Jones, J. L. Mock, who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for this the third week of the May Term 1891 of the County Court.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7252 State of Texas
-vs-
Warren Jeffries

Permitting Faro Playing in Room under his Control.
Monday May 18". 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. L. Ownig and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $100.00

J. L. Owings. Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Warren Jeffries the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

5884 State of Texas -vs- Ed Muller } Forfeiture of Recognizance Friday May 15. 1891.

This day this cause was called for trial, and thereupon came the State of Texas by her County Attorney, but the Defendant Ed Muller failed to appear and answer in this behalf, and thereupon his name was called distinctly at the door of the Court House, and a reasonable time was given him, after such call was made in which to appear, yet the Defendant came not but wholly made default. And it appearing to the Court that the Defendant Ed Muller as principal together with J. Stiegel and W. J. Daugherty, as sureties did on to-wit the 22nd day of November 1889 enter into a recognizance payable to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Two Hundred Dollars conditioned that the said Defendant Ed Muller who stood charged in this Court with the offence of "Unlawfully on Sunday selling to one John Evans and to other persons to affiant unknown certain drinks of whiskey and beer, said Muller being then and there a retail liquor dealer" and who had been convicted of said offence in this Court, should appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals in this case. It is therefore considered by the Court that the State of Texas is entitled to a forfeiture of said recognizance, and it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Ed Muller as principal the sum

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

of Two Hundred Dollars and in like manner the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said F. Stiefel and M. J. Daughtery jointly and severally the sum of Two Hundred Dollars and that this judgment will be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear.

7252 State of Texas } Permitting Fine in Lemons under his Control
-vs- } Monday May 18. 1891.
Warren Jeffries

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: R. E. Bratton and six others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess the punishment at a fine of $75.00"

R. E. Bratton, Foreman.

It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Warren Jeffries the sum of Seventy five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 7252 said Defendant stand

Monday the 18 day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

commits to the County Jail till said fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7254 State of Texas -vs- Warren Jeffries } Permitting Jury in Recommend his Costs Monday May 18. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit E. Warner and five others who were duly elected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of not guilty and of former conviction herein. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the special plea untrue & finds the Defendant guilty as charged in Indictment and assess his fine at $25.00 E. Warner. Foreman.

It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Warren Jeffries the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause Nos 7252 & 7253 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Monday the 18th day of May A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7356 State of Texas -vs- Jim Tuck et al } Aggravated Assault
Monday May 18, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the suit of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants Jim Tuck, and Lottie Freeman go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Tuesday May 19th 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

7355 State of Texas -vs- Warren Jeffries } Permitting Fowls in Enclosure this Contrl
Tuesday May 19, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the suit of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit J. L. Mack and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty and of former conviction herein. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the

Tuesday the 19th day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Defendants plea not true, and find him guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess his fine in the amount of Forty five dollars ($45.)

J. L. Mack. Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Harmon Jeffries the sum of Forty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cause Nos 7252, 7253, & 7254 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

Alias & Continued

7357 State of Texas vs Pat T. Ryan
Aggravated Assault

7358 State of Texas -vs- John C. Ryan
Aggravated Assault

7359 State of Texas -vs- John C. Ryan
Aggravated Assault

7360 State of Texas -vs- John C. Ryan.
Aggravated Assault.

7361 State of Texas -vs- John C. Ryan
Aggravated Assault

7365 State of Texas vs. Clara Hudson
False Imprisonment

7366 State of Texas vs. Clara Hudson
False Imprisonment

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Wednesday May 20th 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 189 1

Wednesday the 20 day of May A. D. 189 1

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7004 State of Texas vs Thos E. Wade } Failure to Post Licence Wednesday May 20. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion to Quash the Information herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

7173 State of Texas -vs- B. A. Watkins } Occupation without License Wednesday May 20. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: B. A. Watkins and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

Chas A. Sandegard, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

recommending by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant B. A. Watkins go hence without day.

7126 State of Texas vs Mike Cassidy } Selling Liquor without License
Wednesday May 20, 1891,

It appearing to the Court that the Defendant has procured a license and paid all costs incurred herein it is therefore ordered by the Court that this case be dismissed,

7149 State of Texas -vs- H. L. Preston et al } Libel
Wednesday May 20, 1891,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Asa Thirring in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Chas A. Sandyord and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant more than entering his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court ~~the~~ and announced that they could not agree, and it appearing to the Court that said jury has been kept together for a sufficient length of time It is therefore ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged and that this case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7327 State of Texas -vs- Tom Curry } Permitting Gaming in House under his control
Wednesday May 20, 1891,
Continued by the State for absence of Taylor Johnson and Sol Adams

7350 State of Texas vs Albert Taylor } Theft
Wednesday May 20. 1891,
Alias Capias ordered and cause continued,

7353 State of Texas -vs- Mike Mann } Threatening to take Life of another
Wednesday May 20, 1891,
Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recommathing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Mike Mann go hence without day.

7367 State of Texas -vs- Ote Hampton } Selling Whiskey on Sunday
Wednesday May 20, 1891,
Continued by Consent,

7368 State of Texas vs John Stewart } Selling Whiskey on Sunday
Wednesday May 20, 1891,
Continued by Consent.

Wednesday the 20th day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7382 State of Texas vs Mike Ward } Theft. Wednesday May 20. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law was submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail ten days. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mike Ward the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

7150 State of Texas vs A. C. Kuen } Carrying Pistol Wednesday May 20. 1891.

Now coming the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant A. C Kuen go hence without day.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 189 1

Wednesday the 20" day of May A. D. 189 1

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7307 State of Texas -vs- Mike Mann } Deft's Motion for New Trial Wednesday May 20, 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then come on to be heard the Defendant's motion for a new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

7342 State of Texas -vs- John Johnson } Motion for New Trial Wednesday May 20, 1891,

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

7166 State of Texas -vs- J. M. Hix } Wednesday May 20, 1891,

Ordered by the Court that Defendant have leave to file Amended Motion for New Trial herein.

Wednesday the 20th day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7166 State of Texas -vs- J. M. Hix } Deft's Amended Motion for New Trial Wednesday May 20, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and the same on to be heard the Defendant's Amended Motion for a new trial thereon and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas. Recognizance of Defendant fixing by the Court at the sum of Three Hundred Dollars.

7166 State of Texas -vs- J. M. Hix } Recognizance Wednesday May 20, 1891.

This day came into open Court J. M. Hix, Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with J. H. Huffman and D. C. Trigg his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Three Hundred Dollars conditioned that the said J. M. Hix who stands charged in this Court with the offense of assaulting on the 27th day of February 1891 Orally, falsely, maliciously and wantonly impute to a female in this State to wit: Miss M. E. Hickerson a want of chastity to wit the said J. M. Hix did then and there in the presence and hearing of B. M. Hickerson and divers other

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

persons, falsely, maliciously and wantonly say of and concerning the said M. E. Dickerson "I thought you could see that thy (thereby meaning and intending M. E. Dickerson and Henderson Milliken) were riding all over the Country on their asses. I saw it and I thought you could see she was either on his ass or he was on hers" (thereby meaning and intending that the said M. E. Dickerson & Henderson Milliken had been guilty of a criminal intimacy with each other and that the said Henderson Milliken had had carnal intercourse with the said M. E. Dickerson) and that the said "M. E. Dickerson was pregnant" and that "she had a miscarriage" and that he had "heard of a young lady on the ground on a shawl or blanket and a young man on top of her" (thereby meaning and intending that he heard of the said M. E. Dickerson and Henderson Milliken having carnal intercourse with each other)" and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court shall appear before this Court from day to day and from time to time of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this Case.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Thursday May 21. 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

6797 State of Texas vs Bob Miles & Ida Smith } Fornication
Thursday May 21. 1891

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with

Thursday the 21st day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

the grand jurors herein duly and legally empaneled and sworn into open court for the body of Tarrant County, Texas, at the May Term, A. D. 1891, of the District Court of said County, and who for said County of Tarrant and State of Texas, upon their oaths present in and to said District Court that Bob Miller and Ida Smith on the 21st day of May A. D. 1891, in the County of Tarrant and State of Texas, did then and there unlawfully sell intoxicating liquor to one Warren Jeffries, and that said sale was not made for medicinal, sacramental or mechanical purposes, but was made as a beverage, and that said sale was made by said Bob Miller and Ida Smith in a local option precinct, to-wit: Precinct No. 1 of Tarrant County, Texas, in which the sale of intoxicating liquor as a beverage was prohibited by law, and that said sale was made by said Bob Miller and Ida Smith without having first procured a license from the Commissioners Court of Tarrant County, Texas, authorizing them to sell intoxicating liquor as a beverage in said Precinct No. 1 of Tarrant County, Texas, and that said sale was made by said Bob Miller and Ida Smith without having first procured a license from the County Judge

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State of Texas and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same viz:

7257 State of Texas -vs- Warren Jeffries
Permitting Faro played in House under his control

7258 State of Texas -vs- Warren Jeffries
Permitting Gaming in House under his control

7259 State of Texas -vs- Warren Jeffries
Permitting Gaming in House under his control.

7260 State of Texas -vs- Warren Jeffries
Permitting Gaming in House under his control,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

7277 State of Texas -vs- Chas Edwards } Theft. Thursday May 21, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the trying of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Fifteen Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail ten days. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas Edwards the sum of Fifteen Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and until the fine and costs are paid in full

7382 State of Texas -vs- Martin Hickman } Aggravated Assault. Thursday May 21. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Martin Hickman the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 oclock

Friday May 22nd 1891 Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same viz:

7212 State of Texas -vs- W. T. Madison alias J. M. Hamilton
Theft

7378 State of Texas -vs- Nancy Johnson
Aggravated Assault.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

Alias & Continued

7369 State of Texas -vs- Louis Morris
Disturbance of the Peace

7370 State of Texas -vs- James Taylor
Disturbance of the Peace.

7371 State of Texas -vs- James Taylor
Drunk in Public Place

7377 State of Texas -vs- Nat Kramer } Permitting Fare to play in House under his control
Friday May 22, 1891.
Continued by Consent.

7373 State of Texas -vs- O. M. Kunn } Carrying Pistol
Friday May 22, 1891.
Continued by Consent.

7388 State of Texas -vs- W. Eichorne } Aggravated Assault
Friday May 22. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced

Friday the 22nd day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

ready for trial a jury being obtained the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. Etchinson the sum of Twenty fin dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7384 State of Texas -vs- Caroline Miller } Abusive Language
Friday May 22, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. A. Lewis and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered her plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury according to the evidence

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Friday the 22" day of May A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

find the Defendant not guilty.

J. A. Lewis. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Carlena Miller go hence without day.

7385 State of Texas -vs- Jas Smith } Theft. Friday May 22. 1891.

Continued by Consent.

7215 State of Texas -vs- Ed Adams } Theft Friday May 22. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting in the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. L. Purvis and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty Thereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at 7907 ninety days in jail.

J. L. Purvis. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ninety days and until all costs herein incurred are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Friday the 22nd day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Ordered that Court do adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Saturday May 23. 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

7338 State of Texas -vs- John Clark, et al } Giving Fighting Performance without Paying Inc. Saturday May 23, 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant John Clark in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Frank Dillo and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty of said charge. Frank Dillo, Foreman. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Clark go hence without day.

7379 State of Texas vs John Finch } Aggravated Assault. Saturday May 23, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Fiedl the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

7380 State of Texas -vs- John Fiedl } Aggravated Assault. Saturday May 23. 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Fiedl go hence without day,

7386 State of Texas -vs- McGinty } Driving at Large Saturday May 23, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Saturday the 23rd day of May A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant "McGinty" the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7387 State of Texas -vs- Jno C. Carlin } Aggravated Assault. Saturday May 23, 1891.

It is ordered by the Court that the order heretofore made forfeiting the Bond of Defendant be and the same is hereby set aside and for naught held and this case continued on account of the sickness of Defendant.

7376 State of Texas -vs- Ed Holcomb } Aggravated assault Saturday May 23. 1891.

Alias Capias ordered and cause continued.

Monday June 1, 1891 Court in session present as on first day of term.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Monday the 1 day of June A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

6970 State of Texas -vs- Sam Evans Jr } Dft's Motion in Arrest of Judgment Monday June 1, 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and the same on to be heard the Defendant's motion in arrest of judgment, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

6970 State of Texas -vs- Sam Evans Jr } Dft's Motion for New Trial Monday June 1, 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for new trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore ordering by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled.

6775 State of Texas -vs- Will Johnson } Rioting at a Game with Dice Tuesday May 26, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived

the day of A. D. 189

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

ilie waiving of fact as well as of law was submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ikie Johnson the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6775 State of Texas -vs- Ikie Johnson } Docket at Same Court this Date Thursday May 28, 1891.

It is ordered by the Court that the order heretofore made on May 7, 1891 forfeiting the Bond of said Defendant be and the same is hereby set aside and for naught held.

Wednesday June 3, 1891 Court in session present as on first day of Term.

7389 State of Texas -vs- Harry Hamill } Aggravated Assault. Wednesday June 3, 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 189 1

Wednesday the 3" day of June A. D. 189 1

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Harry Harris go hence without day,

Saturday June 13" 1891 Court in session present as on first day of Term.

7404 State of Texas } Peddling without License
-vs- } Saturday June 13, 1891.
J. Gaile

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdraws his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Two Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. Gaile the sum of Two Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Monday June 15. 1891 Court in session present as on First day of Term.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Monday the 15 day of June A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7431 State of Texas -vs- J. G. Baker } Carrying Pistol
Monday June 15, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assess his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. G. Baker the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

Friday June 19th 1891. Court in session present as on First day of Term.

7439 State of Texas -vs- Burnett Wilson } Aggravated Assault
Friday June 19, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitting to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assess his

punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Burrell Wilson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7411 State of Texas. } Convicted at Gambling Dice
-vs- } Friday June 19, 1891.
Burrell Wilson }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant upon his arraignment his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Burrell Wilson the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 7439 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

Friday the 19th day of June A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7418 State of Texas -vs- Charley McCrary } Driving at Crafts Friday June 19. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charley McCrary the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Monday June 22nd 1891. Court in session present as on first day of Term.

7436 State of Texas -vs- Mrs Frank Thompson } Injuring Public Building Monday June 22. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew her plea of guilty. Thereupon the

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Monday the 22nd day of June A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mrs Frank Thompson the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Tuesday June 23rd 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

7435 Ex Parte Vick Coleman } Habeas Corpus.
Tuesday June 23, 1891.

This day came on to be heard the above entitled cause, came the City of Fort Worth by her attorney also came the Defendant in his own proper person and by attorney, and the evidence and argument of Counsel being heard by the Court and the Court being fully advised in the premises is of opinion that the law is for said City. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said application be refused and that the Defendant be and he is hereby remanded to the custody of the City Marshal.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Friday the 3rd day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7546 Ex Parte Harry C Chapman } Friday July 3rd 1891

This day coming on to be heard the Relators application for Writ of Habeas Corpus, came the said Harry C Chapman in person and by Attorney, also came the Respondent the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, and the State of Texas by County Attorney. And the Case being fully heard and considered by the Court, it is ordered and adjudged that the Relator Harry C Chapman be remanded to the custody of the said Sheriff until he shall have made Bond in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars payable and conditioned as required by law, and said Relator Harry C Chapman excepts

7547 Ex Parte Ben Abrams } Friday July 3rd 1891

This day coming on to be heard the Relators Application for Writ of Habeas Corpus, came the said Ben Abrams in person and by Attorney, also came the Respondent the Sheriff of Tarrant County, Texas, and the State of Texas by County Attorney. And the Case being fully heard and considered by the Court. It is ordered and adjudged that the Relator Ben Abrams be remanded to the Custody of the said Sheriff until he shall have made Bond in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars payable and conditioned as required by law. And the said Relator Ben Abrams excepts

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Friday the 3rd day of July A. D. 1891

Bank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Ex Parte } Friday June 5th 1891
Jack Dennis }

This day came on to be heard the application of the County Attorney that the further execution of the Judgment rendered in this cause be for the present suspended. And the same being heard is by the Court sustained. Further ordered that said Defendant be released from custody.

7004 State of Texas } Failure to Post License
-vs- } Monday May 4th 1891.
Thos E. Wade }

This day the above cause being called for trial, came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and the Defendant being called under due forms of law came not but wholly made default, and it appearing to the Court that the Defendant on the 16th day of January 1891, charged by Information with the offense of "On the 15th day of January 1891 did then and there unlawfully engage in follow and pursue the occupation of selling mact liquors in quantities less than one quart the said occupation being then and there taxable by law without posting and keeping posted in a conspicuous place in his place of business his occupation license for the year due the said State and County, the amount of said occupation tax then and there due by him to the said State being the sum of One Hundred and fifty dollars and the amount of said taxes on said occupation due to said County and theretofore duly levied by the Commissioners Court of said County being

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

the sum of Sixty five dollars" after having duly arrested by the Sheriff of Tarrant County on a capias issued out of the office of the County Clerk of Tarrant County Texas did as principal enter into Bond with Nat Kramer and W. H. Ward as his sureties in the sum of Five Hundred dollars jointly and severally payable to the State of Texas conditional that the said Thos E. Wade should personally appear before the County Court of Tarrant County on the Instanter and there remain from day to day and from term to term and not depart from thence until discharged by due course of law then and there to answer said above described charge, and whereas afterwards to wit: at a regular term of the County Court of Tarrant County begun and holden at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in May A.D. 1891 the said Thos E. Wade after being called under due forms of law to appear and answer the charge aforesaid, wholly made default. It was therefore considered and adjudged by our County Court on the 4th day of May 1891 that the Bond aforesaid be declared forfeited. and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Thos E. Wade as Principal and Nat Kramer and W. H. Ward as his sureties jointly and severally the aforesaid sum of Five Hundred Dollars together with all costs of the prosecution and this judgment be made final unless good cause be shown at the next term of this Court why said Defendant did not appear. And it is further ordered

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, May Term, 1891

Monday the 4 day of May A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

by the Court that Citation issue commanding them the said Nat Kramer and W. K. Wade to appear at the next term of the County Court to be holden within and for the County of Tarrant State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth on the First Monday in July 1891 then and there to show cause if any they can why this judgment should not be made final. Further ordered that alias capias issue for the Defendant returnable to the next term of the Court and this this case be continued.

7004 State of Texas vs Jno E. Wade } Motion to set aside Forfeiture of Bond Thursday July 2. 1891.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and the Defendant appearing in his own proper person, and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion to set aside forfeiture of Appearance Bond herein, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas. Further ordering that Defendant have ten days after adjournment of this term of Court in which to prepare and file Statement of Facts in this case.

Saturday July 4th 1891

Ordering that Court do now adjourn till Court in course.

W. D. Harris.
County Judge.

Attest

Jno. E. King,
Clerk County Court Tarrant Co. Texas

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Monday the 6th day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in July A. D. 1891, same being the 6th day of July A. D. 1891, there was begun and holden a regular Term of the County Court within and for the County of Tarrant, State of Texas, at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth. Present- Honorable W. D. Harris, County Judge presiding, O. W. Gillespie County Attorney, John R Knig, County Clerk and J. C Richardson Sheriff of said County when and where the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court, to-wit;

— Filed —

It appearing to the Court that the Sheriff has been unable to make arrests of the Defendants in the following cases, it is ordered that said cases be Filed until such time as the arrests can be made

6318 State of Texas -vs- Geo. Davis
Exhibiting

6518 State of Texas -vs- Bill Smith
Aggravated Assault

6519 State of Texas -vs- Bill Smith
Carrying a Pistol

6635 State of Texas -vs- Bill Moore
Carrying a Pistol

6727 State of Texas -vs- Joe Keys
Aggravated Assault

6801 State of Texas -vs- John Witcher et al
Adultery

6825 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Sikes
Malicious Mischief

6999 State of Texas -vs- Hattie Norton
Assault & Battery

7002 State of Texas -vs- Bruno Franks
Selling Liquor without License

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Monday the 6th day of July A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7016 State of Texas -vs- John Witcher et ae
Adultery

7086 State of Texas -vs- Amber Wolf
Playing Cards in Public Place

7088 State of Texas -vs- Gertie Cann
Playing Cards in Public Place

7295 State of Texas -vs- Lampasas Bob
Betting at Faro.

7296 State of Texas -vs- Lampasas Bob
Betting at Faro.

7313 State of Texas -vs- Free
Playing Cards in Public Place

7314 State of Texas -vs- Dock
Exhibiting

Alias Instanter

Ordered by the Court that Alias Capias returnable instanter be issued for the Defendants in the following cases, to-wit:

7415 State of Texas -vs- Lewis Perkins
Betting at craps

7416 State of Texas -vs- Chas. Montgomery
Betting at craps

7417 State of Texas -vs- Joe Moseley
Betting at craps

7525 State of Texas -vs- Sherman Brim
Betting at Dice

-Continued By Consent-

Ordered by the Court that the following cases be continued by consent of parties.

6542 State of Texas -vs- John Moss
Theft

7168 State of Texas -vs- Mitch Walton
Carrying a Pistol

7315 State of Texas -vs- Frank Fawcett
Betting

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Monday the 6th day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7392 State of Texas -vs- Nat Kramer
Permitting Faro

— Continued By the State —
Ordered that the following cases be continued at the instance of the State to-wit;

7368 State of Texas -vs- John Stewart
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

7395 State of Texas -vs- M. L. Estell
Slander

7409 State of Texas -vs- Jesse Jackson
Carrying a Pistol

7420 State of Texas -vs- Cal Smith
Betting at Dice

7523 State of Texas -vs- Pete Sargeant
Aggravated Assault

7537
7538 State of Texas -vs- Os. Bates
Permitting Mute

— Alias 2nd Continued —
Ordered by the Court that Alias Capias issue in the following cases once that same be continued for the Term.

6524 State of Texas -vs- Minnie Bates
Aggravated Assault

6757 State of Texas -vs- Ed Johnson
Selling Beer on Sunday

6808 State of Texas -vs- J. W. Wilson
Playing Cards in an out house

6822 State of Texas -vs- Bob Tucker
Aggravated Assault

6965 State of Texas -vs- Bill Andrews
Aggravated Assault

6972 State of Texas -vs- Albert Oglesby
Assault & Battery

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 189 1

Monday the 6th day of July A. D. 189 1

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7007 State of Texas -vs- Mrs. Runyan
Aggravated Assault

7010 State of Texas -vs- Bill Adkins
Aggravated Assault

7011 State of Texas -vs- Elzy Isham
Carrying a Pistol

7018 State of Texas -vs- Hugh Delzier
Playing Cards in Public Place

7023 State of Texas -vs- Hugh Delzier
Carrying a Pistol

7052 State of Texas -vs- Chas Warner
& Exhibiting
7053

7083 State of Texas -vs- Dan Whitesides
Selling Beer on Sunday

7319 State of Texas -vs- Frank Williams
Betting at Dice

7321 State of Texas -vs- Con Knies
Carrying a Pistol

7325 State of Texas -vs- Andy Wilson
Aggravated Assault

7327 State of Texas -vs- Bob Maurice
Abusive Language

7340 State of Texas -vs- Charles Hawkins
Aggravated Assault

7370 State of Texas -vs- James Taylor
Disturbing the Peace

7371 State of Texas -vs- James Taylor
Drunk in Public Place

— Nolle Prosequi —

And now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the Papers herein, says he will no further prosecute the following causes, to-wit;

6344 State of Texas -vs- Tom Owens
Betting

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Monday the 6th day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

6937 State of Texas -vs- John Linmer
Selling Liquor on Sunday

7110 State of Texas -vs- Laura Ann Howell
Adultery

7148 State of Texas -vs- W. Albright
Warranty Killing a dog

7304 State of Texas -vs- John Murrin
Selling Wine on Sunday

7385 State of Texas -vs- Joe Smith
Theft

7391 State of Texas -vs- Lon Davis
Theft

7392 State of Texas -vs- John Madery
Assault & Battery

7393 State of Texas -vs- Bob Berry
Theft

7397 State of Texas -vs- Tom Reedy
Swindling

7399 State of Texas -vs- Pete Stranstaan
Aggravated Assault

7405 State of Texas -vs- Pedro Rodriquiz alias Mexican Pete
Playing Cards in Pub. Place

7408 State of Texas -vs- Bob Fowler
Aggravated Assault

7412 State of Texas -vs- Burrell Wilson
Betting at Craps

7424 State of Texas -vs- Ben Bennett
Exhibiting

7427 State of Texas -vs- Frank Garrett
Exhibiting

7430 State of Texas -vs- J. Graves
Aggravated Assault

7496 State of Texas -vs- E L Thielman
Selling on Sunday

7410 State of Texas -vs- Burrell Wilson
Betting at Dice

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of said causes and that said Defendants go hence without day.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. B. Austin the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

7451 State of Texas -vs- J. B. Austin } Tuesday July 7th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant J. B. Austin in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No Jury being demanded the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. B. Austin the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of Fine and costs in Cause No. 7450; said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till Fine and costs in

Tuesday the 7th day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

this cause are satisfied in full.

7452 State of Texas -vs- J. B. Austin } Tuesday July 7th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant J. B. Austin in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant J. B. Austin now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. B. Austin the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein accrued for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after satisfaction of sentences in causes 7450 and 7451, said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till fine and costs in this cause are satisfied in full.

7453 State of Texas -vs- J. B. Austin } Tuesday July 7th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant J. B. Austin in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 189 1

Monday the 6th day of July. A. D. 189 1

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7369

State of Texas } Disturbing the Peace
-vs- } Monday July 6th 1891
Louis Morris }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No Jury being demanded the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Louis Morris the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7413

State of Texas } Betting at Craps
-vs- } Monday July 6th 1891
Henry Gray }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Henry Gray in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No Jury being demanded the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Monday the 6th day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Jno the Defendant Henry Gray the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf accrued for which said Fine and costs let execution issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

7414 State of Texas -vs- Jno Burris } Monday July 6th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State, also came the Defendant Jno Burris in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No Jury being demanded the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in his own proper person entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jno Burris the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs let Execution issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

7419 State of Texas -vs- Sec. Ponce } Monday July 6th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Sec Ponce in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No Jury being demanded the matters of fact

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assed his punishment at a fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ben. Price the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid to-gether with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs let Execution issue. Further ordered that said Defen-dant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

7425 State of Texas -vs- Ben Bennett } Monday July 6th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Ben Bennett in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial No Jury being demanded the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $12.50. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Defen-dant State of Texas do have and re-cover of and from the Defendant Ben Bennett the sum of Twelve & 50/100 Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs let execution issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Monday the 6th day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7428

State of Texas
-vs-
Frank Garrett

Monday July 6th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant Frank Garrett in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No Jury being demanded the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $12.50. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Garrett, the sum of Twelve and 50/100 Dollars with interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent per annum together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

7434

State of Texas
-vs-
Ollie Bowles

Monday July 6th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Ollie Bowles in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No Jury being demanded the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the Plaintiff the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Monday the 6th day of July A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Defendant Ollie Bowles the sum of Twenty-five dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf accrued for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stance committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

7531 State of Texas -vs- James Hemphill } Monday July 6th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No Jury being demanded, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $12.50. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant James Hemphill the sum of Twelve & 50/100 Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said Fine and costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning 9 o'clock July 7th 1891, Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Tuesday the 7th day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7217

State of Texas
-vs-
A. C. McKeen

Tuesday July 7th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant A. C. McKeen in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being demanded there came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; Web Ditto and five others who were duly selected empannelled and sworn according to law. And the said Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty

Web Ditto Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this suit and that the Defendant A. C. McKeen go hence without day.

7390

State of Texas
-vs-
Hernan Diaz

Tuesday July 7th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being demanded there came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; O. B. Jordan and five others who were duly elected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the said Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the

Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict, to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendant guilty of aggravated assault and assess his punishment at a fine of $25.00

O. B. Jordan Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Herman Diaz the sum of Twenty-five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended for which said Fine and costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that ~~Execution~~ issue for the said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

7394 State of Texas -vs- John Bell } Tuesday July 7th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant John Bell in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being demanded, there came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; O. B. Jordan and five others who were duly elected, empannelled and sworn according to law. And the said Jury after hearing the evidence and arguments of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict, to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendant not Guilty

O. B. Jordan Foreman.

Tuesday the 7th day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this cause and that the Defendant John Bell go hence without day.

7406

State of Texas
-vs-
Bob Fowler
} Tuesday July 7th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Bob Fowler in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; Webb Ditto and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law. And the said Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict, to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendant not Guilty

Webb Ditto Foreman"

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this cause and that the Defendant Bob Fowler go hence without day

7450

State of Texas
-vs-
J. B. Austin
} Tuesday July 7th 1891

This day came the prose-cuting attorney representing the pleas of the State also came the Defendant J. B. Austin in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. B. Austin the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs let execution issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the sentences in Causes 7450-7451-7452 that said Defen= =dant stand committed to the County Jail till Fine and costs herein are paid in full.

7459 State of Texas -vs- Joe Richards } Tuesday July 7th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Joe Richards in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Joe Richards the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand Committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Tuesday the 7th day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7460

State of Texas
-vs-
Joe Richards
} Tuesday July 7th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Joe Richards in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Joe Richards the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after satisfaction of the sentence imposed in Cause No. 7459 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

7461

State of Texas
-vs-
Joe Richards
} Tuesday July 7th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Joe Richards in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

and recover of and from the Defendant Joe Richards the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of sentences in cases 7459 and 7460 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

7536 State of Texas -vs- Harry Chapman et al } Tuesday July 7th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendants Harry Chapman and Ben Abrams in their own proper persons and all parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; Web Ditto and five others who were duly elected, empannelled and sworn according to law. And the said Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict, to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendants not Guilty

Web Ditto Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this cause and that the Defendants Harry Chapman and Ben Abrams go hence without day

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Tuesday the 7th day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Wednesday morning 9 o'clock July 8th 1891, Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

7204 State of Texas -vs- Tom Ryan } Wednesday July 8th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Tom Ryan in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: S. M. Furman and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law. And the said Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict, to-wit:

"We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars ($20.00)

S. M. Furman Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Ryan the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Wednesday the 8th day of July A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7401 State of Texas -vs- W. L. Fuller } Wednesday July 8th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant W. L. Fuller in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: S. M. Furman and five others who were duly elected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Defendant now here enters his plea of not Guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendant not Guilty"

S. M. Furman Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this cause and that the Defendant W. L. Fuller go hence without day.

7403 State of Texas -vs- Phillip Harrell } Wednesday July 8th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Phillip Harrell in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; Web Ditto and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Defendant

Wednesday the 8th day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

my here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendant not Guilty

Bob Ditto Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this cause and that the Defendant Phillip Harrell go hence without day.

7407

State of Texas
-vs-
Bob Fowler
} Wednesday July 8th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Bob Fowler in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; S. M. Furman and five others who were duly elected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after making deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict, to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendant not Guilty

S. M. Furman Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

nothing by reason of this cause and that the Defendant Bob Fowler go hence without day

7432 State of Texas -vs- Charley Best } Wednesday July 8th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Charley Best in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; W. A. Cox and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the Jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict, to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at 30 days in the County Jail

W. A. Cox Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the Defendant Charley Best do stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days and till all costs herein accrued have been paid in full.

7443 State of Texas -vs- Bob Waggoner } Wednesday July 8th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties

Wednesday the 8th day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his Plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Waggoner the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs let execution issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

7444

State of Texas
-vs-
Bob Waggoner

Wednesday July 8th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Bob Waggoner in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Waggoner the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of sentence in cause No. 7443. said Defendant stand committed

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

to the County Jail till Fine and Costs herein are paid in full

7448 State of Texas -vs- Bob. Waggoner } Wednesday July 8th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Bob Waggoner in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Waggoner the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and Costs let execution issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of sentences imposed in causes No's. 7443 and 7444 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

7449 State of Texas -vs- Bob Waggoner } Wednesday July 8th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Bob Waggoner in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of

Wednesday the 8th day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Waggoner the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs let execution issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the sentences imposed in causes Nos. 7443, 7444, and 7448 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till Fine and costs herein are paid in full.

7519

State of Texas
-vs-
Bob Waggoner } Wednesday July 8th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Bob Waggoner in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Waggoner the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of sentences imposed in causes Nos 7443, 7444, 7448 and 7449, said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till Fine and costs herein are paid in full

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Wednesday the 8th day of July A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7482. State of Texas -vs- Charley Baker } Wednesday July 8th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Charley Baker in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charley Baker the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said fine and costs let execution issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7483 State of Texas -vs- Charley Baker } Wednesday July 8th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Charley Baker in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant

Wednesday the 8th day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Charley Baker the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the sentence imposed in cause No. 7482 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till Fine and costs herein are paid in full.

7484 State of Texas -vs- Charley Baker } Wednesday July 8th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a Fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charley Baker the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of sentences imposed in causes Nos 7482 & 7483 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till Fine and costs herein are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Wednesday the 8th day of July A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7495

State of Texas
-vs-
E L. Thielman

Wednesday July 8th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant E L. Thielman in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty: Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant E L. Thielman the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock A

Thursday morning 9 o'clock July 9th 1891, Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

7381

State of Texas
-vs-
Andrew Bassant

Thursday July 9th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit;

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

S. M. Furman and five others who were duly elected, empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict, to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendant guilty of Simple assault and assess his punishment at a fine of five dollars ($5)

S. M. Furman Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Andrew Bassant the sum of Five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

7387 State of Texas -vs- Jno. O Carlin } Thursday July 9th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Jno. O Carlin in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; W O Estill and five others who were duly selected, empanelled and sworn according to law, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict, to-wit;

"We the Jury find the defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a Fine of One hundred dollars.

O. O. Estill Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jno. O. Darin the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stance committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock

Friday morning 9 o'clock July 10th 1891 Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

7322 State of Texas -vs- Jno Curry } Friday July 10th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Jno Curry in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; O. B. Jordan and his others who were duly selected, empanneled and

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

sworn according to law, and the Defen-
-dant now here enters his Plea of Not
Guilty, whereupon the Jury after hearing the
evidence and argument of Counsel and
receiving the Charge of the Court retired
to consider of their Verdict and after
mature deliberation returned into Open
Court the following verdict, to-wit:"
"We the Jury find the Defendant
not guilty

O. B. Jordan Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged
by the Court that the State of Texas take
nothing by reason of this cause and that
the Defendant Tom Curry go hence
without day.

7398

State of Texas
-vs-
John Orr et al

Thursday July 9th 1891

This day came the County
Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State
also came the Defendant Pat Carvel
in his own proper person and both parties
announced ready for trial. Thereupon
came a Jury of good and lawful men
to-wit: O. B. Jordan and five others who
were duly Selected, empanneled and
sworn according to law, and the Defen-
-dant Pat Carvel now here enters his
Plea of Not Guilty, whereupon the Jury
after hearing the evidence and argument
of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the
Court retired to consider of their verdict
and after mature deliberation returned into
Open Court the following verdict, to-wit;
"We the Jury find the Defendant
Pat Carvel not Guilty

O. B. Jordan Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

by the Court that the State of Texas take Nothing by reason of this prosecution as to the Defendant Pat Carrell and that said Defendant go hence without day

7437

State of Texas
-vs-
Charley Kern } Thursday July 9th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Charley Kern in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; S. M. Furman and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Defendant in here entered his Plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict, to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendant Not Guilty

S. M. Furman Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take Nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Charley Kern go hence without day

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock

Friday morning 9 o'clock July 10th 1891
Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Friday the 10th day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7476

State of Texas
-vs-
Meredith Guinn

Friday July 10th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant Meredith Guinn in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: W. D. Matney and five others who were duly elected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict, to-wit:

"We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty

W. D. Matney Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Meredith Guinn go hence without day

7534

State of Texas
-vs-
George Eagle

Friday July 10th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant George Eagle in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: Wel Ditto and five others who were duly elected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Defendant now here entered his Plea of

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Not Guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their Verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict, to-wit:
"We the Jury find Defendant not guilty
Web Ditto Foreman
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take Nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant George Eagle go hence without day.

7535 State of Texas -vs- John Eagle } Friday July 10th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant John Eagle in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; Web Ditto and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and Argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict; to-wit;
"We the Jury find Defendant not Guilty
Web Ditto Foreman
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take Nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Eagle go hence

Friday the 10th day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

without day

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday morning at 9 o'clock

Monday morning 9 o'clock July 13th 1891
Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on Friday last.

7192 State of Texas -vs- John Watts } Monday July 13th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant John Watts in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; W. T. Allen and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law. and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty". Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendant not Guilty

W. T. Allen Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Watts go hence without day

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Monday the 13th day of July A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Tuesday morning 9 o'clock July 14th 1891
Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

7308 State of Texas
7309 -vs-
Joe Wheat } Tuesday July 14th 1891

Continued By State for absence of witness Burford.

7350 State of Texas
-vs-
Albert Taylor } Tuesday July 14th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant Albert Taylor in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: P. M. House and five others who were duly elected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Defendant now here entered his Plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his penalty at Twenty-five Dollars fine

P. M. House Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Albert Taylor the sum of

Tuesday the 14th day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Twenty-five Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and Costs are paid in full.

7357

State of Texas
-vs-
Pat T. Ryan

Tuesday July 14th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant Pat T. Ryan in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; P. M. House and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Defendant now here entered his Plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after due deliberation returned into Court and announced that they could not agree upon a verdict and it appearing to the Court that the Jury have been kept together for a sufficient length of time and cannot agree. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said Jury be discharged and that this cause stand for trial in regular order upon the Docket of this Court.

7400

State of Texas
-vs-
Tom Tatum

Tuesday July 14th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Tuesday the 14th day of July A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

also came the Defendant Tom Tatum in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; W. T. Alen and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after making deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict, to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendant- Guilty as charged in the Information and assess his punishment at 30 days in County Jail

W. T. Alen Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the said Defendant Tom Tatum stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days and till all costs herein accrued have been paid in full.

7402

State of Texas
-vs-
Bill Duff

Tuesday July 14th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Bill Duff in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant Not Guilty

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Tuesday the 14th day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas take nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Bill Kuff go hence without day.

7548

State of Texas
-vs-
George Johnson

Tuesday July 14th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant George Johnson in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men, to-wit; P. M. House and five others who were duly selected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Defendant now here entered his Plea of Not Guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature de=liberation returned into Open Court the following verdict, to-wit;

"We the Jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess the penalty at a fine of $10.00

P. M. House Foreman

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defen=dant George Johnson the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf expended both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said Fine and costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that said defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Tuesday the 14th day of July A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7551

State of Texas
-vs-
A. L. Fox

Tuesday July 14th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant A. L. Fox in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 and 30 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant A. L. Fox the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said fine and costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7557

State of Texas
-vs-
John Moore

Tuesday July 14th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant John Moore in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Tuesday the 14th day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

the Defendant John Moore the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said fine and costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

7558

State of Texas
-vs-
John Moore
} Tuesday July 14th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant John Moore in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his Plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Moore the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf accrued for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of sentence imposed in cause No. 7557 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs herein are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock

Wednesday morning 9 o'clock July 15th 1891
Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Wednesday the 15th day of July A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7560 State of Texas -vs- Will Holman } Wednesday July 15th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant Will Holman in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punish=ment at a fine of $25.00 and 10 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will Holman the sum of Twenty-five Dol=lars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten days and till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

7498 State of Texas -vs- Dolly Love } Wednesday July 22nd 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Dolly Love in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her Plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover

Wednesday the 22nd day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

of and from the Defendant Dolly Love the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said fine and costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

7499 State of Texas -vs- Madam Porter } Wednesday July 22nd 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant Madam Porter in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered her plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Madam Porter the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

7500 State of Texas -vs- L. F. Duval } Wednesday July 22nd 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant L. F. Duval in her own proper person

and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered her Plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant L. F. Duval the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid to-gether with all costs in this behalf accrued for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

7520 State of Texas -vs- Hazel Kirk } Wednesday July 22nd 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant Hazel Kirk in her own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered her plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Hazel Kirk the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Saturday the 25th day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7497 State of Texas -vs- Reuben Rucker } Saturday July 25th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant Reuben Rucker in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00 and 10 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Reuben Rucker the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail ~~from~~ the full period of Ten days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7566 State of Texas -vs- Bob Harmon } Saturday July 25th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant Bob Harmon in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 and 20 days in Jail. It is therefore

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

consideree and adjudgeed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Hamm the sum of Twenty Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the space of Twenty days and till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7568 State of Texas -vs- Will Mathis } Monday July 27th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant Will Mathis in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No Jury being demanded the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his Plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will Mathis the sum of Twenty-five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf accrued for which said Fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Tuesday the 28th day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7367

State of Texas
-vs-
Pete Strampsher

Tuesday July 28th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant Pete Strampsher in his own proper person both parties announced ready for trial. No Jury being demanded the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his Plea of "Not Guilty". Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant Guilty and assesses his punishment at a Fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Pete Strampsher the sum of Twenty-five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full. Defendant excepts and in Open Court gives Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas

7585

State of Texas
-vs-
Mose Clark

Tuesday August 4th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant Mose Clark in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Moze Clark the sum of Twenty-five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7522 State of Texas -vs- J. A. Dixon } Thursday August 6th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant J. A. Dixon in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. A. Dixon the sum of Twenty-five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full

Friday the 7th day of August A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7550 State of Texas -vs- Chas. Neimeyer } Friday August 7th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant Chas. Neimeyer in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Not Guilty" whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty of Simple Assault and assesses his punishment at a fine of $10.00 It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas. Neimeyer the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

7587 State of Texas -vs- Ollie Darnell } Monday August 10th 1891

This day came the County Attorney Prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant Ollie Darnell in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of Guilty, whereupon

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

the Court assesses her punishment at a fine of $5.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ollie Darnell the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

7588 State of Texas -vs- Caroline Manning } Monday August 10th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant Caroline Manning in her own proper person and both parties announce ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters her plea of Guilty, whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $5.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Caroline Manning the sum of Ten Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued, for which said Fine and costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full

Monday the 10th day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7586 State of Texas -vs- D. P. Pickett } Monday August July 10th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant D. P. Pickett in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. No Jury being demanded the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant D. P. Pickett the sum of Twenty-five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued. For which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7576 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Thomas } Wednesday August 12th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant J. D. Thomas in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

J. D. Thomas the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

7592 State of Texas -vs- Doc Johnson } Wednesday August 12th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant Doc. Johnson in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 and 5 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Doc. Johnson the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Five days and until said Fine and costs are paid in full

7590 State of Texas -vs- C C. McNalley } Wednesday August 12th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant C C McNalley in his own proper person and both parties

Wednesday the 12" day of July A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matters of facts well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of "Guilty" whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $20.00 and 5 days in Jail. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant C. C. McNalley the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs Execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of five days and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7593

State of Texas
-vs-
Thos. W. Knig } Thursday July 13" 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State and came the Defendant Thos. W. Knig in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $5.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Thos. W. Knig the sum of Five Dollars together with all costs herein accrued for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Monday the 17th day of August A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7599

State of Texas
-vs-
Frea Miller

Monday August 17th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Frea Miller in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frea Miller the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full

7600

State of Texas
-vs-
Ed Harridge

Monday August 17th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Ed Harridge in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A Jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed. Harridge the sum of Ten

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Monday the 17th day of August A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Dollars Fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

7601 State of Texas -vs- Pierce Parks } Monday August 17th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the Pleas of the State also came the Defendant Pierce Parks in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $10.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Pierce Parks the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

7584 State of Texas -vs- Geo. Younger } Monday August 17th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Geo. Younger in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. A jury

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

being waived the matters the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in here entered his plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo. Younger the sum of Twenty-five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7594 State of Texas -vs- Clara Vaughn } Monday August 17th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Clara Vaughn in her own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial A Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in her entered her Plea of Guilty whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of $25.00. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Clara Vaughn the sum of Twenty-five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein accrued for which said Fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, July Term, 1891

Monday the 17th day of August A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7432

State of Texas
-vs-
Charley Best

Tuesday July 14th 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State Also came the Defendant Charley Best in person and by Attorney, and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a New Trial herein. And the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the Defendant. It is therefore ordered that said Motion be and the same is Overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in Open Court gives Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeales of the State of Texas. And the Court now here fixes the Recognizance at the sum of Two Hundred and fifty Dollars.

7432

State of Texas
-vs-
Charley Best

Tuesday July 21st 1891

This day came into Open Court Charley Best, defendant in the above entitled cause, who, together with Wm Lahey, W. A. Mims, B. L. Waggoman and J. S. Davis, his sureties, acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of Two Hundred and fifty dollars; conditioned that the said Charley Best, who stands charged in this Court with the offense of Theft of property under the value of Twenty Dollars, and who has been convicted of said offense in this Court, shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court, in order to abide the

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this cause.

Monday August 24th 1891, Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Court in Course

W. D. Harris.
County Judge

Attest:

Jno. R. Knight
County Clerk

Friday the 4th day of September A. D. 189 1

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

In Vacation the following case came on to be heard by the Court to-wit:

X

7577 State of Texas } Assault & Battery
-vs- } Friday Sept 4th 1891,
George Bowers }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of facts as well as of law was submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Bowers the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Monday the 7th day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in September A. D. 1891 the same being the 7th day of September A. D 1891 this cause began and holden a regular Term of the County Court within and for the County of Tarrant, State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth, Present Honorable W. D. Harris, County Judge presiding, O. W Gillespie, County Attorney, John P. King County Clerk and J. C. Richardson, Sheriff of said County when and where the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court, to-wit:

Venire Facias

Now comes J. C. Richardson Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into open Court the Venire Facias for this the First Week of the September Term 1891 and upon the names of the jurors being called thereon six qualified jurors answered to-wit: Ed C. Orrick, O. Hackett, J. D. Rumfro, W. H. Stanley, J. S. Aiken, Jno Hurley and Ben C. Evans who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week, and it appearing to the Court that said panel is incomplete It is ordered that the Sheriff summon qualified ~~jurors~~ jurors sufficient to fill panel and the said Sheriff after being sworn as required by law brought into Court the following qualified jurors to-wit: J. P. Taylor, H. C. Manley, R. C. Hadley, J. H. Parsley, W. C Lusk, & C. A. Sandy and who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week.

Monday the 7th day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Alias & Continued

It appearing to the Court that the Sheriff has been unable to arrest the following Defendants. It is ordered by the Court that alias Capias issue for the Defendants returnable to the next term of this Court and that same be continued,

6808 State of Texas -vs- J. H. Wilson
Playing Cards in out House

6809 State of Texas -vs- John Poal.
Playing Cards in Out House.

6810 State of Texas -vs- Asa Childress
Playing Cards in Out House.

6822 State of Texas -vs- Bob Tucker
Aggravated Assault.

6965 State of Texas -vs- Bill Andrews
Aggravated Assault.

6977 State of Texas -vs- Albert Oglesby
Assault & Battery

7007 State of Texas -vs- Mrs Runyon.
Aggravated Assault.

7010 State of Texas -vs- Bill Adkins
Aggravated Assault

7011 State of Texas -vs- Elzy Isham
Carrying Pistol

7018 State of Texas -vs- Hugh Delozier
Playing Cards Public Place.

7023 State of Texas -vs- Hugh Delozier
Carrying Pistol

7024 State of Texas -vs- Minnie Logsdon
Carrying Pistol

7052 State of Texas -vs- Chas Warner
Exhibiting Gaming Bank for Purpose of Gaming

7053 State of Texas -vs- Chas Warner
Exhibiting Gaming Bank for Purpose of Gaming

7057 State of Texas -vs- Joe Baker.
Exhibiting Gaming Table for Purpose of Gaming

7060 State of Texas -vs- John Turner
Exhibiting Gaming Table for Purpose of Gaming

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Monday the 7" day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7082 State of Texas -vs- Dan Whitesides
Selling Beer on Sunday.

7113 State of Texas -vs- John Cato
Theft.

7116 State of Texas -vs- Wm Barnett
Theft.

7117 State of Texas -vs- George Heffner.
Theft.

7167 State of Texas -vs- Frank Watson.
Carrying Pistol

7186 State of Texas -vs- Frank Watson.
Disturbing the Peace.

7196 State of Texas -vs- L. Ryan.
Aggravated Assault

7310 State of Texas -vs- J. C. Grant.
Betting at Game Played with Dice

7315 State of Texas -vs- Frank Fawcett
Exhibiting Gaming Table for Purpose of Gaming

7319 State of Texas -vs- Frank Skinniang
Betting at Game with Dice

7321 State of Texas -vs- Con Hining
Carrying Pistol

7325 State of Texas -vs- Andy Wilson
Aggravated Assault.

7327 State of Texas -vs- Bob Maurice
Abusive Language

7365 State of Texas -vs- Clara Hudson.
False Imprisonment

7366 State of Texas -vs- Clara Hudson.
False Imprisonment

7376 State of Texas -vs- Eb Holcomb
Aggravated Assault

7415 State of Texas -vs- Lewis Perkins
Betting at Game called Craps.

7416 State of Texas -vs- Chas Montgomery Alias Chas Hyde
Betting at Game called Craps.

7417 State of Texas -vs- Joe Mosely
Betting at Game called Craps.

7433 State of Texas -vs- J. Y. Baker
Aggravated Assault.

Monday the 7th day of September A. D. 189 1

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7438 State of Texas -vs- Anderson Upshaw
Aggravated Assault

7525 State of Texas -vs- Shuman Brown
Betting at Game with Dice

7526 State of Texas -vs- Shuman Brown
Betting at Game with Dice

7527 State of Texas -vs- Shuman Brown
Betting at Game with Dice

7541 State of Texas -vs- Dick Johnson
Betting at Dice

7542 State of Texas -vs- Dick Johnson
Betting at Dice

7543 State of Texas -vs- Dick Johnson
Betting at Dice

7544 State of Texas -vs- Dick Johnson
Betting at Dice

7595 State of Texas -vs- J. E. Parsons
Embezzlement.

7596 State of Texas -vs- J. E. Parsons
Embezzlement

7597 State of Texas -vs- J. E. Parsons.
Embezzlement

7598 State of Texas -vs- Okie Moore
Theft.

7607 State of Texas -vs- Linah Hester
Theft.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following ~~Defends~~ cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same viz:

7070 State of Texas -vs- Bill Johnson
Carrying Pistol

7077 State of Texas -vs- Bill Johnson
Carrying Pistol.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Monday the 7" day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7067 State of Texas -vs- Chas Loyd.
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank for Purpose of Gaming

7168 State of Texas -vs- Mitch Watson
Carrying Pistol

7198 State of Texas -vs- Tom Paine
Selling Beer & Whisky on Sunday

7293 State of Texas -vs- Ruff Perry
Carrying Pistol

7311 State of Texas -vs- Eugene Lambert
Exhibiting Faro for purpose of Gaming

7318 State of Texas vs Chas Ligon
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank.

7373 State of Texas -vs- Frank Bryant
Betting at Game Played with Dice

7397 State of Texas -vs- Nat Kramer
Permitting Faro Played in House under his Control.

7395 State of Texas -vs- W. L. Estill
Slander

7441 State of Texas -vs- Bob Waggoner
Selling Beer on Sunday

7447 State of Texas -vs- Bob Waggoner
Selling Beer on Sunday

7446 State of Texas -vs- Bob Waggoner
Selling Beer on Sunday

7449 State of Texas -vs- Bob Waggoner
Selling Beer on Sunday

7454 State of Texas -vs- J. B. Austin
Selling Beer on Sunday

7455 State of Texas -vs- J. B. Austin
Selling Beer on Sunday.

7456 State of Texas -vs- J. B. Austin
Selling Beer on Sunday.

7457 State of Texas -vs- J. B. Austin
Selling Beer on Sunday

7458 State of Texas -vs- J. B. Austin
Selling Beer on Sunday.

7462 State of Texas -vs- Joe Richards
Selling Beer on Sunday.

7463 State of Texas -vs- Joe Richards
Selling Beer on Sunday.

Monday the 7" day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7464 State of Texas -vs- Jas Richards
Selling Beer on Sunday

7465 State of Texas -vs- Jas Richards
Selling Beer on Sunday

7466 State of Texas -vs- Jas Richards
Selling Beer on Sunday

7467 State of Texas -vs- Jas Richards
Selling Beer on Sunday

7468 State of Texas -vs- Jas Richards
Selling Beer on Sunday

7469 State of Texas -vs- Jas Richards
Selling Beer on Sunday

7477 State of Texas -vs- J. B. Austin
Selling Beer on Sunday

7478 State of Texas -vs- J. B. Austin
Selling Beer on Sunday

7479 State of Texas -vs- J. B. Austin
Selling Beer on Sunday.

7480 State of Texas -vs- J. B. Austin
Selling Beer on Sunday

7481 State of Texas -vs- J. B. Austin
Selling Beer on Sunday

7485 State of Texas -vs- Charley Baker
Selling Beer on Sunday

7486 State of Texas -vs- Charley Baker
Selling Beer on Sunday

7487 State of Texas -vs- Charley Baker.
Selling on Sunday

7488 State of Texas -vs- Charley Baker
Selling Beer on Sunday

7489 State of Texas -vs- Charley Baker
Selling Beer on Sunday

7490 State of Texas -vs- Charley Baker
Selling Beer on Sunday.

7491 State of Texas -vs- Charley Baker.
Selling Beer on Sunday.

7492 State of Texas -vs- Charley Baker.
Selling Beer on Sunday.

7493 State of Texas -vs- Charley Baker
Selling Beer on Sunday

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Monday the 7" day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7494 State of Texas -vs- Charley Baker.
Selling Beer on Sunday

7501 State of Texas -vs- Charley Baker
Selling Beer on Sunday

7502 State of Texas -vs- Charley Baker
Selling Beer on Sunday.

7503 State of Texas -vs- Charley Baker.
Selling Beer on Sunday

7504 State of Texas -vs- Charley Baker
Selling Beer on Sunday

7505 State of Texas -vs- Charley Baker
Selling Beer on Sunday

7506 State of Texas -vs- Charley Baker
Selling Beer on Sunday

7507 State of Texas -vs- Charley Baker
Selling Beer on Sunday

7549 State of Texas -vs- Chas Nuppauer. et al
Aggravated Assault.

7553 State of Texas -vs- Lizzie Duval.
Keeping Disorderly House.

7554 State of Texas -vs- Hazel Kirk
Keeping Disorderly House.

7555 State of Texas -vs- Madam Porter
Keeping Disorderly House

7556 State of Texas -vs- Daisy Love
Keeping Disorderly House

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

— Filed —

It appearing to the Court that the Sheriff has been unable to arrest the Defendants in the following cases It is ordered that said cases be ~~continued~~ filed until such time as the arrests can be made viz:

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Monday the 7th day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

6584 State of Texas -vs- Minnie Bates
Aggravated Assault

7324 State of Texas -vs- Alex Buckley
Betting at Game played with Dice

7426 State of Texas -vs- Shuman Brown.
Playing Cards Public Place.

7521 State of Texas -vs- Unknown.
Carrying Pistol

7429 State of Texas
-vs-
Thos Stout } Saturday Sept 5, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Thos Stout the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Monday the 7th day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7396 State of Texas vs William Butler } Assault & Battery
Monday Sept 7. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enlinds his plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant William Butler the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7395 State of Texas vs Mike Mann } Laboring on Sunday
Monday Sept 7, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enlinds his plea of guilty. Whereupon

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

the Court assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considerd, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mike Mann the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7604 State of Texas -vs- Fletcher Strickland } Aggravated Assault
Monday Sept 7" 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant herein entering his plea of guilty to simple assault but not of aggravated assault. Thereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and being fully advised in the premises finds the Defendant guilty of Aggravated Assault and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Fletcher Strickland the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Continued by Consent.

7368 State of Texas -vs- John Skinner
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

7373 State of Texas -vs- O. W. Kerr
Carrying Pistol

7421 State of Texas -vs- Joe Purvis
Betting at Gaming Table & Bank

7422 State of Texas -vs- Joe Purvis
Permitting Monte in House under his Control.

7423 State of Texas -vs- Joe Purvis
Keeping & & a Monte Bank for purpose of Gaming

7532 State of Texas -vs- James Hemphill
Betting at Dice

7533 State of Texas -vs- James Hemphill
Betting at Dice

7607 State of Texas -vs- Lee Walker.
Aggravated Assault.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Tuesday Morning Sept 8th 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment & met as on yesterday.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says, he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same. viz:

7337 State of Texas -vs- Addie Riley
Theft.

7340 State of Texas -vs- Charles Hawkins
Aggravated Assault.

Tuesday the 8th day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7545 State of Texas -vs- Louis Hatchell
Aggravated Assault.
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

7537 State of Texas -vs- Os Bates } Permitting Monte in House under his Control Tuesday Sept 8. 1891.
Ordered by the Court that the Appearance Bond of the Defendant herein be and the same is hereby quashed and that alias Capias issue returnable to the November Term of this Court for said Defendant.

7538 State of Texas -vs- Os Bates } Permitting Monte in House under his Control Tuesday Sept. 8. 1891.
Ordered by the Court that the Appearance Bond of the Defendant herein be and the same is hereby quashed and that alias Capias issue returnable to the November Term of this Court for said Defendant.

7539 State of Texas -vs- Os Bates } Permitting Monte in House under his Control Tuesday Sept 8. 1891.
Ordered by the Court that the Appearance Bond of the Defendant herein be and the same is hereby quashed and that alias Capias issue returnable to the November Term of this Court for said Defendant.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7540 State of Texas -vs- Os Bates | Permitting Money in Hand under his Control Tuesday Sept 8. 1891.

Ordered by the Court that the Appearance Bonds of the Defendant herein be and the same is hereby quashed and that Alias Capias issue returnable to the November Term of this Court for said Defendant.

7567 State of Texas -vs- Ed Johnson | Aggravated Assault Tuesday Sept 8. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit H. C. Wasley and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entering his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at 167 six months imprisonment and a fine of $50.00

H. C. Wasley, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed Johnson the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of six months and until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7569 State of Texas } Laboring on Sunday
-vs- } Tuesday Sept 8. 1891.
Jas Otto

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jas Otto the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7573 State of Texas -vs- Joe Lowe } Labaring on Sunday
Tuesday Sept 8. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Joe Lowe the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7574 State of Texas -vs- Nick Mathis } Aggravated Assault
Tuesday Sept 8. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit. J. N. Carley and six others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered

Tuesday the 8th day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. J. W. Parsley, Foreman." It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Kirk Mathis go hence without day.

7603 State of Texas -vs- Lon Overton, et al } Monday Tuesday Sept 8. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Lon Overton in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. W. Parsley and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. J. W. Parsley, Foreman. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Lon Overton go hence without day

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 oclock

Wednesday Morning Sept 9th 1891 Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on first day of Term.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons finds with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same viz:

7523 State of Texas -vs- Peter Sargent
Aggravated Assault.

7606 State of Texas -vs- Fritz Roter
Theft.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

7564 State of Texas -vs- Jas Foster } Aggravated Assault Wednesday Sept 9. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit W. H. Nanny and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge

Wednesday the 9" day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

W. H. Nanny. Foreman".

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Joe Foster go hence without day.

7578 State of Texas -vs- James Rasky } Laboring on Sunday
Wednesday Sept 9. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant James Rasky the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Wednesday the 9th day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7605 State of Texas -vs- Fritz Ratner } Theft. Wednesday Sept 9. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and each parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. N. Nanny and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury upon a plea of guilty find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment by fine of ten dollars and thirty days ~~and~~ in jail.

W. N. Nanny, Foreman."

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Fritz Ratner the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days and until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Wednesday the 9th day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7561 State of Texas -vs- W. Benske } Aggravated Assault
Wednesday Sept 9. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. M. Parsley and five others who were duly selected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at twenty five dollars.

J. M. Parsley, Foreman".

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. Benske the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7646 State of Texas -vs- A. Davidson } Embezzlement
Wednesday Sept 9. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant A. Davidson in his

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: B. Hackett and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being made to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "Under the plea of guilty we the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at one day in the County Jail.

B. Hackett. Foreman."

It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that said Defendant A. Davidson stand committed to the County Jail ~~Five~~ for the full period of one day and until all costs herein incurred are paid in full.

7614 State of Texas } Peddling without License
-vs- } Tuesday Sept 8. 1891
Antonio Keck }

Continued by Consent.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Thursday Sept 10. 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

Thursday the 10th day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

6757 State of Texas -vs- Ed Johnson | Swing Bur on Sunday Thursday Sept. 10. 1891.

Dan comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Ed Johnson go hence without day.

7440 State of Texas -vs- A. Zimmerli | Aggravated Assault Thursday Sept 10th. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. O. Taylor and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

J. O. Taylor, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant R. Zimmerli go hence without day.

Continued by Consent.

7470 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday

7471 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson.
Selling Beer on Sunday,

7472 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday

7473 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson.
Selling Beer on Sunday.

7474 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday.

7475 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday

7508 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday.

7509 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday.

7510 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday

7511 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson.
Selling Beer on Sunday.

7512 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson.
Selling Beer on Sunday

7513 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson.
Selling Beer on Sunday.

7514 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson.
Selling on Sunday.

7515 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday.

7516 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson.
Selling Beer on Sunday.

7517 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday.

7518 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson.
Selling Beer on Sunday.

Thursday the 10th day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7565 State of Texas -vs- Alf Bands } Theft. Thursday Sept 10. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. M. Parsley and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

J. M. Parsley, Foreman"

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Alf Bands go hence without day.

7564 State of Texas -vs- Louis Vainman } Appeal Reynolds J. P. Thursday Sept. 10. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on the Defendants motion to quash the Complaint herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant. It is therefore

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

7589 State of Texas -vs- Anderson Parker } Aggravated Assault Thursday Sept 10. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit N. A. Nanny and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and reciving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

N. A. Nanny, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Anderson Parker go hence without day.

7591 State of Texas -vs- Robert Veech } Theft. Thursday Sept 10. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit:

Thursday the 10th day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

J. P. Taylor and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now in open court pleads his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant not guilty

J. P. Taylor, Foreman

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Robert Veech go hence without day.

7615 State of Texas -vs- Jacob Bassie } Aggravated Assault Thursday Sept 10. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. P. Taylor and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now in open court pleads his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

not guilty.

J. P. Taylor, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Jacob Bassil go hence without day.

7652 State of Texas -vs- Eli Griffin } Aggravated Assault. Thursday Sept. 10. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Eli Griffin the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7582 State of Texas vs Mike Casey } Aggravated assault Thursday Sept. 10. 1891.

Ordered that alias capias issue for the Defendant herein and that this case be continued.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Thursday the 10th day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7557 State of Texas -vs- Phillip Harris } Aggravated Assault Thursday Sept 10. 1891

Continued by the State for absence of witness Mrs Harris.

Monday Sept 14th 1891 Court in session present as on first day of Term.

— Venire Facias —

Now comes J. C. Richardson, Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into open Court the Venire Facias for this the Second Week of the September Term 1891 and upon the names of the jurors thereon being called five qualified jurors answered to-wit: F. M. Martin, J. B. Robins, J. B. Caldwell, W. H. Bucker and Fanny D. Smythe who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week. And it appearing to the Court that said panel is incomplete. It is ordered that the Sheriff summon qualified jurors sufficient to fill same: and the said Sheriff after being sworn as requires by law brought into Court the following qualified jurors to-wit: E. H. Farmer, J. S. Jackson, J. H. Highsmith, Jas Hardesty, W. H. Jas McDonald, W. E. Wilson, W. T. Grant and E. H. Taylor, who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week.

7308 State of Texas -vs- Joe Wheat } Playing Cards Public Place Monday Sept 14. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in this

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

ern proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Joe Wheat the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same viz:

7309 State of Texas -vs- Joe Wheat.
Playing Cards Public Place

7570 State of Texas -vs- Joe Muniani
Laboring on Sunday.

7571 State of Texas -vs- Frank Cather
Laboring on Sunday

7572 State of Texas -vs- George Fronce
Laboring on Sunday.

7648 State of Texas vs- Francisco Pitts
Laboring on Sunday.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

Monday the 14" day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7574 State of Texas -vs- Prince Price } Laboring on Sunday Monday Sept 14. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Prince Price the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7611 State of Texas -vs- W. F. Hightower } Turning Cattle in Enclosed Land of another Monday Sept. 14. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Tommy D. Smyth and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after having

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. Tommy D. Smyth, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant W. F. Hightower go hence without day.

7375 State of Texas vs- Narvo Spear } Obstructing Public Road. Monday Sept 14. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. W. Buckner and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court and announced that they could not agree. And it appearing to the Court that said jury has been kept together for a sufficient length of time and cannot agree. It is therefore ordered that said jury be discharged and that this cause stand for trial in regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

Monday the 14th day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7625 State of Texas -vs- Chas Offenhauer | Appeal. McClung J.P. Ct. Keeping Place of Business on Sunday Monday Sept. 14. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Fonny D. Smyth and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit:

"We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at $25.00 Twenty five dollars.

Fonny D. Smyth. Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas Offenhauer as principal and N. Harding and A.J. Anderson sureties on Appeal Bond the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine & costs are paid in full

7629 State of Texas -vs- F. D. Sanborn | Keeping Saloon open on Sunday Monday Sept 14. 1891

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. B. Calendine and

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

fifteen who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at Twenty Dollars $20.00 fine.

J. B. Cadenhead. Foreman.

It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant T. D. Sanders as principal and G. W. Weir and R. L. Tinsley sureties on Appeal Bond the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7630 State of Texas / Keeping Saloon open on Sunday
-vs- / Monday Sept 14. 1891.
R. Wichuro

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. A. Higginbotham and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument

Monday the 14th day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty assess his fine at $25.00

J. H. Hightower, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant R. Wicliffe as Principal and Louis Maas and G. A. Day sureties on appeal Bond the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine & costs are paid in full.

7631 State of Texas
-vs-
Clint Terry

Keeping Saloon open on Sunday Monday Sept 14. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. T. Grant and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now withdrew his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at $25.00

W. T. Grant. Foreman.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Clint Terry as Principal and Charles E. Graham sureties on Appeal Bond herein the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant Terry committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7432

State of Texas
-vs-
Vick Foster

Place of Business open on Sunday
Monday Sept 14, 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. S. Jackson and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the complaint being read to the jury the Defendant more fully unfolds his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty & assess his fine at twenty five dollars $25.00

J. S. Jackson, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Vick Foster as Principal and Wm C. Miller and L. A. Perio sureties on Appeal Bond herein the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf

Monday the 14th day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

7649 State of Texas -vs- Bob Travis } Laboring on Sunday Monday Sept. 14. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Tommy D. Smyth and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury. The Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty & assess his fine at $10.00 Ten Dollars.

Tommy D. Smith Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Travis the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Monday the 14th day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7605 State of Texas -vs- Allen Isaacs | Disturbing the Peace. Monday Sept 14. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Allen Isaacs the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Tuesday Sept 15. 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

7608 State of Texas -vs- Joe Veana | Laboring on Sunday. Sunday Sept 15. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: H. T. Grant and five others who were duly elected

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

W. T. Grant. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

7617 State of Texas -vs- R. L. Carr } Keeping Saloon open on Sunday
Tuesday Sept 15. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of facts as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant R. L. Carr as principal and A. G. Rinilman and Chas Schuman sureties on appeal Bond the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Tuesday the 15 day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine & costs are paid in full.

7619 State of Texas -vs- Frank Gill } Keeping Saloon open on Sunday Tuesday Sept 15. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the trial of fact as well as of law was submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Gill as principal and Jas J. Gannon and Joseph Jamison sureties on appeal Bond the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7620 State of Texas -vs- Harry Hatchell } Keeping Saloon open on Sunday Tuesday Sept 15. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the trial of fact as well as of law was submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty Thereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Harry Hatchett as principal and C. C. Cunningham and A. M. Smith and E. Kahn sureties on appeal Bond the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full

7631 State of Texas
-vs-
Tom Curry

Keeping Saloon open on Sunday
Tuesday Sept 15, 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Curry as principal and Jas J. Gannon, W. E. Davis, C. R. Borlin and Jas S. Davis sureties on appeal Bond the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Tuesday the 15 day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7627 State of Texas -vs- Otto Grunewald } Keeping Saloon open on Sunday
Tuesday Sept 15. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence & argument of Counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Otto Grunewald as principal and R. Brunner and Chas Schumber sureties on appeal Bond the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine & costs are paid in full.

7634 State of Texas -vs- Charley Graham } Keeping Saloon open on Sunday
Tuesday Sept 15. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitting to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged & decreed by the Court that the State of Texas

Tuesday the 15 day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charles Graham as principal and W. H. Ward and C. O. Tramp sureties on appeal Bond the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this Cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant be committed to the County Jail till said fine & costs are paid in full.

7635 State of Texas
-vs-
John Murrin } Keeping Saloon open on Sunday
Tuesday Sept 15. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Murrin as principal and P. N. McGaughey and A. Rosen sureties on Appeal Bond herein the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Tuesday the 15th day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7636 State of Texas -vs- Frank Burns } Keeping Saloon open on Sunday

Tuesday Sept 15, 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Burns & principal and Jas J. Gannon and C. J. Searcy, sureties on appeal Bond the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the said fine and costs are paid in full

7637 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Andrews } Keeping Saloon open on Sunday

Tuesday Sept 15, 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty fir dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover

Tuesday the 15th day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

of and from the Defendant J. D. Andrews as principal and C. C. Lawson and W. H. Hard sureties on appeal Bond herein the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine & costs are paid in full.

7638 State of Texas
-vs-
N. C. Parks

Keeping Saloon open on Sunday
Tuesday Sept. 15, 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant N. C. Parks as principal and J. J. Kelly, Jas J. Gannon and C. J. Straley sureties on Appeal Bond herein the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committing to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Tuesday the 15th day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7639 State of Texas -vs- C. E. N. Melton } Keeping Saloon Open on Sunday Tuesday Sept. 15. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant C. E. N. Melton as principal and Geo Eichman and F. D. Saulburn sureties on appeal Bond herein the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine & costs are paid in full.

7644 State of Texas -vs- Louis Maas } Keeping Saloon Open on Sunday Tuesday Sept 15. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant

Tuesday the 15th day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Louis Maas as principal and Robt Noble and G.H. Day sureties on appeal Bond herein the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine & costs are paid in full.

7653 State of Texas
-vs-
Ben Smith

Theft
Tuesday Sept 15. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J.S. Jackson and five others who were duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty"

J. S. Jackson. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Ben Smith go hence without day.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Tuesday the 15th day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7616 State of Texas -vs- John Stewart } Keeping Saloon Open on Sunday Tuesday Sept 15. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. H. Buckner and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Twenty five dollars $25.00

W. H. Buckner. Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Stewart as Principal and Victor Foster and William Leahey sureties on appeal Bond herein the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

6547 State of Texas -vs- John Mars } Tuesday Sept 15. 1891.

Continued by the State.

Tuesday the 15 day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same viz:

7563 State of Texas -vs- Mrs Annie Heintzen
Slander

7613 State of Texas -vs- W. F. Hightower
Causing Stock to go within enclosed lands of another.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendants go hence without day.

7580 State of Texas -vs- Harry Burton } Aggravated assault
Tuesday Sept 15. 1891.
Continued by Consent.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock,

Wednesday Sept 16. 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

7310 State of Texas -vs- J. C. Grant } Betting at Game played with Dice
Wednesday Sept. 16. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: W. T. Grant and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Wednesday the 16th day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and recieving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Ten Dollars.

W. T. Grant, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. C. Grant the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7610 State of Texas -vs- F. B. Daggett } Aggravated Assault
Wednesday Sept. 16. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant, F. B. Daggett, in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. B. Caldwell and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and recieving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant

Wednesday the 16th day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

not guilty.

J. B. Cadenhead, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant F. B. Daggett, go hence without day.

7617 State of Texas -vs- F. B. Daggett } Carrying Pistol. Wednesday Sept. 16, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announcing ready for trial, thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Joseph McDonald and five others who were duly elected, empannelled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty." Joseph McDonald, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas ~~recover~~ nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant F. B. Daggett go hence without day.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Wednesday the 16th day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7647 State of Texas -vs- E. L. Thilman } Theft. Wednesday Sept 16, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: Joseph McDonald and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now duly entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. Joseph McDonald, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant E. L. Thilman go hence without day.

7806 Ex Parte C. A. Ginochio } Thursday Oct 16 1891.

This day the above cause coming on to be heard the respondent N. E. Mayfield Constable for Precinct No 1 Tarrant County Texas appeared in open Court bringing with him the body of Relator C. A. Ginochio, And the Court after hearing the evidence and being fully advised in the premises is of the opinion that Relator is legally in custody of Respondent N. E. Mayfield, Constable as

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189 .....
Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

aforesaid and not entitled to be discharged and restored to his liberty. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that Relator C. A. Ginocchio be remanded to the custody of Respondent N. E. Mayfield and that Relator pay all costs of this proceeding for which let execution issue, To which ruling of the Court Relator excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas

Now comes the County Attorney announcing the ready of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will not further prosecute the pending case and moves the Court to dismiss the same;

6892 State of Texas vs John Johnson
Charging to take life

7562 State of Texas vs Mrs Annie Henleyer
Slander

7612 State of Texas vs. N. F. Hightower
Carrying Stock to go within enclosed Lands of another,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these presentments and that the Defendants go hence without day,

7149 State of Texas vs H. L. Preston, et al } Libel
Thursday Sept 16. 1891

Continued by the State for absence of witness S. P. Maddox,

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Wednesday the 16 day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7310

State of Texas
vs.
J. C. Grant

} Betting at Game of Dice
Wednesday Sept 16. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit J. B. Cadenhead and six others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of ~~guilty~~ not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit; "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars,

J. B. Cadenhead, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. C. Grant the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock,

Thursday Sept 17, 1891 Court met pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

Error this Judgment on Page 448

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Thursday the 17th day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7409 State of Texas -vs- Jesse Jackson } Carrying Pistol Thursday Sept 17, 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law was submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jesse Jackson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs and fees in full.

7420 State of Texas -vs- Cal Smith } Rioting at Crafts Thursday Sept 17, 1891

Continued by Consent.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Saturday morning at 9 o'clock

Saturday Morning Sept 19, 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on first day of Term.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7658 State of Texas
-vs-
Albert Jones } Theft
Saturday Sept 19, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Albert Jones in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at at fine of Twenty five dollars and twenty days in the County Jail. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Albert Jones the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and said execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Twenty days and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday Morning at 9 o'clock

Monday Sept 21 A Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on first day of Term,

Now comes J. C. Richardson Sheriff of Tarrant Co and brings into Court the following qualified jurors to wit: B. P. Beasley, R. A. Brown, Enoch Hinnins, A. G. Porter, C. C. Austin, G. H. Collup, Jno Vaughan, C. T. Scott,

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Henry Gates, J. M. Smith J. St. Hucks, M. J. Gray Nick Ferguson and C. N. Fry, who were all sworn and duly and qualified upon the panel for the week,

7659 State of Texas vs A. J. Rape } Aggravated Assault Monday Sept 21, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant upon his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant A. J. Rape the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the said fine and costs are paid in full,

7656 State of Texas vs Jim Brown } Disturbing the Peace Monday Sept 21, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Monday the 21 day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Thereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Brown the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

7657 State of Texas
-vs-
James Manning
Disturbing the Peace
Monday Sept 21, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Thereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant James Manning the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Monday the 21 day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Wednesday Sept 23. 1891 Court in Session present as on first day of term.

7574 State of Texas / -vs- / Dave Gaunger } Adultery / Wednesday Sept 23. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion to Quash the Information herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court that motion be and the same is hereby sustaining,

7583 State of Texas / -vs- / F. D. Lewis } Aggravated Assault / Wednesday Sept 23. 1891.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filing with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant F. D. Lewis go hence without day.

7618 State of Texas / -vs- / A. Hagyny } Keeping Saloon open on Sunday / Wednesday Sept 23, 1891,

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announce ready for trial a jury being waived the matter

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Wednesday the 23 day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

of fact as well as of law in submitted to the Court and the Defendant now has entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant A. Kaffey as principal and C. J. Avery and C. M. Shaver sureties on appeal herein the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7673 State of Texas
-vs-
A. L. Overton

Keeping Saloon Open on Sunday
Wednesday Sept 23. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit N. Harding and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Complaint being read to the jury the Defendant now has enters his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Twenty Dollars.

N. Harding, Foreman.

Wednesday the 23 day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant A. L. Overton as principal and Jas J. Gannon, C. J. Irvin and John Opie sureties on Appeal Bonds the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this case was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7624 State of Texas -vs- J. B. Berry | Keeping Saloon open on Sunday Wednesday Sept 23, 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the Court after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars.-

It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. B. Berry as principal and Chas Schuber and Thos Hitchcox, sureties on appeal Bond herein the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this case was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Wednesday the 23 day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7626 State of Texas
-vs-
W. H. Ward
} Keeping Saloon open on Sunday, Wednesday Sept 23rd 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. H. Ward as principal and C. J. Swaney and Chas Schuber sureties on Appeal Bond herein the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this case was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7627 State of Texas
-vs-
John Linnner
} Keeping Saloon Open on Sunday Wednesday Sept 23, 1891.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five

Wednesday the 23" day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Limmer as principal and Fred Hermann, Jas J. Gannon and E. Kuhn sureties on appeal Bond herein the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this case was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7628 State of Texas
-vs-
A. F. Brandt

Keeping Saloon open on Sunday Wednesday Sept 23, 1891,

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant A. F. Brandt as principal and C. J. Swasey and Jas J. Gannon, sureties on appeal Bond the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this case was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Wednesday the 23 day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7632 State of Texas -vs- C. F. Johnson | Keeping Saloon open on Sunday Wednesday Sept 23, 1891.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence, and argument of Counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant C. F. Johnson as principal and C. J. Swaney and John Rodgers, sureties on appeal Bond the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred, both in this Court and in the Court below from which this case was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid or [illegible]

7641 State of Texas -vs- Lawrence Burbridge | Keeping Saloon open on Sunday Wednesday Sept 23, 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered

Wednesday the 23" day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Laurence Burbridge as principal and J. F. Moore and C. B. Craig sureties on appeal Bond the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this case was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine & costs are paid in full.

7642 State of Texas
-vs-
Dave McClusky

Keeping Saloon Open on Sunday
Wednesday Sept 23, 1891,

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dave McClusky as principal and Jas Lirlan and C. J. Swasey sureties on appeal Bond herein the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this case was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine & costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Wednesday the 23" day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7643 State of Texas -vs- Vice Ermin } Keeping Saloon open on Sunday Wednesday Sept 23, 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Vice Ermin as principal and W. H. Ward and S. N. McCulloch sureties on appeal Bond herein the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this case was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further order that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7645 State of Texas -vs- Mack Turner } Carrying Pistol Wednesday Sept 23, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion to quash the Complaint herein and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant. It is ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Wednesday the 23" day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7666 State of Texas -vs- Robert Carr | Failing to Rest. Wednesday Sept 23. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: C. F. Scott and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entering his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. C. F. Scott. Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Robert Carr go hence without day.

7667 State of Texas -vs- J. A. Gray | Failing to Work Public Roads Wednesday Sept 23, 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: H. T. Gray and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entering his

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Wednesday the 23 day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. R. T. Gray, Foreman.

It is therupon ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant J. A. Nagy go hence without day.

7669 State of Texas -vs- Ed Simms } Failing to Mark Road. Wednesday Sept 23, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: R. A. Barron and five talesmen were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jurors find the Defendant not guilty, R. A. Barron, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Ed Simms go hence without day.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Wednesday the 23" day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7672 State of Texas -vs- Neg Watkins | Failing to Mark Roads Wednesday Sept 23. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announcing ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit G. W. Calloup and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant upon his oath entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty,

G. W. Calloup, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Neg Watkins go hence without day.

7357 State of Texas -vs- Pat T. Ryan | Aggravated Assault Wednesday Sept 23. 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announcing ready for trial a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law was submitted to the Court and the Defendant upon his oath entering his plea of guilty

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Wednesday the 23 day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ost J. Ryan the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7358 State of Texas vs. John C. Ryan } Aggravated Assault, Thursday Sept 23, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law was submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty as to simple assault, but not guilty as to aggravated assault. Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence finds the Defendant guilty of Simple Assault and assesses his punishment at a fine of Five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John C. Ryan the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine & costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 189 1

Wednesday the 23 day of September A. D. 189 1

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Now comes the County Attorney and for good and sufficient reasons filing with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following Defendants and moves the Court to dismiss the same, viz:

7359 State of Texas vs John C. Ryan
Aggravated Assault

7360 State of Texas vs- John C. Ryan
Aggravated Assault

7361 State of Texas vs John C. Ryan
Aggravated Assault

6719 City of Fort Worth vs Joe Reynolds
Vagrancy

6946 City of Fort Worth vs Lucy Tasker
Disturbing the Peace

7673 State of Texas vs- Chas Brown
Aggravated Assault

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day

Alias & Continued

7660 State of Texas vs John Miller
Failing to Work Road

7661 State of Texas vs John Fox
Failing to Work Road

7662 State of Texas vs W. F. Redford
Failing to work Road

7665 State of Texas vs James Morgan
Failing to work Road.

7668 State of Texas vs Wm Cone
Failing to work Road

7670 State of Texas vs- Tom Milner
Failing to work Road

7671 State of Texas vs J. Keating
Failing to Work Road

7655 State of Texas vs Joe Richards
Escaped Convict

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Wednesday the 23 day of September A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7650 State of Texas -vs- Mack Smith } Carrying Pistol. Wednesday Sept 23, 1891.

Continued by the State,

~~7663~~ Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Thursday Sept 24, 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on first day of Term,

7662 State of Texas -vs- Wm Leach } Failing to work Road Thursday Sept 24, 1891,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit J. M. Vaughn and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. J. M. Vaughn. Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and the Defendant Wm Leach go hence without day.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 1891

Thursday the 24 day of September A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7664 State of Texas -vs- John Bulger } Failing to work Road. Thursday Sept 24, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: Geo H. Cocke and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court and announced that they could not agree, and it appearing to the Court that said jury had been kept together for a sufficient length of time, It is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged and that this case stand for trial as its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

7321 State of Texas -vs- Can Hining } Carrying Pistol Thursday Sept 24. 1891.

Continued by the State.

6899 City of Ft Worth -vs- A. F. Brandt } Selling on Sunday Thursday Sept 24. 1891,

Continued by the City for absence of witnesses Starnes and Judkins

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

6720 City of Ft Worth -vs- George Vaccaro } Trespass Thursday Sept 24, 1891.

This day came on to be heard the application of the City Attorney to file substitute for complaint last herein, And it appearing to the Court that same is not in proper form, It is ordered that the prosecution be dismissed and the Defendant George Vaccaro be discharged.

6018 City of Fort Worth -vs- E. Krahn } Mut Stabbing Assn Thursday Sept 24, 1891.

Continued by the City.

Thursday Oct 1, 1891 Court in session present as on first day of Term.

7651 State of Texas -vs- Jas Teague } Selling Liquor without License Thursday Oct 1, 1891.

It appearing to the Court that the Defendant has taken out License and paid all costs incurred herein It is ordered by the Court that this case be dismissed and the Defendant discharged.

Monday Oct 5, 1891 Court in session present as on first day of Term.

7799 State of Texas -vs- Jas. Conner } Vagrancy Monday Oct 5, 1891

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being

Monday the 5th day of October A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

waived the making of fact as well as of law save submitting to the Court and the Defendant now enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jas Conner the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Friday Oct 9. 1891 Court in session present as on First day of Term.

7789 State of Texas -vs- Jim Wilson | Disturbing Religious Worship Friday Oct 9. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Wilson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7758 State of Texas vs T. C. Andrews } Playing Cards Public Place Friday Oct 9, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law submits to the Court and the Defendant now here enlinds his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assess his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant T. C. Andrews the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Wednesday Oct 14. 1891 Court in session present as on First day of Term.

7807 State of Texas vs Jeff Jackson } Aggravated Assault. Wednesday Oct 14. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney

Wednesday the 14th day of October A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jeff Jackson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7803 State of Texas vs Justin Mayo Newton Givens } Theft Wednesday Oct 14, 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty dollars and ten days in the County Jail. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Newton Givens the sum of Twenty dollars fine

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Six days and until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7804 State of Texas vs O. T. Elmon } Formication Wednesday Oct 14, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now withdraws his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant O. T. Elmon the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further order that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Thursday Oct 15. 1891 Court in session present as on First day of Term.

Thursday the 15th day of October A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7696 State of Texas -vs- Dave Gannger | Aggravated Assault Thursday Oct 15, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty, Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dave Gannger the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Monday Oct 19, 1891 Court in session present as on First day of Term.

7809 State of Texas -vs- Jac Smith | Aggravated Assault Monday Oct 19, 1891,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jas Smith the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Saturday Oct 24, 1891 Court in session present as on First day of Term.

7808 State of Texas
-vs-
Andrew Jackson } Theft
Saturday Oct 24, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessing his punishment at a fine of Fifty Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for sixty days. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Andrew Jackson the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs

Saturday the 24 day of October A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Sixty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full,

Monday Oct 26th 1891 Court in session present as on first day of Term.

7822 State of Texas -vs- Albert Smith } Vagrancy Monday Oct 26. 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of short appearance as of law men submitting to the Court and the Defendant now here entering this plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Albert Smith the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

Tuesday Oct 27, 1891 Court in session present as on First day of Term

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 189 1

Tuesday the 27 day of October A. D. 189 1

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

1822 State of Texas vs Robert Skinner } Vagrancy
Tuesday Oct 27, 1891,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion to set aside the judgment heretofore entered in this case, and the same being heard and fully considered by the Court, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion it is therefor ordered by the Court that said judgment be and the same is hereby set aside and for naught held.

1825 State of Texas vs Charley Cross } Theft
Tuesday Oct 27, 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Fifteen Dollars and Six days in the County Jail. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charley Cross the sum of Fifteen Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

7826 State of Texas vs Bill Wade } Selling Liquor to Minor
Tuesday Oct 27, 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bill Wade the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7581 State of Texas vs Clark Moore } Disturbing Religious Worship
Saturday Oct 10, 1891

Continued by the State for absence of J. D. Smith, John Rucker & Jake White

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, September Term, 189 1

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189 .....

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7559 State of Texas } aggravated assault
—vs— } Thursday Oct 15, 1891
James Thomas }

Continued by the State for absence of witness Charles + Jack Wright.

Thursday Oct 29" 1891 Orders that Court do now adjourn this Court in Course,

W. D. Harris.
County Judge

Attest: John R. King
County Clerk

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

In Vacation the following cause come on to be heard by the Court to-wit:-

7830. State of Texas -vs- John Skaggs } Malicious Mischief
Saturday Oct 31. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of One Hundred Dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Skaggs the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7731 State of Texas -vs- S. Ramsfield } Selling Lottery Tickets
Saturday Oct 31. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant not having entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant S. Rosenfield the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7931 State of Texas
-vs-
S. Rosenfield
} Selling Lottery Tickets
Saturday Oct 31. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant not having entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant S. Rosenfield the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 7931 said Defendant stand Committed to the County till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

Monday the 2" day of November A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in November A.D. 1891 the same being the 2" day of November A.D. 1891 there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court within and for the County of Tarrant State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth: Present Honorable W. D. Harris, County Judge Presiding, O. H. Gillespie County attorney, John A King, County Clerk and J. C. Richardson Sheriff of said County when and where the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court to wit:-

— Venire Facias —

Now come J. C. Richardson Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into open Court the Venire Facias for this the First Week of the November Term 1891 and upon the names of the jurors thereon being called from qualified jurors answered to wit: T. N. Higgins, Robert James, S. T. Bibb, J. T. Wilkes, N. P. Thomas, N. C Johnson [illegible] & N. B. Tucker who were all sworn and duly empaneled upon the panel for the week. And it appearing to the Court that said panel is incomplete it is ordered that the Sheriff summon qualified jurors sufficient to fill same and the said Sheriff after being sworn as required by law brought into Court the following qualified jurors to wit: E. R. Glasscock, C. J. Stewart, A. J. Parks, A. H. King, W. W. Medlin J. M. Peel, G. W. Warren & J. G. Roe who were all sworn and duly empaneled upon the panel for the week.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891.

Monday the 2" day of November A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

-Filed-

It appearing to the Court that the Sheriff has been unable to arrest the Defendants in the following cases. It is ordered that said cases be filed until such time as the arrests can be made viz;

6808 State of Texas -vs- J. W. Wilson
Playing Cards in Our Home

6809 State of Texas -vs- John Paul
Playing Cards in Our Home

6810 State of Texas -vs- Asa Childress
Playing Cards in Our Home,

6877 State of Texas -vs- Bob Tucker
Aggravated Assault

6965 State of Texas -vs- Bill Andrews
Aggravated Assault

6997 State of Texas -vs- Albert Oglesby
Assault & Battery

7007 State of Texas -vs- Mrs Runyon
Aggravated Assault

7010 State of Texas -vs- Bill Adkins
Aggravated Assault

7011 State of Texas -vs- Elzy Johnson
Carrying Pistol

7018 State of Texas -vs- Hugh Dreazir
Playing Cards in Public Place

7052 State of Texas -vs- Hugh Dreazir
Carrying Pistol

7057 State of Texas -vs- Joe Baker
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank for Purpose of Gaming

7060 State of Texas -vs- John Turner
Exhibiting Gaming Table & Bank for Purpose of Gaming

7113 State of Texas -vs- John Cato
Theft.

7116 State of Texas -vs- Wm Barnett
Theft.

7117 State of Texas -vs- George Heffner
Theft.

7169 State of Texas -vs- Frank Watson
Carrying Pistol

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 189 1

Monday the 2" day of November A. D. 189 1

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7186 State of Texas -vs- Frank Watson
Disturbing the Peace

7196 State of Texas -vs- L. Ryan
Aggravated Assault

7309 State of Texas -vs- Frank Williams
Betting at Game with Dice

7365 State of Texas -vs- Dora Hudson
False Imprisonment

7366 State of Texas -vs- Dora Hudson
False Imprisonment

7416 State of Texas -vs- Chas Montgomery & Chas High
Betting at Game called Craps

7438 State of Texas -vs- Anderson Upshaw
Aggravated Assault.

7525 State of Texas -vs- Shuman Brown
Betting at Game with Dice

7526 State of Texas -vs- Shuman Brown
Betting at Game with Dice

7527 State of Texas -vs- Shuman Brown
Betting at Game with Dice

7528 State of Texas -vs- Brazier Smith
Betting at Game with Dice

7529 State of Texas -vs- Brazier Smith
Betting at Gaming Table + Bank

7530 State of Texas -vs- Brazier Smith
Betting at Gaming Table + Bank.

7609 State of Texas -vs- Joe Contesa.
Laboring on Sunday

7662 State of Texas -vs- W. F. Redford
Failing to work Road

7665 State of Texas -vs- Jonnie Morgan
Failing to work Public Road.

7668 State of Texas -vs- Neil Cone
Failing to work Public Road

7670 State of Texas -vs- Tom Milsaps
Failing to work Public Road

7671 State of Texas -vs- J. Keating
Failing to work Public Road

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Monday the 2" day of November A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Alias & Continued

7083 State of Texas -vs- Dan Whitesides
Selling Beer on Sunday

7325 State of Texas vs Andy Wilson
Aggravated Assault

7329 State of Texas -vs- Bob Maurice
Abusive Language

7370 State of Texas -vs- James Taylor
Disturbance of the Peace

7371 State of Texas -vs- James Taylor
Drunk in Public Place.

7376 State of Texas -vs- Ed Holcomb
Aggravated Assault

7415 State of Texas -vs- Lewis Perkins
Selling at Grafs

7417 State of Texas -vs- Jack Waseley
Selling at Game Called Grafs.

7432 State of Texas -vs- J. Y. Baker
Aggravated Assault.

7537 State of Texas -vs- Os Bates
Permitting Monte Played in House under his Control

7538 State of Texas -vs- Os Bates
Permitting Monte Played in House under his Control

7539 State of Texas -vs- Os Bates
Permitting Monte Played in House under his Control

7540 State of Texas -vs- Os Bates
Permitting Monte Played in House under his Control

7582 State of Texas -vs- Mike Casey
Aggravated Assault.

7595 State of Texas -vs- J. E. Pollard
Embezzlement

7596 State of Texas -vs- J. E. Pollard
Embezzlement

7597 State of Texas vs J. E. Pollard
Embezzlement

7598 State of Texas -vs- Will Moore
Theft.

7602 State of Texas -vs- Lush Hester
Theft.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Monday the 2" day of November A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7655	State of Texas -vs- Jas Richards Escaped Convict
7660	State of Texas -vs- John Miller Failing to work Public Roads.
7661	State of Texas -vs- John Fox, Failing to work Public Roads
7688	State of Texas -vs- Frank Sharp Aggravated Assault.
	Continued by Consent.
7694	State of Texas -vs- George Linn Selling Lating Tickets
7695	State of Texas -vs- George Linn Selling Lating Tickets
7696	State of Texas -vs- George Linn Selling Lating Tickets
7697	State of Texas -vs- George Linn Selling Lating Tickets
7698	State of Texas -vs- George Linn Selling Lating Tickets
7699	State of Texas -vs- George Linn Selling Lating Tickets
7700	State of Texas vs George Linn Selling Lating Tickets
7701	State of Texas -vs- George Linn Selling Lating Tickets
7702	State of Texas -vs- George Linn Selling Lating Tickets
7704	State of Texas -vs- George Linn Selling Lating Tickets
7705	State of Texas -vs- George Linn Selling Lating Tickets
7706	State of Texas -vs- George Linn Selling Lating Tickets
7707	State of Texas -vs- George Linn Selling Lating Tickets
7708	State of Texas -vs- George Linn Selling Lating Tickets
7709	State of Texas -vs- George Linn Selling Lating Tickets

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Monday the 2" day of November A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7710	State of Texas -vs- George Linder Selling Lottery Tickets
7711	State of Texas -vs- George Linder Selling Lottery Tickets
7712	State of Texas -vs- George Linder Selling Lottery Tickets
7713	State of Texas -vs- George Linder Selling Lottery Tickets
7714	State of Texas -vs- George Linder Selling Lottery Tickets
7715	State of Texas -vs- George Linder. Selling Lottery Tickets
7716	State of Texas -vs- George Linder Selling Lottery Tickets
7717	State of Texas vs George Linder Selling Lottery Tickets
7718	State of Texas -vs- George Linder Selling Lottery Tickets
7719	State of Texas -vs- George Linder Selling Lottery Tickets
7720	State of Texas -vs- George Linder Selling Lottery Tickets
7721	State of Texas -vs- George Linder Selling Lottery Tickets
7722	State of Texas vs George Linder Selling Lottery Tickets
7723	State of Texas -vs- George Linder Selling Lottery Tickets
7724	State of Texas -vs- George Linder Selling Lottery Tickets
7725	State of Texas -vs- George Linder Selling Lottery Tickets
7726	State of Texas -vs- George Linder Selling Lottery Tickets
7727	State of Texas -vs- George Linder Selling Lottery Tickets
7728	State of Texas vs- George Linder Selling Lottery Tickets
7729	State of Texas -vs- W. J. Murphy Selling Lottery Tickets

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Monday the 7 day of November A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7430 State of Texas -vs- W. J. Murphy
Selling Lottery Tickets

Now Praecipe

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State ~~and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein~~ and says he will no further prosecute the ~~following~~ cause and moves the Court to dismiss the same, viz:

7440 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday

7441 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday

7442 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday

7443 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday

7444 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday

7445 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday

7508 State of Texas vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday

7509 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday

7510 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday

7511 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday

7512 State of Texas vs A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday

7513 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday

7514 State of Texas vs A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday

7515 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday

7516 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Monday the 7" day of November A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7517 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday

7518 State of Texas -vs- A. J. Anderson
Selling Beer on Sunday

7733 State of Texas -vs- S. Rosenfield
Selling Lottery Tickets

7751 State of Texas -vs- O. P. House
Selling, Wine Beer & Whisky on Sunday

7752 State of Texas -vs- O. P. House
Selling Wine, Beer & Whisky on Sunday

7753 State of Texas -vs- O. P. House
Selling Wine, Beer & Whisky on Sunday

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

7689 State of Texas -vs- George Lester } Selling Lottery Tickets
Monday Nov. 2. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Lester the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine & costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Monday the 2" day of November A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7690 State of Texas -vs- George Lemer | Selling Lotery Tickets Monday Nov 2, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Lemer the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 7689 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7691 State of Texas -vs- George Lemer | Selling Lotery Tickets Monday Nov 2, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Monday the 2" day of November A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Leiter the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cases Nos 7689-7690 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7692 State of Texas -vs- George Leiter } Driving Taking Tickets Monday Nov 2. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Leiter the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cases Nos. 7689, 7690 & 7691 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Monday the 2" day of November A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7692 State of Texas -vs- George Littler | Selling Liquor Tickets Monday Nov 2. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of facts as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Littler the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cases Nos 7689, 7690, 7691, & 7692 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs in this case are paid in full,

7756 State of Texas -vs- T. E. Carson | Selling Whis. Beer & Whiskey on Sunday Monday Nov 2. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant T. E. Carson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7778 State of Texas -vs- John Husband } Carrying Pistol Monday Nov. 2. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: R. N. King and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

R. N. King. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Husband go hence without day.

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7983 State of Texas -vs- John Dyer | Disturbing the Peace Monday Nov. 2. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Dyer the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7985 State of Texas -vs- Charlie Moody | Cutting at Craps Monday Nov. 2. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Monday the 2" day of November A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charlie Maody the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7786 State of Texas -vs- Bill Ellis } Being at Court. Monday Nov 2. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here untends his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bill Ellis the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7787 State of Texas -vs- Frank Moady } Being at Court. Monday Nov. 2. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: R. F. James and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at $10.

R. F. James, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Moody the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7788 State of Texas / -vs- / Alex Ervil } During at Crops Monday Nov 2. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Monday the 2" day of November A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Alex Evil the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7814 State of Texas / Disturbing the Peace
-vs- / Monday Nov 2. 1891.
Will Penny

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars, It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Will Penny the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Monday the 2nd day of November A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7815 State of Texas -vs- Dick Hause | Disturbing the Peace Monday Nov 2, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dick Hause the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Tuesday Nov 3. 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

7841 State of Texas -vs- Dick Johnson | Betting at Dice Tuesday Nov. 3. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Tuesday the 3" day of November A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dick Johnson the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail the said fine and costs are said in full.

7542 State of Texas -vs- Dick Johnson } Acting at Dine Tuesday Nov. 3. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dick Johnson the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cause No. 7541 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

Tuesday the 3" day of November A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7795 State of Texas
-vs-
John Henry
Theft
Tuesday Nov. 3. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: R. H. Kring and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after maturo deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty & assess his punishment at three months in jail and Fifty Dollars fine"

R. H. Kring, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Henry the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the term period of three months and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Tuesday the 3" day of November A.D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same viz:

7543 State of Texas -vs- Dick Johnson
Betting at Dice

7544 State of Texas -vs- Dick Johnson
Betting at Dice

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recommencing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendant go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Wednesday Nov 4. 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

Continued by Defendant

7421 State of Texas vs Joe Purvis
Betting at Gaming Table & Bank.

7422 State of Texas vs Joe Purvis
Permitting Monte in House under his Control

7423 State of Texas -vs- Joe Purvis
Keeping & Exhibiting a Monte Bank

7770 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Andrews } Keeping a Disorderly House
Wednesday Nov. 4. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in ~~his~~ own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. M. Deal

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Wednesday the 4th day of November A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

and for oiling who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returning into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Two Hundred Dollars.

J. W. Beal, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. D. Andrews the sum of Two Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said Fine and costs are paid in full.

7798 State of Texas -vs- J. D. Anderson } Assault & Battery
Wednesday Nov. 4. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: R. H. King and five oiling who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty, R. N. King, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant J. D. Thesson go hence without day.

7828 State of Texas -vs- John Grant } Theft Wednesday Nov 4, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. W. Beal and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Deft not guilty, J. W. Beal, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Wednesday the 4th day of November A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7614 State of Texas -vs- Antonio Kuck } Laboring on Sunday. Wednesday Nov 4. 1891.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Antonio Kuck go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Thursday Nov. 5, 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday

7559 State of Texas -vs- John Thomas } Aggravated Assault. Thursday Nov. 5. 1891.

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant John Thomas go hence without day,

7604 State of Texas -vs- Lee Hauser } Aggravated Assault Thursday Nov. 5. 1891.

Bond Forfeited alias capias ordered returnable to the January Term 1892 and cause continued,

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Thursday the 5 day of November A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7934 State of Texas -vs- H. Kralz | Fining Docket Thursday Nov. 5. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. Kralz the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs therein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the said fine and costs are paid in full.

7935 State of Texas -vs- Madam Porter | Keeping Disorderly House Thursday Nov 5. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Two Hundred Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Madam

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Thursday the 5 day of November A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

for the sum of Two Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

7774 State of Texas -vs- Linnie Duval } Slapping Disorderly Cause Thursday Nov. 5. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in her own proper person and both announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered her plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Two Hundred Dollars, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Linnie Duval the sum of Two Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7775 State of Texas -vs- Denny Loyd } Carrying Pistol Thursday Nov. 5. 1891,

Ordered that this case be continued until next term of this Court and that attachment issue for State witnesses.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Thursday the 5th day of November A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7821 State of Texas -vs- William Rathschild } Disturbing the Peace
Thursday Nov. 5. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: R. H. King and five others who were duly elected empannelled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict; and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at one Hundred dollars.

R. H. King. Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant William Rathschild the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7831 State of Texas -vs- Gus Bader } Aggravated Assault
Thursday Nov. 5, 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: N. C. Johnson and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after making deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. N. C. Johnson, Foreman. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Gus Bader go hence without day.

7664 State of Texas -vs- John Bulger } Failing to work Public Roads Thursday Nov 5, 1891.

Continued by Consent

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Friday Nov. 6 1891 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same viz:

7674 State of Texas -vs- G. H. Kilt Occupation without License

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Friday the 6th day of November A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7816 State of Texas -vs- Lon Overton
Receiving Stolen Property

7817 State of Texas -vs- Lon Overton
Betting at Game of Dice

7819 State of Texas -vs- John Collard
Betting at Game with Dice

Each of these cases ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

7950 State of Texas -vs- Lon Overton | Selling Wine, Beer & Whiskey on Sunday Friday Nov. 6. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lon Overton the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Friday the 6th day of November A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7804 State of Texas -vs- J. J. Duffy } Carrying Pistol
Friday Nov. 6. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: R. H. King and six others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now has entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his ~~fine at fifty~~ dollars.

R. H. King, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. J. Duffy the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7818 State of Texas -vs- A. L. Omlon } Keeping Place Business Open on Sunday
Friday Nov. 6. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper

jurson and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant A. L. Overton the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cause No. 7750 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until the fine and costs in this cause as said in force.

7834 State of Texas / Permitting Faro Played in House under his Control.
-vs- Friday Nov. 6. 1891.
W. H. Ward

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendants motion to Quash the Indictment herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

7836 State of Texas / Permitting Faro Played in House under his Control
-vs- Friday Nov. 6. 1891.
W. H. Ward

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Defendant in his own proper person and thereupon came on to be heard the Defendant's motion to Quash the Indictment herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

7838 State of Texas / Permitting Gaming in House under his Control
-vs- / Friday Nov. 6. 1891.
W. H. Ward

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion to Quash the Indictment herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

7839 State of Texas / Permitting ~~Gaming~~ in House under his Control
-vs- / Friday Nov. 6. 1891.
W. H. Ward

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own ~~proper~~ person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion to Quash the Indictment herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant it is therefore ordered by that the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Friday the 6th day of November A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7877 State of Texas -vs- William Lightfoot — Betting at Game of Dice — Friday Nov 6. 1891.

Continued by Consent.

7835 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward — Permitting Faro Players in House under his Control — Friday Nov. 6. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: O. Painfare and five others who were duly selected, empanneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty."

O. Painfare, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant W. H. Ward go hence without day.

7837 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward — Permitting Faro Exhibited in house under his control — Friday Nov. 6. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and thereupon came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion to Quash the First Count in the Indictment

Friday the 6th day of November A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Sumin and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

7837 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward } Permitting Faro Exhibits in House under this Control Friday Nov 6. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: J. W. Beal and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returning into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at One Hundred Dollars.

J. W. Beal, Foreman.

It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. H. Ward the sum of One Hundred Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7916 State of Texas -vs- John Campbell } Aggravated Assault Friday Nov 6, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Campbell the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Monday Nov. 9th 1891 Court in session present as on first day of Term.

7919 State of Texas -vs- E. L. Cunningham } Assault & Battery Monday Nov. 9. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon

Monday the 9th day of November A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant C. L. Cunningham the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Gail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7918 State of Texas -vs- Charles Haddad } Carrying Pistol Monday Nov. 9. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charles Haddad the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Gail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7917 State of Texas vs Charles Haddad } Adultery
Monday Nov. 9. 1891.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Charles Haddad go hence without day.

7837 State of Texas vs W. H. Hardy } Motion for New Trial
Monday Nov. 9, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion for a new trial herein and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas. Further ordered that the Recognizance of Defendant is fixed at the sum of Three Hundred Dollars.

Monday the 16th day of November A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Now comes J. C. Richardson, Shriff and after being sworn according to law brings into open Court the following qualified jurors to wit: C. J. Kite, W. C. Bowles, Chas. Daggett Jr., W. P. Whipple, J. R. Sandidge, Horace Knight, J. B. Holeman E. Harriner, J. W. Burns, S. W. Smith, Frank Jones and E. F. Prickett, who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for this the Thirty Ninth day of the Nov Term 1891 of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas.

74 70 State of Texas vs Cal Smith } Betting at Game of Dice Monday Nov 16. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Cal Smith the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Monday the 16th day of November A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Continued by Consent

7532 State of Texas vs- James Hemphill
Betting at Dice

7532 State of Texas -vs- James Hemphill
Betting at Dice

7765 State of Texas -vs- Ben Sanders } Aggravated Assault
Monday Nov. 16. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: C. J. Kitt and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars."

C. J. Kitt. Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ben Sanders the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Monday the 16th day of November A. D. 189 1

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers an

7791 State of Texas -vs- A. C. Curry | Disturbing Religious Worship Monday Nov 16. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now in open court his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant A. C. Curry the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7861 State of Texas -vs- Henry Chiles | Cutting at Game of Dice Monday Nov 16. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now in open court his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore

considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Sid Childs the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7865 State of Texas } Pulling at Game of Poker with Dice
vs } Monday Nov 16. 1891.
Sid Childs

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Sid Childs the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Monday the 16th day of November A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same viz:

7853 State of Texas vs- Robt Shinn
Vagrancy

7862 State of Texas -vs- Henry Chiles
Betting at Game played with Dice

7863 State of Texas -vs- Henry Chiles
Betting at Game played with Dice

7864 State of Texas -vs- Henry Chiles
Betting at Game played with Dice

7866 State of Texas -vs- Sid Chiles
Betting at Game played with Dice

7867 State of Texas -vs- Sid Chiles
Betting at Game played with Dice

7868 State of Texas -vs- Sid Chiles
Betting at Game played with Dice

7887 State of Texas -vs- Henry Liggett
Betting at Game played with Dice

7891 State of Texas -vs- Bee Farr
Betting at Game played with Dice

7897 State of Texas -vs- Manford Gates
Betting at Game played with Dice

7899 State of Texas vs Bee Brinson Alias Long Bill
Betting at Game played with Dice

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day,

7879 State of Texas -vs- George Bagwell } Betting at Game played with Dice Monday Nov 16. 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Bagwell the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7880 State of Texas } Betting at Game played with dice
-vs- } Monday Nov 16. 1891.
George Bagwell }

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Bagwell the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue.

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cause No 7879 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are said in full.

7886 State of Texas —Vs— Henry Liggett } Betting at Game of Dice not played at private residence Monday Nov. 16. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announcing ready for trial a Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Henry Liggett the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Deft. stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7888 State of Texas —Vs— Oscar McCain } Betting at Game played with dice. Monday Nov. 16-1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a Jury being waived The matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Oscar McCain the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs, execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7890 State of Texas —Vs— Bice Farr } Betting at Game played with Dice Monday Nov 16– 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas. do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bice Farr the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail. till said fine and costs are paid in full

7896 State of Texas —Vs— Manfred Gates } Betting at Game played at Dice Monday Nov. 16– 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, Also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a Jury being waived. the matters of fact as

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Manfred Gates the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail. till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7898 State of Texas -Vs- Bice Brinson alias, Lang Bice } Betting at Game played with Dice Monday. Nov 16-1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, Also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bice Brinson, alias Lang Bice the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail. till said fine and costs are paid in full

7925 State of Texas -Vs- Ben Bennett } Betting at Game played with Dice Monay Nov. 16-1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came Defendant

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ben Bennett the sum of Ten Dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further Ordered that the said Defendant stand Committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7926 State of Texas -vs- Ben Bennett } Betting at Game played with Dice. Monday Nov. 16-1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a Jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from Defendant Ben Bennett the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further Ordered that the said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail, till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Monday the 16- day of November A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7922 State of Texas -vs- Louis Perry } Theft. Monday Nov 16- 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came the Defendant in his own proper person. and both parties announced ready for trial, a Jury being waived. the matters of fact as well as of ~~the~~ law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars. and imprisonment in the County Jail for Forty Days. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Louis Perry the sum of Twenty five Dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further Ordered that said Defendant stand Committed to the County Jail for the full period of Forty Days. and until the fine and costs herein are paid in full.

7915 State of Texas -vs- Dave Rodgers. } Cursing and Swearing in a Public Place Monday Nov. 16. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas for the State, Also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a Jury being waived. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court. and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars, It is therefore Ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dave Rodgers the sum of Five Dollars. fine assessed as aforesaid together

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further Ordered that Defendant stand Committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7149 State of Texas -vs- H.L. Preston } Libel Monday Nov 16- 1891.

Continued by consent.

7844 State of Texas -vs- Lee Curry } Selling & Giving Intoxicating Liquor to Minor Monday Nov 16- 1891.

Ordered that an alias capias issue for the Defendant herein. and that this case be continued.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until to-morrow Morning at 9 o'clock A.M.

Tuesday Nov. 17. 1891. Court met pursuant to adjournment. at 9 o'clock A.M. present as on yesterday -

7552. State of Texas -vs- Phillip Harrell } Aggravated Assault. Tuesday Nov 17. 1891.

Upon motion by the State it is ordered that this Case be Continued on account of the absence of Mrs. Harrell and that an alias attachment issue for said Mrs Harrell returnable to the next term of this Court,

7686 State of Texas -vs- Jerry Bowlin } Willfully Misusing a horse Tuesday Nov 17. 1891.

Ordered that this Case be Continued

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 189 1.

Tuesday the 17- day of November A. D. 189 1

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7687 State of Texas -Vs- Jerry Bowlin } Carrying a Pistol Tuesday. Nov 17- 1891,

Ordered that this cause be Continued

7857 State of Texas -Vs- J. H. Smith } Aggravated Assault, Tuesday Nov 17- 1891,

Ordered that an alias capias issue for Defendant herein, and that this case be Continued-

7883 State of Texas -Vs- T. E. Ridgeway. } Betting at a Game Played with Dice. Tuesday Nov. 17- 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact and well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It- is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant T. E. Ridgeway the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand Committed to the County Jail, until said fine and costs are paid in full,

7904. State of Texas -Vs- Joe Teague } Betting at a Game Played with Dice Tuesday Nov. 17- 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

announced ready for trial, a Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Fifteen Dollars, It is therefore Ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Joe Teague the sum of Fifteen Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand Committed to the County Jail, until said fine and Costs are paid in full.

7905 State of Texas
-Vs-
Joe Teague } Betting on a Game played with Dice
Tuesday Nov. 17- 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. a Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Fifteen Dollars, It is therefore Ordered and adjudged by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Joe Teague the sum of Fifteen Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue, It is Further Ordered that after the date of collection of the fine and costs in case No 7905 said Defendant stand Committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full, in this case.

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7792 State of Texas -Vs- G. B. King } Adultery. Tuesday. Nov 17, 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announcing ready for trial Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men. to wit. C. B. Daggett and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Indictment being read to the Jury. the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel, and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to Consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit. " We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty. C. B. Daggett. Foreman",

It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day.

7735 State of Texas -Vs- Blair Bros. } Keeping open place of business on Sunday. Tuesday Nov 17- 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendants in their own proper persons, and then came on to be heard the Defendants' motion to quash the Indictment herein, and having heard the argument of the Counsel. It is the opinion of the Court that the law is against the Defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said Motion be and the same is overruled to which ruling of the Court the Defendants except.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7735 State of Texas -vs- Blair Bros. } Keeping open a place of business on Sunday

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came the Defendants in their own proper persons, and the Defendant W. H. Blair now asks a Reverance herein which is granted by the Court,

7735 State of Texas -vs- Blair Bros. } Keeping open a place of business on Sunday Tuesday Nov. 17- 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, Also came the Defendant W. H. Blair in his own proper person and both parties announcing ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to wit, C. B. Daggett and five others, who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Indictment being read to the Jury. the Defendant W. H. Blair now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, Argument of the Counsel, and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to Consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court, the following verdict to wit, "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty C. B. Daggett Foreman",

It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution against Defendant W. H Blair, and that said Defendant go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow Morning at 9 o'clock A. M.

Wednesday the 18 day of November A. D. 189 1

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Wednesday Nov. 18. 1891. Court met pursuant to adjournment at 9 o'clock A. M. present as on Yesterday.

7735 State of Texas -vs- Blair Bros } Keeping open place of business on Sunday Wednesday Nov. 18. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, Also came the Defendant J. A. Blair in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to wit. Frank Jones and five others who were duly selected. empanneled and sworn according to law. and the Indictment being read to the Jury. the Defendant J. A. Blair now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit; " We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at Twenty Dollars Fine. Frank Jones Foreman." It is therefore Ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. A Blair the sum of Twenty Dollars fine as assessed aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. for which said Fine and Costs execution may issue, Further ordered by the Court that the said Defendant J. A. Blair stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full-

7794 State of Texas -vs- Jake Potts } Theft- Wednesday Nov. 18. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

the pleas of the State, Also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit, C. B. Daggett and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the Jury, the Defendant now here entered his plea of not-guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence Argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court retired to Consider of their Verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict. to wit,

" We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at 10 days in Jail and a fine of $30.00, C. B. Daggett. Foreman",

It is therefore Ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jake Potts the Sum of Thirty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all Costs herein incurred. for which said fine and Costs execution may issue, It is Further Ordered by the Court that the said Defendant stand Committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten Days, and until the fine and Costs herein are paid in full.

7845 State of Texas - Vs - Sylvester Gaines } Selling Liquor to a Minor Wednesday. Nov. 18. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to wit, T. R. Sandidge and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Indictment being read to the Jury.

Wednesday the 18. day of November A. D. 189 1

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel. and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit "We the Jury find the defendant guilty and assess his ~~punishment~~ at a fine of Thirty five Dollars. T. R. Sandidge Foreman,"

It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Sylvester Gaines the sum of Thirty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred. For which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered by the Court that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7849 State of Texas -vs- Bob Galloway } Selling Wine Beer & Whisky on Sunday Wednesday. Nov. 18, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came Defendant in his own proper person. and both parties announced ready for trial, a Jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob. Galloway the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred. For which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered by the Court that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

costs are paid in full-

7853 State of Texas -vs- Tom Moore } Carrying a Pistol. Wednesday, Nov. 18, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars, It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom. Moore the sum of Twenty five Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered by the Court that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full,

7882 State of Texas -vs- Frank Garrett } Betting at a Game played with Dice Wednesday, Nov. 18. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, Also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars., It is Therefore Ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Garrett

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further Ordered by the Court that said Defendant stand Committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7950 State of Texas -vs- Hazel Kirk } Vagrancy. Wednesday. Nov. 18, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, Also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial a Jury being waived the Matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore Ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Hazel Kirk the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. Together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further Ordered by the Court that said Defendant stand Committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7932. State of Texas -vs- Joe Purvis } Betting at Game of Monte. Wednesday. Nov. 18. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, Also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a Jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punish-

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

ment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Joe Purvis the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, It is further Ordered by the Court that the Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are all paid in full.

7952 State of Texas
- Vs -
Robt. Swedden

Embezzlement.
Wednesday, Nov. 18, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, Also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars, and imprisonment in the County Jail for Six Hours, It is therefore Ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Robt Swedden the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, It is further Ordered by the Court that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Six Hours, and until the fine and costs herein are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock A. M.

Thursday Nov. 19. 1891. Court met pursuant to adjournment, at 9 o'clock A. M. present as on Yesterday.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 189 1

Thursday the 19 day of November A. D. 189 1

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7757 State of Texas -vs- Bob Gibbons. } Selling Liquor on Sunday

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to wit. T. R. Sandidge and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law. and the Indictment being read to the Jury. the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence Argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to Consider of their verdict. and after mature deliberation returned into open Court. the following verdict to wit.

" We the the Jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $36 33 T. R. Sandidge Foreman",

It is Therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court. that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob. Gibbons. the sum of Thirty-six Dollars and Thirty three cents fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further Ordered by the Court that said Defendant stand Committed to the County Jail. until said fine and Costs are paid in full.

7759 State of Texas -vs- Joe Wheat } Playing Cards in a Public Place Thursday. Nov. 19. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, Also came the Defendant in his own proper person. and both parties announced ready for trial. a Jury being waived. the Matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Thursday the 19. day of November A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Joe Wheat the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all Costs herein incurred for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. It is further Ordered by the Court that the Defendant stand Committed to the County Jail until the said fine and Costs are paid in full.

7774 State of Texas -vs- Dollie Love } Keeping Disorderly House
Thursday. Nov. 19. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came the Defendant in her own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. a jury being waived. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered her plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed her punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dollie Love the sum of ~~Twenty~~ Fifteen Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all Costs herein incurred. for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. It is further Ordered by the Court that the Defendant stand Committed to the County Jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full.

7801 State of Texas -vs- W. R. Gibbins } Aggravated Assault
Thursday. Nov. 19. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to wit. C. B. Daggett and five others

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury. the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of the Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to wit, "We the Jury find the Defendant guilty of aggravated assault and assess his punishment of a fine of $25.00 D. B. Daggett Foreman". It is therefore Ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant W. R. Gibbins the sum of Twenty Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all Costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, It is Further Ordered by the Court that the Defendant stand Committed to the County Jail until said fine and Costs are paid in full.

7840 State of Texas. } Permitting Gaming in house under
-Vs- } his Control.
W. H. Ward } Thursday Nov. 19- 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecution the pleas of the State. Also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to wit, T. R. Sandidge and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Indictment being read to the Jury, the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty and of former acquittal Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence Argument of Counsel and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to Consider of their verdict and after Mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit, "We the Jury find the Defendant not guilty T. R. Sandidge Foreman".

It is therefor ordered and adjudged by the Court

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

And the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant nothing by reason of this prosecution, and that the Defendant go hence without day,

7873 State of Texas -vs- Charley Bowers. } Betting at a Game played with Dice Wednesday Nov. 18, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, Also came the Defendant-in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to wit, C.B. Daggett, and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Indictment being read to the Jury, the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict; and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict to wit; " We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at $10 00 Fine C.B. Daggett Foreman", It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charley Bowers the sum of Ten Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, It is further ordered by the Court that Defendant stand Committed to the County Jail, until said fine and costs are paid in full,

7900 State of Texas -vs- James Rodgers } Betting at a Game played with Dice Wednesday, Nov. 18- 1891,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, Also came the Defendant

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a Jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court, that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant James Rodgers the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein expended or incurred, for which said fine and Court execution may issue, It is further Ordered by the Court the defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7811 State of Texas. — Vs — George Holland } Selling Liquor to a Minor Thursday Nov. 19— 1891.

Upon motion of the State it is ordered that this case be Continued on account of the absence of witness H.C. Stickham who resides 5 or 6 miles North of Granbury, and that an attachment issue for said witness returnable to the next term of this Court.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tommow Morning at 9 o'clock A.M.

Friday Nov. 20. 1891. Court met pursuant to adjournment, at 9 o'clock A.M. present as on Yesterday—

7677 State of Texas — Vs — John Adams } Theft— Friday. Nov. 20. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to wit, T. R. Sandidge and five others, who were duly selected, empaneled, and sworn according to law, and the Information being read to the Jury, the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence Argument of Counsel, and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to Consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation, returned into open Court the following verdict to Wit: "We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of $30 00 and imprisonment in the County Jail for ten days. T. R. Sandidge Foreman".

It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Adams the sum of Thirty Dollars fine as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Defendant Stand Committed to the County Jail for the full period of Ten Days, and until the said fine and costs herein are paid in full.

7781 State of Texas -vs- R. G. Ary } Disturbing the Peace. Friday, Nov. 20, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a Jury being waived The matters of fact as well as of law were Submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of Guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. It is therefore ordered and

Friday the 20 day of November A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant, R. S. Ray, the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which execution may issue. It is further Ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Defendant stand Committed to the County Jail until the said fine and Costs are paid in full.

7855 State of Texas -vs- Lon Sanders. } Carrying a Pistol

Friday. Nov. 20. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came the Defendant in her own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to wit. C. B. Daggett and five others who were duly selected, empanneled and sworn according to law, and the Indictment being read to the Jury, the Defendant now here entered her plea of not guilty Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel, and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to Consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict, to wit, "We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and asses her punishment at a fine of $25.00 C. B. Daggett Foreman".

It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lon Sanders the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all Costs herein incurred, for which said fine and Costs execution may issue. It is further Ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Defendant stand Committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7859 State of Texas -vs- J. A. Nichols. } Aggravated Assault. Friday Nov. 20. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State Also came the Defendent in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit, T. R. Sandidge and five others. who were duly selected empannelled, and sworn according to law, and the Indictment being read to the Jury. the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Jury receiving the Charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict. to wit, "We the Jury find the Defendant guilty of aggravated Assault and asses his punishment at a fine of $25.00 T. R. Sandidge Foreman".

It is therefore Ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs let execution may issue, It is further Ordered and adjudged by the Court, that said Defendant Have Committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7875 State of Texas -vs- Tom Snow. } Betting at a Game played with Dice Friday. Nov. 20. 1891.

This day comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a Jury being waived. the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his

Friday the 20 day of November A. D. 189 1

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Snow the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further Ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail, until ~~all~~ the said fine and costs are paid in full,

7885 State of Texas
-vs-
Jeff Ledford } Betting at a Game Played with Dice
Friday. Nov. 20. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, Also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a Jury of good and lawful men to wit, Frank Jones, and five others, who were duly selected, impanneled, and sworn according to law, and the Indictment being read to the Jury, the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the Jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the Charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict, and after mature deliberation returned into Open Court the following verdict to wit, " We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and asses, his punishment at a fine of $10 00. dollars. Frank Jones Foreman."

It is therefore ordered and and adjudged by by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jeff Ledford the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further Ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full,

7930 State of Texas
-Vs-
Jeff Daggett

Betting at a Game played with Dice
Friday, Nov. 20. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a Jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jeff. Daggett the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, It is further Ordered by the Court that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7945 State of Texas
-Vs-
Bill Kiker

Disturbing Religious Worship
Friday Nov. 20. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and both parties announced ready for trial, a Jury being waived, the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars, It is therefor ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bill Kiker the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered by the Court that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail, until said fine and costs are paid in full.

Friday the 20 day of November A. D. 189 1

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

It appearing to the Court that the Sheriff has heretofore been unable to arrest the Defendants in the following causes. It is therefore ordered that alias Capias issue returnable to the next term of this Court. for said Defendants, and that these Causes be Continued viz:

7869 State of Texas -vs- Albert Race
Betting at Game of Dice not played at Private residence

7871 State of Texas -vs- Will Curtis
Betting at Game played with Dice

7872 State of Texas -vs- Gus. Chitwood
Betting at a Game played with dice,

7874 State of Texas -vs- Sam Acres-
Betting on a Game Played with Dice

7881 State of Texas -vs- Steve Smith
Betting at a Game Played with Dice

7884 State of Texas -vs- Charley Howard
Betting at game Played with Dice

7894 State of Texas -vs- James Wilson
Betting at a Game Played with Dice

7895 State of Texas -vs- Jim Wilson
Betting at a Game Played with Dice

7910 State of Texas -vs- S. Kniesel
Betting on a game of Dominoes

7911 State of Texas -vs- Louis Miller
Betting at a Game Played with Dominoes

7936 State of Texas -vs- Bud Willis
Betting at Game of Pool

7937 State of Texas -vs- John Abby alias Bud Abby.
Betting at Game of Pool,

7938 State of Texas -vs- Bill Patterson
Selling Liquor to Minor

7939 State of Texas -vs- Jim Nelson
Selling Liquor to Minor

7942 State of Texas -vs- Bill Cushman
Disturbing Religious Worship

7947 State of Texas -vs- Robt Garey
Aggravated Assault,

7912 State of Texas -vs- George Brown
Slander.

7846 State of Texas -vs- Jim Nelson
Selling Liquor to a Minor

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7845 State of Texas -vs- Sylvester Games } Defendant's Motion For New Trial Wednesday Nov. 18. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. Also came the Defendant Sylvester Games in his own proper person, and then came on to be heard the Defendants Motion for a New Trial herein. and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said Motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is overruled to which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts. and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of appeals of the State of Texas. And the Court now here fixes the amount of the recognizance at the sum of One Hundred and Fifty Dollars.

7770 State of Texas -vs- J. W. Andrews } Defts Motion in arrest Judgment Thursday. Nov 19. 1891

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and then came on to be heard Defendants Motion in arrest of Judgment herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon. because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant It is therefore ordered that said motion be and the same is sustained. The Indictment Quashed. and the Defendant discharged.

It is ordered by the Court that alias capias returnable instanter. issue for defendants in the following causes. viz:

7024 State of Texas Vs. Winnie Sagesdon
Carrying Pistol

7763 State of Texas -Vs- Green Thomas.
Playing Cards in Public Place

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 189 1

Friday the 20 day of November A. D. 189 1

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7052 State of Texas -vs.- Chas Warner
Exhibiting Gaming Bank &c.

7053. State of Texas -vs- Chas Warner
Exhibiting Gaming Bank &c.

7315 State of Texas -vs- Frank Fawcett
Ex Gaming Table for purpose of Gambling

7685 State of Texas -vs- Lige Teague
Disturbing the Peace

7755 State of Texas -vs- George Chapman
Selling wine Beer & whisky on Sunday

7766. State of Texas -vs- Ira Hunt,
Aggravated Assault-

7762 State of Texas -vs- Zeno Whitehead
Playing Cards in Public Place.

7768 State of Texas -vs- J.M. Paine
Assault:

7769 State of Texas -vs- George Best
Aggravated Assault-

7776 State of Texas. -vs- Lee Curry
Carrying Pistol

7777 State of Texas Vs. Jack Davis
Carrying Pistol

7780 State of Texas. -vs- Bice Roberts
Disturbing the Peace

7782 State of Texas. -vs- T. B. Nelson
Disturbing The Peace

7784 State of. Texas -vs- Jim Wilson
Disturbing the Peace

7790 State of Texas -vs- Joe Mabry
Disturbing Religious Worship

7796 State of Texas -vs- Joe Garrison
Resisting an Officer

7800 State of Texas -vs- Dan Henson
Assault & Battery

7812 State of Texas -vs- Joe Meyers.
Carrying a Pistol

7813 State of Texas -vs- Joe Meyers
Carrying a Pistol

7820 State of Texas -vs. Charles Ashmead
Betting at a Game played with Dice.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7824 State of Texas -vs- James Durkin
Aggravated Assault.

7827 State of Texas -vs- George Palmer
Aggravated Assault.

7829 State of Texas -vs.- G. E. Beach
Adultery

7832 State of Texas -vs- Henry McCann
Aggravated Assault

Ordered that the following causes be and they are continued by consent, viz:

7368 State of Texas vs. John Stuart
Selling Whisky &c. on Sunday

7373 State of Texas -vs- O. M. Kern
Carrying a Pistol

7736 State of Texas. -vs- Charles. Graham
Selling Whisky Wine & Beer on Sunday.

7737 State of Texas. -vs- Victor Foster
Selling Whisky Wine & Beer on Sunday.

7738 State of Texas -vs- Harry Hatchell
Selling Whisky. Wine & Beer on Sunday.

7739 State of Texas -vs- John Stuart
Selling Whisky, Wine & Beer on Sunday

7740 State of Texas -vs- Dan Killinger
Selling Whisky Wine & Beer on Sunday

7741 State of Texas -vs- Charles Graham
Selling Whisky Wine & Beer on Sunday

7742 State of Texas -vs- Charles Graham
Selling Whisky Wine & Beer on Sunday.

7743 State of Texas -vs- Clint Terry
Selling Whisky Wine & Beer on Sunday.

7744 State of Texas -vs- Clint Terry
Selling Whisky Wine & Beer on Sunday.

7745 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward
Selling Whisky Wine & Beer on Sunday.

7746 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward.
Selling Whisky Wine & Beer on Sunday

7747 State of Texas -vs- Joe Guiard
Selling Whisky Wine & Beer on Sunday

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Friday the 20- day of November A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7748 State of Texas. -Vs- Louis Maas.
Selling Lone Beer & Whisky on Sunday

7749 State of Texas. -Vs- Lon Overton
Selling Lone Beer & Whisky on Sunday

7754 State of Texas -Vs- Mike Cassidy
Selling Lone Beer & Whisky on Sunday

7856 State of Texas -Vs- Lon Sanders.
Carrying a Pistol

7870 State of Texas -Vs- Lon Sanders
Betting at a Game Played with Dice

7889 State of Texas -Vs. Dice Bryant
Betting at a Game Played with Dice

7913 State of Texas -Vs- Jeff Smith
Selling Mall Liquor without License

7931 State of Texas -Vs- Matt Davis
Betting at Game of Monte.

7933 State of Texas. -Vs- Henry Gray
Betting at Game of Monte

It appearing to the Court that the Sheriff has heretofore been unable to arrest the Defendants in the following causes, It is therefore ordered that alias capias issue for said defendants returnable to the next term of this Court, and that these causes be Continued. Viz;

7858 State of Texas. -Vs- Bob Lawson
Aggravated Assault.

7860 State of Texas -Vs- Grant Hill
Aggravated Assault.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein, says he will no further prosecute the following cases, and moves and moves the Court to dismiss the same, viz;

7580 State of Texas -Vs- Harry Burton
Assault and Battery

7760 State of Texas Vs. John Burford.
Playing Cards in Public Place

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 189 1

Friday the 20, day of November A. D. 189 1

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7761 State of Texas -vs- R. S. Ary
Playing Cards in Public Place.

7764 State of Texas. -vs- Bob. Stafford
Aggravated Assault.

7767 State of Texas. -vs- Bob. Gibbons
Aggravated Assault.

7773 State of Texas. -vs- Hazle Kirk
Keeping Disorderly House

7779 State of Texas. -vs- Joe Talasan
Carrying a Pistol

7793. State of Texas. -vs- Emma Ward.
Adultery

7850 State of Texas. -vs- Bob. Galloway
Selling Wine Beer & Whisky on Sunday

7878 State of Texas -vs. Bob. Ridgeway
Betting at a Game Played with Dice.

7901 State of Texas vs. Jim Rogers.
Betting at a Game Played with Dice

7902 State of Texas -vs- Dave Rogers.
Betting at a Game Played with Dice

7903 State of Texas. -vs- Dave Rodgers.
Betting on a Game Played with Dice.

7908 State of Texas -vs- Jim Anderson
Betting at a Game Played with Dice

7914 State of Texas -vs- Jeff. Ledford
Selling Liquor to a Minor

7893 State of Texas -vs- Manuel Brinson
Betting at a Game Played with Dice

7934 State of Texas -vs- Frank Garrett
Betting at Game of. Monte.

7948 State of Texas. -vs- Bill Kiker
Disturbing the Peace

7949 State of. Texas -vs- Bill Kiker
Disturbing the Peace

It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the court that the State of. Texas recover nothing by reason of these prosecutions, and that the said Defendants go hence without day.

Friday the 20 — day of November A. D. 1891

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Ordered that the following causes be, and they are continued by the State, viz:

6542 State of Texas. -vs- John Mass.
Theft-

7854 State of Texas. -vs- Joe Mabry
Carrying a Pistol

7920 State of Texas. -vs- Manuel Jackson
Theft-

7927 State of Texas -vs- Geo Holland
Aggravated Assault-

7928 State of Texas. -vs- Ren Barber
Aggravated Assault-

7321 State of Texas -vs- Con Hines } Carrying a Pistol
Ordered that this cause be continued.

7581 State of Texas. -vs- Clark Moore } Disturbing Religious Worship
Monday Nov 16 - 1891

Ordered that this cause be and the same is continued

7941 State of Texas -vs- Billy Holstein } Selling Beer on Sunday
Saturday Nov 21st 1891.

Upon Motion of defendant, it is ordered that this cause be, and the same is continued

7946 State of Texas. -vs- W. R. Gibbons. } Carrying a Pistol
Saturday Nov 21st 1891,

Ordered that this cause be continued by the State for reason of the absence of States witness G. S. Mathews, and that an attachment issue for said G. S. Mathews returnable to the next term of this Court.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock A. M.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 189 1

Saturday the 21 day of November A. D. 189 1

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Saturday. Nov. 21. 1891. Court met pursuant to adjournment at 9 o'clock A.M. Present as on Yesterday.

7896 State of Texas —Vs— John Stephenson } Betting at a Game Played with Dice Saturday Nov 21. 1891.

Ordered that this cause be continued by the State for the reason of the absence of the States witnesses herein. and it is further that attachments issue for said witnesses returnable to the next term of this Court.

7907 State of Texas —Vs— Jim Anderson } Betting on a Game Played with Dice Saturday Nov 14. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also came the Defendant in his own proper person. and both parties announced ready for trial. a Jury being waived. the matters of fact as well as of law. were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Anderson the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid. together with all costs herein incurred. for which said fine & costs execution may issue. It is further ordered by the Court that the said Defendant Jim Anderson be committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7892 State of Texas —Vs— Manuel Binson } Betting at a Game Played with Dice Saturday Nov. 14. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State. also came the Defendant in his own proper person. and both parties announced ready for trial. a jury being waived. the

Saturday the 21. day of November A. D. 189 1

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court, and the Defendant now here in open Court announced that his name was Manuel Johnson, and entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant Manuel Johnson the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered by the Court that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7935 State of Texas —vs— Prince Harmon } Betting at Game of Pool. Saturday Nov 21. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit. T.R Sandidge and five others who were duly selected, empanneled, and sworn according to law, and the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict: and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit. "We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and asses his punishment at a fine of $10.00. T.R. Sandidge Foreman".

It is therefor ordered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Prince Harmon the sum of Ten Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs herein incurred. For which said fine and costs execution may issue. It is further ordered by the Court that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 189 1

Saturday the 21 day of November A. D. 189 1

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7967 State of Texas -vs- John Adams. } Deft's Motion for New Trial. Friday Nov. 21. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State, also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and then came on to be heard Defendant's motion for a new trial herein and the argument of the Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant, it is therefore ordered that said motion be and the same is sustained, and that Defendant be, and he is granted a new trial herein, and that this case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

7951 State of Texas -vs- George Holland } Disorderly House Saturday Nov 21. 1891.

Upon Motion of Defendant it is ordered that this cause be, and the same is continued for the reason of the absence of Defendant's witnesses, as follows, viz; Cordie Ozee, Pete Elmore, Alice Elmore, Sam Grace, Nat Foreman, Chas Gillespie, Chas Rodgers, and Chas Smith.

7924 State of Texas -vs- Ellis Wade } Aggravated Assault. Saturday Nov 21. 1891

Ordered that this cause be and the same is continued by the State for the reason of the absence of State's witness. viz. Bailey & Dr. Cravey.

7929 Ex. Parte vs Lillian Prince } Habeas Corpus Saturday Nov 21. 1891.

This day this cause coming on to be heard and after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law and evidence is against the Relator. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the prayer of the Petition of J. A. Prince the Relator herein

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

be, and the same is refused, and that the child Lillian Prince be remanded to the custody and possession Respondent Maggie Vaughn until the further orders of this Court. It is further ordered by the Court that Relator J. A. Prince be and he is adjudged to pay all costs herein incured for which said costs execution may issue.

7810 State of Texas -vs- George Holland } Keeping Disorderly House Thursday Nov 19, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the state, also came the Defendant in his own proper person, and then came on to be heard Defendant's motion to quash the Indictment herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for the Defendant Therefore it is ordered that said motion be and the same is sustained. The Indictment quashed and the Defendant discharged.

7954 State of Texas -vs- George Roberts } Theft Thursday Nov 26. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty; Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for thirty days. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

Thursday the 26 day of November A. D. 1891

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

recovr of and from the Defendant George Roberts the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of thirty days and until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

7961 State of Texas -vs- Benton East } Theft
Wednesday Dec 2, 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at imprisonment in the County Jail for Five days. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said Defendant Benton East stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Five days and until all costs incurred herein are paid in full.

7968 State of Texas -vs- Henry Sattan } Aggravated Assault
Monday Dec 7, 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189 .....
Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

the matters of fact as were as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant was there entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Thirty five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Henry Sutton the sum of Thirty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant Stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7969 State of Texas vs A. B. Gardner alias Glenn } Playing Cards Public Place
Monday Dec 7. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant A. B. Gardner alias Glenn fine the sum of Ten Dollars fine aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant Stand committed to the County Jail till said fine & costs are paid in full.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7804 State of Texas vs J. J. Duffy } Carrying Pistol
Friday Dec 4th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the hearing of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. J. Duffy the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7797 State of Texas vs Tom Reed and Robert Bowerman } Affray
Tuesday Dec 8th 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Tom Reed in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the hearing of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant Tom Reed now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Four Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do

the day of A. D. 189

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Reed the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7968 State of Texas
-vs-
Henry Dalton } Tuesday Dec 8. 1891.

This day came on to be heard the Motion of the County Attorney to set aside the judgment heretofore entered in this case, and the same being heard and fully considered by the Court. It is ordered by the Court that the judgment heretofore entered in this case be and the same is set aside and for naught held. Further ordered that this case stand for trial in regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

7943 State of Texas
-vs-
John Norwood } Disturbing Religious Worship
Monday Dec 7. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 189 1

the day of A. D. 189

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

recover of and from the Defendant John Norwood the Sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7971 State of Texas
-vs-
Daniel Brady alias Ann Brady
Carrying Pistol
Tuesday Dec 8. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matter of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Daniel Brady alias Ann Brady the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189 .....

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7976 State of Texas
-vs-
Pink Buckham } Theft
Friday Dec 11. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now has entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Fifteen Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for Thirty days. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Pink Buckham the sum of Fifteen Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Thirty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

7977 State of Texas
-vs-
N. J. Dozier } Aggravated Assault
Friday Dec 11, 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now has entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant N. J. Dwyer the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and said costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7957 State of Texas
-vs-
Geo Harrison } Theft
Saturday Dec 12, 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Thirty Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for Ten days. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Harrison the sum of Thirty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of ten days and until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189 .....

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7960 State of Texas vs Geo. Martin } Monday Dec 14, 1891

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Martin the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7978 State of Texas vs Ben Miller } Carrying Pistol. Tuesday Dec 15, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 189 1

the day of A. D. 189

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bill Mieun the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7980 State of Texas vs John Lee } Theft
Monday Dec 21, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the hearing of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Fifteen Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for Twenty days. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Lee the sum of Fifteen Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of Twenty days and until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189 .....

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

6530 State of Texas -vs- Nick Parker } Carrying Pistol
Monday Dec 28. 1891

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Nick Parker in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law was submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Nick Parker the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant Nick be committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7881 State of Texas -vs- Steve Smith } Betting at Game of Dice
Thursday Dec 24, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law was submitted to the Court by the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore ordered

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Steve Smith the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7923 State of Texas -vs- Chas Wade } Aggravated Assault Saturday Nov 21, 1891

Continued by the State for the absence of the witness J. S. Bailey and Dr W. H. Cranor.

8091 State of Texas -vs- Charley Tyrrell } Aggravated Assault Tuesday Dec 29, 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charley Tyrrell the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

the day of A. D. 189

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7807 State of Texas
-vs-
J. J. Duffy
} Friday Dec 4. 1891.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion for new trial herein. And the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Defendant. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that this case stand for trial in its regular order upon the Criminal Docket of this Court.

7735 State of Texas
-vs-
Blair Bros
} Monday Nov. 30. 1891.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant J. A. Blair in his own proper person and then came on to be heard the Defendant J. A. Blair's Motion for new trial herein, and the argument of counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is against said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that ~~the~~ said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the Defendant excepts and in open Court gives notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas And the Recognizance of Defendant is by the Court fixed at the sum of one Hundred and fifty Dollars.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, November Term, 1891

the ..... day of ..... A. D. 189

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7845 State of Texas -vs- Sylvester Gaines } Recognizance Wednesday Nov 18, 1891.

This day came into open Court Sylvester Gaines, Defendant in the above entitled cause who together with C. J. Swaney and J. W. Rodgers his sureties acknowledge themselves severally indebted to the State of Texas in the penal sum of One Hundred and fifty dollars, conditioned that the said Sylvester Gaines who stands charged in this Court with the offense of "On the 20th day of September 1891 with force and arms did unlawfully and knowingly sell and give and cause to be sold and given spirituous, vinous and intoxicating liquor to W. A. Turner and Charley Smith without the written consent of the parents or Guardians of said Turner and Smith, or of either of them or of any one standing in the place of said parents or Guardians, the said Turner and Smith being then and there persons under the age of twenty one years" And who has been convicted of said offense in this Court shall appear before this Court from day to day and from term to term of the same and not depart without leave of this Court in order to abide the judgment of the Court of Appeals of the State of Texas in this case,

8103 State of Texas -vs- W. W. Sutter } Theft Wednesday Dec 30, 1891,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

waived the making of fact as well as of law now submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for thirty days, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. M. Sutter the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Gail for the period of Thirty days and until the fine and costs are paid in full,

7640 State of Texas
-vs-
Henry Nelson } Saturday Jany 2, 1892.

It appearing to the Court that the judgment entered in this case at the Nov Term of this Court was omitted from the minutes of this Court, and it further appearing that said Nov Term had adjourned, It is ordered by the Court that said judgment be entered nunc protunc,

7640 State of Texas
-vs-
Henry Nelson } Place of Business open on Sunday September 25. 1891.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

entirub his plea of not guilty,
Whereupon the Court after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel finds the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Henry Nelson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred both in this Court and in the Court below from which this cause was appealed for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Saturday January 2nd 1892 Ordered that Court do now adjourn the Court in Course.

W. D. Harris.
County Judge

Attest:
John A. King
County Clerk.

Monday the 4th day of January A. D. 1892

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Be it remembered that on this the First Monday in January A.D. 1892 the same being the 4th day of January A.D. 1892 there was begun and holden a regular term of the County Court within and for the County of Tarrant, State of Texas at the Court House thereof in the City of Fort Worth: Present Hon W. D. Harris, County Judge presiding: O. H. Gimpsil, County Attorney John O'King, County Clerk and J. C. Richardson Sheriff of said County when the following proceedings were had upon the Criminal Docket of said Court to-wit-

Venire Facias

Now comes J. C. Richardson Sheriff of Tarrant County and returns into open Court the Venire Facias for this the First Week of the January Term 1892 of the County Court and upon the names thereon being called six qualified jurors answered to-wit: J. N. Patton, C. E. Robinson, R. N. Sims, H. B. Flippo, G. R. Isbell and H. F. Hutton, who were all sworn, tried and placed upon the panel for the week, And it appearing to the Court that said panel is incomplete It is ordered that the Sheriff summon qualified jurors sufficient to fill same, and the said Sheriff after being sworn as required by law brought into Court the following qualified jurors to-wit: G. C. Gibson, H. H. Harris, R. A. Barron, J. S. Mack, L. C. Cantrell Jr. L. Fulham and J. C. Riley who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for the week,

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892

Monday the 4th day of January A. D. 1892

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Ordered by the Court that the succeeding cases be filed until such time as the Defendants therein can be arrested, viz:

7052 State of Texas -vs- Chas Warner
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

7053 State of Texas -vs- Chas Warner
Exhibiting Gaming Bank.

7083 State of Texas -vs- Dan Whitesides
Selling Beer on Sunday

7215 State of Texas -vs- Frank Fawcett
Exhibiting Gaming Table.

7325 State of Texas vs- Andy Wilson
Aggravated Assault

7327 State of Texas -vs- Bob Maurice
Abusive Language

7433 State of Texas -vs- J. Y. Baker
Aggravated Assault.

7582 State of Texas vs- Mike Casey
Aggravated Assault.

7595 State of Texas -vs- J. E. Pacconde
Embezzlement

7596 State of Texas -vs- J. E. Pacconde
Embezzlement

7597 State of Texas -vs- J. E. Pacconde
Embezzlement

Ordered by the Court that alias Capias issue for the succeeding Defendants returnable to the March Term of this Court and that said cases be continued, viz:

7024 State of Texas -vs- Minnie Logsdon
Carrying Pistol

7370 State of Texas -vs- James Taylor
Disturbing the Peace.

7371 State of Texas vs- James Taylor
Drunk in Public Place.

7602 State of Texas vs- Lush Hester
Theft

7607 State of Texas vs- Lee Parker
Aggravated Assault

Monday the 4th day of January A. D. 189 7

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7650 State of Texas -vs- Mack Turner
Pistol

7655 State of Texas vs Joe Richards
Escaped Convict

7660 State of Texas -vs- John Milam
Failing to work Roads

7661 State of Texas vs John Fox
Failing to work Roads

7685 State of Texas vs- Tige Teague
Disturbing the Peace

7688 State of Texas vs- Frank Thompson
Aggravated Assault

7762 State of Texas vs- Leno Whitehead
Playing Cards Public Place

7784 State of Texas -vs- Jim Wilson
Disturbing the Peace

7796 State of Texas vs- Joe Garrison
Resisting an Officer

7782 State of Texas vs T. B. Nelson
Disturbing the Peace

7812 State of Texas -vs- Joe Myers
Pistol

7813 State of Texas -vs- Joe Myers
Pistol

7830 State of Texas vs. Chas Ashmead
Betting at Dice

7804 State of Texas vs James Durkin
Aggravated Assault.

7871 State of Texas -vs- Wm Curtis
Betting at Dice

7874 State of Texas -vs- James Wilson
Betting at Game with Dice

7921 State of Texas -vs- Tom Tatum
Escaped Convict

7917 State of Texas -vs- George Brown
Slander,

7965 State of Texas v Louis Mann
Assault & Battery

8095 State of Texas vs A. R. Jaurez
Betting at Faro

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892

Monday the 4th day of January A. D. 1892

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same viz;

7664 State of Texas -vs- John Burger
Failing to work Public Road.

7678 State of Texas -vs- Joe Teague
Selling Whis, Beer & Whiskey on Sunday

7679 State of Texas -vs- Joe Teague.
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

7680 State of Texas -vs- Joe Teague
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

7681 State of Texas -vs- Joe Teague
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

7682 State of Texas -vs- Joe Teague
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

7683 State of Texas vs- Joe Teague
Selling Whiskey on Sunday.

7684 State of Texas -vs- Joe Teague
Selling Whiskey on Sunday.

7847 State of Texas -vs- Joe Teague
Selling Liquor to Minor

7848 State of Texas -vs- Joe Teague
Selling Liquor to Minor.

7852 State of Texas -vs- Joe Teague
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

7906 State of Texas -vs- Joe Teague
Betting at Game with Dice.

7979 State of Texas -vs- Chas Hopson
Aggravated Assault.

7982 State of Texas -vs- Ben Bennett
Disturbing the Peace.

7993 State of Texas -vs- Sue Prince
Betting at Game with Dice.

8003 State of Texas -vs- Steve Smith
Betting at Game with Dice.

8004 State of Texas -vs- Sunny Gray
Betting at Game with Dice

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892

Monday the 4th day of January A. D. 1892

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

8017 State of Texas -vs- Ben McCullough
Permitting Gaming

8018 State of Texas -vs- Ben McCullough.
Permitting Gaming

8019 State of Texas -vs- Ben McCullough
Permitting Gaming

8020 State of Texas -vs- Ben McCullough.
Permitting Gaming.

8021 State of Texas vs- Ben McCullough
Permitting Gaming

8022 State of Texas -vs- Ben McCullough.
Permitting Gaming.

8023 State of Texas -vs- Ben McCullough.
Permitting Gaming.

8024 State of Texas vs Ben McCullough.
Permitting Gaming

8028 State of Texas -vs- H. H. Donovan
Permitting Gaming

8029 State of Texas -vs- H. H. Donovan
Permitting Gaming

8030 State of Texas -vs- H. H. Donovan.
Permitting Gaming

8031 State of Texas -vs H. H. Donovan.
Permitting Gaming

8032 State of Texas -vs- H. H. Donovan.
Permitting Gaming

8033 State of Texas vs- H. H. Donovan.
Permitting Gaming

8034 State of Texas -vs- H. H. Donovan.
Permitting Gaming

8035 State of Texas -vs- H. H. Donovan.
Permitting Gaming.

8036 State of Texas -vs- H. H. Donovan.
Permitting Gaming

7833 State of Texas vs Pat Wallace
Aggravated Assault.

8097 State of Texas vs- Jack Johnson.
Playing at Game with Cards in Gaming House

It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

of Texas take nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

6899 City of Fort Worth -vs- A. F. Brandt } Selling Liquor on Sunday Monday Jany 4th 1892.

Now comes the City Attorney and says he will no further prosecute this case and moves the Court to dismiss the same. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the City of Fort Worth recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant A. F. Brandt go hence without day.

7149 State of Texas -vs- H. L. Preston } Libel Monday Jany 4, 1892

Continued by Consent.

7373 State of Texas -vs- O. M. Kern } Carrying Pistol Monday Jany 4, 1892.

Continued by Consent.

7962 State of Texas -vs- L. L. Moore } Disturbing the Peace Monday Jany 4, 1892,

Continued by Consent.

8000 State of Texas -vs- Frank Garner } Being at Game with Dice Monday Jany 4, 1892,

Continued,

8001 State of Texas -vs- Jack Wright } Being at Game with Dice Monday Jany 4, 1892,

Continued.

Monday the 4th day of January A. D. 1892

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7933 State of Texas -vs- Henry Gray } Betting at Game of Monte Monday Jany 4. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Henry Gray the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all cost. Herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7987 State of Texas -vs- Bob Darby } Theft Monday Jany 4. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: R. A. Barron and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the jury

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Monday the 4th day of January A. D. 1892

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We a jury of guilty we the jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at one day in the County Jail and a fine of Twenty five dollars"

R. A. Barron, Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Darby the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full period of one day and until the fine and costs are paid in full.

7992 State of Texas -vs- Sec Prince } Betting at Game with Dice Monday Jany 4. 1892

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now has entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do

Monday the 4th day of January A. D. 1892

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

have and recover of and from the said Defendant Lee Prince the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7994 State of Texas -vs- McGinty | Betting at Game with Dice Monday Jany 4, 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant McGinty in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant McGinty the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further orders that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail until said fine and costs are paid in full.

7997 State of Texas -vs- Charley Decker | Betting at Game with Dice Monday Jany 4. 1892,

This day came the County Attorney

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the defendant Charley Decker the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. It further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

8005 State of Texas -vs- Ben Bennett | Petty at Gammith Dist Monday Jany 4, 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Ben Bennett the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

8025 State of Texas -vs- Ben McCullough | Permitting Gaming Monday Jany 4. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant upon his entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Ben McCullough the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

8026 State of Texas -vs- B. H. McCullough | Permitting Gaming Monday Jany 4. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Monday the 4th day of January A. D. 1892.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars, It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant B. H. McCullough the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cause No. 8025 said Defendant B. H. McCullough stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

8027 State of Texas -vs- H. H. Donovan } Permitting Gaming
Monday Jany 4, 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant H. H. Donovan in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant H. H. Donovan the sum of Twenty five

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant H. N. Donovan stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

8040 State of Texas -vs- Bud Beckham } Aggravated Assault Monday Jany 4, 1892.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Bud Beckham in his own proper person and both parties announcing ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore Considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have & recover of and from the Defendant Bud Beckham the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

8041 State of Texas -vs- Albert Lewis alias Albert White } Aggravated Assault Monday Jany 4. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: R. N. Wells and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "On a plea of guilty we the jury find the Defendant guilty of aggravated assault and assess a fine of Twenty dollars fine"

R. N. Wells. Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Albert Lewis alias Albert White the sum of Twenty dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the said fine and costs are paid in full,

8054 State of Texas / -vs- / Albert White } Carrying Pistol
Monday January 4, 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived

Monday the 4th day of January A. D. 1892.

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed Scott the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

8085 State of Texas
-vs-
Ed Tutt
} Betting at Game with Dice.
Monday Jany 4. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Ed Tutt the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892,

Monday the 4th day of January A. D. 1892.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

8087 State of Texas -vs- Nat Kramer } Permitting Game of Faro
Monday Jany 4, 1892

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Nat Kramer the sum of Twenty five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

8096 State of Texas -vs- Jake Johnson } Playing at Game Cards in Gaming House.
Monday Jany 4, 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered,

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jake Johnson the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

8098 State of Texas / -vs- / Luke Short | Playing Cards in Gaming House / Monday Jany 4, 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court by the Defendant upon their entering this plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Luke Short the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

8108 State of Texas
-vs-
Billy McCray
} Permitting Game Cards in House under his Control
Monday Jany 4, 1892,

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Billy McCray the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

8109 State of Texas
-vs-
Billy McCray
} Permitting Game Cards in House under his Control,
Monday Jany 4, 1892,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the

Monday the 4th day of January A. D. 1892.

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Billy McCray the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 8108 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Tuesday Jany 5th 1892 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday,

Now comes the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following Defendants and moves the Court to dismiss the same viz:-

6542 State of Texas -vs- John Mays.
Theft.

7741 State of Texas -vs- Charles Graham
Selling Wine, Beer & Whisky on Sunday

7742 State of Texas -vs- Charles Graham.
Selling Wine, Beer & Whisky on Sunday

7736 State of Texas -vs- Charlie Graham.
Selling Wine, Beer & Whisky on Sunday.

7841 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Hardo
Permitting Gaming in House under his Control

7842 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Hardo
Permitting Gaming in House under his Control

7843 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Hardo
Permitting Gaming in House under his Control

7958 State of Texas vs- J. F. Jones.
Fighting in Public Place

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Tuesday the 5" day of January A. D. 1892.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

7748 State of Texas -vs- Louis Maas | Selling Wine, Beer & Whiskey on Sunday Tuesday Jany 5" 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Louis Maas the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7776 State of Texas -vs- Lee Cunny | Carrying Pistol Tuesday Jany 5. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitting to the Court and

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lee Curry the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

8099 State of Texas -vs- Jas Wheat | Playing Cards in Gaming House Tuesday Jany 5, 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jas Wheat the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Tuesday the 5th day of January A. D. 1892.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

8100 State of Texas -vs- Jas Wheat | Playing Cards in Gaming House Tuesday Jany 5, 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jas Wheat the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cause No. 8099 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

8115 State of Texas -vs- Tom Curry | Keeping Saloon open on Sunday Tuesday Jany 5, 1892,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Tom Curry the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

8161 State of Texas -vs- G. H. Day } Keeping Saloon open on Sunday
Tuesday Jan 5. 1892

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant G. H. Day the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

8136 State of Texas -vs- Buck Smith } Keeping Saloon open on Sunday

Tuesday Jany 5, 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waiving the making of fact as well as of law were submitting to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Buck Smith the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same viz:

8101 State of Texas -vs- Joe Wheat

Playing at Game with Cards in Gaming House.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

Wednesday the 6th day of January A. D. 1892.

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7737 Orand that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Wednesday Jany 6th 1892 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

7738 State of Texas -vs- Harry Hatchell } Selling Wine, Beer & Whiskey on Sunday Wednesday Jany 6th 1892.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Harry Hatchell in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now in open court withdrew his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Harry Hatchell the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

X

7889 State of Texas -vs- Bill Bryant } Biting at Game with Dice Wednesday Jany 6. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, a jury being waived

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Lee Bryant the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid, together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7931 State of Texas -vs- Mart Davis } Betting at Game of Monte. Wednesday Jany 6. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mart Davis the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine & costs are paid in full.

Wednesday the 6th day of January A. D. 1892,

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

8039 State of Texas
-vs-
G. W. Hargrove Sr

Carrying Pistol
Wednesday Jany 6th 1892

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial whereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to-wit: G. L. Mock and five talesmen who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court, retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit;

"We the jury find Defendant guilty and assess his punishment at a fine of twenty five dollars $25.00,

G. L. Mock. Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant G. W. Hargrove Sr the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

8094 State of Texas -vs- J. C. Hutchinson } Betting at Faro. Wednesday Jany 6th 1892.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. C. Hutchinson the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

8147 State of Texas -vs- R. Kuhn } Keeping Saloon Open on Sunday. Wednesday Jany 6. 1892.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore

Wednesday the 6th day of January A. D. 1892.

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant R. Kuhn the sum of Twenty Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs on said in full.

8167 State of Texas -vs- E. L. Tabor | Keeping Saloon open on Sunday Wednesday Jany 6. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant E. L. Tabor in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of ~~any~~ from the Defendant E. L. Tabor, the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs on said in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892

Wednesday the 6th day of January A. D. 1892.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

8142 State of Texas -vs- Abner Dean | Keeping Saloon open on Sunday Wednesday Jany 6. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Abner Dean the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

8171 State of Texas -vs- J. C. Jamison | Aggravated Assault Wednesday Jany 6. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announcing ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore ordering by the Court that the State of Texas do

Wednesday the 6th day of January A. D. 1892.

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

have and recover of and from the Defendant J. C. Jamison the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cause and moves the Court to dismiss the same viz;

7940 State of Texas -vs- Doc Kellinger
Selling Wine, Beer & Whiskey on Sunday

7943 State of Texas -vs- Clint Terry
Selling Wine, Beer & Whiskey on Sunday

7944 State of Texas -vs- Clint Terry
Selling Wine, Beer & Whiskey on Sunday

7945 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Wards
Selling Wine, Beer & Whiskey on Sunday

7946 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Wards.
Selling Wine, Beer & Whiskey on Sunday.

7913 State of Texas -vs- Jeff Smith
Selling Malt Liquor without License

7928 State of Texas -vs- Rub Barber
Aggravated Assault

7959 State of Texas -vs- J. H. Webb
Fighting in Public Place

8011 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward
Permitting Gaming

8012 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward
Permitting Gaming

8013 State of Texas vs W. H. Ward
Permitting Gaming

8014 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward
Permitting Gaming

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Wednesday the 6th day of January A. D. 1892.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

8015 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Wade.
Permitting Gaming.

8016 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Wade
Permitting Gaming

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of their prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

7985 State of Texas -vs- Mart Davis } Betting at Monte Thursday Jany 6. 1892.
Continued by Consent.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 oclock.

Thursday Jany 7th 1892 Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same viz:

7537 State of Texas -vs- Os Bates
Permitting Monte in House under his Control.

7538 State of Texas -vs- Os Bates
Permitting Monte in House under his Control.

7539 State of Texas -vs- Os Bates
Permitting Monte in House under his Control.

7540 State of Texas -vs- Os Bates
Permitting Monte in House under his Control.

7749 State of Texas -vs- Lon Overton
Selling Wine, Beer & Whiskey on Sunday

7940 State of Texas -vs- Clint Terry
Selling Beer on Sunday

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Thursday the 7th day of January A. D. 1892.

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7968 State of Texas -vs- Henry Dalton
Aggravated Assault

8168 State of Texas -vs- Ida Ingram
Theft.

8158 State of Texas -vs- E. Kuhn
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

8152 State of Texas -vs- C. F. Cromer
Keeping Saloon open on Sunday

8129 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Blair
Keeping Place of Business open on Sunday.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

\+

7686 State of Texas -vs- Jerry Conlin | Keeping Injuring a House Thursday Jany 7. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: G. R. Isbell and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged in the Indictment and assess his punishment at fine of one dollar."

G. R. Isbell, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Thursday the 7th day of January A. D. 1892.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

State of Texas of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jerry Rorbin the sum of One Dollar fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7936 State of Texas -vs- Bud Willis } Going at Game of Pool. Thursday Jany 7. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here withdrew his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bud Willis the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

8112 State of Texas -vs- Wm Pruitman } Keeping open on Sunday Thursday Jany 7. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Max Steinman the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

811 State of Texas -vs- Chas Oppenheim | Keeping open on Sunday
Thursday January 7, 1892.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas Oppenheim the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue,

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

8166 State of Texas -vs- D. F. Mountain } Keeping Saloon open on Sunday Thursday Jany 7" 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant D. F. Mountain the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

8126 State of Texas -vs- E. Kuhn } Keeping Saloon open on Sunday Thursday Jany 7. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court the Defendant now here

Thursday the 7th day of January A. D. 1892.

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

entered his plea of guilty, Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant E. Kuhn the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

8151 State of Texas / -vs- / Jim Liston } Keeping Saloon open on Sunday
Thursday Jany 7. 1892.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Liston the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Thursday the 7th day of January A. D. 1892.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Friday Jany 8th. 1892. Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same viz:

7769 State of Texas -vs- George Best
Aggravated Assault.

7805 State of Texas -vs- Allie Grace
Fornication

7832 State of Texas -vs- Henry McCann.
Adultery.

7941 State of Texas -vs- Billy Halstun
Selling Beer on Sunday

7970 State of Texas -vs- Jerry Lacy & Jim Lacy
Playing Cards Public Place

7974 State of Texas -vs- Frank Flynn.
Aggravated Assault.

8043 State of Texas -vs- George Kenny
Betting at Game played with Dice

8044 State of Texas -vs- George Kenny
Betting at Game played with Dice.

8045 State of Texas -vs- George Kenny
Betting at Game played with Dice.

8046 State of Texas -vs- George Kenny
Betting at Game played with Dice

8047 State of Texas -vs- George Kenny
Betting at Game played with Dice.

8048 State of Texas -vs- George Kenny
Betting at Game played with Dice.

8049 State of Texas -vs- George Kenny
Betting at Game played with Dice.

8056 State of Texas -vs- George Kenny
Betting at Game with Dice.

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

8057 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game Played with Dice

8058 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game Played with Dice

8059 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game Played with Dice.

8060 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game Played with Dice

8061 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game Played with Dice

8062 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game Played with Dice.

8063 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game Played with Dice

8064 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game Played with Dice

8065 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game Played with Dice.

8066 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game Played with Dice

8067 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game Played with Dice

8068 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game Played with Dice

8069 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game Played with Dice.

8070 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game Played with Dice.

8071 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game Played with Dice

8072 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game Played with Dice

8073 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game with Dice

8074 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game with Dice

8075 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game with Dice

8076 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game Played with Dice

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Friday the 8th day of January A. D. 1892.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

8077 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game with Dice

8078 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game with Dice.

8079 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game with Dice.

8080 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game with Dice

8081 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game with Dice.

8082 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game with Dice

8083 State of Texas -vs- George Kelly
Betting at Game with Dice.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

8050 State of Texas
-vs-
George Kelly
} Betting at Game with Dice
Friday Jany 7, 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant George Kelly the sum of Ten Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands commited to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

8051 State of Texas / -vs- / Geo Kelly } Betting at Game with Dice Friday Jany 8" 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here confessed his plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Kelly the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause No. 8050 said Defendant stand commited to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

8052 State of Texas / -vs- / Geo Kelly. } Betting at Game with Dice Friday Jany 8. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Kelly the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Causes Nos 8050 and 8051 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

8052 State of Texas / Betting at Game with Dice
-vs- / Friday Jany 8th. 1892.
Geo Kelly

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Kelly the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in Cause Nos 8050, 8051 and 8052 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

8055 State of Texas -vs- Geo Kelly } Criminal Docket Friday Jany 8th. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announce ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Kelly the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that after the satisfaction of the fine and costs in cause Nos. 8050, 8051, 8052 & 8053 said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Friday the 8" day of January A. D. 1892.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

8121 State of Texas -vs- R. Walfson | Keeping Saloon open on Sunday Friday Jany 8" 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant R. Walfson the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

8160 State of Texas -vs- E. Ates | Keeping Saloon open on Sunday Friday Jany 8". 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore

Friday the 8th day of January A. D. 1892.

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant E. Otto the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

8173 State of Texas -vs- Charley Clark } Theft. Friday Jany. 8th 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Fifteen Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for Ten Days. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Charley Clark the sum of Fifteen Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the space of Ten days and until the fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Friday the 8" day of January A. D. 1892,

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

8162 State of Texas -vs- J. Ospie | Keeping Saloon open on Sunday
Friday Jany 8" 1892,

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion to quash the Complaint herein, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon, because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said Motion It is therefore ordered by the Court that motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

7321 State of Texas -vs- Con Hines | Carrying Pistol
Friday Jany 8". 1892,

Continued by Consent.

7884 State of Texas -vs- Charley Howard | Betting at Game with Dice,
Friday Jany 8. 1892,

Alias Capias ordered for the Defendant and cause continued,

7944 State of Texas -vs- John Lewis | Disturbing Religious Worship
Friday Jany 8. 1892,

Alias Capias ordered for the Defendant and cause continued

7993 State of Texas -vs- Frank Flynn | Aggravated Assault
Friday Jany 8. 1892,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit W. F. Whitlow and five others

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "On a plea of guilty we the jury find the Defendant guilty of an aggravated assault and assess his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars.

W. F. Williams, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Frank Flynn the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7999 State of Texas -vs- Mart Davis | Betting at Game with Dice Friday Jany 8. 1892,

Continued by Consent.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Saturday Jany 9" 1892 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7768 State of Texas -vs- J. M. Paine } Assault. Saturday Jany 9. 1892.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the making of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. M. Paine the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7769 State of Texas -vs- Chas. Wade } Aggravated Assault Saturday Jany 9" 1892.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant Chas. Wade in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: W. F. Whitlow and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here enters

Saturday the 9" day of January A. D. 1892.

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

his plea of not guilty and of former conviction, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to-wit: "We the jury find the Defendant's plea of former conviction untrue and find the Defendant guilty of aggravated assault and assess his punishment at a fine of $50.00"

W. F. Whitten, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Chas Wade the sum of Fifty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same viz:

8007 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward.
Permitting Gaming

8008 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward
Permitting Gaming

8009 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward
Permitting Gaming

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Saturday the 9th day of January A. D. 1892.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

8010 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Ward
Permitting Gaming.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecuting and that the Defendant go hence without day.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday morning at 9 o'clock.

Monday Jany 11th 1892 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on Saturday.

Now comes J. C. Richardson, Sheriff and after being sworn according to law brings into open Court the following qualified jurors to wit: W. B. Tucker, S. W. Patterson, B. L. Waggoman, G. D. Ackley, Chas McDade, A. J. Parks, W. J. Reed, A. M. Fry, C. J. Stewart, G. R. Isbell, J. A. Gilzendaner, and L. M. Britton, who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for this the Second week of the January Term 1892 of the County Court of Tarrant County Texas.

7869 State of Texas -vs- Albert Race | Betting at Game of Dice, Monday Jany 11. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars

Monday the 11th day of January A. D. 1892.

Floyd Shook & Co., Printers, Stationers and

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Albert Race, the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7939 State of Texas -vs- Jim Nelson | Giving Liquor to Minor. Monday January 11. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the suit of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entering his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty five dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jim Nelson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Monday the 11" day of January A. D. 1892.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

8137 State of Texas -vs- William Schmidt } Keeping Saloon open on Sunday Monday Jany 11. 1892.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant William Schmidt the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

8135 State of Texas -vs- F. Taylor } Keeping Saloon open on Sunday Monday Jany 11. 1892.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Monday the 11th day of January A. D. 1892.

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant F. Taylor the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stands committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

8154 State of Texas -vs- J. W. Walker } Keeping Saloon open on Sunday Monday Jany 11, 1892.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. W. Walker, the sum of Twenty Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordering that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Monday the 11" day of January A. D. 1892.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

8174 State of Texas -vs- John Munnin | Keeping Saloon open on Sunday Monday Jany 11" 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant John Munnin the sum of Twenty Dollars, ~~fine assessed~~ as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

8181 Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Tuesday Jany 12" 1892. Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

8182 State of Texas -vs- G. Potter | Keeping Saloon on Sunday Tuesday Jany 12" 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant G. Potter the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock

Wednesday Jany 13" 1892 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

7966 State of Texas -vs- Dick Woodward } Assault & Battery
Wednesday Jany 13. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dick Woodward the

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Wednesday the 13" day of January A. D. 1892

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Thursday Jany 14th. 1892 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yestirday.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same viz:

7368 State of Texas -vs- John Stuart.
Selling Whiskey on Sunday

7939 State of Texas -vs- John Stuart.
Selling Whiskey, Beer & Wine on Sunday.

7854 State of Texas -vs- Joe Mabry
Carrying Pistol

7856 State of Texas -vs- Lan Sanders.
Carrying Pistol

7870 State of Texas -vs- Lan Sanders
Betting at Game of Dice

8138 State of Texas -vs- J. J. Paiser
Selling Whiskey on Sunday.

It is therefore ordered that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Thursday the 14" day of January A. D. 1892.

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7376 State of Texas -vs- Ed Holcomb | Aggravated Assault. Thursday Jany 14" 1892.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: B. L. Waggoman and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty"

B. L. Waggoman, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Ed Holcomb go hence without day.

7532 State of Texas -vs- James Hemphill | Betting at Dice Thursday Jany 14, 1892.

This day came the Attorney Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Thursday the 14th day of January A. D. 1892.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant James Hamphill the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred, for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7734 State of Texas
-vs-
Victor Foster
} Selling Wine, Beer & Whiskey on Sunday
Thursday Jany 14. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Victor Foster the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Thursday the 14" day of January A. D. 1892,

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7790 State of Texas -vs- Geo Mabry | Disturbing Religious Worship Thursday Jany 14, 1892.

Continued by Consent.

8114 State of Texas -vs- C. B. Craig | Keeping Place of Business open on Sunday Thursday Jany 14, 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty. Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars, It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant C. B. Craig the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

8164 State of Texas -vs- Geo Wallis | Keeping Place of Business open on Sunday Thursday Jany 14, 1892,

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892

Thursday the 14th day of January A. D. 1892.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

Defendant now here enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Twenty Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Geo Wallis the sum of Twenty Dollars fine assessed aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7532 | State of Texas -vs- James Hemphill | Betting at Dice Thursday Jany 14. 1892.

Continued by Consent.

7581 | State of Texas -vs- Clark Moore | Disturbing Religious Worship Thursday Jany 14. 1892.

Continued by the State.

7677 | State of Texas -vs- John Adams | Theft Thursday Jany 7. 1892

Continued by Consent.

8006 | State of Texas -vs- Jim Burris alias Jim Tosch | Betting at Game with Dice Monday Jany 4th 1892.

Continued.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Thursday the 14th day of January A. D. 1892.

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

8204

State of Texas
-vs-
G. N. Hargrove Sr

Thursday Jany 14, 1892

It appearing to the Court that G. N. Hargrove Sr in the Court room in the presence and hearing of the Court and jury and audience attending upon the Court, with his feet applauded volun certain remarks were made by the Defendant's Attorney while arguing to the jury in the case of the State of Texas -vs- Chas Slader which was then on trial in said Court. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said G. N. Hargrove Sr is in contempt of Court and that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the said G. N. Hargrove Sr for such contempt the sum of Ten Dollars. It is further ordered that Capias Profirum issue for said fine and costs,

State of Texas
-vs-
Doc Ramsey

Thursday Jany 14, 1892.

It appearing to the Court that Doc Ramsey came into the Court room while Court was in session in an intoxicated condition and acted in a boisterous manner It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said Doc Ramsey is in contempt of Court and that he be committed to the County Jail for the four period of twenty four hours.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Friday Jany 15th 1892. Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Friday the 15th day of January A. D. 1892.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7811 State of Texas -vs- George Hallands } Selling Liquor to minor. Friday Jany 15, 1892.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant George Hallands in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: G. R. Isbell and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law, and the Indictment being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

G. R. Isbell. Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant Go Hallands go hence without day.

7858 State of Texas -vs- Bob Lawson } Aggravated assault. Friday. Jany 15, 1892.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and then came on to be heard the Defendant's motion to Quash the Indictment herein, and the argument of Counsel being heard thereon because it is the opinion of the Court that the law is for said motion, It is therefore ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained,

Friday the 15 day of January A. D. 1892.

Floyd Shook & Co., Printers, Stationers and

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cases and moves the Court to dismiss the same viz;

7911 State of Texas -vs- Louis Wiles
Betting at Game of Dominos

8141 State of Texas -vs- T. Dailey
Keeping Saloon open on Sunday.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

7981 State of Texas / Disturbing the Peace
vs / Friday Jany 15, 1892.
Dan Hanson

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars, It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Dan Hanson the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and cost execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full,

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Friday the 15th day of January A. D. 1892.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

8205 State of Texas -vs- Jno S. Paschal } Theft. Friday Jany 15, 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant in person enters his plea of guilty Whereupon the Court assesses his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars and imprisonment in the County Jail for five hours. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jno S. Paschal the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail for the full space of Five hours and until the fine and costs in this case are paid in full.

8206 State of Texas -vs- Bob Larson } Aggravated Assault Friday Jany 15, 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: J. A. Eilzendaner and five others who were duly elected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant

Friday the 15th day of January A. D. 1892.

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

now here enters his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant guilty as charged of aggravated assault and assess his fine at $25.00

J. A. Gilzendamer, Foreman,

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Bob Lawson the sum of Twenty five dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue, Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Saturday Jany 16th 1892. Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on yesterday.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the papers herein says he will no further prosecute the following cause and moves the Court to dismiss the same viz:

7851 State of Texas -vs- Van Scanlin
Selling Wine, Beer & Whisky on Sunday

7995 State of Texas -vs- W. H. Williams
Breaking Down fence of another

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

1st Saturday the 16th day of January A. D. 1892.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

8111 State of Texas -vs- C. Schmidt
Keeping open on Sunday.

8119 State of Texas -vs- R. L. Finley
Keeping open on Sunday

8132 State of Texas -vs- C. Deitz
Keeping open on Sunday

8144 State of Texas -vs- Victor Foster
Keeping open on Sunday

8145 State of Texas vs Victor Foster
Keeping open on Sunday

8155 State of Texas -vs- J. F. Summers
Keeping open on Sunday

8156 State of Texas -vs- Fred Heman
Keeping open on Sunday

8202 State of Texas -vs- A. F. Brandt.
Keeping open on Sunday

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go hence without day.

7910 State of Texas -vs- S. Knisel } Betting at Game of Dominoes Saturday Jany 16. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: H. B. Tucker and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Indictment being read to the jury and the Defendant now here entering his plea of not guilty, Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict

Saturday the 16th day of January A. D. 1892.

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty.

N. B. Tucker, Foreman.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant go hence without day.

7967 State of Texas -vs- Isaac Atrash | Aggravated Assault Saturday Jany 16. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial. Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: G. R. Isbell and five others who were duly selected empanneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty. Whereupon the jury after hearing the evidence argument of counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returned into open Court the following verdict to wit "We the jury find the Defendant guilty of Simple Assault and assess his punishment at a fine of Five Dollars.

G. R. Isbell, Foreman.

It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Isaac Atrash the sum of Five Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Saturday the 16th day of January A. D. 1892.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

8093 State of Texas -vs- Mose Prince } Betting at Faro. Saturday Jany 16. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant withdrew entire his plea of guilty. Whereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Mose Prince the sum of Ten Dollars fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs in this behalf incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

7687 State of Texas -vs- Jerry Bowlin } Carrying Pistal Saturday Jany 16. 1892

Continued by Consent.

8189 State of Texas -vs- J. E. Ridgeway } Open on Sunday Saturday Jany 16. 1892.

Consent by Consent.

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Saturday the 16th day of January A. D. 1892,

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7946 State of Texas -vs- W. R. Gibbons } Carrying Pistol
Saturday Jany 16. 1892,
Continued by Consent.

7953 State of Texas -vs- Anderson Upshaw } Theft
Saturday Jany 16. 1892,
Continued by Consent.

Ordered that Court do now adjourn till Monday Jany 18" 1892 at 9 o'clock,

Monday Jany 18. 1892 Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present as on first day of Term,

Now comes J. C. Richardson, Sheriff of Tarrant County and who after being sworn according to law brings into open Court the following qualified jurors to wit: L. W. Prince, J. C. Boyd, Jim Moss, H. H. Edwards, J. B. Hamilton, Wm Kelly, George Norton, J. N. Hicks, T. O. Stone, J. A. Gilzendaner, Robt Shafer, E. Warner, John Cruse & E. Simpson who were all sworn and tried and placed upon the panel for this the third week of the Jany Term 1892 of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas.

Now comes the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State and for good and sufficient reasons filed with the stenographer herein says he will no further prosecute the following case and move the Court to dismiss the same viz:

7477 State of Texas -vs- Joe Purvis
Carrying Pistol,

County Court [Criminal] Minutes, Tarrant County, January Term, 1892.

Monday the 18" day of January A. D. 1892.

Blank Book Makers, Dallas, Texas.

7423 State of Texas -vs- Jas Purvis
Exhibiting Monte Bank.

7909 State of Texas vs- Van Santen
Betting at Dominoes

7997 State of Texas -vs- G. B. Scott
Playing Cards Public Place

8140 State of Texas -vs- J. G. Blair
Keeping open on Sunday

8142 State of Texas -vs- Louis Mang
Keeping open on Sunday

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of these prosecutions and that the Defendants go thereunto hence day.

7421 State of Texas -vs- Jas Purvis } Betting at Gaming Table & Bank
Monday Jany 18. 1892.

This day came the County Attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial a jury being waived the matters of fact as well as of law were submitted to the Court and the Defendant now here entered his plea of guilty, Thereupon the Court assessed his punishment at a fine of Ten Dollars. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the State of Texas do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jas Purvis the sum of Ten Dollars, fine assessed as aforesaid together with all costs herein incurred for which said fine and costs execution may issue. Further ordered that said Defendant stand committed to the County Jail till said fine and costs are paid in full.

Monday the 18th day of January A. D. 1892.

Floyd Shock & Co., Printers, Stationers and

7829 State of Texas -vs- G. E. Beach } Adultery, Monday Jany 18. 1892.

This day came the County attorney prosecuting the pleas of the State also came the Defendant in his own proper person and both parties announced ready for trial, Thereupon came a jury of good and lawful men to wit: S. M. Fry and five others who were duly selected empaneled and sworn according to law and the Information being read to the jury the Defendant now here entered his plea of not guilty, Thereupon the jury after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and receiving the charge of the Court retired to consider of their verdict and after mature deliberation returning into open Court the following verdict to wit: "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty, S. M. Fry. Foreman,

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the State of Texas recover nothing by reason of this prosecution and that the Defendant G. E. Beach go hence without day.

7877 State of Texas -vs- William Lightfoot } Betting at Game with Dice Monday Jany 18. 1892

W. D. Harris
County Judge.

END