


PRESERVATION OF THIS
HISTORICAL RECORD IS DEDICATED
TO TARRANT COUNTY
AND AUTHORIZED BY

The Tarrant County Clerk



PRESERVATION OF THIS HISTORICAL RECORD
IS DEDICATED TO TARRANT COUNTY
AND AUTHORIZED BY

The Tarrant County Clerk

AND

B. GLEN WHITLEY
COUNTY JUDGE

ROY C. BROOKS
COMMISSIONER, PRECINCT 1

ANDY H. NGUYEN
COMMISSIONER, PRECINCT 2

GARY FICKES
COMMISSIONER, PRECINCT 3

J.D. JOHNSON
COMMISSIONER, PRECINCT 4

CIVIL DOCKET COUNTY COURT

VOL. 7

TARRANT COUNTY

TAR 116


CIVIL DOCKET

COUNTY COURT

1881-1937

F.C.

Civil Docket County Court
Vol #7 Terrant City



♥	♣	♠	♠	J	♠
H	C	S	D	J	♠
H	C	S	- 2		
H	C	D	- 2		
C	S	D	- 2		
H	S	D	- 2	4-158-	8 8
H	C	-	2		
H	S	-	2		
H	D	-	2		
C	S	-	2		
C	D	-	2		
S	D	-	2	6 per	12
J				4.15.	8
				Kud-	1
					<u>29</u>

55-555

14
6
2
1
23

99.666

9.666

8 12 1444

12
x
6
22

144441-7777

9 4444
12
8
20

12
5
10F1
F.F.1
Civil Decree

$\frac{12}{16}$

87-6-29
86-9-10

9-19

30) 523.49 (61)

210
210

523.49
109

471.41
323

50.44.41
573.49

573.93

117
19

150
3.23.

67899

4
4
2
2
4

16

4 4
4 9 4

12
8

20

678
678

4 1
4 6
6

4

16

20

27

1082
F.R.1

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<p>1234 1234 J F</p>	<p>6 Holl Hollana Frow N F Frot W</p>
<p>S E V V Vineyard Vineyard</p>	<p>F R W Youngsfort Yo Yoo</p>
	<p>V V Vineyard V V Vineyard</p>
	<p>V Vineyard V Grape Vineyard V Grape Vineyard V Vineyard V Grape Vineyard V Vineyard</p>

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2239	Carlock Cyrus	The State of Texas vs. E. Howard et al	Prof. Bond	Dec	23	86
2240	Carlock B. H. Johnson	The State of Texas vs. L. Baer et al	same	"	"	"
2241	Carlock	The State of Texas vs. Bob Bridges et al	(same)	"	"	"
2242	Carlock	The State of Texas vs. Harry Walker et al	(same)	"	"	"
2243	Carlock	The State of Texas vs. J. H. Spear et al	same	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 188*6*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Order of Arrest
1/31

30 (10) Order of Arrest
1/31

do
1/31

30 (10) Judgment by default
Not Entered

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2244	Carlock	State of Texas T. H. Ewers et al	For Bond	Dec	20	86
2245	Carlock Patt	State of Texas vs. R. Gordon et al	Same	"	"	"
2246	Carlock Johnson	State of Texas vs. R. L. Turner et al	Same	"	"	"
2247	Carlock	State of Texas vs. Dick Birdwell et al.	Same	"	"	"
2248	Johnson McLaurry	Ed Otto vs. Will Lewis	Appeal	"	"	"

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Order of Council
7/36

Order of
7/37

Order of
7/37

301 W Jury demand by Bell
7/37

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2249	Mray vs. Kunt.	J. F. Fogg. vs. R. Taylor	Appeal	Dec	23	86
2250	L. H. & H.	J. V. M. Keffner vs. Ulysses Merchaut	Note	"	24	"
2252	B & M Co	C. J. Estill vs. Mo Pacific Co.	Damages	"	27	"
2253	H. & G. App for Anderson	R. H. Bondurant vs. W. A. Sanderson et al	Note	"	28	"
2254	Carlock App	State of Texas vs. John S. Leer et al	Shrift Bond	"	"	"

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<p>State of Texas State of Texas State of Texas State of Texas</p>	<p>Set for 2 P.M. 30. 1 W. 3 D. 1 W. - Judgment for Debt for \$75⁰⁰/₁₀₀ \$7</p>
<p>State of Texas State of Texas State of Texas State of Texas</p>	<p>3 D 1 W Judgment final by default on note - 1/38 O</p>
	<p>Set for 2 D 2 W - 6 D 1 W. Debt leave to amend - 2 D 2 W. Debt judgment - Demurrer over ruled deft except - Judgment for Debt for \$700⁰⁰ deft except & fine costs of appeal 1/50</p>
	<p>3 D 1 W. Judgment by default as a W. W. McHalls Robt Contractor Set for 6 D 1 W - 1/38 6 D 1 W Contd for Surin -</p>
	<p>3 D 1 W Contd; Granted - 1/39</p>

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2255	C. F. H. H.	J. S. Clarko et al vs. R. R. Ray	Partition of Personal Property	Dec	24	86
2256	J. H. Frost	C. H. Ruttman vs. J. P. Houser et al	Appeal nunc. p.	Jan'y	1	1887
2257	Scott Rogers Ford	Frank Meier vs. Roche Bros & Hurley et al.	Same	"	"	"
2258	Kennedy Milling	J. M. McLaughlin et al vs. J. North & D. Ryly.	Same	"	"	"
2259	Humphreys Fitch	Fred Hofflein vs. M. Pae Ryly,	Same	"	"	"

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<p>219 877. Report of Commissioners affirmed</p>	<p>3014 M.D. Priest apprd Guardian ad litem for minor part - order that property described in Pby petition be partitioned as prayed for - Jones, Chism and Sweet apprt 2 Commissioners of partition and partition ordered in accord³ therewith</p>
	<p>Set for D - W</p>
<p>X</p>	<p>Set for 3022 - Mc 3022 Motion to make new parties over ruled - Judgment for Pby for \$5000 against Def - G. E. Hudson & Co 33457</p>
	<p>Set for 2024 3024 Cause contd on application of Def. on account of the absence of G. E. Hudson as a witness for the Defen - 754</p>

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
852	B. & McE.	Baer Seasingood & Co. vs. H. J. Wilson	Attachment	11	1881	
854	B. & McE.	Seligman & Mayer vs. F. J. Weir	Attachment	11	14	
943	B. & McE. D. B. & R.	T. J. Lovelady vs. St. L. & S. M. Ry. Co.	Damages	11	19 83	
953 954	B. & McE. Scott	Collins Bros. vs. W. B. Brooks Garnishee C. R. Harris, Deft.	Garnishee	11	30	
961 960	B. & McE. " " Scott	A. H. Holdend & Co. vs. W. B. Brooks Garnishee	" "	May	14	

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<p><i>Cont. by Consent " generally "</i></p>	
<p><i>Judgment by default with writ of inquiry. Cont. by Consent, " generally "</i></p>	
<p><i>Continued by Consent "</i></p>	
<p><i>Continued by Consent " " "</i></p>	<p><i>3010 Cont'd by Consent 7/99</i></p>
<p><i>Continued by Consent,</i></p>	<p><i>3010 Cont'd by Consent 7/99</i></p>

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
6 988	B. & C. J. J.	Max Kramer vs. Wright & Wright Robt Morris et al.	Appeal	May	13	53
7 993	B. & M. Co Scott	Humphrey Specific Hom. Med. Co. vs. W. B. Brooks, Garnishee C. R. Harris Deft	Garnishment	"	29	"
8 1026	B. & M. Co. Scott	Drey & Kahn vs. W. B. Brooks et al	Certiorari	July	28	"
9 1027	B. & M. Co. Scott	Conrad & Co. vs. W. B. Brooks et al	Certiorari	"	"	"
10 1147	B & M Co.	Bateman & Bro. vs. B. M. Lindsey	Attachment	Nov	17	"

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

In Court of Appeals,

*Cont. by Plaintiff
 " " Defendant
 " " Agreement
 " " "
 " " Consent,*

*3 D W Court by Consent
 7/100*

*Cont. by defendant
 " " agreement
 " " Consent,*

*3 D W Court by Consent
 7/100*

(Same as above)

*3 D W Court by Consent
 2/100*

*Judgment by default
 with writ of inquiry
 Court. by Consent,*

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1213	Ward Ward F. S. & Co.	L. A. Brunwig & Co. vs. Max Kramer	Appeal	July	25	84
1339	C. & C.	City of Fort Worth vs. J. T. Saunders et al.	Rights of Way	Feb	23	"
1349	F. S. & Co. B. & M. Co.	E. A. Jacobs vs. E. S. Tomlinson	Debt.	July	5	"
1350 1349	F. S. & Co. B. & M. Co.	E. A. Jacobs. vs. W. M. Loda et al. Garnishees E. S. Tomlinson Debt.	Garnishment	"	"	"
1416 1413	H. & G.	Mr. R. Beckwith vs. J. P. Higgins Garnishee Tex. M. Co. et al. Debt.	Garnishment	Aug	19	"

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

In Court of Appeals,

Off

Continued,

*3 D/W Dismissed for want
of Prosecution - Judgment
of answer for costs -
7/100*

*Cont. by Plaintiff
" " agreement
" " "
" " Consent*

*3 D/W. Cont'd by Consent
7/100*

*Dismissed as to Horden,
Continued as to rest,
" by Consent
" " "*

Same 7/100

Cont. by Consent,

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
14 1467	S. S. & Co. Scott	R. M. Page vs. J. T. Burt	Certiorari Jurman P.	Oct	4	84
off 1496	Carlock Capps	State of Texas vs. Abe Woody et al	Inf. Recog.	"	23	"
off 1580	S. & M. Co. D. B. & P.	G. H. Hunt, vs. H. C. & J. F. Ray, Co.	Appeal Jurman P.	July	5	85
off 1602	C. W. & DeB. Carlock & R. & S.	Jones & Owen vs. Howell Bros. et al	Damages	"	30	"
off 1614	S. S. & Co. A. & L.	Max Gutman vs. F. P. Brown	Appeal Jurman P.	Feb	9	85

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Cont. by Consent,
Jan'y term 1887,
Mr. Harris special judge,
Cont. by self, to substitute
lost government Bonds

State leave to amend
and continued
continued by consent,

Set for 4 D 1 W. Reset for 2 D 2 W
5 D 2 W. Judgment nisi
set aside 1 - 11
/ 140

In Court of Appeals,


~~off~~

In Court Appeals,

~~off~~

Cont. by Consent,

Set for 2 D 2 W. Reset for 1²⁰ P. W. 5 D 2 W
5 D. P. 2 W. Judgment nisi for self
- 27
/ 137



Trial CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
15 1642	A. & L. M. & L.	J. D. Lakin vs. J. B. Farmer.	Arbitration	Feb	21	85
16 1652	C. & H. B. G. Johnson	A. Sinclair vs. M. A. Cooper et al	Certiorari	Mar	5	"
17 1665	B. & M. C. Herd	John Behrens vs. Adolph Widmer	Attachment	"	25	"
17 1735	M. Lawry D. B. & R.	W. F. Smyer vs. E. C. & F. H. Ry. Co.	Appeal mandate	July	2	"
17 1757	B. & F. M. & G.	M. D. Keck vs. C. W. Stuttery et al	Appeal Final	"	30	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 188*8*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

In Court of Appeals,

off

to be taken up by Consent

H. B. Herd appointed to represent non-resident defendant

In Court of Appeals

off

In Court of Appeals,

Trial CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
18 1770	B. & McCo.	Baumbarger Bloom Co. C. W. & D. B. Hill P. Anderson vs. et al.	Debt.	August	4	85
19 1830 1749	B. & McCo.	Robt. M. Cart et al vs. J. M. S. James et al , Garnishes J. D. Beauchamp et al Defts	Garnishment	Dec	22	85
20 1831 1749	B. & McCo.	Robt. M. Cart et al vs. A. S. James Garnishes J. D. Beauchamp et al depts	Garnishment	"	"	"
54 1854	Carlock Aynes	State of Texas vs. J. T. Leere et al.	Prof. Bonds	Nov	24	"
55 1866	Carlock B. J. Johnson	State of Texas vs. Bill Woods et al	same	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<i>Continued by Consent</i> " " "	
<i>Continued</i>	<i>3 D 1 W Contd</i> <i>7/101</i>
<i>Continued</i>	<i>Same</i> <i>7/101</i>
<i>Continued by</i>	<i>3 D 1 W. Dismissed by order of Co. Clk.</i> <i>7/101</i>
<i>Continued</i>	<i>Set 5 D 2 W</i> <i>6 D 2 W. Judgment nisi set aside</i> <i>and cause dismissed -</i> <i>7/143</i>

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
21 1868	Carlock B. G. Johnson	State of Texas vs. Jerry Keating & al	For Bond	Nov	24	85
22 1879	C. M. & D. B. S. & S. Ford Carb Receiver	Augustus M. Cook vs. M. S. E. & B. A. of a	Relief	Dec	5	
23 1880 1879	C. M. & D. B. H. & G. S. & S. for Carb Receiver,	Augustus M. Cook vs. E. M. Macy & al M. S. E. & B. A. of a, Dep	Garnishment	"	"	"
24 1891	B. & F. H. S. & P.	M. S. Bruce vs. State Nat Bank	Relief	"	15	"
25 1916	Carlock A. B. & R.	James Brown vs. Mo. Pac. Ry. Co.	Damages	July	8	86

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 188*7*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Continued

Continued by Consent

Cont. by Consent

In Court of Appeals,

*Continued by consent
left leave to amend
and cause continued*

*351W Cause dismissed by
order of P^l's Atty Judgmt
against P^l for costs*

7/10/11

True CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
24 1929	A. L. McLaurry	Cawey & Swasey vs. Pearce & McCabe	Notes & Acct.	July	22	86
25 1979	McLaurry May & S.	Edward Kitchards vs. E. Morris	Certiorari	March		86
1988	B. & M. Co. D. B. & P.	Bayne & Cecil vs. Mc. Paer Ry. Co.	Damages		23	"
2004	McLaurry Rogers	Mrs A. Sudder vs. G. O. & S. D. Ry. Co.	Appeal Zim & P.	April	22	"
2011	Amundin & Hendricks P. C. & P.	Perry & Lawkins vs. R. M. Page.	Appeal Zim & P.		29	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

In Court of Appeals.

Cont. by Consent

*3514 Court, Consent
7/101*

In Court of Appeals.

In Court of Appeals.

Final

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2014	McLaurry Rogers	Geo. Anderson vs. G.C. & S.F. Ry Co.	Appeal Hawes P.	May		"
2017	P.C. & P. Dorck	Ft. W. & N.O. Ry. Co. vs. G.C. & S.F. Ry. Co.	Condemnation		3	"
2020	P.C. & P. Dorck	Ft. W. & N.O. Ry. Co. vs. G.C. & S.F. Ry. Co.	same			"
2021	C. & C. B. & F.	J.H. Scheubert Co. vs. N.T. Maddox & Co. Wm. A. Wilson & Co.	Injunction		15	"
2022	A. & L. C. & C.	G.M. Ruttman vs. B.F. Bennett & Co.	Appeal Zimm P.		19	"

TARRANT COUNTY *March* TERM, 188*6*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

In Court of Appeals,

*Motion to dissolve injunction
overruled.*

*Set for 3 D 2nd - Resub for 5 D 2nd
5 D. 2nd. Cause Cor 2^d, dep
7/140*

trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
31 2032	P.C. & P. Ford	J.M. & A.O. Ry, Co. vs. G.C. & S. Ry Co.	Condemnation	May	26	86
32 2033	F. & S. P.C. & P.	M.V. Miller vs. J.F. Fogg.	Sequestration	"	27	"
2041 July	Blair & Smith B. & M. Co. C. & C.	Mrs. E. Smith vs. City of Fort Worth	Damages	June	3	86
2042 July	Blair & Smith. B. & M. Co. C. & C.	Jane C. Sealey vs. City of Fort Worth	Damages	"	"	"
33 2050	Carroll Marcell May S.	B.F. Johnson vs. W.F. Patterson	Certiorari			

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 188*7*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
Continued by Consent	3 D/W. Jury demanded by Plff. 7/10/02
Continued by Consent	3 D/W Jury demanded by Plff. 7/10/02
	3 D/W. Cont'd by Plff. 7/10/02

Grand

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
34 2052	A. & L. C. & C.	Wm Bohan vs. J. H. Bolando	Debt & Injunction	June	8	83
35 2071	Blair & Davis P. C. & P.	Wm P. Progan vs. F. M. & M. O. Ry. Co.	Damages	June	24	86
36 2072	Blair & Davis P. C. & P.	James Crabtree vs. F. M. & M. O. Ry. Co.	Damages	"	"	"
37 2081	M. & L. C. & C.	D. C. Fuyles vs. City of Fort Worth	Damages	July	26	"
37 2098	McLaurij A. B. & R.	Ben C. Evans vs. Mo Paer Ry. Co.	Damages	Aug	14	1886

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

set for 3, D 2 W. Reset for 5 D 2 W
5 D 2 W. Court by P. H. H.
7/140

Continued by Consent,

3 D 1 W. Court by Consent
7/10 or

Continued by Consent

3 D 1 W Cont'd by Consent
7/10 or

3 D 1 W Dismissed by order of
P. H. H. atty. judgment against
plff for costs - 7/10 or

In Court of appeals

copy

Trial CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2101	Carlock P.C. & P.	State of Texas vs. J. D. Hodges & W. B. Ward	Stop Bond	Aug	16	86
2130	McLaurie D.P. & R.	Abigail Sadler vs. G. C. & S. J. Rybo.	Damages	"	20	"
2153	W. J. Short b + b	E. M. Miller & Co. vs. T. P. Nevins	Note	Sept	7	86
2158	W. & H. Scott	Manning Trammell vs. U. F. Pruitt	Certiorari	"	"	"
2159	Herd C. H. & H.	Croxton Wood & Co. vs. A. P. Ryan and Josephine Ryan	Trial Rights of Property.	"	13	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Accont by the state

Set for 4 D. W.

4 D. W. Judgment nisi set aside and cause dismissed

In Case No. 3490. Defts please guilty to aggravated assault - fine assessed at \$2500

In Court of Appeals,

3 D. W. Cause dismissed for want of prosecution judgment against plaintiff

Reinstated

[Signature]

In Court of Appeals

Set for 1 D. W.

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
41 2160	A. L. P. C. & P.	A. G. Pittman & Co. vs. F. W. & N. Ry. Co.	Appeal Judgt.	Sep	25	86
42 2173	Priest Scott	G. W. Alexander vs. W. S. Maddox	Conversion	Oct	17	"
43 2174	B. B. & Priest Hill	M. J. Lane vs. A. J. Rogers	Damages	"	"	"
69 2179	M. Lawry Wray & S.	E. J. Evans vs. B. F. Bennett	Breach of Contract	"	19	"
70 2182	B. G. Johnson J. S. Davis	L. B. Creswell vs. C. H. Capps & Co.	Appeal nau J. P.			

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<i>Set for 3 D 2W - 3 D 2W cont'd by consent.</i>
<i>Continued by consent</i> " " "	<i>3 D 1W cont'd by consent</i> $\frac{1}{100}$
<i>Continued by consent</i> " " "	<i>3 D 1W. cont'd by Ref</i> $\frac{1}{104}$
<i>Jury demanded by plff.</i> <i>Continued</i>	<i>3 D 1W Dismissed by order of Ref. Altho jury met & plff for costs</i> $\frac{1}{104}$ ①
<i>Jury demanded by plff.</i>	<i>3 D 1W Jury demanded by Ref</i> $\frac{1}{104}$

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
44 2187	H. W. S. P. C. & P.	F. W. Hays vs. F. W. & N. O. Ry. Co.	Damages	Oct	25	86
45 2204	Chas. Fred Muekerdy (Am. Carter) P. C. & P.	Bitterton Drvier & Co. vs. 1st Nat Bank of N. W. et al -	Debt	"	27	"
2206 July	Scott J. F. Cooper	A. F. Truitt vs. E. W. Bells et al.	Debt.	"	24	"
2211	B. & M. Co. & Hards D. B. & R.	C. H. Higber vs. Mo Pac Ry. Co.	Damages	Dec	2	"
2214 July	B. & M. Co. P. C. & P.	Osborne & Crockett vs. E. E. Rankin et al.	Contract	"	3	"

TARRANT COUNTY *March* TERM, 188*7*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<p>Jury demanded by Affs. 3D (at Court by Counsel - 7/104</p>
<p>Continued</p>	<p>3D (at Jury demanded by Affs. 7/104</p>
	<p>3D (at Jury demanded by Affs. 7/104</p>
<p>In Court of Appeals.</p>	
<p>Jury demanded by Affs.</p>	<p>3D (at Jury demanded by Affs. 7/104</p>

Dial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
<i>off</i> 2219	<i>McGawry</i> <i>Fords</i>	<i>Kemp Bros.</i> <i>G.C. vs. S. P. Ry. Co.</i>	<i>Damages</i>	<i>Dec</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>86</i>
<i>2220</i> <i>W</i>	<i>Scott & Orr</i> <i>Humphries</i>	<i>E. C. Machan Bros.</i> <i>A. J. Anderson</i>	<i>Appeal</i> <i>Warrant</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>46</i> <i>2222</i>	<i>O. M. W.</i> <i>Wray</i>	<i>D. C. Evans & Co.</i> <i>M. L. Little et al</i>	<i>Note</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>off</i> 2223	<i>Carlock</i> <i>C. & C.</i>	<i>State of Texas</i> <i>John Murri et al</i>	<i>Prof. Bonds</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>off</i> 2227	<i>Carlock</i>	<i>State of Texas</i> <i>Jim Thomason</i> <i>et al</i>	<i>same.</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

In Court of Appeals.

*Jury demanded by deft,
loss of papers suggested,
Plff. leave to substitute -*

*3 D W by demanded by
deft -*
✓ *100*

Continued.

*3 D W County Ref.
11/100*

Continued by consent,

*Set for 2 D 2 W
2 D 2 W. Co. dty, exceptions to defts
answer sustained. - deft excepts -
Judgment nisi set aside
and cause dismissed.*
11/130 *[Signature]*

*Judgment by default
set aside*

*3 D W dismissed by order of
Co. Atty*
11/100

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
47 2232	C. H. & H.	Alexander Loney vs. G. C. & S. F. Ry. Co.	Damages	Dec	18	86
48 2235	C. H. & H.	James J. Wilson et al vs. G. C. & S. F. Ry. Co.	Damages	"	20	"
49 2236	C. H. & H.	M. A. Paxton vs. G. C. & S. F. Ry. Co.	Damages	"	"	"
July 2237	B. G. Johnson J. S. Davis	L. B. Creswell vs. G. L. Pearce et al	Certiorari	"	"	"
off 2238	Carlock Cyres	State of Texas vs. E. Howard et al	Forf Bond	"	23	"

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

In Court of Appeals,

In Court of Appeals,

In Court of Appeals,

Cause dismissed
Reinstated

351W Jury demanded by Pugh
1/106

Cont by Consent.

Set 20 2W
2.5.2W. Judgment nisi set
aside
1/131

Trial CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2240	Carlock	State of Texas vs. L. Baer et al	Prof. Bonds	Dec	2	86
2241	Carlock	State of Texas vs. Bob Bridges et al	same	"	"	"
2242	Carlock	State of Texas vs. Henry Walker et al	same	"	"	"
2244	Carlock	State of Texas vs. G. H. Ewers et al	same	"	"	"
2245	Carlock Priest	State of Texas vs. R. Gordon et al	same	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<p>Cont. by consent,</p> <p><i>Yrashed</i></p>	<p>Set for 5-19-20-</p> <p>6 D 2 W. Judgment final against principal and sureties for amt of bond - debts except and give notice of appeal to court of appeals.</p>
<p>Cont. by consent,</p>	<p>3 D 1 W. Judgment final by default for amt of bond</p> <p>" 1/10</p>
	<p>3 D 1 W judgment final by default for amt of bond</p> <p>" 12</p>
<p>Cont. by consent.</p>	<p>3 D 1 W Dismissed by order of the court,</p> <p>" 106</p>
<p>(Same)</p>	<p>3 D 1 W Dismissed</p> <p>" 106</p>

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2246	Crook B. G. Johnson	State of Texas vs. R. L. Turner et al	Forf. Bond	Dec	23	86
2248	Johnson McLaurry	Ed. Otta vs. Will Lewis	Appeal	"	"	"
2252	B. & M. Co. L. B. & R.	C. F. Estill vs. McPue Ry. Co.	Damages	"	27	"
2264	Crook Ayres	State of Texas vs. J. T. Leer et al	Forf Bond	"	28	"
2266	T. & H. Priest	C. H. Ruttman vs. J. P. Houser et al	Appeal Haley P.	July	1	87

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Cont. by consent

*3 D 100 Reversed Error
of Co. City - 7/104*

Jury demanded by deft.

Set for 5 D 200

New trial

*5 D 100. Judgment for Plff
against Def for \$470 and costs
of Justice below. Costs of County
Court adjidged against Plff.
7/140*

In Court of Appeals.

Cont. by consent

*Set for 3 D 200
5 D 200 Error; correct
7/141*

Set for 5 D 100 - 2⁰⁰ P.M.

*5 D, 100. Bond quashed and appeal
dismissed. Plff excepted and given
notice of appeal - 7/125.*

Trial CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
572 2258	<i>Kennedy</i>	<i>Jno. M. Laughlin & Co.</i> <i>ON & W.</i>	<i>Appeal</i> <i>newly</i>	<i>July</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>87</i>
2259	<i>Humphries</i>	<i>Fred Hoyle</i> <i>Finch</i>	<i>Same</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>
		vs.				
		vs.				
		vs.				

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 188*6*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<p><i>Cont. by deft for witness A E. Henderson</i></p>	<p><i>Set for 25th Dec 20th Dec 25th Dec. Judgment for Plf for \$50.00 - O - 1/201</i></p>
<p><i>O O</i></p>	

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1000	County	Bateman & Bro. vs. J. D. Harston & E. R. Loyau	Note	June		83
1050	Wray & S.	Josh. Brown vs. R. H. Chumellon & Co.	Note	March	21	84
1772	W. S.	D. H. Cooper vs. Walsh & Willett	Debt.	Aug	18	85
1824	B. B. & H. H. C. & Co.	Sam. Furman for use of Tarrant County. vs. Isaac Cooper & Co.	Liquor Bond	Oct	15	"
1829	Wray & S. 91	The City National Bank vs. Geo. C. McKinney	Attachment	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<i>Continued for service,</i>	<i>3 D 1 W Court for service 7/10/87</i>
<i>Continued for service,</i>	<i>3 D 1 W Court for service 7/10/87</i>
<i>Continued for service,</i>	<i>3 D 1 W Court for service 7/10/87</i>
<i>Continued for service on new parties,</i>	<i>3 D 1 W Court 7/10/87</i>
<i>Continued for service -</i> "	<i>3 D 1 W Court for service 7/10/87</i>

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
6 1889	H. H. & B.	Sam Arvine vs. Jesse Arvine	Account	Dec	16	88
7 1892	B. M. & H. Herdo R. G. Johnson	Chas. Scheuber vs. W. D. Deaton	Notes.	"	"	"
8 1898	Wray & S.	E. Morris vs. A. W. Crabtree	Attachment	"	27	"
9 1899 1898	Wray & S.	E. Morris vs. Johnson & Halliards Garnished A. W. Crabtree - def.	Garnishment	"	"	"
10 1900 1898	Wray & S.	Josh Brown vs. Jesse S. Pusee Garnished A. W. Crabtree defendant	Garnishment	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Continued for Service

*3 D 100 Contd for Service
7/07*

*Continued for Service
R.G. Johnson appointed to
represent non-resident dep.
Continued for Service.*

*3 D 100 Contd for Service
7/07*

*Cont. for Service
Off. leave to annul
Continued
for Service.*

*3 D 100 Contd for Service
7/07*

Continued

Continued

Appeared

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
11 1921	Wray & S.	Joe H. Brown vs. J. E. Taylor & Co.	Attachment	July	13	86
12 1923 1921	Wray vs.	Joe H. Brown vs. Robt. H. McNatt Garnishee J. E. Taylor & Co. Defts	Garnishment	"	14	"
13 1924 1921	Wray & S. Carlock	Joe H. Brown vs. W. W. McNatt Garnishee J. E. Taylor & Co. Defts.	Same	"	"	"
14 1925 1921	Wray & S. Carlock	Joe H. Brown vs. W. W. McNatt & Co. Garnishee J. E. Taylor & Co. Defts.	Same	"	"	"
15 1926	Wray & S.	Joe H. Brown vs. J. H. L. Hill	Debt	"	29	86

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 188*7*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<i>Continued for Service</i>	<i>35 (10) Cent for Service 7/108</i>
<i>Continued</i>	
<i>Same</i>	
<i>Same.</i>	
<i>levied for Service</i>	<i>35 (10) Cent for Service 7/108</i>

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
16 2044 2043	P.C. & P.	H.W. Spear vs. Dave Burns Garnishee J.A. Ashmore def.	Garnishment	June	3	86
17 2045 2043	P.C. & P.	H.W. Spear vs. S.W. Lomas Garnishee J.A. Ashmore def.	Same	"	"	"
18 2048 2043	P.C. & P.	H.W. Spear vs. Henry Byrne Garnishee J.A. Ashmore def.	Same	"	"	"
19 2046	P.C. & P.	H.W. Spear vs. Porter Garnishee J.A. Ashmore def.	Same	"	"	"
2004		State of Texas vs. J.W. Blackman Et al	Prof. Bond	"	23	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 188*7*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Cont. for service.

*GD (W). Dismissed
2/10/08*

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
<i>2067</i>	<i>Wray & S.</i>	<i>Jos. H. Brown</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>R. F. Clark</i>	<i>Debt</i>	<i>July</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>86</i>
<i>2079</i>	<i>R. G. Johnson</i>	<i>Nichols Shepard & Co.</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>L. D. Martin et al</i>	<i>Debt.</i>	<i>July</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>86</i>
<i>2102</i>	<i>Capps</i>	<i>City of New York</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>T. H. Boone &</i> <i>W. Miller</i>	<i>Inf. Bond</i>	<i>Aug</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>'</i>
<i>2156</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>P. O. Nygaard</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>G. W. Stone alias</i> <i>Stafford</i>	<i>notes &</i> <i>Attachment</i>	<i>Sept</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>2157</i>	<i>P. C. & P.</i>	<i>J. B. Mitchell & Co.</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>W. W. Keeter et al</i>	<i>Sequestration</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Continued for Service.

*3 D/W Contd for Service
7/108*

(Same)

*2 D/W: Cause dismissed as to debt
E. L. Schultz - Judgment final by
default & default - Defts L. D.
Martin and H. J. Martin for amt of
noted on with interest and attorney's
charges stated less credit sundries
with foreclosure of mortgage upon
the property described in both petitions*

(C)

*3 D/W Contd for Service 7/132
7/108*

*3 D/W Contd for Service
7/106*

Continued for Service.

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2167	O'Connell McLaurry	B.C. Evans & Co. vs. Jas. Logue & Co.	Notes	Sept	27	86
2202	McLaurry	L.A. Trimble & Co. vs. Chas. Gay	Attachment	Nov	19	"
2203 2202	McLaurry	L.A. Trimble & Co. vs. Joe Mayer Garnishes Chas. Gay Ref.	Garnishment	"	20	"
2207	Clydes	Casey & Swamy vs. W.N. Coffey	Debt	"	26	"
2224	Carlock	State of Texas vs. John Morgan et al	Forf. Bonds	Dec	11	86

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<p>58110. Judgment final by default for amt of notes with interest and attorney, as herein stated.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">7/26</p>
<p>Continued for service,</p>	<p>35110 Contd for service</p> <p style="text-align: right;">7/08.</p>
<p>Cont. for service,</p>	<p>35210 T. D. Hovankamp. App't to represent non resident defendant judgment for fees for \$216 ⁶⁸/₁₀₀</p> <p>J. D. Hovankamp allowed fee of \$500 for accounting for debt - same to be taxed as part of the costs in the case -</p> <p style="text-align: right;">7/136</p>
<p>Cont. for service</p>	<p>35110 Cause dismissed as to E. Hovankamp - Judgment final by default against other debts for amt of bond 7/124</p>

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2226	Carlock	State of Texas vs. J. M. Blackman et al	Stop Bonds	Dec	11	86
2243	Carlock	State of Texas vs. J. H. Speer et al	Same	"	23	"
2247	Carlock	State of Texas vs. Dick Birdwell et al	Same	"	"	"
2253	H. G.	B. H. Ponduraus vs. A. J. Sanderson et al	Note & attachment against Robt Crockett	"	28	"
2260	J. W. H. and H.	Mrs Nancy Harris vs. J. M. & M. O. Pyleo.	Damages	Jan	3	1887

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<i>Continued for service,</i>	3 D/W. Judgment final by default for acct of bond
	3 D/W Contd for service 7/109
<i>Cont. for service,</i>	3 D/W Contd for service 7/109
<i>Judgment by default against W.M. McHatt and Robt Crockett Continued for service,</i>	3 D/W passed for settlement.
	3 D/W Jury demanded by <i>Plf</i> 7/109 Act for 2 D/W

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
trial 2261	Wray & S. A + S	Jos. H. Brown vs. J. M. Meyers	Branch of Warrant	July	5	87
trial 2262	Wray & S.	Jos. H. Brown vs. J. A. Stuchcomb & Son	Note	"	"	"
off 2263	C. & C.	Chas Scheuber & Co. vs. L. B. Nail	Attachment	"	8	"
trial 2264	Kendricks & Nymers C + C + S.	W. A. Huffman & Co. vs. H. W. Young & Son	note & attachment	July	15	"
2265	M + S Duman	J. M. & R. G. Ry. Co. vs. W. A. Hunter	Condemnation	"	15	"

8000990
866000

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 188*6*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

to to to

3d 1W Court; *Alph*
1/109

*B
9.31*

o.oo
oo
E+O.E. E.x.O.E.
E+O.E. E.x.O.E.
E.x.O.E. E+O.E.
E+O.E.

3d 1W. Judgment by default
with writ of *arguam*
1/109

3d 1W. Judgment by default
for *amt of note*. *and*
attachment lien foreclosed
and clerk ordered to turn
*over to *plff* proceeds of property*
and
1/110

Set for *4d 2W*
4d 2W J.P. Grace leave to file
plea of intervention *of* *plff* exceptio to
plea of intervention *Sustained* - *Interven*
or *except* and gives notice of appeal -
Intervenors application to withdraw announcement - *Lit* *dismiss*
bill - *Judg* *meas* for *plff* *against* *deft.* for *amt of note* with
inter and *Allothe* *for* *amt of*
ment *lien* *on* *propert*
levied *on* - *clerk* *ordered* *to*
turn *over* *to* *plff* *proceeds* *of* *sale*. *sufficient* *to* *cover* *judgment*
and *return* *excess* *of* *amt* *to* *deft* - *Interven* *of* *plff* *except*
leave *to* *withdraw* *exhibit* *attached* *to* *plea* *of* *intervention*
upon *leaving* *with* *the* *clerk* *of* *the* *court* *copies* *of* *the* *same*.

3d 1W. *sum* *demand* *by* *deft*
1/134

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
<i>29</i> <i>2266</i>	<i>H. M. Furman</i>	<i>A. Harding</i> <i>Henry Hittson et al</i> ^{vs.}	<i>Note</i>	<i>July</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>87</i>
<i>30</i> <i>2267</i>	<i>Scott & Rob. Orr</i>	<i>McCameron & Co.</i> <i>Fogg & Holmes</i> ^{vs.}	<i>Note</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>31</i> <i>2268</i> <i>1750</i>	<i>J. S. Davis</i>	<i>Mary A. Packaberry</i> <i>Jones & Carey Gamshes</i> ^{vs.} <i>Burris & Jordan Defts</i>	<i>Garnishment</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>30</i> <i>2269</i>	<i>Hendricks & Dumble</i>	<i>B. C. Evans & Co.</i> <i>J. P. Smith</i> ^{vs.}	<i>Account</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>31</i> <i>2270</i>	<i>Hendricks</i>	<i>W. A. Haffman & Co</i> <i>J. H. Chapman</i> ^{vs.}	<i>Notes</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<p>2 D 2 W. Judgment by default as to Henry Wilson & W. J. Linn. Court as v. W. J. Wilson, R. L. James and G. P. James 7/133</p>
	<p>3 D 1 W. Judgment of default for amount of note sued on - 7/111</p>
	<p>3 D 1 W. Commission ordered by Cook Co - 7/112</p>
	<p>3 D 1 W Court for fees 7/112</p>
	<p>3 D 1 W Judgment by default with writ of sequestration 7/112</p> <p>6 D 1 W Judgment by default for amount of note sued on with interest and attorney fees as to the States - with foreclosure of lien and for amount of \$1000 7/127</p>
	<p>7/127</p>

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
off 2271	Hendricks P. H. H.	McKuffina & Co. vs. Martha A. Chapman	Notes	June	17	07
trial 2272	Bowlin & Bowlin + C & C McLary	Edward Kruhl vs. L. A. Drumble & Co.	Damages	"	18	"
off 2273	C. & C.	Casey & Swasey vs. A. Rogers	Attachment	"	"	"
trial 2274	B & F. G & W + York	Liber Mennier vs. Hugh Pyru & Co.	Damages	"	20	"
trial 2275	Ross & Ross Herdo C H H	M. H. Murren vs. M. R. Sanguinet & A. Dawson	Debt	"	21	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 188*6*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

*3 D. W. judgment finally
default. 7/17/86*

*3 D. W. Jny demanded by Ross
7/11/86*

*Case paid in Vacation and
Case dismissed.*

*3 D. W. Jny defr Dymms
7/11/86*

Case taken up by Counsel

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
<i>trial</i> 2276 2275	Ross & Ross & Herd P. C. & P.	N. A. Suren vs. The Commercial Club <i>garment</i> Saugman & Dawson Defts.	Garnishment	<i>July</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>87</i>
<i>trial</i> 2277	May & S. Ayres	J. F. Fogg. vs. E. A. Keller	Appeal	"	<i>24</i>	"
<i>off</i> 2278	Sawyers Leaborn & Hillier & Co.	McCameron & Co. vs. E. J. Thompson	Account	"	<i>26</i>	"
<i>off</i> 2279	E. & J.	W. N. Nat'l Bank vs. S. H. Chapman et al.	Note	"	<i>27</i>	"
<i>31</i> 2280	O. & W.	David Boy vs. The Illinois Central Ry. Co.	Debt & attachment	"	<i>28</i>	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 188*7*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

sd for 4 W m

4 D, 1 W. Plff - leave to file supplemental petition

Set for 30 3 W

2 D, 3 W. Answer of deft withdrawn

3 D, 3 W. Judgment for plff for #414

3 D, 1 W. Judgment final by default for amt of note with interest and charges.

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2283	H. & H. M.D. Priest P.C. & P. for 6	A. Ockander vs. E.B. Duggett	Appeal	July	31	87
2284	Carter & DeBerry Mary & Stanley	Schaefer Swarts & Co. vs. Chase Prading & Co.	Debt & Attach ^x	"	"	"
2285	C. C. & O. Carlock & Yutispi	L. A. Bradfield vs. J. M. House	Cartorari	Feb	2	"
2286	McGawoy	David Minter vs. J. D. Wilkes and J. L. Gause	Note	"	5	"
2287	Blair J. L. Hill	Chas. Carlin & Jas Logden vs. L. Hutchinson & H. H. Bentley	Appeal	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 188*7*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<p>3 D 1W Jury demands by deft Haffert 7/115</p>
	<p>3 D 1W Pth dismissed as to deft E.E. Chase A.M. Prater to be taken up by counsel 7/115</p>
	<p>to be taken up by counsel 7/115</p>
	<p>3 D 1W judgment by default with writ of seque^{re} 7/114</p>
	<p>3 D 1W J^{ury} <i>immediately</i> set for 5 D 2 W. Appeal dismissed See Motion 2001</p>

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
Trial 2288	Leudrick	M. Huffnand Imp. Co. vs. Omailla & People Cooperative Association P.H.	Note Attachment	Feb	9	87
Trial 2289	R. Johnson	J. A. Evans vs. Thos. L. Gibson	Debt & Settlement	"	14	"
Trial 2290	Leudrick Q.C. & P.	M. Huffnand Imp. Co. vs. T. A. Neale	Debt	"	"	"
off 2291	W. M. & S.	J. M. & R. G. Ry Co vs. M. Hogan	Condemnation	"	15	"
off 2292	Carlock B. Am. Co.	State of Texas vs. Jose Wilson et al	Forf. Bonds	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 188*7*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<p>3 D, W Court $\frac{7}{115}$</p>
	<p>5 D, W. Plaintiff leave to amend cause set for Apl 22. $\frac{7}{141}$</p>
	<p>5 D, W. Both parties leave to amend $\frac{7}{141}$</p>
	<p>1 D, W. Award of cost commissioners approved and made the judgment of defendant - $\frac{6}{166}$</p>
	<p>3 D, W. Dismissed by order of Co. ally $\frac{7}{115}$</p>

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2293	Carlock B & M	State of Texas vs. Jose Wilson et al	For Bond	Feb	15	87
2294	"	State of Texas vs. Chas. Reynolds et al,	same	"	"	"
2295	"	State of Texas vs. Chas Reynolds et al	same	"	"	"
2296	"	State of Texas vs. Chas Reynolds et al	same	"	"	"
2297	"	State of Texas vs. Mrs McKenzie et al	same	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D.W. Dismissed by order of
Co. dtg - 7/116

3 D.W. Dismissed by order of
Co dtg 7/116

3 D.W. Dismissed by order of
Co dtg - 7/116

3 D.W. Dismissed by order of
Co dtg 7/116

3 D.W. Cont'd for Securin
7/116

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
<i>Trial</i> 2298	<i>Carlock</i> <i>C. R. C.</i>	<i>State of Texas</i> <i>Lou Kalsell et al</i>	<i>Trif. Bonds</i>	<i>Feb</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>87</i>
<i>Trial</i> 2299	<i>"</i> <i>C. R. C.</i>	<i>State of Texas</i> <i>A. Rogers et al</i>	<i>Same</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>Trial</i> 2300	<i>"</i>	<i>State of Texas</i> <i>B. F. Capen et al</i>	<i>Same</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>Off</i> 2301	<i>"</i> <i>Soby Dunklin</i>	<i>State of Texas</i> <i>G. H. Morgan et al</i>	<i>Same</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>Off</i> 2302	<i>"</i>	<i>State of Texas</i> <i>Willa A. Perry et al</i>	<i>Same</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 188*6*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Set for 20th 20th
2520 Cont'd by Consent
7/131

passed

E

W 3

50.20
deft

Set for 20th 20th
Reset for 130 P.M. 50.20.

deft motion to quash Bond over ruled
except - Passed 10th March 20th

7/41

Set for 40th 10th - Reset for 60th 10th
60th 10th. Deft leave to answer.

60th 10th & 7th 10th to deft. Answer sustain
~~deft. motion to quash~~ ~~deft. motion to quash~~ ~~deft. motion to quash~~ ~~deft. motion to quash~~

done - Judgment nisi set
aside and Cause resumed

7/12

Set for 40th 10th Reset for 60th 10th
60th 10th. Judgment nisi set aside
and Cause resumed.

7/129

66

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
ap- 2303	Carlock F M Brautty for defult nichols	State of Texas vs. George Caswell et al	Prof Bonds	Feb	15	87
2301	H. G. Lassiter	H. C. (Pob) Johnson vs. J. I. Powell & Co.	Debt & attachment	"	16	"
off 2305	Carlock	State of Texas vs. G. L. Rowland et al	Prof Bonds	"	21	"
off 2306	Carlock Boytin	State of Texas vs. T. J. Walsh et al	Same	"	"	"
off 2307	" "	State of Texas vs. J. F. Appage et al	Same	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY *March* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D 1 W Cont'd for service
7/114

3 D 1 W Jury demanded & left
7/114

3 D 1 W Dismissed by order of
Co Atty: 7/116

Set for 1st R. M. 3 D 1 W
3 D 1 W Judgment nisi set
aside & cause dismissed
7/117

3 D 1 W Dismissed by Co Atty
7/117

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
<i>off</i> 2308	<i>Carlock</i>	<i>State of Texas</i> vs. <i>M. Reynolds et al</i>	<i>Prof. Bond</i>	<i>Feb</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>89</i>
<i>off</i> 2309	<i>"</i>	<i>State of Texas</i> vs. <i>Chas. Dutton et al</i>	<i>Prof. Bonds</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>off</i> 2310	<i>McLury</i> <i>Ford</i>	<i>Chas. Baggett</i> vs. <i>G. C. & S. P. Ry. Co.</i>	<i>Damages</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>off</i> 2311	<i>Wynne et al</i>	<i>J. N. & R. G. Ry. Co.</i> vs. <i>R. M. Cannon et al</i>	<i>Indemnity</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>off</i> 2312	<i>B & B</i> <i>W. H. B.</i>	<i>Sam Thurman Co. Judge</i> <i>for use of Tarrant County</i> vs. <i>C. C. Lawson et al</i>	<i>Bonds</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<p>3^d (W. Dismissed by order of Co. Atty - 7/117</p>
	<p>Set for 6th 1st W 6th 1st W. Judgment against Deft. for \$200.00 7/118</p>
	<p>3^d 1st W Jury demanded by Plaintiff 7/118</p>
	<p>1st 7th W Award of arbitration approved and made judgment of the court. I Decker's appeal Guardian allowed a fee of \$1500 to be taxed as part of the costs in the case 7/118</p>
	<p>Set for 2nd W 5th 1st W Court for Securin 7/117</p>

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
<i>2313</i>	<i>W & C G N & S + Paul & Bart</i>	<i>C. R. Page vs. K. L. Bateman et al</i>	<i>Damages</i>	<i>Feb</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>87</i>
<i>2314</i>	<i>C & C & Davis B. G. Johnson</i>	<i>Wm Capps vs. Jennie Turner et al</i>	<i>Appeal re new P.</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>2315</i>	<i>Hill Trick</i>	<i>C. J. Hoagg vs. Mo. Pac. Ry. Co.</i>	<i>Same</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>2316</i>	<i>Hill Aynes</i>	<i>H. C. Strohl vs. Eugene Roche</i>	<i>same</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>2317</i>	<i>Booth Aynes & Blair</i>	<i>Rudony & Copher vs. Elliott & Spear</i>	<i>same</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

951 W. J. demanded by P^lff
 left & w^t for Co. leave & amend -
 7/118

Set for 5 D 2 W - 6 D 2 W P^lff leave to file
 amended motion
 5. D. 2. W. Motion to quash bond
 sustained. Motion of def. to amend
 bond over ruled. Appeal dismissed.
 Repts except and fine Notes of
 Appeal. 11/11


Set for 1 D 2 W
 1 D. 2. W. Judgment for P^lff
 for \$85⁰⁰/₁₀₀. 7/129

Set for 3 D 2 W
 3 D. 2. W. Judgment for the Def.
 7/137

Set for 4 D 2 W

16
 S
 S

S S S S S S S S S S
 or 4000. etc. Clerk
 not yet on the books
 Z S



Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
trial 2318	Wray & S. P.C. & P.	G.W. Gillispie vs. Chas. Leitz	Appeal insurance	July	24	87
trial 2319	P.C. & P. Ayres.	O. Brewster vs. R. H. King	same	"	"	"
trial 2320	Ayres Davis	Falbot, Maut & Co. vs. Punkard & Joyce	same	"	"	"
trial 2321	Turner W & S. for P, Co.	J.W. Corn vs. J.P. Hughes & Co. ad	Damages	"	25	"
trial 2322	M Lawry D B & R	Brown & Keuper vs. M O Paed. Co.	Damages	"	26	"

TARRANT COUNTY *March* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3d W. Jury demanded & held
7/19

3d W. I. [unclear] [unclear]
Set for 3d W. 12 P.M.

5d W. Certain ordered to the [unclear]
who tried the cause to require him to
send up a more complete transcript of
the records. 7/14

3d W. Jury demanded & held
7/19

3d W. Jury demanded & held
7/19

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2323 July	Turnman	A. J. Lowe vs. St. N. & N. O. Ry. Co.	Damages	Feb	26	87
2324 final	H. & J. Kester	R. Matkin vs. City of York & North	Debt & c	"	"	"
2325 off	P. C. & P.	J. B. Vincas vs. Mrs Elizabeth Nichols Eccentric	Debt	"	28	"
2326 1579	Stuck & G.	Goodbar White & Co. vs. N. Y. Equitable Life Assn of N. Y. Murphy & Baumgardner	Garment	"	"	"
2327 off	Houston	Washer & August vs. S. M. Farmer and W. L. Raib	note	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY,

March

TERM, 1887


ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

6,000


\$1500

5




100,000

5



3 D. W. Jury demanded by plff

7/119



555

Handwritten scribbles

3 D. W. Plaintiff to amend
Pet for April 29th

7/119

5 D. W. Debt demander of petition over-
ruled. Debt exact to 419 Judgment for
debt. Plff exact and give notice of
appeal. Plff allowed 10 days.

of facts

3 D. W. Judgment final
affidavit for writ of


7/106

2 D. W. Judgment by default against
Garrison for \$327⁰⁰ & costs.

7/135

3 D. W. Judgment final by
default for writ of
note sued on

7/114



Appears will

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2328 2329	Houston Horn & Stanley	Washburn August vs. Hick & Baker Farmer & Rail depts	Garnishment	Feb	28	87
2329	Scott Ford	B. F. Gholson wife vs. G. C. & S. F. Ry Co.	Damages	"	"	"
2330	Auntlin & Bull & Rogers Hoyne & Neume	W. O. Ellis & Co vs. Bateman & Bro.	Account	Mich	1	"
2331	Ford	G. C. & S. F. Ry. Co. vs. Hurley, Roche & Roche	Debt & c	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *March* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D. 1 W. Jny demanded & p^d
1/120.

3 D 1 W. Contd for Secum.
1/120

Index

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
852	B. & Mc.	Baer Seasongood & Co. vs. H. T. Wilson	Attachment	Dec	11	1881
854	B. & Mc.	Seligman & Mayer vs. F. J. Meir	Attachment	"	14	"
943	B. & Mc. J. & G.	T. J. Lovelady vs. S. L. & J. M. Ry. Co. Exal.	Damages	Mar	19	82
953 950	B. & Mc. Scott	Collins Bros. vs. W. B. Brooks C. R. Harris Def.	Garnishment	Apr	30	"
961 960	B. & Mc. Scott	A. H. Holmboe vs. W. B. Brooks, Garnishee	"	May	14	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Continued by Consent
" generally

1 D 1 W Contd by Consent
7/181

Judgment by default with
jurisdiction of equity
Contd. by Consent,
" generally

1 D 1 W Contd by Consent
7/181

Continued by Consent
"

1 D 1 W Contd by Consent
7/181

Continued by Consent
" " "

1 D 1 W Contd by Consent
7/181

Continued by Consent
" " "

1 D 1 W Contd by Consent
7/181

Dual

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
988	C. G. & D. & S.	Max Kramer vs. Wright & Wright Robt Morris Ex. Ad.	Appeal	May	23	83
993	B. & M. C. Scott	Humphreys & Co. Med. Co. vs. W. B. Brooks Garishue C. R. Harris, Deft	Garnishment	"	29	"
1026	B. & M. C. Scott	Arvey & Kahn vs. W. B. Brooks et al	Certiorari	July	28	"
1027	B. & M. C. Scott	Comrade Co. vs. W. B. Brooks et al.	Certiorari	"	"	"
1147	B. & M. C.	Bateman & Bro. vs. B. M. Lindsey	Attachment	Nov	17	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

In Court of Appeals

*Cont. by plaintiff
" " defendant
" " agreement
" " Consent*

*1 D 1 W Cont'd by Consent
7/1887*

*Cont. by defendant
" " agreement
" " Consent
" " "*

*1 D 1 W Cont'd by Consent
7/1887*

(Same as above)

*1 D 1 W Cont'd by Consent
7/1887*

*Judgment by default
with writ of enquiry
Cont. by Consent,*

*1 D 1 W Cont'd by Consent
7/1887*

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1349	D. S. & Co. B. & McE.	E. A. Jacobs vs. E. S. Tomlinson	Debt	July	5	84
1350 1349	D. S. & Co. " " B. & McE.	E. A. Jacobs vs. W. M. Holdeman & Garishues E. S. Tomlinson & Co.	Garishment	"	"	"
1416 1413	H. & G.	Wm R. Beckwith vs. J. P. Higgins Garisha Tex. Inv. Co. Limited	Garishment	Aug	19	"
1467	D. S. & Co. Smith	J. M. Page vs. J. T. Burt	Perpetuaries Jurman P.	Oct	4	84
1642	A. & L. M. L.	J. A. Lakin vs. J. B. Farmer	Arbitration	Feb	20	80

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<p>Cont. by Plaintiff " " defendant " " " " " Consent " " "</p>	<p>1 D. W. Cont'd by Consent. 1887</p>
<p>Dismissed as to Hadden Cont. as to others " by Consent " " "</p>	<p>1 D. W. Cont'd by Consent 1887</p>
<p>Cont. by Consent January term 1887 Mr. D. Harris Special Judge Cont. by plff to substitute Lost Garmentmen's Bond,</p>	
<p>Order of Appeals</p>	

28

Trial CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1652	C. & C. B. S. Johnson	A. Sinclair vs. M. A. Cooper et al	Certiorari	March	5	88
1665	B. & M.C. H. Reid	John Behrens vs. Adolph Widmer	Attachment		28	"
1770	B. & M.C. C. H. & DeB.	Bamberger Bloom Co. vs. Nat. S. Anderson et al	Debt.	Aug	14	"
1830 1749	B. & M.C.	Robt. McCart et al. vs. John S. James, Garrison J. D. Beauchamp et al	Garrisonment	Oct	22	"
1831 1749	B. & M.C.	Robt. McCart et al. vs. A. S. James Garrison J. D. Beauchamp et al				

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<i>to be taken up by Consent</i>
<i>H. D. Hoerd appointed to represent non-resident Defendants,</i>	<i>1 D 1 W Cont'd by Consent. 7/187</i>
<i>Cont. by Consent,</i>	<i>to be taken up by Consent.</i>
<i>Continued</i> "	<i>1 D 1 W Cont'd by Consent 7/187</i>
	<i>1 D 1 W Cont'd by Consent 7/187</i>

Trial CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1868		Carlock State of Texas vs. B. G. Johnson Jerry Keatinge &c.	Jof. Bond	Nov	24	85
1879	C. M. & Deb.	Augustus M. Cook vs. J. S. for Carb. M. S. E. & B. A. of A. Receiver	Debt	Dec	5	85
1880 1879	C. M. & Deb.	Augustus M. Cook vs. H. G. E. M. Macy et al Garushee J. S. for Carb Receiver M. S. E. & B. A. of A.	Garushee	"	"	"
1879	A. & L. M. L.	Casey & Swaney vs. Pearce & McCabe	Notes & Check	July	20	86
1879	M. Lawry M. S.	Edward Kuchard vs. E. Morris	Ordinere Nance J. P.	March	2	"

TARRANT COUNTY,

May

TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Continued

181W Contd for service
1/83

Continued by Consent

"

Continued by Consent

In Court of Appeals

Appointed

Cont. by Consent

" " "

181W Contd by Consent
2/1889

Index - CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2011 <i>Doodle Doodle</i>	<i>Dunk & Neud.</i> <i>P. C. & P.</i>	<i>Perry Hawkins</i> <i>R. M. Page</i> ^{vs.}	<i>Appeal</i> <i>Jim J. P.</i>	<i>Apr</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>86</i>
2017 <i>Doodle Doodle Doodle</i>	<i>P. C. & P.</i> <i>Ford</i>	<i>G. M. & N. O. Ry, Co.</i> <i>G. C. & S. Ry, Co.</i> ^{vs.}	<i>Condemnation</i>	<i>May</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>"</i>
2020	<i>P. C. & P.</i> <i>Ford</i>	<i>G. M. & N. O. Ry, Co.</i> <i>G. C. & S. Ry, Co.</i> ^{vs.}	<i>Condemnation</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>
2021	<i>C. & C.</i> <i>B. & F.</i>	<i>J. W. Scheubert Co.</i> <i>W. B. Maddox and</i> <i>W. A. Wilson & Co.</i> ^{vs.}	<i>Injunction</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>"</i>
2022	<i>A. & L.</i> <i>C. & C.</i>	<i>G. M. Rüdeman</i> <i>B. J. Bennett et al</i> ^{vs.}	<i>Appeal</i> <i>Jim J. P.</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>"</i>

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 188*7*.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
----------------------	---------------------

*1 D/W. Jury demanded by Plff.
4/83*



*Motion to dissolve injunction
overruled.*

*Set for 5 D/W
1 D/W. Reset for trial. D. 2 W.*

*4 D/W. Deft's sped exception
injunction. Judgment for
deft. Costs. Notice of appeal
to court of appeals.*

*judgment for plff
well except
11/10/83*

Continued by deft.

Set for 12 D/W, 1 D/W

*1 D, 2 W. judgment for Plff
against deft and sureties on
appeal bond for amt of note
note paid on less expenses.*

*Deft's except and fine notice
of appeal to court of appeals
11/10/83*

Trial CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2032	P. C. & P. Ford	G. W. & N. O. Ry. Co. vs. G. C. & S. F. Ry. Co.	Condemnation	May	16	86
2035	P. & S. P. C. & P.	M. V. Miller vs. J. F. Pegg	Sequestration	"	27	"
2050	Warren Wray & S.	B. F. Johnson vs. W. J. Patterson	Cediorari	June	"	"
2052	A & L W. J. P.	Wm Bohau vs. J. H. Bolau	Debt and Injunction	"	8	"
2071	Blair & Davis P. C. & P.	W. P. Troyden vs. G. W. & N. O. Ry. Co.	Damages	"	29	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 188*7*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Continued by Aff.

Continued by plaintiff

*Set for 2 D20
2 D20 Judgment for p[er]petual
injunction + against defend ant
for costs - 1/2000*

Continued by Consent,

*1 D 1 W Cont'd by Consent
7/183*

Trial CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2072	Blair & Davis P.C. & P.	James Crabtree vs. P.M. & N.O. Ry. Co.	Damages	June	29	86
2098	J. M. Larkin P.C. & P.	Ben. C. Evans vs. Mo. Pac. Ry. Co.	Damages	Aug	14	86
2153	M. D. Short & Curry	E. M. Miller & Co. vs. T. P. Stevens	Note	Sept	7	"
2159	M. D. Short & Curry Woot	Manning Trammell vs. A. G. Pruitt	Certiorari	"	"	"
2159	Herd O. B. & H.	Gorton Wood & Co. vs. A. P. Ryan and Josephine Ryan	Real Rights of Property		13	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 188*7*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<p><i>Continued by Consent</i> <i>" " "</i></p>	<p><i>181 W. Cont'd by Consent</i> <i>7/1883</i></p>
<p><i>In Court of Appeals</i> <i>Mandate Returned</i></p>	<p><i>OK</i></p>
<p><i>Case dismissed for want</i> <i>of prosecution</i> <i>Reinstated</i></p>	<p><i>7 D/W. Judgment</i> <i>final by default for</i> <i>\$49527 7/1883</i></p>
<p><i>In Court of Appeals</i> <i>mandate returned</i></p>	<p><i>Appropriate</i></p>

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

2

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2165	A. L. P. C. & P.	A. G. Rittenman & Co. vs. E. W. & N. O. Ry. Co.	Appeal Final P.	Sept	20	86
2173	Prust Scott	G. W. Alexander vs. W. T. Maddox	Conversion	Oct	17	"
2174	H. B. Prust Bill	M. J. Lane vs. A. J. Rogers	Damages	"	"	"
2187	H. & S. P. C. & P.	G. W. Layne vs. E. W. & N. O. Ry. Co.	Damages	"	25	"
2204	Charles Tucker & Wm. Carter P. C. & P.	Betterton & vice & Co. vs. Nat'l Bank of N. York & al	Debt	Nov	22	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 188*7*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<i>Continued by Consent,</i>	<i>Set for 1st P.M. 2 D 2 W.</i> <i>5 D 2 W. Judgment for</i> <i>Plff for \$163.75 Debt</i> <i>except and give notice of</i> <i>appeal - 0 7/105</i>
<i>Continued by Consent</i> " " " " " "	<i>1 D 1 W Cont'd by Consent</i> 7/183
<i>Court. by Consent</i> " " <i>plff.</i>	<i>1 D 1 W Cont'd by Consent</i> 7/183 <i>1 D 2 W. Continued by Consent</i> <i>cause dismissed by order of</i> <i>Plff; Att. judgment of aff.</i> <i>Plff for costs - 7/199 0</i>
<i>Jury demanded by plff.</i> <i>Continued by Consent.</i>	<i>1 D 1 W. Cont'd by Consent</i> 7/183
<i>Continued</i> <i>Jury demanded by</i> <i>def.</i>	

Dual

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2292	Charles W. Smith	B. C. Evans & vs. W. L. Little & al	Note	Dec	11	86
2292	C. H. & H. Ford	Alexander Haney vs. G. C. & S. F. Ry. Co.	Damages	"	18	"
2295	C. H. & H. Ford	Hannie J. Wilson & al vs. G. C. & S. F. Ry. Co.	Damages	"	20	"
2296	C. H. & H. Ford	M. A. Paxton vs. G. C. & S. F. Ry. Co.	Damages	"	"	"
2298	Johnson McLaway	Ed. Otto vs. Will Lewis	Appeal Adm'ty. & P.	"	23	"

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Continued
" by plaintiff

1810 judgment for \$1000
amt of note sued on less credit
7/1884 - (O) -

In Court of Appeals

Reversed and
remanded.

In Court of Appeals

Reversed and re-
manded.

In Court of Appeals

Reversed and re-
manded.

Judgment;
new trial granted.

~~Set for 30 days~~
1810. Jury demanded by
Sept 7/1884

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2254	Erlock Ayres.	State of Texas vs. J. P. Leer et al	Forf Bonds	Dec	28	86
2258		Kennedy John M Laughlin et al vs. O'Neill W. G. Dr. & C. Ry Co.	Appeal Munster P	July	1	87
2261	Wray & A. vs.	Jos. H. Brown vs. J. M. Meyers	Breach of Warranty	July	5	87
2262	Wray & A.	Jos. H. Brown vs. J. D. Stuchowubson.	Note	Aug	5	87
2264	Hickrich & Wm. & S. Dr & Cr.	W. A. Huffmeyer & Co. vs. H. W. Young & Son	Note and Attachment		12	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Cont. by Consent
" " "

*10 14. Dismissed by order
of Atty - 7/85*

Cont. by deft,

*10 14 Cont by Consent,
7/85*

*Judgment by default
with writ of enquiry.*

Notice of Appeal

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2166	W. H. Burman W. H. Burman	N. Harding vs. Henry Watson et al	Note	July	15	87
2268 1750	J. S. Davis	Mary A. Duckaberry vs. Jones & Cery Garnishes Barnes & Jordan depts	Garnishment	"	17	"
2275	Ross, Herd & Ross C. H. & H. P.	N. H. Swann vs. M. R. Saugwinde A. M. Dawson	Debt	July	21	"
2276 2275	Ross, Herd & Ross P. C. & P.	N. H. Swann vs. The Commercial Club, Garnishee Saugwinde & Dawson depts	Garnishment	"	"	"
2277	Wray & S. Aepres	J. T. Foye vs. E. H. Keller	Appeal	"	24	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Judgt by default as
to Henry Kitson and
Mr Kanier continued
as to other debts

Commission ordered to
Cook County,

D/W Plett leave to amend -
Jury delin and adjy def -
1887

D/W and J. Conner
1887

Trail

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2284	Carter & LeBerry Wray & S.	Shayer & Marks & Co. vs. Chase Banking Co.	Debt Attachment	July	31	87
2285	P. C. & P. C. & G.	L. A. Bradford vs. P. M. House	Certiorari	Feb	2	"
2286	E. M. Slattery	Daniel Winter vs. J. T. Wilkes & G. L. Gause	Note	"	5	"
2288	Hauvicks	W. A. Huffman & Co. vs. Oneaville's Temple Co-operative Association P. of B.	Note Attachment	Feb	9	87
2289	R. G. Johnson	J. A. T. Evans vs. Thos. L. Gibson	Debt & Settlement	"	14	"

TARRANT COUNTY *May* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM


ORDERS PRESENT TERM

*Ptff. dismiss as to E.E. Chase & Co
Wm. Britton*

*1 D 1 W. Jud. demands by def
after leave to amend
7/80*

*Judgment by default
with writ of enquiry
Judgment final
Mch term 1887*

~~*1 D 1 W Judgment final by
default for out of court
due on writ entered*~~

U E N U 

Continued

Passed

Ptff. leave to amend

Set for 3 D 2 W

*3 D 2 W. Ptff dismiss Attachment
Judgment for Ptff for \$300.00
- 0 -
202*

Trial CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2290	Heudricks P.C.P.	W.A. Huffman Imp'ts. vs. T.A. Neace	Debt	Feb	14	89
2298	Carlock C.C.	State of Texas vs. Lou Kausell et al	Forf. Bonds	"	25	"
2299	Carlock C.C.	State of Texas vs. A. Rogers et al	Same	"	"	"
2300	Carlock C.C.	State of Texas vs. B.F. Capen et al	Same	"	"	"
2317	Booth Carlock & Blair	Pendery Hopher vs. Elliott & Speer	Appeal manu. & P.	"	24	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 188*7*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Both parties have amended, set for 3D 2W

3D 9W Judgment nil dict - against firm of Johnson Hill. J. A. Meace and E. C. Hill - and against J. A.

Meace individually for the amount of note with interest and costs as therein stated - with foreclosure of Mortgage lien on the personal property described in petition -

Cont. by Counsel.

1D 1W Dismissed by order of Pott Co. Ct.

7/18/87

Motion to quash bond overruled except.

1D 1W Dismissed by order of Co. Ct. 7/18/87

Motion to dismiss appeal overruled -

Set for 5D 2W
5D 2W Judgment for Defts.
7/20/87

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2318	Mayrs. G. C. & O.	E. W. Gillespie vs. Chas. Ditz	Appeal Munroe P.	Feb	24	87
2320	Apres. Davis	Talbot Munroe vs. Pinkard & Joyce	Same	"	"	"
2321	J. & H. C. & C.	R. Matkice vs. City of St. North	Debt & c.	"	26	"
2325 2329	Houston Mayrs.	Wash & August vs. Lock & Baker, Garnishee Turner & Rice debts	Struismen	"	25	"
2330	Amplins & Beall & Rogers H. H. G.	W. C. Ellis & Co. vs. Baldman & Bry,	Acct.	March	1	87

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

1 D 1 W Court by Consent
E

Certiorari ordered to Justice Court for transcripts

1 D 1 W Court by Consent
7/188

Plff. leave to amend. In Court of appeals.

1 D 1 W. Plaintiff demands Jury

June 5th 1887
Plaintiff leave to amend and withdraw notes from original petition and attach to amended original petition

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1000	Cooney	Bateman & Bro. vs. J. D. Hewison & E. R. Logan	Note	July	6	83
1255	Wrights.	Jos. H. Brown vs. R. H. Chancellor & Co.	Note	March	21	84
1772	A. & S.	L. H. Cooper vs. Nathaniel Willett	Debt	Aug	8	85
1824	B. & B. & Co. & Co. C. & C.	San Francisco for use of Tarrant County vs. Isaac Cooper & Co.	Liquor Bond	Oct	15	"
1829	Wrights.	The City Natl Bank vs. Jno. O. McKinney	Attachment	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<i>Continued for service</i>	
<i>Continued for service</i>	<i>3 D W contd for service 7/18/87</i>
<i>Continued for service</i>	<i>3 D W. contd for service 7/18/87</i>
<i>Continued for service on New fixtures Continued</i>	<i>3 D W contd for service 7/18/87</i>
<i>Continued for service</i>	<i>3 D W contd for service 7/18/87</i>

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1889	H.A. & B.	Lou Arvine vs. Jesse Arvine	Account	Dec	6	85
1892	B. & M. & Herb R.G. Johnson	Chas. Scheuber vs. W. D. Deaton	Notes	"	"	"
1898	Wray & S.	E. Morris vs. A.W. Crabtree	Attachment	"	"	"
1899 1898	Wray & S.	E. Morris vs. Johnson & Hilliard A.W. Crabtree def	Garnishment	"	"	"
1900 1898	Wray & S.	E. Morris vs. Jesse R. Pascoe A.W. Crabtree def	Garnishment	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 188*7*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Continued for service

35 Mr Court for service
7/87

Continued for service
R. G. Johnson app'd to represent
Nonresident dept.
Cont. for service.

Cont. for service
Plff. Lewis to amend
Cont.
" for service
" " "

35 Mr Court for service
7/87

Cont'd
"

Cont'd
"

Apparatus

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1921	Wray & S.	Jos. H. Brown vs. J. E. Taylor & Co.	Attachment	July	12	'86
1923 1921	Wray & S.	Jos. H. Brown vs. Robt. H. McNatt J. E. Taylor & Co. depts.	Garnishment	"	14	"
1924 1921	Wray & S. Carlock	Jos. H. Brown vs. W. W. McNatt J. E. Taylor & Co. depts.	Garnishment	"	"	"
1925 1921	Wray & S. Carlock	Jos. H. Brown vs. W. W. McNatt & Co. J. E. Taylor & Co. depts.	Garnishment	"	"	"
1936	Wray & S.	Jos. H. Brown vs. J. H. L. Hill	Debt	"	29	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Continued for Service

*3d 100. Contd for Service
7/188*

Continued

Continued

Continued.

Continued for Service

*3d 100. Contd for Service
11/188*

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2044 2043	P. C. & P.	H. W. Spear vs. Dave Burus, Garuisee J. A. Ashmore def.	Garuisee	June	3	86
2045 2043	P. C. & P.	H. W. Spear vs. S. W. Lomas Garuisee J. A. Ashmore def.	Same	"	"	"
2048 2043	P. C. & P.	H. W. Spear vs. Henry Dupree Garuisee J. A. Ashmore def.	Same	"	"	"
2046 2043	P. C. & P.	H. W. Spear vs. Porter, Garuisee J. A. Ashmore def.	Same	"	"	"
2067	Wray & S.	Jos. H. Brown vs. R. F. Clark	Debt	"	23	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *May*- TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Continued for service 3 DW Contd for service

Yes
1887

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2102	Capps	City of G. North vs. G. G. Booney N. Miller	Prof Bond	Aug	16	86
2156	Warren	P. O. Nygaard vs. E. M. Stone alias Stafford	Attachment	Sept	10	86
2157 W. W. Keeter	P. C. P. C. C. P.	J. B. Mitchell Co. vs. W. W. Keeter et al.	Sequestration	"	13	"
2202	McLaurry	L. A. Trimble Co. vs. Chas. Gay	Attachment	Nov	19	"
2203 2202	McLaurry	L. A. Trimble Co. vs. Joe Mayer Garnes Chas. Gay defn.	Garnis' return		20	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Continued for service,

*3 D 1 W Contd for service
7/188*

Continued for Service,

*3 D 1 W Contd for service
7/188*

Continued for service

*3 D 1 W. Poff & Dept leave to
arrived July demanded
11 dft A. R. T. etc.
7/188*

Continued for service

*3 D 1 W Contd for service
7/188*

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2246	Carlock	State of Texas vs. J. M. Blackman Et Al.	Def. Bond	Dec	11	86
2248	Carlock	State of Texas vs. J. H. Spence et al	Def. Bond	"	"	"
2247	Carlock	State of Texas vs. Dick Birdwell et al	Same.	"	"	"
2253	H. G. Ayres	B. H. Ponduraw vs. W. A. Sanderson et al.	Notes attached in account Robt Crockett	"	"	28"
2266	H. M. Furman	N. Harding vs. Henry Labson et al	Note	July	15	87

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D W Dismissed by order of
C. dlt. 4/1/87

Continued for service,

3 D W Court passed.

Continued for Service

3 D W Court for service
Yes

Judgment by default
against debt McHatt
Crockett
Court for service
passed for settlement

Judgt by default
against debt Steury
Hibon & Linnier
Court for service acts
others

3 D W. Judgmt by default
against W. T. H. & G. P.
James. Dismissed as to
L. L. James
Judgment for \$416.00
with 8% interest from date

Yes

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2269	Hend, & Duck " "	B. C. Evans Co. vs. J. D. Smith	Acct	June	7	87
2280	On, & W. " "	David Boaz vs. The Illinois Central Rf. Co.	Debt and Attachment	"	28	"
2297	Carlock	State of Texas vs. M. B. McKenzie et al	For Bond	Feb	15	87
2303	Carlock B. Brantly for deft nichols	State of Texas vs. George Caswell et al	" "	"	"	"
2312	B & B, C & C	Sam Furman Co Judge for use of Tenant Co. vs. C. C. Lawson et al	Bond	"	23	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 188*7*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D 1 W Cont'd for service
1/1889

3 D 1 W. Cont'd
1/1889

Cont. for service *3 D 1 W Cont'd for service*
1/1889

Cont. for service *3 D 1 W. Cont'd for service*
1/1889

Cont. for service

1/1889

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2331 MM P.E.P.	Ford	G. C. & F. Ry. Co. vs. Hirey Roche & Roche	Debt &c	Mar	1	87
2332	H & G	Datum & Bry vs. J. H. Rouhae	Attachment	"	4	"
2333	W. L.	W. N. Trippett vs. J. H. Rouhae	Attachment	"	"	"
2335	B & M Co.	Hanson's Empire Fire Factory vs. Chase Trading Co., et al.	Debt	Mar	8	87
2340	Swayne & Herron	Cass & Sweeney vs. Chase Gay	Attachment	"	16	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 188*7*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Cont. for Service

See for 2 P. 26 3 D 2 W

*3 D, 2 W. Cont'd by deft -
11/1/204*

*Plff releases lien on all
property described in Exhibit C*

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2341	W. J. ...	W. P. Gray vs. Elizabeth Nichols Exr	Note	Mar	17	87
2342	H. W. Furman W. J. ...	W. A. Haider vs. J. P. Hughes & Co.	Injunction	"	19	"
2343	R. P. & P. W. J. ...	Chas Deitz vs. J. Y. Hargett	Debt	"	24	"
2344	D. G. & J. D. W. J. ...	James Parkie vs. S. W. Alexander J. E. Martin	Note	"	25	"
2345	M. Lawry W. J. ...	Geo W Kee vs. L. Hutchinson	Appeal a Harris	"	29	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *May*, TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

6 D W judgment by default
for amt of note sued with
interest as then state. less
credit entered on same.
E.H.

3 D W. Jury demanded by Def.
7/189

3 D W Jury demanded for Def.
7/190

Appeared

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2346	Sawyers	Elliott & Roe vs. Harrison & Hatchell	Acct	Mar	29	87
2347	Bowling & Bowling Coffey & Cauley	R. Loper vs. John Tierney Jr.	Appeal	"	30	"
2348	W. H. ...	Furness & Stearns vs. Adeline Johnson & West Johnson	Attachment	"	"	"
2349	Davis	M. A. Jackabrey vs. W. T. Hudson	Attachment	Apr	"	"
2350	J. H.	C. O. Edwards vs. Equitable Life Assurance Society	Debt	"	5	"

May

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D. W. It being known to the
 Court that one of the plaintiffs is
 the brother of the Director of
 the Bank ordered that the
 cause be transferred to the
 District Court of Tarrant County
 for trial. 7/19/10

See file Docket

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2351	<i>May & S.</i> <i>[Signature]</i>	<i>Joseph H. Brown</i> vs. <i>J. D. Hoagler et al</i>	<i>Note</i>	<i>Apr</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>87</i>
2352	<i>Hidcup, & B.</i> <i>[Signature]</i>	<i>J. D. Armstrong</i> vs. <i>Wm B. Byars et al</i>	<i>Debt</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>
2353	<i>Humphreys</i> <i>[Signature]</i>	<i>H. W. Williams & Co.</i> vs. <i>T. E. Davis</i>	<i>Debt</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>
2354	<i>Carlock</i> <i>[Signature]</i>	<i>State of Texas</i> vs. <i>Abel Woody et al</i>	<i>Fof Recognizance</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>"</i>
2355	<i>B. & M. Co.</i> <i>[Signature]</i>	<i>Brakebill & Simpson</i> vs. <i>M. O. Pac. Ry. Co.</i>	<i>Damages</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>"</i>

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

6D175 Judgment final by default
for amt of note with int and Atty
fess as therein stated -
7/19 - 0 -

3D110 Jury demanded by defts.
Set for 2 P M. 5/20/90
1D20. Judgment for Plff for
amt of note used on with interest
as therein stated - 7/20

4D140 Judgment final
by default for amt of
note with interest and
Atty fess as stated. *Obtained*
7/19/11

Set for 6D2W
Set for May 20 -

3D110 Jury demanded by Plff
7/19/90

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2354	<i>J. C. & P.</i> <i>W. H. Stedman</i>	<i>John F. Swain</i> vs. <i>Arthur & Thomas</i> <i>E. G. Warfield</i>	<i>Interpleader</i>	<i>Apr</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>89</i>
2355	<i>R. F. J.</i> <i>W. H. Stedman</i>	<i>M. E. Haley</i> vs. <i>Ezra H. Ingle</i>	<i>Appeal</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>"</i>
2358	<i>W. H. Stedman</i> <i>W. H. Stedman</i>	<i>V. T. Eschey</i> vs. <i>Rosedale H. Ry, Co.</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>
2359	<i>W. H. Stedman</i> <i>W. H. Stedman</i>	<i>C. B. Daggert</i> vs. <i>M. P. Ryto.</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>
2360	<i>W. H. Stedman</i> <i>W. H. Stedman</i>	<i>G. T. Miller</i> vs. <i>W. A. Hunter and</i> <i>W. W. Wynn</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<i>Set for 2 D 2 W</i>
	<i>3 D 1 W Jury. Amended by Reff 7/190</i>
<p><i>Reinstated</i></p>	<p><i>Set for 5 D 1 W 5 D 1 W. Motion to dismiss appeal Sustained 7/190</i></p>

Appearance
 CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2261	W. P. & S. L. Hill	E. M. Daggard vs. Mo Pac Ry Co.	Appeal	Apr	19	87
2262	W. P. & S. Indiger Bassett & Corp	Cary & Swasey vs. W. P. Gauer	ac'x	"	"	"
2363	Carlock	State of Texas vs. J. D. Reed	Def'ty Nilus	"	25	"
2364	Carlock	State of Texas vs. Nat Kramer	same	"	"	"
2365	W. P. & S. Carlock	State of Texas vs. John Purford	same	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<p>3 D/W. Cause dismissed for want of prosecution 7/191</p>
<p>" " "</p>	<p>3 D/W Contd for service 7/191</p>
<p>" " "</p>	<p>3 D/W. Contd for service 7/191</p>
	<p>3 D/W. Judgment final by default 7/191</p>

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2366	Carlock	State of Texas vs. J. D. Reed	Deputy Witness	Apr	25	87
2367	Carlock	State of Texas vs. Max Krauer	Same	"	"	"
2368	Carlock	State of Texas vs. John Burfordo	Same	"	"	"
2369	Quiss	E. B. Daygett vs. Gruner Bros.	Ac'ck	"	26	"
2370	J. & H. Lasater	Chas Ware & Co Allen Ware vs. Wilkes & Gause	Appeal	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D 1 W. ~~judgment~~
Contd for service
7/19/11

3 D 1 W.

3 D 1 W. Judgment by default
with writ of ~~replevin~~ ^{replevin} - 7/19/11
5 D 1 W. Judgment final by default
for ~~687.50~~ \$687.50 with
5% interest from 7/19/11 to 5/1/12 - 50.00

687.50	687.50
5.55	74.10
13.00	761.60
4.25	
5.86	
7.60	
74.10	

Appeared

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2371	W. J. M. M. M. W. J. M. M. M.	Charity Ross vs. Mary A. Moore	Appeal	Apr	26	57
2372	W. J. M. M. M. W. J. M. M. M.	J. H. Curmehead. vs. E. D. & N. O. Ry. Co	Appeal	"	"	"
2373	W. J. M. M. M. W. J. M. M. M.	R. N. Langer vs. E. D. & N. O. Ry. Co	Appeal	"	"	"
2374	W. J. M. M. M. W. J. M. M. M.	Husbands Missouri Glass Co. vs. E. George Betts	"	"	"	"
2375	W. J. M. M. M. W. J. M. M. M.	B. & M. Co. Horn vs. E. D. & N. O. Ry. Co	Damages	"	"	"

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

J. D. for I. D. 2 W.
10000 currency Raff.
7/20/01

4000 Judgment by agreement
for J. D. for \$5000 and costs
of both courts
7/19/05


J.
3. D. 1. W. Jury demanded by
plaintiff - left before the
verdict - 7/19/05

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2377	<i>H. B. [Signature]</i>	Jas. F. Ellis vs. G. M. N. Ry. Co.	Damages	Apr	26	87
2378	<i>Myrland,</i>	Schneider & Shear vs. Chas. Schuberl Co.	"	"	"	"
2379	<i>Myrland, [Signature]</i>	E. Simpson vs. G. M. N. Ry. Co.	"	"	"	"
		vs.				
		vs.				

TARRANT COUNTY, *May* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<p>3510 Jury demanded by plaintiff 7/92</p>
"	<p>3510. Court for service 7/92</p>
	<p>3510 Jury demanded by plaintiff 7/92</p>
	

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
854	B & M C.	Baer Seasongood and Co. vs. H. T. Wilson,	Attachment	Dec	11	1881
854	B & M C.	Seligman and Mayer, vs. H. T. Weir,	Attachment	"	14	"
943	B & M C. H and T.	H. J. Lovelady, vs. St. L. and I. M. Ry Co et al	Damages	Mar	19	1883.
959 960.	B & M C. Scott.	Collins Bros. vs. W. B. Brooks Garnisher, O. R. Harris Deft	Garnishment	Apr	30	1883.
961 960	B & M C. Scott.	A. H. Holdau Ho. vs. W. B. Brooks Garnisher	Garnishment	May	14	1883.

TARRANT COUNTY, *July* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

*Continued by consent.
Continued generally,
Continued by consent.*

*Judgment by default with
overt of inquiry.
Continued by consent
Continued generally,
Continued by consent.*

*Continued by consent.
Continued by consent
Continued by consent.*

*Continued by consent
Continued by consent
Continued by consent
Continued by consent*

*Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.*

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
988.	<p>O'Connell & S.</p> <p>Dwight & Dwight</p>	<p>Stat Krauer</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>Robt Morris,</p> <p>et al.</p>	Appeal	May	23	1883.
993	<p>B & M C.</p> <p>Scott.</p>	<p>Humphreys Spec. Med.</p> <p>Home. Company.</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>W. B. Brooks Garuishment.</p> <p>C. R. Harris Deft</p>	Garuishment	"	29	"
1026.	<p>B & M C.</p> <p>Scott.</p>	<p>Drey^{and} Kahn.</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>W. B. Brooks.</p> <p>et al.</p>	Certiorari.	July	28	"
1027.	<p>B & M C.</p> <p>Scott.</p>	<p>Comad & Co.</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>W. B. Brooks</p> <p>et al.</p>	Certiorari	"	"	"
1147	<p>B & M C.</p>	<p>Bateman & Bro</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>B. M. Lindsey</p>	Attachment	Nov	17	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *July* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

In Court of appeals.

*Continued by plaintiff
Continued by Defendant
Continued by agreement
Continued by agreement
Continued by consent
Continued by consent.*

*Continued by defendant
Continued by agreement
Continued by agreement
Continued by consent
Continued by consent
Continued by consent.*

*Continued by defendant
Continued by agreement
Continued by agreement
Continued by consent
Continued by consent
Continued by consent.*

*Judgment by default with
mit of inquiry.
Continued by consent
Continued by consent.*

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1349	F. S. & Co. B & Mc.	E. A. Jacobs. vs. E. S. Tomlinson	Debt	July	5	1884
1350/ 1349	F. S. & Co. B & Mc.	E. A. Jacobs. vs. W. M. Holden et al E. S. Tomlinson	Garnishment Garnishments. Debt.	"	"	"
1410. 1413	H and G	W ^m R. Beckwith vs. J. P. Higgins Tex Int. Co Limited	Garnishments Garnishment. Debt.	Aug	19	"
1467.	F. S. and Co. Scott.	R. M. Page. vs. J. T. Burt.	Certiorari Hanna P.	Oct	4	1884
1648.	A and L, ML	J. D. Larkie vs. J. D. Hartman.	Arbitration	Feb	25	1885

TARRANT COUNTY, *July* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<p>Continued by plaintiff Continued by agreement Continued by agreement Continued by consent Continued by consent Continued by consent.</p>	
<p>Dismissed as to holder, con- tinued as to others. Continued by consent Continued by consent</p>	
<p>Continued by consent January term 1887. W. D. Harris Special Judge. continued by plaintiff to substitute lost Garnishment Bonds</p>	
<p>In Court of Appeals.</p>	

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1659	O. & O. B. G. J.	A. Sinclair vs. M. A. Cooper et al	Ontionari	Mar	5	1885
1665	B. & M. C. H. B. Herd.	John Behrens. vs. Adolph Widmer	Attachment	"	28	"
1790	B. & M. C. O. D. & S. B.	Pambrger Bloom Co. vs. Neil P. Audmon et al	Debt	Aug	14	"
1830 1749	B. & M. C.	Robt McCart et al vs. John G. James, Garnisher J. D. Beauchamp et al.	Garnishment	Oct	27	"
1831 1749	B. & M. C.	Robt McCart et al vs. A. S. James Garnisher J. D. Beauchamp et al.	Garnishment	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *July,* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

*H. B. Herd appointed to mp-
ment non resident defendant
Continued by consent.*

Continued by consent.

*Continued generally.
Continued generally.*

Continued by consent.

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1868	Carlock.	State of Texas.	Troff Bond	Nov	24	1883
	B. G. J.	Jerry Keating. vs. etal				
1879	O. W. and D. B.	Augustus W. Cook.	Debt.	"	5	"
	H. W. S. for D. Carb Receiver	M. S. E. and B. A. of A. vs.				
1880 1879.	O. W. and D. B.	Augustus W. Cook	Garnishment	"	"	"
	Kand G. H. W. S. for D. Carb.	E. M. Macey vs. etal Garnishers. M. S. E. and B. A. of A.				
1979	McLawry.	Edward Kitchard	Captorari	Mar	3	1886.
	Wray and S.	E. Morris, vs.	Nauel Jr.			
2019.	P. O. and P.	H. W. and N. O. Ry Co.	Condemnation	"	"	"
	Ward	G. C. & S. Tr. Ry Co vs.				

TARRANT COUNTY, *July* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<i>Continued,</i>	
<i>Continued by consent Continued by consent</i>	
<i>Continued by consent</i>	
<i>Continued by consent Continued by consent Continued by consent</i>	

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2020	P. O. and P. Ford	At N. O. Ry Co. vs. G. C. and S. F. Ry Co.	Condemnation	May	3	1886.
2021	C and C. T. and T. and B.	J. W. Schmitt and Co. vs. W. S. Maddox and W. A. Wilson and Co.	Injunction	"	15	"
2022	Ayres. C and C.	G. M. Rittman vs. B. F. Bennett et al	Appeal Zinn J. P.	"	19	"
2032	P. O. and P. Ford	At N. O. Ry Co. vs. G. C. and S. F. Ry Co.	Condemnation	May	26	1886.
2035	T. and S. P. O. and P.	M. V. Miller vs. J. H. Hoag.	Sequestration	"	27	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *July*, TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<i>Notice of appeal</i>	
<i>Notice of appeal</i>	
<i>Notice of appeal</i>	

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2050	Draven Dray & S.	B. F. Johnson vs. D. W. Patterson	Certiorari	June	27	1886.
2071	Blair & Davis P. O. and P.	D ^m P. Trogden. vs. Wt St & N. O. Ry Co	Damages.	"	29	"
2072	Blair & Davis P. O. & P.	James Crabtree. vs. Wt St & N. O. Ry Co.	Damages	"	"	"
2157	P. O. & P. C and S.	J. B. Mitchell & Co. vs. W. W. Keiter et al	Sequestration	Sept	13	1886.
2159	H. B. Herd C. C. Cummings J. A. Holland	Crofton Wood & Co vs. A. P. Ryan and Josephine Ryan	Final rights of property.	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *July* TERM, 1887,

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<i>Continued by plaintiff</i>	
<i>Continued by consent Continued by consent.</i>	
<i>Continued by consent Continued by consent Continued by consent</i>	
<i>Peff & Left leave to answer, Jury demanded by Ketcher.</i>	

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2165	A & S. P. O. and P.	A. G. Rüttmann & Co. vs. W. D. & N. O. Ry Co.	Appeal G. Mann & P.	Apr	25	1886.
2173	Priest Scott.	G. W. Alexander. vs. Dr. T. Maddox	Commission	Oct	12	1886.
2187.	H & S. P. O. and P.	W. D. Hoeym. vs. W. D. & N. O. Ry Co.	Damages.	"	25	"
2204	C. F. Tucker (Dallas) a. m. Carter. P. O. & P.	Betherton Iron Co. vs. Trust Mail Bk of W. D. North et al	Debt	Nov	22	"
2232	Holland & Cummings Shapiro & Miller Ward.	Alexander Hooley. vs. G. O. & S. Ry Co	Damages.	Dec	18	1886

TARRANT COUNTY, *July* TERM, 1887,

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

*Notice of appeal.**Continued by consent
Continued by consent
Continued by consent.**Jury demanded by plff
continued by consent
Continued by consent.**Continued.
Jury demanded by plaintiff**Mandate returned. Reversed and remanded.*

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2235	Holland & Cummings	Nannie J. Wilson et al vs. S. & M ^{and} Ford G. O. & S. F. Ry Co.	Damages.	Dec	20	1886.
2236.	Holland & Cummings.	M. A. Patton, vs. S. & M ^{and} Ford. G. O. & S. F. Ry Co.	Damages.	"	"	"
2248	Holland & Johnson McLaurin	Edward Otto. vs. Will Lewis.	Appeal Smith J. P.	"	23	"
2255	Kennedy.	John McLaughlin et al. vs. O'Neill & M. H. M. & D. O. Ry Co.	Appeal G. Mucci Jr.	Jan	1	87.
2262	Wray & S.	Jos. H. Brown. vs. J. D. Stuchomb & Son	note	"	5	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *July*, TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<i>Mandate returned, re-versed and remanded</i>	
<i>Mandate returned, re-versed and remanded</i>	
<i>Judgment, new trial granted, jury demanded by defendant</i>	
<i>Judgment by default with writ of inquiry.</i>	

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2261	Wray & S. H. & S.	Jos. H. Brown. vs. J. M. Myers.	Br. of War.	Jan	5	87
2268 1750	J. S. Davis.	Mary A. Trakabny. vs. Jones & Curry Gar. Burns & Jordan Defts	Garnishment	"	17	1887.
2275	Ross, Hurd & Ross. J. A. Holland.	N. H. Swern. vs. M. R. Sauquinet A. N. Dawson	Debt	"	21	"
2276 2275	R. H. & R. P. C. & Powell.	N. H. Swern. vs. Commercial Club Gar. Sauquinet & Dawson Defts	Garnishment	"	"	"
2277.	Wray & S. Ayres.	J. W. Frogg. vs. E. H. Keller	Appral	"	24	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *July* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<i>Continued by default Continued by consent.</i>	
<i>Commission ordered to Cooke County.</i>	
<i>Jury demanded by Deft.</i>	
<i>Continued by consent</i>	

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2284	Cartm & D. B.	Schaefer Schwartz Co.	Debt & At.	Jan	31	87.
	Wray & S.	vs. Chase Trading Co.				
2285.	W. & P. C. & G.	S. A. Bradford vs. P. M. House	Controversy	Feb	2	"
2288	Heudricks.	W. A. Huffmau Imp Co vs. O'Neaville Triuple Cooprative Association P & H.	Note & Atch	Feb	9	1887.
2290.	Heudricks	W. A. Huffmau Imp Co. vs. H. A. Neace	Debt	"	14	"
2299	O and G O & Cauty.	State of Texas. vs. A. Rodgers et al	Troff Bond	"	15	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *July* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Plaintiff dismisses as to E. E. Chase
and C. M. Britton.

Jury demanded by Defendant
Defendant leave to amend.

Continued.

Both parties leave to amend 3D 1W. Judgment by defendant
7/27/87

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2318	Dray & S. P. O. & P.	G. St. Gillespie vs. Chas Deitz	Appeal Nance. J. P.	Feb	24	1887.
2320	Ayres. Davis.	Talbot & Staut Ho. vs. Pinkard & Joyce.	Same.	"	"	"
2324	Holland & Johnson. Ed J. Starnum Jas. St. Smayee.	R. Matku vs. City of North North	Debt &c.	"	"	"
2328 (2327)	Houston Dray & S.	Washin ^{and} August. vs. Beck & Baker Gen. Farmers and Rail	Comishment	"	28	"
2331	Dord P. O. & P. W. Washer	G. O. & S. H. Ry Co. vs. Hurley Roche & Roche	Debt &c.	Mar	1	87.

TARRANT COUNTY, *July* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<i>Continued by consent.</i>	
<i>Certiorari ordered, Continued by consent.</i>	
<i>In Court of appeals.</i>	
<i>Continued by Defendant.</i>	

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2340	H. M. Turman.	D. A. Hunter. vs. J. P. Hughes & Co.	Injunction	Mar	19	1887.
2343	P. O. & O. H & G.	Chas. Ditz vs. J. J. Hoagsett.	Debt.	"	04	"
2347	Bornlin & B. Capps & Cauter.	R. Looper. vs. John Turman Sr.	Appral.	Mar	30	87.
2354	Carlock. B & M Cart	State of Texas. vs. Abe Woody	Wof Rec	Apr	14	87
2356	P. O. and P. Irby Dunklin N. A. Steadman	John T. Swayne. vs. Imboden & Evans E. G. Starfield	Intropleadm	"	17	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *July* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2359	Hill. M. G. Hill.	C. B. Daggett vs. MORUE Ry Co.	appeal	Apr	19	1887
2360	McLurey. DeBon.	G. S. Miller vs. W. A. Hunter and W. K. K. K.	"	"	"	"
2361	J. J. Hill. W. A. K.	E. M. Daggett. vs. MORUE Ry Co.	"	"	"	"
2370	J. A. Holland B. G. Johnson N. H. Lassiter	Chas Ware by next friend Allen Ware. vs. Wicks & Gause	"	"	26	"
2371	M. S. Keut. McLurey	Charity Ross. vs. Mary A. Moore	"	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *July* TERM, 188*7*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

To be dismissed at cost
of Deft. J. C. Hill
atty for Plff.

3 A. 2 W. Dismissed by agreement
at cost of deft - $\frac{1}{2}$ 237

To be dismissed at Cost
of Deft. J. C. Hill atty
for Plff.

3 A 2 W Dismissed by agreement
at cost of deft $\frac{1}{2}$ 238

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2372	R. S. Blair P. O. and P.	J. H. Carmichael vs. T. H. W. and N. O. Ry Co	Appeal	Apr	26	1887
2374	W. W. W.	Housbands. Missouri Glass Co. vs. E. Geo. Betz.	"	"	"	"
		vs.				
		vs.				
		vs.				

Appraiser

Assurance
TARRANT COUNTY, *July*

TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

32 1/2 jury demanded by deft -
Quil *7/23⁵*

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1000	Canty	Batum & Bro vs. J. D. Harston. E. R. Logan.	note no	July	6	1883.
1255	Stray & S.	Jos. H. Brown. vs. R. H. Chawellor & Company	note no	Mar	21	1884.
1772	A. & S.	D. H. Corp. vs. Marstine Miltell	Debt no	Aug	18	1885
1821	B & B & H & C. O & C.	Sam Truman for use of Tarrant Co vs. Isaac Cooper. et al.	Liquor Bond no	Oct	15	"
1829.	Stray & S.	City National Bank vs. Jno. C. McKinney	Attachment no	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *July* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<i>Continued for service</i>	$ \begin{array}{r} 235 \\ 12 \\ \hline 470 \\ 235 \\ \hline 28.20 \\ 28.20 \\ \hline 13.10 \\ \hline 69.50 \\ 235.00 \\ \hline 304.50 \\ 304.50 \\ \hline 334.95 \end{array} $ <p style="text-align: right;">1410</p>
<i>Continued for service</i>	<p><i>3 D'W. Contd for service</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">$\frac{7}{229}$</p>
<i>Continued for service</i>	<p><i>3 D'W. Contd for service</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">$\frac{7}{229}$</p>
<i>Continued for service</i>	<p><i>3 D'W. Contd for service</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">$\frac{7}{229}$</p>
<i>Continued for service.</i>	<p><i>3 D'W. Contd for service</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">$\frac{7}{229}$</p>

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1889.	J. A. Holland	Dau Armie vs. Jesse Armie	Account no	Dec	16	1885
1892	B. M. C. & Herd. R. G. Johnson.	Chas Schuerm vs. W. D. Beaton	Notes. no	"	22	"
1898	Wray & S.	E. Morris. vs. A. N. Crabtree	Attachment no	"	29	"
1899 1898	Wray & S.	E. Morris. vs. Johnson & Hilliard A. N. Crabtree Deft	Garnishment Garn. no	"	"	"
1900 1898	Wray & S.	E. Morris. vs. Jesse R. Pascoe A. N. Crabtree Deft	Garnishment Garn. no	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *July*. TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<i>Continued for service</i>	<i>3 D 1 W Contd for service 7/29</i>
<i>Continued for service. R. G. Johnson appointed to represent non resident de- fendants. Continued for service.</i>	<i>3 D 1 W Contd for service 7/30</i>
<i>Plaintiff leave to amend affidavit continued for service</i>	<i>3 D 1 W Contd for service 7/30</i>
<i>Continued</i>	<i>3 D 1 W Contd for service 7/30</i>
<i>same.</i>	<i>same 7/30</i>

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1921	Stray & S.	Jos. H. Brown. vs. J. E. Taylor Co.	Attachment	Jan	13	1886.
1923 1921.	Stray & S.	Jos. H. Brown. vs. Robt H. McMath J. E. Taylor & Co. Deft.	Garnishment	"	"	"
1924 1921.	Stray and S. O J G.	Jos. H. Brown. vs. Dt. Dt. McMath J. E. Taylor Co. Deft.	Garnishment	"	"	"
1925, 1921	Stray and S. C. Gillespie	Jos. H. Brown. vs. Dt. Dt. McMath & Co. Gar. J. E. Taylor & Co Deft.	Garnishment	"	"	"
1926.	Stray & S.	Jos. H. Brown. vs. J. H. L. Hull	Debt.	"	29	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *July* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3010 Cents for Service
7/230

Continued

Continued

Continued

Continued

3010 Cents for Service
7/230

Continued

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2041 2043	P. O. & P.	H. St. Spear. vs. Dave Burns. J. A. Ashmore. Deft.	Garnishment	Jan	3	1886
2045 2043	P. O. & P.	H. St. Spear. vs. J. St. Loman J. A. Ashmore Deft.	Garnishment	"	"	"
2048 2043	P. O. & P.	H. St. Spear. vs. Almy Byrne. J. A. Ashmore Deft.	Garnishment	"	"	"
2046 2043	P. O. & P.	H. St. Spear. vs. Porter. J. A. Ashmore Deft.	Garnishment	"	"	"
2067.	Wray & S.	Jos. H. Brown. vs. R. F. Clark	Debt	"	23	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *July* TERM, 1887,

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Continued for service

*3d W Court for service
7/23/87*

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2102 Wednesday	Darven	City of TX North vs. T. G. Boone and D. Miller	Trot Bond	Aug	16	1886.
2156.	S. Darven.	P. O. Sygard vs. G. M. Stone alias Stafford	Attachment	Sept	10	1886.
2202.	McLaurry Hobby & Stanley.	S. A. Trimble & Co. vs. Chas. Gay.	Attachment	Nov	19	"
2203 2202	McLaurry	S. A. Trimble & Co. vs. Joz Mayer Garnishree. Chas Gay. Defendant.	Garnishment	"	20	"
2243.	C and G.	State of Texas. vs. J. H. Spear et al	Trot Bond	Dec	11	1886.

TARRANT COUNTY, *July* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<i>Continued for service</i>	
<i>Continued for service</i>	
<i>Continued for service</i>	
<i>Continued for service</i>	

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2247	C. & G.	State of Texas. vs. Dick Brimell et al	Tor Bond	Dec	11	1886.
2253	H. & G. P. P. Ayres.	B. B. Bondmunt. vs. W. A. Sanderson, et al.	note	"	28	"
2264	Dunklin	P. C. Evans Co. vs. J. T. Smith.	Acct	Jan	17	1887.
2280.	O. H. Killing	David Boaz. vs. Illinois Central Ry Co.	Acct	"	28	"
2297	Carlock & G.	State of Texas. vs. W. B. McKenzie et al	Tor Bond	Feb	15	1887.

TARRANT COUNTY, *July*, TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Judgment by default a-
gainst Defendants McMill
& Crockett. Continued for
service. passed for set
tlement

3 D 100 Contd for service
7/200

3 D 100 Contd for service
7/200

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2302	C & G. Brantley for Deft Nichols	State of Texas, vs. Geo. Caswell et al	For Bond	Feb	15	1887
2312	P & P. C & C.	Sau Turman Co Judge Trustee of Tarrant Co. Bond. vs. O. C. Lawson, et al		"	23	"
2332	W & G.	Bateman & Bro vs. J. D. Roulhac.	Debt	Mar	4	1887
2333	Gray & S.	Dr. Dr. Trippett vs. J. D. Roulhac.	"	"	"	"
2335	B. H. & M. Co Standy	Hauson's Emp. Tru. Trac. vs. Chase Trading Co. et al.	Debt	Mar	8	1887

TARRANT COUNTY, *July* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<p>30100 Dismissed by Plaintiff's attorney against a plea for costs. <i>[Signature]</i> 7/23/87</p>
Dismissed by Plaintiff's attorney	<p>30100 Cause dismissed by order of Pleas Attorney. Judgment against a plea for costs. <i>[Signature]</i> 7/23/87</p>


CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2344	Sprayne and Dr. Bjandly for Deft	Casey & Emasey vs. Chas Gay	Attachment w/la	Mar	16	1887.
2346.	Lawyers.	Elliott & Roe, vs. Harmon & Hatchell	Account	"	29	"
2349	J. S. Davis.	M. A. Tackaberry, vs. W. T. Hudson.	Attachment w/o	Apr	1	1887.
2363	O and G.	State of Texas, vs. J. L. Reed	Deft's Pet w/o	"	25	"
2364	O & G.	State of Texas, vs. Mat Krauer	" w/o	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *July* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<p><i>B. D. W. B. J. DeGraaf App't represent non resident defendant 7/23/87</i></p>

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2366	C & G.	State of Texas vs. J. A. Reed	Deft Dist no	Apr	25	1887.
2367	C & G.	State of Texas vs. Stat Krauer	" no	"	"	"
2368	C and G.	State of Texas vs. John Burford	" no	"	"	"
2378	A. A. Steadman R. M. Symme. C & Catterly.	Schneidm & Shear vs. Chas Scheubm & Co	Damages no	"	26	"
2380	P. C. & P. 	Casey and Inasey vs. Burns & Tawco.	Debt & Int no	"	28	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *July* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2381	P. C. & P.	George C. Hudgins, vs. Fred. J. E. Gething	Attachment no	May	4	1887
2382	Ross & Ferrill W. J. Hunter & Starnes	L. Boaz, vs. J. M. Small.	Debt & Damages yes	"	6	"
2383	P. C. & P.	A. G. Ruttman, and Co. vs. M. J. Dorsey.	Debt. X	"	7	"
2384	R. S. Blair. W. J. O. M. & Milling	James McFarland vs. F. M. & D. C. Ry Co.	Damages yes	"	"	"
2385	P. C. & P. W. J. & Spence	Higbee Raudall Barling Company vs. Sammy Schwartz.	Note yes	"	12	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *July* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

*Dismissed in vacation on
file docket cost paid in
full*

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2386 562	H. R. McLawry	Woodsun Machine Company. vs. C. C. Wilson et al	Remm Judgment for will.	May	13	1887.
2387.	W. G. H. & Lewis	Isaac Evans. vs. Elizabeth Estes, Administratrix	Appeal MS	"	"	"
2388.	H. & Stewart W. G. O. M. & Milling	S. B. New vs. T. N. & D. C. Ry Co.	Damages MS	"	16	"
2389.	B. P. Ayres.	Talbot & Hunt & Co. vs. S. E. Tobie	Attachment MS	"	17	"
2390 2389	B. P. Ayres W. G.	Talbot & Hunt & Co. vs. G. C. & S. T. Ry Co. Gar. S. E. Tobie, Defendant	Sequestration MS	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *July* TERM, 188*7*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM




*3510 Contd for service on
C. C. Wilson*

*7
1237*

3510 Contd for service

*7
1237*

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2391	<i>D. R. Sawyers</i> 	<i>Cameron & Tatum.</i> <i>a. J. Willis</i> ^{vs.}	<i>Note</i>	<i>May</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>1887.</i>
2392	<i>R. J. Boykin</i> 	<i>W. J. Morton.</i> <i>G. O. & S. W. Ry Co.</i> ^{vs.}	<i>Damages.</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>"</i>
2393	<i>W. H. & S.</i>	<i>W. H. & R. Ry Co.</i> <i>Mrs. T. C. Anderson</i> ^{vs.}	<i>Condemnation</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>
2394 2278	<i>D. R. Sawyers</i> 	<i>Wm Cameron & Co.</i> <i>J. J. Roche Garishee</i> ^{vs.} <i>E. J. Thompson deft</i>	<i>Garishment</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>"</i>
2396.	<i>B. P. Ayres.</i>	<i>A. G. Ruttleman & Co.</i> <i>W. L. Dorsey and</i> ^{vs.} <i>M. J. Dorsey</i>	<i>Note</i>	<i>June</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1887.</i>

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Costs paid in full
and dismissed in ra-
tion on file docket

1393

3 D. W. Report of commissioner
approved and made judgment of
Court Walla with in the amount
of \$150 for responsibility non
resident def to be taxed as
part of costs in accord with writ
award. 7/23/87

Dismissed on file docket.
during vacation costs paid
in full.

Dismissed
see file docket

3 D. W. Court for term
7/23/87

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2394	Wm Carter Navy + Stanley	Edwin Bates & Co. vs. Geo. H. Chase.	Note	May	31	1887.
2397 2186.	Drum Prentiss	Fort Worth Grocer Co. vs. Batuau & Bro Garuismm. C. R. Page. Defendant	Garuismm	Jan	1	1887.
2398	P. C. & O. Ayres	W. D. & M. O. Ry Co. vs. G. M. Pittman & Co.	Debt re.	"	7	1887.
2399 2340.	Sand Harrim	Casey and Smasey. vs. B. H. Shipp. Garuismm. Chas Gay. Defendant	Garuismm	"	"	"
2400.	Wield and Jennings	G. H. Hutchinson vs. G. H. Parsons.	Note	"	8	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *July* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

*351. W. Admitted by order of plff. City
Judgment against plff. vs. Costs
234*

*352. W. Judgment final & app
on writ for $273 \frac{75}{100}$ writ set at
10% per an from Aug 22nd 85
237*

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2401	Dray & Stawley	Thm Martin Brombo. vs. R. S. Porter & Son.	Guaranty	June	9.	1887.
2402.	B. D. ^{and} McC. Couy ^{and} Beers. A. P. Bau.	vs. M. Sanger et al	Damages.	"	10	"
2403	Holland & Johnson. Wick Wick ^{and} Thompson.	Hugh Gryn. vs. McRae Ry Co.	Damages.	"	11	"
2404	C ^{and} Gillespie	Thm State of Texas. vs. J. R. Knight et al	For Bond.	"	14	"
2405	C ^{and} Gillespie Wick	Thm State of Texas vs. Francis Grauger et al	For Bond	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY,

July

TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

*30100 cont'd Service
7/235*

*32200 Puff leaves to amend
7/236*

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2406.	Carlock & G.	The State of Texas. vs. Geo. B. Hollaud et al	Torf Bond.	June	14	1887.
2407.	Carlock & G. <i>[Signature]</i>	The State of Texas. vs. R. Matkin.	Deft Status	"	"	"
2408	B. P. Ayres. <i>[Signature]</i> J + C	A. G. Rutman. vs. George Potts.	Debt & ac	June	15	1887
2409 2408	B. P. Ayres. <i>[Signature]</i>	A. G. Rutman vs. The S. & N. Ry Co. George Potts Deft	Garishment Garu. <i>[initials]</i>	"	"	"
2410	<i>[Signature]</i> H. B. Ford.	H. C. Prince. vs. G. O. & S. F. Ry Co.	Controversi	June	15	1887.

TARRANT COUNTY, *July* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM




ORDERS PRESENT TERM

*3 D. C. W. J. J. demanded by per
H.*

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2411.	B. T. DeBorr.	Scott Wilson. vs. Wm Perrin et al.	Debt & c. no	June	18	1887.
2412	H & S.	J. C. Hutchinson. vs. E. T. Kard	Debt & c. no	"	"	"
2413	Capps & Cautley. <i>[Signature]</i>	Rutland vs. Joyce. vs. Geo. S. Burchill et al	Debt yes	June	18	1887
2414	W. R. Sawyers. <i>[Signature]</i> P. O. & P.	Smith & Bardon. vs. S. J. Dinn.	Appeal (G. Nance J.P.) yes	"	21	"
2416.	Holland & Johnson. <i>[Signature]</i> H. B. Ford	I. K. Lyons. vs. G. O. & S. T. Ry Co	Appeal (Nance J.P.) yes	"	"	"

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2417	Holland & Johnson  P. O. & Correll.	D. A. Sutton. vs. Samly Roche & Roche	Appeal yes	June	21	1887.
2418	H. & Stewart	Rosendale St Ry Co. vs. Jno. C. Brown. et al.	Damages. no	"	"	"
2419	David Carter 	Zane Cotte. vs. G. C. B. Nash and J. J. Jarvis.	note yes	"	25	"
2420	R. G. & R.	Chase & Nicholson. vs. H. C. Johnson et al.	Debt	"	27	"
2421	H. Edwards 	D. A. Ruffman Imp Co vs. B. Blanton	Debt	"	27	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *July*, TERM, 1887.







ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

1877. Judgment for plaintiff for \$342⁰⁰/₁₀₀ against deft. - Wash & Jarvis. Judgment for deft. Jarvis over a claim of amon Wash. It appearing that deft. Jarvis signed for notes and on as surety - 11/20/87 - O -

95/10. Judgment final by default for amon of notes and on notes returned and atty fees as therein stated - O - 11/23/87 -

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2122	Turnant & Borr  W. L. Hubbards	M. A. Palmer vs. John Thompson et al	Damages	Jm	28	1887
2423	R. J. Boykin  R. S. Blair	G. M. Smith, vs. L. V. Hopkins & M. E. Hopkins	Appeal Fr. H. Smith Jr.	July	1	"
		vs.				
		 J. S. Davis				
		 J. S. Davis	vs.			
		 J. S. Davis				
		 J. S. Davis	vs.			

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
852	B. H. & McE.	Bear Seasongoods & Company. vs. H. T. Wilson.	Attachment	12	11	81
854	B. H. & McE.	Seligman & Mayer vs. W. T. Steir.	Attachment	"	14	"
943	B. H. & McE. Truich & T.	T. J. Lovv Lady. vs. St L & J. M. Ry Co. etal	Damages.	3	19	83
953 950	B. H. & McE.	Collins Bros. vs. Dt. B. Brooks Gar. C. R. Harris Sept.	Garnishment	4	30	"
961 960	B. H. & McE.	A. H. Holden & Co. vs. Dt. B. Brooks Gar.	Garnishment	5	14	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM.

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

*Continued by consent.
Continued generally.
Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.
Continued generally.*

*Judgment by default with writ of inquiry
Continued by consent
Continued generally.
Continued by consent
Continued generally.*

*Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.*

*1 D/W. Cont^d by Consent
7/239*

*Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.*

*1 D/W Cont^d by Consent
7/239*

*Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.*

*1 D/W Cont^d by Consent
7/239*

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
988.	<i>C. & C. H. M. Furman A. A. Steedman</i>	<i>Mat Krauss</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>Drighit & Drighit</i> <i>Robt Morris et al</i>	<i>Appeal</i>	<i>May</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>1883</i>
993.	<i>B. D. & M. C.</i>	<i>Humphreys, Spec. Home</i> <i>Medical Company,</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>Dr. B. Brooks Gar.</i> <i>E. R. Harris Deft</i>	<i>Garishment</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>"</i>
1026.	<i>B. D. & M. C.</i>	<i>Drey & Kahn.</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>Dr. B. Brooks et al</i>	<i>Controversi</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>28</i>	<i>"</i>
1027.	<i>B. D. & M. C.</i>	<i>Conrad & Co.</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>Dr. B. Brooks et al</i>	<i>Controversi</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>
1147.	<i>B. D. & M. C.</i>	<i>Bateman & Bro.</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>B. M. Lindsey,</i>	<i>Attachment</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>"</i>

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<p><i>Court of Appeals.</i></p>	
<p><i>Continued by plaintiff</i> <i>Continued by Defendant</i> <i>Continued by agreement</i> <i>Continued by agreement</i> <i>Continued by consent</i> <i>Continued by consent</i> <i>Continued by consent.</i></p>	<p><i>1 D 1 W Cont'd by consent</i> <i>7/23/87</i></p>
<p><i>Continued by Defendant.</i> <i>Continued by agreement</i> <i>Continued by agreement</i> <i>Continued by consent</i> <i>Continued by consent</i> <i>Continued by consent</i> <i>Continued by consent.</i></p>	<p><i>1 D 1 W Cont'd by consent</i> <i>7/23/87</i></p>
<p><i>Continued by Defendant</i> <i>Continued by agreement</i> <i>Continued by agreement</i> <i>Continued by consent</i> <i>Continued by consent</i> <i>Continued by consent</i> <i>Continued by consent.</i></p>	<p><i>1 D 1 W Cont'd by consent</i> <i>7/23/87</i></p>
<p><i>Judgment by default</i> <i>with writ of inquiry.</i> <i>Continued by consent</i> <i>Continued by consent</i> <i>Continued by consent</i> <i>Continued by consent.</i></p>	

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1349	T. S. & Capps.	E. A. Jacobs.	Debt	7	5	84
	B. D. & McE.	E. S. Tomlinson.				
1350 1349.	T. S. & Capps.	E. A. Jacobs.	Garishment	"	"	"
	B. D. & McE.	D. M. Holom et al. Gar. E. S. Tomlinson Debt				
1416 1413.	H. & Arme.	D ^m R. Beckwith.	Garishment	8	19	"
		J. P. Higgins Gar. Tex Inv ^t - Calim ^t - Debt				
1467.	T. S. & Capps. Jas. O. Scott M. W. & Laurie	R. M. Page.	Anticari Warrant J. P.	10	14	84
		J. T. Burt.				
1647	B. P. Ayres.	J. D. Lakin	Arbitration	2	25	85
	M. Lawry	J. D. Farmer				

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Continued by plaintiff
Continued by agreement
Continued by agreement
Continued by consent
Continued by consent
Continued by consent.

1 D / 1 U. Court by consent
7/24/87

Dismissed as to H. O. M.
Continued as to others.
Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.

1 D / 1 U Court by consent
7/24/87

1 D / 1 U. Dismissed by order
of P. J. All. Judgment
agreement for costs.
7/24/87

Continued by consent.
January Term. A. D. 1887.
W. S. Harris Special Judge.
Continued for plaintiff
to substitute lost Gar-
nishment bonds

Set for 3 D / 1 U. 2 P. U. R. J. Casper
agreed on as special judge.
Judgment for plff in sum of 13⁵⁰
+ 8 cent. from 17th June, 1887 + that
d. p. recover the costs of this Court
+ pay the costs of the lower Court —
7/26/87

In Court of Appeals.

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1654	C. & Cauley. B. J. Johnson.	A. Sinclair. vs. M. A. Cooper et al	Partition	3	5	85
1665	B. D. & M. C. Ross and Ross.	John Behrens. vs. Adolph Widmer.	Attachment	"	8	"
1770	B. & M. C. C. D. & D. B.	Baumgardner Bloom & Co. vs. Neil P. Anderson et al	Debt	8	14	"
1830 1749	B. D. & M. C.	Robt. McCart et al vs. John G. James Gar. J. D. Beauchamp et al	Garnishment	10	22	1885
1831 1749	B. D. & M. C.	Robt. McCart et al vs. A. S. James Gar. J. D. Beauchamp et al	Garnishment	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<p>Set for 4 D. 2 W. at 2 P.M. 4 D. 2 W. Cont'd by consent. 11/2/8</p>
<p>H. B. Herd appointed to represent non resident Defendant Continued by consent Continued by consent Continued by consent Continued by consent</p>	
<p>Continued by consent Continued by consent Continued by consent Continued by consent Continued by consent</p>	
<p>Continued generally. Continued generally. Continued generally. Continued by agreement Continued by consent</p>	
<p>Continued generally. Continued generally. Continued generally. Continued by agreement Continued by consent</p>	

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1868.	C. & G.	State of Texas.	Writ Bonds.	11	21	85
	B. G. J.	Jerry Keating et al.				
1879.	C. D. & S. B.	Augustus D. Cook.	Debt	"	5	"
	W. S. for C. Carb.	M. S. E. & B. A. of A.				
1880 1879.	C. D. & S. B.	Augustus D. Cook	Garnishment	"	"	"
	H. G. & W. S. for Carb.	E. M. Macy et al Gar. M. S. E. & B. A. of A.				
1979.	M. Laury.	Edmond Kitchards	Onthorari nauer. J.P.	3	3	86.
	Dray & S.	E. Morris				
2017.	P. Q. & P. D. B. Fort.	The N. & W. O. Ry Co. G. O. & S. Ry Co.	Condemnation	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<p><i>Continued.</i></p>	<p><i>Set for D/W 4. D. I. W. Court -</i></p>
<p><i>Continued by consent Continued by consent Continued by consent Continued by consent</i></p>	
<p><i>Continued by consent. Continued by consent. Continued by consent. Continued by consent.</i></p>	
<p><i>Continued by consent Continued by consent Continued by consent Continued by consent.</i></p>	<p><i>1 D/W Court & Consent 7/24</i></p>
	<p><i>4 D, I, W. Cause dismissed by consent of parties payment of costs by [unclear] - 0 -</i></p>

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2020	P. C. & P. D. B. Word.	T. N. & N. O. Ry Co. vs. G. C. & S. T. Ry Co.	Condemnation	5	3	86.
2021	J. C. & Cauley T. T. and Bohrin	J. D. Schmitt & Co. vs. W. T. Maddox, and Wm. A. Wilson & Co.	Injunction	"	15	"
2022	J. P. Ayres Capps & Cauley	G. M. Rutledge vs. B. T. Bennett et al	Appeal qu. jr.	"	19	"
2032	P. C. & P. D. B. Word.	T. N. & N. O. Ry Co. vs. G. C. & S. T. Ry Co.	Condemnation	5	26	86.
2035	R. M. Furman & N. A. Stosman P. C. & Porrell	M. V. Miller vs. J. T. Frogg	Sequestration	"	27	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

4 D 7 W Cause dismissed by consent
of parties judgment against
plff for costs

O - 7/32H

*Court of Appeals.
Settled*

*Court of Appeals.
Settled*

4 D 7 W. Cause dismissed by
consent of parties judgment
against plff for costs

O - 7/32H

Set for 2 D 2 W, Reset for 4 D 2 W.

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2050	Stewart Atty & S.	B. W. Johnson. vs. W. T. Patterson.	Exhibition	June	27	1886.
2071.	Blair & Davis P. C. & Powell	Wm P. Trogden vs. W & M. O. Ry Co.	Damages.	"	29	"
2072	Blair & Davis P. C. & Powell.	James Crabtree vs. W & M. O. Ry Co.	Damages.	"	"	"
2157.	P. C. & P. C & Y.	J. B. Mitchell & Co. vs. W. D. Kirkmetal	Sequestration	9	13	"
2157.	Ross & Ross, C. C. Cummings J. A. Hollaud	Ernest Hood & Co. vs. A. P. Ryan & Josephine Ryan	Final rights of property.	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, September TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Continued by consent
Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.
Continued by plaintiff

~~Set for 2. D. 1. W. 2. P. 711.~~
1 D 1 W Dismissed in
order of P. D. Jud. procs.
of a. d. 1. P. 711 for case
7/24 - Q

Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.

1 D 1 W contd by consent
7/24

Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.

1 D 1 W contd by consent
7/24

Plaintiff leave to amend
Jury demanded. by the
Defendant Kester.

Set for 5. D. 2. W.
2. d. 2. W. Continued by consent
11/27/87

Continued

the taken up by consent

Trial CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
216	B. P. Ayres. P. O. & P.	A. G. Ruttman & Co. vs. W. North & N. O. Ry Co.	Appeal G. Nance Jr.	9	25	86.
213	Pruitt Scott.	G. W. Alvaudon vs. Dr. T. Maddox	Commission	10	12	86
2187.	H. & S. P. O. & P.	W. Dr. Heyn. vs. W. Dr. & N. O. Ry Co.	Damages.	"	25	"
2207	W. S. Lamm. W. S. Lamm & S.	L. A. Trimble & Co. vs. Chas Gay	attachment	"	19	"
2203 see Appearance Docket -						
2204	C. T. Tucker & A. M. Carter P. O. & P.	Betherton Irvine & Co. vs. Trust National Bank of North North & Fal.	Debt	"	27	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

*Copy of Appeals
Settled*

*Continued by consent
Continued by consent
Continued by consent
Continued by consent*

*Set for 5 D 1 W -
5 D 1 W. Plf leave to amend - Judgment
for plff for \$125.00 del costs
and fines for notice of appeal
1/26/87 - 0*

*Jury demanded by plff.
Continued by consent.
Continued by consent.
Continued by consent
Continued by consent.*

*Set for 4 D 2 W. 2 P.M.
4 D 2 W. Cont'd for settlement.
1/27/87*

Continued 5 Terms.

*1 D 1 W. Judgment for plff for
\$499.00 Clerk ordered to note
in judgment fact of issuance &
levy of attachment with description
of legal return levied on -
1/27/87*

*Jury demanded by plaintiff
Continued 5 Terms*

*1 D 1 W Jury demanded by deft -
1/24/87*

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2034	C. C. Cummings, J. A. Holland,	Alvaudn Hauey, vs. G. O. & S. T. Ry Co.	Damages.	Dec	18	1886.
2035	Shepard & Miller and D. B. Ford,	G. O. & S. T. Ry Co.	Damages.	"	20	"
2036	C. C. Cummings J. A. Holland,	M. A. Patton vs. G. O. & S. T. Ry Co.	Damages.	"	"	"
2048	J. A. Holland B. G. Johnson,	Edward Otto, vs. W. R. McLary	Appeal Smith J. P.	"	23	"
2255	O. S. Keurdy, O'Neill & W.	John McLaughlin et al vs. W. T. & L. C. Ry Co.	Appeal H. Mauer J. P.	Jan	1	1887.

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

~~Reversed and Remanded in Court of Appeals. 4th Term.
Mandate Returned & filed Sept 12, 1887~~

Court of Appeals

~~Reversed and Remanded in Court of Appeals. 4th Term.
Mandate Returned and filed Sept 12 1887~~

11

~~Reversed and Remanded in Court of Appeals. 4th Term.
Mandate returned & filed Sept. 12, 1887.~~

Judgment not final granted. Jury demanded by Defendant.

181W. Jury demanded by Plaintiff

7/24/2

Goodman
G. S.

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2260	Wray and S.	Jos. H. Brown. vs. J. D. Stinchcomb & Son.	note	Jan	5	1887.
2261	Wray & Stanley Hunter & Stewart	Jos. H. Brown. vs. J. M. Meyers.	Brief of Law.	"	"	"
2268 1750.	J. S. Davis.	Mary A. Tackaberry. vs. Jones & Curry, Gar. Burns & Jordan Defts.	Garnishment	"	17	"
2275	Ross and Ross. J. A. Holland	N. H. Swinn. vs. M. R. Sauquet & A. N. Dawson.	Debt.	"	21	"
2276 2275	Ross and Ross. P. Chapman & P. J. A. Holland	N. H. Swinn. vs. Commercial Club Gar. Sauquet & Dawson Defts.	Garnishment	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<p>Judgment with writ of inquiry by default</p>	
<p>Continued by Defendant Continued by Consent. Continued 3 Terms.</p>	<p>Set for 4 D/W 9 a 24.</p>
<p>Commission ordered to Cooks County. 3 Terms Continued</p>	
<p>Jury Impanelled by Defendant Continued 2 Terms.</p>	<p>D/W. Plff- leave to answer - Cause cont'd for Decemr 7/24th</p>
<p>Continued 2 Terms.</p>	

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2077.	Wray & S. B. P. Ayres.	J. F. Fogg. vs. E. H. Keller.	Appeal.	Jan	11	1887.
2084.	Carter & S ^r B. Wray and S.	Scharf & Schwartz & Co. vs. Chase Trading Co.	Debt & ac.	"	31	"
2215.	Wray & S. P. C. Powell.	G. M. Gillespie vs. Charles Deitz.	Appeal nunc pro p.	Feb	24	"
2320.	B. P. Ayres. J. S. Davis.	Talbot Hunt & Co. vs. Purkard & Joyce.	same.	"	"	"
2324.	J. A. Hallaud, B. G. Johnson. Smyth & Karim.	R. Matkieu vs. City of North Worth	Debt & c.	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
Continued <i>or terms.</i>	1 D 1 W Court by dept 7/24/87
Plaintiff dismissed as to E.E. Chase and A.M. Britton.	1 D 1 W Court by dept 7/24/87
Continued <i>or terms.</i>	Set for 5 D 1 W + 5 D 1 W. Left motion for continuance - overruled - judgment for P. \$1048.00 left excepted and given notice of appeal - O 7/26/87
Continued <i>or terms.</i>	Set for 1 P M 5 D 2 W. Judgment against Gairhest sent to S.D. L.W. which may be discharged by delivery of the paper in their hands to the Sheriff - 7/28/87 - O
Court of appeals	

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2348 2347	B. J. Houston Wray & S.	Washburn & August vs. Hick & Baker Gas. Tanner & Rail Setts	Garishment	Feb	28	1887
2331	D. B. Ford P. C. & Porrell.	G. C. & S. T. Ry Co vs. Henry Roche & Roche.	Debt & C.	Mar	1	1887
2335	B. D. & McE. Wray & Stauley	Hausons. Empire Tract vs. Chase Trading Co et al.	Debt	"	8	"
2340	Smauer & St. W. M. Brautley	Casey and Smasey. vs. Charles Gay	Attachment	Mar	16	"
2342	H. M. Furman. P. B. P.	W. A. Hunter. vs. J. P. Hughes & Co.	Impeachment	Mar	19	1887

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

101W. Garnisher discharged.
Judgment against P[er] for cost
including \$10.00 also for
Garnisher (D) 7/24/87

~~Continued by Defendant.~~
~~Continued 2 Terms.~~

Notice of Appeal


M. C. Newby - A. of Chambers.
88.

Set for 2 P.M. 202W.
252W. Defs exceptions to p[er] original
petition over-ruled. Defendants except -
Judgment for P[er] for \$28/36
Def's except and gave notice of
appeal to Court of appeals
(D) 7/27/87

101W Cont'd by consent

7/24/87

Set for 301W



Trial CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2311	P. C. J. Porrell H. J. & W. H. F. F. F. F.	Charles Ditz vs. J. G. Hoagsett.	Debt.	Mar	22	1887.
2347.	Borlin & B. Capps & Cautey	R. Loopm. vs. John Timney Jr.	Appeal	"	30	"
2354	Carlock & G. B. W. & M. C.	State of Texas. vs. Abr Woody.	For Recogm.	Apr	14	"
2356.	P. C. J. Porrell Imboden & Kraus M. A. Steadman	M. J. Fraum. vs. Imboden & Kraus. E. G. Warfield	Interplead	"	17	"
2360	W. R. McLauy B. W. & B. W.	G. S. Miller vs. W. A. Luntz & W. Niun.	Appeal	Apr	19	1887.

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Set for 10 2 W -
10 2 W. Cause dismissed
on account of failure of party
to comply with rule for costs.
1/273

Set for 6 W. 1 W. Reset for 3 D 2 W. 2 P.M.

Set for 4 D 1 W
4 D 1 W. Clerk ordered to pay
proceeds of judgment less costs of
this proceeding - 0 - 1/271

Set for 4 D 2 W
4 D 2 W. Judgment for Plaintiff -
for \$108 93 1/2
- 0 - 1/278

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2370	J. A. Holland B. G. Johnson. A. H. Cassiter	Charles Mear vs. Mickes & Gause	By writ friends. Appeal	Apr	26	1887
2371.	M. D. Keck. W. R. McLaurie	Charity Ross. vs. Mary A. Moore.	"	"	"	"
2372	R. S. Blair. P. C. & Porrell.	J. H. Carmichael. vs. T. H. & M. C. Ry Co.	"	"	"	"
2380.	P. C. & Porrell.	Casey & Imasey. vs. Burns & Fauea	Debt & ac.	"	28	"
2382.	Ross & Terrell. Keutm & Stewart	A. Boaz. vs. J. M. Small.	Debt	May	6	1887

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

*1 D 1 W. Jury demanded by P. H. H.
7/24/22*

*1 D 1 W Cont'd by consent.
7/24/23.*

Set for 6 D 2 W.

*1 D. 1 W. Judgment by default
with writ of sequestr. -
7/24/9*

Trial,
CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2384	P. S. B. Blair. James Skerman O'Hall & Hilling.	James McFarland. vs. T ^r N. & R. Ry Co.	Damages.	May	7	1887.
2385	P. O. & Porrell. Hogsett & Gorman.	Robert Raudall. Darling Company. vs. Henry Schwartz.	note	"	12	"
2387.	O. & G. Lusk, W. M. Harris.	Isaac Erans. vs. Elizabeth Estes admx	Appeal	May	13	"
2388.	Hunt & Stewart O'Hall & Hilling	S. B. Weir. vs. T ^r N. & A. C. Ry Co	Damages.	"	16	"
2390 2389.	Benj. P. Ayres	Talboth Hunt & Co vs. G. O. & S. F. Ry Co Gar. S. E. Tobie Defendant.	Garnishment	"	17	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

and Dgt
I.D. / W. Plff leave to amend
and jury demanded by Plff.
7/24³

to be taken up by consent
↑

I.D. / W. Jury demanded by Dgt -
7/24³

To be dismissed by order of
Plaintiff's attorneys costs paid
in full.
7/24³

I.D. / W. Cause dismissed by
order of Plff Judgment
against Plff for costs -
Plff allowed \$10 -
for answering to her
tort as part of costs against
Plff.
7/24⁴

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2392	R. J. Boylston. W. P. Ford.	W. J. Morton. vs. G. O. & S. F. Ry Co.	Damages.	May	24	1887.
2395	T. ana Carter. May & Stanley.	Edwin Bates & Co. vs. Geo. H. Chase.	Note	May	31	1887.
2398.	P. O. & P. A. P. Ayms.	W. M. & M. O. Ry Co. vs. G. M. Rittman & Co.	Debt &c.	June	7	"
2399 2400	Smaym & Starnum.	Casey & Smasey. vs. B. H. Shipp. Shiff Gar. Chas Gay Defendant	Garnishment	"	"	"
2403.	J. A. H. ... Hutch & Thompson.	Hugh Gryn. vs. Mo. Pac. Ry. Co.	Damages.	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<p><i>10/10 Jury demanded by P^{ty}.</i> <i>7/244</i></p>
	<p><i>to be taken up by court</i></p>
	<p><i>10/10. def leave to amend -</i> <i>7/244</i></p>
	<p><i>10/10. P^{ty} leave to amend and Jury demanded by P^{ty}. Set for 2 D. Sec. 2 D. Sec. Dept <i>7/244</i> Amend <i>7/297</i></i></p>

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,


No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2407	C. & Gillespie M. R. McGawry.	The State of Texas. vs. Francis Graugratal	Writ Bond	June	14	87
2407	C. & Gillespie	The State of Texas. vs. R. Matkin	Default writ.	"	"	"
2408.	Bmj. P. Ayres. Templeton & Carter.	A. G. Ruttman vs. George Potts.	Debt & ac.	"	15	"
2409 2408.	B. P. Ayres Templeton & Carter	A. G. Ruttman vs. The N. & B. Ry Co. Geo. Geo. Potts Defendant	Garnishment	"	"	"
2410.	W. B. Ford.	W. C. Prince. vs. G. C. & S. W. Ry Co.	Controversy	June	15	1887.

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.





ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<p>1 D.W. dismissed by order Courtly <i>7/24/87</i></p>
	<p>1 D.W. fine set aside and Cause dismissed - <i>7/24/87</i></p>
<p><i>W.M.H. of M</i></p>	<p>Set for 1 D. 2 W. 2094. 1 D. 2 W. Judgment for Pff for #676⁵⁰/₁₀₀ Set aside and give notice of appeal to court by appeals - <i>7/13/87</i> ○</p>
	<p>Set for 1 D. 2 W. 1 D. 2 W. judgment set aside file - Against garnisher for #676⁵⁰/₁₀₀ ap cost and in cases No. 2408 + 2406 - Garnisher allowed of fee of \$1000 to taxed as party cost - <i>W.M.H.</i> ○</p>

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2413	W. R. Sawyer B. M. & N. C.	Pinkard & Jover. vs. Geo. S. Burchill et al.	Debt	June	18	1887
2414	W. R. Sawyer J. C. & Porrell.	Smith & Barson vs. S. J. Dunn.	Appeal G. Nance Jr.	"	21	"
2416	J. A. Hallaud B. F. Johnson W. B. Ford.	J. R. Lyons. vs. G. C. & S. W. Ry Co	Appeal Nance J. P.	"	"	"
2417	B. F. Johnson J. A. Hallaud P. C. & Porrell.	W. A. Sexton. vs. Hurley Roche & Roche.	Appeal Nance Jr.	"	"	"
2422	H. M. Turnman B. F. Ford W. B. Ford	M. A. Palmer vs. John Thompson et al	Sauages.	"	28	"

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM <i>Hold Hold</i>
	<p>Set for 3 D 110</p> <p>3 D 110 Judgment Nil dict - for for P^t for \$ 631 - with 12% from May 26/87 - + 10% Att^y fee. With for closure of beam as paid for - 1/250 - 0 -</p>
	<p>15/110 Jury demanded by P^t.</p> <p>7/245</p>
	<p>Set for 5 D 111.</p> <p>5 D 111. Judgment for P^t for \$ 893⁴ P^r + \$ 1525⁴ in N making \$ 105.25. 1/250 - 0 -</p>
	<p>Set for 3 D 210</p> <p>3 D 210. Judgment for P^t for \$ 3000</p> <p>- 0 - 1/277</p>
	<p>15/110. P^t leave to amend - Jury demanded by P^t.</p> <p>7/245</p>

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2443	R. J. Boykin; R. S. Blair,	G. M. Smith, vs. L. M. Hopkins & M. E. Hopkins.	Appeal Smith J.P.	July	1	1887
2488.	Nymdricks.	W. A. Huffman Imp Co, vs. O'Neaville Temple Coformation Association Patrons of H	Debt vatch	Feb	9	1886.
2499.	O. K. Gesspie O. K. Cautey.	State of Texas, vs. A. Rodgers et al.	Forf Bond.	"	15	"
						
		James James vs. James James				

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

*set for SD 2 W.
SD 2 W. Cont'd by Consent
9/1/87*

*S
San Pat.
San Pat.
San Pat.*

San Pat.

*S S S
Saint Pat.*

Saint Pat.

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1000.	Capps & Cauty.	Bateman & Bro. vs. J. D. Hurston & E. R. Logan.	Note	Jan	6	1883.
1255	Wray & S.	Jos. H. Brown. vs. R. H. Chaucellor & Company.	Note	Mar	21	1884
1772	A & L.	D. H. Coop. vs. Nathaniel Willett.	Debt.	Aug	18	1885.
1824	B & B & H & H. O & O.	Sau Turman. For Tarrant County vs. Isaac Cooper et al.	Liq Bond	Oct	15	"
1829.	Wray & S.	City National Bank vs. Mrs. C. McKinney	Attachment	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

*G.D.W. Contd for service -
7/251*

*G.D.W. Contd for service
7/251*

G.D.W. Contd for service

7/252

*G.D.W. Contd for service
7/252*

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1889.	J. A. Holland.	Dan Armistead vs. Jesse Armistead.	Account	Dec	16	1885
1892	B. M. & H. D.	Chas Schubert vs. R. G. Johnson. W. D. Deaton.	notes.	"	22	"
1898.	Wray & S.	E. Morris. vs. A. W. Crabtree	attachment	"	29	"
<u>1899</u> 1898.	Wray & S.	E. Morris. vs. Johnson & Hilliard Gar. A. W. Crabtree Deft.	Garishment	"	"	"
<u>1900</u> 1898	Wray & S.	E. Morris. vs. Jesse R. Pascoe Gar A. W. Crabtree Deft.	Garishment	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<i>3 DDU contd for service</i> <i>7/25/87</i>

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1921	Wray & S.	Jos. H. Brown. vs. J. E. Taylor & Co	attachment	Jan	13	1886.
1923 1921	Wray & S.	Jos. H. Brown. vs. Robt H. McMath Gar. J. E. Taylor & Co Deft.	Garnishment	"	"	"
1924 1921.	Wray & S O & G.	Jos. H. Brown. vs. W. W. McMath Gar. J. E. Taylor & Co Deft.	Garnishment	"	"	"
1925 1921.	W. S. Stanley O & Gellespie.	Jos. H. Brown. vs. W. W. McMath & Co. Gar. J. E. Taylor & Co Deft	Garnishment	"	"	"
1926.	Wray & S.	Jos. H. Brown. vs. J. H. L. Kull	Debt	"	29	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<i>3 D 10 Cent for service 7/25/87</i>
	<i>3 D 10 Cent for service 7/25/87</i>

Appearance

1360

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2044 2043.	P. C. & P.	H. W. Spear. vs. David Burns Gar. J. A. Ashmore Deft	Garnishment	Jan	3	1886.
2045 2043	P. C. & P.	H. W. Spear. vs. J. W. Loman Garnishr. J. A. Ashmore Defendant.	Garnishment	"	"	"
2048 2043.	P. C. & P.	H. W. Spear. vs. Henry Byrner Garnishr. J. A. Ashmore Defendant	Garnishment	"	"	"
2046 2043.	P. C. & P.	H. W. Spear. vs. - Porter, Garnishr J. A. Ashmore Defendant	Garnishment	"	"	"
2067.	Wray and S.	Jos. H. Brown. vs. R. W. Clark,	Debt.	"	13	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<p><i>301 W Contd for service</i> <i>1/252</i></p>

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2102	<i>E. J. Warren</i>	<i>City of York North.</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>T. G. Brown and</i> <i>W. Miller</i>	<i>Troff Bond.</i>	<i>aug</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>1886</i>
2156.	<i>E. J. Warren</i>	<i>P. O. Stygards.</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>G. W. Stone alias</i> <i>Stafford</i>	<i>Attachment</i>	<i>Sept</i>	<i>10.</i>	<i>1886.</i>
<i>2203</i> <i>2204</i>	<i>M. S. Lantry</i> <i>Lantry & Staudy</i>	<i>L. A. Trumble & Co.</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>Chas Gay. Debt</i> <i>for money loan.</i>	<i>Seizure</i>	<i>Nov</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>"</i>
2243.	<i>Carlock & Gillespie</i>	<i>State of Texas.</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>J. H. Spinn et al</i>	<i>Troff Bond</i>	<i>Dec</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>1886.</i>
2247	<i>O & G.</i>	<i>State of Texas.</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>Dick Birdwell</i> <i>et al</i>	<i>Troff Bond</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<p><i>I.D.W. Judgment as to answer of garnishee - Garnishee allowed a fee of \$10.00 for answering -</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>11/24</i></p>
	<p><i>No Service</i></p>
	<p><i>No Service</i></p>

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2253	A. J. G. B. P. Ayres.	B. H. Bondurant. vs. H. A. Sanderson et al.	Note.	Dec	28	1886.
2269	Dunklin.	B. C. Erans Co. vs. J. T. Smith.	acct.	Jan	17	1887
2280.	O. S. & Stilling	David Boaz. vs. Illinois Central Ry Co	Debt & ac	"	28	"
2297.	Carlock & G.	State of Texas. vs. H. B. McKim et al	Wort Bond	Feb	15	"
2303.	C. G. Gillespie Brantley.	State of Texas. vs. Geo. Casswell et al	"	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

No Service

No Service

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2312	B. & B. C. & C.	Saw Torman Co Judge for Tarrant County. vs. C. C. Lawson et al	Bond.	Feb	23	1887.
2346	Dr. R. Sawyers J. B. Johnson	Elliott & Roz. vs. Harmon & Hatchell	account	Mar	29	"
2349	J. S. Davis	M. A. Tackaberry. vs. Dr. T. Lucas on	Attachment	Apr	1	"
2363	C. & G.	State of Texas. vs. J. B. Reed	Def witness	Apr	25	1887.
2364	C. & G.	State of Texas. vs. Nat Kraum	"	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

to be taken up by consent

*35140 Jury demands & defts.
7252*

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2366.	<i>C. G. G.</i>	<i>State of Texas.</i> <i>J. D. Reed</i> <small>vs.</small>	<i>Deft writ</i>	<i>April</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>1887.</i>
2367.	<i>C. G. G.</i>	<i>State of Texas.</i> <i>Mat Krauss.</i> <small>vs.</small>	"	"	"	"
2368.	<i>C. G. G.</i>	<i>State of Texas.</i> <i>John Burford</i> <small>vs.</small>	"	"	"	"
2378.	<i>N. A. Hedman.</i> <i>R. M. Nymmer.</i> <i>Capps & Cautey.</i>	<i>Schnider & Shrar</i> <i>Chas Schuber & Co.</i> <small>vs.</small>	<i>Damages.</i>	"	<i>26</i>	"
2381.	<i>P. C. Porrell.</i>	<i>George C. Hudgins.</i> <i>H. J. E. Gething</i> <small>vs.</small>	<i>attachment</i>	<i>May</i>	<i>4</i>	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

30100. Jury demanded 26 Sept.
7/250

Costs paid in vacation and Cause dismissed by
plaintiff this 7th day of October 1887
By J. M. Murray Clerk
J. M. Murray Deputy

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2386 562	D. R. McLawry	Woodsun Machine Company. vs. C. C. Wilson et al	Remr judg	May	13	1887
2387	B. P. Ayres	Talbot Draft & Co. vs. S. E. Tobin.	attachment	"	17	"
2393	Thum & S.	T. D. & R. G. Ry Co. vs. Mrs T. C. Anderson.	Condemnation	"	"	"
2396	B. P. Ayres. Wm Bowen J. R. Sawyer	A. G. Ruttman & Co. vs. W. L. Dorsey and M. J. Dorsey.	note.	June	1	1887
2401	Gray & Stanley	Tim Martin Brown Co. vs. R. S. Porter & Son.	Guaranty	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D/W. Off of Kempfman Co. orders
to answer on citation.

7/253

6 D/W. Judgment final by default
- O - 11/27/87

~~Off~~

~~3 D/W. Report of commissioners
approved and made judgment
of this court~~

~~Off~~

3 D/W. Jury demanded by Off

7/253

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2404	<i>St. P. Ball, B. D. & McB</i>	<i>Coney and Brms vs. M. Sauger et al</i>	<i>Lawages</i>	<i>June</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>1887.</i>
2404.	<i>C. & Gillespie</i>	<i>Tim State of Texas vs. J. R. Wright et al</i>	<i>Trot Bond</i>	"	"	"
2406.	<i>C & G.</i>	<i>Tim State of Texas vs. Geo. B. Holland et al</i>	"	"	"	"
2411	<i>P. H. DeBorr.</i>	<i>Scott Wilson. vs. Wm Perriu et al.</i>	<i>Debt & C.</i>	"	"	1887.
2412	<i>H. & Stewart</i>	<i>J. C. Hutchinson vs. E. H. Kard</i>	<i>Debt & C.</i>	"	<i>17</i>	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

passed to perfect term

*3010. Dismissed by order of Prof
Att.*

Dismissed in Vacation

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
D 1118	N J Stewart	Rosedale St Ry Co vs. Jno C Brommet al	Damages.	Jan	21	1887.
D 420.	R. H. & R.	Chas & Nicholson. vs. H. C. Johnson et al	Debt.	"	27	"
D 424	P. L. P.	Casey & Swasey vs. R. L. Pook.	Debt	July	6	"
D 425	P. P. Ayres.	Casey & Swasey vs. Alex Morel.	Debt & attach	"	7	"
D 426.	P. G. Johnson.	Thos Raudall & Chaubers Co. vs. Geo Potts.	"	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

35114. Judgment final by default
for \$229⁵² with 8% int from Jan/87
on attached *CP*
-O- 2/29

Dismissed in vacation.

35114. Judgment by default with
int -
35210. final judgment for \$208⁵⁴
for - ~~\$208~~ attached
lien foreclosed - 1/29
-O- 2/29

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2427	A. M. Gaster [Signature]	Carswell & Fuller vs. H. B. Alverson.	Debt.	July	7	1887
2428 2426	B. G. Johnson.	Randall & Lehaumont Co. vs. J. P. Hughes Garnishers. Geo Potts, Defendant.	Garnishment	"	"	"
2429	[Signature] P. C. & P.	Leonard & Loucks. vs. Jno. P. Hughes.	Debt.	"	8	"
2430	B. G. Johnson [Signature]	W. H. Nauvey et al. vs. H. H. Montrose.	Distrass G. Nance J. P. appeal	"	11	"
2431	B. G. Johnson [Signature] B. G. Johnson.	H. B. Mason. vs. J. W. Cody.	Contract	"	15	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

③③③ 1234567890
①②③④⑤⑥⑦⑧⑨⑩

Copy Map.


Set for 3 D. 2 W. - Resol for 4. D. 2. W.

5 D 2 W. Left leave to amend -
Genl demurrer of plff to dfts special
answer filed Sept 16-1887. Sustained - dft
granted leave to amend - Cause continues
by consent - *✓ 1/183*

4 D 1 W. Judgment for plff by dft
for \$3750 lien foreclosed.

✓ 2/200

Set for 6 D 2 W



CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
21134	McLain J. M. Taylor	J. E. Beau, vs. Paul Harris City of Ft Worth	Damages	July	16	1887
2433	N. A. Adams [Signature]	Ft W & R. R. Co., vs. P. M. Willing & John Ryan.	Condemnation	"	18	"
2435	B. P. Ayres	J. C. Ingram, vs. W. A. Hinson	Debt	"	23	"
2436 2207	W. R. McLain [Signature]	L. A. Trimble, vs. W. J. Boaz, Garn. Chas Gay Defendant	Garnishment	"	25	"
2437	Wray S. [Signature]	A. W. Locks, vs. Bayton Sanford & C. B. Edwards	Note	"	29	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D/W. Jury demanded by Plaintiff -
7
(254)

3 D/W. Award approved and
made judgment of Court -
7/250 - 0 -

3 D/W. Cause dismissed by order
of Plaintiff Attorney Judgment against
Defendant for costs. 7/251

3 D/W. Judgment for Plaintiff
Acad of Court with interest
and 1 cent, as stated therein -
Defendant Edwards plea of
resistance sustained and
adjudged accordingly.
0 7/258

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2438.	W. B. Ford. <i>W. B. Ford.</i>	<i>J. H. Martindale,</i> vs. <i>Rosedale St Ry Co</i>	<i>Appeal</i> <i>Fr. H. Smith Jr.</i>	Aug	1	1887
2439	<i>Bonlin & Bonlin</i> <i>B. P. Ayres.</i>	<i>Frank Belser &</i> <i>John Frieds.</i> vs. <i>Talbot Wauk & Co</i>	<i>Commission</i>	"	9	"
2440.	<i>W & D B.</i>	<i>W^m Purus.</i> vs. <i>J. St. Purford</i>	<i>Debt & c.</i>	"	17	"
2441	St. P. Ball. J. A. Hollaud	<i>Man Elser.</i> vs. <i>Tom. S. Sius.</i>	<i>Debt & c.</i>	"	18	"
2442	Hendricks. J. H.	<i>W. A. Huffman Imp Co</i> vs. <i>Lorr & Hughes.</i>	"	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

35, 100. Jury demanded by P^t
7/258

Court of Ap

Set for 4 O 200. 2 P.M.
4 O 200. Deft. Seal demurrer & Plea in
over ruled. deft. excepts 7/279
Judgment for P^t for \$270⁷⁹ Deft
except and give notice of appeal
to Court of Appeals. 7/280

35, 100. Judgment final by
default on note.

7/259

6-

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2442	B. W. & M. C. W. H. & C. W.	The Caldwell Wagon Co. vs. W. G. Sparkman & H. T. Sparkman.	Appeal T. H. Smith Jr.	Aug	19	1887.
2444	R. S. Blair. N. S. Blair	W. St. Joynt vs. R. H. Robert.	Debt	"	24	"
2445	B. J. Houston. W. H. & C. W.	James Gallagher. vs. T. H. Thoscue.	Damages.	"	"	"
2446	W. H. & C. W. W. H. & C. W.	G. W. Niederman. vs. J. L. Hill.	Contract	"	23	"
2447	W. H. & C. W. W. H. & C. W.	A. M. Carter et al. vs. H. C. Holloway	Debt &c.	"	25	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

passed to New Term -

*301W Jury demanded by P^{ty}
521W. P^{ty} leave of absence
7.611*

*301W. Jury demanded
by defendant
7.611*

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2448.	<i>K. & J.</i>	<i>Fred W. Wolfe.</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>MoPac Ry Co</i>	<i>Damages.</i>	<i>Aug</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>1887.</i>
2449.	<i>R. A. Rogers.</i> <i>J. & Thompson.</i>	<i>Jefferson Earle.</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>MoPac Ry Co.</i>	<i>Damages.</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>
2450	<i>R. A. Rogers.</i> <i>J. & Thompson.</i>	<i>Jefferson Earle.</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>MoPac Ry Co.</i>	<i>Damages.</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>
2451	<i>Wynn & J.</i> <i>Wynn & J.</i>	<i>T. W. Bradley.</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>J. B. Clarkson.</i>	<i>Debt &c.</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>"</i>
2452.	<i>P. G. & P. O'Neil.</i> <i>Wynn & J.</i>	<i>Hurley & Maloney.</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>G. C. & S. W. Ry Co</i>	<i>Damages.</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>"</i>

TARRANT COUNTY, *September* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3D 100 Jury demanded by *P. J.*
H
7/26

3D 100 Jury demanded by *P. J.*
H
7/26

3D 100 Jury demanded by *P. J.*
H
7/26

Set for 3D 200 - Passed 6 P.M.
3D 100. *P. J.* to answer -
Cause continued by defendant
H
7/27

3D 100, *P. J.* leave to answer jury
demanded by *P. J.*
H
7/26

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2453	R. A. Rogers.	Ed Poland vs. J. Q. St Clair	Account	Aug	30	1887
2454	W. B. Ford W. B. Ford	Jacob Adams vs. T. N. & R. Ry Co.	Damages.	"	"	"
2455	McLury McLury	H. J. Wilson. vs. G. C. & S. Ry Co.	Appeal. G. Mauer J.P.	Sept	3	1887
2456	McLury McLury	J. W. Austin. vs. MoPac Ry Co.	Appeal. G. Mauer J.P.	"	"	"
2457	McLury McLury	T. H. Pearce, vs. MoPac Ry Co.	Appeal G. Mauer J.P.	"	"	"

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2458	<i>M. L. Denny</i> <i>W. B. Ford</i>	<i>Sam Evans</i> vs. <i>W. P. & R. L. Co</i>	<i>Appeal</i> <i>Emancip.</i>	<i>Sept</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>1887</i>
2459	<i>M. L. Denny</i> <i>W. B. Ford</i>	<i>H. J. Wilson</i> vs. <i>G. C. & S. T. Ry Co</i>	<i>Appeal</i> <i>Emancip.</i>	"	"	"
2460	<i>P. C. & G.</i> <i>W. B. Ford</i>	<i>Chas Maloney</i> vs. <i>G. C. & S. T. Ry Co</i>	<i>Appeal</i> <i>Emancip.</i>	"	"	"
	<i>W. W. Whilden</i>	<i>W. W. White</i> vs. <i>W. W. Wilson</i> Off. Off. Officer	<i>S</i> <i>S</i> <i>S</i> <i>S</i> <i>S</i>			
		vs.				

TARRANT COUNTY, ~~September~~ TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Set for 10th W.
5th 1st W Judgmt for ~~off~~
for \$45⁰⁰ 7/26⁸⁷ O -

Set for 3rd 2nd W a p.m.
2nd 2nd W. Court by ~~off~~
7/27⁶

3rd 1st W (now denied) by ~~off~~
7/26⁸⁷

Final

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1 852	B. W. & McE.	Barn Seasongood & Company, <small>vs.</small> H. H. Wilson.	Attch.	12	11	81.
2 864	B. W. & McE.	Seligman & Mayer <small>vs.</small> H. H. Weir	"	"	14	"
3 943.	B. W. & McE. <small>Th and H.</small>	H. J. Lovelady. <small>St. L. & I. M. Ry. Co et al.</small>	Damages.	3	19	82.
4 953 930	B. W. & McE. Scott.	Collins Bros. W. B. Brooks Gar. C. R. Harris Deft	Gar.	4	13	82
5 961 960	B. W. & McE. J. C. Scott.	A. H. Holden & Co <small>vs.</small> W. B. Brooks Gar.	Gar.	5	14	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<i>Continued by consent at all prior terms.</i>	
<i>Judgment by default with writ of inquiry & continued by consent thereafter.</i>	
<i>Continued by consent at all previous terms.</i>	
<i>Same orders.</i>	
<i>do.</i>	

Final

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
6 988	Wright & W Wright & W	Mat Krauss vs. Robt Morris et al	Appeal	5	23	83.
7 993	B. W. & McC. J. C. Scott	Humphris Sep Horn Medical Co Garu. vs. M. B. Brooks Gar C. R. Harris Ref		5	29	83
8 1026.	B. W. & McC. J. C. Scott.	Drey & Kuhn vs. M. B. Brooks et al	Certiorari	7	28	..
9 1027.	B. W. & McC. J. C. Scott.	Conrad & Co vs. M. B. Brooks et al	Certiorari	"	"	"
10 1147	B. W. & McC.	Bateman & Bro vs. B. M. Lindsley-	Attachment	11	7	83.

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

In Court of Appeals.

Continued by plaintiff and
 Defendant and by consent
 thereafter

Continued by Defendant
 Continued by consent there
 after.

Continued by Defendant
 and consent thereafter

Judgment by default with
 writ of inquiry, and con-
 tinued by consent thereafter.

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
11 1349	H. J. & Co. B. D. & McC.	E. A. Jacobs. vs. E. J. Tomlinson.	Debt	7	5	84
12 1350 1349	H. J. & Co. B. D. & McC.	E. A. Jacobs. vs. W. M. Holdau et al Gar. E. J. Tomlinson Debt	Garnishment	7	5	84
13 1642	B. P. Ayres. W. R. McLaurie	J. B. Lakin. vs. J. B. Farmer	Arbitration	2	25	85
14 1652	C. & C. B. G. J.	A. Sinclair. vs. M. A. Cooper et al	Debt	3	5	85
15 1665	B. D. & McC. R. H. & R.	John Behrens. vs. Adolph Wideman	Attch	3	8	85

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
Continued by plaintiff and consent thereafter	3 D 115 Cont ^d by consent 7/330
Dismissed as to Halden continued by consent thereafter.	3 D 116 Cont ^d by consent 7/330
In Court of Appeals.	
Continued by consent	Set for 3 D 211. Jury demanded & kept - 7/331. 3 D 211. P ^l not appearing - cause continued 7/357
W. B. Wood appointed to represent non resident defendants and continued by consent thereafter	

Final

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
16 1770	B. M. & McC.	Bauminger Bloom Co. vs. N. P. Anderson et al	Debt	8	14	85.
17 1830 1749	B. M. & McC.	Robt McCart et al vs. A. S. Jauris Gar J. N. Beauchamp et al	Gar..	10	22	85.
18 1831 1744	B. W. & McC	Robt McCart et al vs. John P. Jauris Gar. J. N. Beauchamp et al	Gar..	"	"	"
19 1868.	C. & G.	State of Texas, vs. B. G. Johnson. Jmmy Keating et al	Troff Bond	11	24	85.
20 1879.	C. M. & W. B.	Augustus W. Cook. vs. M. S. E. & B. A. of A.	Deft	11	25	85.

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Continued by consent
at previous terms,

Do.

Do.

Do.

Set for 10/20/87

Do.

Final

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
21 1880 1879	C. M. & H. B. G. H. & H. S.	Augustus W. Cook vs. E. M. Macy et al M. S. E. & B. A of A. Dept	Garw.	11	25	85
24 1979	M. Lawry Wray & S.	Edward Kitchard vs. E. Morris	Certiorari E. Nance	3	3	86
2035	H & S. P. C. & P.	M. V. Miller vs. J. F. Foggy	Seque.	5	29	86
2071	Blair & Davis P. C. & P.	W ^m P. Trogden vs. H. E. D. & N. O. Ry Co	Damages.	5	29	"
2072	Blair & Davis P. C. & P.	James Crabtree vs. H. E. D. & N. O. Ry Co	Damag	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Continued by consent at
previous term -

Do.

351W Cont^d by Consent
7/33¹¹

Do.

351W. Tel for 2D, 2W. Res for 35. 2W.

Do.

351W Cont^d by Consent
7/33¹¹

Do.

351W Cont^d by consent
7/33¹¹

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2157	P. O. & P. W & G.	J. B. Mitchell & Co vs. W. W. Keetm et al	Sequest	9	13	86.
2159	R. W. & R	Errolton Wood & Co. vs. W. & Cummings A. P. Ryan et al	Re Regs property	"	"	"
2187	W. and S. P. O. & P.	W. W. Keeyn. vs. W. W. & N. O. Ry Co	Damages.	9	25	86.
2204	C. H. Truckner A. M. Carter P. O. & P.	Bittinton Mining Co vs. Trust National Bank of Ft Worth -	Debt	11	22	86.
2255	C. S. Kennedy O. M. & D.	John McLaughlin & Co vs. W. W. & N. O. Ry Co	Appeal & nance	1	1	87.

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<p>Plaintiff leave to amend jury demanded by Dept Keetr and Court by Cons. Genl demurrer of deft A. L. Keetr based upon his non residence in Janau Co. overuled because not presented in time - 4 term of this Court having elapsed since filing of defts original answer - deft excepts - 1/23/87</p> <p>5 D 2 W Judgment for ptff against deft all dist held all for \$3500 with fore closure of mortgag - deft A. L. Keetr excepts in Voluntary and</p>	<p>Set for 5 D 2 W, 5 D 2 W. Ptffs motion for continuance overuled - deft leave to amend - Keetr based upon his non residence in Janau Co. overuled because not presented in time - 4 term of this Court having elapsed since filing of defts original answer - deft excepts - 1/23/87</p> <p>all dist held all for \$3500 with fore closure of mortgag - deft A. L. Keetr excepts in Voluntary and</p>
<p>Continued by consent at prior terms.</p>	<p>Set for 1 D 2 W, - 2 P.M. 6/13/87</p> <p>1 D 2 W Judgment for claimant Ptff excepts gives notice of appeal</p> <p>Conclusion of law from the facts found herein excepts to by plaintiff Dec 10, 1887</p>
<p>Jury demanded by ptff. Court by cons. thereof.</p>	<p>Set for 1 D 2 W, Rest for 3 D 2 W.</p>
<p>Do.</p>	
<p>Do.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten signature]</i></p>

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
31 2262	Dray & S.	Jos H. Brown vs. J. H. Stuehomb & Son.	note Hon.	1	5	87
32 2261	Dray & S. Heutner & S.	Jos H. Brown vs. J. M. Meyers.	B nd War.	1	5	87
33 2268 1750	Davis	Mary A. Tackabury. vs. Jones & Curry Gar Barnes & Jordan Deft	Garu.	1	17	87
2275	R. He & R. Hollaud.	M. He. Swinn vs. Sauquinet & Dawson	Debt	1	25	87
2276 2275	R. He & R. Hollaud	M. He. Swinn. vs. Commercial Club Gar. Sauquinet & Dawson Defts	Garu.	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<p>Judgment by default with writ of inquiry - Continued by consent.</p>	
<p>Continued by Defendant and consent thereafter.</p>	<p>1 D, 2 W. Contd by Consent</p>
<p>Commission ordered to Cook Co Continued</p>	
<p>Jury demanded by Dept. Plff leave to demand & Continued.</p>	<p>Set for 2 D 2 W - 2 D, 2 W. Cause dismissed + judgment against plff for costs 7/30/87</p>
<p>Continued</p>	<p>3 D, 1 W. Cause dismissed by order of plff atty. Judgment against plff for costs 7/30/87 H D, 1 W. Judgment of dismissal set aside & cause reinstated 2 D, 2 W. Garnisher discharged</p>
<p> </p>	<p>judgment against plff for costs garnisher discharged 7/30/87</p>

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
34 2277	Wray & S. Ayres.	J. H. Hoqq. vs. E. H. Keller	Appeal	1	24	87
35 2288	Remdricks	N. A. Kuffman vs. Onearill's People's Cooperative Assn. Patrons of Husbandry.	Debt & ac	2	9	87.
36 2284	C & D P. Wray & S.	Schaefer Schmartz & Co vs. Chas Trading Co.	Debt & ac	1	31	87.
37 2299	C & G. C & C.	State of Texas. vs. A. Rodgers et al	Tort Bond	2	15	87
38 2312	Sawyers. Bl. Johnson.	Elliott & Roz. vs. Warren & Hatchell.	Account	3	29	87.

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Continued by consent

*Dismissed as to E. E. Chase
and A. M. Britton continu-
ed by Defendant*

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
38 2321	Holland & Johnson	R. Matkin City of ^{vs.} the North	Debt &c	2	24	87.
39 2331	Ford P. O. & P.	G. O. & S. W. Ry Co Hewley ^{vs.} Roche & Roche.	Debt &c.	3	1	87.
39 2335	B. W. McC. Stray W.	Houison's Empire Fur Factory. Chase ^{vs.} Trading Co	Debt	3	5	87.
40 2340	Swaym W. Brantley	Casey & Swasey, Chas ^{vs.} Gay.	Attch	3	16	87.
50 2342	Frumman P. O. & P.	M. A. Hunter MOR ^{vs.} Hughes & Co	Intunc.	3	19	87.

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

In Court of appeals.

*Notice of appeal.
Served*

Continued by consent.

*1,250. Judgment for J. C. Fort #27810
\$148.00 with 8% int from date
130.08 " 12% " " "*

W/363

Set for 152nd

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
41 2347	B. & B. C & C.	R. Loomer vs. John Timmy Sr	Appeal	3	30	87.
42 2354	C & L. B. D. & M. C.	State of Texas, vs. Abr Moody.	Writ Rec.	4	14	87.
237	May & S. Murray	Charity Ross. vs. Mary A. Moor.	Appeal	4	26	87.
43 2372	Blair P. C. & P.	J. H. Carmichael vs. F. D. & N. O. Ry Co	Appeal	4	26	87.
2378	Stymur vs. C & C.	Schmidt & Thar. vs. Chas Schenbmt Co.	Writ Rec	4	26	87.

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<p><i>continued by consent</i></p>	<p><i>3 A. M. Cause dismissed for want of prosecution - Judgment against plff for costs - 7/301</i></p>
	<p><i>Set for 5 D 2 W - 5 D 2 W Contd by Consent 7/360</i></p>
	<p><i>Set for 4 D 2 W 4 D 2 W. Jury demanded by plff - 7/358</i></p> <p><i>4 D. 5. 11. Cause dismissed by order of Plffs Atty. Judgment against plff for costs 7/370</i></p>
	<p><i>7/370</i></p>

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2380	P. O. & P. [Signature]	Casey & Swasey vs. Burus & Tanco	Debt & ac	4	28	87.
2382	Russ & T. [Signature]	D. Boaz vs. J. M. Small.	Debt	5	6	87.
44 2385	P. O. & P. 76 & 4.	Wigbr Raudall Darling Company. Note vs. Henny Schwartz.		5	12	87.
45 2390	T & Carter	Edwin Bates & Co vs. Geo. W. Chase.	note	5	31	87.
2398	P. O. & P. [Signature] B. P. Ayres.	THE N. & N. O. Ry Co vs. G. M. Ruhlman	Debt & c.	6	7	87.

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
<p>Judgment by default with writ of inquiry -</p>	<p>6 D. 1. 11. Judgment final for Attachment lien on property carried on foreclosed - $\\$436 \frac{25}{100}$ <i>W. J. S.</i></p>
	<p>3 D. 1. 11. Cause dismissed by order of prep ally. Judgment against of off for costs - $\\$332$</p>
	<p>Set for 3 D. 2. 11. 2 P. M.</p>
<p>Defendant leaves to amend</p>	<p>3 D. 1. 11. Jury demanded by defk $\\$332$</p>

Final

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2398 2340 (46) 2401 <i>aw</i>	<i>J. & Dk.</i>	<i>Casey & Swasey</i> <i>Gar.</i> <i>B. Ho. Shipp Gar</i> <i>Chas Gay & Co</i>		6	7	87.
47 2408	<i>B. P. Ayres.</i> <i>W. & Carter</i>	<i>A. G. Ruttman</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>George Potts.</i>	<i>Debt. & Int.</i>	6	15	87.
48 2410 (49) 2412	<i>Hunklin,</i> <i>Ford.</i>	<i>W. C. Prince</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>G. C. & J. H. Ry Co</i>	<i>Certiorari</i>	6	15	87.
2423	<i>R. J. Boykin</i> <i>R. S. Blair</i>	<i>G. M. Smith</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>L. W. Hopkuis et al</i>	<i>Actual</i> <i>Smith J.P.</i>	7	1	87.
2424	<i>Stray & S.</i> <i>W. C. & P.</i>	<i>Lionard & Loucks</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>Jno. P. Hughes.</i>	<i>Debt</i>	7	8	87.

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<p>1 D. 5 W. Judgment against garnisher for \$196.05 - of which \$100.00 allowed to garnisher for answering & taxed as costs in the case -</p> <p style="text-align: right;">1/304</p>
<p>Notice of appeal -</p>	
	<p>Def for 1 D 2 W 1 D 2 W. Cont'd by consent.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">1/351</p>
<p>Continued by consent</p>	
	<p>3 D 1 W. Jury demanded by def.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">1/332</p>

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2431	Houston	H. B. Mason vs. Byron Johnson J. D. Cody	Contract	7	15	87.
2441	Ball	Max Elser vs. Holland Tom. J. Sims	Debt	8	15	87.
2443	B. D. Mc. R. H. Ott	Caldwell Wagon Company. vs. W. G. Sparkman et al	Appeal 7th Circuit	8	19	87.
2439 52 2444	Blair	B. N. Joynt vs. Lassiter R. F. Roberk	Debt	8	22	87.
2445	Houston	James Gallagher vs. Hedman F. F. Rose	Damage	8	22	87.

TARRANT COUNTY, *NOVEMBER* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

also Judg against R.H. Taylor
W.L. Robinson and Andrew Hly
sureties on certiorari Bond
J.S. Davis
Special Judge
7392

Set for 5D 7W
5D 7W Motion to suppress
deposition of H.B. Mason over ruled
depts accepted. Both parties answers
ready. Judg for Plff for amount
of note with legal rate of interest.
Judg disallowing depts counter claim
with the rule nothing by reason of final claim
depts accepted + given with in company of appeal
9D 1W claim dismissed by order of J.S. Davis
Plffs Atty Judgment of amount plff
for costs - 7392

Set for 3D 2W

3D 2W Motion to quash bond on
the ground of a misdescription of the
judgment appealed from sustained.
and appeal dismissed
7357

3D 1W Certs, Current
7333

3D 1W. Jury demanded by Plff
7333

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
53 2446.	Booth Neill	G. M. Wilderman vs. J. L. Neill	Appeal Fr. H. Smith	8	23	87
54 2447	W. & J. J. M. & J. J. [unclear]	W. M. Bradley, vs. J. S. Clarkson.	Debt &c	8	28	87.
55 2454	C. & C. Stedman	Jacob Adams vs. P. & F. Ry Co	Damages.	8	8	87.
2453.	McLain Ford	W. J. Wilson vs. C. & J. F. Ry Co	appeal G. Mance	9	3	87.
2456	McLain Ford	W. J. Wilson vs. C. & J. F. Ry Co	Appeal G. Mance	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Set for 1 D 2 W

Set for 1 D 2 W

Set for 2 D 2 W

2 D 2 W Judgment for Plaintiff \$145.
Def't except and give notice
by appeal to take 2 appeals,
7/354 0-

Set for 2 D 2 W

2 D 2 W Judgment for Def't
by agreement \$120 & add costs
of Justice Court. Each party
to pay one half of the costs
of this Court 7/354 0-

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1000	C & C.	Bateman & Bro vs. J. D. Hearston et al	Note	1	6	83.
1255	Mray vs.	Jos W. Brown. vs. R. W. Chaceston & Co	Note	3	2	84
1772	A & L.	D. W. Coops. vs. Walston Millett	Debt	8	18	85
1824	B & B & W & W. C & C.	Sau Furman for Harrah County. Lig B. vs. Isaac Coops et al		10	15	85
1829	M & S.	City Nat'l Bank vs. Jno. O. McKinney	Attch	10	15	85.

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

30 W Contd for service
1/333

Del for D. 200.

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1889	Holland	Dan Arwiler vs. Jesse Arwiler	Account	12	16	85
1892	B & McVH.	Chas Scheubert vs. W. D. Deaton	Note	12	22	85
1898	Dt & S.	E. Morris vs. A. W. Crabtree	Atch.	12	29	85
<u>1899</u> 1898.	Dt. & S.	E. Morris vs. Johnson & William Gar. A. W. Crabtree dep	Gar.	12	29	85
<u>1900</u> 1898	Dt & S.	E. Morris vs. Jesse R. Pascoe Gar A. W. Crabtree dep	Gar.	12	29	85

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<p><i>3. D. W. Death of baby suggests cont'd to make judicial 1/333</i></p>
	<p><i>3. D. W. cont'd for service 1/333</i></p>

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1921	<i>W & S.</i>	<i>Jos. H. Brown.</i> <i>J. E. Taylor & Co.</i> ^{vs.}	<i>attch</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>86.</i>
<i>1923</i> <i>1921</i>	<i>W & S.</i>	<i>Jos. H. Brown.</i> <i>Robt McNath Gar.</i> ^{vs.}	<i>Garu.</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>86.</i>
<i>1924</i> <i>1921.</i>	<i>W & S.</i>	<i>Jos. H. Brown.</i> <i>W. W. McNath Gar.</i> ^{vs.}	<i>Garu.</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>86.</i>
<i>1925</i> <i>1921.</i>	<i>W & S.</i>	<i>Jos. H. Brown.</i> <i>W. W. McNath & Co.</i> ^{vs.} <i>Garu.</i>	<i>Garu.</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>86.</i>
<i>1936.</i>	<i>W & S.</i>	<i>Jos. H. Brown.</i> <i>J. H. L. Hull.</i> ^{vs.}	<i>Debt</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>86.</i>

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2044 2043	P. O. & P.	W. W. Spear. vs. Dave Burns. J. A. Ashmore Deft	Gar	6	3	86.
2045 2043	P. O. & P.	W. W. Spear vs. J. W. Loman J. A. Ashmore Deft	Gar.	6	3	86.
2048 2043	P. O. & P.	W. W. Spear. vs. Tommy Byrne J. A. Ashmore Deft.	Gar.	6	3	86.
2046. 2043	P. O. & P.	W. W. Spear vs. Porter J. A. Ashmore Deft	Gar.	6	3	86.
2067.	W. & S.	Jos. W. Brown. vs. R. H. Clark,	Debt	6	23	86.

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2102	Warrm	City of Fort Worth, vs. W. G. Bourn et al	Worf Bond	8	16	86.
2106.	J. & M.	P. O. Nyegard, vs. G. M. Stone ^{alias} Stafford -	attch	9	10	86.
2243.	C & G.	State of Texas, vs. J. W. Sprm et al	Worf Bond	12	11	86.
2247	C & G.	State of Texas, vs. Dick Birdwell et al	"	"	"	"
2253.	N & G. Ayres.	B. H. Boudwank vs. W. A. Sanderson	note	12	28	86.

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3D 1W cont^d for service
 $\frac{7}{330}$

3D 1W Cont^d for service
 $\frac{7}{334}$

3D 1W cont^d for service
 $\frac{7}{334}$

3D 1W cont^d for service
 $\frac{7}{334}$

3D 1W Cont^d for settlement

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2267	Brinkin <i>[Signature]</i>	B. C. Evans Co vs. J. T. Smith	Account	11	7	87.
2280	O'N & O'K.	David Boaz. vs. Illinois Central Ry	Debt & Int	1	28	87.
2297	O & G.	State of Texas. vs. W. B. McKinzie et al	Tort Bond	2	15	87.
2303	O & G.	State of Texas. vs. Geo Caswell et al.	Tort Bond	"	"	"
2349	Davis	M. A. Tackaberry. vs. W. T. Hudson	Attch	4	1	87.

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<p>3D W. H. Hurd apprd represent non resident defendant</p> <p style="text-align: right;">7/334</p> <p>6D. 19K. Judgment for Plaintiff for \$369 - with 8% plus misc. H. B. Hurd allowed after of \$10.00 the taxed apprnk of the costs in taxes - \$350</p>
	<p>3D W Contd for service -</p> <p style="text-align: right;">7/334</p>
	<p>3D W Contd for service</p> <p style="text-align: right;">7/334</p>
	<p>3D W Contd for service</p> <p style="text-align: right;">7/334</p>

Alphacurua

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2363	C & G.	State of Texas. vs. J. D. Reed.	Deft-urk	4	25	87.
2364	C & G.	State of Texas. vs. Mat Kraum	"	"	"	"
2366	C & G.	State of Texas. vs. J. B. Reed.	"	"	"	"
2367	C & G.	State of Texas. vs. Mat Kraum	"	"	"	"
2368	C & G.	State of Texas. vs. John Burford	"	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

*30 1/2 Contd for service
7/33d*

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2401 2401	H. & S.	Martin Brown Co vs. R. S. Porter & Son	Guaranty	6	1	87.
2402	B. W. M. B.	Conroy & Beers vs. M. Sanger et al	Damages.	6	10	87.
2404	C. & G.	State of Texas vs. J. R. Wright et al	Troff Bond	"	"	"
2406	C. & G.	State of Texas vs. Geo. B. Holland et al	"	"	"	"
Trial 2412	H. & S.	J. C. Hutchinson vs. E. W. Kard.	Debt &c.	6	17	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D / W

W. P. Ford app'd to, refused to
 non resist. Defendant
 judgment by default for pdy for
 \$1586.60 with 8% int. W. P. Ford
 allowed a fee of \$5.00 & in tax,
 as part of the costs. 7/34/87

3 D / W dismissed the order to allow

7/335

3 D / W cont for service

7/335

3 D / W cont by Consent

7/335

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2418	H. & S.	Rosendale St Ry Co vs. Geo. C. Brom et al	Damages.	6	21	87.
2420	R. H. & R.	Chase & Nicholson vs. H. L. Johnson et al	Debt	6	27	87.
2435	Ayres.	J. O. Ingram. vs. W. A. Stinson	Debt	7	23	87.
2439	B. & B. Ayres.	Frank Belsler et al vs. Talbot Maut & Co	Commission	8	9	87.
2440	H. & S. & B. [Signature]	Wm Burns, vs. J. W. Burford.	Debt &c.	8	17	87.

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3d. 1st. Court for service

1/335

*Wrong place, on Forks -
In Ct of Appeals.*

*3d. 1st. Judgment final & left
for and of note with suit and
att. fees therein stated *1/335**

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2453	Rogers.	Ed Poland vs. J. Q. St-Clair	Account	8	30	87.
56 2461	M. P. Ball	John Kullitt vs. Tex & Pac Ry Co	Damages	9	5	87.
2462	P. O. P.	Trice & Burbidge vs. H. M. Martini	Debt	9	6	"
2463	Hornkamp	W. C. Hudson vs. MoPac Ry Co	Appeal	"	7	"
2464	Hornkamp	W. C. Hudson vs. MoPac Ry Co	"	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D.W. Court, Consent
7/336

3 D.W. Judgment by default with writ of enquiry.
7/336
6 D.W. Judgment final for \$311.20
7/337

3 A.W. Dismissed
7/336

Sum
7/337

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2465	<i>[Signature]</i>	Martin Brom Co vs. Geo B. Crain	note	9	7	87.
2466	M. P. Bull P. C. & P.	Charles Schumbert Co vs. J. J. McCullough et al	Contract	"	9	"
2467	B. M. & Mcb.	E. H. Keller vs. W. N. Warren	note	"	12	"
2468 2467.	B. M. & Mcb.	E. H. Keller vs. D. P. Atwood Gar W. N. Warren Sept	Gar.	"	"	"
2469	<i>[Signature]</i>	Casey & Swasey vs. A. Rogers.	note & ac.	9	14	87

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2470	<i>Holland</i>	<i>E. W. Snyder</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>J. C. Brommthal</i>	<i>Damages</i> <i>Rec.</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>87.</i>
2471	<i>H. J.</i>	<i>H. W. West</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>J. F. Moss</i>	<i>Note</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>"</i>
2472	<i>Holland</i> <i>Mc & G.</i>	<i>James Riggs</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>Henry Roberts</i>	<i>Comm'n</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>"</i>
2473	<i>Holland</i> <i>Mc & G.</i>	<i>James Riggs</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>Henry C. Johnson</i>	<i>Comm'n</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>
2474	<i>R. & T.</i> <i>P. & S.</i>	<i>E. J. Turk</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>L. August</i>	<i>Appeal</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>

TARRANT COUNTY, November TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<p>3D 1W Cont for service on L. S. Shelden - 1/308</p>
	<p>3D 1W. Judgment, default on note for \$301.40 1/308</p>
	<p>3D 1W Jury demanded by P'ty. 1/308</p>
	<p>3D 1W Jury demanded by P'ty. 1/308</p>
	<p>Det for 157.25</p>

Alphavanna

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,


No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2475	<i>Perritt</i> <i>Johnson</i>	<i>W. Tully</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>Chas Coffin et al</i>	Appeal	9	21	87.
2476	<i>Heumanicks</i>	<i>W. A. Heuffman</i> <i>Implumnt Co</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>C. W. Connells et al</i>	Note	9	30	87.
2477	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Josephine Ryan et al</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>G. C. & S. W. Ry Co</i>	Damages.	10	1	87.
2478	<i>Stearns</i> <i>General & Print</i>	<i>H. M. & R. G. Ry Co</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>J. H. Culver et al</i>	Condem.	"	4	"
2479	<i>W. S.</i> <i>W. S. & W. S.</i>	<i>S. D. Rainey Jr</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>W. J. Murray et al</i>	note	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Set for 4 D, 1, W
4 D, 1, W. Motion to dismiss appeal over-
ruled - deft except - judgment for the
defendants


 346

3 D, 1, W cont'd for service
7/3/39.

3 D, 1, W judgment as per agreement
on file - 7/3/39

continued by consent
until March Term.

3 D, 1, W. jury demanded by deft.

 7/3/39

3 D, 1, W. Judgment by default
of miss a dicit - deft Murray
vs Robt's plea as such to
sustain and judgment
accordingly

 7/3/44

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2480	W. G. [Signature] P. C. & P.	Lucinda Cunningham vs. E. B. Harold Guard.	Debt	10	5	87.
57 T 2481	P. C. & P. Cummings	H. M. Osborne & Co vs. Hester McKee.	Appeal.	"	6	"
2482	P. H. & R. [Signature]	Ball & McComb vs. Capura Bros.	Debt	"	7	"
2485	P. B. Milton (of Dallas)	Ed. S. Atston, vs. Jimmie H. Hunsford.	Debt & ch	"	19	"
2486.	Henricks	W. A. Huffman Imp. Company, vs. M. A. Cooper & Co	Sequestr	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	<p>3D W. Set for 3D. 2W.</p> <p>3D. 2W. Dets exceptions to plff's petition over-ruled - 7/308</p> <p>1D. 3W. Judgment for plff - Clerk directed to pay over \$933.25 to plff less costs of cause which are subject to plff's.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">7/307</p>
	<p>Set for 2D. 2W.</p> <p>2D 2W Cont'd by Counsel</p> <p style="text-align: right;">7/305</p>
	<p>3D W Jury demanded & def.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">7/340</p>
	<p>3D W Cont'd for Service</p> <p style="text-align: right;">7/340</p>

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
585 2487.	Honekamp Bowlin	S. C. Ho. Wittm vs. L. Thompson	Appeal	Oct	27	87.
2488.	D. & G.	State of Texas. vs. John Hansen	Worf Bond	"	"	"
2489	@ & G.	State of Texas. vs. Billy Brown.	"	"	"	"
2490	@ & G.	State of Texas. vs. A. Braden et al	"	"	"	"
2491	@ & G.	State of Texas. vs. Carrie Anderson et al	"	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

35 W Cur for Sen -
1/340

35 W Cur for Sen
1/340

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2492	@ & G.	State of Texas. vs. J. C. Calhoun.	Worf Bond	10	27	87
2494	@ & G.	State of Texas. vs. Charley Eastman	"	"	"	"
2493	@ & G.	State of Texas vs. J. C. Calhoun	"	"	"	"
2495	@ & G.	State of Texas. vs. J. C. Calhoun	"	"	"	"
2496	@ & G.	State of Texas. vs. C. K. Mitchell	"	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

*3 D. W. Court for fees -
7/340*

*3 D. W. Court for fees
7/340*

*3 D. W. Court for fees
7/340*

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2497	C & G.	State of Texas vs. J. E. Calhoun	Forf Bond	10	27	87
2498	P. C. & P. J. J.	J. E. Trout & Co vs. William Smith	Sequus.	"	29	"
2499	Magd. S. Johnson	Chas Markel vs. C. P. Cooper.	Appeal	"	31	"
597 2500	Holland M & T.	Arnie H. Sprer vs. Mo Pac Ry Co	Appeal	Nov	1	87.
607 2501	Holland M & T.	Arnie H. Sprer, vs. Mo Pac Ry Co	"	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D. 1. W. Court for sum
"1/34"

3 D. 1. W. Judgment & default
with writ - "1/34"
1 D. 2. W. Judgment final for \$244⁶⁵/₁₀₀
with for closing lien on the property,
Rec'd by ship and for costs of suit.
"1/35"






3 D. 1. W. Set for 4 D. 1. W.
4 D. 1. W. Cause dismissed for want
of prosecution - Judgment against
D. parties on 60 days of term for
costs.
"1/34"

3 D. 1. W. Jury demanded by P. P.
"1/34"

3 D. 1. W. Jury demanded by P. P.
"1/34"

Appearance

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
617 2502	H & DeB. 	Chas R. Green vs. N.P. Ry Co et al	Damages.	11	1	87
617 2503	H & DeB. 	M.A. Wallace vs. H & N.O. Ry Co	Damages	"	"	"
637 2504	C.H.C. 	J. J. Lancaster vs. M.P. Ry Co	appeal	"	"	"
2505	McLaurie 	Margaret Evans vs. G. C. & S. F. Ry Co	"	"	"	"
647 2506	Ayres 	John Murrin vs. N. J. Tierney	"	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *November* TERM, 1887.

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM


ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3d 10 County Court
1/341

3d 10 Jury demands
20
1/342

25
20
35

Set for 5d 10
5d 10% judgment for debt
1
347



CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2507	Hollis + [Signature]	W. C. Henderson vs. MoPac Ry Co	Appeal L. W. Jones Jr.	Nov	7	87
2508	Hollis + [Signature]	W. C. Henderson vs. MoPac Ry Co	"	"	"	"
2509	Hollis + [Signature]	W. C. Henderson vs. MoPac Ry Co	"	"	"	"
65 2510	[Signature] Trial	Annie H. Speer vs. MoPac Ry Co	"	"	"	"

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Killing Mule - \$165 -
Sept 29

Set for 2520
2520. Motion to consolidate all
over rules.
Judgment for plaintiff
\$165 -
355

Killing Hilly \$80 -
Oct 12

Set for 2520
Judgment for plaintiff
\$80 -
356

Killing cow \$60 -
crippling etc 15 -
Oct 14
75

Set for 2520
Judgment for plaintiff
\$60 -
356

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1 852	B. & M ^c .	Bar Leasongood & Company vs. H. T. Wilson	Attachment	Dec	11	81
2 854 854	B. & M ^c .	Seligman & Mayer vs. F. J. Weir	"	"	14	"
3 943 942 B	B & M ^c . F. J.	J. J. Lovelady vs. St. L. & M. Ry. Co. Et al.	Damages	md	19	87
4 953 930	B. & M ^c . Scott	Collins Bros vs. W. B. Brooks Gar. O. R. Harris deft.	Garnishment	apr	13	82
5 961 960	B. & M ^c . Scott	A. H. Holden & Co vs. W. B. Brooks Gar.	Garnishment	May	14	"

TARRANT COUNTY *January* TERM, 188*8*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Continued by Consent, passed

*Judgment by default - passed
with writ of inquiry,
Continued by Consent,*

Continued by consent,

356 W. Cont'd by Consent

1/530

(Same)

351 W. Cont'd by Consent

1/399

La

351 W. Cont'd by Consent

1/399

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
988	W. G. F. vs. M. Bright & M.	Max Kramer vs. Robt. Morris et al	Appeal	May	22	83
993	B & M Co Scott	Humphreys Spee, Hom. Med. Co. vs. N. B. Brooks Garnishee C. R. Harris Deft.	Garnishment	"	29	"
1026	B & M Co Scott	Grey & Kahn vs. N. B. Brooks et al	Certiorari	July	28	"
1027	B & M Co Scott	Conrad & Co vs. N. B. Brooks et al	Do	"	"	"
1147	B & M Co	Bateman & Bra vs. B. M. Lindsay	Attachment	Aug		"

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

In Court of Appeals

Continued by Plff.
" " " left
" " " Concur-

3 D/W Cont'd by Consent 1/399

Cont. by Defendant
"thereafter" consent

3 D/W Cont'd by Consent 1/400

Do.

3 D/W Cont'd by Consent 1/400

Judgment by
Default with writ
Continued.

passed

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
11 1349	F.S. & Co. B. & Mc	E. A. Jacobs vs. E. S. Tomlinson	Debt	July	5	84
12 1358 1349	F.S. & Co. B. & Mc	E. A. Jacobs vs. W. M. Jolund et al, Gar. E. S. Tomlinson Dep.	Garnishment	"	"	"
13 1642	Ayres McLury	J. A. Lakin vs. J. B. Farmer	Arbitration	Feb	25	85
14 1652	C. & C. B. G. J.	A. Sinclair vs. M. A. Cooper et al	Artionari	Mar	5	"
15 1665	B. & Mc R. H. & R.	John Behrens vs. Adolph Widmer	Attachment	"	8	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 188*8*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Cont. by Plaintiff
" " Const. the chapter

3 D & W cont'd by consent
1/530

Dismissed as to Holden
Continued by consent.

3 D & W cont'd by consent
1/500

In Court of Appeals

Jury demanded by
defendant.
Continued

passed

H. B. Herd appointed
to represent nonresident
defendant.
Continued by consent

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
16 1770	B. & Mc.	Bamberger Bloomer vs. C. M. & J. B. N. P. Anderson & al	Debt	Aug	14	85
17 1830 1749	B. & Mc.	Robt. McCar vs. A. S. James Garnishee J. D. Beauchamp & al	Garnishment	Oct	22	"
18 1831 1749	B. & Mc.	Robt. McCar vs. John P. James Garnishee J. D. Beauchamp & al Garnishee	Do.	"	"	"
19 1868	C. & G.	The State of Texas vs. J. S. Johnson Jerry Keating & al	Forf. Bonds	Nov	24	85
19 1879	C. M. & A. B. F. & S.	Augustus W. Cook vs. M. S. & B. A. of A.	Debt.	"	25	"

January

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Continued by Consent

passed

Do.

Do.

Do

*3060 Judgment nisi set aside
& cause dismissed
1/5/90*

Do

passed

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
<p>no 1880 1879</p>	<p>C. W. & A. B. A. P. & G. & A. & S.</p>	<p>Augustus W. Cook vs. E. M. Macy & al M. S. E. & B. A. of a</p>	<p>Garnishment</p>	Nov	25	85
<p>no 1979 1984</p>	<p>McLauray Wray & S.</p>	<p>Edward Kitchard vs. E. Morris</p>	<p>Cartorari (namee)</p>	Mar	2	86
<p>no 2035</p>	<p>F. & S. P. C. & P.</p>	<p>M. V. Miller vs. J. F. Fogg</p>	<p>Sequestration</p>	May	27	86
<p>no 2071</p>	<p>Blair & Davis P. C. & P.</p>	<p>Wm. P. Trogden vs. F. M. & M. O. Rylo</p>	<p>Amalgam</p>	June	29	"
<p>no 2072</p>	<p>Blair & Davis P. C. & P.</p>	<p>James Crabtree vs. F. M. & M. O. Rylo</p>	<p>Do</p>	"	"	"

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Continued by consent,

passed

Do,

351W Cont'd by consent $\frac{1}{400}$

Do.

35 for 2-5-1W

Do

356W cont'd by consent $\frac{1}{501}$

Do

356W cont'd by consent $\frac{1}{531}$

Grial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2 2157	P. C. & P. B. & G.	J. B. Mitchell & Co vs. W. W. Ketter & Co	Sequestration	Sept	13	86
25 2159	P. A. & R. H. & Cummings	Croton Wood & Co. vs. A. P. Ryan & Co	Trial Rights of Property	"	"	"
2187	H. & S. P. C. & P.	F. W. Heyn vs. F. W. & D. C. Ry. Co	Damages		25	"
2204	W. J. Tucker A. M. Carter P. C. & P.	Betterton & Inwood Co vs. First Nat'l Bank of H. Worth & Co	Debt	Nov	27	86
2207	Kennedy O. W. W.	John McLaughlin & Co vs. F. W. & D. C. Ry. Co	Appeal nunc pro tunc	July		87

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 1888

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

<p>Judgment for Plaintiff New trial granted</p>	<p>351 w. Jury demanded by def A.S. Keifer 7/400</p>
---	--

<p>Notice of appeal</p>	
-------------------------	--

<p>Jury demanded by plff. Cont. by consent,</p>	<p>Settled 306 w Cause dismissed judgment by consent of ams & pl for cost 7/501</p>
---	---

<p>Continued by Consent</p>	<p>passed</p>
-----------------------------	---------------

<p>Do</p>	<p>passed</p>
-----------	---------------

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,


No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2262	Wray & S.	Jos. H. Brown vs. J. D. Stuchcomb & Son	Note	Jan	5	87
2261	Wray & S. Hunters & S.	Jos. H. Brown vs. J. M. Meyers	Breach of Warranty	"	"	"
2268 1750	Cavis	Mary A. Tackaberry vs. Joms & Carey Garishes Burus & Jordan Defts.	Garnishment	"	17	"
2277	Wray & S. Ayres	J. F. Fogg vs. E. H. Keller	Appeal	"	24	"
2288	Hendricks	M. A. Huffman Implement Co. vs. Onearville Temple Cooperator Assn. P. of L.	Reb. & Attach	Feb	9	87

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Judgment by default -
Smith

351 W. Judgment final by
default for amt of note sued
on with interest as stated -

$\frac{1}{400}$ — 

Continued by defendant -
" " Consent

passed

Commission ordered
to Collier Co,
continued

passed

Continued by
Consent,

passed

passed

Dial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
<i>33</i> <i>2284</i>	<i>C. & De B.</i> <i>May & S.</i>	<i>Schayer, Schwartz & Co.</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>Chase Trading Co.</i>	<i>Debt & Attach</i>	<i>July</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>87</i>
<i>34</i> <i>2299</i>	<i>C. & G.</i> <i>C. & C.</i>	<i>State of Texas</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>A. Rodgers et al</i>	<i>For Bond</i>	<i>Feb</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>35</i> <i>2324</i>	<i>H. & J.</i> <i>Stannard & N.</i>	<i>R. Mattier</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>City of Fort Worth</i>	<i>Debt</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>36</i> <i>2335</i>	<i>B. & M. Co.</i> <i>May & S.</i>	<i>Hawsons Empire Fur</i> <i>Factory</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>Chase Trading Co</i>	<i>Debt</i>	<i>Mar</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>87</i>
<i>37</i> <i>2342</i>	<i>Froman</i> <i>P. C. & P.</i>	<i>W. A. Hunter</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>J. P. Hughes & Co.</i>	<i>Injunction</i>	<i>Mar</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>"</i>

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 1880

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

*Dismissed as to
E. E. Chase and A. M. Britton*

passed

Set for 130 PM 3 Dec

In Court of appeals

Continued by Consent

passed

passed

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
38 2347	B. & B. C. & C.	R. Cooper vs. John Tierney Sr.	Appeal	Mar	30	87
off 2354	C. & G. B. M. & M. Co.	State of Texas vs. Abr. Moody exal	Forf. Recog.	Apr	14	"
39 2372	Blair P. C. & P.	J. H. Carmichael vs. F. W. & N. O. Ry. Co.	Appeal	"	26	"
off 2385	P. C. & P. H. & G.	Higbee Raudall and Darling Company vs. Henry Schwartz	Note	May	17	"
40 2395	T. & Carter Wray & S.	Edwin Bates & Co. vs. Geo. H. Chase	Note	"	31	"

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

35110. Dept death suggested -
cont to make parties -

7/401

35. 6. 11. Fine being remitted
by the Governor - ordered that
this cause be dismissed
on payment of all costs of
both by Dept & his parties.

7/531 O -

continued by consent,

35110 cont by consent

7/532

35110. Cause dismissed
judgment against Dept
for costs -

7/401

passed

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
41 2410	Dunklin Ford,	M.C. Prince vs. G. C. & S. F. Ry. Co	Cartiorari	June	15	87
2412	W. W. W.	J. C. Hutchinson vs. E. F. Hard	Debt & C.	"	17	"
42 2423	R. J. Boykin R. S. Blair	G. M. Smith vs. L. W. Hopkins & al	Appeal Smith J.P.	July	1	"
43 2439	B. & B. Ayres	Frank Belsel & al vs. Talbot & Co	Conversion	Aug	9	87
44 2444	Blair Lassiter	A. M. Joynt vs. R. F. Robert	Debt	"	22	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 188*8*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Continued by consent

*Set for 207W
207W Cont'd by Consent
1/548*

Continued by consent,

*386W cont'd for service
1/532
Appearance*

Continued by consent,

passed

In Court of Appeals

Continued by consent

passed

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
45 2446	Booth	J. M. Wilderman				
	Hill	J. L. Hill ^{vs.}	Appeal J. H. Smith J.P.	Aug	23	87
46 2454	C & C	Jacob Adams				
	Stedman	T. W. R. Y. Ry. Co. ^{vs.}	Damages	"	20	"
2474						
	M. P. & Ball	John Kellett				
2467		Tex & Pac. Ry. Co. ^{vs.} & al	"	Sept	5	87
2481	P. C. & P.	J. M. Osborne & Co.				
	Dumplings	Allen McKee ^{vs.}	Appeal	Oct	6	"
2470	Horsukamp	J. C. N. Witten				
2489	Dowlin	L. Thompson ^{vs.}	Appeal	"	27	"

8

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

passed

Continued by consent

passed

*Service on T. & P. Ry Co
quashed -
Cont. by consent*

*3 D 1 W Jury demanded by
p. 1/402*

Continued by consent,

*3 D 1 W Jury demanded by Dept.
7/402*

*3 D 1 W Jury demanded by Dept
7/402*

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
49 2500	Holland Fritch & T.	Ann H. Spear vs. Mo. Pac. Ry. Co.	Appeal L. R. Jones JP	Nov	1	87
50 2501	Holland Fritch & T.	Ann H. Spear vs. Mo. Pac. Ry. Co.	Appeal Jones JP	"	"	"
51 2502	G. & H. B.	Chas R. Green vs. Tex & Pac Ry Co. & al.	Damages	"	"	"
52 2504	C. & C. G. & T.	T. J. Lancaster vs. Mo. Pac. Ry. Co.	Appeal	"	"	"
53 2506	Ayres C. & C. P. C. & P.	John Murren G. J. Tierney	"	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 1888

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

*Jury demanded by plff.
Continued by consent*

*Jury demanded by plff.
Continued by consent*

*Motion to quash service
on D. & G. Ry. Co. sustained
Continued by consent*

passed

Set for 1⁰⁰ PM B.D. Geo

*3D. 110. Death of deflt suggested
have parties to make legal
representatives of each party
7/402*

Trial

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
54 2570 2555 2511	Holland S. & T.	Amie & Spier vs. Mo Pac Ry Co.	Appeal	Nov	7	87
2189	B. H. Johnson J. A. Holland Jas. S. Davis	L. B. Brewster vs. C. H. Capps et al	Appeal manu. p.	Oct	23	86
2231	B. H. Johnson C. H. Davis	L. B. Brewster vs. Geo. L. Pearce et al	Certiorari	Dec	20	"
1984	B. H. Johnson C. & C.	R. L. Turner vs. Cottrell & Keuper	Sequestration	mch	19	86
2474	T & R P. O. A. P.	E. S. Hunt vs. L. August	Appeal J. H. Smith	Apr	21	87

TARRANT COUNTY *January* TERM, 1888

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Transferred from jury docket.

3 D/W. Cause dismissed with judgment & agreement against plaintiff for costs - properly the defendant's debt. 1/450

Transferred from jury docket

3 D/W. Cause dismissed with judgment & agreement against plaintiff for costs - properly the defendant's debt. 1/450 - 0


Transferred from jury docket -

passed

6 D/W Court by self -

1/551

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1000	C & C.	Batman & Bro. vs. J. A. Harston et al	note	1	6	83.
1255	W & S.	Jos. H. Brown. vs. R. H. Chauncey et al	note	3	2	84
1772	Ayres.	A. M. Coop. vs. Watson Willett	Debt	8	18	84
1824	B & B & H & H. 	Sam Furman for Farrant County Lig Bonds. vs. Isaac Cooper et al		10	15	85
1829	W & S.	City Natl Bank vs. Jno. O. McKimney	attach	10	15	85

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 1888

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D/W. Court for service
1/403

3 D/W Court for service
1/403

3 D/W Court for service
1/403

3 D/W. Cause dismissed
1/403

3 D/W Court for service
1/403

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1889	Holland	Dan Arvine vs. Jesse Arvine	account	12	16	85
1892	B & M & C & H	Chas Scheuerm vs. W. D. Beaton	note	12	22	85
1898	W & J	E. Morris A. W. Crabtree	attach	12	29	85
<u>1899</u> 1898	W & J	E. Morris vs. Johnson & Hilliard A. W. Crabtree	garn.	"	"	"
<u>1900</u> 1898	W & J	E. Morris vs. Jesse R. Pascoe A. W. Crabtree	garn	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 188*8*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Death of plaintiff suggested, continued to make parties

passed

*3D/W Cont'd for service
7/403*

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
1921	Dr & S	Jos. H. Brown vs. J. E. Taylor & Co	attach	11	3	86,
1923 1921	Dr & S.	Jos. H. Brown vs. Robt Mcnatt Gar	Garu.	"	"	"
1924 1921	Dr & S.	Jos. H. Brown. vs. Dr. Dr. Mcnatt Gar.	Garu.	"	"	"
1925 1921	Dr & S.	Jos H. Brown vs. Dr. Dr. Mcnatt & Co Garu	Garu.	"	"	"
1936	Dr & S.	Jos. H. Brown vs. J. H. L. Hull	Debt	12	9	86,

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 188*8*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3510 Cent for service

7/404

3510 Cent for service

7/403

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2044	P. C. & P.	H. W. Spear vs. Dave Burns	Garu Garu.	6	3	86.
2045 2043	P. C. & P.	H. W. Spear vs. J. W. Loman J. A. Ashmore Deft	Garu Gar.	6	3	86.
2048 2043	P. C. & P.	H. W. Spear. vs. Almy Byrne Gar J. A. Ashmore Deft	Gar.	6	3	86.
2046 2043.	P. C. & P.	H. W. Spear. vs. Porter Gar. J. A. Ashmore Deft	Gar	"	"	"
2067	M & S.	Jos. H. Brown. vs. R. H. Clark	Debt	6	23	86.

TARRANT COUNTY,

January TERM, 188*8*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

BDW Cont'd for service

1/404

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2102	Marven	City of Ft Worth vs. H. G. Boone et al	Forfeund	8	16	86.
2156	J & D. K.	P. Q. Nueguro. vs. J. M. Stone ^{alias} Stafford	attach	9	10	86.
2213	C & G.	State of Texas vs. J. H. Speer et al	Forfe Bonds	12	11	86.
2217	C & G.	State of Texas vs. Dick Birdwell	Do	"	"	"
2253	H. & G. Ayres.	B. H. Bondurant vs. W. A. Sanderson et al	Do	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 188*8*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

*356w. Contd for service
1/532*

*356w. Jud. ment nisi let asidi
(cause dismissed) 1/532*

Passed for settlement

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2280	H. M. & D. K.	David Boaz, vs. Ill. Central Ry	Det & att	12	8	86.
2297	C & G.	State of Texas, vs. W. B. McKimzie et al	Forfeond.	2	10	87.
2303	H. C & G.	State of Texas, vs. Geo Caswell et al	Da	"	"	"
2349	Davis,	M. A. Tackaberry, vs. W. T. Hudson	attch	4	1	87.
2363	H. C & G.	State of Texas, vs. J. B. Reed	For B.	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 1888

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D 6 W. Cause dismissed by order of plffs Atty - Judgmt of ch plff for costs -
7/537

3 D 1 W Contd for service
7/404

2 D 3 W. Continuance set aside death of defd Robt Howard and suggested scire facias ordered to make his Administrator a party, defd -
7/509

2 D 3 W Dismissed as to defd M. A. Walson judgment by consent against defd, Nichols and Caswell -
7/509

3 D 1 W contd for service
7/404

Contd Judgment nisi set aside and annulled
7/546

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2302	C & G.	State of Texas vs. Mat Kramer	Worf bond	4	25	87.
2306.	C & G.	State of Texas. vs. J. D. Reed	Do	"	"	"
2307	C & G.	State of Texas vs. Mat Kramer	Do	"	"	"
2308	C & G.	State of Texas. vs. John Burford	Do	"	"	"
2401	M. & S.	Martin Brown Co vs. R. J. Porter & Son	Guaranty	6	1	87.

TARRANT COUNTY *January* TERM, 1888

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D W Judgment in 2^d
 Set aside & cause
 dismissed 7/533

3 D W Judgment in 2^d
 Set aside & cause
 dismissed 7/546

3 D W Judgment in 2^d
 Set aside & cause
 dismissed 7/533

3 D W Dismissed by order of
 Co. Atty - 7/404

3 D W Dismissed by order of
 prof att, judgment agree
 prof for cost 7/404

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2406.	C & G.	State of Texas. vs. Geo B. Holland etal	Forfeiture	6	10	87.
2417	N. & S.	J. C. Hutchinson vs. E. F. I. Kard	Do	"	"	"
2418	N & S.	Rosedale St Ry Co vs. Mrs. C. Brown et al	Damages	6	21	87.
2420	R. H. & R.	Chase & Nicholson vs. H. C. Johnson et al	Debt	6	27	87
2435	Ayres.	J. C. Ingram. vs. W. A. Hinson	Debt	7	27	87

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D 100 Cont for service
7/405

3 D 600 Cont for service
7/532

3 D 600 Cont for service
7/533

3 D 600 Cause dismissed
Judgment app for costs -
7/534

3 D 100 Cont for service
7/405

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2139	B & B. Truman Ayres.	Frank Belser et al vs. Salvot Maut Co	Commission	8	9	87.
2153	Fogers	Ed Polans vs. J. Q. St Clair	account	8	30	87.
2165	M. P. Blue. J. W. D...	John Kelteth vs. Tex & Pac Ry Co	Damages	9	5	87.
2167	B. N. & m Co.	E. H. Keller. vs. M. M. Warren.	note	9	12	87.
2168 2167	B. N. & m Co.	E. H. Keller vs. D. P. Atwood Gar w. m. Warren sept	Gar	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY *January* TERM, 188*8*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

passed

*3 D. W. J. demanded by
J. P. [unclear]*

E-

passed

*10-22-89. Jas S Davis Esq appointed
to represent the [unclear]
10-22-89 [unclear] the evidence in
this case [unclear] that [unclear] - recover
of [unclear] the amt of note
[unclear] that [unclear] [unclear]
[unclear] allowed as fee \$10*

passed

*as [unclear] judgment
[unclear] on file*

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2470	<i>Trial</i> Holland & W. Snyder	E. W. Snyder vs. J. C. Bromm et al Rec.	Damages	9	14	87.
2476	Hendricks, W. A. Huffman	Implement Co vs. C. W. Cornette et al	note	9	30	87.
2481	<i>P. C. & P.</i> <i>Trial</i> Cummings	A. M. Osborne & Co vs. Helen McKee	Appeal	10	6	87.
2485	P. B. Miller	Ed. J. Alston vs. Jennie Flynn et al	Debt & acount	10	19	87.
2486.	Hendricks W. A. Huffman	Implement Co vs. M. A. Cooper et al	Sequest	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 1888

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Det for 6 W Cents

3 D W Cents for service

$\frac{1}{405}$

3 D W Cents for service

$\frac{1}{405}$

3 D W Cents for service

$\frac{1}{405}$

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2487	Abornkamp P & B.	S. C. H. Witten vs. L. Thompson	appeal	10	27	87.
2488	C & G. Johnson	State of Texas. vs. John Danner et al	Shurf bond	"	"	"
2489	C & G. Johnson	State of Texas. vs. Billy Bowen et al	Do	"	"	"
2490	C & G.	State of Texas. vs. A. Reader et al	Do	"	"	"
2491	C & G.	State of Texas. vs. Carrie Anderson et al	Do	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 188*8*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3560. Judgment ^{final} for plff for per
deft & equity
amt of bond - 1/534

3560 Judgment final for
stat against all defl, for
amt of bond - 1/535

3560 Court for term
1/535

3560 Court for term
1/536

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2492	C. S. G.	State of Texas. vs. J. E. Calhoun	Forfeited	10	27	87
2494	C. S. G.	State of Texas. vs. Hild + Devin Charley Eastman	Do	"	"	"
2493	C. S. G.	State of Texas. vs. J. E. Calhoun.	Do	"	"	"
2495	C. S. G.	State of Texas. vs. J. E. Calhoun	Do	"	"	"
2496	C. S. G.	State of Texas. vs. C. Hinchell H. A.	Do	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 188*8*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 Dec Cent for serv
7/5 36

3 Dec Judgmt nisi set aside
and cause dismissed
7/5 36

3 Dec Cent for serv
7/5 36

3 Dec Cent for serv
7/5 36

~~pressed for settlement~~

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2497	C & G.	State of Texas. vs. J. E. Calhoun	Forfeited	10	27	87
2511	Ford.	G. C. & S. T. Ry Co vs. J. B. Litsey et al	Condemn.	11	9	87
2512	Holland.	Schwartz Auc- tion Goods Company, Damages. vs. MoPae Ry Co		11	11	87
2513	Scott Finch & Thompson	Rufus Chambers, vs. MoPae Ry Co	Damages	"	"	"
2514	H. J. & E.	A. & E. Mittenhal vs. Isaac Tropp	Debt & int	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 1888

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 Dced Cent for service
7/536

Set for 3 D 7 W -
2 D 7 W Cent by Consent
7/548

3 Dced.
Cause dismissed judgment
against D for costs -
7/536

Set for 4 D 7 W
4 D 7 W. D left exceptions to plaintiff's
petition over ruled. D left exceptions
judgment for plaintiff for \$100.00
7/549

3 D 7 W. Judgment final by default
for \$1488 ⁸¹/₁₀₀ with for called
sum of attachments. Clerk ordered to pay over
to plaintiff proceeds of sale after
deducting amt of costs.
7/405-8

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2515 56	Stearns	W. A. Huffman Implement Co, Debt vs. W. S. Keller et al fleo.		11	21	87.
2516	West	Orr & Lindsay Shoe Co. vs. M. O. Lynn et al	Debt	"	"	"
56 2517	Matth & Co.	T. N. & N. O. Ry Co. vs. M. K. & Ry Co et al	Condemn.	11	21	87.
57 2518	R. H. & R.	Aroncke Bros Coffee Co vs. Robinson Bros & Co.	Debt & al	"	"	"
58 2519 2518	Trial R. H. & R.	Aroncke Bros Coffee Company vs. Mat. L. & Cat. Co Garu Robinson Bros & Co Debt		"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY *January* TERM, 188*8*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D (W) judgment by default
with writ of sequestr.

6 D. 1 W. Judgment for \$660 - amt
of note sued on including int to date
& atty fees, with 12% per an. int
from date - *7/45*

3 D (W) Cont of service

7/409

passed

passed

passed

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2520 of Ayres		Casey & Inasey vs. P. C. Withers	note	11	23	87
59 Trial 2521	P. C. & P. B. N. & W. C.	David Boaz, vs. B. C. Craus & etal	Debt	"	"	"
of Armistead 2522	Quill	Ben. H. Turner, vs. R. E. Montgomery	note	"	30	"
60 Trial 2523		A. O. Kauder, vs. Sam Craus,	Appeal (B. H. Smith)	12	2	87
61 Trial 2524	Blair, J. M. M.	John Cole, vs. W. M. Hunter	appeal	"	3	"

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D W Judgment by default
for amt of note
owed on profit interest
and atty's fees as therein
stated
7/409

passed

set for 1 D W

1 D W. Genl demurrer to pliffs
petition sustained - Cause
dismissed, Judgment against
pliffs awarded on costs for
cost of case
7/54

passed

passed

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2525 2525	Lawry Lawry	H. J. Wilson vs. M. A. Maupin	Debt & ac	12	5	87.
6 1/2 trial 2526	Harris	Mike Gallagher	Appeal L. W. Jones.	12	6	87.
2527	Martin	G. W. Alexander	Garnishment " 7 "			
1675		vs. B. H. Shipps Gar. W. H. Aldridge Debt				
2528	Martin,	G. W. Alexander	Do " " "			
1675		vs. L. R. Taylor Gar. W. H. Aldridge Debt				
2529 2529	Mo & Co	Padgett Bros. vs. Angel & Ozment & House	Debt Gar	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 188*8*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3D/W. Pleasance to answer
Jury demanded by def
7/410

Set for 4 D/W
3D, 6. M. Cause Cont^d by consent
7/501

passed

3D-W Judgment final
for \$240⁶²
7/410

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
<p>Trial 2530 1075</p>		<p>G. W. Alexander vs. C. McDougall Gar Mr. H. A. Aridge Deft</p>	Garn	12	7	87.
<p>Trial 2531 2529</p>	<p>H & H Appears</p>	<p>Padgett Bros. vs. John Bull Gar, Augel & Ozmont & Home Defts</p>	Garn.	"	"	"
<p>off 2532 2529</p>	H & H	<p>Padgett Bros. vs. Chas Bennett Gar.</p>	Garn	"	"	"
<p>off 2533 2529</p>	H & H	<p>Padgett Bros. vs. Frank Lowr Gar</p>	Garn	"	"	"
<p>off 2534 2529</p>	H & H	<p>Padgett Bros. vs. John Blouan Gar.</p>	Garn	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 188*5*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3D/10 Judgment as per answer
on file
Marsh allowed \$100 for answer
7/411

3D/10 Judgment as per
answer on file 7/412

3D/10 Judgment as per
answer on file -
Garman allowed \$150 for answer
7/413

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2535 2529	H & H.	Padgett Bros. vs. Dick Runyan Gar.	Garnish.	12	7	87
2536 2529	H & H.	Padgett Bros. vs. James Rawles Gar.	Do	"	"	"
2537 2529	H & H.	Padgett Bros. vs. Robert Merrill Gar.	Do	"	"	"
2538 2529	H & H.	Padgett Bros. vs. George Bauhin Gar	Do	"	"	"
2539 2529	H & H.	Padgett Bros. vs. Henee Poe Gar	Do	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 188*8*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3510 Judgment as per answer
on file
jurisshie allowed \$1²⁵ for
answer
7/414

3510 Garnisher discharge
on answer
Garnisher allowed \$1²⁵ for answer
7/415

3510 Judgment as per answer
on file -
Garnisher allowed \$1⁵⁰ for answer
7/415

3510 Judgment as per answer
on file -
Garnisher allowed \$1⁵⁰ for answer
7/416

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2540 2529	B & B	Rudgitt Bros. vs. A. Bell Gann	Garn	12	7	87
Trial 2541	Holland Johnson	Mary Hines vs. Ornn & Garvey	Damages	"	"	"
2542	P. C & P.	M. H. Kerr, vs. Impleton & Hunt H. W. & N. O. Ry Co	Appeal B. H. Smith	12	10	87
2543	D. S. & S. N. H. & G.	B. M. Osborne & Co vs. G. W. Jones et al	Da	"	"	"
2544	McLaurry	A. M. Cobble, vs. W. D. King & L. A. Frimble -	Note & Sec.	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 1888

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D. W. Jury demanded by deft -
1/4/18

Act for Stew
S. D. G. W. Deft's application for continuance
over-ruled.
Judgment for Plff for \$90.00.
1/5/18.

3 D. W. Jury demanded by deft
1/4/18

3 D. W. Judgment by deft for \$60.96
against boat deft. writ issued
over against deft King in
favor of deft Humble writ
for delivery of boat - Clerk
ordered to apply proceeds of
property sold to payment
of judgment.
1/4/18

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2545	Trial	W. S. Lewis vs. McPac Ry Co	Appeal vs. <u>nauce</u>	12	13	87
2546	B. W. & M. C.	Chas Scheu & Co. vs. D. L. Emminger	Det & Sat	"	14	"
2547	Heuricks	W. A. Huffman Implement Co vs. W. D. King	Da	"	15	"
2548	C. S. G.	State of Texas. vs. Bob Hamilton etal	For bond	"	"	"
2549	C. S. G.	State of Texas. vs. G. L. McMell et al	Da	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 188*8*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

all

*3010 Judgment final by default
0-11/420*

*3010 Judgment final by
default 0-11/421*

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2550	C. S. G.	State of Texas vs. Warren Orrick et al	Forfeited	12	15	87
2551	C. S. G.	State of Texas vs. G. L. McConnell et al	Do	"	"	"
2552	C. S. G.	State of Texas vs. G. L. McConnell et al	Do	"	"	"
2553	C. S. G.	State of Texas vs. G. L. McConnell et al	Do	"	"	"
2554	C. S. G.	State of Texas vs. G. L. McConnell et al	Do	"	"	"

January TERM, 1885

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

30110 judgment final & default - 7/421

30110 judgment final & default - 7/422

30110 judgment final by default - 7/423

30110 judgment final & default - 7/423

30110 judgment final & default - 7/424

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2555	C & G.	State of Texas. vs. G. L. McConnell et al	For bond	12	13	87
2556	C & G	State of Texas. vs. G. L. McConnell et al	Do	"	"	"
2557	C & G.	State of Texas. vs. G. L. McConnell et al	Do	"	"	"
2558	C & G.	State of Texas. vs. G. L. McConnell et al	Do	"	"	"
2559	C & G.	State of Texas. vs. G. L. McConnell et al	Do	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 1885

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3D1W judgment final by default $\frac{1}{4175}$ 0 -

3D1W judgment final by default $\frac{1}{4125}$ 0 -

3D1W judgment final by default $\frac{1}{426}$ 0 -

3D1W judgment final by default $\frac{1}{421}$ 0 -

3D1W judgment final by default $\frac{1}{427}$ 0 -

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
off 2560	689.	State of Texas. G.L. McCormell et al	For bond	12	10	87
off 2561	689.	State of Texas G.L. McCormell et al	Do	"	"	"
off 2562	689.	State of Texas. California Kid et al	Do	"	"	"
off 2563	689.	State of Texas. Jeff Davis et al	Do	"	"	"
off 2564	689.	State of Texas. Taylor Andrews et al	Do	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY *January* TERM, 1888

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM	ORDERS PRESENT TERM
	B D W judgment final by default - 0 - 1/428
	B D W judgment final by default - 0 - 1/429
	B D W judgment final by default - 0 - 1/424
	B D W judgment final by default - 0 - 1/430
	B D W. Dismissed as to deft Cox - judgment final by default against other defendants - 0 - 1/431

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
Off 2565	689.	State of Texas. vs. Taylor Audrins etal.	Forfeond	12	13	87
Off 2566	689.	State of Texas. vs. California Kid etal	Da	"	"	"
Off 2567	689.	State of Texas. vs. Harris Cox etal	Da	"	"	"
Off 2568	689.	State of Texas. vs. Frank Anderson etal	Da	"	"	"
Off 2569	689.	State of Texas. vs. Harris Cox etal	Da	"	"	"

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D/W. Dismissed as to
def. Cox - Judgment
final & default as to
Cox - *1/13/88* - *O*

3 D/W Judgment final by
default *O* - *1/13/88*

3 D/W. Dismissed & def.
Andrews. Judgment final &
default against other de-
fendants. *O* *1/13/88*

3 D/W. Judgment final
by default *O* - *1/13/88*

3 D/W. Dismissed as to
def Andrews. Judgment
final & default against
other defendants. *O* *1/13/88*

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2570 <i>2570</i>	689. <i>689.</i>	State of Texas. vs. Daylor Andrews <i>et al</i>	Forfeited	12	13	87
2571 <i>2571</i>	689. <i>689.</i>	State of Texas vs. Farris Cox <i>et al</i>	Do	"	"	"
2572 <i>2572</i>	689. <i>689.</i>	State of Texas vs. Daylor Andrews <i>et al</i>	Do	"	"	"
2573 <i>2573</i>	689. <i>689.</i>	State of Texas. vs. G. L. McCormell <i>et al</i>	Do	"	"	"
2574 <i>2574</i>	689. <i>689.</i>	State of Texas vs. Farris Cox <i>et al</i>	Do	"	"	"

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

30/10. Dismissed as to
deft Cox - judgment final
by default against
other defts
- O - 1/436

30/10 Dismissed as to defts
Andrews - judgment final
by default against other
defts. - O - 1/437

30/10 Dismissed as to defts
Cox - judgment final by default
against other defts -
1/438

30/10 Judgment final by
default - O - 1/439

30/10. Dismissed as to
deft Andrews - judgment
final against other defts -
O - 1/439

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2575	C. G.	State of Texas vs. Taylor Andrews et al	For bond	12	15	1887
2576	C. G.	State of Texas vs. G. L. McCormell et al	Do	"	"	"
2577	C. G.	State of Texas. vs. Maj McCarty et al	Do	"	"	"
2578	C. G.	State of Texas. vs. Maj McCarty	Do	"	"	"
2579	C. G.	State of Texas. vs. California Kid et al	Do	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 1888

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D/W Dismissed as to def-
Cox Judgment final by default
as to other def-
① 1/440

3 D/W Judgment final by default-
① 1/441

3 D/W Judgment final by
default ① 1/442

3 D/W Judgment final by
default ① 1/442

3 D/W Judgment final by
default ① 1/443

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2580	Off 689.	State of Texas. vs. Taylor Andrew et al	For bond	12	15	87
2581	Off 689.	State of Texas. vs. maj mclarty	Da	"	"	"
2582	Off 689.	State of Texas. vs. maj mclarty et al	Da	"	"	"
2583	Off 689.	State of Texas. vs. maj mclarty et al	Da	"	"	"
2584	Off 689.	State of Texas. vs. maj mclarty	Da	"	"	"

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D/W Dismissed as to deflt
Cox - Judgment final by
default of 9 cents 1 octer
defond cell 5-

7/444 0-

3 D/W Judgment final by deflt

7/445 0

3 D/W Judgment final by default

7/445 0

3 D/W Judgment final by default

7/446 0

3 D/W Judgment final by default

7/447 0-

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
Trial 2585	Holland Johnson	Mary Hiers vs. James Liston	Damages	12	16	87
Trial 2586	Holland W. H.	Mary Hiers vs. M. T. Whitesides et al	Damages	"	"	"
2587	Holland.	G. W. Word vs. J. F. Harbottle	Debt & ac	"	17	"
2588	Ayres.	Casey & Massey, vs. J. W. Routh	Debt	"	21	"
Trial 2589	M. H. Short Pudleton Chapman & Powell	G. W. Parsons. vs. D. J. Morland et al	Comm.	"	27	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 188*8*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D/W Jury demanded by def
1/447

passed

passed

3 D/W Cont'd for service
1/448

passed

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT, § §

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2590	Trial R.A. Rogers, and H.L. Washington	D ^r J.M. Mullins.	account	12		1907
	J.M. O'Neal and J.D. Davis.	vs. Mat Laud & Cat Co Limited				
2591	Rogers.	D ^r M. Young.	note	"	"	"
		vs. J. P. Smith				
2592	H & B. Finch & Thompson	Arch Earl	Appeal Remand	"	"	"
		vs. Mo Pac Ry Co				
2593	R & T. B. D & M C	Rauone Atone Harauia Cigarette Mfg Co	Do	"	"	"
		vs. Martindale & Chapman				
2594	Rogers. Finch & Thompson	Jeff Earl.	Do	"	"	"
		vs. Mo Pac Ry Co				

TARRANT COUNTY *January* TERM, 1888

~~ORDERS PRESENT TERM~~

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

~~11. 12. 11~~
 55. 7. 11. Judgment for
 deft. - Pltff except and gives
 notice of appeal - $\frac{1}{549}$

Set for 12. 7. 11 ^{3. 5. 6. 11} Pltff leave to amend
 Reser for 4. 12. 11 $\frac{1}{531}$

10. case Grant $\frac{1}{52}$
 75.00
 60.00
 50.
 185

3. 5. 1. 11. Judgment by default
 with writ of sequeing - $\frac{1}{1148}$
 2. 12. 2. 11. Judgment final for and
 of note atys present - $\frac{1}{509}$

30.
 60.
 50.
 140

Set for 5. 12. 11 - 5. 12. 11. Defts Mo.
 tion to consolidate with cause
 No. 2597 - over ruled - deft by st.
 Judgment for pltff for - \$140.00
 $\frac{1}{543}$ O

4. 12. 11. Cause dismissed -
 $\frac{1}{531}$

3. 9. 6. 11. Judgment for pltff for \$150.00
 $\frac{1}{531}$ O

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2595	Rogers.	Jeff Earl vs. MoPac Ry Co	Appeal nunc pr.	12	27	87.
2596	Rogers.	Jeff Earl vs. MoPac Ry Co	Do	"	"	"
2597	H & B. Finch & Thompson	Arch Earl vs. MoPac Ry Co	Do	"	"	"
2598	Trial Johnson	Robert G. Johnson vs. Heath Harger & Co	Do	"	"	"
2599	Trial Blair	Mrs J. Asbury. vs. MoPac Ry Co	Do	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY *January* TERM, 1888

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

3 D. B. N. judgment for *Plff* for \$200⁰⁰
7/538

3 D. B. N. judgment for *Plff* for \$150⁰⁰
7/539

*Heifer 25
" 25
cow 95
\$145*

Set for SD Lew
SD & Co. Motion to consolidate with
cause vs *Plff* over ruled - deft
except *7/541* judgment for *Plff*
for \$115⁰⁰ - *7/541* both *Plff* and
deft except and fine notice
of appeal - 7/544

Appeal dismissed *7/541* jury demanded by defts -
7/549

Settled

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
Trial 2600	Blair	Harrison Thompson				
	W.B. Ford	G. C. & J. F. Ry Co	Appeal Mance J.P.	12	22	87
Trial 2601	Blair	Mrs M. B. Phillips.				
	Finch & Thompson	M. Pae Ry Co	Da	"	"	"
off 2602	M. Lawry	W. W. Royster				
	Finch & Thompson	M. Pae Ry Co	Da	"	"	"
Trial 2603	H & B.	Peter Nygard.				
		Henry Hagau	Ig	"	"	"
2604	Turnman W. B. Ford	George Bates.				
		M. Pae Ry Co	Damages	12	23	87.

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 188*5*

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

P 88

*306 W. County Court,
7/539*

~~Added~~

*70 Cash Received 10 11.00
880 the line 19.00
10.00
W.W. Royster
40
50
22
110
W.W. Royster*

*306 W. Del's application for continuance
over-ruled - Verdict of jury \$110.00
7/539*

*306 W. Jury demanded by pt 7/7
7/448*

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2605	J. & C.	John J. Montgomery vs. Malone Waller & Co	Debt & ac	12	29	87
2606	Blair J. N. M. J. N. M. & Co	Alexander Veau et al vs. H. M. & N. O. Ry Co	Damages	"	"	"
2607	N. P. Ball J. P. Sutt	Lewis Stee & Co vs. T. C. Andrews.	Appeal A. H. Smith	"	"	"
2608	F. & J. Buypas	W ^m Thompson et al vs. Brom & Keuper	Certiorari navee	"	"	"
2609	H & G.	J. M. Hartfield vs. Geo. S. Burchill	Distress	"	28	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 1888

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

1520. Judgment final by default
for \$500 with 8% per an. r.
from Oct 10-87 with fore-
closure of attachment on
property - levied on -
1/454 to 508

3D/W. P'ty leave to answer
Jury demanded by p'ty
1/448

3D/W. Jury demanded by p'ty.
1/449

3D/W Judgment by default
with writal requiring 1/449
5D/W. Judgment final for \$912.85
with fore closure of lien on property
levied on -
1/450

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2010	Ayres	Casey & Masey vs. H. maustfield	Debt & ac	10	29	87
2011	Bailey C & C	H. W. Groen Co vs. Penn. Fire Ins Co Gar.	Do	"	"	"
2012	R. Ball O'Neill	C. C. Sauborn vs. Hugh Burns & Co.	Appeal Smith	"	"	"
2013	B & B F & T.	J. G. Gilmore vs. McPac Ry Co	Do	"	"	"
2014	B & B F & T.	W. T. Speck vs. McPac Ry Co	Do	"	"	"

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 1888,

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

351W Dismissed by order
of P. J. Atty Judgment
of ainst pff for cost -

1/4/89

Appeal dismissed

3D6W. Judgment for pff on
\$35 - + costs of both courts.
\$40

Selfa 6D6W

6D6W. Judgment for pff for
\$150 - and all costs except witness
furn in Co. Court - Judgment ainst
pff for costs witness furn in Co. Ct.

—○ \$545

CIVIL DOCKET, COUNTY COURT,

No.	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	KIND OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
2015		Thurman E. E. Chase vs. L. Hearn	notes	1	28	88
2016 2015		Thurman E. E. Chase vs. First Natl Bk of Baird Ind L. Hearn Deft	Garn	"	"	88
2619	Orn	David H. Hault Bowlin & Bowlin vs. M. Murphy				
		vs.				
		vs.				

TARRANT COUNTY, *January* TERM, 1888,

ORDERS PREVIOUS TERM

ORDERS PRESENT TERM

Ret for 4 D C W 130 P M.
 4 D C W. - Pltffs motion to dismiss appeal
 over ruled. Judgment for the
 ptff against debt and interest on
 replevin and appeal bonds for
 \$1500 & costs of both counts 4/54

County: Tarrant

Book: Civil Docket County Court Vol.


7

Page Number/s: 227-241

These pages were blank at the time of scanning, therefore were not scanned.

KOFILE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

5 dresses - 10 -
 3 Counterpane 9 -
 Tea Set 25 - \$83.00
 Chamber 1 - 8
 4 Roasting Dishes 5 - 6.64
 4 Steak Dishes 2 - 6.64
 1 Coffee Mill - 4.32
 9 Chromes 18 - 17.60
 Coat Vest 5 - 83
 Blankets 5 -
 Quilt 5 -
 4 Sheets 4 -
 Cups & D. .50
 Glasses - 1.50 .00
 Soup Plates



86
 8
 6.88
 6.88
 2.85
 12) 6.88
 57
 5
 2.85
 16.60
 86.60
 103.20
 12) 6.64
 60
 64
 60
 40



75
 5
 500.00
 100
 \$ 511.00

89.20
 8
 6.8440
 6.84
 2.28
 1596
 12) 6.844
 57
 89.20
 1590
 102289.30
 1710
 \$106.40



105,125
 W. W. Wilson
 Archibald
 Wick Wilson

Vineyard of 9

B.F.F. 1062



Sketch



2 of 2

B.F.L

Civil Docket Court County
001#7 Terrant CT1

END