

# Bid No. 2008-124 Annual Contract for Emergency Vehicle Equipment and Supplies

## Award Recommendation

**(P) = Primary (S) = Secondary (Rej) = Recommend Rejection**

Section	Brand	Adamson Industries Haverhill, MA HUB - Yes	All America Sales South Houston, TX HUB - No	American Communications Haltom City, TX HUB - No	Big Country Supply Abilene, TX HUB - No	Cop Stuff Van Alstynne, TX HUB - No	Decatur Electronics Decatur, IL HUB - No	Emergency Vehicle Equipment Arlington, TX HUB - No	Emergency Vehicle Supply Coconut Creek, FL HUB - No	Fleet Safety Equipment Houston, TX HUB - No	FM Supply Midlothian, TX HUB - Yes	G.T. Distributors Austin, TX HUB - No	Kustom Signal Lenexa, KS HUB - No	MPH Industries Owensboro, KY HUB - No	Priority Public Safety McKinney, TX HUB - No	Professional Safety Systems Fort Worth, TX HUB - No	Wistol Supply Dallas, TX HUB - No
		Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount
1	Able 2	220.81 55%	No Bid	408.27 20%	<b>(P) 209.42 56%</b>	259.80 47%	No Bid	285.58 40%	322.61 26%	No Bid	285.58 40%	368.76 26%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(S) 215.94 56%</b>
2	AOI Electronics	<b>(P) 967.50 10%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(S) 967.50 10%</b>	No Bid
3	Carson Electronics	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(P) 931.74 55%</b>	1,221.62 41%	No Bid	No Bid	1,697.86 18%	No Bid	<b>(S) 1,138.81 45%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
4	Cast Products	1,051.55 30%	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(P) 638.40 57.5%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(S) 871.25 42%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(Rej) 796.15 47%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
5	Code 3	6,297.52 12%	<b>(S) 4,580.05 36%</b>	No Bid	<b>(P) 3,792.84 47%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	5,009.41 30%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
6	Decatur Electronics	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(P) 15,615.00 10%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
7	Federal Signal	3,705.97 35%	3,249.85 43%	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(P) 2,920.88 48.77%</b>	No Bid	4,846.27 15%	<b>(S) 3,077.92 46%</b>	No Bid	5,131.36 10%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid

Tie bids were received for Sections 2 and 24. Recommendations for Primary and Secondary Awards were determined in accordance with Commissioners Court Order No. 60616, Procedure for Awarding Tie Bids.

Bid received from Emergency Vehicle Supply for Section 25 should be rejected because vendor's pricing did not agree with price list as required in the bid specifications

Bid received from G.T. Distributors for Sections 4, 9, 23 and 30 should be rejected because vendor failed to include price lists as required in the bid specifications

Bid received from Priority Public Safety for Section 32 should be rejected because vendor failed to include price lists as required in the bid specifications

Bid received from Professional Safety Systems for Section 16 should be rejected because vendor's pricing did not agree with price list as required in the bid specifications

# Bid No. 2008-124 Annual Contract for Emergency Vehicle Equipment and Supplies

## Award Recommendation

**(P) = Primary (S) = Secondary (Rej) = Recommend Rejection**

Section	Brand	Adamson Industries Haverhill, MA HUB - Yes	All America Sales South Houston, TX HUB - No	American Communications Haltom City, TX HUB - No	Big Country Supply Abilene, TX HUB - No	Cop Stuff Van Alstynne, TX HUB - No	Decatur Electronics Decatur, IL HUB - No	Emergency Vehicle Equipment Arlington, TX HUB - No	Emergency Vehicle Supply Coconut Creek, FL HUB - No	Fleet Safety Equipment Houston, TX HUB - No	FM Supply Midlothian, TX HUB - Yes	G.T. Distributors Austin, TX HUB - No	Kustom Signal Lenexa, KS HUB - No	MPH Industries Owensboro, KY HUB - No	Priority Public Safety McKinney, TX HUB - No	Professional Safety Systems Fort Worth, TX HUB - No	Wistol Supply Dallas, TX HUB - No
		Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount
8	Gamber Johnson	458.20 21%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(S) 427.00 30%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(P) 396.50 35%</b>	No Bid
9	Havis-Shields	605.93 21%	No Bid	665.20 20%	No Bid	<b>(P) 550.62 32.77%</b>	No Bid	696.15 15%	No Bid	<b>(S) 573.30 30%</b>	696.15 15%	<b>(Rej) 573.30 30%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
10	Jotto Desk, Cargo Slides	<b>(P) 3,902.50 30%</b>	5,017.50 10%	No Bid	<b>(S) 4,108.75 26.3%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	4,738.75 15%	5,017.50 10%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
11	Jotto Desk, Consoles	1,109.50 30%	1,268.00 20%	No Bid	<b>(P) 808.35 49%</b>	1,064.92 32.77%	No Bid	1,347.25 15%	<b>(S) 1,061.95 33%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
12	Jotto Desk, Independent Computer Mounts	1,111.60 30%	1,270.40 20%	No Bid	<b>(P) 1,008.36 36.5%</b>	1,122.74 32.77%	No Bid	1,349.80 15%	<b>(S) 1,063.96 33%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
13	Jotto Desk, Truck Trays	1,027.60 30%	1,174.40 20%	No Bid	1,042.28 29%	<b>(S) 986.94 32.77%</b>	No Bid	1,247.80 15%	<b>(P) 983.56 33%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
14	Kustom Signal	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(P) 16,293.36 35%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid

Tie bids were received for Sections 2 and 24. Recommendations for Primary and Secondary Awards were determined in accordance with Commissioners Court Order No. 60616, Procedure for Awarding Tie Bids.

Bid received from Emergency Vehicle Supply for Section 25 should be rejected because vendor's pricing did not agree with price list as required in the bid specifications

Bid received from G.T. Distributors for Sections 4, 9, 23 and 30 should be rejected because vendor failed to include price lists as required in the bid specifications

Bid received from Priority Public Safety for Section 32 should be rejected because vendor failed to include price lists as required in the bid specifications

Bid received from Professional Safety Systems for Section 16 should be rejected because vendor's pricing did not agree with price list as required in the bid specifications

# Bid No. 2008-124 Annual Contract for Emergency Vehicle Equipment and Supplies

## Award Recommendation

**(P) = Primary (S) = Secondary (Rej) = Recommend Rejection**

Section	Brand	Adamson Industries Haverhill, MA HUB - Yes	All America Sales South Houston, TX HUB - No	American Communications Haltom City, TX HUB - No	Big Country Supply Abilene, TX HUB - No	Cop Stuff Van Alstynne, TX HUB - No	Decatur Electronics Decatur, IL HUB - No	Emergency Vehicle Equipment Arlington, TX HUB - No	Emergency Vehicle Supply Coconut Creek, FL HUB - No	Fleet Safety Equipment Houston, TX HUB - No	FM Supply Midlothian, TX HUB - Yes	G.T. Distributors Austin, TX HUB - No	Kustom Signal Lenexa, KS HUB - No	MPH Industries Owensboro, KY HUB - No	Priority Public Safety McKinney, TX HUB - No	Professional Safety Systems Fort Worth, TX HUB - No	Wistol Supply Dallas, TX HUB - No
		Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount
15	Laguna	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(P)</b> 2,254.96 21.1%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(S)</b> 2,429.30 15%	No Bid	No Bid	2,829.42 1%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
16	Lund	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(P)</b> 1,317.76 20%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(Rej)</b> 1,301.76 20%	No Bid
17	MPH Industries, Laser Equipment	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(P)</b> 14,216.00 5%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
18	MPH Industries, Radar Equipment	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(P)</b> 6,157.00 25%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
19	MPH Industries, Signs and Trailers	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(P)</b> 30,707.00 20%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
20	MPH Industries, Video Equipment	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(P)</b> 14,285.00 25%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
21	Nova Electronics	<b>(S)</b> 889.71 42%	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(P)</b> 837.55 45.4%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	981.33 37%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid

Tie bids were received for Sections 2 and 24. Recommendations for Primary and Secondary Awards were determined in accordance with Commissioners Court Order No. 60616, Procedure for Awarding Tie Bids.

Bid received from Emergency Vehicle Supply for Section 25 should be rejected because vendor's pricing did not agree with price list as required in the bid specifications

Bid received from G.T. Distributors for Sections 4, 9, 23 and 30 should be rejected because vendor failed to include price lists as required in the bid specifications

Bid received from Priority Public Safety for Section 32 should be rejected because vendor failed to include price lists as required in the bid specifications

Bid received from Professional Safety Systems for Section 16 should be rejected because vendor's pricing did not agree with price list as required in the bid specifications

# Bid No. 2008-124 Annual Contract for Emergency Vehicle Equipment and Supplies

## Award Recommendation

**(P) = Primary (S) = Secondary (Rej) = Recommend Rejection**

Section	Brand	Adamson Industries Haverhill, MA HUB - Yes	All America Sales South Houston, TX HUB - No	American Communications Haltom City, TX HUB - No	Big Country Supply Abilene, TX HUB - No	Cop Stuff Van Alstynne, TX HUB - No	Decatur Electronics Decatur, IL HUB - No	Emergency Vehicle Equipment Arlington, TX HUB - No	Emergency Vehicle Supply Coconut Creek, FL HUB - No	Fleet Safety Equipment Houston, TX HUB - No	FM Supply Midlothian, TX HUB - Yes	G.T. Distributors Austin, TX HUB - No	Kustom Signal Lenexa, KS HUB - No	MPH Industries Owensboro, KY HUB - No	Priority Public Safety McKinney, TX HUB - No	Professional Safety Systems Fort Worth, TX HUB - No	Wistol Supply Dallas, TX HUB - No
		Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount
22	Panasonic Arbitrator	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
23	Pro Copper	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(P) 744.80</b> <b>20%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(Rej) 558.60</b> <b>40%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
24	Pro-Gard (Gun Racks, Window Barriers, Etc.)	<b>(P)</b> <b>925.98</b> <b>31%</b>	1,073.60 20%	1,073.60 20%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	1,113.86 17%	<b>(S) 925.98</b> <b>31%</b>	979.66 27%	No Bid	1,006.50 25%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
25	Pro-Gard (Partitions, Seats, Etc.)	<b>(P)</b> <b>1,497.30</b> <b>31%</b>	1,765.20 15%	1,736.00 20%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	1,801.10 17%	<b>(Rej)</b> 1,411.74 31%	<b>(S) 1,581.10</b> <b>27%</b>	No Bid	1,736.00 20%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
26	PSE Amber	1,518.55 12%	1,017.67 30%	No Bid	<b>(S) 870.84</b> <b>40.1%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	1,090.36 25%	No Bid	No Bid	1,017.68 30%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(P) 843.22</b> <b>42%</b>
27	Setina	1,826.99 16%	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(P)</b> <b>1,522.50</b> <b>30%</b>	1,723.25 20.77%	No Bid	2,011.50 10%	1,740.00 20%	<b>(S) 1,587.75</b> <b>27%</b>	No Bid	1,870.50 14%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
28	Signal Vehicle	1,955.70 50%	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(P)</b> <b>1,685.54</b> <b>49%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	2,283.16 33%	No Bid	<b>(S) 1,878.74</b> <b>45%</b>	1,912.89 44%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid

Tie bids were received for Sections 2 and 24. Recommendations for Primary and Secondary Awards were determined in accordance with Commissioners Court Order No. 60616, Procedure for Awarding Tie Bids.

Bid received from Emergency Vehicle Supply for Section 25 should be rejected because vendor's pricing did not agree with price list as required in the bid specifications

Bid received from G.T. Distributors for Sections 4, 9, 23 and 30 should be rejected because vendor failed to include price lists as required in the bid specifications

Bid received from Priority Public Safety for Section 32 should be rejected because vendor failed to include price lists as required in the bid specifications

Bid received from Professional Safety Systems for Section 16 should be rejected because vendor's pricing did not agree with price list as required in the bid specifications

# Bid No. 2008-124 Annual Contract for Emergency Vehicle Equipment and Supplies

## Award Recommendation

**(P) = Primary (S) = Secondary (Rej) = Recommend Rejection**

Section	Brand	Adamson Industries Haverhill, MA HUB - Yes	All America Sales South Houston, TX HUB - No	American Communications Haltom City, TX HUB - No	Big Country Supply Abilene, TX HUB - No	Cop Stuff Van Alstynne, TX HUB - No	Decatur Electronics Decatur, IL HUB - No	Emergency Vehicle Equipment Arlington, TX HUB - No	Emergency Vehicle Supply Coconut Creek, FL HUB - No	Fleet Safety Equipment Houston, TX HUB - No	FM Supply Midlothian, TX HUB - Yes	G.T. Distributors Austin, TX HUB - No	Kustom Signal Lenexa, KS HUB - No	MPH Industries Owensboro, KY HUB - No	Priority Public Safety McKinney, TX HUB - No	Professional Safety Systems Fort Worth, TX HUB - No	Wistol Supply Dallas, TX HUB - No
		Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount	Bid Amt. Discount
29	Sound Off	550.56 43%	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(P) 458.09</b> <b>53%</b>	<b>(S) 482.88</b> <b>49.77%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	536.07 45%	No Bid	No Bid	536.07 45%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
30	Streamlight	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(P) 406.25</b> <b>57%</b>	492.51 49%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	519.60 45%	<b>(Rej) 425.15</b> <b>55%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(S) 434.62</b> <b>54%</b>
31	Tomar Electronics	1,381.03 30%	1,381.03 30%	No Bid	<b>(S) 1,183.75</b> <b>40%</b>	<b>(P) 1,168.55</b> <b>40.77%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
32	Troy Products	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(P) 905.85</b> <b>39%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(S) 1,027.00</b> <b>35%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	1,128.60 24%	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(Rej) 1,024.65</b> <b>31%</b>	No Bid	No Bid
33	Troy Products, Command Posts	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(P) 7,367.75</b> <b>35%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(S) 8,501.25</b> <b>25%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	8,614.60 24%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
34	Whelen	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(S) 1,755.77</b> <b>44.77%</b>	No Bid	1,815.20 42.9%	No Bid	<b>(P) 1,716.66</b> <b>46%</b>	2,225.30 30%	1,780.24 44%	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid
35	911EP	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(P) 850.89</b> <b>40.77%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	<b>(S) 2,390.55</b> <b>30%</b>	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid	No Bid

Tie bids were received for Sections 2 and 24. Recommendations for Primary and Secondary Awards were determined in accordance with Commissioners Court Order No. 60616, Procedure for Awarding Tie Bids.

Bid received from Emergency Vehicle Supply for Section 25 should be rejected because vendor's pricing did not agree with price list as required in the bid specifications

Bid received from G.T. Distributors for Sections 4, 9, 23 and 30 should be rejected because vendor failed to include price lists as required in the bid specifications

Bid received from Priority Public Safety for Section 32 should be rejected because vendor failed to include price lists as required in the bid specifications

Bid received from Professional Safety Systems for Section 16 should be rejected because vendor's pricing did not agree with price list as required in the bid specifications